

A comparison of immunogenicity and protective immunity against experimental plague by intranasal and/or combined with oral immunization of mice with attenuated *Salmonella* serovar Typhimurium expressing secreted *Yersinia pestis* F1 and V antigen

Wen-Tssann Liu¹, Hui-Ling Hsu¹, Chung-Chih Liang¹, Chuan-Chang Chuang¹, Huang-Chi Lin¹ & Yu-Tien Liu²

¹Institute of Preventive Medicine, National Defence Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan; and ²Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, National Defence Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

OnlineOpen: This article is available free online at www.blackwell-synergy.com

Correspondence: Wen-Tssann Liu, Institute of Preventive Medicine, National Defence Medical Centre, PO Box 90048-700, Taipei, Taiwan. Tel.: +886 2 81777038; fax: +886 2 26733025; e-mail: jeffwtliu@yahoo.com.tw

Received 27 November 2006; revised 25 April 2007; accepted 25 April 2007. First published online 19 July 2007.

DOI:10.1111/j.1574-695X.2007.00280.x

Editor: Artur Ulmer

Keywords

intranasal immunization; *Salmonella* vaccine; *Yersinia pestis* F1, V antigen.

Abstract

We investigated the relative immunogenicity and protective efficacy of recombinant X85MF1 and X85V strains of $\Delta cya \Delta crp \Delta asd-attenuated$ Salmonella Typhimurium expressing, respectively, secreted Yersinia pestis F1 and V antigens, following intranasal (i.n.) or i.n. combined with oral immunization for a mouse model. A single i.n. dose of 108 CFU of X85MF1 or X85V induced appreciable serum F1- or V-specific IgG titres, although oral immunization did not. Mice i.n. immunized three times $(i.n. \times 3)$ with Salmonella achieved the most substantial F1/V-specific IgG titres, as compared with corresponding titres for an oral-primed, i.n.-boosted (twice; oral-i.n. \times 2) immunization regimen. The level of V-specific IgG was significantly greater than that of F1-specific IgG (P < 0.001). Analysis of the IgG antibodies subclasses revealed comparable levels of V-specific Th-2-type IgG1 and Th-1-type IgG2a, and a predominance of F1-specific Th-1-type IgG2a antibodies. In mice immunized intranasally, X85V stimulated a greater IL-10secreting-cell response in the lungs than did X85MF1, but impaired the induction of gamma-interferon-secreting cells. A program of i.n. \times 3 and/or oral-i.n. \times 2 immunization with X85V provided levels of protection against a subsequent lethal challenge with Y. pestis, of, respectively, 60% and 20%, whereas 80% protection was provided following the same immunization but with X85MF1.

Introduction

Plague is a zoonotic disease caused by the Gram-negative bacterium *Yersinia pestis* (Perry & Fetherstone, 1997). Two distinct forms of plague exist, namely bubonic and pneumonic plague (Perry & Fetherstone, 1997). Bubonic plague, a disease characterized by massively swollen lymph nodes, is transmitted primarily by the bite of an infected flea and the congestion of bacteria-contaminated foods. Pneumonic plague occurs as the result of the progress of bubonic plague, from direct contact between infected humans (animals) by means of droplets expelled during coughing, or from inhalation of aerosolized *Y. pestis* from a biological weapon. It usually has a short incubation period of 2–3 days, and a

fairly high mortality rate if the condition remains untreated (Perry & Fetherstone, 1997; Titball & Leary, 1998; Inglesby *et al.*, 2000).

Although several antibiotics such as tetracycline and amoxicillin have previously been used for front-line prophylaxis for, as well as treatment of, plague infection (Russell *et al.*, 1996; Galimand *et al.*, 1997), over time the relative effectiveness of such a treatment regimen has been challenged as a consequence of the ever-increasing emergence of multi-drug-resistant *Y. pestis* isolates (Guiyoule *et al.*, 2001). There is thus clearly a pressing need for the development of an appropriate plague vaccine. The currently licensed plague vaccine is killed whole-cell vaccine; however, it has been shown that its effectiveness is unsatisfactory for pneumonic plague in a mouse model, and its use does feature some local and systematic side effects (Marshall et al., 1974; Meyer et al., 1974). In recent years, international research efforts appear to have focused on the use of recombinant technology to design a new vaccine candidate for immunization for such diseases, with the principal focus being directed towards Y. pestis F1 and LcrV (V) antigens (Titball & Williamson, 2001). The F1 (17.5-kDa) polypeptide is a specific virulence factor of Y. pestis, and is transcribed and secreted into the bacteria surface by means of the Caf system, which consists of Caf1A as an anchor, Caf1M as a chaperone, and certain Caf1 (F1) structural proteins (Galyov et al., 1991; Karlyshev et al., 1992). The F1 antigen is a capsular-like protein that has been previously associated with the antiphagocytosis of Y. pestis within mouse macrophage-like J774.A.1 cells (Pettersson et al., 1999; Du et al., 2002). The LcrV antigen is common to all three varieties of human pathogenic Yersiniae. It is also involved in controlling the secretion of an array of Yersinia outer proteins (Yops) from bacteria cytoplasm (Price et al., 1991), and would appear to be quite closely associated with immune suppression (Nakajima & Brubaker, 1993; Nakajima et al., 1995; Nedialkov et al., 1997; Welkos et al., 1998). Both the F1 and V antigens have been tested successfully as potential plague-vaccine antigens, either alone or in combination with appropriate adjuvants, following parenteral or intranasal immunization, enabling the production of protective immunity against plague for an experimental animal model (Leary et al., 1995; Williamson et al., 1995; Anderson et al., 1996; Heath et al., 1998; Jones et al., 2006).

Live attenuated Salmonella vaccine strains have been used as carriers of heterologous antigen(s) from bacteria, viruses and parasites (Cardenas & Clements, 1992). Following oral administration, Salmonella has been shown to be capable of stimulating systemic antibody and cell-mediated immunity (Chatfield et al., 1994; Hormaeche et al., 1995). Similarly, immunization through the intranasal route with Salmonella has been shown to be as efficient as, and even superior to, the oral route in inducing mucosal and systemic immune responses (Hopkins et al., 1995; Pasetti et al., 2000; Capozzo et al., 2004). Both these approaches have also been tested in Salmonella-based vaccine, and successfully induced protective immunity against plague in a mouse infection model (Morton et al., 2004). Conventionally, a Salmonella vaccine strain contains a plasmid-based expression vector, which encodes the heterologous antigen(s) of interest, and an antibiotic-resistance selection marker that is used, after addition of the corresponding antibiotic, for plasmid maintenance. The use of such Salmonella strains has been discouraged because of concerns over safety regarding use in humans, and because of concerns regarding cost-effectiveness, as it is necessary to produce large quantities of antibiotics by large-scale fermentation for production of the bacteria as inoculate (Hagg *et al.*, 2004; Verch & Pan, 2004).

The attenuated S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strain x8501 harbours deletion mutations in cya and crp, defective in the synthesis of the adenvlate cyclase and cyclic AMP receptor, and asd, which encodes the aspartate β-semialdehyde dehydrogenase (Asd), an essential enzyme for cell-wall biosynthesis (Navak et al., 1998). This Asd auxotrophic mutant was unable to grow in complex medium without supplementation with diaminopimelic acid (DAP), a bacteria amino acid not found in eukaryotes, but, after transcomplementation with an Asd⁺ plasmid, the mutant's growth was restored (Gálan et al., 1990). Hence, only Asd⁺ plasmid-carrying cells can grow in DAP-free medium, making the Asd⁻ Salmonella strain dependent on the plasmid maintenance, owing to the balanced lethal relationship between vector and host systems (Nakayama et al., 1988; Gálan & Sansonetti, 1996). Recently, a multicopy, stable Asd⁺ antigen-expressing vector, pAY3493, has been specially designed to express the secreted recombinant pneumococcal surface protein (rPspA) antigen by means of the fusion of the β-lactamase signal sequence in an Asd⁻ Salmonella vaccine strain (Kang et al., 2002). This particular strain of S. typhimurium x8501 (pYA3493) has been demonstrated to be capable of inducing an enhanced immune response to rPspA.

In our study, we describe the construction of a $\Delta cya\Delta crp\Delta asd-attenuated strain of$ *S. typhimurium*expressing either the*Y. pestis*F1 or V antigens as a candidate plague vaccine. Furthermore, we undertook an assessment of the immune response of test mice and of the protective efficacy of these*Salmonella*-derived vaccines against plague following mucosal immunization with such vaccines within a mouse infection model.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, plasmid and growth condition

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strain x8501 is a Δ crp-28 Δ asdA16 mutant, and pYA3493 is an Asd⁺ and pBRori vector containing β -lactamase signal sequence (Kang *et al.*, 2002). The Asd⁻ mutant x8501 strain was cultivated at 37 °C in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth or Luria agar (L-agar) containing diaminopimelic acid (DAP, 50 µg mL⁻¹; Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO). The recombinant *Escherichia coli* DH5/pUC18-CafAMF1 (EC1853F strain) harbouring the Caf operon used to produce the F1 antigen was constructed as described previously (Titball *et al.*, 1997).

Construction of recombinant plasmid expressing secreted F1 or V antigen

Standard DNA-manipulation techniques, such as PCR, agarose gel electrophoresis, restriction-enzyme digestion,

ligation and bacterial transformations, were performed according to the procedures described previously (Sambrook et al., 1989). Plasmid pYA3495 was obtained by replacing the multiple cloning site of pYA3493 with M oligonucleotides (5'-GAATTCGAGCTCGGTACCCGGGG ATCCAAGCTT-3') containing EcoRI/SacI/KpnI/BamHI/ HindIII sites. Isolation of the pMT1 and pYV1 plasmids, endogenous plasmids from Y. pestis EV76S, was performed according to the method described in Kado & Liu (1981). Construction of the F1-expressing plasmid was by means of a two-step process. First, a specific DNA fragment encoding Caf1 was amplified by PCR from pPMT with primers PF1B (5'-GTTCCGGGATCCATGAAAAAAATCAGTTCCGTT-3', underlined for the BamH1 linker region) and PF1H (5'-GTTCCGAAGCTTTTATTGGTTAGATACGGTTAC-3', underlined for the HindIII linker region), cleaved by BamH1/ HindIII, and cloned into pYA3495 to yield pYA3495F1. Second, a PCR-derived product containing Caf1M and its promoter region amplified from pMT1 with primers PM11E (5'-GTTGAATTCTATCAAAATTAGCTATTTGCG-CAA-3', underlined for the EcoR1 linker region) and PM12BG (5'-GTTAGATCTAAATATTACCTCTATCGAA-TAATC-3', underlined for the BgIII linker region) was cleaved with EcoRI/BgIII, and this product was cloned into pYA3495F1 with EcoRI/BamHI cleavage in order to yield the expression plasmid pYA3495MF1. Similarly, the V-encoding gene was amplified from pYV with the primers PV11H (5'-GTTCCGAAGCTTTCATTTACCAGACGTGTCATC-3') and PV12B (5'-GTTCCGGGATCCATGATTAGAGCCTAC GAACAA-3'), cleaved by EcoRI/BglII, and cloned into the EcoRI/BamHI site of pYA3495 in order to yield pYA3495V. The resulting plasmids from pYA3495MF1 and pYA3495V were transformed into the S. typhimurium strain x8501 by electroporation (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) in order to raise the Salmonella X85MF1 and X85V strains, respectively.

In vitro and *in vivo* expression of F1 and V antigens

For *in vitro* expression of the F1 and V antigens, bacterial strains X85MF1 and X85V were cultured in LB broth at 37 °C incorporating 200-r.p.m. shaking for a period of 24 h. Subsequent to harvesting the cultures by centrifugation (7000 g, 10 min), the soluble fraction was concentrated using a low-molecular-weight cut-off filter (10 kDa, Amicon Ultra, Millipore Co. Bedford, MA), and the cell-pellet fraction was subjected to protein extraction using BugBuster Protein Extraction reagent (Novagen Inc., Madison, WI) following the procedures recommended by the manufacturer. Expression of F1 and V was confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) separation of each sample and analysed by immunoblotting using anti-F1/V-specific monoclonal antibodies

(F1-4B5-3 and V-4H-10, laboratory collection). The relevant reactive polypeptides were detected by means of the chemiluminescent substrate super signal (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Expression of F1 and V was also examined within a mouse macrophage-like cell line I774.A1 (ATCC TIB 67) following a series of protocols as described previously (Morton et al., 2004). Briefly, the J774.A1 cell line was cultured in a six-well slide-chamber containing Dulbecco minimal essential medium (DMEM; GIBCO BRL, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; GIBCO BRL). Mouse macrophage cells were then infected with Salmonella X85MF1, X85V or the control x8501/ pYA3493 strain, as appropriate, at a multiplicity of infection of 50:1, and subsequently incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2-in-air for a period of 1 h. Following this, the extracellular bacteria present were killed by the use of gentamicin $(100 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1})$, and the cells were then incubated for a further period of 24 h. Subsequent to incubation, macrophage cells were washed in PBS, fixed with 4% formaldehyde, and incubated with the mouse anti-F1 or -V antigen antibody for a period of 1 h. Following PBS washing, the macrophage cells were incubated with a cocktail solution containing Alex-Red conjugated goat antimouse IgG and phallodin fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse antibody (Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, OR) in order to detect the specific F1/V antigen-antibody and to probe the tublin in order to examine the morphology of J774A.1 cells. Finally, coverslips were mounted onto the slides and slides were visualized using a fluorescence confocal microscope (Leica DM IRE2; Zmst-Leitz-Strasse, Wetzlar. Germany).

Immunization of mice with Salmonella

Groups of five, 8-week-old female BALB/c mice were purchased from the Animal Center of Taiwan University. Test mice were inoculated with recombinant *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium vaccine X85MF1, X85V or x8501/ pYA3495 $(1-3 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU}$ in a 20-µL PBS aliquot) via an intranasal pathway in the right naires using a 20 µL Gilson pipette, the mice being allowed to inhale the bacteria as previously described (Pasetti *et al.*, 2000). Alternatively, mice were orally inoculated with an equivalent dose of *Salmonella* suspended in 0.5 mL of PBS. The immunization regimens consisted of either i.n. or oral-priming with two subsequent i.n. boostings (at 2-week intervals for each boost) with *Salmonella* vaccine.

Measurement of serum antibody

Mice were bled via the tail vein on day 14 subsequent to each immunization. Serum samples were assayed for IgG antibody titres specific for F1 and V by means of an enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). The Y. pestis F1 antigen derived from the EC 1853F strain was purified to homogeneity by size-exclusion chromatography as described previously (Andrews et al., 1996). The purified His₆-V antigen was prepared from recombinant E. coli DH5/pQE30-lcrV expressing Y. pestis V using an Ni-NTA superflow column by FPLC (fast-performance liquid chromatography; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden). Polystyrene 96-well microtitre plates were coated with purified F1 or V (100 ng well^{-1}). Serum samples obtained from mice in the same experimental group were pooled and diluted serially. A 100-µL volume of diluted samples was added to each well of the microtitre plate in duplicate and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. Following this, the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat-anti mouse IgG (H+L) or IgG subclass (Southern Biotechnology, BD) was added, it functioning as detector antibody for a specific serum antibody, and the substrates o-Phenyldiamine dihydrochloride (OPD) and H₂O₂ were added. Solution absorbance was measured at 490 nm (A490 nm) using an ELISA reader, and antibody concentrations were calculated by interpolating regression-corrected OD490 nm values for the diluted sample according to the dilution produced by a known concentration $(9.8 \sim 312 \text{ ng mL}^{-1})$ of purified IgG, IgG1 or IgG2a antibodies that had bound to a microplate that had been pre-coated with goat anti-mouse Ig.

Evaluation of antibody- and cytokine-secreting cell numbers in the spleen and lung

The modified enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT; Eyles et al., 2004) assay was performed to enumerate the antibody-secreting cells (ASC) against F1 and V in the spleen and lung of immunized and naïve mice in order to determine the potential cellular immune response to immunization. Briefly, Immobilon-P fixed (Multi Screen-IP, Millipore) 96-well microtitre plates were coated with $100 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{mL}^{-1}$ of recombinant F1 or V antigen ($10 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{mL}^{-1}$ in PBS), incubated overnight at 4 °C, and then blocked with 200 µL of complete culture medium (RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin) for a period of 1 h at room temperature. Following this, 100 µL of spleencell suspension (derived from individual mice), at various densities ranging from 1×10^5 to $2 \times 10^6 \text{ mL}^{-1}$ in complete culture medium, was added to antigen-fixed 96-well microtitre plates in triplicate, and the plates were placed in a humidified (5% CO₂-in-air) incubator at 37 °C for 24 h. The plates were washed, and then incubated with aviden-HRPconjugated MAb against mouse Ig (IgG, A; KPL, Gaithersburg, MD) at 37 °C for a period of 1 h, followed by washing three times with PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20. Finally, 100 µL of 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole substrate (Sigma) was added to each well, and the plates were incubated at room temperature for various periods ranging from 15 to 60 min,

following which reactions were stopped by washing wells once with distilled water. Reddish-brown coloured 'spots' were enumerated and scored for Ab-forming cells under a dissecting microscope. In order to assess the number of cytokine-secreting cells, equal volumes of the F1 or V protein ($40 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$) were added to the cells in test wells of plates, and incubated for 24–48 h under normal conditions for *in vitro* stimulation. Subsequent to washing, the cells were coincubated with $2 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ biotinylated anti-IL-10 and/or IFN- γ antibody (Pharmingen) and examined microscopically, as described previously (Eyles *et al.*, 2004). The data were expressed as the mean number of cytokine- or antibody-secreting cells $\pm SE/10^{5\sim6}$ cells.

Yersinia pestis challenge

Yersinia pestis (Yokohama-R strain) cultured in brain/heart infusion agar (Difco, Sparks, MD) plates for 48 h was collected and prepared for subsequent inoculation using PBS. Bacterial counts were enumerated by plating the dilute of inoculate on a Congo-Red plate as previously described (Andrews et al., 1996). Groups of eight BALB/c mice were individually intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected with the virulent Y. pestis, with doses ranging from 2×10^4 to 2 CFU at 10-fold dilutions. The median lethal dose (LD₅₀) end-point was determined to be at about 15 CFU according to the Reed-Muench method (Welkos & O'Brien, 1994). Two weeks subsequent to final immunization, i.e. on day 43 after primary immunization, mice were challenged i.p. with $1-2 \times 10^3$ CFU (100 × LD₅₀) of Y. pestis in a 0.5 mL PBS aliquot. The challenge studies were conducted in a biosafety level-3 containment facility, following the standard operating procedures for the facility.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the computer program MICROSOFT EXCEL Ver. 6.0. Levels of significance of difference in antibody response between the tested groups were determined by means of a two-tailed Student's *t*-test. Fisher's exact probability test was performed to compare the mortality for the immunized group of mice with the corresponding controls. A value of $P \leq 0.05$ was considered a statistically significant difference between tested datasets.

Results

Secreted expression of F1 and V antigens

It has previously been reported that the Caf1M chaperone protein is required for successful secretion expression of the hIL-1 β -Caf1 chimera protein on cells of recombinant *E. coli* (Galyov *et al.*, 1991). We thus elected to incorporate Caf1M-Caf1 into the expression vector pYA3495. The structure of

 Xbal
 BglII/BamH1 Nco BspHI BamHI
 Hind III
 BglII

 asd
 ss
 CafMF1 or LcrV
 5ST1T2

 pBR ori
 Ptrc

Fig. 1. Plasmids used for the expression of *Yersinia pestis* F1 or V antigen for an attenuated *Salmonella* typhimurium strain. The *Y. pestis* CafMF1or LcrV-encoding gene was cloned into the expression vector pYA3495 (see 'Materials and methods' section) downstream of the Bla-secretion system. This Bla-secretion system consisted of the β -lactamase signal sequence and 12 amino-acid residues of the *N*-terminus of the mature β -lactamase signal sequence, which derived from plasmid pBR322, and which was expressed under the control of the Ptac promoter. 5ST1T2 is a transcriptional terminator.



Fig. 2. Comparison of *Yersinia pestis* F1 and V antigen expression *in vitro* in a *Salmonella* strain. The overnight cultures of (a) X85MF1 and (b) X85V bacterial strains were fractionated, separated by SDS-PAGE, and then probed, separately, with mAb against F1 (485-3) and V (4H-10). Samples equivalent to 5×10^7 CFU of X85MF1 and 10^7 CFU of X85V from overnight culture of bacteria lysate were loaded into SDS-PAGE. Lanes codes: M, the supernatant fraction collected from centrifugation following overnight culture; CL, total cell lysate. Various amounts of purified rF1 and V protein (in ng) are shown at the top of the figure, and molecular-weight markers (in kDa) are indicated on the right-hand side of the figure.

the corresponding plasmids for expression of rF1 or rV antigens is depicted in Fig. 1. The lysate derived from various fractions of the *S. typhimurium* x8501/pAY95MF1 (X85MF1) and x8501/pAY95V (X85V) cultures were separated by SDS-PAGE, and specific proteins were examined by immunoblot assay. A fairly large polypeptide was observed from the culture supernatant as well as from the cell-pellet fraction, it being *c.* 17 and 37 kDa, respectively, for X85MF1 and X85V (Fig. 2). The majority of rF1 and rV was located in the cell-pellet fraction, and *c.* 2–5% of the total rF1/V was present in the culture supernatants. This result indicates that rF1/V is highly expressed and is actively secreted via the secretion machinery from the construct. Following this, the levels of rF1 and rV expression in X85MF1 and X85V strains were quantified using an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated

ELISA procedure. Samples of X85MF1 strain were shown to express *c*. $50 \,\mu\text{g}$ rF1 per $10^9 \,\text{CFU}$ cells. In contrast, the expression level for the X85V strain reached as substantial a level as $250-300 \,\mu\text{g}$ rV per $10^9 \,\text{CFU}$ cells. Immunoblotting of known quantities of purified proteins also confirmed a greater yield of V than of F1 antigen for X85V and X85MF1 samples.

Expression of F1 and V within macrophage-like J774.1A cells

In order to investigate F1/V expression *in vivo*, *Salmonella* bacteria were inoculated into cultured J774.1A cells, and the presence of rF1/V within macrophage cells was subsequently examined by confocal microscopy of immunofluorescently labelled X85MF1 and X85V. The results revealed that F1/V antigens were actively expressed inside the J774.1A cells, as indicated by the presence of positively fluorescent images of X85MF1- and/or X85V-infected cells, but this was not the case for the cells infected with *Salmonella* containing vector alone (X8501; Fig. 3).

IgG antibody responses to F1 and V antigens

Groups of BALB/c mice that had undergone a series of two oral administrations of 10⁸ CFU of S. typhimurium x85MF1 and/or x85V on day 0 and day 14 did not reveal any induction of significant serum levels of IgG antibody for either F1 or V antigen (data not shown). Thus, the test mice were primed by the oral or i.n. route and boosted by i.n. immunization on days 14 and 28 of the experiment in order to attempt to assess the immunological response to the Salmonella vaccine, the sera of these immunized mice being collected on days 14, 28 and 42 after primary immunization. The level of serum anti-F1/V IgG titres elicited in immunized mice was found to be differently dependent upon the specific immunization regimen undertaken (Fig. 4a and b). In both the i.n. \times 3 and oral-i.n. \times 2 immunization regimens, Salmonella induced the anti-F1/V titres to a significantly greater level than was the case for the control mice. Furthermore, the i.n. prime-boost immunization regimen with either X85MF1- or X85V-produced vaccine induced a significantly greater level of anti-F1/V titres compared with





the corresponding levels for the oral-i.n. $\times 2$ prime–boost regimen (P < 0.001). The level of test-mouse serum anti-F1/ V titre was elevated following the i.n. boost, with a noted two- to sixfold increase in levels of antibody titre for each booster, irrespective of the route of priming. The highest level of serum anti-F1/V titre that was achieved followed three i.n. doses of vaccine. Interestingly, levels of serum anti-V titres elicited by such immunization regimens were found to be significantly greater than was the case for corresponding anti-F1 IgG titres, this being the case at all dosing levels investigated (P < 0.001), whereas such an immunization regimen with *Salmonella* induced only a low, but detectable, level of F1/V-specific serum IgA (data not shown).

IgG antibody subclass responses to F1 and V antigens

Sera prepared on day 14 subsequent to mice having been primed or boosted with either *Salmonella* vaccine were further analysed to ascertain the serum IgG1: IgG2a subclass ratio as an indirect assessment of the T helper-cell response bias (Mosmann & Coffman, 1989). The results revealed that the IgG1/IgG2a profiles developed in test mice appeared to differ depending on the vaccine antigen involved. Mice i.n. \times 3 or oral-2 \times i.n. immunized with X85MF1 tended to experience a Th1-type response, as evidenced by a predominant F1-specific IgG2a response to immunization. By contrast, a mixed Th1/Th2-type response, as indicated by similar levels of IgG1 and IgG2a being present in sera, developed following the same immunization regimen with X85V (Fig. 5a and b).

Mucosal and cell-mediated immune responses induced by *Salmonella* x85MF1 and x85V vaccines

As vaccination through the intranasal route features the potential to induce mucosal protective immunity (Huang et al., 2001), we investigated IgG and IgA antibody-secreting cells (ASC) in the lung tissue of test mice. The frequency of the presence of mucosal and systemic ASC following i.n. $\times 3$ immunization with X85MF1/V was also determined. The F1/V-specific IgG ASC in the lung of test animals was shown to be fairly low when compared with those ASC detected in the spleen; however, F1/V-specific IgA ASC was hardly ever seen within lung tissue. In order to test whether mice i.n. \times 3 immunized with X85MF1/V developed any cell-mediated immune response to the vaccine antigen, the presence of F1/ V-specific IFN-\gamma- and IL-10-secreting cells was determined in the spleen and lung. A greater number of F1-specific than of V-specific IFN-secreting cells was detected both in the spleen and the lung. By contrast, the frequency of V-specific





Fig. 4. Total F1/V-specific IgG responses in pooled sera collected on days 14, 28 and 42 following i.n. \times 3 or oral-i.n. \times 2 immunization regimens with the *Salmonella* (a) X85MF1 and (b) X85V vaccine strains. The arrow indicates the time point, on, respectively, days 15 and 29 subsequent to primary immunization, at which each i.n. boost was administered for the specific vaccination regimen. Error bars indicate SD.

IL-10-secreting cells was significantly greater than that of F1-specific IL-10-secreting cells (Fig. 6a and b). Furthermore, stronger F1/V-specific IFN- γ and IL-10 cytokine responses were elicited for the lung tissue than for spleen tissue following the i.n. × 3 immunization regimen with *Salmonella* vaccine.

Protection of immunized mice against lethal challenge with *Y. pestis*

Two weeks following oral-i.n. $\times 2$ or i.n. $\times 3$ immunization with *Salmonella* (X85MF1 or X85V), mice were i.p. challenged with 2×10^3 CFU of *Y. pestis*, and subsequent test-animal survival was recorded daily for the subsequent 14-day period. All the mice immunized with *Salmonella* x8501/

Fig. 5. F1 and/or V antigen-specific IgG1 and IgG2a responses in sera collected on day 14 after the delivery of a dose of *Salmonella* (a) X85MF1 and (b) X85V, following i.n. \times 3 and/or oral-i.n. \times 2 prime–boost immunization regimens. Error bars indicate SD.

pYA3495 (control group) died by day 5 post-immunization. Mice orally primed and i.n. boosted twice (oral-i.n. \times 2) and/or i.n. \times 3 immunized with X85V experienced, respectively, 20% and 60% protection against a lethal dose of *Y. pestis* challenge. This contrasts with a corresponding figure of 80% protection provided by the same immunization regimen but using X85MF1 (Fig. 7).

Discussion

The use of the *Salmonella* vaccine strain as a delivery system for the guest antigen(s) takes advantage of a variety of prevailing factors, namely the relative ease of antigen preparation, the possibility of vaccine self-administration, the use of a noninvasive method of immunization for vaccine development, and the reasonably cost-effective nature of such an immunization modality. All of these



Fig. 6. IgG-, A- and cytokine-producing cells in the spleen and lung of immunized mice. Mice were i.n. \times 3-immunized with *Salmonella* X85MF1 (F1) and/or X85V (V), following which spleen (S) and lung (L) cells were examined for the production of F1/V antigen-specific antibody (a) and cytokine-secreting cells (b) by ELISPOT assay. Error bars indicate SD.

features could facilitate the wide-spread use of such a vaccine. In the present study, we used a mouse model to investigate the immunological responses, at both systemic and mucosal levels, to administration of the recombinant Salmonella typhimurium strain expressing either the Y. pestis F1 or V antigens by two distinct routes of mucosal immunization. These constructs of X85MF1 and X85V proved to be fairly stable in vitro following 40-50 generations of bacterial culture in antibiotic-free conditions, whereas following i.n. and/or oral administration to test mice the constructs appeared to diminish in persistence in test-animal spleens and lungs when compared with the control strain (x8501/ pYA3495) over 5 days. For example, fewer than 5 CFU of vaccine organisms were observed to be present in the spleen or liver at 24 h postadministration of Salmonella x85MF1/V strains, compared with 100-200 CFU per liver or spleen for the control strain, indicating that the vaccine strains seemed



Fig. 7. Protection against *Yersinia pestis* challenge following mucosal prime–boost immunization with X85MF1 and X85V. Two weeks after the last immunization following i.n. or oral $-i.n. \times 2$ administration with *Salmonella* vaccine, mice were i.p. challenged with 2×10^3 CFU of *Y. pestis*, and individual test-mouse survival was recorded daily for a period of 2 weeks.

to impair colonization. Although the F1 and V antigens were highly expressed and efficiently secreted by Salmonella X85MF1 and X85V in vitro, at 37 °C in Luria agar only the V antigen, and not the F1 antigen, was detected on the cell surface of the bacteria, as deduced by immunofluorescent microscopy imagery of X85MF1/V probed with specific mAb (data not shown). Such a combination of results is probably associated with the absence of the Caf1A anchor protein in the Caf1M-Caf1-secretion system of the expressing vector, owing, presumably, to the essential role of Caf1A for surface localization of Caf1 (Zavialov et al., 2001). To the best of our knowledge, however, at the time of writing it is unknown whether F1 secretion is independently controlled by the Caf1M-Caf1-secretion system or by the interaction of F1 with the lactamase signal sequence. Expression of F1 and V antigens was also clearly detected within vaccine-infected mouse macrophage-like J774A.1 cells (Fig. 3). No ctytotoxic effect upon cultured cells was elicited by infection with X85MF1 and/or X85V strains.

Animals that were administered *Salmonella* x85MF1/V by an i.n. or an oral route did not reveal any sign of sickness during the study. The serum antibody response of test animals was significantly induced by a single i.n. dose of *Salmonella*, although the corresponding oral immunization did not elicit such a result. The reason(s) for this outcome is unclear at present; it may be because the oral *Salmonella* vaccine fails to express the plague antigen stably (Dunstan *et al.*, 2003). The most pronounced level of serum IgG noted herein was achieved for test animals following three i.n. doses of Salmonella: the level appeared to be statistically significantly greater than the corresponding value for the oral-primed and i.n.-boosted (twice) immunization regimen using the same vaccine. Immunization with Salmonella stimulated a strong V-specific IgG response (mean antibody concentration $\log_{10} 4.96-5.9 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$), a response clearly greater than the corresponding F1-specific IgG antibody response ($\log_{10} 4.17 - 4.85 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$). Such an outcome may possibly be related to the greater level of V antigen expression, as compared with F1 expression, that occurred within Salmonella. Moreover, this outcome may also be related to V antigen, but not F1 antigen, expression on the cell surface of the Salmonella bacterium. These two features that in concert appeared to enhance the antibody responses of immunized test animals, whereas in contrast all the immunized mice revealed the induction of rather low levels of serum F1/V-specific IgA (data not shown).

The dominant species of the F1-specific IgG subclass is IgG2a (Fig. 5a), the presence of this immunoglobulin being indicative of Th1-type immunity. This result would appear to be consistent with the results of a number of previous studies using Salmonella-delivered antigen (Leary et al., 1997; Bullifent et al., 2000; Morton et al., 2004). Similar to the results of Garmory et al. (2003), immunization with X85V appeared to induce different levels of V-specific IgG1 and IgG2a, but there was not much variation in the respective levels elicited by i.n. $\times 3$ and oral-i.n. $\times 2$ immunization (Fig. 5b). We speculated that this discrepancy in IgG-subclass profile between the F1 and the V antibody responses may have been associated with differences in the localization of expressed antigen for X85MF1 and X85V. Although the challenge route, strain and dose of virulent Y. pestis used in this study differed from those used in a number of previous studies (Morton et al., 2004), the relative protective efficacy afforded to test mice by vaccination with X85MF1 was comparable with that reported for intranasal immunization with S. typhi vaccine expressing F1 (Garmory et al., 2003; Morton et al., 2004). It would thus appear that the relative efficacy of X85V vaccination is superior to the reported effect of using orally presented Vantibody-produced Salmonella vaccine (Garmory et al., 2003), although the former would appear to produce results that are inferior to that of vaccination with the DNA vaccine expressing V-tPA (human plasminogen activator signal sequence) fusion protein (Wang et al., 2004).

A number of related studies have indicated that antibodymediated immunity is important for protection against plague (Titball *et al.*, 1997; Williamson *et al.*, 1999). Concurring with this observation, our results have revealed fairly high levels of antibody responses to immunization of test mice with *Salmonella*-F1/V vaccine, an outcome that would appear to provide better protection for mice counterchallenged with *Y. pestis* than would be expected to be the case for low levels of antibody responses to F1/V, suggesting that the F1- or V-specific IgG antibody response is positively correlated with the protective efficacy of vaccination of test mice with X85MF1 and/or X85V (Figs 4 and 7). Surprisingly, both the i.n. \times 3- and oral -i.n. \times 2-immunized mice experienced a similar level (80%) of protection against plague, and for these two immunization modalities only a subtle difference in time to death was seen, despite the elicited anti-F1 IgG antibody responses being significantly different. Nevertheless, when the same immunized mice were challenged with Y. pestis on day 56 subsequent to primary immunization, 80% (i.n. × 3) and 60% (oral $i.n. \times 2)$ of mice survived such challenging (unpublished results). Furthermore, when we compared the antibody response on day 56 with the corresponding anti-F1 IgG titres determined on day 42 postimmunization we noted that there was a concomitant two- to threefold increase in the serum anti-F1 IgG antibody titres for the i.n. \times 3 group, whereas a slight decline in the serum anti-F1 IgG titres for animals from the oral-i.n. $\times 2$ group was seen (data not shown). Such a result suggests that an intranasal primeboost immunization regimen using X85MF1/V is more likely to elicit a persistently sustained high level of antibody response than would appear to be the case for an orally primed i.n.-boosted (twice) immunization regimen; however, such so-elicited immune responses do not appear to provide full protection against i.p. challenge with Y. pestis for test mice. Hence, it would appear that some enhancement of the immune response elicited by immunization with the X85MF1 and X85V vaccines is necessary for improvement of the antiplague efficacy. Strategies such as heterologous prime-boost immunization regimens (Londono-Arcila et al., 2002; Glynn et al., 2005), in vivo inducible geneexpression techniques, and the incorporation of an immunity-modulating agent into the protective antigen are strategies that have been developed recently and that have been used to improve the relative immunogenicity of Salmonella vaccine (Atkins et al., 2006); they may pave the way for the future use of X85MF1/V to this end. Furthermore, it would also appear to be possible to introduce, either alone or in combination, the F1/V antigen-expressing vector into the S. typhi vaccine strain as a candidate antiplague vaccine in order to test the vaccine further for subsequent human use. A recent study demonstrated that oral delivery of Salmonella-(F1+V) antigens elicits both F1- and V-specific antibody responses and confers enhanced antiplague efficacy to treated mice as compared with either F1 or V responses alone, emphasizing the potential application of combined (F1+V) immunization (Yang et al., 2007).

The induced F1-specific IgG antibody response was shown to be significantly less pronounced than the Vspecific antibody response in the serum of the immunized mice (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the deemed mechanism of action of X85MF1 appeared to confirm the ability of the vaccine to confer better protection aganist plague for recipient animals than what appeared to be the case for X85V vaccination, this probably being the result of the functionally different virulence roles of F1 and V antigens in plague pathogenesis (Price et al., 1991; Du et al., 2002). In addition, the discrepancy in protective efficacy between the two agents may be attributable to the different cell-mediated response produced by the administration of X85MF1 and X85V, at both systemic and mucosal levels, as evidenced by the presence of F1/V-specific IgG IL-10- and IFN-γ-secreting cells in the spleen and lung of i.n.-immunized mice (Fig. 6). In particular, X85V vaccine appeared to induce high levels of IL-10 postvaccination, but its relative antiplague efficacy was somewhat impaired as regards the production of IFN-ycompared with X85MF1 (Fig. 6). V-mediated IL-10 secretion is thought to be involved in plague pathogenicity by preventing the release, to serum, of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-a and IFN- γ , postinfection, and by subsequently suppressing the innate immune responses to plague infection (Nakajima & Brubaker, 1993; Brubaker 2003). Furthermore, certain proinflammatory cytokines have been shown to provide protective efficacy against Y. pestis. For example, passive immunization with TNF- α and IFN- γ has been shown to protect mice from plague infection (Nakajima & Brubaker, 1993), and the relative antiplague efficacy of F1- and Vbased vaccine has been demonstrated to be reduced for Stat-4-deficient mice, animals which are typically deficient in the production of type-1 cytokine responses (Elvin & Williamson, 2004). The presumably high levels of IL-10 release following vaccination do not appear to be desirable for effective plague vaccination. Recently, a study using a V variant lacking amino-acid residues 271-300 revealed that such a vaccine elicited uncompromised protective efficacy while significantly reducing the induction of IL-10, an outcome that re-enforces the abovementioned notion (Overheim et al., 2005). Together, these results appear to imply that the cell-mediated, as well as the humoral, immune responses elicited by Salmonella vaccine administration play an important role as regards protection against plague for vaccinated test animals.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that i.n. immunization with the X85MF1 and X85V bacterial strains, unlike oral immunization with the same strains, induces a strong antibody response in recipient test mice. Furthermore, these vaccines, which probably elicit different profiles of immune responses, independently reveal immunological correlation with the protection against plague as afforded to vaccine-recipient test mice. In addition, X85MF1 appears to demonstrate a greater potency than X85V in terms of the overall antiplague protective efficacy of such prime–boost immunization regimens. Our findings indicate that those *Salmonella* strains expressing F1 and/or V antigen are able to induce a profound immune response for recipient animals following i.n. vaccination, or following i.n. vaccination combined with delivery of vaccine via other routes, and that such types of vaccination are able to provide suitable protection for test animals from the deadly challenges of *Y. pestis* infection, thus providing a broad perspective for enhanced plague-vaccine development.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr R. Curtiss III (Washington University, St Louis, MO) for providing plasmid pAY3493 and the *Salmonella typhimurium* strain x8501. Part of this research was presented at the FEMS meeting in Madrid in July 2006 (abstract P.VAC.10).

Statement

Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Creative Commons Deed, Attribution 2.5, which does not permit commercial exploitation.

References

- Anderson GW Jr, Leary SE, Williamson ED, Titball RW, Welkos SL, Worsham PL & Friedlander AM (1996) Recombinant V antigen protects mice against pneumonic and bubonic plague caused by F1-capsule-positive and -negative strains of *Y. pestis. Infect Immun* **64**: 4580–4585.
- Andrews GP, Heath DG, Anderson GW Jr, Welkos SL & Friedlander AM (1996) Fraction 1 capsular antigen (F1) purification from *Yersinia pestis* CO92 and from an *Escherichia coli* recombinant strain and efficacy against lethal plague challenge. *Infect Immun* **64**: 2180–2187.
- Atkins HS, Morton M, Griffin KF, Stokes MGM, Nataro JP & Titball RW (2006) Recombinant *Salmonella* vaccines for biodefense. *Vaccine* 24: 2710–2717.
- Brubaker RR (2003) Interleukin-10 and inhibition of innate immunity to *Yersinia*: role of Yops and LcrV (Vantigen). *Infect Immun* **71**: 3673–3681.
- Bullifent HL, Griffin KF, Jones SM, Yates A, Harrington L & Titball RW (2000) Antibody responses to *Yersinia pestis* F1-antigen expressed in *Salmonella typhimurium* aroA from *in vivo*-inducible promoters. *Vaccine* 18: 2668–2676.
- Capozzo AV, Cuberos L, Levine MM & Pasetti MF (2004) Mucosally delivered *Salmonella* live vector vaccines elicit potent immune responses against a foreign antigen in neonatal mice born to naive and immune mothers. *Infect Immun* **72**: 4637–4646.
- Cardenas L & Clements JD (1992) Oral immunization using live attenuated *Salmonella* spp. as carriers of foreign antigens. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 5: 328–342.

- Chatfield SN, Dougan G & Roberts M (1994) Progress in the development of multivalent oral vaccines based on live attenuated *Salmonella*. *Modern Vaccinology* (Kurstak E, ed), pp. 55–86. Plenum Medical Book Company, New York.
- Du Y, Rosqvist R & Forsberg A (2002) Role of fraction 1 antigen of *Yersinia pestis* in inhibition of phagocytosis. *Infect Immun* **70**: 1453–1460.

Dunstan SJ, Simmons P & Strugnell RA (2003) *In vitro* and *in vivo* stability of recombinant plasmids in a vaccine strain of *Salmonella enterica* var. Typhimurium. *FEMS Immuno Med Microbiol* **37**: 111–119.

Elvin JS & Williamson ED (2004) Stat 4 but not Stat 6 mediated immune mechanisms are essential in protection against plague. *Microbial Pathog* **37**: 177–184.

Eyles JE, Elvin SJ, Westwood A, LeButt CS & Alpar HO (2004) Immunization against plague by transcutaneous and intradermal application of subunit antigens. *Vaccine* **22**: 4365–4373.

Gálan JE & Sansonetti PJ (1996) Molecular and cellular bases of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* interaction with host cells. *Escherichia coli and Salmonella: cellular and molecular biology*, 2nd ed (Neidhardt FC, Curtiss R III & Ingraham JLE., *et al.*, eds), pp. 2757–2773. ASM Press, Washington, DC.

Gálan JE, Nakayama K & Curtiss R III (1990) Cloning and characterization of the *asd* gene of *Salmonella typhimurium*: use in stable maintenance of recombinant plasmids in *Salmonella* vaccine strains. *Gene* **94**: 29–35.

Galimand M, Guiyole A, Gerbaud G, Rasomanna B, Chanteau S & Carnell E (1997) Mutidrug resistance in *Yersinia pestis* mediated a transferable plasmid. *N Engl J Med* **337**: 677–680.

Galyov EE, Karlyshev AV, Chernovskaya TV, Dolgikh A, Smirnov O, Volkovoy KI, Abramov V & Zav'yalov P (1991) Expression of the envelope antigen F1 of *Yersinia pestis* is mediated by the product of the Caf1M gene having homology with the chaperone protein PapD of *Escherichia coli*. *FEBS Lett* **286**: 79–82.

Garmory HS, Griffin KF, Brown KA & Titball RW (2003) Oral immunization with live aroA attenuated *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium expressing the *Yersinia pestis* V antigen protects mice against plague. *Vaccine* **21**: 3051–3057.

Glynn A, Roy CJ, Powell BS, Adamovicz JJ, Freytag LC & Clements JD (2005) Protection against aerosolized *Yersinia pestis* challenge following homologous and heterologous prime-boost with recombinant plague antigens. *Infect Immun* 73: 5256–5261.

Guiyoule A, Gerbaud G, Buchrieser C, Galimand M, Rahalison L, Chanteau S, Courvalin P & Carniel E (2001) Transferable plasmid mediated resistance to streptomycin in a clinical isolate of *Yersinia pestis*. *Emerg Infect Dis* **7**: 43–48.

Hagg P, de Pohl JW, Abdulkarim F & Isaksson LA (2004) A host/ plasmid system that is not dependent on antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes for stable plasmid maintenance in *Escherichia coli. J Biotechnol* **111**: 17–30.

Heath DG, Anderson GW Jr, Mauro JM, Welkos SL, Andrews GP, Adamovicz J & Friedlander AM (1998) Protection against experimental bubonic and pneumonic plague by a recombinant capsular F1-V antigen fusion protein vaccine. *Vaccine* **16**: 1131–1137.

Hopkins S, Kraehenbuhl J-P, Schodel F, Potts A, Peterson D, Grandi DP & Haefligar NH (1995) A recombinant *Salmonella typhimurium* vaccine induces local immunity by four different routes of immunization. *Infect Immun* **63**: 3279–3286.

Hormaeche CE, Khan CMA, Mastroeni P, Bernardo V, Gordon D, Mark R & Steve NC (1995) Salmonella vaccines mechanisms of immunity and their use as carriers of recombinant antigens. Molecular and clinical aspects of bacterial vaccine development (Ala'Aldeen D & Hormaeche CE, eds), pp. 119–153. John Wiley & Sons, NY.

Huang Y, Hajishengallis G & Michalek SM (2001) Induction of protective immunity against *Streptococcus mutans* colonization after mucosal immunization with attenuated *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium expressing an *S. mutans* adhesin under the control of *in vivo*-inducible nirB promoter. *Infect Immun* 69: 2154–2161.

Inglesby TV, Dennis DT, Henderson DA *et al.* (2000) Plague as a biologic weapon: medical and public health management working group on civilian biodefense. *JAMA* **283**: 2281–2290.

Jones T, Adamovicz JJ, Cyr LS, Bolt RC, Bellerose N, Pitt ML, Lowell HG & Burt SD (2006) Intranasal protollinTM/F1-V vaccine elicits respiratory and serum antibody responses and protects mice against lethal aerosolized plague infection. *Vaccine* 24: 1625–1632.

Kado CJ & Liu SY (1981) Rapid procedure and isolation of plasmids. J Bacteriol 145: 1365–1373.

Kang HY, Srinivasan J & Curtiss R III (2002) Immune response to recombinant pneumococcal PspA antigen delivered by attenuated *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium vaccine. *Infect Immun* **70**: 1739–1749.

Karlyshev AV, Galyov EE, Smirnov O, Abramov V & Zav'yalov P (1992) A new gene of the F1 operon of *Y. pestis* involved in the capsule biogenesis. *FEBS Lett* **297**: 77–80.

Leary SEC, Williamson ED, Griffin KF, Russell P, Eley SM & Titball RW (1995) Active immunization with recombinant V antigen from *Yersinia pestis* protects mice against plague. *Infect Immun* **63**: 2854–2858.

Leary SEC, Griffin KF, Garmory HS, Williamson ED & Titball RW (1997) Expression of an F1/V fusion protein in attenuated *Salmonella typhimurium* and protection of mice against plague. *Microb Pathog* **23**: 167–179.

Londono-Arcila P, Freeman D *et al.* (2002) Attenuated *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi expressing urease effectively immunize mice against *Helicobacter pylori* challenge as part of a heterogenous mucosal priming-parenteral boosting vaccination regimen. *Infect Immun* **70**: 5096–5106.

Marshall JD Jr, Bartelloni PJ, Cavanaugh DC, Kadull PJ & Meyer KF (1974) Plague immunization. II. Relation of adverse clinical reactions to multiple immunizations with killed vaccine. *J Infect Dis* **129**: S19–S25.

Meyer KF, Smith G, Foster L, Brookman M & Marshall JD (1974) Plague immunization. I. Past and present trends. *J Infect Dis* **129:** S85–S120.

Morton M, Garmory HS, Perkins SD, O'Dowd AM, Griffin KF, Turner AK, Bennet AM & Titball RW (2004) A *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi vaccine expressing *Yersinia pestis* F1 antigen on its surface provides protection against plague in mice. *Vaccine* **22**: 2524–2532.

Mosmann TR & Coffman RL (1989) TH1 and TH2 cells: different patterns of lymphocycline secretion lead to different functional properties. *Annu Rev Immunol* **7**: 145–173.

Nakajima R & Brubaker RR (1993) Association between virulence of *Yersinia pestis* and suppression of gamma interferon and tumour necrosis factor alpha. *Infect Immun* **61**: 23–31.

Nakajima R, Motin VL & Brubaker RR (1995) Suppression of cytokines in mice by protein A-V antigen peptide and restoration of synthesis by active immunization. *Infect Immun* **63**: 3021–3029.

Nakayama K, Kelly SM & Curtiss R III (1988) Construction of an Asd⁺ expression-cloning vector: stable maintenance and high level expression of cloned genes in a *Salmonella* vaccine strain. *Bio/Technology* **6**: 693–697.

Nayak K, Tinge SA, Tart RC, McDaniel LS, Briles DE & Curtiss R III (1998) A live recombinant avirulent oral *Salmonella* vaccine expressing pneumococcal surface protein A induced protective responses against *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Infect Immun* **66**: 3734–3751.

Nedialkov YA, Motin VL & Brubaker RR (1997) Resistance to lipopolysaccharide mediated by the Yersinia pestis V antigen – polyhistadine fusion peptide: amplification of interleukin-10. *Infect Immun* 65: 1196–1203.

Overheim AK, DePaolo RW, DeBord LK, Morrin ME, Anderson MD, Green MN, Brubaker RR, Jabri B & Schneewind O (2005) LcrV plague vaccine with altered immunomodulatory properties. *Infect Immun* **75**: 5152–5159.

Pasetti MF, Pickett TE, Levine MM & Sztein MB (2000) A comparison of immunogenicity and *in vivo* distribution of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and Typhimurium live vector vaccines delivered by mucosal routes in the murine model. *Vaccine* **18**: 3208–3213.

Perry RD & Fetherstone JD (1997) *Yersinia pestis* – etiologic agent of plague. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 10: 35–66.

Pettersson J, Holmström A, Hill J, Leary S, Frithz-Lindsten E, von Euler-Martell A, Carlsson E, Titball R, Forsberg A & Wolf-Watz H (1999) The V-antigen of *Yersinia* is surface exposed before target cell contact and involved in virulence protein translocation.

Price SB, Cowan C, Perry RD & Straley SC (1991) The *Yersinia pestis* V antigen is a regulatory protein necessary for

Ca²⁺-dependant growth and maximal expression of low-Ca²⁺ response virulence genes. *J Bacteriol* **173**: 2649–2657.

Russell P, Eley SM, Bell DL, Manchee RJ & Titball RW (1996) Doxycycline or ciprofloxacin prophylaxis and therapy against experimental *Yersinia pestis* infection in mice. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 37: 769–774.

Sambrook J, Frtisch EF & Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual*, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, NY.

Titball RW & Leary SE (1998) Plague. Br Med Bull 54: 625–633.

Titball RW & Williamson ED (2001) Vaccination against bubonic and pneumonic plague. *Vaccine* **19**: 4175–84.

Titball RW, Howells AM, Oyston PCF & Williamson DE (1997) Expression of the *Yersinia pestis* capsular antigen (F1 antigen) on the surface of an aroA mutant of *Salmonella typhimurium* induces high levels of protection against plague. *Infect Immun* **65**: 1926–1930.

Verch T & Pan ZK (2004) Listeria monocytogenes-based antibiotic resistance gene-free antigen delivery system applicable to other bacterial vectors and DNA vaccines. *Infect Immun* 72: 6418–6425.

Wang S, Heilman D, Liu F, Giehl T, Joshi S, Huang X, Chou T-H, Goguen J & Lu S (2004) A DNA vaccine producing LcrV antigen in oligomers is effective in protecting mice from lethal mucosal challenge of plague. *Vaccine* 22: 3348–3357.

Welkos S & O'Brien A (1994) Determination of median lethal and infectious doses in animal model systems. *Meth Enzymol* 253: 29–39.

Welkos S, Friedlander A, McDowell D, Weeks J & Tobery S (1998) V antigen of *Yersinia pestis* inhibits neutraphil chemotaxis. *Microb Pathog* 24: 185–196.

Williamson ED, Eley SM, Griffin KF *et al.* (1995) A new improved sub-unit vaccine for plague: the basis of protection. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 12: 223–230.

Williamson ED, Vesey PM, Gillhespy KJ, Eley SM, Green M & Titball RW (1999) An IgG1 titre to the F1 and V antigens correlates with protection against plague in the mouse model. *Clin Exp Immunol* **116**: 107–114.

Yang X, Hinnebusch BJ, Tunkle T *et al.* (2007) Oral vaccination with *Salmonella* simultaneously expressing *Yersinia pestis* F1 and V antigens protects against bubonic and pneumonic plague. *J Immunol* 178: 1059–1067.

Zavialov AV, Batchikova NV, Korpela T, Petrovskaya LE, Korobko VG, Kersley J, Macintyre S & Zav'yalov VP (2001) Secretion of recombinant protein via the Chaperone/Usher pathway in *Escherichia coli*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **67**: 1805–1814.