

## Principal component analysis exploring the association between antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance of plasmidbearing sewage wastewater bacteria of clinical relevance

Manisha Mandal<sup>1</sup>, Saumendra Nath Das<sup>2</sup> and Shyamapada Mandal<sup>2,\*</sup>

#### Abstract

This paper unravels the occurrence of plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance in association with tolerance to heavy metals among clinically relevant bacteria isolated from sewage wastewater. The bacteria isolated were identified following conventional phenotypic and/or molecular methods, and were subjected to multiple-antibiotic resistance (MAR) profiling. The isolates were tested against the heavy metals  $Hg^{2*}$ ,  $Cd^{2*}$ ,  $Cr^{2*}$  and  $Cu^{2*}$ . SDS-PAGE and agarose gel electrophoretic analyses were performed, respectively, for the characterization of heavy metal stress protein and R-plasmid among the isolated bacteria. Principal component analysis was applied in determining bacterial resistance to antibiotics and heavy metals. Both lactose-fermenting (*Escherichia coli*) and non-fermenting (*Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas putida*) Gram-negative bacterial strains were procured, and showed MAR phenotypes with respect to three or more antibiotics, along with resistance to the heavy metals  $Hg^{2*}$ ,  $Cd^{2*}$ ,  $Cr^{2*}$  and  $Cu^{2*}$ . The Gram-positive bacteria, *Enterococcus faecalis*, isolated had 'ampicillin–kanamycin–nalidixic acid' resistance. The bacterial isolates had MAR indices of 0.3–0.9, indicating their (*E. faecalis, E. coli, A. baumannii* and *P. putida*) origin from niches with high antibiotic pollution and human faecal contamination. The Gram-negative bacteria isolated contained a single plasmid ( $\approx$ 54 kb) conferring multiple antibiotic resistance, which was linked to heavy metal tolerance; the SDS-PAGE analysis demonstrated the expression of heavy metal stress proteins ( $\approx$ 59 and  $\approx$ 10 kDa) in wastewater bacteria with a Cd<sup>2\*</sup> stressor. The study results grant an insight into the co-occurrence of antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance among clinically relevant bacteria in sewage wastewater, prompting an intense health impact over antibiotic usage.

### INTRODUCTION

The rampant, haphazard and injudicious use of affordable and easily available antibiotics (from the market even without prescription) is leading to the emergence of clinically relevant multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria [1] in various ecological niches, including water bodies such as sewage systems that act as mixing vessels for hospital, agricultural and domestic effluents. Metal ions also aid the development and dissemination of antibiotic-resistant potential bacterial pathogens in environmental as well as clinical settings, and sustain the phenotypic expression associated with heavy metal–antibiotic resistance co-selection [2, 3]. As reported earlier [4, 5], hospital wastewater has been recognized as an antibiotic resistance hotspot in receiving a mixture of antibiotics and other pharmaceutical agents, such as disinfectants, and patient excretory materials containing MDR bacterial pathogens. Therefore, the biological components, mostly the bacterial populations, are exposed to chemical substances such as antibiotics and heavy metal salts in the sewage and acquire resistance to antibiotics as well as heavy metals, intrinsically (through chromosomal gene mutation) and/or extrinsically (through the acquisition of R-plasmid) [6]. Plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance in association with heavy metal tolerance among environmental and clinical bacteria has been reported previously [7, 8].

Received 20 October 2019; Accepted 02 January 2020; Published 10 February 2020

Author affiliations: <sup>1</sup>Department of Physiology, MGM Medical College and LSK Hospital, Kishanganj-855107, India; <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Gour Banga, Malda-732103, India.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Shyamapada Mandal, samtropmed@gmail.com

Keywords: sewage wastewater bacteria; multiple-antibiotic resistance phenotypes; heavy metal tolerance; plasmid; co-resistance; 16s RNA gene sequence.

Abbreviations: BLAST, basic local alignment search tool; CFS, cell-free supernatant; c.f.u., colony-forming unit; CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; MAR, multiple-antibiotic resistance; MDR, multidrug-resistant; MH, heavy metal; MTC, maximum tolerance concentration; NCBI, National Centre for Biotechnology Information; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; PCA, principal component analysis; rRNA, ribosomal ribonucleic acid; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate; ZDI, zone diameter of inhibition.

Antibiotic resistance is growing among diverse bacterial (pathogenic) populations and is a huge clinical problem that complicates the medical use of antibiotics against several MDR infections with Gram-negative pathogens – *Escherichia coli, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* – as well as Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* [9]. However, the MDR Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria, which are linked to arrays of pathologies and show resistance even to newer antibiotics, are emerging and spreading worldwide at a fast pace [10]. The bacterial pathogens have different multiple-antibiotic resistance (MAR) phenotypes with three or more antibiotics, and MAR indices vary greatly too [6, 7].

Bacterial antibiotic resistance has not been reported solely in clinical settings; MDR bacteria are also found in agricultural settings as well as in environments such as soil and water (fresh water as well as sewage wastewater) [6, 9]. Acquaintance with the local status of antibiotic resistance among potentially pathogenic bacteria in different settings is, thus, crucial in combating the phenomenon [7, 8]. Therefore, the current study was undertaken to ascertain the association between antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance among plasmid-bearing potentially pathogenic bacteria isolated from the sewage system surrounding the University of Gour Banga campus, Malda, India.

## METHODS

#### Bacteriological profiles of sewage wastewater

The sewage samples, in the form of wastewater (n=6), were collected from the sewage system surrounding the campus of the University of Gour Banga, Malda, India, in screw-capped sterilized sampling bottles (Hi-Media, India), and transported to the Laboratory of Microbiology and Experimental Medicine, Department of Zoology, University of Gour Banga, India.

The bacteriological analyses of sewage wastewater samples followed a previously described method [6, 7]. For bacterial growth enrichment, the samples (100 µl each) were incubated in nutrient broth (Hi-Media, India) for 24h at 35°C, and thereafter, following the streak plate dilution technique, morphologically distinct colonies of the isolated bacteria were procured using different selective media (MacConkey agar and brilliant green bile agar for E. coli, and cetrimide agar, for Pseudomonas spp.), as well as general purpose media, such as nutrient agar for Enterococcus spp. [6]. Further subcultures were performed in order to obtain pure cultures of bacteria from each of the collected sewage samples. The bacteria isolated (*n*=6; strain code: ST1, ST2, ST3, ST4, ST5 and ST6) were characterized and identified following conventional phenotypic methods as per the standard protocol of Halt [11] and Forbes et al. [12].

#### Molecular characterization of bacterial isolates

Two sewage water bacterial strains – ST1 (LMEM305) and ST5 (LMEM306) – were subjected to 16S rRNA gene

sequencing and phylogenetic analysis for identity confirmation, and for this purpose the pure bacteria cultures were sent to Eurofins Genomics India Private Limited (Karanataka, India). The ≈1.5 kb 16S rRNA gene fragment, from the extracted test bacterial genomic DNA, was PCR-amplified, and the products were sequenced using a universal primer: 27F: 5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3' and 1492R: 5'-CGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'. The sequenced data (partial 16S rRNA gene sequences) were aligned by using the National Center for Biotechnology Information's (NCBI's) GenBank, in order to determine the closest known relatives of the sequence obtained, by using similarity searches (for nucleotide homology) through BLAST analysis (BLASTN) [13], and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbourjoining method. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of LMEM305 and LMEM306 strains have been deposited with the NCBI GenBank accession numbers MK182778 and MK182775, respectively.

### Antibiotic susceptibility testing

The antibiotic susceptibility patterns of the isolated bacteria were determined following disc diffusion [14], against 10 antibiotics (Mueller-Hinton agar; Hi-Media, India): ampicillin (Am; 10 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>), chloramphenicol (Cm; 30 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>), cefotaxime (Cf; 30 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>), cefoxitin (Cx; 30 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>), gentamicin (Gm; 10 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>), imipenem (Im; 10 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>), kanamycin (Km; 30 µg disc-1), nalidixic acid (Nx; 30 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>), piperacillin (Pc; 100 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>) and tetracycline (Tc; 30 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>). Incubation was performed at 37 °C, for 24 h, and the results, in terms of zone diameter of inhibition (ZDI; nearest whole in millimetres) from the test antibiotic action, were interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) criteria [15]. The MAR indices for the isolated bacteria were calculated using the formula stated earlier [16], and MAR phenotype profiles were generated for the isolates with resistance to three or more test antibiotics [17]. MAR indices of >0.2 indicated that the bacteria originated from niches with a high risk of antibiotic pollution [18], while MAR indices of >0.4 indicated that the bacterial originated from niches with human faecal contamination [19, 20].

#### Determination of bacterial heavy metal tolerance

The maximum tolerance-concentration (MTC) values of four heavy metals – HgCl<sub>2</sub> (Hg<sup>2+</sup>), CdCl<sub>2</sub> (Cd<sup>2+</sup>), K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (Cr<sup>6+</sup>) and CuSo<sub>4</sub> (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) – were determined for the isolated sewage wastewater bacteria by agar dilution method as described earlier, utilizing inoculum of  $\approx 10^4$  c.f.u. spot<sup>-1</sup> [6]. The heavy metal concentrations used for the study were: Hg<sup>2+</sup> (3–50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), Cd<sup>2+</sup> (25–1000 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), Cr<sup>2+</sup> (25–500 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and Cu<sup>2+</sup> (200–1000 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>). The sewage wastewater bacteria exhibiting growth in the presence of  $\geq 3$  µg ml<sup>-1</sup> of the test heavy metals were categorized as heavy-metal-tolerant.

# Extraction of bacterial heavy metal stress protein and SDS-PAGE analysis

Bacterial protein was extracted following the method described by Ismail *et al.* [21], with modifications. Subcultures

of the test bacterial strains were performed in order to prepare 25 ml nutrient broth culture, after incubation for 48 h at 37 °C, and thereafter, cell-free supernatant (CFS) was obtained by centrifugation (10 000 r.p.m. for 10 min at 4 °C) and syringe filtration. The CFS was treated with ammonium sulphate (60%) at 4 °C for 24 h for protein precipitation, and then centrifuged (12 000 r.p.m. for 15 min at 4 °C), extracted and washed with sterilized double-distilled water. Finally, the pellet of protein was mixed with phosphate buffer solution (1 ml, pH 7.2) in combination with 0.6% SDS and stored at 4 °C for further use.

The extracted protein from the test bacterial strains was subjected to molecular weight approximation by glycine SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) analysis [22] using a vertical slab gel apparatus (Tarsons, India) with 4% stacking and 15% separating gels, and High-Range Protein Molecular Weight Markers (Hi-Media, India). Following electrophoresis for 5 h at 70 V, the gel was stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (Hi-Media, India) and then destained in 30% (v/v) methanol/10% (v/v) glacial acetic acid in order to visualize the protein bands. The molecular weight of the bacterial proteins in the gel was calculated from the relative mobility of the bands from mid-range protein molecular weight markers (SRL, India): y=20.72 x+98.62 with  $R^2=0.984$ .

### **Bacterial plasmid profiles**

As mentioned earlier [6], the plasmid DNA from the Gramnegative test bacteria (resistant to three or more antibiotics and heavy metals) were isolated following the protocol of Kado and Liu [23]: briefly, from young plate cultures on nutrient agar, the test bacterial colonies were scraped into an Eppendorf tube containing lysing buffer, mixed with phenol/ chloroform and boiled in a water bath for 60 min at 55 °C. The upper aqueous phase, after centrifugation for 10 min at 5000 r.p.m. (4 °C), was treated with cold isopropanol, and the precipitated plasmid (on centrifugation) was dissolved in tris-EDTA (TE) buffer.

Agarose gel electrophoresis was carried out on the isolated plasmids, following the method described by Maniatis *et al.* [24], in a tris-borate buffer system with horizontal electrophoresis apparatus (GeNei, India), using a 0.8% agarose gel slab, for 3 h at 50 V. The plasmid DNA bands in the gel after ethidium bromide staining were visualized and documented using the Gel Doc system.

In order to investigate the loss of plasmid, randomly selected sewage wastewater bacteria (strains ST1, ST4 and ST6) were subjected to plasmid curing with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), following the protocol of Anjanappa *et al.* [25], as described elsewhere [6, 26]. Briefly, the bacterial strains were grown in nutrient broth (Hi-Media, India) supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> of SDS for 7 days at 37 °C; thereafter, the broth cultures were streaked on nutrient agar plates, and 25 colonies (for each test bacterium) were picked, following 24 h incubation at 37 °C. The loss of antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance (among the selected colonies), along with the loss of

plasmid, was determined based on the resistance patterns of the cured bacterial strains and the absence of plasmid in the gel following electrophoresis for the cured bacterial strains.

#### Principal component analysis

Multivariate statistical techniques, such as principal component analysis (PCA), were applied (using Excel Stat 2019 version 2019.3.2) to assess the association between pair-wise variables (bacterial antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance). The number of principal components and the factors were selected according to the Kaiser criterion [27], and the factors displaying eigenvalues >1.00 were accounted [28]. The statistical significance of differences was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (using Excel 2010; Microsoft Office 2010, Microsoft Corp., USA) with *P*-values <0.05.

## RESULTS

### Sewage wastewater bacterial isolates

All the sewage-water samples showed bacterial contamination and a total of six bacterial strains were isolated, one from each of the samples, of which five were Gram-negative rods (strain code: ST1, ST2, ST3, ST4 and ST6) and one was a Grampositive coccus (strain code: ST5). The Gram-negative lactosefermenting tryptophanase and catalase-positive strains (n=3; strain code: ST2, ST3 and ST4) with no gelatinase property were all designated as E. coli, while the Gram-positive lactosefermenting coccus (*n*=1; strain code: ST5) showing citratepositive but indole- and catalase-negative test results was designated as Enterococcus faecalis. Among the lactose-nonfermenting indole- and nitrate-negative catalase-producing Gram-negative rods, the strain (code: ST6) with oxidase and gelatinase properties was identified as Pseudomonas putida, while the arabinose-utilizing strain (code: ST1) was identified as A. baumannii. Further, based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences, phylogenetic trees were constructed to recognize the genetic relationship among the bacterial strains (Figs 1 and 2). The LMEM 305 strain (isolation strain code: ST1) showed closest similarity to the A. baumannii ATCC 19606 ACQB01000091 strain, while the LMEM 306 strain (isolation strain code: ST5) expressed closest similarity to the E. faecalis ATCC 19433 ASDA 01000001 strain, and, therefore, their identities were confirmed, respectively, as A. baumannii LMEM 305 (NCBI GenBank accession numbers MK182778) (Fig. 1) and E. faecalis LMEM 306 (NCBI GenBank accession numbers MK182775) (Fig. 2).

## Plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance of isolated bacteria

The disc diffusion susceptibility test results (in terms of ZDI) for the isolated bacteria are shown in Table 1. The antibiotic resistance phenotypes and MAR indices for the sewage wastewater bacteria are shown in Table 2. All of the Gram-negative isolates showed MAR phenotypes with respect to three or more agents, and among them the lactose-fermenting strains were eight-drug resistant, while the non-fermenters showed resistance to four antibiotics; the Gram-positive *E. faecalis* 



**Fig. 1.** 16S rRNA gene sequence-based phylogenetic tree for *A. baumannii* strain LMEM 305 (contig 305) compared with the sequences of closely related reference bacterial strains retrieved from the NCBI's GenBank database. Digits shown at the nodes represent the bootstrap values (for a total of 1000 replicates).



**Fig. 2.** 16S rRNA gene sequence-based phylogenetic tree for *E. faecalis* strain LMEM 306 (contig 306) compared with the sequences of closely related reference bacterial strains retrieved from the NCBI's GenBank database. Digits shown at the nodes represent the bootstrap values (for a total of 1000 replicates).

Antibiotic			ZDI (m	ım)		
	A. baumannii		E. coli		E. faecalis	P. putida
	ST1	ST2	ST3	ST4	ST5	ST6
Am	6	6	6	6	6	6
Cm	10	28	27	28	30	10
Cf	13	6	6	6	15	19
Сх	6	6	6	6	20	6
Gm	22	9	10	10	23	25
Ip	14	6	12	12	18	22
Km	19	6	6	6	6	25
Nx	17	6	6	6	6	8
Pc	16	6	6	6	25	20
Тс	19	8	8	9	25	14

 Table 1. The ZDI for sewage wastewater bacterial isolates (n=6)

Am, ampicillin; Cm, chloramphenicol; Cf, cefotaxime; Cx, cefoxitin; Gm, gentamicin; Ip, imipenen; Km, kanamycin; Nx, nalidixic acid; Pc, piperacillin; Tc, tetracycline.

strain had a three-drug (Am–Km–Nx) resistance phenotype. The MAR indices, which ranged from 0.3 to 0.9 for the clinically relevant sewage wastewater bacteria, are depicted in Table 2.

The levels of heavy metal tolerance for the sewage wastewater bacteria are depicted in Table 3, while the curing of antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance in test bacteria is shown in Table 4. Among the *E. coli* isolates, *E. coli* ST4 originally showed resistance to Hg<sup>+2</sup>, Cd<sup>+2</sup>, Cr<sup>+6</sup> and Cu<sup>+2</sup>, along with MAR to nine antibiotics, and the strain lost Am–Cx–Cf–Tc resistance, and also became sensitive to all the heavy metals tested, while *A. baumannii* ST1 (antibiotic resistance pattern:

**Table 2.** The antibiotic resistance phenotypes and MAR indices of sewage wastewater bacteria (n=6)

Strain	Strain identity	Antibiotic resistance phenotype	MAR index
ST1	A. baumannii	Am-Cx-Ip-Pc	0.4
ST2	E. coli	Am-Cx-Cf-Gm-Ip-Km-Nx- Pc-Tc	0.9
ST3	E. coli	Am-Cx-Cf-Gm-Ip-Km-Nx- Pc-Tc	0.9
ST4	E. coli	Am-Cx-Cf-Gm-Ip-Km-Nx- Pc-Tc	0.9
ST5	E. faecalis	Am-Km-Nx	0.3
ST6	P. putida	Am-Cx-Nx	0.3

MAR: multiple antibiotic resistance index; antibiotic abbreviations are shown in Table 1.

Am–Cx–Ip–Pc) and *P. putida* ST6 (antibiotic resistance pattern: Am–Cx–Nx) showed resistance to three heavy metals (Cd<sup>+2</sup>, Cr<sup>+6</sup> and Cu<sup>+2</sup>) and lost resistance to Am–Cx–Cd<sup>+2</sup>–Cr<sup>+6</sup>–Cu<sup>+2</sup> on SDS treatment. In the current study, the concomitant loss of a bacterial plasmid ( $\approx$ 54 kb) occurred, along with the loss of heavy metal tolerance and antibiotic resistance properties. The plasmid electropherotypes for the sewage wastewater bacteria (*A. baumannii* ST1, *E. coli* ST4 and *P. putida* ST6) are represented in Fig. 3.

## SDS-PAGE analysis of bacterial heavy metal stress protein

SDS-PAGE analysis of heavy metal stress (Cd: 25  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) protein among sewage wastewater bacteria revealed the expression of single to double protein bands of two different sizes: 59.25 and 10.56 KDa (Fig. 4).

 Table 3. Heavy metal tolerance level for sewage wastewater bacterial isolates (n=6)

Bacterial strain	Hea	vy metal tole	erance (µg n	ıl⁻¹)
	Hg <sup>2+</sup>	<b>Cd</b> <sup>2+</sup>	Cr <sup>6+</sup>	Cu <sup>2+</sup>
A. baumannii ST1	2	25	50	650
E. coli ST2	2	100	75	650
E. coli ST3	2	100	75	650
E. coli ST4	3	100	75	650
E. faecalis ST5	2	50	250	750
P. putida ST6	2	250	300	850

1

### 2 3 4 5 6 7

8



**Fig. 3.** Plasmid profile of sewage wastewater bacterial isolates: lane 1, *E. coli* ST2; lane 2, *E. coli* ST3; lane 3, *E. coli* ST4; lane 4, *E. coli* ST4 (cured strain); lane 5, *E. coli* V517 ( $\approx$ 54 kb) standard molecular marker; lane 6, *A. baumannii* ST1; lane 7, *P. putida* ST6; lane 8, *P. putida* ST6 (cured strain). Note the presence of chromosomal DNA smears and the absence of plasmid DNA bands in cured bacterial strains (lanes 4 and 8).

#### Principal component analysis

The nature and direction of correlation between bacterial antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance are represented in Table 5. The association between the factors and the test variables (antibiotic–heavy metal resistance) was evident from the projection of the resistance properties onto the plane formed by the F1 and F2 factors, as depicted in PCA (Fig. 5), and also from the Pearson correlation matrix (Table 5). The foremost importance of grouping the bacteria based upon their properties of resistance to antibiotics and tolerance to heavy metals was accomplished by analysing the hierarchical clustering, as represented in Fig. 6.



**Fig. 4.** SDS-PAGE profiles of protein isolated from sewage wastewater bacterial isolates: M, mid range protein molecular marker; lane 1, *A. baumannii* ST1 (untreated control); lane 2, *A. baumannii* ST1 (Cd<sup>+2</sup>-treated); lane 3, *E. coli* ST2 (Cd<sup>+2</sup>-treated); lane 4, *E. coli* ST3 (Cd<sup>+2</sup>-treated); lane 5, *E. coli* ST4 (Cd<sup>+2</sup>-treated); lane 6, *E. faecalis* ST5 (Cd<sup>+2</sup>-treated); lane 7, *P. putida* ST6 (Cd<sup>+2</sup>-treated); lane 8, *E. coli* ST3 (untreated control); lane 9, *E. faecalis* ST5 (untreated control); lane 10, *P. putida* ST6 (untreated control); lane 10, *P. putida* ST6 (untreated control).

Bacterial	Ori	ginal strain			Cured strain	
Strain	Resis	tance pattern	S	ensitivity to	Resistance ret	ained
	Antibiotic	ΗМ	Antibiotic	МН	Antibiotic	MH
E. coli ST4	Am-Cx-Cf-Gm-Ip- Km-Nx-Pc-Tc	Hg <sup>+2</sup> ,Cd <sup>+2</sup> , Cr <sup>+6</sup> ,Cu <sup>+2</sup>	Am-Cx-Cf-Tc	Hg+2,Cd+2, Cr+6,Cu+2	Gm-Ip-Km-Nx-Pc	I
A. baumannii ST1	Am-Cx-Ip-Pc	$Cd^{+2}$ , $Cr^{+6}$ , $Cu^{+2}$	Am-Cx	Cd <sup>+2</sup> , Cr <sup>+6</sup> , Cu <sup>+2</sup>	Ip-Pc	I
P. putida ST6	Am-Cx-Nx	$\mathrm{Cd^{+2}},$ $\mathrm{Cr^{+6}, \mathrm{Cu^{+2}}}$	Am-Cx	$Cd^{+2}$ , $Cr^{+6}$ , $Cu^{+2}$	Nx	I
HM: heavy metal; the a	ntibiotic abbreviations are sl	nown in Table 1.				

Table 4. Antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance patterns of sewage wastewater bacterial isolates and their cured derivatives

Mandal et al., Access Microbiology 20	)20;2
---------------------------------------	-------

Variables	Ст	Cf	Сх	Gm	Ip	Km	Nx	Pc	Tc	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$	$\mathbf{Cd}^{2+}$	$\mathbf{Cr}^{6+}$	$\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$
Ст	1												
Cf	-0.647	1											
Cx	0.405	0.362	1										
Gm	-0.649	0.974*	0.421	1									
Ip	-0.513	$0.901^{*}$	0.355	$0.864^{*}$	1								
Km	-0.538	0.988*	0.502	0.977*	0.892*	1							
Nx	-0.636	0.564	0.206	0.720	0.366	0.569	1						
Pc	-0.400	0.885*	0.676	$0.946^{*}$	0.764	0.937*	0.720	1					
Tc	-0.205	0.707	0.785	$0.818^{*}$	0.593	0.792	0.746	$0.954^{*}$	1				
$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$	0.302	-0.420	-0.200	-0.421	-0.178	-0.425	-0.356	-0.430	-0.340	1			
$\mathbf{Cd}^{2+}$	-0.352	0.388	-0.340	0.182	0.464	0.293	-0.414	-0.056	-0.338	-0.026	1		
$\mathbf{Cr}^{6+}$	-0.207	0.825*	0.510	0.713	0.839*	0.843*	0.037	0.679	0.494	-0.283	0.599	1	
$\mathbf{Cu}^{2+}$	-0.416	0.869*	0.293	0.743	0.867*	0.848*	0.082	0.629	0.394	-0.293	0.727	0.967*	1
The antibiotic a *Values (Pears	abbreviations on's R) are dif	are shown in T 'ferent from 'ze	Table 1. ¢ro' with a sign	iificance level	of <i>P</i> <0.05.								







**Fig. 6.** Hierarchical clustering analysis aligning the isolated sewage wastewater bacterial strains (n=6) on the basis of their antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance profiles.

A scree plot of the eigenvalues of the correlation along the *y*-axis and he factor variables along the *x*-axis is depicted in Fig. 7. The F1 factor with n eigenvalue of 7.818 (cumulative variability: 60.14%) plus the F2 factor possessing the subsequent eigenvalue of 2.528 (cumulative variability: 79.59%) were selected for PCA in assessing the association between the heavy metal tolerance and the antibiotic resistance of the test bacteria, in addition to the F3 factor displaying an eigenvalue of 1.73, covering 92.89% of the total variance (Fig. 7).

### DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrated the isolation of Gramnegative as well as Gram-positive potentially pathogenic



**Fig. 7.** Scree plot showing the first three factors for most of the cumulative variability in data represented by the eigenvalues.

bacteria of sewage origin. Jayaseelan et al. [29] isolated bacteria from the dye effluent of textile mill sites (Tirupur region, Tamil Nadu, India) and characterized them biochemically to identify them as P. aeruginosa, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens and Serattia liquefaciens, and confirmed their identity by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and phylogenetic analysis. Abo-Amer et al. [30] isolated sewage wastewater bacteria, Alcaligenes faecalis, and identified the strain by morphological, biochemical and molecular (16S rRNA gene sequencing) characterization. Herein, the LMEM 306 strain had 100% sequence (1482 pb) similarity to the E. faecalis ATCC 19433 strain (GenBank accession number: ASDA01000001), with high levels of sequence homology, having a score of  $\geq 200$ , while the LMEM 305 strain showed 99% similarity to A. baumannii ATCC 19606 strain (GenBank accession number: ACQB01000091), with a score of  $\geq 200$  in sequence homology, and thus, the isolated sewage wastewater bacterial strains (LMEM 306 and LMEM 305) were confirmed as E. faecalis LMEM 306 (GenBank accession number: MK182775) and A. baumannii LMEM 305 (GenBank accession number: MK182778), respectively. Such bacteria, including E. faecalis, are potential human pathogens [31, 32]. The E. coli pathogenic strains cause diverse forms of illness, such enteric and urinary tract infections, sometimes with severe complication leading to death. P. putida, which resides abundantly in soil and water, has been reported to cause opportunistic nosocomial infections, and possesses the capacity to cause pathogenesis [33, 34]. A. baumannii, which is originally an environmental bacteria, commonly found in water and soil, has currently been recognized as an emerging community and nosocomial pathogen causing life-threatening infections [35, 36].

Bacterial antibiotic resistance, which is not limited to clinical settings, has also been documented among environmental bacteria thriving in soil and water and acting as reservoirs of antibiotic resistance traits, and thus they pose a great threat to human health [37, 38]. Among the members of the family Enterobacteriaceae, including Escherichia coli, and nonlactose-fermenting bacteria such as Pseudomonas spp. and Acinetobacter spp., resistance to multiple antibiotics, including the newer ones, such as carbapenems and cephalosporins, has been reported [39]. The escalating trend for the emergence of multiple-antibiotic resistance among human pathogenic bacteria has restricted the functional capacity of antibiotics in the treatment of life-threatening infections in humans [1]. Adelowo et al. [40] reported the functionality of polluted urban wetlands as a reservoir of MDR bacteria: P. putida group (P. plecoglossicida and P. guariconensis) carrying carbapenem resistance genes. Our earlier report demonstrated multidrug resistance among clinical bacterial isolates, with P. aeruginosa having 8-drug resistance (Am-Ce-Cm-Ct-Cx-Nx-Pc-PT and Am-Ce-Cp-Ct-Cx-Nx-Pc-PT) phenotypes and E. coli having 8-10-drug resistance (Am-Ce-Cm-Cp-Cx-Mp-Nx-Pc, Am-Ce-Cp-Ct-Cx-Mp-Nx-Pc-PT and Am-Ce-Cp-Ct-Cx-Mp-Nx-Pc-PT-Tc) phenotypes [8]. Multiple antibiotic resistance has also been reported among the potentially pathogenic bacteria isolated from riverine water as well as municipal sewage water [6, 7]. In the current study, the

order of resistivity found among the isolates was: E. coli (ninedrug resistance)>A. baumannii (four-drug resistance)>P. putida/E. faecalis (three-drug resistance), as depicted in Table 2. Therefore, MAR Gram-negative bacteria are an ever more prevalent public health concern in our developing part of the globe. Among the E. coli and Vibrio spp. isolates from wastewater treatment plants in Eastern Cape Province (South Africa), 81% had MAR phenotypes against  $\geq$ 3 antibiotics, with 'Am-Tc-trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole-penicillinGnitrofurantoin-polymyxinB' being the common one, while the highest MAR phenotype was against 11 antibiotics (3 isolates) [17]. In the present study, the studied sewage system receives effluent from agricultural fields and mango gardens, along with domestic effluent containing excreta plus sufficient traces of antibiotics to create selection pressure for bacterial antibiotic resistance in sewage wastewater that might act as a real reservoir for antibiotic-resistant bacteria as well as antibiotic resistance genes. The occurrence of 'antibiotic resistance genes in wastewater' was explained earlier by Karkman et al. [41]. This suggests the prioritization of research on antibiotic resistance among Gram-negative bacteria for continuous public health observation activities in clinical and community settings, and among environmental bacteria as well, in order to combat MDR bacterial infection.

Earlier authors demonstrated the MAR indices to trace the nature and source of bacterial contaminants [18-20]. Frigon et al. [42] reported that human and animal faecal matter from wastewater plays a major role in contaminating freshwater environments with enteropathogenic bacteria. The drinking water bacterial isolates P. aeruginosa, E. faecalis and E. coli with MAR indices >0.2 demonstrated the heavy application of antibiotics in the surrounding aquatic sources [43], indicating a high risk of antibiotic contamination, which originally was reported by Tambekar [19]. As reported by Harwood et al. [44], E. coli, which is a gut bacterium of humans and animals, has been regarded as an indicator micro-organism for faecal contamination of ecological niches, including water bodies. The resistomes of *E. coli*, and other faecal bacteria, such as *E.* faecalis, from human and animal sources, disseminate resistance genes to antibiotic-sensitive environmental and subsequently human pathogenic bacteria [41, 45, 46]. Therefore, to identify the potential sources of such bacterial contaminants in local niches, MAR index-based studies are crucial [6, 7]. Alongside the Gram-positive enterococcal human pathogens, E. faecalis and E. faecium have also been recognized as faecal indicator bacteria in an aquatic environment [47]. Adefisoye and Okoh [17] demonstrated high MAR indices (0.33-0.35) among E. coli and Vibrio spp. isolates from wastewater treatment plants in Eastern Cape Province (South Africa) and suggested their (bacterial isolates) plausible origin from sources with high antibiotic contamination. Therefore, the current isolates of sewage wastewater bacteria (A. baumannii, P. putida, E. coli and E. faecalis) plausibly originated from niches with human faecal contamination and/or with high antibiotic pollution, as per the criteria explained by earlier authors [18-20].

Unsupervised anthropogenic as well as industrial action causes heavy metal accumulation in sewage where the natural bacterial populations come across the selection pressure of different heavy metals, which thus provide the basis for the emergence of heavy metal-resistant bacteria [2, 3, 48]. Abo-Amer et al. [30] isolated A. faecalis from sewage wastewater that showed multiple-heavy metal tolerance to Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Ag<sup>2+</sup> and Sn<sup>2+</sup> for which the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) ranged from 800-1400 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>. Mounaouer et al. [49] demonstrated that among the heavy-metal-tolerant Gram-positive (S. aureus) and Gram-negative (P. aeruginosa) bacterial isolates from wastewater samples, P. aeruginosa was found to be more resistant to a number of heavy metals (MIC based order of toxicity: Cu >Cr>Cd>Ni>Zn>Co), while the Gram-negative isolates harboured a single plasmid. Antibiogram analysis, as demonstrated by Kaur et al. [50], detected the development of antibiotic resistance to Am, Cp, chloramphenicol and ceftizoxime in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi alongside adaptation to cadmium after exposure to and intracellular accumulation of cadmium. As reported by Malik and Mleem [51], Pseudomonas spp. isolated from soil samples from agricultural field receiving irrigation including wastewater containing effluents from metal factories and domestic sewage harboured a plasmid encoding MAR to neomycin, cloxacillin and amoxicillin, and multiple heavy metal tolerance to Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Cr<sup>6+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>. The emergence of MDR and carbapenem-resistant P. putida is a current cause of concern linked to the difficulty of treating infections [52–54], and the isolates, containing transferable R-plasmids, act as a reservoir of antibiotic resistance [55, 56]. Durve et al. [57], following a plasmid curing study, reported plasmid (15-26kb)-mediated mercury resistance in a P. aeruginosa wastewater isolate showing resistance to multiple antibiotics. Antibiotic (Am-Cm-Ce-Cx-trimethoprim and Am-Cm-Tm-Tc-Cp-Gm) and heavy metal (Cd<sup>2+</sup>-Hg<sup>2+</sup>) co-resistance has been reported among river water bacteria as well as in clinical bacteria [6, 7]. Herein, the involvement of a  $\approx$ 54 kb plasmid conferring antibiotic and heavy metal resistances has been recorded among the isolated sewage wastewater bacteria.

In environmental conditions (soil and water), heavy metals undergo a slower degradation process compared to antibiotics, and the heavy metal concentrations are thus higher than the level of antibiotics in a given niche, ensuring the persistence of selection pressure for co-resistance to heavy metals and antibiotics among bacteria [3, 58-61], wherein the expression of certain protein molecules occurs due to heavy metal stresses. Durve et al. [57] demonstrated the induction of a set of proteins (bands with molecular sizes of 150, 70, 50, 30 and kDa) under heavy metal (mercury, arsenic, lead and cadmium) stressors on a wastewater isolate of P. aeruginosa, along with the induction of the 15 kDa protein with mercury exposure, and thus, an increase in protein concentration among the bacterial isolates was established via SDS-PAGE. Chatterjee *et al.* [62] detected the expression of  $\approx 29 \text{ kDa}$ protein by SDS-PAGE analysis in industrial effluent isolates of bacteria with lead stress (level of resistance to lead: 6 mM), while in the absence of lead a 35 kDa protein was expressed;

such heavy-metal-tolerant bacterial isolates showed resistance to single (streptomycin) and multiple (Am, Cm, rifampicin and streptomycin) antibiotics. As shown in Fig. 4, all E. coli strains (lanes 3-5), and E. faecalis ST5 (lane 6) and P. putida ST6 (lane 7) strains expressed two proteins (59.25 and 10.56 KDa) on exposure to  $Cd^{+2}$ , while the untreated control strains (lanes 8-10), E. coli ST3, E. faecalis ST5 and P. putida ST6, had a smaller protein band (10.56 KDa), and both the treated and untreated control strains of A. baumannii ST1 only had the 10.56 KDa protein. Micro-organisms essentially require certain heavy metals for normal physiological functioning in optimum concentrations, beyond which (in situations involving repeated exposure to such chemicals) all heavy metals (including their salts) are toxic to the microorganisms, including bacteria [63], and hence such microorganisms, in all possible niches, express some proteins in order to persist through the heavy metal stressors.

PCA has been an important multivariate statistical approach and could be applied in justifying the association between the antibiotic resistance and heavy metal tolerance of bacteria in the given niches. Wright et al. [64] explained the bacterial tolerance to the heavy metals and antibiotics by conducting PCA, wherein the PC1 (principal component 1) elucidated 84.9% of total variation, while Luo et al. [65] conducted PCA in explaining antibiotic resistance genes and heavy metal resistance genes among the microbial community. In the current study, PCA (Fig. 5) shows the distinctive alliances of six potential pathogenic bacteria isolated from the sewage wastewater, displaying the relationship between resistances to two environmental variables, antibiotics and heavy metals, among the bacterial isolates. A highly positive correlation between  $Cr^{6+}$  tolerance and Km resistance (Pearson's R=0.843) compared to Cr<sup>6+</sup> and Ip (Pearson's R=0.839) and Cr<sup>6+</sup> and Cf (R=0.825) was noted, while Cu<sup>2+</sup> tolerance was positively correlated to a pattern of 'Cf >Ip>Km' displaying Pearson's R values of 0.869, 0.867 and 0.848, respectively (Table 5). Fig. 5 show 60.14% of the total variance by F1, positively correlated to Km, Cf, Ip, Cr6+, Cu2+ and Cd2+ situated at quadrant I (P. putida ST6 strain), and to Gm, Pc, Tc, Nx and Cx in quadrant IV (A. baumannii ST1 and E. faecalis ST5 strains). The F1 was negatively correlated to Hg2+ and Cm in quadrants II (E. coli ST2, ST3 and ST4 strains) and III, respectively. The F2 factor (19.45% of the total variance) was positively correlated to Hg<sup>2+</sup> in quadrant II, and negatively correlated to Cm in quadrant III (Fig. 5); a poorly negative correlation was established between Cd and Pc (R=-0.056), as shown in Table 5. Two bacterial strains (Gram-positive E. faecalis ST5 strain and Gram-negative non-fermenting, P. putida ST6 strain) have been represented differently from other bacterial strains - fermenting (E. coli ST2, E. coli ST3 and E. coli ST4) as well as non-fermenting (A. baumannii ST1) – grouping with the cluster below the 4 units of the relative linkage distance according to their resistance properties (Fig. 6). The PCA performed with the resistance profiles of six sewage wastewater bacteria provided the recognition of three factors (F1, F2 and F3) with eigenvalues >1, demonstrating that the studied variables can plausibly be grouped into three

factors explicating 92.89% of the entire variance (Fig. 7). The rest of the factors (F4 and F5) account for a very little of the variability, and were thus inconsequential; similar events had been expressed by earlier authors [28, 66].

Thus, the Gram-negative (*E. coli*, *n*=3; *A. baumannii*, *n*=1; and *P. putida*) and Gram-positive (*E. faecalis*; *n*=1) clinically relevant bacteria that were isolated from the wastewater of a sewage system receiving various kinds of polluted effluents had high MAR indices, and the test bacteria had a single plasmid (≈54 kb) conferring multiple antibiotic resistances linked to the tolerance of a number of heavy metals  $(Hg^{+2})$ , Cd<sup>+2</sup>, Cr<sup>+6</sup> and Cu<sup>+2</sup>). The sewage wastewater might act as a reservoir of multiple-antibiotic-resistant bacteria and the R-plasmid contained in them, potentially causing the dissemination of MAR and heavy metal tolerance genes, impacting the environment as well as human health in this part of the globe. Hence, vigilance and regular monitoring of the R-plasmid in environmental settings are mandatory to minimize the global emergence and spread of bacterial multiple-antibiotic resistance.

#### Funding information

The authors received no specific grant from any funding agency.

#### Author contributions

M. M. designed the study, analysed data and co-wrote the paper; S. N. D. performed experimental research, including the work to meet the suggested reviews; S. M. designed the study, set and standardized the protocol, and discussed and wrote the paper.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Sommer MOA, Church GM, Dantas G, George MC, Gautam D. The human microbiome harbors a diverse reservoir of antibiotic resistance genes. *Virulence* 2010;1:299–303.
- Baker-Austin C, Wright MS, Stepanauskas R, McArthur JV. Co-selection of antibiotic and metal resistance. *Trends Microbiol* 2006;14:176–182.
- Eze E, Eze U, Eze C, Ugwu K. Association of metal tolerance with multidrug resistance among bacteria isolated from sewage. J Rural Trop Public Health 2006;8:25–29.
- Galvin S, Boyle F, Hickey P, Vellinga A, Morris D et al. Enumeration and characterization of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* bacteria in effluent from municipal, hospital, and secondary treatment facility sources. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010;76:4772–4779.
- Le T-H, Ng C, Chen H, Yi XZ, Koh TH et al. Occurrences and characterization of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and genetic determinants of hospital wastewater in a tropical country. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016;60:7449–7456.
- Das SN, Mandal M, Mandal S. Plasmid mediated antibiotic and heavy metal co-resistance in bacterial isolates from Mahananda river water (Malda, India). *Transl Med* 2016;6:185.
- Das SN, Mandal M, Mandal S. Detection of mercury and cadmium resistance among multiple antibiotic resistant enteric bacteria from municipal sewage water in Malda, India. Int Res J Pharm 2018;9:171–176.
- Das SN, Mandal M, Mandal S. Heavy metal tolerance in association with plasmid mediated multiple antibiotic resistances among clinical bacterial isolates. *Biosci Biotechnol Res Commun* 2018;11:612–618.
- Wright GD. The antibiotic resistome: the nexus of chemical and genetic diversity. Nat Rev Microbiol 2007;5:175–186.

- Zowawi HM, Harris PNA, Roberts MJ, Tambyah PA, Schembri MA et al. The emerging threat of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria in urology. Nat Rev Urol 2015;12:570–584.
- 11. Holt JG. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins; 1984.
- Forbes BA, Sahm DF, Bailey WAS. Scott's Diagnostic of Microbiology, 12th Edition. USA: Mosby (Elsevier); 2007.
- Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W, Scott SLW. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. J Comput Biol 2000;7:203–214.
- Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol 1966;45:493–496.
- CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *CLSI supplement M100*, 28th Ed. Wayne, Pa: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
- Nandi S, Mandal S. Bacteriological profiling of commercially available eye cosmetics and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern. *Transl Biomed* 2016;7:1–8.
- Adefisoye M, Okoh A. Ecological and public health implications of the discharge of multidrug-resistant bacteria and physicochemical contaminants from treated wastewater effluents in the eastern Cape, South Africa. *Water* 2017;9:562.
- Krumperman PH. Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escher-ichia coli* to identify high-risk sources of fecal contamination of foods. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1983;46:165–170.
- Tambekar DH, Hirulkar NB, Waghmare AS. Mar indexing to discriminate the source of faecal contamination in drinking water. *Nat Environ Poll Tech* 2005;4:525–528.
- Kaneene JB, Miller R, Sayah R, Johnson YJ, Gilliland D et al. Considerations when using discriminant function analysis of antimicrobial resistance profiles to identify sources of fecal contamination of surface water in Michigan. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007;73:2878–2890.
- Ismail INA, Noor HM, Muhamad HS, Radzi SM, Kader AJA et al. Protein produced by Lactobacillus plantarum ATCC 8014 during stress. World J Sci Technol Res 2013;1:174–181.
- Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 1970;227:680–685.
- Kado CI, Liu ST. Rapid procedure for detection and isolation of large and small plasmids. J Bacteriol 1981;145:1365–1373.
- 24. Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, cold Spring Harbor laboratory. *New York* 1982.
- Anjanappa M, Horbola PC. Elimination (curing) of R plasmids in *Salmonella gallinarum* and their transconjugants. *Ind Vet J* 1993;70:10–13.
- Mandal S, Deb Mandal M, Pal NK. Plasmid encoded UV resistance and UV induced ciprofloxacin resistance in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi. *Internat J Integrat Biol* 2008;2:43–48.
- 27. Jolliffe IT. Principal Component Analysis. New York: Springer; 1986.
- Rodríguez-Gómez F, Romero-Gil V, Bautista-Gallego J, Garrido-Fernández A, Arroyo-López FN. Multivariate analysis to discriminate yeast strains with technological applications in table olive processing. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2012;28:1761–1770.
- Jayaseelan T, Damodaran R, Ganesan S, Mani P. Biochemical characterization and 16S rRNA sequencing of different bacteria from textile dye effluents. *J. Drug Delivery Ther.* 2018;8:35–40.
- Abo-Amer AE, El-Shanshoury AE-RR, Alzahrani OM. Isolation and Molecular Characterization of Heavy Metal-Resistant *Alcaligenes faecalis* from Sewage Wastewater and Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles. *Geomicrobiol J* 2015;32:836–845.
- Alm EW, Zimbler D, Callahan E, Plomaritis E. Patterns and persistence of antibiotic resistance in faecal indicator bacteria from freshwater recreational beaches. J Appl Microbiol 2014;117:273–285.
- Harding CM, Hennon SW, Feldman MF. Uncovering the mechanisms of Acinetobacter baumannii virulence. Nat Rev Microbiol 2018;16:91–102.

- Kim SE, Park S-H, Park HB, Park K-H, Kim S-H et al. Nosocomial Pseudomonas putida Bacteremia: High Rates of Carbapenem Resistance and Mortality. Chonnam Med J 2012;48:91–95.
- Fernández M, Porcel M, de la Torre J, Molina-Henares MA, Daddaoua A et al. Analysis of the pathogenic potential of nosocomial Pseudomonas putida strains. Front Microbiol 2015;6:871.
- Fournier P-E, Vallenet D, Barbe V, Audic S, Ogata H et al. Comparative genomics of multidrug resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii. PLoS Genet 2006;2:e7.
- Kempf M, Rolain J-M. Emergence of resistance to carbapenems in Acinetobacter baumannii in Europe: clinical impact and therapeutic options. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2012;39:105–114.
- Kümmerer K. Resistance in the environment. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;54:311–320.
- Devarajan N, Köhler T, Sivalingam P, van Delden C, Mulaji CK et al. Antibiotic resistant *Pseudomonas* spp. in the aquatic environment: A prevalence study under tropical and temperate climate conditions. *Water Res* 2017;115:256–265.
- Blair JMA, Webber MA, Baylay AJ, Ogbolu DO, Piddock LJV. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2015;13:42–51.
- Adelowo 00, Vollmers J, Mäusezahl I, Kaster A-K, Müller JA. Detection of the carbapenemase gene bla<sub>VIM-5</sub> in members of the Pseudomonas putida group isolated from polluted Nigerian wetlands. Sci Rep 2018;8:15116.
- 41. Karkman A, Do TT, Walsh F, Virta MPJ. Antibiotic-resistance genes in waste water. *Trends Microbiol* 2018;26:220–228.
- Frigon D, Biswal BK, Mazza A, Masson L, Gehr R. Biological and physicochemical wastewater treatment processes reduce the prevalence of virulent *Escherichia coli. Appl Environ Microbiol* 2013;79:835–844.
- Odonkor ST, Addo KK. Prevalence of Multidrug-Resistant Escherichia coli Isolated from Drinking Water Sources. Int J Microbiol 2018;2018:1–.
- Harwood VJ, Staley C, Badgley BD, Borges K, Korajkic A. Microbial source tracking markers for detection of fecal contamination in environmental waters: relationships between pathogens and human health outcomes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2014;38:1–40.
- 45. Aminov RI. Horizontal gene exchange in environmental microbiota. *Front Microbiol* 2011;2:158.
- Tacão M, Moura A, Correia A, Henriques I. Co-resistance to different classes of antibiotics among ESBL-producers from aquatic systems. *Water Res* 2014;48:100–107.
- Fraser AS. 2018. Enterococcal infections. *Medscape*. https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/216993-print [accessed on December 13, 2018].
- Guardabassi L, Dalsgaard A. Occurrence and fate of antibiotic resistant bacteria in sewage. Danish E P Agency 2002;722:1–59.
- Mounaouer B, Nesrine A, Abdennaceur H, Achour N, Hassen A. Identification and characterization of heavy metal-resistant bacteria selected from different polluted sources. *Desalination Water Treat* 2014;52:7037–7052.
- Kaur UJ, Preet S, Rishi P. Augmented antibiotic resistance associated with cadmium induced alterations in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:12818.
- Malik A, Aleem A. Incidence of metal and antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas* spp. from the river water, agricultural soil irrigated with wastewater and groundwater. *Environ Monit Assess* 2011;178:293–308.
- Almuzara M, Radice M, de Gárate N, Kossman A, Cuirolo A et al. VIM-2-producing Pseudomonas putida, Buenos Aires. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13:668-669.
- Bennett JW, Herrera ML, Lewis JS, Wickes BW, Jorgensen JH. Kpc-2-Producing Enterobacter cloacae and Pseudomonas putida coinfection in a liver transplant recipient. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:292–294.

- Bogaerts P, Huang T-D, Rodriguez-Villalobos H, Bauraing C, Deplano A et al. Nosocomial infections caused by multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas putida* isolates producing VIM-2 and VIM-4 metallo-beta-lactamases. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;61:749–751.
- Molina L, Udaondo Z, Duque E, Fernández M, Molina-Santiago C et al. Antibiotic resistance determinants in a Pseudomonas putida strain isolated from a hospital. PLoS One 2014;9:e81604.
- 56. Yoshino Y, Kitazawa T, Kamimura M, Tatsuno K, Ota Y *et al. Pseudomonas putida* bacteremia in adult patients: five case reports and a review of the literature. *J Infect Chemother* 2011;17:278–282.
- Durve A, Naphade S, Bhot M, Varghese J, Chandra S. Plasmid curing and protein profiling of heavy metal tolerating bacterial isolates. Arch Appl Sci Res 2013;5:46–54.
- LG L, Xia Y, Zhang T. Co-Occurrence of antibiotic and metal resistance genes revealed in complete genome collection. *Internat Soc Microb Ecol* 2017;11:651–662.
- Di Cesare A, Eckert EM, D'Urso S, Bertoni R, Gillan DC et al. Co-Occurrence of integrase 1, antibiotic and heavy metal resistance genes in municipal wastewater treatment plants. Water Res 2016;94:208–214.

- Seiler C, Berendonk TU. Heavy metal driven co-selection of antibiotic resistance in soil and water bodies impacted by agriculture and aquaculture. *Front Microbiol* 2012;3:399.
- Stepanauskas R, Glenn TC, Jagoe CH, Tuckfield RC, Lindell AH et al. Elevated microbial tolerance to metals and antibiotics in metal-contaminated industrial environments. *Environ Sci Technol* 2005;39:3671–3678.
- Chatterjee S, Das J, Chatterjee S, Choudhuri P, Sarkar A. Isolation, characterization and protein profiling of lead resistant bacteria. *BMRJ* 2014;4:116–131.
- Lemire JA, Harrison JJ, Turner RJ. Antimicrobial activity of metals: mechanisms, molecular targets and applications. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2013;11:371–384.
- Wright MS, Loeffler Peltier G, Stepanauskas R, McArthur JV. Bacterial tolerances to metals and antibiotics in metal-contaminated and reference streams. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2006;58:293–302.
- 65. Luo G, Li B, Li L-G, Zhang T, Angelidaki I. Antibiotic resistance genes and correlations with microbial community and metal resistance genes in full-scale biogas reactors as revealed by metagenomic analysis. *Environ Sci Technol* 2017;51:4069–4080.
- Tuckfield RC, McArthur JV. Spatial analysis of antibiotic resistance along metal contaminated streams. *Microb Ecol* 2008;55:595–607.

#### Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

- 1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
- 2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
- 3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
- 4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as 'excellent' or 'very good'.
- 5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.