Polyester Vascular Graft Material and Risk for Intracavitary Thoracic Vascular Graft Infection¹

Tiziano A. Schweizer, Srikanth Mairpady Shambat, Vanina Dengler Haunreiter, Carlos A. Mestres, Alberto Weber, Francesco Maisano, Annelies S. Zinkernagel,² Barbara Hasse²

Prosthetic vascular graft infections of the thoracic aorta are rare but can be fatal. Our comparison of collagenand gelatin-coated grafts showed that collagen-coated grafts were associated with increased biofilm formation and bacterial adherence in vitro and with higher rates of perioperative vascular graft infections in vivo.

Prosthetic vascular graft infections (PVGIs) of the thoracic aorta occur in 1%–3% of patients, but lethality rates are >20% (1,2). Because of an aging population with multiple medical conditions, more vascular grafts are being implanted, resulting in more PVGIs. Infection often occurs during the perioperative period (3) as a consequence of inoculation with bacteria mostly originating from the patient's own skin flora. PVGIs are biofilm-associated infections in which the matrix around the bacteria impairs chances of treatment success (4). Hence, the primary aim is to prevent perioperative infections by identifying risk factors, such as type of prosthesis.

The few comparative studies reported have focused mostly on use of antibiotic-bonded grafts to reduce the risk for PVGIs in vitro and in vivo (5–7). However, lack of approval by regulatory authorities, reduced commercial availability, and lack of longterm follow-up data on infection-free survival should be considered (8–10). Furthermore, selection pressure from the use of topical antibiotics might lead to resistance. *Staphylococcus aureus* has been shown to colonize rifampin-bonded grafts 7 days after implantation (10). Hence, implanted grafts are usually coated with proteinaceous solutions only, allowing for quick

Author affiliations: University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland (T.A. Schweizer, S. Mairpady Shambat, V. Dengler Haunreiter, C.A. Mestres, F. Maisano, A.S. Zinkernagel, B. Hasse); HerzZentrum Hirslanden Zurich, Zurich (A. Weber)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2610.191711

integration into host tissue. We compared susceptibility of 2 graft materials to biofilm formation in vitro and rates of infections in vivo.

For our in vitro study, we compared the susceptibility of 2 thoracic vascular woven polyester grafts with different coatings-collagen (collagen graft, InterGard Hemabridge, https://www.getinge.com) and gelatin (gelatin graft, Terumo Aortic, Gelweave, https://terumoaortic.com)-to biofilm formation. The collagen graft is coated with a highly purified form of cross-linked bovine type 1 collagen. The gelatin graft is coated with a modified mammalian gelatin. Gelatin is derived mainly from type 1 collagen by heat denaturation, a process during which collagen loses its native triple helical structure. The resorption time for collagen is 4-8 weeks and for gelatin, 14 days. For our in vivo study, we investigated the rate of infections associated with the 2 grafts among prospective patients undergoing open-chest cardiac surgery at the University Hospital Zurich (Zurich, Switzerland).

The Study

For the in vitro experiments, we dissected the grafts into 5×5 mm square pieces and inoculated them with bacterial strains representing pathogens implicated in thoracic PVGI in our patient cohort. These were derived from either Vascular Graft Cohort Study (VASGRA) patients or laboratory strains (Appendix Table 1, Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/ EID/article/26/10/19-1711-App1.pdf) and maintained on Columbia blood agar plates (bioMérieux SA, https://www.biomerieux.com) and in tryptic

¹This work was presented in part at the Joint Meeting Club de Pathologie Infectieuse and Swiss Society of Microbiology Meeting, Bern, Switzerland, 2019 Feb 6; and at the International Society of Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases Symposium, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2019 Jun 2–4.

²These senior authors contributed equally to this article.

soy broth (Becton Dickinson, https://www.bd.com) at 37°C. Graft patches were incubated with bacteria in tryptic soy broth-glucose solution (glucose concentration 8 mmol/L) at 37°C for 72 h, and medium was exchanged every 24 h. The patches were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), sonicated at 44 khz, and the resulting optical density at a wavelength of 600 was measured in a microplate reader. All bacteria, apart from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain 2, showed increased biofilm formation on the collagen graft compared with the gelatin graft patches (Figure, panel A).

Biofilms of selected strains were stained with SYTO 9 of the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, https://www. thermofisher.com) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The graft patches were placed in 8-well microslides (ibidi, https://ibidi.com) and visualized by confocal laser scanning microscopy with a Leica TCS SP8 inverted microscope (https://www.leicamicrosystems.com) under a 63×/1.4 oil immersion objective. We selected 2 representative spots per graft patch, providing a stack of horizontally acquired images (512×512) pixels representing an area of 244.8 μ m × 244.8 μ m) with a z-step size of 0.12 μ m. We processed the obtained stacks by using Imaris 9.2.0 software (Bitplane; Oxford Instruments, https://imaris. oxinst.com/support/imaris-release-notes/9-2-0). Biofilm height and volume were determined as previously described (11). This approach illustrated the increased biofilm formation on collagen graft patches (Appendix Figure 2). Quantitative analysis from the

obtained confocal laser scanning microscopy images corroborated the initial findings because biofilm grown on collagen graft patches displayed increased total biofilm mass volume as well as maximal biofilm height (Figure, panel B).

One possible explanation for the increased susceptibility to biofilm formation could be the distinct coatings of the grafts. Hence, we coated well plates overnight at 4°C with either rat tail collagen 1 (10 μ g/mL; ThermoFisher Scientific) or type B gelatin solution (10 µg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, https://www. sigmaaldrich.com). The plates were incubated with bacteria at 37°C for 30 min. Bacteria were washed, stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Fluka; Sigma Aldrich, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com), solubilized in 95% ethanol, and the resulting optical density at 570 nm was measured. The tested strains adhered substantially better to collagen (Figure, panel C). Our findings are supported by studies demonstrating the potential of gram-positive bacteria to adhere to collagen, whereas only minor affinity was observed for gelatin (12,13).

To assess the effects of these findings in vivo, we analyzed 412 prospective participants with woven polyester grafts: 28 VASGRA participants in whom intracavitary thoracic PVGI developed and 384 contemporaneous open-chest cardiac surgery patients in whom PVGI did not develop (controls) (Table). Data and strains isolated from patients were used in accordance with Cantonal Ethics Committee approval KEK-ZH-Nr. 2012-0583. For statistical analyses with GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad



Figure. Increased susceptibility of collagen graft to biofilm formation compared with gelatin graft. Graft patches were inoculated with indicated bacterial strains for 72 h and analyzed quantitatively and qualitatively. A) Biofilm formation on the graft patches determined by optical density measurements. B) Total biofilm mass volume and maximal biofilm height, respectively, formed on the graft patches by the 3 clinical isolates—SA2, SE1, and EF— determined from the confocal laser scanning microscopy images with imaris software (https:// imaris.oxinst.com). C) Adherence assay to the 2 different coatings used by the grafts. The limit of reliable detection of the plate reader is indicated by the dashed line ($OD_{600nm} = 0.1$). All data represent mean \pm SD of 3 biological replicates performed in at least 2 technical replicates and were analyzed by using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, https://www.graphpad.com). The values above the graphs represent p values, calculated by using 2-way analysis of variance with the Sidak multiple comparison to determine statistical significance between the 2 graft types or coatings (panels A–C). EF, *Enterococcus faecalis*; OD_{600nm}, optical density at a wavelength of 600; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; PM, *Pasteurella multocida*; PVGI, prosthetic vascular graft infection; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; SE, S. *epidermidis*.

Software, https://www.graphpad.com), we used nonparametric tests (Fisher exact or Wilcoxon rank-sum, as appropriate). When normalized to the total number of control patients (n = 384 who had undergone the cardiac surgery but had no PVGI), the calculated percentage of intracavitary thoracic PVGI (n = 28 VASGRA patients who had undergone the cardiac surgery and had PVGI) was higher for patients in the collagen-graft (10.8%) versus the gelatin-graft group (3.52%; p<0.005).

Conclusions

We found more biofilm formation on collagencoated polyester vascular grafts than on gelatincoated grafts, possibly because the tested strains adhered substantially better to collagen than to

Table. Risk for early and late intracavitary thoracic PVGI among cardiac surgery patients with vascular woven polyester grafts coated	ł
with collagen or gelatin, Zurich, Switzerland*	

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Early thoracic PVGI,	Late thoracic PVGI,	Cardiac surgery,	
Demographic 0.27 Sex 3(20) 3(23) 54 (14) F 12 (80) 10 (77) 330 (86) Caucasian race 15 (100) 12 (82) 375 (88) 0.51 Age, y, median (IQR) 65 (54-66) 65 (51-68) 58 (49-67) 0.89 Risk factors 28 (23-28) 26 (23-28) 26 (24-29) 0.97 Smoking 6 (40) 6 (46) 150 (39) 0.69 Never 6 (40) 8 (62) 27 (72) 1.0 Achool consumption 4 (27) 3 (23) 58 (14) 0.71 Dyslipidemia 7 (47) 6 (46) 156 (51) 0.70 Cardiac events 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.41 Coegulopathy 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (10) 1.0 Diabetes mellitus 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.41 Cardiac events 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.41 Caedulopathy 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 (0) 6 (46) <td>Demographics and risk factors</td> <td>n = 15</td> <td>n = 13</td> <td>no PVGI, n = 384</td> <td>p value†</td>	Demographics and risk factors	n = 15	n = 13	no PVGI, n = 384	p value†
Sec. 0.27 M 3 (20) 3 (23) 54 (14) F 12 (80) 10 (77) 330 (86) Caucasian race 15 (100) 12 (82) 375 (98) 0.51 Age, y, median (IQR) 65 (64–68) 65 (51–68) 58 (49–67) 0.89 Risk factors 64(0) 6 (46) 150 (39) 0.69 Never 6 (40) 6 (46) 150 (39) 0.69 Former/current 9 (60) 7 (54) 234 (61) 0.69 Alcohol consumption 4 (27) 3 (23) 131 (34.0) 0.41 Hypertension 12 (80) 8 (62) 273 (72) 1.0 Diabetes mellitus 2 (13) 1 (8) 54 (14) 0.76 Cardiac events 8 (53) 7 (54) 173 (46) 0.41 Calguiphidemia 7 (47) 6 (46) 16 (10) 1.0 Maigmancy, 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (1.0) 1.0 0.62 Cardiac events 8 (53) 7 (54) 123 (60)	Demographic				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Sex				0.27
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Μ	3 (20)	3 (23)	54 (14)	
Caucasian race 15 (100) 12 (92) 375 (98) 0.51 Age, y, median (IQR) 65 (54-68) 65 (51-68) 58 (49-67) 0.89 Risk factors 27 (24-33) 26 (23-28) 26 (24-29) 0.97 Smoking 0.69 7 (54) 234 (61) 0.69 Never 6 (40) 6 (46) 150 (33) 50 (33) Former/current 9 (60) 7 (54) 234 (61) 0.41 Alcohol consumption 4 (27) 3 (23) 131 (34.0) 0.41 Hypertension 12 (80) 8 (62) 273 (72) 1.0 Diabetes melitius 2 (13) 1 (8) 54 (14) 0.78 Dyslipidemia 7 (47) 6 (46) 196 (51) 0.43 Cardiac events 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.41 Cardiac events 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.41 Cardiac events 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.41 Caujuopathy 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (10)	F	12 (8Ó)	10 (77)	330 (86)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Caucasian race	15 (Ì0Ó)	12 (92)	375 (98)	0.51
Risk factors D <thd< th=""> D <thd< th=""> <thd< td=""><td>Age, v. median (IQR)</td><td>65 (54–68)</td><td>65 (51–68)</td><td>58 (49–67)</td><td>0.89</td></thd<></thd<></thd<>	Age, v. median (IQR)	65 (54–68)	65 (51–68)	58 (49–67)	0.89
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Risk factors				
Smoking Ext (cf. cb.) Ext (cf. cb.) Ext (cf. cb.) 0.69 Never 6 (40) 6 (46) 150 (39) 1 Acobol consumption 4 (27) 3 (23) 131 (34.0) 0.41 Hypertension 12 (80) 8 (62) 273 (72) 1.0 Diabetes melitus 2 (13) 1 (8) 54 (14) 0.78 Dyslipidemia 7 (47) 6 (46) 196 (51) 0.70 Cardiac events 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.43 Coagulopathy 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (1.0) 1.0 Malignancy, 2 (1-5) 2 (1-4) 1 (1-3) 0.27 Index surgery 0 (0) 10 (0) 10 (1.0) 1.0 Malignancy, 4 (27) 5 (23) 223 (60) 0.004 Asymptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) 0.27 Index surgery 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) 0.88 Symptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 346 (90) 0.08	BMI kg/m ² median (IQR)	27 (24–33)	26 (23-28)	26 (24-29)	0.97
Naver 6 (40) 6 (46) 150 (39) 0.00 Former/current 9 (60) 7 (54) 234 (61) 4 (27) 3 (23) 131 (34.0) 0.41 Hypertension 12 (80) 8 (62) 273 (72) 1.0 Diabetes mellitus 2 (13) 1 (8) 54 (14) 0.78 Dysipidemia 7 (47) 6 (46) 196 (51) 0.70 Cardiac events 8 (53) 7 (54) 173 (45) 0.43 Caaguopathy 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (10) 1.0 Malignancy, 2 (1-5) 2 (1-4) 1 (1-3) 0.27 Dissection 7 (57) 6 (46) 154 (40) Aneurysm/pseudoaneurysm 8 (53) 7 (54) 230 (60) Symptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) Setting of index operation 0.004 Asymptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 10 (77) 164 (90) 0.001 Grade <111	Smoking		== (== ==)	()	0.69
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Never	6 (40)	6 (46)	150 (39)	0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Former/current	9 (60)	7 (54)	234 (61)	
Hypertension 1 (26) 8 (62) 10 (05) 6.11 Hypertension 12 (80) 8 (62) 273 (72) 1.0 Diabetes mellitus 2 (13) 1 (8) 54 (14) 0.78 Dyslpidemia 7 (47) 6 (46) 196 (51) 0.70 Cardiac events 8 (53) 7 (54) 173 (45) 0.43 Cerebrowascular events 3 (20) 3 (23) 58 (15) 0.41 Cogulopathy 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (1.0) 1.0 Malignancy, 2 (1-5) 2 (1-4) 1 (1-3) 0.27 Index surgery 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (1.0) 1.0 Dissection 7 (57) 6 (46) 154 (40) 0.04 Ansymptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) 0.04 Symptomatic 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) 0.04 Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) 0.05 Ask class at index operation 0.001 6 (154 -338) 0.23 0.001 Grade HII 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) 0.23 <td>Alcohol consumption</td> <td>4 (27)</td> <td>3 (23)</td> <td>131 (34.0)</td> <td>0.41</td>	Alcohol consumption	4 (27)	3 (23)	131 (34.0)	0.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Hypertension	12 (80)	8 (62)	273 (72)	1.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Diabetes mellitus	2 (13)	1 (8)	54 (14)	0.78
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Diabetes meintas	7 (13)	6 (46)	106 (51)	0.70
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} Carebrovascular events & 3 (20) & 1 (37) & 113 (57) & 0.43 \\ Carebrovascular events & 3 (20) & 3 (23) & 58 (15) & 0.41 \\ Coagulopathy & 0 (0) & 0 (0) & 4 (1.0) & 1.0 \\ Malignancy, & 4 (27) & 2 (15) & 69 (18) & 0.62 \\ Charlson Comorbidity Index score, median (IQR) & 2 (1-5) & 2 (1-4) & 1 (1-3) & 0.27 \\ \hline Index surgery & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Cardiac events	8 (53)	7 (54)	173 (45)	0.70
Construction S (20) S (21) S (10) S (10) <ths (10)<="" th=""> <ths (10)<="" th=""> <ths (1<="" td=""><td>Carobrovascular ovents</td><td>3 (20)</td><td>2 (22)</td><td>58 (15)</td><td>0.43</td></ths></ths></ths>	Carobrovascular ovents	3 (20)	2 (22)	58 (15)	0.43
Coordination 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (1.5) 1.0 Malignancy, Charlson Comorbidity Index score, median (IQR) 2 (1-5) 2 (1-4) 1 (1-3) 0.27 Index surgery 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 Dissection 7 (57) 6 (46) 154 (40) 0.004 Asymptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) 0.004 Symptomatic 9 (60) 7 (54) 230 (60) 0.004 Asymptomatic 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) 0.08 Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation <0.01	Cerebiovascular evenis	3(20)	3 (23)	$\frac{30(13)}{4(10)}$	1.0
Main larger 2 (1-3) 2 (1-3) 0 (1-3)	Maliananay	0 (0)	0(0)	4 (1.0)	1.0
Index scole, median (IdX) 2 (1-3) 2 (1-4) 1 (1-3) 0.27 Dissection 7 (57) 6 (46) 154 (40) 0.55 Symptoms at index operation 0.004 4 (27) 5 (23) 223 (58) 0.004 Symptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) 0.08 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) 0.08 Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation Grade <iii< td=""> 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Grade sell 7 (47) 3 (23) 163 (84-363) 206 (154-338) 0.23 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005</iii<>	Malighancy, Charleon Comerciativ Index seers, median (IOD)	4(27)	2(15)	09(10)	0.02
Index Surgery 0.55 Dissection 7 (57) 6 (46) 154 (40) Aneurysm/pseudoaneurysm 8 (53) 7 (54) 230 (60) Symptoms at index operation 0.004 Asymptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) Setting of index operation 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation Grade <111		2 (1-5)	Z (1–4)	1 (1–3)	0.27
Dissection 1 (57) 6 (46) 154 (40) Aneurysm/pseudoaneurysm 8 (53) 7 (54) 230 (60) Symptomatic 4 (27) 5 (23) 223 (58) Symptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) Setting of index operation 0.08 0.07 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) 0.08 Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) 0.001 ASA class at index operation 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) 0.005 Grade <iii< td=""> 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) 0.005 0.005 Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR) 174 (154–338) 163 (84–363) 206 (154–338) 0.23 Polyester vascular woven grafts 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Collagen graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) 0.005 PVGI 3 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms 0 5 (38) NA Colagulase-negative stap</iii<>	Index surgery	7 (57)	0 (40)	454 (40)	0.55
Aneurysm/pseudoaneurysm 8 (53) 7 (54) 250 (60) Symptomatic 4 (27) 5 (23) 223 (58) Symptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) Setting of index operation 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Grade <iii< td=""> 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Grade <iii< td=""> 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Grade >III 8 (53) 10 (77) 346 (90) Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR) 174 (154–338) 163 (84–363) 206 (154–338) 0.23 Polyester vascular woven grafts 0.01 (77) 346 (90) 0.23 0.05 Colagen graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.23 Gelatin graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) NA PVGI 0 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms 0 5 (38) NA Staphylococcus aureus 4 (27)<!--</td--><td>Dissection</td><td>7 (57)</td><td>6 (46)</td><td>154 (40)</td><td></td></iii<></iii<>	Dissection	7 (57)	6 (46)	154 (40)	
Symptoms at index operation 0.004 Asymptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) Setting of index operation 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation Grade ≤III 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Grade ≤III 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR) 174 (154–338) 163 (84–363) 206 (154–338) 0.23 Polyester vascular woven grafts 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 Collagen graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Gelatin graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) 100 (26) Assumed route NA NA NA NA Perioperative 15 (100) 0 NA NA Microorganisms 0 5 (38) NA NA Stephylococcus aureus 4 (27) 3 (23) NA NA Corugulase-negative staphylococci	Aneurysm/pseudoaneurysm	8 (53)	7 (54)	230 (60)	0.004
Asymptomatic 4 (27) 5 (23) 223 (58) Symptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) Setting of index operation 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation Grade <iii< td=""> 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Grade >III 8 (53) 10 (77) 346 (90) Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR) 174 (154–338) 163 (84–363) 206 (154–338) 0.23 Polyester vascular woven grafts 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Collagen graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Gelatin graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) PVGI NA NA Assumed route 0 8 (62) NA Contiguous 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms NA NA Staphylococcus aureus 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Contiguous 0</iii<>	Symptoms at index operation		= (00)	000 (70)	0.004
Symptomatic 9 (60) 10 (77) 161 (42) Setting of index operation 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation Grade <iii< td=""> 7 (47) 3 (23) 38 (10) Grade <iii< td=""> 8 (53) 10 (77) 346 (90) Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR) 174 (154–338) 163 (84–363) 206 (154–338) 0.23 Polyester vascular woven grafts 0.005 0 0.005 0.005 Gelatin graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Gelatin graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Gelatin graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Gelatin graft 11 (74) 9 (69) NA NA Perioperative 15 (100) 0 NA NA Contiguous 0 5 (38) NA NA C</iii<></iii<>	Asymptomatic	4 (27)	5 (23)	223 (58)	
Setting of index operation 0.08 Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation Grade <1II	Symptomatic	9 (60)	10 (77)	161 (42)	
Elective 9 (60) 7 (54) 284 (74) Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation Grade <1II	Setting of index operation				0.08
Urgent/emergency 6 (40) 6 (46) 100 (26) ASA class at index operation <0.001	Elective	9 (60)	7 (54)	284 (74)	
ASA class at index operation<0.001Grade \leq III7 (47)3 (23)38 (10)Grade >III8 (53)10 (77)346 (90)Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR)174 (154-338)163 (84-363)206 (154-338)0.23Polyester vascular woven grafts0.005000.005Collagen graft11 (74)9 (69)165 (39.5)0.005Gelatin graft4 (27)4 (31)219 (52.4)0PVGINANANANAPerioperative15 (100)0NAHematogenous05 (38)NANAContiguous05 (38)NANAMicroorganisms02 (13)1 (7.7)NAStaphylococcus aureus4 (27)0NANACoagulase-negative staphylococci2 (13)1 (7.7)NAEnterococcus faecalis02 (15)NAStreptococcus spp4 (27)0NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NA	Urgent/emergency	6 (40)	6 (46)	100 (26)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ASA class at index operation				<0.001
Grade >III 8 (53) 10 (77) 346 (90) Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR) 174 (154–338) 163 (84–363) 206 (154–338) 0.23 Polyester vascular woven grafts 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 Collagen graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Gelatin graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) PVGI Assumed route NA Perioperative 15 (100) 0 NA Hematogenous 0 8 (62) NA Contiguous 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms NA NA Staphylococcus aureus 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Staphylococcus spp 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Coagulase-negative staphylococci 2 (13) 1 (7.7) NA Enterococcus faecalis 0 2 (15) Streptococcus spp Guibacterium acnes 1 (7) 2 (15) NA Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1 (7) 0 NA Pasteurella multocida 1 (7) 0	Grade <u><</u> III	7 (47)	3 (23)	38 (10)	
Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR) 174 (154–338) 163 (84–363) 206 (154–338) 0.23 Polyester vascular woven grafts 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 Collagen graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) 0.005 Gelatin graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) 0.005 PVGI Assumed route NA NA Perioperative 15 (100) 0 NA Hematogenous 0 8 (62) NA Contiguous 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Staphylococcus aureus 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Coagulase-negative staphylococci 2 (13) 1 (7.7) NA Enterococcus faecalis 0 2 (15) Streptococcus spp 4 (27) 0 NA Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1 (7) 2 (15) NA Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1 (7) 0 NA Pasteurella multocida 1 (7) 0 NA NA <td>Grade >III</td> <td>8 (53)</td> <td>10 (77)</td> <td>346 (90)</td> <td></td>	Grade >III	8 (53)	10 (77)	346 (90)	
Polyester vascular woven grafts0.005Collagen graft11 (74)9 (69)165 (39.5)Gelatin graft4 (27)4 (31)219 (52.4)PVGINAAssumed routeNAPerioperative15 (100)0NAHematogenous08 (62)NAContiguous05 (38)NAMicroorganismsNANAStaphylococcus aureus4 (27)3 (23)NACoagulase-negative staphylococci2 (13)1 (7.7)NAEnterococcus faecalis02 (15)Streptococcus sppStreptococcus spp4 (27)0NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NACulture penative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min, median (IQR)	174 (154–338)	163 (84–363)	206 (154–338)	0.23
Collagen graft 11 (74) 9 (69) 165 (39.5) Gelatin graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) PVGI NA Assumed route NA Perioperative 15 (100) 0 NA Hematogenous 0 8 (62) NA Contiguous 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms NA NA Staphylococcus aureus 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Coagulase-negative staphylococci 2 (13) 1 (7.7) NA Enterococcus faecalis 0 2 (15) Streptococcus spp 4 (27) 0 NA Cutlibacterium acnes 1 (7) 2 (15) NA Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1 (7) 0 NA Pasteurella multocida 1 (7) 0 NA NA NA	Polyester vascular woven grafts				0.005
Gelatin graft 4 (27) 4 (31) 219 (52.4) PVGI Assumed route NA Perioperative 15 (100) 0 NA Hematogenous 0 8 (62) NA Contiguous 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms 0 5 (38) NA Staphylococcus aureus 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Coagulase-negative staphylococci 2 (13) 1 (7.7) NA Enterococcus faecalis 0 2 (15) Streptococcus spp Gutibacterium acnes 1 (7) 2 (15) NA Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1 (7) 0 NA Pasteurella multocida 1 (7) 0 NA	Collagen graft	11 (74)	9 (69)	165 (39.5)	
PVGI NA Assumed route 15 (100) 0 NA Perioperative 15 (100) 0 NA Hematogenous 0 8 (62) NA Contiguous 0 5 (38) NA Microorganisms NA NA Staphylococcus aureus 4 (27) 3 (23) NA Coagulase-negative staphylococci 2 (13) 1 (7.7) NA Enterococcus faecalis 0 2 (15) Streptococcus spp Gutibacterium acnes 1 (7) 2 (15) NA Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1 (7) 0 NA Pasteurella multocida 1 (7) 0 NA	Gelatin graft	4 (27)	4 (31)	219 (52.4)	
Assumed routeNAPerioperative $15(100)$ 0NAHematogenous0 $8(62)$ NAContiguous0 $5(38)$ NAMicroorganisms V NA NAStaphylococcus aureus $4(27)$ $3(23)$ NACoagulase-negative staphylococci $2(13)$ $1(7.7)$ NAEnterococcus faecalis0 $2(15)$ NAStreptococcus spp $4(27)$ 0 NAPseudomonas aeruginosa $1(7)$ 0 NAPasteurella multocida $1(7)$ 0 NACulture perative $2(44)$ $3(23)$ NA	PVGI				
Perioperative $15(100)$ 0NAHematogenous0 $8(62)$ NAContiguous0 $5(38)$ NAMicroorganisms V NA Staphylococcus aureus $4(27)$ $3(23)$ NACoagulase-negative staphylococci $2(13)$ $1(7.7)$ NAEnterococcus faecalis0 $2(15)$ VA Streptococcus spp $4(27)$ 0NACuttibacterium acnes $1(7)$ $2(15)$ NAPseudomonas aeruginosa $1(7)$ 0NACulture perative $2(44)$ $3(23)$ NA	Assumed route				NA
Hematogenous08 (62)NAContiguous05 (38)NAMicroorganismsNANAStaphylococcus aureus4 (27)3 (23)NACoagulase-negative staphylococci2 (13)1 (7.7)NAEnterococcus faecalis02 (15)Streptococcus spp4 (27)0Streptococcus spp4 (27)0NACutibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NACutlure perative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Perioperative	15 (100)	0	NA	
Contiguous05 (38)NAMicroorganismsNAStaphylococcus aureus4 (27)3 (23)NACoagulase-negative staphylococci2 (13)1 (7.7)NAEnterococcus faecalis02 (15)Streptococcus spp4 (27)0NACutlibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAQuilture perative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Hematogenous	Û Í	8 (62)	NA	
MicroorganismsNAStaphylococcus aureus4 (27)3 (23)NACoagulase-negative staphylococci2 (13)1 (7.7)NAEnterococcus faecalis02 (15)Streptococcus spp4 (27)0NACutlibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NANANACutlure perative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Contiguous	0	5 (38)	NA	
Staphylococcus aureus4 (27)3 (23)NACoagulase-negative staphylococci2 (13)1 (7.7)NAEnterococcus faecalis02 (15)Streptococcus spp4 (27)0NACutibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NACutiture perative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Microorganisms				NA
Coagulase-negative staphylococci2 (13)1 (7.7)NAEnterococcus faecalis02 (15)Streptococcus spp4 (27)0NACutibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NACutiture perative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Staphylococcus aureus	4 (27)	3 (23)	NA	
Enterococcus faecalis02 (15)Streptococcus spp4 (27)0NACutibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NACulture perative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Coagulase-negative staphylococci	2(13)	1 (7 7)	NA	
Streptococcus spp4 (27)0NACutibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NACulture pegative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Enterococcus faecalis	0	2 (15)		
Cutibacterium acnes1 (7)2 (15)NAPseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)0NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NACutture pegative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Streptococcus spp	4 (27)	_ (10)	NA	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa1 (7)2 (10)NAPasteurella multocida1 (7)0NACulture pegative2 (14)3 (23)NA	Cutibacterium acnes	1(7)	2 (15)	NA	
Pasteurella multocida 1 (7) 0 NA Culture pegative 2 (14) 3 (23) NA	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1 (7)	0	NΔ	
	Pasteurella multocida	1 (7)	0	NΔ	
	Culture negative	2 (14)	3 (23)	NA	

*Values are no. (%) unless otherwise indicated. ASA, American Society of Anesthesiology; BMI, body mass index; IQR, interquartile range; NA, not applicable; PVGI, prosthetic vascular graft infection.

+p values from Fisher exact test (categorical variables) and Wilcoxon rank-sum test (continuous variables) comparing patients with PVGI (early and late combined) and patients without PVGI.

gelatin. When we analyzed prospective patients with PVGI and contemporary controls, the percentage of PVGI associated with collagen-coated grafts was also higher.

The strengths of our study include use of patientderived strains of bacteria and the prospective collection of patients with incident PVGIs and controls. Our study has some limitations. First, it was a single-center study, resulting in low patient numbers, and the role of the graft material as a risk factor for PVGI is difficult to prove because of the rarity of the infection. Furthermore, data for patients with PVGI have to be interpreted with caution because some patients have additional foreign material in the heart. Second, publicly available information on the exact type of coating as well as the application procedures used is lacking. In addition, the experiments were performed in a static experimental setup instead of a flow chamber. However, because we were interested in direct bacterial adherence to the graft material, simulating a scenario in which infection would occur as consequence of unintentional inoculation during the perioperative period, we believe that the setup used is adequate. We did not reproduce a gram-positive and gram-negative mixed biofilm formation experiment because a monomicrobial setup enabled us to determine which material and coating was more susceptible to bacterial adherence in a more controllable fashion.

In conclusion, biofilm formation was increased on collagen-coated vascular grafts compared with gelatin-coated grafts in vitro. As opposed to another risk factor analysis from the VASGRA study (3), in our study, the graft material was associated with the PVGI rate. Parameters such as vascularization potential, secure pseudointima growth, and reduced thrombogenicity are perceived as affecting successful integration and functionality of prosthetic vascular grafts (14). Further parameters should be considered in the future design and development of vascular prostheses to reduce the emerging trend of PVGI.

Members of the VASGRA Cohort Study (in alphabetical order): A. Anagnostopoulos, B. Hasse, N. Eberhard, M. Hoffmann, L. Husmann, D. Jaeger, R. Kopp, B. Ledergerber, C. A. Mestres, Z. Rancic, R. Zbinden, A. Zimmermann, A. S. Zinkernagel.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to our patients for their participation in the study. We thank study nurses Caroline Mueller and Simone Bürgin, and we thank Christine Laich and Christine Voegtli for administrative assistance. Confocal imaging was performed with the support of the Center for Microscopy and Image Analysis, University of Zurich. Data included in this publication are part of a master thesis at the Faculty of Science, University of Zurich (T.A.S.).

This study was financed within the framework of VASGRA, supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant 320030_184918/1 (to B.H.) and 31003A_176252 (to A.S.Z.). This work was also supported by the Clinical Research Priority Program of the University of Zurich for the Clinical Research Priority Program Precision Medicine for Bacterial Infections. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

B.H. and A.S.Z. designed the study. T.A.S. and S.M.S. performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the first draft. All authors contributed to data collection and interpretation of the data, reviewed drafts of the manuscript, wrote the final version of the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript.

About the Author

Mr. Schweizer is a PhD student at the Department of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology at the University Hospital of Zurich. His primary research interests include bacterial and fungal chronic infections as well as the resulting host-pathogen interactions.

References

- Hasse B, Husmann L, Zinkernagel A, Weber R, Lachat M, Mayer D. Vascular graft infections. Swiss Med Wkly. 2013;143:w13754.
- Wilson WR, Bower TC, Creager MA, Amin-Hanjani S, O'Gara PT, Lockhart PB, et al. Vascular graft infections, mycotic aneurysms, and endovascular infections: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2016;134:e412-60. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIR.000000000000457
- Anagnostopoulos A, Ledergerber B, Kuster SP, Scherrer AU, Naf B, Greiner MA, et al. Inadequate perioperative prophylaxis and postsurgical complications after graft implantation are important risk factors for subsequent vascular graft infections – prospective results from the VASGRA cohort study. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;69:621–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy956
- Costerton JW, Cheng KJ, Geesey GG, Ladd TI, Nickel JC, Dasgupta M, et al. Bacterial biofilms in nature and disease. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1987;41:435–64. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.mi.41.100187.002251
- Aboshady I, Raad I, Vela D, Hassan M, Aboshady Y, Safi HJ, et al. Prevention of perioperative vascular prosthetic infection with a novel triple antimicrobial-bonded arterial graft. J Vasc Surg. 2016;64:1805–14. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jvs.2015.09.061
- 6. Osińska-Jaroszuk M, Ginalska G, Belcarz A, Uryniak A. Vascular prostheses with covalently bound gentamicin and

DISPATCHES

amikacin reveal superior antibacterial properties than silver-impregnated ones – an in vitro study. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2009;38:697–706. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ejvs.2009.09.003

- Ricco JB, Assadian A, Schneider F, Assadian O. In vitro evaluation of the antimicrobial efficacy of a new silver-triclosan vs a silver collagen-coated polyester vascular graft against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Vasc Surg. 2012;55:823–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jvs.2011.08.015
- Berard X, Stecken L, Pinaquy JB, Cazanave C, Puges M, Pereyre S, et al. Comparison of the antimicrobial properties of silver impregnated vascular grafts with and without triclosan. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2016;51:285–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2015.10.016
- Goëau-Brissonnière OA, Fabre D, Leflon-Guibout V, Di Centa I, Nicolas-Chanoine M-H, Coggia M. Comparison of the resistance to infection of rifampin-bonded gelatinsealed and silver/collagen-coated polyester prostheses. J Vasc Surg. 2002;35:1260–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0741-5214(02)67047-8
- Schmacht D, Armstrong P, Johnson B, Pierre K, Back M, Honeyman A, et al. Graft infectivity of rifampin and silver-bonded polyester grafts to MRSA contamination. Vasc Endovascular Surg. 2005;39:411–20. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/153857440503900505

- 11. Turonova H, Briandet R, Rodrigues R, Hernould M, Hayek N, Stintzi A, et al. Biofilm spatial organization by the emerging pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni*: comparison between NCTC 11168 and 81-176 strains under microaerobic and oxygen-enriched conditions. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:709. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00709
- Carret G, Emonard H, Fardel G, Druguet M, Herbage D, Flandrois JP. Gelatin and collagen binding to *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Ann Inst Pasteur Microbiol (1985). 1985; 136A:241–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0769-2609(85)80063-6
- Elasri MO, Thomas JR, Skinner RA, Blevins JS, Beenken KE, Nelson CL, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* collagen adhesin contributes to the pathogenesis of osteomyelitis. Bone. 2002;30:275–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S8756-3282(01)00632-9
- Drury JK, Ashton TR, Cunningham JD, Maini R, Pollock JG. Experimental and clinical experience with a gelatin impregnated Dacron prosthesis. Ann Vasc Surg. 1987;1:542–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0890-5096 (06)61437-4

Address for correspondence: Barbara Hasse, Department of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, Raemistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland; email: barbara.hasse@usz.ch

EID Podcast Tickborne Ehrlichia in North Carolina

While caring for patients in North Carolina,
Dr. Ross Boyce began to suspect that tickborne
Ehrlichia was being underdiagnosed. His study
showed that Ehrlichia, despite being relatively
common, was only tested for in about a third of
patients thought to have a tickborne illness.

In this EID podcast, Dr. Ross Boyce, an infectious disease physician at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, examines the prevalence and diagnosis of *Ehrlichia* in North Carolina.

Visit our website to listen: EMERGING https://go.usa.gov/xy6UH INFECTIOUS DISEASES