

Citation: Adachi H, Nakayama K, Hayashi N, Matsui Y, Fujimoto K, Yamaya H, et al. (2016) Adiponectin Fractions Influence the Development of Posttransplant Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease in Japanese Renal Transplant Recipients. PLoS ONE 11(10): e0163899. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899

Editor: Tatsuo Shimosawa, The University of Tokyo, JAPAN

Received: July 12, 2016

Accepted: September 18, 2016

Published: October 5, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Adachi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files

Funding: This study was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; (C) no. 25461237, (B) no. 24406029, and (B) no. 2530502. This study was also supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Intractable Renal Diseases Research, Research on rare and intractable diseases, Health and Labour Sciences Research **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Adiponectin Fractions Influence the Development of Posttransplant Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease in Japanese Renal Transplant Recipients

Hiroki Adachi¹*, Kanae Nakayama¹, Norifumi Hayashi¹, Yuki Matsui¹, Keiji Fujimoto¹, Hideki Yamaya¹, Hisao Tonami², Hitoshi Yokoyama¹

Department of Nephrology, Kanazawa Medical University School of Medicine, Uchinada, Ishikawa, Japan,
Department of Radiology, Kanazawa Medical University School of Medicine, Uchinada, Ishikawa, Japan

* m5240a@kanazawa-med.ac.jp

Abstract

Background

A few studies have investigated the role of adiponectin fraction for cardiovascular disease (CVD) in RTx recipients.

Subjects and Methods

We studied 57 adult subjects (39 males, 18 females; 10 cadaveric donors) with at least three years of allograft survival (median 251 months). We examined clinical backgrounds such as treated drugs, blood pressure (BP, mmHg), body mass index (BMI), and blood chemistry including cholesterol (total, LDL-C, HDL-C), glucose, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), and serum high and low-molecular-weight (HMW/LMW) ADPN fractions with regard to the associations of the visceral and subcutaneous fat areas on CT scan. We also analyzed the associations of CVD and post-transplant diabetes (PTDM) with ADPN fractions and the fat areas.

Results

The visceral fat area was inversely correlated with serum HMW and LMW ADPN levels and HMW ADPN ratio (r = -0.400, p = 0.002 and r = -0.296, p = 0.025 and r = -0.444, p<0.001, respectively). Furthermore, the visceral fat area was positively with the LMW ADPN ratio (r = 0.467, p<0.001), but no significant correlation was noted between the subcutaneous fat area and the ADPN ratio. On multiple regression analysis, eGFR and the visceral fat area were significant reducing factors of HMW ADPN levels, and the alteration of eGFR was identified as an increasing factor of HMW ADPN levels. Patients with CVD had larger visceral fat area (p = 0.004), lower HMW ADPN ratio (p = 0.022) and higher LMW ADPN ratio (p = 0.049). In addition, the higher HMW ADPN ratio and statin treatment were identified as reducing factors of the development of CVD, but the LDL-C level was an aggravating factor.



and by grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (HY). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Moreover, the higher LMW ADPN ratio and the visceral fat area were aggravating factors of PTDM.

Conclusion

Even in Japanese renal transplant recipients, visceral fat area and ADPN fractions were significant factors for the development of both CVD and PTDM.

Introduction

The causes of chronic renal graft failure have been roughly classified into immunological and non-immunological, with dyslipidemia, which belongs to the latter, influencing renal graft function. From the viewpoint of chronic kidney disease (CKD), complications of the cardiovascular system following kidney transplantation have a strong impact on the prognosis of renal graft recipients, for whom the factors constituting metabolic syndrome (obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia) as well as transplantation-related immunosuppressors, the state of CKD, proteinuria, and anemia are also important[1, 2].

Adiponectin (ADPN) is mainly produced and subsequently secreted by the adipocytes in white and brown adipose tissues. ADPN has been reported to improve insulin sensitivity and exert anti-diabetic, anti-arteriosclerotic, and anti-inflammatory effects [3]. Reductions in ADPN plasma levels promote arteriosclerotic cardiovascular events, hypertension, and dyslipidemia^[4]. However, ADPN levels are high in CKD patients, indicating that ADPN is metabolized in the kidney [5]. Martinez et al. compared end-stage renal disease patients with a normal renal function group, and suggested that ADPN mRNA and protein expression in adipose tissues is enhanced in renal failure patients due not only to renal hypofunction-induced reductions in metabolism, but also the increased production of ADPN in adipose tissues [6]. Regarding ADPN, a previous study reported that its high-molecular-weight (HMW) dodecamer and 18-mer, but not its monomer or trimer were closely associated with the prevention of coronary arterial disease, weight loss effects, and improved insulin resistance [7]. It has been clinically shown that a reduction in ADPN is a useful marker for the future development of diabetes [8], and is also a predictive marker of cardiovascular disease (CVD) [9]. However, the relationships between post-transplant diabetes mellitus (PTDM) and CVD and the ADPN fraction in renal transplant patients have not yet been examined.

Otherwise, a number of clinical epidemiological studies have reported that inflammatory markers, such as high-sensitivity CRP(C-reactive protein), tumor necrosis factor(TNF- α), and interleukin-6(IL-6), are associated with insulin resistance and visceral fat accumulation [10], and an inverse correlation has been detected between ADPN levels and the visceral fat area (VFA) [11]. However, the relationships between fat areas and the ADPN fraction, PTDM, and CVD in renal transplant patients currently remain unknown. In the present study, the serum lipid markers, including the ADPN fraction, were measured and their relationship with renal graft function. VFA and the subcutaneous fat area (SFA) were also measured on CT to investigate their relationships with serum lipid markers, PTDM, and CVD. The results obtained demonstrated that serum ADPN is associated with PTDM and CVD.

Subjects and Methods

Our subjects comprised 57 patients (39 males and 18 females) who had undergone renal transplantation at Kanazawa Medical University Hospital and in whom the transplant had been engrafted for 3 years or longer at the initiation of observations in 2004, serum creatinine levels were 6 mg/dL or lower, and engraftment persisted until 2012. The causes of renal failure in the transplant patients were chronic glomerulonephritis (n = 49), reflux nephropathy(n = 3), hypoplasia(n = 2), unknown etiology(n = 3).

Our study didn't include vulnerable populations include prisoners, subjects with reduced mental capacity due to illness or age, and children. In addition, We used only blood and radio-logical sample in this study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kanazawa Medical University (Kanazawa Medical University Epidemiological Study Review No. 240). All patients provided written informed consent. This study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and Istanbul.

Clinical findings and laboratory data

The influencing factors investigated were age at transplantation, sex, living donor or cadaver renal transplant, the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) concordance rate, time after transplantation, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) at the initiation of observations, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, total cholesterol (T chol), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), non HDL-C (= T chol—HDL-C), anti-diabetic drugs, immunosuppressive drugs, statins, anti-hypertensive drugs, hypoglycemic agents including insulin, the serum ADPN fraction (HMW, middle- (MMW), and low-molecular-weight (LMW) ADPN), and VFA and SFA. The items investigated were the relationships between serum ADPN levels and eGFR and between VFA and SFA and the serum ADPN level and fraction, as well as the factors influencing the development of PTDM and CVD.

CVD was defined as cases meeting the criteria of ischemic heart or coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular disease, and diseases of the aorta and arteries proposed by the WHO.

Measurement methods

Serum creatinine was analyzed using an enzymatic method with the Hitachi creatinine autoanalyzer model 7170 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) and an enzyme solution (Preauto-SCrE-N; Daiichi Pure Chemicals Co., Tokyo, Japan). T chol, LDL-C and HDL-C were measured by direct enzymatic assays using an automatic analyzer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Serum total, HMW, MMW, and LMW ADPN were measured using a sensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (SEKISUI MEDICAL Co., Tokyo, Japan). Renal function was evaluated based on eGFR. eGFR (= 194 x SCr^{-1.094} x age^{-0.287} x 0.739 for females, ml/min/1.73m²) was calculated based on the patients' serum Cr levels, as described previously [12].

As indices of obesity, the total fat area, SFA, and VFA of the trunk were measured setting the baselines to those in the umbilical-level cross-section in a supine position on computed tomography (CT). VFA (cm²) and SFA (cm²) were calculated from this image.

Statistical analysis

All continuous variables were presented as the median and interquartile range. The Mann-Whitney test was used for comparisons between donor types and also the sexes. The relationships between lipid markers and the serum ADPN fraction, VFA, and SFA were evaluated using Spearman's correlation coefficient. In order to compare serum ADPN between obese and non-obese patients, the relationships between the development of PTDM and fat areas and serum ADPN and also between CVD and fat areas and serum ADPN were evaluated using the Mann-Whitney test. The factors influencing the HMW ADPN level and its ratio were analyzed using a multiple regression analysis. The factors influencing the development of PTDM and CVD were subjected to a multiple logistic regression analysis. Stat Flex Version 6 (Artech Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was used as the statistical analysis software.

Results

Clinical background

Our subjects comprised 57 renal transplant patients (39 males and 18 females; 47 living donors and 10 cadaveric donors, in Table 1). Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were 126 (120–132) and 76 (70–84) mmHg, respectively, being stable, with 51 subjects (89%) meeting the target blood pressure (systolic blood pressure lower than 140 mmHg). Regarding cholesterol, LDL-C was 120 mg/dL or lower in 41 (72%), while HDL-C was 40 mg/dL or higher in 56 (98%). Thirty-three subjects (58%) were being treated with pravastatin or atorvastatin. As concern to the donor types, the age at transplantation was higher in the cadaver transplant group (p<0.01), while the post-transplant follow-up period was longer in the living donor transplant group (p<0.01). No significant differences in the serum ADPN level or fat areas. Regarding drugs, calcineurin inhibitors were frequently used in the cadaver transplant group, while oral anti-diabetic agents were frequently used in the living donor transplant group (p<0.01 and p<0.01, respectively).

Comparisons between the genders are shown in Table 2. The post-transplant period was longer in males (p<0.05). LDL-C and the LDL-C/HDL-C ratio were higher in males (p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively), while HDL-C was higher in females (p<0.05). Although no significant difference was noted in the serum ADPN level, it was slightly higher in females. SFA was higher in females (p<0.05).

Relationship between eGFR and ADPN in renal graft recipients

The relationship between renal graft recipients and serum ADPN levels is shown in Fig 1. HMW and LMW ADPN levels inversely correlated with eGFR (1A, 1B), whereas no correlation with eGFR was noted for either the HMW or LMW ADPN ratio (1C, 1D).

Relationships between serum lipid markers and ADPN and fat areas in renal graft recipients

The relationships between serum lipid marker levels and fat areas in renal graft recipients are shown in Table 3. VFA positively correlated with LDL-C, the LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, and non HDL-C levels (r = 0.376, p = 0.004; r = 0.453, p < 0.001; and r = 0.456, p < 0.001; respectively), and inversely correlated with HDL-C (r = -0.285, p = 0.032). VFA inversely correlated with HMW and LMW ADPN levels (r = -0.400, p = 0.002 and r = -0.296, p = 0.025, respectively), as did SFA (r = -0.319, p = 0.015 and r = -0.386, p = 0.003, respectively). VFA also inversely correlated with the HMW ADPN ratio, whereas it positively correlated with the LMW ADPN ratio (r = -0.444, p < 0.001 and r = 0.467, p < 0.001, respectively). SFA did not correlate with either ratio.

Our subjects were divided into those who were and were not obese based on the definition of obesity in Japanese individuals (100 cm² or higher VFA), and ADPN levels and ratios were compared. HMW ADPN and its ratio were lower in obese subjects (p<0.029 and p<0.021, respectively), whereas no significant difference was noted in LMW ADPN levels or its ratio (Fig 2).

Table 1. Characteristics of study subjects in transplants.

Variable	Total	Living	Cadaveric
N	57	47	10
Gender male: female	39:18	34:13	5:5
Age at transplantation, year	32.0(25.0–36.0)	29.0(22.8–35.0)	43.0(38.0–49.0)**
HLA mismatches, median (range)			
HLA-A/B	2(0-4)	2(0-4)	2(0-4)
HLA-DR	1(0–2)	1(0-2)	0(0–2)
Time after Tx, month	257(188–333)	266(204–344)	186(166–205)**
BMI	21.2(19.1–22.9)	21.2(19.0–23.7)	21.3(19.1–23.7)
Blood pressure, mmHg			
Systolic	126(120–132)	126(119–132)	126(124–128)
Diastolic	76(70–84)	76(70–84)	70(68–84)
eGFR at 2004 (ml/min)	55.2(43.5-68.6)	54.8(42.8–66.1)	60.2(53.5–71.7)
eGFR at 2008 (ml/min)	47.7(37.8–57.8)	44.6(38.1–57.3)	58.6(51.0–68.7)
eGFR at 2012 (ml/min)	44.8(32.5–58.5)	42.1(32.1–57.9)	57.3(43.2–66.4)
ΔeGFR (ml/min)	-2.8(-7.9–1.4)	-2.9(-8.2–1.3)	-2.4(-7.8–1.3)
Blood glucose (mg/dl)	101.0(90.8–117.5)	100.0(89.0–114.5)	110.5(100.0–128.0)
LDL-C mg/dl	104.0(86.8–123.5)	104(91.3–125)	88.5(75.0–119.0)
HDL-C mg/dl	63.0(53.0-81.8)	62.0(53-83.3)	69.5(57.0–79.0)
LDL-C/HDL-C ratio	1.68(1.19–2.15)	1.7(1.2–2.1)	1.4(0.84–2.43)
Triglyceride	146.0(101.8–208.5)	153(106–220)	314.0(101.0–167.0)
Total ADPN at 2012 (µg/ml)	10.1(6.5–14.9)	9.5(6.3–14.5)	11.3(6.6–15.9)
HMW ADPN(µg/ml)	3.8(1.7–6.9)	3.6(1.8–6.8)	5.8(1.6–7.8)
MMW ADPN(µg/ml)	1.5(1.0–2.6)	1.5(1.1–2.6)	1.6(0.9–2.5)
LMW ADPN(µg/ml)	4.3(3.2–5.3)	4.3(3.2–5.3)	4.4(2.9–5.9)
HMW ADPN ratio (%)	40.6(29.1–48.1)	39.9(30.3–47.4)	46.7(24.6–52.8)
LMW ADPN ratio (%)	42.5(34.9–51.9)	43.9(34.8–51.7)	38.7(35.2–61.1)
Visceral fat area (cm ²)	91.8(64.3–153.9)	82.3(60.1–133.4)	104.8(76.8–222.9)
Subcutaneous fat area (cm2)	96.2(57.3–131.4)	92.4(63.3–131.5)	15.1(46.9–142.1)
Therapeutic agents (Drug use, %)			
Immunosuppressive drugs			
Steroids	57(100%)	47(100%)	10(100%)
Antimetabolites	53(93%)	44(93%)	9(90%)
Calcineurin inhibitors	41(72%)	31(66%)	10(100%)*
Antihypertensive drugs			
ACEi/ARB	48(84%)	41(87%)	7(70%)
Antidiabetic drugs	27(47%)	22(47%)	5(50%)
Insulin	1(2%)	1(2%)	0(0%)
Oral antidiabetic drugs	8(14%)	8(17%)	0(0%)*
Statins	37(64%)	26(55%)	7(70%)
Cardiovascular disease	11(19%)	9(19%)	2(20%)
Angina pectoris	9(16%)	7(15%)	2(20%)
Aortic aneurysm	2(4%)	2(4%)	0(0%)
Cerebral infarction	(1)(2%)	(1)(2%)	0(0%)

Value are shown as the Median (IQR) *<0.05, **<0.01. Abbreviations: HLA: human leukocyte antigen, Tx: transplantation, eGFR: estimated-glomerular filtration rate, LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, ADPN: adiponectin, ACEI: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor. One patient is complicated by cerebral infarction and angina pectoris.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.t001

Table 2. Characteristics of study subjects in gender.

Variable	Total	Male	Female
N	57	39	18
Age at transplantation, year	32.0(25.0–36.0)	33.0(25.0–36.0)	30.0(26.0–38.0)
HLA mismatches, median (range)			
HLA-A/B	2(0-4)	2(0-4)	2(0–4)
HLA-DR	1(0–2)	1(0–2)	0(0–2)
Time after Tx, month	257(188–333)	257(205–351)	219(163–307)*
Body mass index (BMI)	21.2(19.1–22.9)	21.3(19.3–23.8)	20.3(18.9–22.2)
Blood pressure, mmHg			
Systolic	126(120–132)	126(120–132)	123(112–128)
Diastolic	76(70–84)	76(70–84)	74(70–80)
eGFR at 2004 (ml/min)	55.2(43.5–68.6)	56.4(46.4–70.4)	50.1(40.5–59.3)
eGFR at 2008 (ml/min)	47.7(37.8–57.8)	48.0(38.9–60.6)	43.1(36.8–56.7)
eGFR at 2012 (ml/min)	44.8(32.5–58.5)	44.9(32.9–63.9)	41.8(31.0–56.3)
ΔeGFR(ml/min)	-2.8(-7.9–1.4)	-2.8(-5.5–2.7)	-2.4(-9.1–0.5)
Blood glucose (mg/dl)	101.0(90.8–117.5)	108.0(96.0–128.8)	93.0(84.0–100.0)
LDL-C mg/dl	104.0(86.8–123.5)	113.0(95.3–128.3)	90.5(82.0–104.0)*
HDL-C mg/dl	63.0(53.0–81.8)	59.0(52.0-71.3)	76.0(59.0–92.0)*
LDL-C/HDL-C ratio	1.7(1.19–2.15)	1.90(1.47–2.25)	1.23(1.00-1.63)**
Triglyceride	146.0(101.8–208.5)	146.0(99.8–216.0)	162.5(112.0–196.0)
Total ADPN at 2012 (µg/ml)	10.1(6.5–14.9)	8.8(5.9–14.3)	11.9(8.2–17.2)
HMW ADPN(µg/ml)	3.8(1.7–6.9)	3.3(1.4–6.5)	5.8(2.9–7.7)
MMW ADPN(µg/ml)	1.5(1.0–2.6)	1.5(0.9–2.4)	1.7(1.4–3.1)
LMW ADPN(µg/ml)	4.3(3.2–5.3)	4.3(3.2–5.0)	4.4(2.9–6.2)
HMW ADPN ratio(%)	40.6(29.1–48.1)	38.4(24.6–46.8)	45.7(39.6–48.5)
LMW ADPN ratio(%)	42.5(34.9–51.9)	45.1(37.9–55.5)	37.6(31.5–42.5)
Visceral fat area (cm ²)	88.1(60.6–137.1)	106.6(66.3–165.9)	80.2(58.5–104.4)
Subcutaneous fat area (cm ²)	94.9(61.9–131.7)	85.1(53.7–121.1)	118.5(88.7–141.4)*
Therapeutic agents (Drug use, %)			
Immunosuppressive drugs			
Steroids	57(100%)	39(100%)	18(100%)
Antimetabolites	54(95%)	37(94%)	17(94%)
Calcineurin inhibitors	41(72%)	26(67%)	15(83%)
Antihypertensive drugs			
ACEi/ARB	51(82%)	35(89%)	13(72%)
Antidiabetic drugs	27(48%)	19(49%)	8(44%)
Insulin	1(2%)	1(2.4%)	0(0%)
Oral antidiabetic drugs	8(13%)	6(15%)	2(11%)
Statins	33(58%)	22(56%)	11(61%)

Value are shown as the Median (IQR) *<0.05, **<0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.t002

The factors influencing HMW ADPN levels and its ratio were investigated using a multiple regression analysis (Table 4). The models regarding HMW ADPN and its ratio as a response variable were designated as Models 1 and 2, respectively. In Model 1, eGFR and VFA were significant HMW ADPN level-lowering factors, and age at transplantation was detected as an increasing factor. In Model 2, VFA was a significant HMW ADPN ratio-reducing factor.





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.g001

Relationships between the development of PTDM and ADPN and fat areas

The relationships between the development of PTDM with serum ADPN levels and fat areas are shown in Fig 3. VFA was higher in PTDM than in non-PTDM patients ([A]: p = 0.007), whereas no significant difference was noted in SFA ([B]: p = 0.984). The HMW ADPN level and its ratio were lower in PTDM patients ([C]: p = 0.004 and [D]: p = 0.005, respectively), while the LMW ADPN ratio was higher ([F]: p = 0.001). The factors involved in the development of PTDM were investigated by a multiple regression analysis, with increases in VFA and the LMW ADPN ratio being identified as significant increasing factors (Table 5).

	Visceral fat area		Subcutaneous fat area	
	r	p value	r	p value
LDL-C	0.376	0.004	0.225	0.093
HDL-C	-0.285	0.032	-0.149	0.269
LDL-C/HDL-C ratio	0.453	<0.001	0.222	0.097
non HDL-C	0.456	<0.001	0.229	0.085
HMW ADPN	-0.400	0.002	-0.319	0.015
HMW ADPN ratio (%)	-0.444	<0.001	-0.152	0.258
LMW ADPN	-0.296	0.025	-0.386	0.003
LMW ADPN ratio (%)	0.467	<0.001	0.122	0.368

Table 3. The correlation between visceral, subcutaneous fat area and each lipid marker.

HMW ADPN: High molecular weight adiponectin, LMW ADPN: Low molecular weight adiponectin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.t003



Fig 2. A comparison of serum adiponectin value in obese patients and non-obese patients. Obese patients were stratified by visceral fat accumulation (VFA cutoff value; 100cm2. Serum HMW ADPN level and HMW ADPN ratio were lower in obese patients [2A] and [2C]. LMW ADPN ratio was higher in obese patients [2D]. There was no significant difference in LMW adiponectin level between two groups [2B].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.g002



Model 1					
Objective variable: HMW ADPN					
	β	SE	Std β	t	р
(Constant)	8.118	2.202			
eGFR	-0.09	0.033	-0.318	2.738	0.008
Visceral fat area	-0.019	0.008	-0.308	2.379	0.021
Age at transplantation	0.124	0.056	0.267	2.237	0.029
DM	-2.494	1.439	-0.225	1.732	0.089
Model 2					
Objective variable: HMW ADPN ratio					
	β	SE	Std β	t	р
(Constant)	47.45	2.807			
DM	-6.699	4.057	-0.22	1.652	0.104
Visceral fat area	-0.059	0.023	-0.345	2.587	0.012

Table 4. Factors influenced of factor on HMW ADPN and HMW ADPN ratio in renal transplant subjects.

Explanatory variable: cardiovascular disease, DM, age at transplantation, gender, cadaver/living, statin use, ARB use, eGFR, HDL-C, LDL-C, LDL/HDL-C, non HDL-C, duration after transplantation, visceral fat area, subcutaneous fat area.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.t004

Relationships between the development of CVD and ADPN and fat areas

The relationships between the development of CVD and serum ADPN levels and fat areas are shown in Fig 4. Among 11 subjects who developed CVD after transplantation, 5 developed angina pectoris, 3 ischemic heart disease-induced heart failure, 2 aortic aneurysm, 1 aortic dissection, and 2 cerebral infarction. VFA was higher in CVD patients ([A]: p = 0.004), whereas no significant difference was noted in SFA ([B]: p = 0.984), and the HMW and LMW ADPN



Fig 3. The association of visceral fat area and serum adiponectin value between PTDM and non-PTDM patients. Visceral fat area were higher in PTDM patients [A]. There were no significant difference in subcutaneous fat area [B]. Serum HMW ADPN level and HMW ADPN ratio were lower in PTDM patients [C] and [D]. LMW ADPN ratio was higher in PTDM patients [F]. There was no significant difference in LMW ADPN level between two groups [E].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.g003



	β	SE	z value	р	Odds ratio
(Constant)	-8.517	3.384			
Visceral fat area	0.016	0.008	2.014	0.044	1.016
LMW ADPN ratio	0.132	0.055	2.39	0.017	1.142
Donor cadaveric	-2.933	1.613	1.818	0.069	0.053
Age at transplantation	0.098	0.061	1.603	0.108	1.103
Statin use	-2.153	1.154	1.865	0.062	0.116

Table 5. The influenced factor on post transplant DM in renal transplant subjects.

Explanatory variable: age at transplantation, gender, cadaver/living, CIN, statin use, ARB use, eGFR, HDL-C, LDL-C, LDL/HDL-C, non HDL-C, duration after transplantation, visceral fat area, subcutaneous fat area, HMW ADPN ratio, LMW ADPN ratio.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.t005

ratios were also higher ([D]: p = 0.022 and [F]: p = 0.049, respectively). The factors involved in the development of CVD were investigated using a multiple regression analysis. An increase in the HMW ADPN ratio and treatments with statins were reducing factors, while an increase in LDL-C was an increasing factor (Table 6).

Discussion

The present study obtained 3 main results. Serum HMW and LMW ADPN levels inversely correlated with eGFR in renal transplant patients, whereas their ratios did not, and the serum HMW ADPN level and its ratio were associated with VFA. Furthermore, the development of CVD in renal transplant patients was associated with VFA and the HMW ADPN ratio. In addition, the development of PTDM was associated with VFA and the LMW ADPN ratio.



Fig 4. The association of visceral fat area and serum adiponectin value between CVD and non-CVD patients. Visceral fat area were higher in CVD patients [A]. There were no significant difference in subcutaneous fat area between two groups [B]. HMW ADPN levels and HMW adiponectin ratio were lower in CVD patients [C] and [D]. LMW ADPN ratio was higher in CVD patients [F]. There was no significant difference in LMW ADPN level between two groups [E].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.g004



	β	SE	z value	р	Odds ratio
(Constant)	-0.495	3.028			
HMW ADPN ratio	-0.159	0.072	2.225	0.026	0.853
Statin use	-3.554	1.502	2.367	0.018	0.029
LDL-cholesterol	0.075	0.029	2.586	0.009	1.078
Subcutaneous fat area	-0.03	0.018	1.706	0.088	0.97

Table 6. The influenced factor on cardiovascular disease in renal transplant subjects.

Explanatory variable: age at transplantation, gender, cadaver/living, CIN, statin use, ARB use, eGFR, HDL-C, LDL-C, LDL/HDL-C, non HDL-C, duration after transplantation, visceral fat area, subcutaneous fat area, HMW ADPN ratio, LMW ADPN ratio

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163899.t006

A large number of studies have examined the relationships between serum total and HMW ADPN levels and renal function: eGFR and serum total and HMW ADPN levels inversely correlated in CKD patients, and improved renal function was associated with reductions in plasma ADPN levels [13, 14]. A decrease in plasma ADPN levels after kidney transplantation has also been reported [15, 16]. The reasons for these findings include the expression of renal graft function and an improved inflammatory environment [17]. However, fewer studies have investigated the relationship between the serum ADPN fraction and renal graft function. In the present study, renal graft function and HMW and LMW ADPN levels inversely correlated, whereas no correlation was noted in either of their ratios. Regarding the applicability of the serum HMW ADPN ratio to evaluations of metabolic syndrome, the usefulness of comparisons with serum total and HMW ADPN levels has been reported [18, 19]. However, LMW ADPN levels and its ratio in renal transplant patients currently remain unknown. Since circulating ADPN levels including HMW and LMW ADPN is influenced by renal function, our results suggest that evaluating the ADPN ratio is useful for investigating the qualitative changes of ADPN in CKD and renal transplant patients.

The relationship between obesity (VFA, SFA) and ADPN has been extensively examined. A decrease in serum ADPN levels in obese patients [20] and an inverse correlation between serum ADPN levels and VFA has been reported, whereas a correlation has not been observed with SFA [10]. Previous studies also showed inverse correlations between waist circumference and LMW ADPN and serum IL-6 levels [21], and also between fat areas and LMW ADPN levels [22, 23]. In the present study, VFA was inversely correlated with serum HMW and LMW ADPN levels and HMW ADPN ratio. Furthermore, VFA was positively with the LMW-ADPN ratio, but no significant correlation was noted between SFA and the ADPN ratios. These findings suggest that evaluations of VFA, not SFA are important for determining the relationship between serum ADPN and obesity in renal transplant patients. Since it has not yet been established whether waist circumference and BMI accurately reflect VFA, VFA and SFA are currently evaluated separately. Therefore, it is important to distinguish and measure individual fat areas using abdominal CT.

Blood ADPN levels are known to be significantly lower in coronary arterial disease patients than in an age- and obesity grade-matched control group [24]. The prevalence of coronary arterial disease in males was also found to be 2-fold higher in a group with a blood ADPN level lower than 4 μ g/ml than in a group with a level of 7 μ g/mL or higher and this was independent of other risk factors [9]. Regarding the relationship between HMW ADPN and cardiovascular complications, Sato et al. reported that HMW ADPN levels and its ratio were significantly lower in coronary arterial disease patients than in a normal subject group [25]. Furthermore, relationships have been found between ADPN and arteriosclerosis regardless of sex [26] and between an increase in VFA and coronary arterial calcification in male CKD patients [27]. In

this study, patients with CVD showed larger VFA, lower HMW ADPN ratio and higher LMW ADPN ratio, but not in SFA. Even in small group, our present study also identified an increase in the HMW ADPN ratio as a factor reducing the incidence of CVD. These findings demonstrate that CVD in renal transplant patients is associated with ADPN fraction and VFA.

In spite of ADPN being secreted by adipocytes, its blood level is low in obese individuals, and is even lower in patients with type II diabetes [28]. Serum total and HMW ADPN levels are known to be lower in diabetes patients than in healthy subjects [29], and inverse correlations have been noted between serum ADPN levels and VFA with insulin resistance [30, 31]. Moreover, a relationship has been reported between diabetes and LMW ADPN levels. Serum LMW ADPN levels were found to be lower in type II diabetes patients than in non-obese patients, and an inverse correlation was detected between waist circumference and serum LMW ADPN levels [20]. Furthermore, LMW ADPN levels were found to be lower in elderly patients with type II diabetes than in non-diabetic patients [32]. In our analysis, we found not only HMW, but also LMW ADPN as factors influencing the development of PTDM.

As for pathogenic difference of ADPN fractions, previous study demonstrated that LMW and MMW ADPN passed through the blood-brain barrier and activated AMP kinase through the hypothalamic AdipoR1 receptor, which increased food intake, reduced energy consumption, and resulted in fat accumulation [33]. These findings showed that, regarding body weight gain and loss, the functions of LMW and MMW ADPN are opposite to those of HMW ADPN. The increase observed in the LMW ADPN ratio in the present study may have resulted from qualitative changes in adipocytes induced by an obesity-associated chronic inflammatory state. However, the function of LMW ADPN remains unclear; therefore, further investigations are warranted.

Limitations

There were the following limitations to this study: 1) the small number of subjects is the study at risk for bias due to the population studied (Japanese population). Hence, our findings may not be able to generalize to other populations. 2) it was retrospective in nature. 3) the use of immunosuppressive drugs and statins was not uniform. Therefore, a prospective study is needed in order to investigate relationships with changes in ADPN. 4) we could not evaluate the risk of smoking in this cohort. It may be another important limitation to compare with the reports from other countries given that a significant percentage of kidney transplant recipients smoke.

Conclusion

We herein demonstrated that VFA and GFR are associated with serum HMW and LMW ADPN levels even in Japanese renal transplant patients. Otherwise, the ADPN ratio is more important for developing CVA and PTMD. In this notion, the higher HMW-ADPN ratio and statin treatment were identified as reducing factors of the development of CVD. On the other hand, the higher LMW-ADPN ratio and the VFA were aggravating factors of PTDM.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Measurement methods of fat area. The abdominal wall was traced to separate subcutaneous and visceral fat for the measurement of the CT values of 10-mm thick and excised areas ($-50 \sim -150$) in the umbilicus. If the kidney and ilium were included in the umbilical region, the data should be obtained from the regions avoiding them as much as possible. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Stratified evaluation of high- and low-molecular-weight ADPN levels by visceral fat area. The visceral fat area was divided into quartiles to evaluate serum adiponectin levels. As a result, the high-, but not low-, molecular-weight adiponectin level decreased as the visceral fat area increased.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Stratified evaluation of high- and low-molecular-weight ADPN ratio by visceral fat area. The visceral fat area was divided into quartiles to evaluate serum adiponectin fractions. As a result, the high-molecular-weight adiponectin fraction decreased, while the low-molecular-weight adiponectin fraction increased, as the visceral fat area increased. (TIF)

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help and assistance of their colleagues at the Department of Nephrology. This study was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; (C) no.25461237, (B) no.24406029, and (B) no.2530502. This study was also supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Intractable Renal Diseases Research, Research on rare and intractable diseases, Health and Labour Sciences Research and by grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (HY). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: HA HY. Data curation: HA KF. Formal analysis: HA KF. Funding acquisition: HA HY. Investigation: HA KN KF. Methodology: HA HY. Project administration: HA HY. Resources: HA NH YM HY. Software: HA KN. Supervision: HT HY. Validation: HA NH YM HT. Visualization: HA HY. Writing – original draft: HA HY. Writing – review & editing: HA HY.

References

 Pascual M, Theruvath T, Kawai T, Tolkoff-Rubin N, Cosimi AB. Strategies to improve long-term outcomes after renal transplantation. The New England journal of medicine. 2002; 346(8):580–90. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra011295 PMID: 11856798.

- Colvin RB. Chronic allograft nephropathy. The New England journal of medicine. 2003; 349(24):2288– 90. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp038178 PMID: 14668453.
- Hara K, Horikoshi M, Yamauchi T, Yago H, Miyazaki O, Ebinuma H, et al. Measurement of the highmolecular weight form of adiponectin in plasma is useful for the prediction of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. Diabetes care. 2006; 29(6):1357–62. doi: 10.2337/dc05-1801 PMID: 16732021.
- Wang ZV, Scherer PE. Adiponectin, cardiovascular function, and hypertension. Hypertension. 2008; 51(1):8–14. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.107.099424 PMID: 17998473.
- Adamczak M, Chudek J, Wiecek A. Adiponectin in patients with chronic kidney disease. Seminars in dialysis. 2009; 22(4):391–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-139X.2009.00587.x PMID: 19708988.
- Martinez Cantarin MP, Waldman SA, Doria C, Frank AM, Maley WR, Ramirez CB, et al. The adipose tissue production of adiponectin is increased in end-stage renal disease. Kidney international. 2013; 83(3):487–94. doi: 10.1038/ki.2012.421 PMID: 23283133; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3587362.
- Kobayashi H, Ouchi N, Kihara S, Walsh K, Kumada M, Abe Y, et al. Selective suppression of endothelial cell apoptosis by the high molecular weight form of adiponectin. Circulation research. 2004; 94(4): e27–31. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000119921.86460.37 PMID: 14752031; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4374479.
- Spranger J, Kroke A, Mohlig M, Bergmann MM, Ristow M, Boeing H, et al. Adiponectin and protection against type 2 diabetes mellitus. Lancet. 2003; 361(9353):226–8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)12255-6 PMID: 12547549.
- Kumada M, Kihara S, Sumitsuji S, Kawamoto T, Matsumoto S, Ouchi N, et al. Association of hypoadiponectinemia with coronary artery disease in men. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2003; 23(1):85–9. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.0000048856.22331.50 PMID: 12524229.
- Saijo Y, Kiyota N, Kawasaki Y, Miyazaki Y, Kashimura J, Fukuda M, et al. Relationship between Creactive protein and visceral adipose tissue in healthy Japanese subjects. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism. 2004; 6(4):249–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-8902.2003.0342.x PMID: 15171748.
- 11. Saito T, Murata M, Otani T, Tamemoto H, Kawakami M, Ishikawa SE. Association of subcutaneous and visceral fat mass with serum concentrations of adipokines in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Endocrine journal. 2012; 59(1):39–45. doi: 10.1507/endocrj.EJ11-0132 PMID: 22019947.
- Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M, Yasuda Y, Tomita K, Nitta K, et al. Revised equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. American journal of kidney diseases: the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2009; 53(6):982–92. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.12.034 PMID: 19339088.
- Costacou T, Orchard TJ. Adiponectin: good, bad, or just plain ugly? Kidney international. 2008; 74 (5):549–51. doi: 10.1038/ki.2008.262 PMID: 18709022.
- Shen YY, Charlesworth JA, Kelly JJ, Peake PW. The effect of renal transplantation on adiponectin and its isoforms and receptors. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 2007; 56(9):1201–8. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> metabol.2007.04.016 PMID: 17697862.
- Chudek J, Adamczak M, Karkoszka H, Budzinski G, Ignacy W, Funahashi T, et al. Plasma adiponectin concentration before and after successful kidney transplantation. Transplantation proceedings. 2003; 35(6):2186–9. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2003.08.001 PMID: 14529883.
- Adamczak M, Szotowska M, Chudek J, Karkoszka H, Cierpka L, Wiecek A. Plasma adiponectin concentration in patients after successful kidney transplantation—a single-center, observational study. Clinical nephrology. 2007; 67(6):381–90. PMID: 17598374.
- Oh J, Rabb H. Adiponectin: an enlarging role in acute kidney injury. Kidney international. 2013; 83 (4):546–8. doi: 10.1038/ki.2012.479 PMID: 23538694.
- Pajvani UB, Hawkins M, Combs TP, Rajala MW, Doebber T, Berger JP, et al. Complex distribution, not absolute amount of adiponectin, correlates with thiazolidinedione-mediated improvement in insulin sensitivity. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2004; 279(13):12152–62. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.</u> M311113200 PMID: 14699128.
- Aso Y, Yamamoto R, Wakabayashi S, Uchida T, Takayanagi K, Takebayashi K, et al. Comparison of serum high-molecular weight (HMW) adiponectin with total adiponectin concentrations in type 2 diabetic patients with coronary artery disease using a novel enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to detect HMW adiponectin. Diabetes. 2006; 55(7):1954–60. doi: 10.2337/db05-1525 PMID: 16804063.
- Arita Y, Kihara S, Ouchi N, Takahashi M, Maeda K, Miyagawa J, et al. Paradoxical decrease of an adipose-specific protein, adiponectin, in obesity. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 1999; 257(1):79–83. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1999.0255 PMID: 10092513.
- Schober F, Neumeier M, Weigert J, Wurm S, Wanninger J, Schaffler A, et al. Low molecular weight adiponectin negatively correlates with the waist circumference and monocytic IL-6 release. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2007; 361(4):968–73. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.07.106 PMID: 17678873.

- Goto M, Goto A, Morita A, Deura K, Sasaki S, Aiba N, et al. Low-molecular-weight adiponectin and high-molecular-weight adiponectin levels in relation to diabetes. Obesity. 2014; 22(2):401–7. doi: 10. 1002/oby.20553 PMID: 23818415.
- Kishida K, Kim KK, Funahashi T, Matsuzawa Y, Kang HC, Shimomura I. Relationships between circulating adiponectin levels and fat distribution in obese subjects. Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis. 2011; 18(7):592–5. doi: 10.5551/jat.7625 PMID: 21378472.
- Ouchi N, Kihara S, Arita Y, Maeda K, Kuriyama H, Okamoto Y, et al. Novel modulator for endothelial adhesion molecules: adipocyte-derived plasma protein adiponectin. Circulation. 1999; 100(25):2473– 6. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.100.25.2473 PMID: 10604883.
- Satoh M, Tabuchi T, Minami Y, Takahashi Y, Itoh T, Nakamura M. Prospective, randomized, singleblind comparison of effects of 6 months of treatment with telmisartan versus enalapril on high-molecular-weight adiponectin concentrations in patients with coronary artery disease. Clinical therapeutics. 2009; 31(10):2113–25. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2009.10.010 PMID: 19922882.
- Yoon JH, Kim SK, Choi HJ, Choi SI, Cha SY, Koh SB, et al. Adiponectin provides additional information to conventional cardiovascular risk factors for assessing the risk of atherosclerosis in both genders. PloS one. 2013; 8(10):e75535. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0075535</u> PMID: <u>24116054</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3792978.
- Aoqui C, Cuppari L, Kamimura MA, Canziani ME. Increased visceral adiposity is associated with coronary artery calcification in male patients with chronic kidney disease. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2013; 67(6):610–4. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2013.66 PMID: 23531780.
- Hotta K, Funahashi T, Arita Y, Takahashi M, Matsuda M, Okamoto Y, et al. Plasma concentrations of a novel, adipose-specific protein, adiponectin, in type 2 diabetic patients. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2000; 20(6):1595–9. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.20.6.1595 PMID: 10845877.
- Nakashima R, Kamei N, Yamane K, Nakanishi S, Nakashima A, Kohno N. Decreased total and high molecular weight adiponectin are independent risk factors for the development of type 2 diabetes in Japanese-Americans. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2006; 91(10):3873–7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2006-1158 PMID: 16882743.
- Yamauchi T, Kamon J, Waki H, Terauchi Y, Kubota N, Hara K, et al. The fat-derived hormone adiponectin reverses insulin resistance associated with both lipoatrophy and obesity. Nature medicine. 2001; 7(8):941–6. doi: 10.1038/90984 PMID: 11479627.
- Hayashi T, Boyko EJ, McNeely MJ, Leonetti DL, Kahn SE, Fujimoto WY. Visceral adiposity, not abdominal subcutaneous fat area, is associated with an increase in future insulin resistance in Japanese Americans. Diabetes. 2008; 57(5):1269–75. doi: 10.2337/db07-1378 PMID: 18299316.
- Graessler J, Gruber M, Radke RB, Kopprasch S, Schwarz PE, Kamke W, et al. Type 2 diabetes in octogenarians is associated with decreased low molecular weight adiponectin. Gerontology. 2011; 57 (4):316–26. doi: 10.1159/000316575 PMID: 20551622.
- Kubota N, Yano W, Kubota T, Yamauchi T, Itoh S, Kumagai H, et al. Adiponectin stimulates AMP-activated protein kinase in the hypothalamus and increases food intake. Cell metabolism. 2007; 6(1):55–68. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2007.06.003 PMID: 17618856.