

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Journal of Sport and Health Science $10(2021) 107 - 108$ $10(2021) 107 - 108$

Letter to the editor

Caution needed when interpreting muscle activity patterns during extremely low pedaling cadence

Yuli[a](#page-0-0)ng Sun^a, Li Li^{[b](#page-0-1),[*](#page-0-2)}

^a Department of Kinesiology, College of Sport, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710119, China
^b Department of Health Sciences and Kinesiology, Walter's College of Health Professions, Georgia Southern University, States

Received 26 April 2020; revised 25 May 2020; accepted 27 May 2020

Available online 3 June 2020

2095-2546/ \circ 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Shanghai University of Sport. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Dear editor,

We noticed recent research that has just been published in Journal of Sport and Health Science (JSHS), comparing the muscular activity patterns in 1- and 2-legged cycling by Park and Caldwell. $¹$ $¹$ $¹$ The authors reported that changes in muscle</sup> activities with 1-legged pedaling are due to a variety of changes in mechanical aspects of the pedaling motion, including altered crank torque patterns within the crank cycle, decreased pelvis stability, and the need for increased knee and ankle stiffness during the upstroke. The experiment was welldesigned and the discussion was fascinating. However, the testing protocol does not support the significance of the project, as stated in the first sentence of the abstract, "One-legged pedaling is of interest to elite cyclists and clinicians." Their testing was conducted at 30 revolutions per min (rpm) and 30 watts (W), and both are too low for any kind of mechanical demand for cycling, either competitive sports or rehabilitation. The preferred cadences of experienced cyclists are approximately $85-95$ rpm, whereas the most economic cadences are approximately $55-60$ rpm.² The choice of low cadence has been attributed to avoiding muscle fatigue in the participants, but previous studies have approved the possible proper cadence of 1-legged pedaling ranges from 50 rpm to 90 rpm. $3-6$ $3-6$ $3-6$ Even for post-stroke individuals, the cadence of 40 rpm has been used.^{[7](#page-1-3)}

More important, there is plenty of evidence shown that muscle activities and neuromuscular coordination during cycling are significantly influenced by pedaling cadence. The lower extremity joint moment distribution would dramatically change when the pedal frequency was changed. $8-10$ $8-10$ $8-10$ Greater pedaling cadence resulted in reduced patellofemoral compressive force with no effect on the tibiofemoral joint forces. δ The

Peer review under responsibility of Shanghai University of Sport.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: lili@georgiasouthern.edu (L. Li). average relative contributions of the knee joint musculature were decreased, while those of the hip were increased as cadences increased.^{[9](#page-1-5)} It has also been reported that with variations in power output and cadence, the relative contribution of the moments at the ankle, knee, and hip joints remains rela-tively invariant.^{[10](#page-1-6)} Leirdal and Ettema^{[11](#page-1-7)} measured gross efficiency and force effectiveness with 10 well-trained cyclists cycling at 3 different freely chosen cadences. The pedaling cadences investigated ranged from 86 rpm to 106 rpm. Cadence has a strong negative and similar effect on both force effectiveness and gross efficiency. Gross efficiency indicates the total metabolic rate, including muscle power output, for a given external power output, and force effectiveness is the resultant outcome of all muscle activation.^{[11](#page-1-7)}

Some studies using electromyography (EMG) analyzed cadence effects on muscular activity. Marsh and Martin¹² observed significant muscle activity changes with cadence. They reported significant timing differences with different cadences in the vastus lateralis (VL), the rectus femoris (RF), the biceps femoris (BF), gastrocnemius, and soleus muscles. Peak muscle activity occurred earlier in the crank cycle as cadence increased from 50 rpm to 110 rpm for each of the muscles except the RF. Additionally, increasing pedaling speeds have elicited double bursting patterns in some bi-articular muscles. 13 13 13 Baum and Li^{[14](#page-1-10)} investigated the effects of frequency and inertia on lower extremity muscle activities during cycling. Sixteen subjects cycled at 250 W across different cadences (60 rpm, 80 rpm, and 100 rpm) with different external loads. Load and cadence interactions were observed for the offset of BF, the active duration of RF, and the peak magnitudes of VL and the tibialis anterior. Cadence effects were observed in the onset of the gluteus maximus, RF, BF, VL, and tibialis anterior; the offset of the gluteus maximus, RF, BF, VL; the duration of the BF and tibialis anterior; the peak magnitude of the RF and gastrocnemius; and the crank angle

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2020.06.001>

Cite this article: Sun Y, Li L. Caution needed when interpreting muscle activity patterns during extremely low pedaling cadence. J Sport Health Sci $2021:10:107-8.$

at which the peak magnitude was achieved of the BF, gastrocnemius, and soleus. Obvious differences can be observed comparing the EMG activities of 2-legged pedaling reported in this article^{[1](#page-1-0)} with some of the EMG patterns reported in the litera-ture, such as Marsh and Martin¹² and Baum and Li.^{[14](#page-1-10)} For example, the peak RF EMG activity reported right before reaching the top-dead-center here was not observed in either of the earlier reports. For another example, BF EMG peaked at about the bottom-dead-center here, at least 50˚ of crank angle later than what has been seen in earlier reports.

In summary, the effects of pedaling cadence on muscle activities have been reported. Cadence had greater effects proximally than distally for onset timing, offset timing, and some antagonist pair coordination in the lower extremity. Thus, the results of this paper should be extrapolated carefully. A proper choice of cadence should be considered if the intent was to find meaningful applications to competitive sports and clinical demands.

Both authors contributed to the letter fully. Both authors have read and approved the final version of the letter, and agreed with the order of presentation of the authors.

Competing interests

Both authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. [Park S, Caldwell GE. Muscular activity patterns in 1-legged](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0001) vs. 2-legged pedaling. [J Sport Health Sci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0001) 2021;10:99–106.

- 2. [Marsh AP, Martin PE, Foley KO. Effect of cadence, cycling experience,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0002) [and aerobic power on delta efficiency during cycling.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0002) Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000;32[:1630–4.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0002)
- 3. [Sargeant AJ, Davies CT. Forces applied to cranks of a bicycle ergometer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0003) during one- and two-leg cycling. [J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0003) Physiol 1977;42[:514–8.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0003)
- 4. [Bjørgen S, Hoff J, Husby VS, et al. Aerobic high intensity one and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0004) [two legs interval cycling in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0004) [the sum of the parts is greater than the whole.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0004) Eur J Appl Physiol $2009:106:501-7$
- 5. [Elmer SJ, McDaniel J, Martin JC. Biomechanics of counterweighted one](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0005)legged cycling. [J Appl Biomech](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0005) 2016;32:78–85.
- 6. [Bini RR, Jacques TC, Lanferdini FJ, Vaz MA. Comparison of kinetics,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0006) [kinematics, and electromyography during single-leg assisted and unas](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0006)sisted cycling. [J Strength Cond Res](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0006) 2015;29:1534–41.
- 7. Liang JN, Brown DA. Foot force direction control during a pedaling task in individuals post-stroke. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2014;11:63. doi[:10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-63) [1743-0003-11-63](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-63).
- 8. [Bini RR, Hume PA. Effects of workload and pedalling cadence on knee](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0008) [forces in competitive cyclists.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0008) Sports Biomech 2013;12:93–107.
- 9. Hoshikawa H, Takahashi K, Ohashi K, Tamaki K. Contribution of the ankle, knee, and hip joints to mechanical energy in cycling. J Biomech 2007;40:S750. doi[:10.1016/S0021-9290\(07\)70738-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(07)70738-8).
- 10. [Mornieux G, Guenette JA, Sheel AW, Sanderson DJ. Influence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0010) [cadence, power output and hypoxiaon the joint moment distribution dur](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0010)ing cycling. [Eur J Appl Physiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0010) 2007;102:11–8.
- 11. [Leirdal S, Ettema G. The relationship between cadence, pedalling technique](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0011) [and gross efficiency in cycling.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0011) Eur J Appl Physiol 2011;111:2885–93.
- 12. [Marsh AP, Martin PE. The relationship between cadence and lower](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0012) [extremity EMG in cyclists and noncyclists.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0012) Med Sci Sports Exerc 1995;27[:217–25.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0012)
- 13. [Suzuki S, Watanabe S, Homma S. EMG activity and kinematics of human](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0013) [cycling movements at different constant velocities.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0013) Brain Res 1982;240: [245–58.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0013)
- 14. [Baum B, Li L. Lower extremity muscle activities during cycling are](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0014) [influenced by load and frequency.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0014) J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2003;13: [181–90.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2095-2546(20)30067-3/sbref0014)