SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 14 March 2016 Accepted: 15 September 2016 Published: 29 September 2016

OPEN Regulation of PCGEM1 by p54/nrb in prostate cancer

Tsui-Ting Ho^{1,2}, Jianguo Huang^{3,4}, Nanjiang Zhou^{3,5}, Ziqiang Zhang⁶, Pratirodh Koirala³, Xinchun Zhou⁷, Fangting Wu⁵, Xianfeng Ding^{1,8} & Yin-Yuan Mo¹

PCGEM1 is a long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) that is often upregulated in prostate cancer. However, little is known how PCGEM1 is regulated. In the present study, we show transcriptional regulation of PCGEM1 in response to androgen deprivation by p54/nrb. While ectopic expression of p54/nrb increases, suppression of p54/nrb by RNAi or knockout (KO) reduces PCGEM1. Moreover, rescue experiments indicate that re-expression of p54/nrb in KO cells restores the ability to induce PCGEM1, leading to upregulation of the androgen receptor splice variant AR3 which has been shown to play a role in castration resistance. Finally, 3,3'-Diindolylmethane (DIM), a known chemoprevention agent, is capable of suppressing PCGEM1 expression by preventing the interaction of p54/nrb with the PCGEM1 promoter. In particular, DIM reduces tumor growth by suppression of PCGEM1 and promoting apoptosis in the castrated xenograft mouse model. Together, these results demonstrate a novel mechanism of p54/nrb-mediated expression of PCGEM1 and AR3, contributing to castration resistance in prostate cancer.

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second leading cause of death in men in the USA¹. The androgen receptor (AR) is required for prostate development and prostate cancer pathogenesis. Thus, AR serves as a principal therapeutic target, and androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) has been the mainline treatment for aggressive PCa^{2,3}. Despite the high initial response rates, these tumors ultimately develop the resistance, i.e., castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC)⁴. It is widely viewed as a key obstacle to successful therapy; however, the underlying molecular mechanism(s) for the emergence of CRPC is not fully understood. Recent studies have demonstrated that constitutive expression of AR splice variants lacking the ligand binding domain significantly contribute to the development and progression of CRPC⁵. Among these, the most studied AR splice variant, AR-V7 or AR3, activates AR regulated genes in the absence of ligands, and therefore could play a critical role in castration resistance⁶. For instance, it has been recently shown that circulating AR3 is associated with the resistance to two clinically important drugs enzalutamide and abiraterone7.

Long non-coding RNAs (lnRNAs) are arbitrarily defined as a group of non-coding RNAs with molecular weight larger than 200 nucleotides in length⁸. Although lncRNAs are poorly characterized, increasing evidence suggests their important regulatory roles in regulation of diverse cellular processes. Dysregulation of lncRNAs is often associated with numerous diseases, including PCa⁹. Prostate cancer gene expression marker 1 (PCGEM1) is one of the early identified oncogenic lncRNAs¹⁰. Upregulation of PCGEM1 has been associated with high risk of PCa¹¹ and ectopic expression of PCGEM1 contributes to resistance to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis¹². A long serial analysis of gene expression (long SAGE) library suggested its role in PCa castration recurrent stage¹³. Furthermore, PCGEM1 has been implicated in the activation of the AR transcription activity in CRPC¹⁴. However, despite being discovered nearly 15 years ago, little literature information is available regarding transcriptional regulation of PCGEM1.

P54/nrb is a 55 kDa ubiquitously expressed protein originally identified as a non-POU domain-containing, octamer binding protein¹⁵. It plays various roles in the nucleus, including transcriptional regulation, RNA splicing, nuclear retention, and subnuclear body formation¹⁶. Moreover, its expression, localization, and interactions

¹Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Cancer Institute, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA. ²Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA. ³Department of Biochemistry, Cancer Institute, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA. ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA. ⁵System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Tongji Hospital, Tongji University, Shanghai, China. ⁷Department of Pathology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA. ⁸College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.-Y.M. (email: ymo@umc.edu)



Figure 1. Transcriptional regulation of PCGEM1 in castration resistance. Detection of (**A**) PCGEM1 and (**B**) AR3 in LNCaP and CWR22Rv1 (22RV1) cells by qRT-PCR. (**C**) Relative expression of AR, AR variants (AR-v) and AR3 in LNCaP and CWR22Rv1 cells, as detected by Western using N-terminal AR antibody or AR3 specific antibody. (**D**) AD induces PCGEM1 in LNCaP cells. The cells were cultured in the absence of androgen for up to 14 days before harvesting to qRT-PCR. (**E**) (Top) Schematic presentation of PCGEM1 promoter, and the location of the respective primers used for PCR analysis. The numbers below the PCGEM1 promoter indicate the position relative to TSS. (Bottom) Histone ChIP assays to confirm an active binding site using primer sets highlighted in color. (**F**) (Top) Schematic presentation of PCGEM1 promoter, and the location of the respective primer sequences for PCR cloning. The numbers below the PCGEM1 promoter indicate the position relative to TSS. (Bottom) Induction of the PCGEM1 promoter luciferase activity. Different sizes of DNA fragments upstream of PCGEM1 were cloned into pGL3-Basic as a luciferase reporter. LNCaP cells were transfected with the luciferase reporter and cultured in the absence or presence of androgen for 3 days before harvesting for luciferase assay. Values are mean \pm SE (n = 3). **p < 0.01; N.S., not significant. Full-length gels and blots are included in the Supplementary Information file.

with transcription factors have been implicated in cancers^{17,18}. However, it remains to be determined as to whether p54/nrb plays any role in regulation of lncRNAs such as PCGEM1.

In the present study, we show that p54/nrb is a positive regulator of PCGEM1, contributing to AR3 expression and castration resistance.

Results

Upregulation of PCGEM1 is correlated with AR3 expression and castration resistance. We previously showed that PCGEM1 contributes to castration resistance by regulating expression of AR3¹⁹, and thus, the primary goal of this study was to determine how PCGEM1 is regulated in PCa to impact castration resistance. To this end, we examined PCGEM1 expression in LNCaP and CWR22Rv1 cells because LNCaP is androgen sensitive whereas CWR22Rv1 is androgen insensitive. In consistent with our previous findings, the PCGEM1 level was much higher in CWR22Rv1 cells than that in LNCaP cells (Fig. 1A). AR3 was also higher in CWR22Rv1 cells than in LNCaP cells (Fig. 1B,C). In addition, we found that PCGEM1 was progressively induced in LNCaP and LNCaP95 cells by androgen-deprivation (AD) (Fig. 1D; Fig. S1).

Transcriptional regulation of PCGEM1. Since active promoters are marked by trimethylation of Lys4 of histone H3 (H3K4me3)²⁰, and it is the methylation state associated with transcriptional start sites of actively transcribed genes²¹, we carried out ChIP assays and qPCR to assess H3K4 trimethylation within a \sim 5 kb region upstream of PCGEM1 transcription start site (TSS). We identified the active transcription of PCGEM1 within the first 1 kb fragment (Fig. 1E, top). For example, there was over an 11-fold enrichment of PCGEM1 by H3K4me3 antibody over IgG for 5.1/3.1 region, but neither in distal upstream region (5.8/3.8) nor in the downstream





(5.5/3.5) in the CWR22Rv1 cells compared to LNCaP cells (Fig. 1E, bottom); androgen deprivation increases the binding of H3K4 to PCGEM1 promoter in LNCaP cells (Fig. S2), suggesting a transcriptional activation of PCGEM1. To test this possibility, we constructed reporter vectors containing the putative PCGEM1 promoter sequences. Consistent with histone ChIP results, a high level of luciferase activity was detected within the upstream region close to the TSS (~500 bp) (Fig. 1F) in LNCaP cells in response to AD. By contrast, no activation was observed in far upstream, suggesting that this 500 bp region is critical for its transcriptional activation.

p54/nrb as a potential regulator for PCGEM1. To search for potential factors involved in the regulation of PCGEM1, we performed pulldown assays using DNA fragments illustrated in Fig. 2A. SDS-PAGE analysis of precipitate, followed by silver staining, revealed a unique band at ~54 kDa to the probe 5.2/3.1 (Fig. 2B, right); mass spectrometry analysis suggested Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein (NONO), also known as p54/nrb, as a potential factor for PCGEM1 regulation. Pulldown with biotinylated PCGEM1 probes and Western blot using p54/nrb antibody confirmed their interaction (Fig. 2C). Finally, ChIP assays with p54/nrb antibody revealed that p54/nrb specifically interacted with the PCGEM1 promoter (Fig. 2D).

To determine the function of this interaction, we suppressed p54/nrb by RNAi and demonstrated that p54/ nrb siRNA significantly reduced PCGEM1 (Fig. 3A). At the same time, p54/nrb siRNA was able to suppress AR3 at the mRNA and protein level in CWR22Rv1 cells (Fig. 3B,C), further supporting that p54/nrb is involved in the regulation of PCGEM1.

Regulation of PCGEM1 and AR3 expression by p54/nrb. To further determine the role of p54/nrb in the regulation of PCGEM1, we knocked out p54/nrb by CRISPR/Cas9 technology using a dual gRNA approach (Fig. S3) as described previously²². Initial characterization by genomic PCR identified several knockout (KO) clones, which were then verified by qRT-PCR and Western blot. We selected KO clones #11 and #24 for further characterization. As expected, p54/nrb KO substantially suppressed PCGEM1 expression in both clones (Fig. 4A, left). Importantly, re-expression of p54/nrb KO caused a reduction of AR3 (Fig. 4B). Similarly, re-expression of p54/nrb KO caused a reduction of AR3 (Fig. 4B). Similarly, re-expression of p54/nrb KO caused a reduction of AR3 (Fig. 4B). Similarly, re-expression (Fig. 4C). We then asked whether the increased expression of PCGEM1 and AR3 by rescue experiments confers castration resistance. MTT and nuclear staining assays revealed that the suppression of cell growth in the KO cells was partially rescued by re-expression of p54/nrb (Fig. 4D; Fig. S4). However, ectopic expression of p54/nrb had no effect on PCGEM1 promoter activity (Fig. S5) presumably because the level of endogenous p54/nrb is high. Together, these results suggest that PCGEM1 is functionally regulated by p54/nrb.



Figure 3. p54/nrb is required for PCGEM1 expression. (**A**) Suppression of the PCGEM1 by p54/nrb-siRNA as detected by qRT-PCR. Suppression of the AR3 by p54/nrb-siRNA as detected by (**B**) qRT-PCR and (**C**) Western using N-terminal AR antibody or AR3 specific antibody. Values are mean \pm SE (n = 3). ***p* < 0.01. Full-length gels and blots are included in the Supplementary Information file.

.....

$Synergistic \,activation\,mediator\,(SAM)\text{-}mediated\,activation\,of\,PCGEM1\,increases\,AR3\,expression.$

To further determine the role of PCGEM1 in regulation of AR3, we used engineered Cas9 SAM (Synergistic Activation of Mediator) system²³. Unlike traditional ectopic expression of a given gene, this approach is able to activate the corresponding endogenous gene. Thus, we designed 5 single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting the proximal PCGEM1 promoter between -1 kb and the +1 TSS (Fig. S6A). Introduction of a mixture of five sgR-NAs in LNCaP cells caused PCGEM1 activation (Fig. S6B), and upregulation of AR3 (Fig. S6C). SAM sgRNAs had little effect on AR (Fig. S6D). Overall, upregulation of PCGEM1 by SAM caused an increase ratio of AR3/AR (Fig. S6E).

The binding of p54/nrb to the promoter region is critical for the p54/nrb-mediated regulation of PCGEM1. 3,3'-Diindolylmethane (DIM) is a natural compound found in cruciferous vegetables. It has been recently shown that DIM can suppresses prostate tumor growth possibly by downregulation of AR²⁴, however, little is known with regard to its role in PCGEM1 expression. We showed that DIM downregulated PCGEM1 expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5A). Similarly, DIM caused a decrease in the expression of AR3 (Fig. 5B). Therefore, we used DIM as a research tool to dissect the mechanism of PCGEM1 regulation by p54/ nrb. We first determined the effect of DIM on p54/nrb expression and found that DIM had no effect on the p54/nrb level (Fig. S7). To determine whether DIM suppresses PCGEM1 through p54/nrb, we performed ChIP assays with p54/nrb antibody. Of great interest, the interaction of p54/nrb with PCGEM1 promoter (5.1/3.1) was abolished in the presence of DIM (Fig. 5C), suggesting that DIM prevents p54/nrb from binding to the promoter region, leading to suppression of PCGEM1. Similarly, AD was able to induce PCGEM1 in LNCaP cells (Fig. 1D), but it had no effect on p54/nrb expression (Fig. 5D). Moreover, ChIP assays with p54/nrb antibody detected the interaction of p54/nrb with PCGEM1 promoter in the AD treated LNCaP cells whereas no such interaction was seen in LNCaP cells grown in regular medium (Fig. 5E). In consistent with a high level of PCGEM1 in CWR22Rv1 cells (Fig. 1A), we also detected the interaction between p54/nrb and the PCGEM1 promoter, similar to what was seen in the AD treated LNCaP cells. These results suggest that the increased interaction of p54/nrb with the PCGEM1 promoter contributes to the increased expression of PCGEM1 in LNCaP cells under AD and constitutive upregulation of PCGEM1 in CWR22Rv1 cells.

DIM suppresses the PCGEM1-mediated castration resistance. We then determined whether downregulation of PCGEM1 by DIM can suppress castration resistance. MTT assays demonstrated that DIM sensitized LNCaP and CWR22Rv1 cells to AD (Fig. S8). Of interest, suppression of PCGEM1 by DIM significantly reduced tumor growth (Fig. 6A) and the tumor weight in castrated male mice (Fig. 6B). Moreover, we detected a stronger Ki-67 signal in tumors derived from control group than DIM treated tumors (Fig. 6C). These results suggest that the decreased PCGEM1 by DIM might contribute to suppression of tumor growth. We also showed that DIM can reduce PCGEM1 and AR3 in the castrated xenograft mouse model, as determined by qRT-PCR (Fig. 6D,E). Finally, TUNEL assays indicated that DIM increased tumor cell apoptosis. For example, the number of apoptotic cells was about 5.6-fold higher in DIM treated tumors than in control tumors (Fig. 6F). Similar results were observed in the cell culture after DIM treatment, supporting that DIM can increase apoptosis both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Together, these results suggest that DIM-related tumor growth suppression is associated with the downregulation of PCGEM1 and AR3 possibly through its interaction with PCGEM1 promoter (Fig. 7).

Discussion

Although the prognosis for prostate cancer is in general favorable, still more than 32,000 U.S. men die of metastatic disease annually⁴. This is largely attributed to the development of castration resistance. It is well known that multiple factors have been implicated in castration resistance; expression of constitutively active AR splice variants plays a significant role. Our previous work suggests a role for PCGEM1 in regulation of AR splice variants





.....

such as AR3¹⁹. In the present study, we provide further evidence that androgen deprivation induces PCGEM1 through p54/nrb, leading to expression of AR3 and castration resistance.

P54/nrb is a multi-functional nuclear protein involved in a variety of nuclear processes²⁵. Especially, p54/nrb plays a role in RNA splicing and gene regulation²⁶. Regarding gene regulation, p54/nrb is well known to regulate hormone receptor signaling. For instance, p54/nrb is necessary for glucocorticoid induction of occludin and claudin-5 expression, and has been implicated in normal blood-retinal barrier induction *in vivo*²⁷. Of interest, p54/nrb may function as an activator or repressor. In one case, p54/nrb serves as a transcriptional corepressor of progesterone receptor (PR)²⁸. In addition, p54/nrb along with PSF suppresses AR transcriptional activity, which can be reversed by the inhibition of histone deacetylase activity²⁹. In another case, p54/nrb has been shown to interact with AR in a ligand-dependent manner, thus functioning as a coactivator of AR to potentiate transcription³⁰. Finally, p54/nrb plays an important role in cAMP-dependent activation of CREB target³¹. Therefore, the identification of p54/nrb as a PCGEM1 transcriptional regulator in this study further expands the repertoire of its targeted genes including both coding genes and lncRNAs.

As a transcriptional regulator, p54/nrb may provoke DNA-binding proteins to form a functional complex or enhance repressor activity to inhibit transcriptional activity^{28,30}. P54/nrb has been shown to regulate gene expression by binding to the unmethylated promoter³², enhancer region³³, or independence of direct contact with nucleic acids³⁴. Until now there is no information available as to whether p54/nrb can regulate expression of lncRNAs. Our work provides a first piece of evidence that p54/nrb is a positive regulator of PCGEM1, by physically interacting with the PCGEM1 promoter. Knockdown of p54/nrb by RNAi or KO by CRISPR/Cas9 causes a significant reduction of the PCGEM1 level; on the other hand, re-expression of p54/nrb in the KO cells increases the PCGEM1 level.

Although androgen deprivation induces PCGEM1 expression, it has no effect on the p54/nrb. In consistent with this finding, while the PCGEM1 level is higher in castration resistant CWR22Rv1 cells than in androgen sensitive LNCaP cells, there is no difference for p54/nrb in these two cell lines. Moreover, DIM is capable of suppressing PCGEM1, but has no effect on the p54/nrb level. Instead, the interaction of p54/nrb with PCGEM1 promoter is critical to PCGEM1 expression. For instance, DIM significantly reduces the binding of p54/nrb to



Figure 5. DIM decreases PCGEM1 expression by preventing p54/nrb from binding to PCGEM1 promoter. (A) CWR22Rv1 cells were treated with vehicle control (DMSO) or the indicated concentrations of DIM for 24 h, followed by qRT-PCR analysis. (B) CWR22Rv1 cells were treated with vehicle control (DMSO) or 20 μ M of DIM for 48 h and 72 h, and the AR, AR-v, and AR3 protein levels were determined by Western blot. (C) Binding of p54/nrb to the PCGEM1 promoter in CWR22Rv1 cells, as detected by ChIP assays. ChIP assays with CWR22Rv1 cells under 20 μ M of DIM for 3 days were performed using p54/nrb antibody, and the precipitated chromatins were PCR-amplified with the use of specific primers (PCGEM1-ChIP, 5.1/3.1 and PCGEM1-ChIP, 5.5/3.5). SUMO antibody serves as a negative control. (D) Relative expression of p54/nrb in CWR22Rv1, LNCaP cells in the presence (complete) or absence of androgen (androgen-deprivation; AD) for 7 days, as detected by Western blot. (E) Androgen deprivation promotes the binding of p54/nrb with the PCGEM1 promoter. ChIP assays with LNCaP cells in the presence or absence of androgen (AD) for 3 days were performed using p54/nrb antibody, and the precipitated chromatins were PCR-amplified with the use of specific primers (PCGEM1-ChIP 5.1/3.1 and PCGEM1 promoter. ChIP assays with LNCaP cells in the presence or absence of androgen (AD) for 3 days were performed using p54/nrb antibody, and the precipitated chromatins were PCR-amplified with the use of specific primers (PCGEM1-ChIP-5.1/3.1 and PCGEM1-ChIP-5.5/3.5). CWR22Rv1 cells serve as a control. Values are mean \pm SE (n = 3). **p < 0.01. Full-length gels and blots are included in the Supplementary Information file.

the PCGEM1 promoter (Fig. 5C); in contrast, androgen deprivation increases this binding (Fig. 5E). Hence, in addition to being a chemopreventive or therapeutic agent, DIM provides a research tool for dissection of p54/ nrb-mediated regulation of PCGEM1.

The detailed mechanism of p54/nrb-mediated PCGEM1 expression still remains to be determined. At least two possibilities exist. One possibility is that the interaction of p54/nrb with the PCGEM1 promoter may make the local DNA structure more accessible to specific binding proteins or basic transcription machinery³⁴. Another possibility is related to the primary structure of p54/nrb. It is known that p54/nrb has stretches of glutamines and a proline-rich region in its N-terminus and C-terminus, respectively, and these domains have been implicated in protein-protein interactions³⁵. Through interaction of these putative domains with DNA-binding proteins, p54/ nrb might also facilitate the formation of protein-DNA complexes, leading to activation of transcription.

DIM belongs to a member of naturally occurring plant alkaloids and is found in abundance in cruciferous vegetables such as broccoli³⁶. It is a potent agent for the inhibition of prostate cancer cell growth through multiple cellular signaling pathways³⁷. Of interest, DIM is also implicated in the regulation of AR signaling²⁴. For example, BR-DIM (formulated DIM) treatment downregulates the expression of AR variants and AR3 in CWR22Rv1 cells



Figure 6. DIM reduces prostate tumor growth by suppression of PCGEM1 in the castrated xenograft mouse model. (A) Effect of DIM on tumor growth in a xenograft mouse model. Male SCID mice were first castrated and one week later, CWR22Rv1 cells were injected into flanks as described in the materials and methods. Arrows indicate DIM administration. Tumor growth was measured every other day after 7 days of injection. (B) Tumors were harvested at day 31 and weighed. Actual tumor size after harvest was shown in the right panel. (C) DIM suppresses Ki-67 signal. The excised tumors were formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, and stained with Ki-67 antibody. (D) DIM suppresses PCGEM1 and (E) AR3 in xenograft tumors. RNA was extracted from three individual tumors. (F) DIM induces apoptosis in tumors as determined by TUNEL assays. Apoptotic cells were counted in 10 random fields per group under a fluorescent microscope (×20 magnification). Values in D and E are mean \pm SE (n = 3). **p < 0.01.



Figure 7. A proposed model for p54/nrb-mediated regulation of PCGEM1. Once cells are treated with DIM, DIM prevents p54/nrb from binding to PCGEM1 promoter, and decreases the expression of PCGEM1, thus resulting in the down-regulation of AR3. TSS: transcription start site.

and suppresses the formation of prostaspheres derived from CWR22Rv1 cells³⁷. Moreover, a phase II clinical trial (NCT00888654) has been conducted to assess the value of BR-DIM in the treatment of PCa³⁸. Although

this might involve expression of microRNAs, the role of DIM on lncRNA expression is not clear. Thus, our study provides an additional molecular explanation for suppression of castration resistance by DIM.

The number of lncRNAs is increasing rapidly, driven primarily by advanced technology such as next-generation RNA sequencing and bioinformatics analysis tools^{39,40}. Since lncRNAs are often transcribed by RNA polymerase II, their expression can be regulated by well-established transcription factors such as p53 or Myc^{41,42} or in a tissue-specific manner⁴³. In addition, genetic aberrations (deletions and amplifications)^{44,45} or epigenetic alterations (DNA methylation or histone modification)^{46,47} may be attributed to abnormal lncRNA expression. We herein present evidence that PCGEM1 can be regulated through p54/nrb in response to androgen deprivation. Thus, ADT is a double edge sword. On one hand, it kills prostate tumor cells; on the other hand, it also induces PCGEM1 such that these surviving cells become castration resistant. Accordingly, interruption of ADT-induced PCGEM1 expression may help to overcome castration resistance.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. Primary antibody against full-length AR (D6F11; #5153) was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology Inc. (Danvers, MA); AR3 (AG10008) from Precision Antibody (Columbia, MD); SUMO-1 (D-11; sc-5308) and p54/nrb (E3; sc-376806) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX); Histone H3K4me3 (Catalog No.39159) from Active Motif (Carlsbad, CA); GAPDH (Clone:1E6D9) from ProteinTech (Chicago, IL). Secondary antibodies conjugated with IRDye 800CW or IRDye 680 were purchased from LI-COR Biosciences (Lincoln, NE). Pooled siRNAs against p54/nrb and control siRNA were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. PCR primers, biotin-labeled PCGEM1 probes and control oligos were purchased from IDT (Coralville, IA). 3,3'-Diindolylmethane (DIM) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Cell culture. Prostate cancer LNCaP and CWR22Rv1 cells were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA); Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Lonza, Walkersville, MD) with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis). Cells under androgen-deprivation were grown in phenol free RPMI 1640 supplemented with charcoal stripped 5% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich). All media contained 2-mM glutamine, 100 units of penicillin/ml and 100 mg of strepto-mycin/ml. Cells were incubated at 37 °C and supplemented with 5% CO₂ in a humidified chamber. LNCaP and CWR22Rv1 cells were authenticated by DDC Medical (http://www.ddcmedical.com) using the short tandem repeat profiling method.

Construction of plasmids. PCR reactions for cloning purpose used high fidelity enzyme Phusion (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA). Expression vector for p54/nrb was pCDH-EF1-MCS-GFP-T2A-PU from SBI (CD550A-1); Cas9-dual gRNA vector was previously described²² and construction of p54/nrb dual gRNA (Supplementary Table 1) followed the same strategy as previously described²². Donor vector was constructed by firstly amplifying left and right arms from the human genomic DNA and then sequentially cloned into the donor vector carrying GFP-PU²². For luciferase assays, respective fragments upstream of PCGEM1 transcription start site were separately cloned into pGL3-basic vector at Kpn I and Xho I sites. All PCR products were verified by DNA sequencing.

Knockout of p54/nrb by CRISPR/Cas9. Selection of p54/nrb knockout clones in CWR22Rv1 cells was similar to what had been described previously²². Briefly, p54/nrb dual gRNA and donor vector were co-transfected into CWR22Rv1 cells. One week later, puromycin was added 1 μ g/ml to cell culture and were further grown for 2 weeks. The surviving cells were subject to cell sorting by FACS based on GFP signal into 96-well plates, followed by expansion in 12-well plates. Initial identification was carried out by genomic PCR. Potential clones were further verified by qRT-PCR and Western blot.

Design and cloning of SAM sgRNAs. We designed 5 sgRNAs to target 1 KB upstream of PCGEM1 from transcription start site (TSS) using CHOPCHOP program⁴⁸ (https://chopchop.rc.fas.harvard.edu/). Self-complementary oligos were ligated into the BsmB1 site of lenti sgRNA (MS2)_zeo backbone²³, a gift from Feng Zhang (Addgene plasmid # 61426).

RNA isolation, RT-PCR and qRT-PCR. We isolated total RNA using Direct-zolTM RNA MiniPrep (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) per the manufacturer's protocol and used 0.5μ g RNA to synthesize cDNA by RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) with random primer mix (New England BioLabs). The resultant cDNA was used for PCR reactions. PCR annealing temperature varied depending on the primers used. To specifically detect expression of PCGEM1, full-length AR, AR3, we used the SYBR Green method with primers described previously¹⁹. β -actin or GADPH was used as an internal control. Delta-delta Ct values were used to determine their relative expression as fold changes, as previously described²².

Western blot. Cells were harvested and protein was extracted from cells as previously described⁴⁹. The protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and samples were separated in sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gels.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation Assays. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed using EZ-ChIPTM (Millipore, Billerica, MA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were first fixed with 1% formaldehyde, and chromatin DNA was isolated and bound protein was digested with proteinase K. PCR was performed using primers PCGEM1-ChIP-5.1 and PCGEM1-ChIP-3.1 or control primers PCGEM1-ChIP-5.5 and PCGEM1-ChIP-3.5/ PCGEM1-ChIP-5.8 and PCGEM1-ChIP-3.8 (Supplementary Table 1). IgG and an unrelated antibody (anti-SUMO) were used as negative controls.

Transfection. Cells were transfected with siRNAs using RNAfectin reagent (Applied Biological Materials, Richmond, BC, Canada) or plasmid DNA using DNAfectin (Applied Biological Materials) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Luciferase assays. Luciferase assays were performed using Dual-LuciferaseTM Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, LNCaP cells were first transfected with appropriate plasmids in 12-well plates, and then cultured in the absence or presence of androgen. Three days after transfection, the cells were harvested and lysed for luciferase assays. Renilla luciferase was used for normalization.

Protein ID identification by mass spectrometry. PCGEM1 promoter DNA probes used for precipitation assays were prepared by standard PCR using biotin-labeled primers. After precipitation, samples were separated in SDS-PAGE, followed by silver staining using PierceTM Silver Stain Kit (Fisher Scientific) as previously described⁵⁰. Candidate protein bands were carefully cut out and sent out for mass spectrometry analysis provided by Applied Biomics (Hayward, CA).

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to detect Ki-67 in xenograft tumors using UltraVisionTM ONE Detection System (Fisher Scientific) per the manufacturer's protocol as previously described⁵¹.

TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling) assay. TUNEL assays were performed in both *in situ* CWR22Rv1 cells from xenograft and *in vitro* CWR22Rv1 cells treated with vehicle or DIM using *In Situ* Cell Death Detection Kit, TMR red (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) per the manufacturer's protocol. The rest of the procedure has been previously described⁵².

MTT assay. MTT assay was performed to determine the effect of DIM in cell growth in response to androgen deprivation. 2000 (complete medium) or 5000 (androgen-deprivation medium) LNCaP cells per well were seeded in triplicate into 96-well plates and grown in the presence or absence of 50μ M of DIM or CWR22Rv1. The measurement was carried out 4 days after DIM treatment. MTT assay was also performed to determine the effect of p54/nrb in cell growth in response to androgen deprivation. Two thousands of CWR22Rv1 cells per well were seeded in triplicate into 96-well plates and the measurement was carried out for 0~6 days after seeding.

Xenograft mouse model. The animal studies were conducted in accordance with NIH animal use guidelines and the experimental protocol approved by the UMMC's Animal Care and Use Committee. Male SCID mice at 5~6 week old purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, MA) were first castrated, and one week later CWR22Rv1 cells were injected subcutaneously into these mice with 1 million cells containing 50% matrigel per spot. One week after injection, mice were randomly grouped to receive intraperitoneal injection of 20 mg/kg DIM or vehicle (corn oil) every other day for 10 days. Tumor growth was monitored every other day and harvested at day 31 after injection. Tumor volumes were calculated as: ($\pi/6$) × [length (mm) × width² (mm²)].

Statistical Analysis. Comparisons between groups were analyzed using the Student's *t*-test (two groups) or a one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey test (multiple groups). Differences with *P* values less than 0.05 are considered significant.

References

- 1. Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 65, 5–29, doi: 10.3322/caac.21254 (2015).
- Huggins, C. & Hodges, C. V. Studies on prostatic cancer. I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. CA Cancer J Clin 22, 232–240 (1972).
- Massard, C. & Fizazi, K. Targeting continued androgen receptor signaling in prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 17, 3876–3883, doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2815 (2011).
- Grasso, C. S. et al. The mutational landscape of lethal castration-resistant prostate cancer. Nature 487, 239–243, doi: 10.1038/ nature11125 (2012).
- 5. Sun, S. *et al.* Castration resistance in human prostate cancer is conferred by a frequently occurring androgen receptor splice variant. *J Clin Invest* **120**, 2715–2730, doi: 10.1172/JCI41824 (2010).
- Watson, P. A. et al. Constitutively active androgen receptor splice variants expressed in castration-resistant prostate cancer require full-length androgen receptor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 16759–16765, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1012443107 (2010).
- Antonarakis, E. S. et al. AR-V7 and resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone in prostate cancer. The New England journal of medicine 371, 1028–1038, doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1315815 (2014).
- Xie, C. et al. NONCODEv4: exploring the world of long non-coding RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 42, D98–103, doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkt1222 (2014).
- 9. Huarte, M. The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer. Nat Med 21, 1253-1261, doi: 10.1038/nm.3981 (2015).
- Srikantan, V. et al. PCGEM1, a prostate-specific gene, is overexpressed in prostate cancer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 97, 12216–12221, doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.22.12216 (2000).
- 11. Petrovics, G. *et al.* Elevated expression of PCGEM1, a prostate-specific gene with cell growth-promoting function, is associated with high-risk prostate cancer patients. *Oncogene* 23, 605–611, doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207069 (2004).
- Fu, X., Ravindranath, L., Tran, N., Petrovics, G. & Srivastava, S. Regulation of apoptosis by a prostate-specific and prostate cancerassociated noncoding gene, PCGEM1. DNA and cell biology 25, 135–141, doi: 10.1089/dna.2006.25.135 (2006).
- Romanuik, T. L. et al. LNCaP Atlas: gene expression associated with in vivo progression to castration-recurrent prostate cancer. BMC Med Genomics 3, 43, doi: 10.1186/1755-8794-3-43 (2010).
- 14. Yang, L. et al. lncRNA-dependent mechanisms of androgen-receptor-regulated gene activation programs. Nature 500, 598–602, doi: 10.1038/nature12451 (2013).
- Yang, Y. S. et al. NonO, a non-POU-domain-containing, octamer-binding protein, is the mammalian homolog of Drosophila nonAdiss. Mol Cell Biol 13, 5593–5603 (1993).

- Passon, D. M. et al. Structure of the heterodimer of human NONO and paraspeckle protein component 1 and analysis of its role in subnuclear body formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109, 4846–4850, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1120792109 (2012).
- 17. Zhu, Z. et al. p54/NONO regulates lipid metabolism and breast cancer growth through SREBP-1A. Oncogene, doi: 10.1038/ onc.2015.197 (2015).
- Schiffner, S., Zimara, N., Schmid, R. & Bosserhoff, A. K. p54nrb is a new regulator of progression of malignant melanoma. *Carcinogenesis* 32, 1176–1182, doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgr103 (2011).
- Zhang, Z. et al. Regulation of androgen receptor splice variant AR3 by PCGEM1. Oncotarget 7, 15481–15491, doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.7139 (2016).
- Heintzman, N. D. et al. Distinct and predictive chromatin signatures of transcriptional promoters and enhancers in the human genome. Nat Genet 39, 311–318, doi: 10.1038/ng1966 (2007).
- Barski, A. *et al.* High-resolution profiling of histone methylations in the human genome. *Cell* 129, 823–837, doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2007.05.009 (2007).
- 22. Ho, T. T. et al. Targeting non-coding RNAs with the CRISPR/Cas9 system in human cell lines. Nucleic acids research 43, e17, doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1198 (2015).
- Konermann, S. et al. Genome-scale transcriptional activation by an engineered CRISPR-Cas9 complex. Nature 517, 583–588, doi: 10.1038/nature14136 (2015).
- Ahmed, A., Ali, S. & Sarkar, F. H. Advances in androgen receptor targeted therapy for prostate cancer. J Cell Physiol 229, 271–276, doi: 10.1002/jcp.24456 (2014).
- 25. Shav-Tal, Y. & Zipori, D. PSF and p54(nrb)/NonO-multi-functional nuclear proteins. FEBS letters 531, 109-114 (2002).
- Basu, A., Dong, B., Krainer, A. R. & Howe, C. C. The intracisternal A-particle proximal enhancer-binding protein activates transcription and is identical to the RNA- and DNA-binding protein p54nrb/NonO. *Mol Cell Biol* 17, 677–686 (1997).
- 27. Keil, J. M., Liu, X. & Antonetti, D. A. Glucocorticoid induction of occludin expression and endothelial barrier requires transcription factor p54 NONO. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* **54**, 4007–4015, doi: 10.1167/iovs.13-11980 (2013).
- Dong, X. *et al.* p54nrb is a transcriptional corepressor of the progesterone receptor that modulates transcription of the laborassociated gene, connexin 43 (Gja1). *Mol Endocrinol* 23, 1147–1160, doi: 10.1210/me.2008-0357 (2009).
- Dong, X., Šweet, J., Challis, J. R., Brown, T. & Lye, S. J. Transcriptional activity of androgen receptor is modulated by two RNA splicing factors, PSF and p54nrb. *Molecular and cellular biology* 27, 4863–4875, doi: 10.1128/MCB.02144-06 (2007).
- Ishitani, K. et al. p54nrb acts as a transcriptional coactivator for activation function 1 of the human androgen receptor. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 306, 660–665 (2003).
- Amelio, A. L. et al. A coactivator trap identifies NONO (p54nrb) as a component of the cAMP-signaling pathway. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104, 20314–20319, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0707999105 (2007).
- 32. Park, Y., Lee, J. M., Hwang, M. Y., Son, G. H. & Geum, D. NonO binds to the CpG island of oct4 promoter and functions as a transcriptional activator of oct4 gene expression. *Molecules and cells* **35**, 61–69, doi: 10.1007/s10059-013-2273-1 (2013).
- Yadav, S. P. et al. The transcription-splicing protein NonO/p54nrb and three NonO-interacting proteins bind to distal enhancer region and augment rhodopsin expression. Hum Mol Genet 23, 2132–2144, doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddt609 (2014).
- Yang, Y. S., Yang, M. C., Tucker, P. W. & Capra, J. D. NonO enhances the association of many DNA-binding proteins to their targets. Nucleic Acids Res 25, 2284–2292 (1997).
- 35. Gerber, H. P. *et al.* Transcriptional activation modulated by homopolymeric glutamine and proline stretches. *Science* **263**, 808–811 (1994).
- McDanell, R., McLean, A. E., Hanley, A. B., Heaney, R. K. & Fenwick, G. R. Chemical and biological properties of indole glucosinolates (glucobrassicins): a review. Food and chemical toxicology: an international journal published for the British Industrial Biological Research Association 26, 59–70 (1988).
- 37. Kong, D. *et al.* Androgen receptor splice variants contribute to prostate cancer aggressiveness through induction of EMT and expression of stem cell marker genes. *Prostate* **75**, 161–174, doi: 10.1002/pros.22901 (2015).
- Li, Y. & Sarkar, F. H. Role of BioResponse 3,3'-Diindolylmethane in the Treatment of Human Prostate Cancer: Clinical Experience. Medical principles and practice : international journal of the Kuwait University, Health Science Centre, doi: 10.1159/000439307 (2015).
- Zhao, Y. et al. NONCODE 2016: an informative and valuable data source of long non-coding RNAs. Nucleic acids research 44, D203–208, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1252 (2016).
- 40. Bu, D. et al. NONCODE v3.0: integrative annotation of long noncoding RNAs. Nucleic acids research 40, D210–215, doi: 10.1093/ nar/gkr1175 (2012).
- Kim, T. et al. Role of MYC-regulated long noncoding RNAs in cell cycle regulation and tumorigenesis. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 107, doi: 10.1093/jnci/dju505 (2015).
- Zhang, A., Xu, M. & Mo, Y. Y. Role of the lncRNA-p53 regulatory network in cancer. *Journal of molecular cell biology* 6, 181–191, doi: 10.1093/jmcb/mju013 (2014).
- 43. Prensner, J. R. & Chinnaiyan, A. M. The emergence of lncRNAs in cancer biology. *Cancer discovery* 1, 391–407, doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-11-0209 (2011).
- Prensner, J. R. et al. Transcriptome sequencing across a prostate cancer cohort identifies PCAT-1, an unannotated lincRNA implicated in disease progression. Nature biotechnology 29, 742–749, doi: 10.1038/nbt.1914 (2011).
- 45. Calin, G. A. *et al.* Ultraconserved regions encoding ncRNAs are altered in human leukemias and carcinomas. *Cancer Cell* 12, 215–229, doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2007.07.027 (2007).
- 46. Amort, T. et al. Long non-coding RNAs as targets for cytosine methylation. RNA Biol 10, 1003-1008, doi: 10.4161/rna.24454 (2013).
- Yang, F. et al. Repression of the long noncoding RNA-LET by histone deacetylase 3 contributes to hypoxia-mediated metastasis. Mol Cell 49, 1083–1096, doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2013.01.010 (2013).
- Montague, T. G., Cruz, J. M., Gagnon, J. A., Church, G. M. & Valen, E. CHOPCHOP: a CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN web tool for genome editing. *Nucleic acids research* 42, W401–407, doi: 10.1093/nar/gku410 (2014).
- Sachdeva, M. et al. p53 represses c-Myc through induction of the tumor suppressor miR-145. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106, 3207–3212, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0808042106 (2009).
- 50. Zhang, A. *et al.* The human long non-coding RNA-RoR is a p53 repressor in response to DNA damage. *Cell research* 23, 340–350, doi: 10.1038/cr.2012.164 (2013).
- 51. Huang, J. et al. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 promotes breast tumor growth by suppression of p27 (Kip1). Cell death & disease 5, e1008, doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.541 (2014).
- 52. Zhang, Z. et al. Negative regulation of lncRNA GAS5 by miR-21. Cell death and differentiation 20, 1558–1568, doi: 10.1038/ cdd.2013.110 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grant R01 CA154989 (Y.M.) and Department of Defense Prostate Cancer Research Program grant PC110379 (Y.M.).

Author Contributions

T.-T.H. conceived the study, designed experiments, conducted research, and wrote the paper. J.H., N.Z. and P.K. conducted research. X.Z. and F.W. provided reagents and advices. Z.Z. and X.D. conceived the study, and edited the paper. Y.-Y.M. conceived the study, designed experiments, and wrote the paper.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Ho, T.-T. *et al.* Regulation of PCGEM1 by p54/nrb in prostate cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34529; doi: 10.1038/srep34529 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016