

Citation: Song X, Zhang C, Zhao M, Chen H, Liu X, Chen J, et al. (2015) Steroid Receptor Coactivator-3 (SRC-3/AIB1) as a Novel Therapeutic Target in Triple Negative Breast Cancer and Its Inhibition with a Phospho-Bufalin Prodrug. PLoS ONE 10(10): e0140011. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140011

Editor: Irina U Agoulnik, Florida International University, UNITED STATES

Received: August 18, 2015

Accepted: September 8, 2015

Published: October 2, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Song et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work is supported in part by the National Institutes of Health [HD076596 to D.M.L.; DK059820 to B.W.O.; R01CA112403 to J.X.; and 1R01CA181368 and 1R01CA183976 to X-S.W.], the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas [R1104 to J.W.; RP100348 and RP101251 to B.W.O.; and RP120732-P5 to J.X.], the Welch Foundation [Q-1798 to J.W.], the Susan G. Komen Foundation [PG12221410 to B.W.O.], the Prostate Cancer Foundation [to B.W.O.], the Clayton Foundation and **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Steroid Receptor Coactivator-3 (SRC-3/AIB1) as a Novel Therapeutic Target in Triple Negative Breast Cancer and Its Inhibition with a Phospho-Bufalin Prodrug

Xianzhou Song¹, Chengwei Zhang¹, Mingkun Zhao^{1,5}, Hui Chen¹, Xing Liu¹, Jianwei Chen¹, David M. Lonard², Li Qin², Jianming Xu², Xiaosong Wang³, Feng Li², Bert W. O'Malley²*, Jin Wang^{1,4}*

Department of Pharmacology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, United States of America,
Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, United States of America,
Lester & Sue Smith Breast Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, United States of America,
Center for Drug Discovery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, United States of America,
Integrative Molecular and Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, United States of America,

* wangj@bcm.edu (JW); berto@bcm.edu (BWO)

Abstract

Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) has the poorest prognosis of all types of breast cancer and currently lacks efficient targeted therapy. Chemotherapy is the traditional standardof-care for TNBC, but is frequently accompanied by severe side effects. Despite the fact that high expression of steroid receptor coactivator 3 (SRC–3) is correlated with poor survival in estrogen receptor positive breast cancer patients, its role in TNBC has not been extensively investigated. Here, we show that high expression of SRC–3 correlates with both poor overall survival and post progression survival in TNBC patients, suggesting that SRC– 3 can serve as a prognostic marker for TNBC. Furthermore, we demonstrated that bufalin, a SRC–3 small molecule inhibitor, when introduced even at nM concentrations, can significantly reduce TNBC cell viability and motility. However, because bufalin has minimal water solubility, its *in vivo* application is limited. Therefore, we developed a water soluble prodrug, 3-phospho-bufalin, to facilitate its *in vivo* administration. In addition, we demonstrated that 3-phospho-bufalin can effectively inhibit tumor growth in an orthotopic TNBC mouse model, suggesting its potential application as a targeted therapy for TNBC treatment.

Introduction

Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) accounts for $\sim 15-20\%$ of breast cancer incidence [1]. These tumors are highly aggressive, metastatic, and have the worst short-term prognosis among all breast cancer types [1,2]. Unlike estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast cancer, which can be effectively treated with aromatase inhibitors, tamoxifen or other selective



the Dunn Foundation [to B.W.O.], the Center for Drug Discovery and the Metabolomics Core Facility [to F. L.] at Baylor College of Medicine, the Dan L. Duncan Cancer Center, the Texas Medical Center Digestive Diseases Center, the John S. Dunn Gulf Coast Consortium for Chemical Genomics, and the Shared Equipment Authority instrumentation at Rice University. The sponsors had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests.

estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), there is no targeted therapy currently approved for TNBC. Chemotherapy and surgery are the current standard-of-care (SOC) for TNBC [1,3,4]. Besides the severe side effects associated with chemotherapies, the selection pressure induced by nonspecific chemotherapy drugs and the development of drug resistance even can promote metastasis [5–8]. Surgical removal of the primary tumor also may promote proliferation of metastases, in part due to excessive release of growth factors intended for wound healing [9–11]. Targeted therapy is limited because the vast majority of inhibitors can inhibit only one pathway. Consequently, drug resistance occurs when tumors use alternative growth signaling pathways, subverting the original therapeutic target. Because of this, there is an urgent need to identify applicable targets and to develop novel treatments for either pre-surgical neoadjuvant therapy or in combination with SOC to improve TNBC treatment outcomes.

Steroid receptor coactivator 3 (SRC–3, also known as AIB1, NCOA3, ACTR, pCIP, RAC3, and TRAM1) is one of three homologous members of the p160 SRC family [12–14]. As a master regulator of cellular growth and organism development, SRC–3 sits at the nexus of many intracellular signaling pathways that are critical for cancer proliferation and metastasis. It is well established that SRC–3 plays a pro-proliferative role in primary tumor formation in the mammary gland [15]. SRC–3 knockout protected mice from oncogene and chemical carcinogen induced mammary gland tumorigenesis [16,17]. SRC–3 also regulates cell motility, invasion and tumor metastasis. Absence of SRC–3 in a transgenic breast cancer mouse model substantially reduced mammary tumor metastasis to the lung [18]. Therefore, our prior studies demonstrate that inhibition of SRC–3 can impact many cancer signaling pathways simultaneously. It is critical to note that down-regulation or inhibition of SRC–3 in normal cells at moderate doses does not influence adult mice life span [19]. Due to these favorable features discussed above, SRC–3 is an ideal target for prospective TNBC therapeutics.

Overexpression of SRC–3 was linked to clinical and experimental endocrine resistance of ER+ breast cancer [20–22]. However, the role of SRC–3 in TNBC has not yet been investigated. Prior to initiating our study, we analyzed the KM-plotter database [23] and noted that SRC–3 expression levels negatively correlated with the overall survival of TNBC patients. Therefore, we believe that SRC–3 could be a promising target for this subset of breast cancer cases.

Identifying small molecule inhibitors (SMIs) for SRC-3 is challenging because SRC-3 is a large and mostly non-structured nuclear protein (32). We initially reported gossypol as a "proof-of-concept" SRC-3 SMI [24]. Despite the encouraging success of gossypol as the first selective SRC-3 SMI, its IC₅₀ values are micromolar, which is suboptimal for drug development and can create off-target toxicity [24]. Taking advantage of high throughput screening, we found a series of cardiac glycosides (CGs) that can function as SRC-3 SMIs [25]. The most potent candidate, bufalin, directly binds to SRC-3 in its receptor interacting domain and selectively reduces the levels of SRC-3 in ER+ breast cancer cell lines without perturbing overall protein expression patterns [25]. Additionally, bufalin exhibits IC₅₀ values in the low nM range with selective toxicity towards cancer cells, keeping normal cell viability unperturbed [25–27].

However, the low solubility of bufalin has limited its development as a viable therapeutic agent. In our previous study, we utilized nanotechnology to facilitate bufalin delivery and achieved inhibition of tumor growth *in vivo*.[25] In this study, we explored an alternative prodrug strategy that converts water insoluble bufalin into a soluble analog: 3-phospho-bufalin (p-Buf). P-Buf can be hydrolyzed by endogenous phosphatases under physiological conditions to regenerate bufalin. This prodrug strategy avoids sudden exposure to high concentrations of free bufalin, which may cause acute cardiotoxicity. In addition, we demonstrate that p-Buf can significantly reduce tumor growth in an orthotopic TNBC model.

Materials and Methods

Bioinformatics Analyses of SRC-3 Levels in TNBC Patients

The bioinformatics analyses of SRC-3 levels in TNBC patients were performed on kmplot.com [23]. The Affymetrix probe 209061_at (NCOA3) was used for analyses. The groups with high and low SRC-3 expression levels were determined using "auto select best cutoff". Patient cohort with the intrinsic basal subtype was included for all the analyses, including overall survival, distant metastasis free survival, and post progression survival. The 2014 version (n = 4142) of the database was chosen. The detailed parameters used for the bioinformatics analyses can be found in Table 1.

Cell Culture

Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell lines HCC1143, SUM149PT, SUM159PT and MDA-MB-231 were obtained from ATCC. The MDA-MB-231-LM3-3 (LM3-3) cell line was developed from lung metastasis derived from a MDA-MB-231-LM2 xenograft tumor in the mammary fat pad of a SCID mouse [28].

Table 1. Parameters used for bioinformatics analyses on kmplot.com.

Figures	1A	1B	1C	1D	
Affymetrix ID	209061_at NCOA3	209061_at NCOA3	209061_at NCOA3	209061_at NCOA3	
Survival	OS	OS	DMFS	PPS	
Auto select best cutoff	Checked	Checked	Checked	Checked	
Follow up threshold	All	All	All	All	
Censore at threshold	Checked	Checked	Checked	Checked	
Compute median over entire database	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	
Cutoff value used in analysis	470	470	590	494	
Expression range of the probe	155–1977	155–1978	135–1977	230–975	
Probe set option	User selected	User selected	User selected	User selected	
Invert HR values below 1	Not checked	Not checked	Not checked	Not checked	
Restrictions					
ER status	All	All	All	All	
derive ER status from gene expression data	Not checked	Not checked	Not checked	Not checked	
PR status	All	All	All	All	
HER2 status	All	All	All	All	
Lymph node status	All	All	All	All	
Intrinsic subtype	Basal	Basal	Basal	Basal	
TP53 status	All	All	All	All	
Grade	All	All	All	All	
Use earlier release of the database	2014 version (n = 4142)	2014 version (n = 4142)	2014version (n = 4142)	2014version (n = 4142)	
Use following dataset for the analysis	All	All	All	All	
Quality control					
Remove redundant samples	Checked	Checked	Checked	Checked	
Array quality control	Exclude biased arrays	Exclude biased arrays	Exclude biased arrays	Exclude biased arrays	
Proportional hazards assumption	Checked	0.1267	Checked	0.2506	
Cohort					
Cohorts	Not Selected	Untreated patients	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Results					
P values	0.029	0.0245	0.1647	0.0045	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140011.t001

The HCC1143 cell line was grown in RPMI–1640 medium, SUM149PT and SUM159PT cell lines were grown in F–12 medium, and MDA-MB–231 cells were grown in DMEM. All culture media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. All cell lines were grown in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Primary hepatocytes were isolated from an adult male mouse (C57BL) by collagenase perfusion (0.8 mg/mL; Sigma–Aldrich, C5138) through the portal vein as described previously [29], and maintained in M199 medium with 10% FBS. The viability of freshly isolated hepatocytes was verified using Trypan blue staining.

Cell Cytotoxicity Assays

TNBC cells (5000 to 7000 cells per well) were seeded in 96-well plates in medium supplemented with 10% FBS. On the next day, when cells reached 70% to 80% confluence, bufalin (Santa Cruz) was added to achieve an array of final concentrations (0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 500 and 1000 nM). After 72 hours of bufalin treatment, cell viability was measured by MTT assay. Cell viabilities relative to untreated control cells were plotted using Graphpad Prism. The IC₅₀ values for bufalin were calculated based on the Hill-Slope model.

Western Blotting Assays

Cell lysate was prepared by adding RIPA buffer (containing 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X–100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 140 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitors) to cells kept on ice. After spinning samples at 16,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, insoluble materials in the collected cell lysate were precipitated and discarded. The total protein concentration was measured using a Bradford protein assay. An equivalent mass of each sample was loaded and electrophoretically separated by 10% SDS-PAGE. Then proteins on PAGE the gel were transferred to a PVDF membrane. Anti-SRC–3 (Cell Signaling Technology Co. Cat# 2126) and anti-actin (Cell Signaling Technology Co. Cat# 8457) were used to probe the membranes.

Single Cell Motility Assays

Microtiter 96-well plates pre-coated with collagen V were covered with beads provided by a Cell Motility HCS kit (Thermo Scientific Co. Cat# K0800011). 500 LM3-3 cells were seeded in each bead-coated well. After 18 hours of incubation, the cell tracks impressed upon the beads were fixed with 4% paraformaledhyde (PFA) and photographed with a phase contrast microscope. The cell track areas (pixels) were counted using Image J. To attain statistical significance, more than 50 cell tracks in each sample were counted.

Synthesis of 3-Phospho-Bufalin

<u>3-(Di-t-butyl-phospho)-bufalin</u>: Bufalin (100 mg, 0.259 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (DCM, 5 mL) under nitrogen. 1-H-tetrazole (3 mL, 0.45 M in CH₃CN) and di-*t*-butyl diethylphosphoramidite (0.15 mL, 0.518 mmol) were added subsequently. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, then cooled to -78° C in a dry ice-acetone bath. m-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA, 134 mg, 0.777 mmol) in DCM (3 mL) was added dropwise. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (10 mL), washed sequentially with Na₂S₂O₃ solution, NaHCO₃ saturated solution, then brine, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The organic phase was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with hexanes/ethyl acetate eluent (1:2, v/ v) to isolate 3-(di-*t*-butyl-phospho)-bufalin as a white solid (105 mg, two steps with a combined 72% yield). NMR analysis of this product:¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.83 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.66–4.68 (m, 1 H), 2.44–2.48(m, 1H), 2.15–2.23(m, 1H), 2.00–2.08 (m, 1H), 1.33–1.88 (m, 13H), 1.48 (s, 18H), 1.21–1.40 (m, 7H), 0.94 (s, 3H), 0.70 (s, 3H).

<u>3-Phospho-bufalin</u>: 3-(Di-*t*-butyl-phospho)-bufalin (20 mg, 0.035 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of DCM (14 mL) and methanol (2.8 mL), then added to 4N HCl in dioxane (1.0 mL) at 0°C. After stirring at 0°C for 30 min, the solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the target product 3-phospho-bufalin as a white solid (14 mg, 85% yield). Once the purity of the product was verified (determined >99% using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)), it was used without further purification. NMR analysis of this product:¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 7.99 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 6.28 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (br, 1H), 2.53–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.11–2.21 (m, 2H), 1.93–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.84 (m, 12 H), 1.21–1.29 (m, 6H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.72 (s, 3H); ESI-MS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calculated for C₂₄H₃₆O₇P, 467.2; found, 467.0. ESI-HRMS (m/z): [M – H]⁻ calculated for C₂₄H₃₄O₇P, 465.2042; Found, 465.2028.

Animal Studies

All the animal studies were conducted with the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Baylor College of Medicine (BCM). All the mice were sacrificed in a CO_2 chamber. In addition, any animals that showed loss of mobility, weight loss or other symptoms related to tumor growth were euthanized. Tumors were allowed to reach >20% of body weight or ulcerate. All animals were sacrificed and tumors were harvested at no more than 1500 mm³ in volume. In addition, any animal that displayed immobility, huddled posture, inability to eat, ruffled fur, self-mutilation, vocalization, wound dehiscence, hypothermia, or greater than 20% weight loss were euthanized as per BCM guidelines.

Electrocardiogram (EKG) Measurements

Female ICR mice (5 weeks old) were treated with bufalin or 3-phospho-bufalin via intravenous (i.v.) and intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections, respectively, at a range of concentrations. Non-invasive EKG recordings were made using the ECGenie system (Mouse Specifics) at several subsequent time points. All data was collected at a similar hour in the day, as the heart rate is most stable during "inactive" daylight hours [<u>30</u>]. Data acquisition was performed using the program LabChart 6 (AD Instruments). Individual EKG signals were then evaluated using e-MOUSE physiologic waveform analysis software (Mouse Specifics) as previously described [<u>31</u>].

Pharmacokinetic Studies of 3-Phospho-Bufalin

3-Phospho-bufalin (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.) were injected into ICR mice (n = 3). Twenty microliters of blood was collected via the tail vein at 5, 15, 30 min, and 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 h.

To calibrate the quantification of bufalin and 3-phospho-bufalin, solutions of each analyte were prepared by serial dilution in 50% acetonitrile in water. Five quality control (QC) samples at 10 ng/mL, 20 ng/mL, 500 ng/mL, 4000 ng/mL and 8000 ng/mL of plasma were prepared independently of those used for the calibration curves. QC samples were prepared on the day of analysis in the same way as the calibration standards.

Standards, QC samples, and unknown samples were injected into LC-MS/MS for quantitative analysis. The pharmacokinetic parameters of p-Buf were analyzed using WinNonLin. The PK trace was fitted into a non-compartmental extravascular model.

Therapeutic Efficacy of 3-Phospho-Bufalin in an Orthotopic TNBC Mouse Model

To establish orthotopic breast tumors, 0.75×10^{6} MDA-MB-231-LM3-3 cells were injected into one of the second mammary fat pads of nude mice (Charles River Laboratories, female, 6–7 weeks, n = 6/group). Phospho-bufalin was dissolved in PBS for tumor treatment. PBS was injected as the vehicle control. When tumors became palpable, generally 14 days after injection, mice were randomized into two groups. The treatment group was then injected with phosphobufalin subcutaneously (0.75 mg/kg per dose, 3 doses per week) for 3 weeks while the control group received PBS. Tumor lengths and widths were measured three times per week. Tumor sizes were calculated by: (length × width × width)/2.

Immunohistochemistry

The formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue was dried at 58°C for 1 hour in an oven, and deparaffinized by soaking slides in xylene, ethanol, and finally distilled water. Antigen was retrieved by steaming slides in a target retrieval solution, 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0), for 30 minutes. Endogenous peroxidases were blocked with a 3% of hydrogen peroxide solution in PBS buffer. The leftover hydrogen peroxide was removed with PBS rinse. The tissue was blocked with 2.5% of normal serum to reduce nonspecific staining. To stain the SRC–3 antigen, anti-SRC–3 antibody (Mouse source, BD Biosciences Inc. Cat# 611104) was pre-diluted 1:400 in a normal serum blocking solution. To stain the Ki–67 antigen, anti-Ki–67 (Rabbit source, Cell Signaling Technology Inc. Cat#9027) was used in 1:10000 dilution. During the staining procedure, the sections were incubated with HRP-polymer conjugated secondary antibodies (Vector Laboratories, Cat#7401 for rabbit antibody, Cat#MP–2400 for mouse antibody). To visualize the immunostaining, the sections were incubated with a fresh DAB solution (Dako Inc. Cat# K3468) and monitored under a microscope. Once ideal signals were observed, the reaction was stopped by rinsing the slides with water. Nuclear counterstain was also performed by immersing slides in Mayer's Hematoxylin and a bluing reagent.

Results

Overexpression of SRC–3 Correlates with Poor Prognosis in TNBC Patients

Although SRC-3 levels correlate with clinical endocrine resistance in ER+ breast cancer [20–22], the role of SRC-3 in TNBC has not been investigated in great detail. We retrospectively analyzed KM-plotter microarray data from breast cancer patients comprising basal-like intrinsic subtypes [23], 80–100% of which are generally triple negative [32]. We found that high expression of SRC-3 is inversely correlated with overall survival (OS, Log Rank P = 0.029, HR = 2.07, Fig 1A). A similar trend was observed for the overall survival of systemically untreated TNBC patients (Log Rank P = 0.024, HR = 3.37, Fig 1B). Overexpression of SRC-3 does not correlate with poor distant-metastasis-free survival to a statistically significant level (DMFS, Log Rank P = 0.13, HR = 1.48, Fig 1C). In addition, post-progression survival is markedly lower in patients with high levels of SRC-3 (PPS, Log Rank P = 0.0045, HR = 2.73, Fig 1D). Overall, overexpression of SRC-3 correlates with poor prognosis in TNBC patients.

Inhibition of SRC–3 by Bufalin Potently Reduces TNBC Cell Viability, but Spares Primary Hepatocytes

We have previously shown that bufalin is a potent small molecule inhibitor of SRC-3 [25]. In the present study, Western blotting results show that 100 nM of bufalin can reduce the SRC-3





Fig 1. Kaplan-Meier analysis on SRC–3 level and survival rate of TNBC patients. (A) Overall survival in low SRC–3 expression group and high SRC–3 expression group. (B) Overall survival in systemically untreated TNBC patients with low or high SRC–3 expression. (C) Distant metastasis-free survival in low or high SRC–3 expression group. (D) Post-progression survival in low or high SRC–3 expression group. (D) Post-progression survival in low or high SRC–3 expression group. KM-plotter database developed by Gyorffy et al. was used for analyses (51). Gene symbol: NCOA3. SRC–3 JetSet probe was used (Affymetrix ID: 209061_at). Patients were split by auto select best cutoff. Patients with basal-like intrinsic subtype was selected. For statistical significance, Log Rank test was used.

protein levels in a panel of TNBC cell lines—HCC1143, SUM149PT, SUM159PT, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-231-LM3-3 (Fig 2A). In addition, bufalin downregulated SRC-3 in MDA-MB-231-LM3-3 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig 2B).

Our previous study showed that low nM concentrations of bufalin can significantly reduce the viability of ER+ breast cancer cells [25]. In this study, TNBC cell lines were treated with a range of bufalin concentrations for 72 h. Cell viabilities were measured and compared against untreated control cells by MTT assay. We found that the growth of all TNBC cell lines was potently inhibited by bufalin with IC₅₀ values lower than 20 nM (Fig 2D–2G), with the single exception in HCC1143 where an IC₅₀ = 71.8 nM was observed (Fig 2C).

PLOS ONE



Fig 2. Bufalin downregulates SRC-3 and decreases cell viability in TNBC cells. (A) Western blot shows that bufalin (100 nM) downregulates SRC-3 protein levels in TNBC cells. IC₅₀ values, TNBC subtypes and gene ontologies are also listed. (B) Western blotting shows a dose-dependent downregulation of SRC-3 by bufalin and phospho-bufalin in LM3-3 cells. (C-H) Bufalin decrease cell viability of TNBC cells including HCC1143 (C), SUM149PT (D), SUM159PT (E), MDA-MB-231 (F) and MDA-MB-231-LM3-3 (G), but not in primary mouse hepatocytes (H). Data are presented as mean \pm SD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140011.g002

Bufalin is mainly excreted through hepatocyte clearance via a biliary route [33]. Therefore, bufalin's liver toxicity should be considered during the drug development process. Remarkably, even at concentrations of up to 10 μ M, the highest concentration treatment, bufalin did not cause any observable toxicity in mouse primary hepatocytes (Fig 2H), consistent with previous studies [26]. This selective cytotoxicity bodes well for bufalin's candidacy as a potential anticancer drug.

Inhibition of SRC-3 by Bufalin Decreases TNBC Cell Motility

Down-regulation of SRC-3 can inhibit cell motility, invasion, and tumor metastasis [18]. The single cell motility assay showed that the motility of LM3-3 cells decreased with increasing concentrations of bufalin (Fig 3A and 3B). Notably, the experimental conditions used in this assay







produced minimal toxicity toward LM3-3 cells at the 12 h time point (Fig 3B), indicating that the observed motility difference was not due to decreased viability.

Bufalin Synergizes with Gefitinib in Triple Negative Breast Cancer Cells

Despite the popularity of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in conventional cancer therapies [34-44], none have been found to be successful for the treatment of TNBC [45]. However, over-expression of EGFR is a hallmark of TNBC and usually correlates with poor prognosis [46]. The inability of EGFR inhibitors, such as gefitinib, to arrest TNBC growth has been attributed to crosstalk between growth factors [47]. Despite the fact that gefitinib, at concentrations up to 20 μ M caused minimal toxicity in LM3-3 cells, bufalin can synergize with gefitinib in TNBC cells, likely through crosstalk inhibition, given SRC–3's role as a growth factor signaling integrator (Fig 4).





Synthesis of 3-Phospho-Bufalin

Bufalin has low solubility in aqueous solutions, which limits its use as a therapeutic agent. Introducing a phosphate group is a common strategy to increase a compound's aqueous solubility, and has been used before in prodrug development [48]. As shown in Fig 5, bufalin was converted to 3-(di-*t*-butyl-phospho)-bufalin through reaction with di-*t*-butyl diethylphosphoramidite and subsequent oxidation with *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA). The *t*-butyl groups were carefully de-protected in an acidic environment to supply the final p-Buf. The phosphate group now can be cleaved by endogenous phosphatases to regenerate free bufalin (see below). Similar to free bufalin, p-Buf can also inhibit SRC–3 expression levels in LM3-3 cells (Fig 2B). It should be noted that p-Buf is a prodrug and requires phosphatases to re-generate bufalin. Phospho-bufalin appeared to be less potent than bufalin to inhibit SRC–3 levels (Fig 2B), which may be due to incomplete conversion of p-Buf to bufalin under the culture conditions.

3-Phospho-bufalin Reduces Cardiotoxicity In Vivo

Because cardiac glycosides can cause cardiotoxicity, their clinical application necessitates close monitoring. Despite the fact that there are many *in vitro* assays available to predict cardiotoxicity of a drug candidate, *in vivo* electrocardiogram measurements remain the gold standard for this assessment.

Intravenous injection of free bufalin (0.5 mg/kg) caused significant decreases in heart rate and an increase in EKG parameters five min post-injection (<u>Table 2</u>). The changes in heart rate and electrophysiology are similar to the observed cardiotoxicity caused by digoxin [49]. An observed QTc interval prolongation may indicate altered K⁺ or Ca²⁺ ion channel function during repolarization [49]. The cardiotoxicity caused by bufalin (0.5 mg/kg) appears to be transient and most of the electrophysiology data reflected a return to normal cardiac activity 24 h

post-injection. Additionally, we decreased the injection dose of free bufalin from 0.5 to 0.1 mg/ kg and found no acute cardiotoxicity.

In contrast, following 3-phospho-bufalin administration even at 7.5mg/kg and 11.25 mg/kg we did not observe significant changes in EKG parameters. We therefore assume that 3-phospho-bufalin has no acute cardiotoxicity at these higher doses, suggesting it may be a safer agent than its parent drug, bufalin. In addition, histological examinations found little damage to cardiomyocytes treated with bufalin and P-Buf (Fig.6).

Pharmacokinetics of 3-Phospho-Bufalin

The pharmacokinetic profile of p-Buf was measured in ICR mice. P-Buf was dissolved in PBS and administered intraperitoneally at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg. Blood (20 μ L for each time point) was collected at 5, 15, 30 min, and 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 h. The plasma concentrations of both p-buf and bufalin that is generated by hydrolysis of p-buf were analyzed using LC-MS/MS. The pharmacokinetic traces for p-buf and released bufalin are shown in Fig.7.

Both p-Buf and free bufalin were detected in plasma during the first hour. Detection of free bufalin in plasma also confirms that p-buf can be converted to parent bufalin *in vivo*. All the pharmacokinetic parameters are summarized in <u>Table 3</u>.

3-Phospho-Bufalin Inhibits Tumor Growth in an Orthotopic TNBC Model

The therapeutic efficacy of p-Buf was tested in an orthotopic TNBC model. LM3-3 cells $(0.75 \times 10^{6} \text{ cells per mouse})$ were inoculated into the second pair of mammary gland fat pads of nude mice (female, 4–5 weeks). The mice were randomized into two groups based on luciferase imaging (n = 6 per group). Two weeks after tumor inoculation, the treatment group began

	ONE
--	-----

	Dose (mg/kg)	Post-injection	HR (BPM)	RR (ms)	QRS (ms)	QT (ms)	QTc (ms)	ST (ms)
Untreated	0	- 5 min	799.0 ± 3.0	75.1 ± 0.3	10.4 ± 0.2	37.8 ± 2.1	43.6 ± 2.4	27.9 ± 2.0
		+10 min	805.5 ± 17.7	77.1 ± 5.3	10.1 ± 0.5	39.0 ± 2.2	45.0 ± 1.6	29.5 ± 2.6
Bufalin	0.1	- 5 min	746 ± 15.8	82.2 ± 6.9	10.2 ± 0.4	40.2 ± 1.8	44.7 ± 1.1	30.6 ± 1.9
		+ 5 min	724 ± 5.7	88.5 ± 0.4	10.0 ± 0.1	41.0 ± 0.7	44.2 ± 0.6	31.6 ± 0.8
	0.2	- 5 min	769.3 ± 17.2	78.0 ± 1.8	9.2 ± 0.1	41.2 ± 1.8	46.7 ± 1.8	32.5 ± 1.7
		+ 5 min	500.3 ± 24.5	123.6 ± 9.5	10.9 ± 0.6	55.6 ± 10.2	49.8 ± 4.8	45.2 ± 9.6
	0.3	- 5 min	683.3 ± 18.7	89.4 ± 0.6	10.4 ± 1.6	46.1 ± 0.5	48.3 ± 1.3	36.3 ± 1.9
		+ 5 min	464.7 ± 5.7	131.0 ± 0.9	14.4 ± 0.2	61.4 ± 1.6	53.7 ± 1.3	47.5 ± 1.3
	0.5	- 5 min	761.1 ± 10.9	78.9 ± 1.1	11.1 ± 0.2	39.9 ± 1.5	44.9 ± 1.8	29.3 ± 1.5
		+ 5 min	582.2 ± 30.5	103.7 ± 5.3	13.4 ± 0.3	51.4 ± 3.0	50.4 ± 1.6	38.5 ± 3.3
		+ 24 h	766.1 ± 2.5	78.4 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 0.2	41.9 ± 1.1	47.3 ± 1.2	28.7 ± 1.3
3-Phospho-Bufalin	7.5	- 5 min	754.7 ± 6.6	79.6 ± 0.7	10.6 ± 0.1	40.2 ± 0.6	45.0 ± 0.5	30.1 ± 0.7
		+ 10 min	788.7 ± 1.2	76.1 ± 0.1	11.0 ± 0.1	38.2 ± 0.9	43.8 ± 1.0	27.6 ± 0.9
	11.25	- 5 min	798.0 ± 12.8	75.8 ± 0.2	10.6 ± 0.3	39.1 ± 2.4	45.1 ± 2.9	29.0 ± 2.2
		+ 5 min	779.7 ± 1.5	77.0 ± 0.1	10.9 ± 0.1	37.1 ± 0.3	42.3 ± 0.4	26.7 ± 0.4
		+ 10 min	761.3 ± 7.5	78.8 ± 0.8	11.1 ± 0.2	38.1 ± 0.6	43.0 ± 0.6	26.6 ± 0.5
		+ 15 min	755.0 ± 1.0	79.5 ± 0.1	11.5 ± 0.1	39.1 ± 0.9	43.9 ± 0.9	28.1 ± 0.8

Table 2. Electrocardiogram (EKG) measurements in mice treated with bufalin and 3-phospho-bufalin.

Electrocardiogram (EKG) measurements in ICR mice treated with different doses of bufalin and 3-phospho-bufalin. EKG was measured 5 min before drug administration and 5, 10, 15 min and 24 h after drug administration. Significant changes in EKG output were highlighted in italics. While bufalin at high does induces acute cardiotoxicity, 3-phospho-bufalin is well tolerated up to 11.25 mg/kg. The measurements were performed in 3 mice. EKG parameters are presented as mean±SD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140011.t002

receiving p-Buf (0.75 mg/kg) three times per week while the control group received PBS. Tumor volumes were measured three times per week. As shown in <u>Fig 8A</u>, p-Buf can significantly inhibit TNBC tumor growth. At day 31, the mice were euthanized and tumors were harvested. The tumors derived from PBS treated mice weighed ~2.4 times more than those of the experimental treatment group (<u>Fig 8B and 8C</u>). At the end of the experiment, both the treatment and control groups had statistically the same body weight, indicating minimal toxicity of phospho-bufalin.To test whether p-Buf can also cause SRC–3 down-regulation in TNBC mouse model, the SRC–3 levels in tumor tissues were detected both by Western blotting and immunohistochemistry (IHC). As shown in <u>Fig 8E</u>, the SRC–3 protein levels determined using

Fig 6. H-E staining of bufalin or 3-phospho-bufalin treated mouse heart. H&E staining of heart tissues 24 h after drug administration. Neither of bufalin or 3-phospho-bufalin caused severe damage to the heart muscle, indicating that the acute toxicity of bufalin is reversible.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140011.g006

Fig 7. Pharmacokinetics (PK) of 3-Phospho-bufalin (p-Buf). PK trace of p-buf (orange) and free bufalin generated from p-buf (blue) in mice treated with pbuf (0.5 mg/kg). The blue and orange dotted lines represent the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) for bufalin and p-buf in this assay, respectively.

PLOS

ONE

Western blotting were significantly lower in the p-Buf treated tumor tissues than in the PBS treated counterparts. Similar to the Western blotting analysis, the SRC-3 level in p-Buf treated tumor tissue was also significantly lower than the PBS treated control group based on IHC staining (Fig 9). Ki-67, as a cell proliferation mark, was also immunochemically analyzed. Accordingly, the p-Buf treated tumor tissue had dramatically fewer Ki-67 positive cells (Fig 9).

Discussion

SRC-3 as a Prognostic Marker for Triple Negative Breast Cancer

SRC-3 was first discovered in breast cancer in 1997 and originally called AIB1 ("amplified in breast cancer 1") [50]. Its role has been extensively described in ER+ breast cancer.

Table 3. Pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters of 3-Phospho-bufalin.

Dose (mg/kg)	0.5
t _{1/2} (h)	0.742 ± 0.104
t _{max} (h)	0.25
AUC _{last} (μM*h)	0.031 ± 0.018
AUC _{inf} (μM*h)	0.046 ± 0.018
AUC _{last} /D (μM*h*kg/mg)	0.075 ± 0.044

3-Phospho-bufalin (0.5 mg/kg by i.p.) were injected to female ICR mice (n = 3). Blood was collected at 5, 15, 30 min, and 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 h. The plasma concentrations of bufalin and p-buf were analyzed using LC-MS/MS.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140011.t003

Fig 8. Therapeutic efficacy of 3-phospho-bufalin in an orthotopic TNBC model. (A) LM3-3 cells were inoculated into the mammary fat pads of nude mice (female, 4–5 weeks). The treatment was started 14 days after tumor inoculation. The treatment group was treated with 3-phospho-bufalin (0.75 mg/kg) 3 times per week for 3 weeks (n = 6). The control group was treated with PBS (n = 6). Tumor volumes was measured three times per week. As shown, 3-phospho-bufalin can significant inhibit TNBC tumor growth. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. *, P < 0.05; **, P< 0.01, by t-test. (B) Representative images of harvested tumors. (C) Comparison of the tumor weights from both the 3-phospho-bufalin treated group and the PBS treated control group. Data are presented in a box plot with mean, minimum and maximum values. P = 0.0156 by t-test. (D) Body weight of mice at the end of the experiment.(E) Western blotting analyses of the SRC–3 protein levels in the tumor tissues of the p-Buf and PBS treated mice.

Overexpression has been linked to clinical and experimental endocrine resistance and poor prognosis for ER+ breast cancer [20-22,51]. Amplification and over-expression of SRC-3 also has been observed in many other cancers, including bone [52], gastric [53], prostate [54], ovarian [55], bladder [56] and pancreatic [57] cancers. However, to the best of our knowledge, SRC-3 has not been explored as a prognostic marker for TNBC.

In this study, we found that high expression of SRC-3 is correlated with poor overall survival, poor post-progression survival, and possibly poor distant metastasis-free survival in TNBC patients. Our retrospective analysis is based on the KM-plotter microarray data of breast cancer patients with a basal-like intrinsic subtype. In future studies, we plan to use a tissue microarray (TMA) of TNBC tumors to further investigate the relationship between the SRC-3 protein levels and TNBC patient prognoses.

Fig 9. P-buf downregulates SRC–3 levels and reduces the number of Ki–67 positive cells in an orthotopic TNBC model. Multiple tumor tissues (N>3) collected from both control group (administrated with PBS), and p-buf treated group were processed and immunohistochemically stained with anti-SRC–3 antibody (upper panel), or anti-Ki–67 antibody (bottom panel). The staining results were photographed under a microscope. One representative photo for each staining is presented.

Bufalin Inhibits TNBC Cell Proliferation and Migration but Spares Primary Hepatocytes

As a master regulator of cellular growth and development, SRC–3 plays important roles in tumor growth and metastasis. In this study, we found that inhibition of SRC–3 with low nM doses of bufalin can significantly reduce the viability of TNBC cells. It is worthy to note that in all tested TNBC cell lines except HCC1143 ($IC_{50} = 71.8$ nM), bufalin can reduce cell viability with IC_{50} values below 20 nM. Pietenpol et al. identified six subtypes of TNBC based on their gene expression profiles and ontologies: two basal-like (BL1 and BL2), an immunomodulatory (IM), a mesenchymal (M), a mesenchymal stem-like (MSL), and a luminal androgen receptor (LAR) expressing subtype [58]. Interestingly, both bufalin sensitive subtypes BL2 and MSL display gene ontologies involving growth factor signaling, including the EGF and IGF1R pathways [58]. The BL1 subtype HCC1143, whose top gene ontologies are enriched in cell cycle and cell division pathways, is less sensitive to bufalin induced cell death. This observation is consistent

with SRC-3's known role in many growth factor signaling pathways [59]. In future studies, we will use the ATCC TNBC cell line panel to determine which subtype of TNBC is most susceptible to bufalin treatment.

Down-regulation of SRC–3 via RNA interference (RNAi) leads to reduced tumor metastasis and sensitizes cancer cells to alternative chemotherapies. Naora et al. demonstrated that inhibiting SRC–3 expression in ovarian cancer cells can significantly reduce cell spreading and migration [60]. Minna et al. reported that SRC–3 knockdown renders tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistant lung cancers more sensitive to gefitinib [61]. In addition, SRC–3 knockdown restores sensitivity to tamoxifen in resistant ER+ breast cancer cells [62–64]. In this study, we found that inhibition of SRC–3 can significantly reduce the motility of LM3-3 cells. In addition, bufalin treatment can sensitize TNBC cells to gefitinib, an EGFR inhibitor. We have published previously that SRC–3 cooperates with EGFR to activate focal adhesion kinase (FAK) which promotes cell migration [65]. EGFR mediated signaling caused increased SRC–3 phosphorylation which may explain why co-inhibition of SRC–3 and EGFR can improve treatment outcomes [65].

Because bufalin is mainly metabolized through hepatocyte clearance and through the biliary route, its liver toxicity is a potential issue (33). Remarkably, even at concentrations of up to 10 μ M, the treatment maximum, bufalin did not cause any observable toxicity in mouse primary hepatocytes confirming previous observations that it can be innocuous under such conditions [26]. All these *in vitro* data validates bufalin's potential for anticancer drug development.

3-Phospho-Bufalin Prodrug Reduces Cardiotoxicity, Releases Bufalin *In Vivo*, and Inhibits Orthotopic TNBC Tumor Growth

Bufalin has poor aqueous solubility, hindering its clinical use. P-Buf developed in this study is a prodrug derivative whose charged phosphate group significantly enhances its aqueous solubility.

Depending on the dosage, intravenous injection of free bufalin may cause acute cardiotoxicity. In our study, a dose higher than 0.2 mg/kg decreased heart rate and changed EKG parameters. These physiological responses are similar to those of digoxin induced cardiotoxicity. In contrast, 0.1 mg/kg of bufalin did not alter EKG parameters (Fig 6). Therefore, the maximum non-toxic dose must be between 0.1 and 0.2 mg/kg.

Intraperitoneal injection of P-Buf, even at elevated doses (11.25 mg/kg), did not demonstrate appreciable cardiotoxicity as determined by EKG measurements. In the pharmacokinetic study of p-Buf, plasma bufalin concentration crested at 15 min post injection. After a 0.5 mg/ kg dose of p-Buf, the plasma C_{max} of bufalin was 0.040 μ M. It should be cautioned that rodents are more tolerant of cardiac glycosides than humans, so in the case of any advancement towards clinical applications, we will need to test the maximum tolerated dose of bufalin in rabbits and dogs, which exhibit greater sensitivity to cardiac glycosides [26].

In an orthotopic triple negative breast cancer model, p-Buf can significantly inhibit tumor growth. The therapeutic efficacy of p-Buf is equivalent to that of bufalin nanoparticles in the same model [24]. Based on our PK studies, bufalin was expediently metabolized, becoming undetectable 1 h post injection. Because of its shortened half-life limitations for antitumor therapy, our future research will aim to identify and alter the metabolic pathways of bufalin and/or to modify its structure so as to prolong *in vivo* exposure.

In summary, we demonstrated that SRC–3 can be used as a prognostic marker of TNBC. Inhibition of SRC–3 with bufalin can significantly reduce the viability and motility of TNBC cells. We also developed p-Buf as a prodrug of bufalin to enhance *in vivo* administration and demonstrated its effectiveness in inhibiting tumor growth in an orthotopic TNBC mouse

model. Our results suggest the potential for advanced TNBC treatment using targeted therapy with p-Buf.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JW BO DL XS CZ. Performed the experiments: XS CZ MZ HC XL JC LQ. Analyzed the data: JW BO XS CZ MZ. Contributed reagents/materials/ analysis tools: JX XW FL BO. Wrote the paper: JW BO XS CZ MZ.

References

- Kang SP, Martel M, Harris LN (2008) Triple negative breast cancer: current understanding of biology and treatment options. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 20: 40–46. doi: <u>10.1097/GCO.0b013e3282f40de9</u> PMID: <u>18197004</u>
- 2. Penault-Llorca F, Viale G (2012) Pathological and molecular diagnosis of triple-negative breast cancer: a clinical perspective. Ann Oncol 23 Suppl 6: vi19–22. PMID: 23012297
- Malorni L, Shetty PB, De Angelis C, Hilsenbeck S, Rimawi MF, et al. (2012) Clinical and biologic features of triple-negative breast cancers in a large cohort of patients with long-term follow-up. Breast Cancer Res Treat 136: 795–804. doi: 10.1007/s10549-012-2315-y PMID: 23124476
- Chang JC, Hilsenbeck SG, Fuqua SA (2009) Pharmacogenetics of breast cancer: toward the individualization of therapy. Cancer Invest 27: 699–703. doi: 10.1080/07357900903152473 PMID: 19637041
- Bandyopadhyay A, Wang L, Agyin J, Tang Y, Lin S, et al. (2010) Doxorubicin in combination with a small TGFbeta inhibitor: a potential novel therapy for metastatic breast cancer in mouse models. PLoS One 5: e10365. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0010365</u> PMID: <u>20442777</u>
- Acharyya S, Oskarsson T, Vanharanta S, Malladi S, Kim J, et al. (2012) A CXCL1 paracrine network links cancer chemoresistance and metastasis. Cell 150: 165–178. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.042</u> PMID: <u>22770218</u>
- Markman JL, Rekechenetskiy A, Holler E, Ljubimova JY (2013) Nanomedicine therapeutic approaches to overcome cancer drug resistance. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. doi: <u>10.1016/j.addr.2013.09.019</u> PMID: <u>24120656</u>
- Roland CL, Dineen SP, Lynn KD, Sullivan LA, Dellinger MT, et al. (2009) Inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor reduces angiogenesis and modulates immune cell infiltration of orthotopic breast cancer xenografts. Mol Cancer Ther 8: 1761–1771. doi: <u>10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-09-0280</u> PMID: <u>19567820</u>
- Ben-Eliyahu S (2002) The price of anticancer intervention. Does surgery promote metastasis? Lancet Oncol 3: 578–579. PMID: <u>12233735</u>
- Lee JW, Shahzad MM, Lin YG, Armaiz-Pena G, Mangala LS, et al. (2009) Surgical stress promotes tumor growth in ovarian carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 15: 2695–2702. doi: <u>10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-2966</u> PMID: <u>19351748</u>
- 11. Park Y, Kitahara T, Takagi R, Kato R (2011) Does surgery for breast cancer induce angiogenesis and thus promote metastasis? Oncology 81: 199–205. doi: <u>10.1159/000333455</u> PMID: <u>22067898</u>
- Xu J, Wu RC, O'Malley BW (2009) Normal and cancer-related functions of the p160 steroid receptor coactivator (SRC) family. Nat Rev Cancer 9: 615–630. doi: <u>10.1038/nrc2695</u> PMID: <u>19701241</u>
- Lonard DM, O'Malley BW (2008) SRC–3 transcription-coupled activation, degradation, and the ubiquitin clock: is there enough coactivator to go around in cells? Sci Signal 1: pe16. doi: <u>10.1126/stke.</u> <u>113pe16</u> PMID: <u>18385039</u>
- Lonard DM, O'Malley B W (2007) Nuclear receptor coregulators: judges, juries, and executioners of cellular regulation. Mol Cell 27: 691–700. PMID: <u>17803935</u>
- Torres-Arzayus MI, Font de Mora J, Yuan J, Vazquez F, Bronson R, et al. (2004) High tumor incidence and activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway in transgenic mice define AIB1 as an oncogene. Cancer Cell 6: 263–274. PMID: <u>15380517</u>
- Kuang SQ, Liao L, Wang S, Medina D, O'Malley BW, et al. (2005) Mice lacking the amplified in breast cancer 1/steroid receptor coactivator–3 are resistant to chemical carcinogen-induced mammary tumorigenesis. Cancer Res 65: 7993–8002. PMID: 16140972
- Kuang SQ, Liao L, Zhang H, Lee AV, O'Malley BW, et al. (2004) AIB1/SRC–3 deficiency affects insulinlike growth factor I signaling pathway and suppresses v-Ha-ras-induced breast cancer initiation and progression in mice. Cancer Res 64: 1875–1885. PMID: <u>14996752</u>

- Qin L, Liao L, Redmond A, Young L, Yuan Y, et al. (2008) The AIB1 oncogene promotes breast cancer metastasis by activation of PEA3-mediated matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) and MMP9 expression. Mol Cell Biol 28: 5937–5950. doi: <u>10.1128/MCB.00579-08</u> PMID: <u>18644862</u>
- Tien JCY, Xu J (2012) Steroid receptor coactivator–3 as a potential molecular target for cancer therapy. Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Targets: 1–12.
- Shou J, Massarweh S, Osborne CK, Wakeling AE, Ali S, et al. (2004) Mechanisms of tamoxifen resistance: increased estrogen receptor-HER2/neu cross-talk in ER/HER2-positive breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 96: 926–935. PMID: <u>15199112</u>
- Osborne CK, Bardou V, Hopp TA, Chamness GC, Hilsenbeck SG, et al. (2003) Role of the estrogen receptor coactivator AIB1 (SRC–3) and HER–2/neu in tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 95: 353–361. PMID: 12618500
- Alkner S, Bendahl PO, Grabau D, Lovgren K, Stal O, et al. (2010) AIB1 is a predictive factor for tamoxifen response in premenopausal women. Ann Oncol 21: 238–244. doi: <u>10.1093/annonc/mdp293</u> PMID: <u>19628566</u>
- 23. Mihaly Z, Kormos M, Lanczky A, Dank M, Budczies J, et al. (2013) A meta-analysis of gene expressionbased biomarkers predicting outcome after tamoxifen treatment in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 140: 219–232. doi: 10.1007/s10549-013-2622-y PMID: 23836010
- Wang Y, Lonard DM, Yu Y, Chow DC, Palzkill TG, et al. (2011) Small molecule inhibition of the steroid receptor coactivators, SRC–3 and SRC–1. Mol Endocrinol 25: 2041–2053. doi: <u>10.1210/me.2011-1222</u> PMID: <u>22053001</u>
- Wang Y, Lonard DM, Yu Y, Chow D-C, Palzkill TG, et al. (2014) Bufalin is a potent small molecule inhibitor of the steroid receptor coactivators SRC–3 and SRC–1. Cancer Research 74: 1506–1517. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2939</u> PMID: <u>24390736</u>
- Newman RA, Yang P, Pawlus AD, Block KI (2008) Cardiac glycosides as novel cancer therapeutic agents. Mol Interv 8: 36–49. doi: <u>10.1124/mi.8.1.8</u> PMID: <u>18332483</u>
- Prassas I, Diamandis EP (2008) Novel therapeutic applications of cardiac glycosides. Nat Rev Drug Discov 7: 926–935. doi: 10.1038/nrd2682 PMID: 18948999
- Minn AJ, Gupta GP, Siegel PM, Bos PD, Shu W, et al. (2005) Genes that mediate breast cancer metastasis to lung. Nature 436: 518–524. PMID: <u>16049480</u>
- 29. Fu T, Choi SE, Kim DH, Seok S, Suino-Powell KM, et al. (2012) Aberrantly elevated microRNA-34a in obesity attenuates hepatic responses to FGF19 by targeting a membrane coreceptor beta-Klotho. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109: 16137–16142. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1205951109 PMID: 22988100
- Neary MT, Mohun TJ, Breckenridge RA (2013) A mouse model to study the link between hypoxia, long QT interval and sudden infant death syndrome. Dis Model Mech 6: 503–507. doi: <u>10.1242/dmm.</u> <u>010587</u> PMID: <u>22977222</u>
- Chu V, Otero JM, Lopez O, Morgan JP, Amende I, et al. (2001) Method for non-invasively recording electrocardiograms in conscious mice. BMC Physiol 1: 6. PMID: <u>11476671</u>
- Carey L, Winer E, Viale G, Cameron D, Gianni L (2010) Triple-negative breast cancer: disease entity or title of convenience? Nat Rev Clin Oncol 7: 683–692. doi: <u>10.1038/nrclinonc.2010.154</u> PMID: 20877296
- Toma S, Hirai Y, Sugimoto C, Shoji M, Oguni Y, et al. (1991) Metabolic fate of bufalin in rats. Yakugaku zasshi: Journal of the Pharmaceutical Society of Japan 111: 676–686. PMID: <u>1783982</u>
- Norden AD, Raizer JJ, Abrey LE, Lamborn KR, Lassman AB, et al. (2010) Phase II trials of erlotinib or gefitinib in patients with recurrent meningioma. J Neurooncol 96: 211–217. doi: <u>10.1007/s11060-009-9948-7</u> PMID: <u>19562255</u>
- Sweeny L, Zimmermann TM, Liu Z, Rosenthal EL (2012) Evaluation of tyrosine receptor kinases in the interactions of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells and fibroblasts. Oral Oncol 48: 1242– 1249. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2012.06.011 PMID: 22795534
- Helman EE, Newman JR, Dean NR, Zhang W, Zinn KR, et al. (2010) Optical imaging predicts tumor response to anti-EGFR therapy. Cancer Biol Ther 10: 166–171. PMID: 20505368
- 37. Pili R, Qin R, Flynn PJ, Picus J, Millward M, et al. (2013) A Phase II Safety and Efficacy Study of the Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Pazopanib in Patients With Metastatic Urothelial Cancer. Clin Genitourin Cancer. doi: <u>10.1016/j.clgc.2013.05.005</u> PMID: <u>23891158</u>
- O'Dwyer P J, Benson AB 3rd (2002) Epidermal growth factor receptor-targeted therapy in colorectal cancer. Semin Oncol 29: 10–17.
- Levis MJ (2010) Will newer tyrosine kinase inhibitors have an impact in AML? Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 23: 489–494. doi: <u>10.1016/j.beha.2010.09.008</u> PMID: <u>21130412</u>

- Kayser S, Levis MJ (2013) FLT3 tyrosine kinase inhibitors in acute myeloid leukemia: clinical implications and limitations. Leuk Lymphoma. doi: <u>10.3109/10428194.2013.800198</u> PMID: <u>23631653</u>
- Grunwald MR, Levis MJ (2013) FLT3 inhibitors for acute myeloid leukemia: a review of their efficacy and mechanisms of resistance. Int J Hematol 97: 683–694. doi: <u>10.1007/s12185-013-1334-8</u> PMID: <u>23613268</u>
- 42. Lam ET, O'Bryant CL, Basche M, Gustafson DL, Serkova N, et al. (2008) A phase I study of gefitinib, capecitabine, and celecoxib in patients with advanced solid tumors. Mol Cancer Ther 7: 3685–3694. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0436 PMID: 19074845
- **43.** Galanis E, Anderson SK, Lafky JM, Uhm JH, Giannini C, et al. (2013) Phase II study of bevacizumab in combination with sorafenib in recurrent glioblastoma (N0776): a north central cancer treatment group trial. Clin Cancer Res 19: 4816–4823. doi: <u>10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0708</u> PMID: <u>23833308</u>
- 44. Kutluk Cenik B, Ostapoff KT, Gerber DE, Brekken RA (2013) BIBF 1120 (nintedanib), a triple angiokinase inhibitor, induces hypoxia but not EMT and blocks progression of preclinical models of lung and pancreatic cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 12: 992–1001. doi: <u>10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-12-0995</u> PMID: <u>23729403</u>
- 45. Baselga J, Albanell J, Ruiz A, Lluch A, Gascon P, et al. (2005) Phase II and tumor pharmacodynamic study of gefitinib in patients with advanced breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 23: 5323–5333. PMID: 15939921
- 46. Korsching E, Jeffrey SS, Meinerz W, Decker T, Boecker W, et al. (2008) Basal carcinoma of the breast revisited: an old entity with new interpretations. J Clin Pathol 61: 553–560. doi: <u>10.1136/jcp.2008</u>. 055475 PMID: <u>18326009</u>
- Mueller KL, Madden JM, Zoratti GL, Kuperwasser C, List K, et al. (2012) Fibroblast-secreted hepatocyte growth factor mediates epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance in triple-negative breast cancers through paracrine activation of Met. Breast Cancer Res 14: R104. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1186/bcr3224 PMID: 22788954</u>
- 48. Chugh R, Sangwan V, Patil SP, Dudeja V, Dawra RK, et al. (2012) A preclinical evaluation of Minnelide as a therapeutic agent against pancreatic cancer. Sci Transl Med 4: 156ra139. doi: <u>10.1126/</u> scitranslmed.3004334 PMID: 23076356
- Farraj AK, Hazari MS, Cascio WE (2011) The utility of the small rodent electrocardiogram in toxicology. Toxicol Sci 121: 11–30. doi: <u>10.1093/toxsci/kfr021</u> PMID: <u>21278051</u>
- Anzick SL, Kononen J, Walker RL, Azorsa DO, Tanner MM, et al. (1997) AIB1, a steroid receptor coactivator amplified in breast and ovarian cancer. Science 277: 965–968. PMID: <u>9252329</u>
- Burandt E, Jens G, Holst F, Janicke F, Muller V, et al. (2013) Prognostic relevance of AIB1 (NCoA3) amplification and overexpression in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 137: 745–753. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1007/s10549-013-2406-4</u> PMID: <u>23322234</u>
- Li W, Fu J, Bian C, Zhang J, Xie Z (2014) Immunohistochemical localization of steroid receptor coactivators in chondrosarcoma: An in vivo tissue microarray study. Pathol Res Pract. doi: <u>10.1016/j.prp.</u> 2014.04.012 PMID: 24875297
- Sakakura C, Hagiwara A, Yasuoka R, Fujita Y, Nakanishi M, et al. (2000) Amplification and overexpression of the AIB1 nuclear receptor co-activator gene in primary gastric cancers. Int J Cancer 89: 217–223. PMID: <u>10861496</u>
- Tien JC, Liu Z, Liao L, Wang F, Xu Y, et al. (2013) The steroid receptor coactivator–3 is required for the development of castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cancer Res 73: 3997–4008. doi: <u>10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-3929</u> PMID: <u>23650284</u>
- 55. Palmieri C, Gojis O, Rudraraju B, Stamp-Vincent C, Wilson D, et al. (2013) Expression of steroid receptor coactivator 3 in ovarian epithelial cancer is a poor prognostic factor and a marker for platinum resistance. Br J Cancer 108: 2039–2044. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.199 PMID: 23652306
- 56. Tong ZT, Wei JH, Zhang JX, Liang CZ, Liao B, et al. (2013) AIB1 predicts bladder cancer outcome and promotes bladder cancer cell proliferation through AKT and E2F1. Br J Cancer 108: 1470–1479. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.81 PMID: 23511556
- Henke RT, Haddad BR, Kim SE, Rone JD, Mani A, et al. (2004) Overexpression of the nuclear receptor coactivator AIB1 (SRC–3) during progression of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 10: 6134–6142. PMID: <u>15448000</u>
- Lehmann BD, Bauer JA, Chen X, Sanders ME, Chakravarthy AB, et al. (2011) Identification of human triple-negative breast cancer subtypes and preclinical models for selection of targeted therapies. J Clin Invest 121: 2750–2767. doi: 10.1172/JCI45014 PMID: 21633166
- Lonard DM, O'Malley BW (2012) Nuclear receptor coregulators: modulators of pathology and therapeutic targets. Nat Rev Endocrinol 8: 598–604. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2012.100 PMID: 22733267

- 60. Yoshida H, Liu J, Samuel S, Cheng W, Rosen D, et al. (2005) Steroid receptor coactivator–3, a homolog of Taiman that controls cell migration in the Drosophila ovary, regulates migration of human ovarian cancer cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol 245: 77–85. PMID: <u>16298470</u>
- Cai D, Shames DS, Raso MG, Xie Y, Kim YH, et al. (2010) Steroid receptor coactivator–3 expression in lung cancer and its role in the regulation of cancer cell survival and proliferation. Cancer Res 70: 6477– 6485. doi: <u>10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0005</u> PMID: <u>20663904</u>
- Su Q, Hu S, Gao H, Ma R, Yang Q, et al. (2008) Role of AIB1 for tamoxifen resistance in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cells. Oncology 75: 159–168. doi: <u>10.1159/000159267</u> PMID: <u>18827493</u>
- **63.** Zhao W, Zhang Q, Kang X, Jin S, Lou C (2009) AIB1 is required for the acquisition of epithelial growth factor receptor-mediated tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 380: 699–704. doi: <u>10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.01.155</u> PMID: <u>19285025</u>
- 64. Karmakar S, Foster EA, Blackmore JK, Smith CL (2011) Distinctive functions of p160 steroid receptor coactivators in proliferation of an estrogen-independent, tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cell line. Endocr Relat Cancer 18: 113–127. doi: <u>10.1677/ERC-09-0285</u> PMID: <u>21059860</u>
- 65. Long W, Yi P, Amazit L, LaMarca HL, Ashcroft F, et al. (2010) SRC-3Delta4 mediates the interaction of EGFR with FAK to promote cell migration. Mol Cell 37: 321–332. doi: <u>10.1016/j.molcel.2010.01.004</u> PMID: <u>20159552</u>