

No association of TNF-α**-308G/A polymorphisms** with head and neck cancer risk A PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis

Cheng Yuan, MM^a, Xin-Hua Xu, MM^{b,*}, Lu Xu, MM^a, Yang Liu, MM^b, Min Sun, MD, PhD^c, Li-Hua Ni, MD, PhD^d, Xiao-Long Wang, MD, PhD^e, Zhuo Chen, MM^b, Kun Zhang, MM^a, Guang Zeng, PhD^f

Abstract

Background: A number of studies had reported the association between tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) gene polymorphisms and head and neck cancer (HNC) risk. However, the results remained controversial. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to derive a more precise evaluation of the association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and overall HNC risk and evaluated influence of cancer types and ethnicities.

Methods: A systematic literature search was performed using Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of science. In total, we identified 15 studies including 2005 cancer cases and 2876 controls to evaluate the association of TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism with risk for HNC.

Results: Overall, there was no significant association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and the risk of HNC. Furthermore, subgroup analyses were performed according to the types of tumor and the ethnicities, we also found there was no significant association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and the risk of NPC and OC, and European and Asian populations had no statistically significant difference in the relationship of TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and HNC susceptibility.

Conclusion: This meta-analysis indicates that the TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism is not associated with HNC risk. In the future, large and well-designed case-control studies are needed to validate our findings.

Abbreviations: BCC = basal cell carcinoma of head and neck, CIs = confidence intervals, HNC = head and neck cancer, HWE = Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, NOS = Newcastle–Ottawa scale, NPC = nasopharyngeal carcinoma, OC = oral cancer, OPSCC = oral and pharygeal squamous cell carcinoma, <math>OR = odds ratio, TC = thyroid carcinoma, $TNF-\alpha = tumor$ necrosis factor alpha.

Keywords: head and neck cancer, meta-analysis, polymorphisms, TNF- α

1. Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC) has ranked the sixth most frequent malignancy worldwide, which comprises a number of epithelial cancers originated from oral, nasal cavity, pharynx, and larynx.^[1] The occurrence of HNC shows a decreasing trend

Editor: Shirish Shukla.

This study was funded by Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province, China (grant number 2014CFB312).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a The First College of Clinical Medical Science, China Three Gorges University, ^b Department of Oncology, Yichang Central People's Hospital, Yichang,

⁶ Department of Oncology, Honang Central People's Hospital, Honang, ^c Department of Oncology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Hubei Key Laboratory of Tumor Biological Behaviors and Hubei Cancer Clinical Study Center, Wuhan, ^d Zhongda Hospital, Southeast University, Nanjing, China, ^e Klinikum rechts der Isar Technical University of Munich, München, Germany, ^f Biomedical Engineering, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY.

* Correspondence: Xin-Hua Xu, Department of Oncology, Yichang Central People's Hospital, Yi Ling Road 183, Yichang 443003, China (e-mail: xuxinhua@medmail.com.cn).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives License 4.0, which allows for redistribution, commercial and non-commercial, as long as it is passed along unchanged and in whole, with credit to the author.

Medicine (2017) 96:25(e7298)

Received: 17 January 2017 / Received in final form: 2 June 2017 / Accepted: 6 June 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000007298

and its mobility is still high in spite of receiving comprehensive treatment involving radiation, chemotherapy, and surgical treatment modalities. HNC contributes nearly 600,000 new cases diagnosed, and over 300,000 deaths each year.^[2] Alongside traditional risk factors such as smoking and excessive alcohol consumption,^[3,4] human papillomavirus type 16 (HPV16) infection has been recognized to cause a subset of these cancers.^[5] However, its pathogenesis is not yet fully understood, more evidences demonstrated that HNC was a disease involving multiple genetic factors, and further studies confirmed that the genetic susceptibility of HNC was closely related to the mutation of several genes.^[6]

Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-a) is a kind of proinflammatory cytokines mainly secreted by tumor cells and macrophages. It is a cytokine ligand that is capable of interacting with different receptors in the tumor necrosis factor receptor family.^[7,8] TNF- α can not only take part in the mechanisms of apoptosis induction, but also accelerate tumor growth in the process of tumor progression. And increasing evidence showed that TNF- α participated in many key processes of tumor progression including activation of cancer gene, DNA damage, and tumor metastasis.^[9,10] High expression of TNF- α is closely related to tumor recurrence and lymph node metastasis.^[11,12] But another study suggested there was no significant increase in the incidence of tumors exposed to TNF- α antagonists.^[13] TNF- α has a wide range of biological activities including cell apoptosis, inflammation, cell proliferation, and differentiation, but the underlying mechanisms are not fully elucidated.^[14] In 1992, Wilson^[15] found the TNF- α gene was located on chromosome

CY, LX, and YL contributed equally to this work.

6p21.3 MHC III region, and single-gene polymorphism was present from G to A in the promoter region of 308. TNF-α, especially the polymorphism of 308G/A, is thought to be related to the genetic susceptibility of many tumors, such as esophageal cancer,^[16] osteosarcoma,^[17,18] and lung cancer,^[19] etc. Recently, a variety of molecular epidemiological studies have been conducted to examine the association between TNF-α -308G/ A polymorphism and HNC susceptibility, but the results remain inconclusive. Therefore, the association between TNF-α -308G/A polymorphism and HNC risk requires further investigation.

Considering the relatively small sample size in most studies and even controversial results in some studies, it is possible to perform a quantitative synthesis of the evidence with rigorous methods. Here, we performed a meta-analysis on 15 published case-control studies to derive a more precise evaluation of the association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and overall HNC risk and evaluated influence of cancer types and ethnicities.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Publication search

Systematic literature searches were conducted in the following electronic databases: Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science, covering all articles published up to September 2016. We used the following terms: "tumor necrosis factor alpha," "TNF- α ," "-308G/A," "-308G>A," "polymorphism," "oral cancer," "pharyngeal cancer," "laryngeal cancer," "nasopharyngeal carcinoma," "head and neck cancer." References of the retrieved publications were also screened. All eligible studies were retrieved, and their bibliographies were checked for other relevant publications. Only published studies with full-text articles were included. When overlapping articles were found, we only included the publications that reported the most extensive information.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: published in English; casecontrol studies of HNC with TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism; supply the available genotype frequencies in cancer cases and controls; sufficient published data for estimating an odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

The major reasons for exclusion of studies were reviews and letters; studies without detailed genotype frequencies; do not conform to Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

2.3. Data extraction

Two investigators independently (CY and ZC) reviewed the articles to exclude irrelevant and overlapping studies. The results were compared, and disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus. We extracted the following information from each study: first author's surname, publication year, ethnicity, tumor type, source of controls, and the number of cases and controls for each genotype. Different ethnicities were categorized as Asian and European. Cancer types were classified as nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC), oral cancer (OC), thyroid carcinoma (TC), basal cell carcinoma of head and neck (BCC), and oral and pharygeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC). HWE were calculated by χ^2 test (P < .01 was considered significant disequilibrium) based on the 2 polymorphisms genotyping distribution in controls.

2.4. Evaluation of study quality

The methodological quality evaluation of the studies was conducted using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for cohort study. The assessments were processed independently by 2 reviewers and the final decision was achieved by consensus.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to assess the strength of association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and risk of HNC, based on the genotype frequencies in cases and controls. The pooled OR were calculated for 5 models respectively: allelic model (A vs. G), homozygous model (AA vs. GG), heterozygous model (GA vs. GG), dominant genetic model (GA+AA vs. GG), and recessive model (AA vs. GA +GG). The between-study heterogeneity of studies was performed though χ^2 -based Q statistic test. If heterogeneity was considered to be not significant (P>.1), the fixed effects model was used; otherwise, the random effects model based on the Mantel-Haenszel method was applied. Subgroup analysis was conducted among variables, such as cancer types and ethnicities. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by removing 1 data set at a time to identify individual study' effect on pooled results and test the reliability of results. Funnel plots were used to access the potential publication bias by the method of Egger linear regression test. All analyses were performed by Stata (version 12.0, Stata Corporation) and Review Manager (version 5.3, The Cochrane collaboration), using 2 side P values.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of studies

Fifteen case-control studies^[20–34] including 2005 cancer cases and 2876 controls met the including criteria. The characteristics of these studies and the evaluation results of each item with potential bias are listed in Table 1. There were 5 studies of NPC, 8 studies of OC, 1 study of TC, 1 study of BCC, and 1 study of OPSCC. In the subgroup of ethnicity, 10 were carried out in Asian population and 6 were in European population. The distribution of genotypes in the controls conformed to HWE.

3.2. Main results

The evaluation of association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and HNC risk is presented in Table 2. Overall, there was no significant association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and the risk of HNC (A vs. G: OR=1.18, 95% CI: 0.77–1.82, *P*=.45; AA vs. GG: OR=1.94, 95% CI: 0.70–5.42, *P*=.20; GA vs. GG: OR=1.13, 95% CI: 0.79–1.60, *P*=.50; GA +AA vs. GG: OR=1.19, 95% CI: 0.77–1.83, *P*=.44; AA vs. GA +GG: OR=1.77, 95% CI: 0.70–4.49, *P*=.23). Furthermore, subgroup analyses were performed according to the types of tumor and the ethnics, and we found there was no significant association between TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and the risk of NPC and OC. At the same time, we failed to find significant main effects for TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism on HNC risk in different genetic models when stratified according to ethnicity (Figs. 1 and 2).

Toble 1

Table			
Characte	eristics of published	studies included	in this meta-analysis.

					C	ase	s	C	ontro	I			
First author	Year	Cancer types	Ethnicity	Control	GG	GA	AA	GG	GA	AA	WHE	NOS scor	e Matched
Chen ^[20]	2005	00	Asian	PB	125	15	0	88	14	0	0.46	6	Unclear (age, gender, and ethnicity)
Chiu ^[21]	2001	00	Asian	PB and HB	86	15	1	232	50	2	0.7	7	Unclear (ages and ethnicity)
Cil ^[22]	2014	TC	European	PB	153	36	1	174	37	5	0.09	5	Matched (age and gender)
Gupta ^[23]	2008	00	Asian	PB	61	23	10	114	19	0	0.38	6	Matched (age, gender, and ethnicity)
Ho ^[24]	2006	NPC	Asian	HB	18	5	0	37	13	0	0.29	8	Matched (age and gender, anti-EBV IgA and IgG)
Jalbout ^[25]	2003	NPC	European	PB	92	39	9	169	93	12	0.86	8	Unclear (ages and ethnicity)
Kietthubthew ^[26]	2010	00	Asian	PB	83	14	0	133	19	0	0.41	7	Matched (age, gender, smoking, and drinking status)
Kostic (BCC) ^[27]	2013	BCC	European	PB	29	21	0	39	21	0	0.1	9	Matched (age and ethnicity)
Kostic (OC) ^[27]	2013	00	European	PB	35	14	1	39	21	0	0.1	8	Matched (age and ethnicity)
Lakhanpal ^[28]	2015	NPC	Asian	PB	18	64	38	13	39	48	0.27	6	Matched (age and gender)
Liu ^[29]	2005	00	Asian	HB	175	16	1	120	24	2	0.53	7	Matched (age and gender)
Singh ^[30]	2015	00	Asian	PB	235	35	2	164	20	1	0.65	8	Matched (gender and drinking status)
Sousa ^[31]	2011	NPC	European	HB	84	32	7	442	170	15	0.63	7	Unclear (age and gender)
Tsai ^[32]	2002	NPC	Asian	PB	38	9	0	124	11	1	0.19	8	Unclear (age and gender)
Vairaktaris ^[33]	2008	00	European	HB	36	49	75	121	19	13	0.05	6	Matched (ethnicity, gender, age, and a low-risk working environment)
Yang ^[34]	2011	OPSCC	Asian	HB	180	23	2	155	43	0	0.09	7	Matched (age, ethnicity, and years of betel quid chewing)

BCC = basal cell carcinoma of head and neck, HB = hospital-based, HWE = Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, NOS = Newcastle–Ottawa scale, NPC = nasopharyngeal carcinoma, OC = oral cancer, OPSCC = oral and pharygeal squamous cell carcinoma, PB = population-based, TC = thyroid cancer.

3.3. Evaluation of Heterogeneity

There was significant heterogeneity in all gene models: allelic model (A vs. G: $I^2 = 91\%$, $P_h < .01$); homozygous model (AA vs. GG: $I^2 = 81\%$, $P_h < .01$); heterozygous model (GA vs. GG: $I^2 = 78\%$, $P_h < .01$); dominant genetic model (GA+AA vs. GG: $I^2 = 87\%$, $P_h < .01$); recessive model (AA vs. GA+GG: $I^2 = 81\%$, $P_h < .01$). Then, we assessed the source of heterogeneity for homozygote comparison by cancer type and ethnicity. As a result, cancer type and ethnicity were not found to contribute to substantial heterogeneity.

3.4. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed by sequential omission of individual studies in whole subjects and subgroups, respectively. In whole subjects, the study of Vairaktaris was the main originators of heterogeneity. When the study was excluded, heterogeneity was significantly decreased (AA vs. GG: $I^2 = 37\%$, $P_h = .1$; GA vs. GG: $I^2 = 47\%$, $P_h = .02$). In the cancer type subgroup analysis, the study of Lakhanpal was the main originators of heterogeneity in the NPC. When the study was excluded, heterogeneity was significantly decreased (A vs. G: $P_h = .02$).

 $I^2 = 11\%$, $P_h = .34$). Similarly, when the study by Vairaktaris was excluded, heterogeneity was also decreased in OC (AA vs. GG: $I^2 = 46\%$, $P_h = .12$). Additionally, in the ethnicity subgroup analysis, sensitivity analysis suggested that the study of Gupta was the main originator of heterogeneity in Asian, and the study of Vairaktaris was the main originator of heterogeneity in European. After the exclusion of these studies, heterogeneity was significantly decreased (A vs. G: $I^2 = 41\%$, $P_h = .10$; A vs. G: $I^2 =$ 0%, $P_h = .77$, respectively).

3.5. Publication bias

Funnel plots (Fig. 3) showed arrangement of data points did not reveal any evidence of obvious asymmetry. Formal evaluation using Egger regression asymmetry tests and the result still did not show any evidence of publication bias (t=0.27, P=.793).

4. Conclusion

We analyzed 15 case control studies to investigate the relationship between the polymorphism of TNF- α -308G/A gene and the susceptibility of HNC. The results showed that there was no significant relationship between the TNF- α -308G/A gene

_	[-n]	-1	r - 1	~.
_	[-]			_
	-	the state of the s		_

Total and	strati	fied analyses of	the 308	3G/A polymorphis	m on H	NC risk.					
		A vs. G		AA vs. GG		GA vs. GG		GA+AA vs. G	ìG	AA vs. GA+G	G
	Ν	OR	P _h	OR	P _h	OR	P _h	OR	P _h	OR	P _h
Total	16	1.18 [0.77, 1.82]	<.01	1.94[0.70,5.42]	<.01	1.13 [0.79, 1.60]	<.01	1.19[0.77, 1.83]	<.01	1.77[0.70, 4.49]	<.01
Cancer types											
00	8	1.42 [0.62, 3.26]	<.01	3.76 [0.75, 18.83]	<.01	1.26 [0.65, 2.42]	<.01	1.40[0.61, 3.22]	<.01	3.39 [0.94, 12.25]	.05
NPC	5	0.99 [0.72, 1.36]	.09	1.21 [0.58, 2.52]	.14	1.03 [0.72, 1.47]	.22	1.02 [0.76, 1.38]	.32	1.14 [0.46, 2.85]	.02
Others	3	0.84 [0.56, 1.27]	.17	0.81 [0.05, 14.07]	.12	0.86 [0.45, 1.65]	.03	0.85[0.48, 1.48]	.07	0.86[0.04, 17.31]	.10
Ethnicity											
Asian	10	1.00 [0.67, 1.50]	<.01	1.40 [0.44, 4.46]	.08	0.98 [0.67, 1.42]	<.01	0.99[0.66, 1.50]	<.01	1.33 [0.42, 4.25]	.06
European	6	1.51 [0.66, 3.44]	<.01	2.47 [0.55, 11.06]	<.01	1.38 [0.70, 2.72]	<.01	1.54 [0.65, 3.63]	<.01	2.29 [0.73, 7.22]	<.01

NPC = nasopharyngeal carcinoma, OC = oral cancer, Others: thyroid cancer, basal cell carcinoma (BCC) of head and beck, oral and pharygeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC). $P_{h} = P$ value for heterogeneity.

	Experim	ental	Contr	lo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
6.1.1 oral cancer							Conception and the second s
Chen 2005	15	280	14	204	5.9%	0.77 [0.36, 1.63]	
Chiu 2001	17	204	54	568	6.4%	0.87 [0.49, 1.53]	
Gupta 2008	43	188	19	266	6.3%	3.86 [2.16, 6.87]	
Kietthubthew 2010	14	194	19	304	6.0%	1.17 [0.57, 2.39]	
Kostic (OC) 2013	16	100	21	120	6.0%	0.90 [0.44, 1.83]	
Liu 2005	18	384	28	292	6.2%	0.46 [0.25, 0.86]	
Singh 2015	39	544	22	370	6.4%	1.22 [0.71, 2.10]	
Vairaktaris 2008	199	320	45	306	6.8%	9.54 [6.47, 14.07]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		2214		2430	50.0%	1.42 [0.62, 3.26]	-
Total events	361		222			-	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.34: Chi ² :	= 113.74	4. df = 7 (P < 0.0	0001): I ^z :	= 94%	
Test for overall effect 2	Z = 0.82 (P	= 0.41)					
6.1.2 nasopharyngeal	carcinom	a					
Ho 2006	5	46	13	100	4.9%	0.82 [0.27, 2.44]	
Jalbout 2003	57	280	117	548	6.8%	0.94 [0.66, 1.34]	
Lakhanpal 2015	140	240	135	200	6.8%	0.67 [0.46, 1.00]	
Sousa 2011	46	246	200	1254	6.8%	1.21 [0.85, 1.73]	-
Tsai 2002	9	94	13	272	5.5%	2.11 [0.87, 5.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		906		2374	30.8%	0.99 [0.72, 1.36]	+
Total events	257		478				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.06; Chi ² :	= 7.93, 0	if = 4 (P =	= 0.09);	I ² = 50%		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z=0.04 (P	= 0.97)	8				
6.1.3 others							
Cil 2014	38	380	47	432	6.6%	0.91 (0.58 1.43)	
Kostic (BCC) 2013	21	100	21	120	61%	1 25 [0 64, 2 46]	
Yang 2011	27	410	43	396	6.5%	0.58 (0.35, 0.96)	
Subtotal (95% CI)		890	10	948	19.2%	0.84 [0.56, 1.27]	+
Total events	86	000	111	0.0	1012.70	oro r [oroo, hEr]	
Heterogeneity Tau ² -	0.06 Chiz-	3 58 /	f= 2 (P -	0.17)	$ ^2 = 44\%$		
Test for overall effect 2	Z = 0.83 (P	= 0.40)		0.117	1 - 44.00		
Total (95% CI)		4010		5752	100.0%	1.18 [0.77, 1.82]	+
Total events	704		811				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.68; Chi ² :	= 159.06	6, df = 15	(P < 0.	00001); P	= 91%	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z= 0.75 (P	= 0.45)	1				U.UT U.T T TU TUU
Test for subaroup diffe	erences: Cl	ni² = 1.3	0. df = 2 i	(P = 0.5	2), ² = 09	8	Favours (experimental) Favours (control)
Figure 1. Forest plots	on assoc	iation h	etween .	TNF-α-	308G/A	polymorphism and HN	IC risk in the subgroup of the types of tumor (A vs. G).

polymorphism and HNC susceptibility. In addition, the results also found no significant correlation through the subgroup analysis of the types of tumor and the ethnics.

The risk factors for HNC include genetic inheritance, viral infections, and environmental factors. Among these factors, the EBV has been recognized as a critical risk factor for NPC. In the process of carcinogenesis, several EBV latent proteins are highly expressed and then infected cells start to escape the cellular immune system and become resistant to the cytotoxic effect of TNF- α .

In addition, TNF-α is a proinflammatory cytokine, participating in the regulation of B cell differentiation and leading to cell death, nucleus fragmentation, and the proliferation and maturation of B lymphocytes. The above process is highly correlated with the pathogenesis and development of HNC. Even so, the results in several studies about the relationship between TNF-a-308G/A polymorphism and HNC risk remain controversial. The study of Yapijakis et al^[35] indicates a strong association of TNF-α high expression alleles with increased risk of OC. But another research^[34] suggests that there is no association of TNFα genotypes with clinical pathology or the survival of OPSCC patients. Thus, our meta-analysis from 15 papers is performed to precisely assess the possible association of TNF-a-308G/A polymorphisms with the susceptibility to develop HNC. A previous meta-analysis^[36] has reported the relationship between NPC and TNF-a-308G/A polymorphisms; however, it cannot reflect all kinds of HNC.

In addition, this study has the following limitations: the sample of the research objects included in the study was not large enough, especially some special tumors (such as TC, BBC, and OPSCC); only English-language studies that were included in this metaanalysis might have led to publication bias, and the exclusion of unpublished data was generally associated with an overestimation of the true effect; the interaction between gene and gene, gene and environment, even the interaction between different polymorphic loci of the same gene can regulate cancer risk.

Our study suggested there is no significant relationship between TNF- α -308G/A gene polymorphism and HNC susceptibility, and there is also no significant relationship between tumor types and ethnics. Therefore, a further study with larger samples including different tumor types and ethnics is warranted, especially those researches involving interaction between gene– gene and gene–environment should be considered, which could contribute to fully understand the association of the TNF- α -308G/A polymorphism and HNC.

4.1. Ethical review

Ethical approval was not necessary, because this article is a metaanalysis and it does not involve the participation of ethics committee.

	Experimental		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Asian							
Chen 2005	15	280	14	204	5.9%	0.77 [0.36, 1.63]	
Chiu 2001	17	204	54	568	6.4%	0.87 [0.49, 1.53]	
Supta 2008	43	188	19	266	6.3%	3.86 [2.16, 6.87]	
lo 2006	5	46	13	100	4.9%	0.82 [0.27, 2.44]	
lietthubthew 2010	14	194	19	304	6.0%	1.17 [0.57, 2.39]	
akhanpal 2015	140	240	135	200	6.8%	0.67 [0.46, 1.00]	
iu 2005	18	384	28	292	6.2%	0.46 [0.25, 0.86]	
ingh 2015	39	544	22	370	6.4%	1.22 [0.71, 2.10]	
sai 2002	9	94	13	272	5.5%	2.11 [0.87, 5.11]	
'ang 2011	27	410	43	396	6.5%	0.58 [0.35, 0.96]	
ubtotal (95% CI)		2584		2972	60.9%	1.00 [0.67, 1.50]	•
otal events	327		360				
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.32; Chi ²	= 39.29,	df = 9 (P	< 0.00	01); l ² = 7	7%	
est for overall effect:	Z = 0.00 (F	P = 1.00))				
1.1.2 European							
cil 2014	38	380	47	432	6.6%	0.91 [0.58, 1.43]	-
albout 2003	57	280	117	548	6.8%	0.94 [0.66, 1.34]	-
ostic (BCC) 2013	21	100	21	120	6.1%	1.25 [0.64, 2.46]	
ostic (OC) 2013	16	100	21	120	6.0%	0.90 [0.44, 1.83]	
ousa 2011	46	246	200	1254	6.8%	1.21 [0.85, 1.73]	
airaktaris 2008	199	320	45	306	6.8%	9.54 [6.47, 14.07]	
ubtotal (95% CI)		1426		2780	39.1%	1.51 [0.66, 3.44]	-
otal events	377		451				
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.00; Chi ²	= 101.3	2, df = 5 (P < 0.0	0001); l ² =	= 95%	
est for overall effect:	Z = 0.98 (F	P = 0.33))				
otal (95% CI)		4010		5752	100.0%	1.18 [0.77, 1.82]	+
otal events	704		811			n and an a	
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.68; Chi ²	= 159.00	6, df = 15	(P < 0.	00001); l ²	= 91%	
est for overall effect:	Z = 0.75 (F	P = 0.45)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
est for subaroup diffe	erences: Ch	$hi^2 = 0.7$	7. df = 1(P = 0.3	8), $l^2 = 0\%$	0	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 2. Forest plots on association between TNF-α-308G/A polymorphism and HNC risk in the subgroup of ethnicity (A vs. G).



Figure 3. Funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence interval of publication bias.

References

- Dahiya K, Dhankhar R. Updated overview of current biomarkers in head and neck carcinoma. World J Methodol 2016;6:77–86.
- [2] Puram SV, Rocco JW. Molecular aspects of head and neck cancer therapy. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 2015;29:971–92.
- [3] Anantharaman D, Marron M, Lagiou P, et al. Population attributable risk of tobacco and alcohol for upper aerodigestive tract cancer. Oral Oncol 2011;47:725–31.
- [4] Hashibe M, Brennan P, Chuang SC, et al. Interaction between tobacco and alcohol use and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in

the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18:541–50.

- [5] Iarc WG. Human papillomaviruses: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum 2007;90:1–636.
- [6] Hopkins J, Cescon DW, Tse D, et al. Genetic polymorphisms and head and neck cancer outcomes: a review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17:490–9.
- [7] Zidi I, Mestiri S, Bartegi A, et al. TNF-alpha and its inhibitors in cancer. Med Oncol 2010;27:185–98.
- [8] Zins K, Abraham D, Sioud M, et al. Colon cancer cell-derived tumor necrosis factor-alpha mediates the tumor growth-promoting response in macrophages by up-regulating the colony-stimulating factor-1 pathway. Cancer Res 2007;67:1038–45.
- [9] Balkwill F. Tumour necrosis factor and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2009; 9:361–71.
- [10] Choo MK, Sakurai H, Koizumi K, et al. Stimulation of cultured colon 26 cells with TNF-alpha promotes lung metastasis through the extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway. Cancer Lett 2005;230:47–56.
- [11] Grimm M, Lazariotou M, Kircher S, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha is associated with positive lymph node status in patients with recurrence of colorectal cancer-indications for anti-TNF-alpha agents in cancer treatment. Cell Oncol 2011;34:315–26.
- [12] Al Obeed OA, Alkhayal KA, Al Sheikh A, et al. Increased expression of tumor necrosis factor-alpha is associated with advanced colorectal cancer stages. World J Gastroenterol 2014;20:18390–6.
- [13] Nyboe Andersen N, Pasternak B, Basit S, et al. Association between tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonists and risk of cancer in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. JAMA 2014;311:2406–13.
- [14] Szlosarek P, Charles KA, Balkwill FR. Tumour necrosis factor-alpha as a tumour promoter. Eur J Cancer 2006;42:745–50.
- [15] Wilson AG, di Giovine FS, Blakemore AI, et al. Single base polymorphism in the human tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF alpha) gene detectable by NcoI restriction of PCR product. Hum Mol Genet 1992;1:353.

- [17] Bian Z, He Q, Wang X, et al. Association of genetic polymorphisms with osteosarcoma risk: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015;8:8317–28.
- [18] Zhao Z, Tang X, Song K, et al. Association of -308G/A and -238G/A polymorphisms of TNF-alpha and osteosarcoma risk. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015;8:4177–81.
- [19] Zhou W, Zhang S, Hu Y, et al. Meta-analysis of the associations between TNF-alpha or IL-6 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to lung cancer. Eur J Med Res 2015;20:28.
- [20] Chen WC, Tsai MH, Wan L, et al. CYP17 and tumor necrosis factoralpha gene polymorphisms are associated with risk of oral cancer in Chinese patients in Taiwan. Acta Otolaryngol 2005;125:96–9.
- [21] Chiu CJ, Chiang CP, Chang ML, et al. Association between genetic polymorphism of tumor necrosis factor-alpha and risk of oral submucous fibrosis, a pre-cancerous condition of oral cancer. J Dent Res 2001;80:2055–9.
- [22] Cil E, Kumral A, Kanmaz-Ozer M, et al. Interleukin-10-1082 gene polymorphism is associated with papillary thyroid cancer. Mol Biol Rep 2014;41:3091–7.
- [23] Gupta R, Sharma SC, Das SN. Association of TNF-alpha and TNFR1 promoters and 3' UTR region of TNFR2 gene polymorphisms with genetic susceptibility to tobacco-related oral carcinoma in Asian Indians. Oral Oncol 2008;44:455–63.
- [24] Ho SY, Wang YJ, Huang PC, et al. Evaluation of the associations between the single nucleotide polymorphisms of the promoter region of the tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Chin Med Assoc 2006;69:351–7.
- [25] Jalbout M, Bouaouina N, Gargouri J, et al. Polymorphism of the stress protein HSP70-2 gene is associated with the susceptibility to the nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Lett 2003;193:75–81.
- [26] Kietthubthew S, Wickliffe J, Sriplung H, et al. Association of polymorphisms in proinflammatory cytokine genes with the development of oral cancer in Southern Thailand. Int J Hyg Environ Health 2010;213:146–52.

- [27] Kostic M, Nikolic N, Ilic B, et al. Association of TNF-R2 (676T > G) single nucleotide polymorphism with head and neck cancer risk in the serbian population. Arch Biol Sci 2013;65:387–93.
- [28] Lakhanpal M, Singh LC, Rahman T, et al. Study of single nucleotide polymorphisms of tumour necrosis factors and HSP genes in nasopharyngeal carcinoma in North East India. Tumor Biol 2016; 37:271–81.
- [29] Liu CJ, Wong YK, Chang KW, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha promoter polymorphism is associated with susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med 2005;34:608–12.
- [30] Singh PK, Bogra J, Chandra G, et al. Association of TNF-alpha (-238 and -308) promoter polymorphisms with susceptibility of oral squamous cell carcinoma in North Indian population. Cancer Biomarkers 2015;15: 125–31.
- [31] Sousa H, Breda E, Santos AM, et al. Genetic risk markers for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Portugal: tumor necrosis factor alpha -308G >A polymorphism. DNA Cell Biol 2011;30:99–103.
- [32] Tsai MH, Chen WC, Tsai FJ. Correlation of p21 gene codon 31 polymorphism and TNF-alpha gene polymorphism with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Clin Lab Anal 2002;16:146–50.
- [33] Vairaktaris E, Yapijakis C, Serefoglou Z, et al. Gene expression polymorphisms of interleukins-1 beta, -4, -6, -8, -10, and tumor necrosis factors-alpha -beta: regression analysis of their effect upon oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2008;134: 821–32.
- [34] Yang CM, Hou YY, Chiu YT, et al. Interaction between tumour necrosis factor-alpha gene polymorphisms and substance use on risk of betel quidrelated oral and pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma in Taiwan. Arch Oral Biol 2011;56:1162–9.
- [35] Yapijakis C, Serefoglou Z, Vylliotis A, et al. Association of polymorphisms in tumor necrosis factor alpha and beta genes with increased risk for oral cancer. Anticancer Res 2009;29:2379–86.
- [36] Xie L, Liang XN, Deng Y, et al. TNF-alpha-308G/A polymorphisms and nasopharyngeal cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2013;270:1667–72.