Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Vincent Vialou, INSERM U1130 Neurosciences Paris Seine, France

REVIEWED BY

Rosana Camarini, University of São Paulo, Brazil Herb Covington, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, United States Paula Cristina Bianchi, Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Benjamin D. Sachs benjamin.sachs@villanova.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Motivation and Reward, a section of the journal Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience

RECEIVED 31 May 2022 ACCEPTED 15 August 2022 PUBLISHED 20 September 2022

CITATION

McCarthy W, Huq SN, Allen K, Scally L, Petri A, Wujek M and Sachs BD (2022) Chronic, but not sub-chronic, stress increases binge-like alcohol consumption in male and female c57BL6 mice. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 16:958342. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2022.958342

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 McCarthy, Huq, Allen, Scally, Petri, Wujek and Sachs. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Chronic, but not sub-chronic, stress increases binge-like alcohol consumption in male and female c57BL6 mice

William McCarthy, Shama N. Huq, Kristen Allen, Lindsay Scally, Avelina Petri, Madeline Wujek* and Benjamin D. Sachs*

Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Villanova University, Villanova, PA, United States

Stress is known to contribute to mental illness and alcohol use disorders, which are highly prevalent and lead to considerable disability. These stressrelated disorders are characterized by significant sex differences, which remain poorly understood. Preclinical research comparing the effects of stress in males and females has the potential to provide new insights into the neurobiology of these conditions. The current study compared the effects of chronic and sub-chronic exposure to variable environmental stressors on binge-like alcohol consumption using the drinking-in-the-dark model in male and female c57BL6 mice. The results reveal that chronic, but not sub-chronic, exposure to variable stress increases alcohol intake in both sexes. Stress-induced alterations in gene expression were also compared in the nucleus accumbens, a brain region widely known to play a key role in stress susceptibility and reward processing. Real-time PCR data indicate that chronic, but not sub-chronic, environmental stress leads to downregulation of adenosine 2A (A2A) receptor mRNA. By contrast, subchronic stress increased CREB expression, while chronic stress did not. Several sex differences in the effects of stress on gene expression were also noted. Our results demonstrate that reductions in A2A receptor mRNA in the nucleus accumbens are associated with the increased binge drinking of chronically stressed animals, but future work will be required to determine the functional importance of this gene expression change. Continuing to define the molecular alterations associated with stress-induced increases in alcohol intake has the potential to provide insights into the development and progression of stress-related disorders.

KEYWORDS

stress, alcohol, nucleus accumbens, sex differences, mouse

Introduction

Alcohol use disorders (AUDs) are estimated to cost the United States roughly \$250 billion annually, approximately three-quarters of which is due to binge drinking (Sacks et al., 2015). In addition to its financial costs, alcohol was a contributing factor in approximately 3% of all deaths in the United States in 2020 (White et al., 2022). Men are almost two times more likely to binge drink than women (Kanny et al., 2018) and have significantly higher rates of AUDs (Schulte et al., 2009). Although stress is thought to increase alcohol consumption in both sexes, women are more likely than men to cite stress coping as a major motivation for drinking (Nolen-Hoeksema and Hilt, 2006; Peltier et al., 2019). The fact that stress promotes alcohol consumption is generally consistent with negative reinforcement models of alcohol intake (Baker et al., 2004) and self-medication and stress reduction theories of excessive alcohol drinking (Powers and Kutash, 1985). Establishing preclinical models of stressinduced escalations of alcohol intake has the potential to provide insights into the mechanisms through which stress can promote or exacerbate AUDs. However, the effects of stress on alcohol consumption in rodents have been reported to be highly variable. This variability is thought to result from several factors, including differences in the type and duration of stress exposure, the time point following stress at which alcohol intake is monitored, sex differences, and the genetic background of animals under investigation (Becker et al., 2011; Mineur et al., 2022). There is also considerable variability in what is considered chronic and sub-chronic stress. For example, 10 days of social defeat stress is often referred to as chronic social defeat (Tsankova et al., 2006; Covington et al., 2011; Lorsch et al., 2021). However, other groups have reported that 15 days of exposure to mild stressors constitutes sub-chronic stress (Ducottet and Belzung, 2004; Ducottet et al., 2004). For the current work, 5 days of stress exposure is being considered sub-chronic, while 21 days of stress exposure is being considered chronic.

Negative reinforcement models and the self-medication hypothesis would both predict that stress paradigms that induce negative affect would also increase alcohol consumption in animals provided voluntary access to alcohol. However, while stress could promote drinking indirectly by inducing negative emotional states, it could also lead to alterations in reward processing, impulsivity, or other processes that could promote drinking independent of negative affect. Indeed, stress has long been known to impact multiple brain regions, including the nucleus accumbens (NAc) (Herman et al., 1982; Krishnan et al., 2007), amygdala (Sapolsky et al., 1984), and frontal cortex (Biggio et al., 1981). Given that the NAc (Sneddon et al., 2021), amygdala (Moller et al., 1997), and frontal cortex (Deckel et al., 1996) are known to regulate drinking behavior and emotional responses (Aggleton, 1993; Barbas, 2000; Lim et al., 2012), stress could potentially impact drinking via multiple mechanisms in several brain regions, but the current study focused on the NAc.

Sex differences in stress susceptibility have been associated with significant differences in gene expression in the NAc (Hodes et al., 2015). Thus, in addition to measuring alcohol intake, the current study examined the expression of several genes that have been implicated in stress susceptibility and/or alcohol intake, including the serotonin 1A (5-HT1A) receptor, cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB), activityregulated cytoskeleton-associated protein (Arc), neuropeptide Y (NPY), and the adenosine 2A (A2A) receptor. Prior work has shown that decreasing levels of Arc increases alcohol intake in rats, and Arc is thought to be critical for alcohol anxiolyticlike effects (Pandey et al., 2008), which could be particularly important for stress-related alcohol consumption. Similarly, CREB- and NPY-dependent signaling have been hypothesized to play a key role in alcohol intake and anxiety-like behavior (Heilig, 2004; Pandey et al., 2005; Rimondini et al., 2005). The 5-HT1A receptor has long been implicated in anxiety-like behavior and impulse control (Schreiber and De Vry, 1993), and alterations in the levels of 5-HT1A receptors have been detected in individuals who met the criteria for AUDs (Storvik et al., 2009). The A2A receptor has been reported to play a key role in stress susceptibility (Batalha et al., 2013), and it is known to be required for the sedative effects of alcohol (Fang et al., 2017), but whether it plays a role in stress-related alcohol intake is unknown.

While each of the aforementioned genes could potentially regulate alcohol responses independently, these genes encode signaling molecules that interact on multiple levels, and interactions between signaling pathways could also regulate alcohol intake. For example, the 5-HT1A receptor is known to inhibit cAMP production (Weiss et al., 1986) and could therefore negatively regulate CREB activity. By contrast, the A2A receptor is known to stimulate cAMP production (van Calker et al., 1979) and thus could promote CREB activity. The administration of NPY has been reported to increase the activity of CREB (Chance et al., 2000), and NPY is a known target of CREB, with reductions in CREB levels leading to corresponding reductions in NPY (Pandey et al., 2004). In addition to CREB, the activation of the 5-HT1A receptor has also been reported to reduce stress-induced increases in Arc expression (Bader et al., 2014).

The current study was designed to determine whether chronic (21-day) or sub-chronic (5-day) exposure to variable environmental stressors is sufficient to increase binge drinking and lead to the dysregulation of these stress- and alcohol-related genes. The sub-chronic stress paradigm used in the current study (5-day stress, 5DS) was recently shown to increase anxietylike behavior in both male and female mice (Baugher et al., 2022). Despite leading to largely similar behavioral alterations in male and female mice, 5DS was reported to induce sex-specific changes in gene expression in the NAc (Baugher et al., 2022). The chronic stress paradigm (21DS) used in the current study consists of the same three stressors as 5DS repeated over a 3-week period. Binge-like alcohol intake was measured using the drinking-in-the-dark (DID) paradigm, a well-established test in which mice voluntarily consume intoxicating quantities of alcohol (Thiele et al., 2014).

Materials and methods

Animals

This study used 70 male and 70 female c57BL6 mice. A total of two cohorts of 20 mice each (five mice per group, per cohort: male control, female control, male 21DS, and female 21DS) were examined in the chronic stress paradigm, and three cohorts of 20 mice each (five mice per group, per cohort: male control, female control, male 5DS, and female 5DS) were examined in the sub-chronic stress paradigm. Behavioral data from all cohorts were combined, which resulted in five cohorts of control mice being run, but only three cohorts were exposed to 5DS, while two cohorts were exposed to 21DS. Finally, two separate cohorts of 20 mice each were used for the gene expression analyses, one for each of the stress durations. The data from all cohorts were combined for statistical analyses. The mice were approximately 2 months old when stress exposure began. Food and water were available ad libitum, except during exposure to the daily stressor and behavioral testing. The mice were housed in groups of three to five per cage in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room on a 12 h lightdark cycle. All studies were performed by protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care Use Committee (IACUC) at Villanova University.

Five- and 21-day stress procedures

Variable stress events consisted of three different stressors over either 5 or 21 days. The mice were subjected to restraint stress on day 1, forced swimming on day 2, and tail suspension on day 3. This sequence of stressors was repeated for a total of 5 or 21 days of stress exposure. For restraint stress, the mice were placed inside a ventilated 50 ml conical tube for 1 h inside their home cage. For forced swimming, the mice were placed inside a 4 L Pyrex glass beaker containing 2.5 L of water at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 12 min. For tail suspension, the mice were suspended by the tail with tape for 1 h. Control mice were handled daily and were deprived of food and water during the tube restraint and tail suspension stressors. These stress procedures were adapted from several existing sub-chronic variable stress procedures that use once-daily administration of similar combinations of environmental stressors (Hodes et al., 2015; Eck et al., 2020).

Drinking in the dark

Drinking-in-the-dark procedures were performed using standard methods (Thiele and Navarro, 2014) with minor modifications. In brief, starting the day after the final stress exposure (either day 6 or 22), the mice were temporarily singly housed starting approximately 2 h into the dark phase to allow for individual alcohol consumption measurements. After 1 h, the mice were given 2 h of access to 20% ethyl alcohol in drinking water. Following the end of a 2 h alcohol access period, the mice were returned to group housing. This procedure was repeated for the first three nights of DID testing. On the fourth and the final night of DID testing, alcohol access was provided for 4 h, instead of 2 h. During the study period, one bottle was found to have leaked in the male control group on night 1, so the data point was excluded from the analyses.

Gene expression in the nucleus accumbens

For the study, two separate cohorts of mice were killed by cervical dislocation and decapitation 24 h following the final stress exposure (either day 6 or day 22) without having undergone any behavioral testing. Gene expression analyses were performed, as described previously (Sachs et al., 2018). The brains were removed and sectioned into 1 mm-thick slices, and bilateral tissue punches (1.5 mm in diameter) were taken from the NAc. RNA was isolated from the tissue samples using the Ambion PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) with TRIzol, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Next, the Thermo Scientific Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit was used according to the manufacturer's instructions to perform reverse transcriptions on the samples. Real-time PCR was performed using a StepOnePlus machine (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) with PowerUp SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher). In the male control group, one sample provided gene expression values more than two standard deviations from the mean for three of the five genes examined, and data from that animal was removed as an outlier from all analyses. The primer sequences were obtained from PrimerBank (Wang et al., 2012) and are shown in Table 1. The Ct value for each gene of interest was subtracted from the Ct value for GAPDH for each sample to calculate a delta Ct value. The average delta Ct values for the female control group was calculated, and this delta Ct value was subtracted from each sample delta Ct value to produce a delta delta Ct value. The data were then log-transformed by raising 2 to the power of the negative delta delta Ct value. The transformed values were used for statistical analyses. For comparison, these analyses were repeated using the male control group as the reference value, instead of the female control group, and separately using withinsex normalization. For the within-sex normalization, the average

delta Ct value for the male control group was subtracted from all male samples, and the average delta Ct value for the female control group was subtracted from all female samples.

Statistical analyses

Behavioral data were analyzed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA with sex (male vs. female) and stress (control vs. 5DS vs. 21DS) as between subject factors. The within subject factor was night as animals were tested on four consecutive nights. Mauchly's test of sphericity was used to test the assumption of sphericity. This assumption was violated, so the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used for within-subjects repeated measures analyses. In addition, separate two-way ANOVAs were performed for each night of drinking behavior. For these analyses, Tukey's post hoc tests were performed to identify individual group differences in the between-subjects factors. Gene expression data and alcohol intake on each of the four nights were also analyzed by two-way ANOVA with sex and stress as factors, followed by Tukey's or Bonferroni's post hoc tests. P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant, and JMP software, version 16, (SAS, Cary, NC, USA) and SPSS software, version 26 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), were used for statistical analyses.

Results

Drinking in the dark

In the DID, between-subjects analysis revealed significant effects of stress $[F_{(2,94)} = 9.819, p < 0.001, Figure 1]$ and sex $[F_{(1,94)} = 42.157, p < 0.001, Figure 1]$. For the sex effect, female mice consumed significantly more alcohol per body weight than male mice overall. For the stress effect, post hoc analyses revealed that the 21DS group consumed significantly more alcohol than the 5DS and control groups (P < 0.002 by Bonferroni's post hoc test), but there were no significant differences between the 5DS and control groups. There was no significant sex-stress interaction in the between-subjects analysis $[F_{(2,94)} = 1.639,$ p = 0.200, Figure 1]. Repeated measures within-subject analysis revealed that alcohol consumption did increase across days in the DID test $[F_{(1.7, 159.4)} = 303.236, p < 0.001]$, although this effect may be driven by the increased alcohol access time on night 4. A significant two-way interaction between day and sex was also observed, in which female mice increased their drinking more than male mice over the course of the experiment $(F_{(1.7,159.4)} = 12.717, p < 0.001)$. There was also a trend toward a stress-day interaction $[F_{(1.7,159.4)} = 2.311, p = 0.071]$, but this did not quite reach statistical significance. Separate twoway ANOVAs for each of the four nights of drinking revealed significant effects of stress on each of the four nights and

significant effects of sex on nights 2–4 (Figures 1B–D), but not on night 1 (Figure 1A). Tukey's *post hoc* tests revealed that the female 21DS group drank significantly more on night 1 than any other group, except the male 21DS group, but there were no significant differences between any of the other groups. On night 2, Tukey's *post hoc* tests revealed no significant differences between the stress conditions within either sex. On night 3, the female 21DS group consumed significantly more alcohol than any of the three male groups and the female control group, but there were no significant differences between the female 5DS group and the female 21DS group. Finally, on night 4, females in both the 5DS and 21DS groups consumed significant differences between stress conditions were observed in male mice.

When male and female mice were analyzed separately, stress significantly increased alcohol intake in female mice on nights 1 $[F_{(2,47)} = 7.71, p = 0.001]$, 3 $[F_{(2,47)} = 5.316, p = 0.008]$, and 4 $[F_{(2,47)} = 3.78, p = 0.03]$, but not on night 2 $[F_{(2,47)} = 2.554, p = 0.089]$. In male mice, the effects of stress did not reach statistical significance on any of the nights, although trends were observed for nights 1 $[F_{(2,51)} = 2.96, p = 0.061]$ and 2 $[F_{(2,51)} = 2.947, p = 0.062]$.

Gene expression

When the female unstressed group was used as the reference/control group, no significant effects of sex were observed for the expression of 5-HT1A (Figure 2A, p = 0.563), A2A (Figure 2B, p = 0.508), Arc (Figure 2C, p = 0.472), CREB (Figure 2D, p = 0.111), or NPY (Figure 2E, p = 0.825). However, stress significantly impacted the expression of A2A $[F_{(2,38)} = 7.601, p = 0.002, Figure 2B]$ and CREB $[F_{(2,38)} = 5.458, p = 0.009, Figure 2D]$. For the A2A receptor, the 21DS group exhibited lower levels of A2A expression than the control group (p = 0.04) and the 5DS group (p = 0.002). No significant differences were observed between the 5DS and the control group for A2A expression (p = 0.245). For CREB, the 5DS group had higher levels of CREB mRNA than either the control group (p = 0.047) or the 21DS group (p = 0.01). In addition, significant sex-stress interactions were observed for A2A $[F_{(2,38)} = 5.227, p = 0.011, Figure 2B]$, Arc $[F_{(2,38)} = 4.334, p = 0.021, Figure 2C]$ and NPY $[F_{(2,38)} = 7.133, p = 0.021, Figure 2C]$ p = 0.009, Figure 2D]. Regarding the sex-stress interaction for A2A, Tukey's post hoc analysis revealed that the female 5DS group exhibited increased A2A compared to female controls (p = 0.0147) and the female 21DS group (p = 0.0029). For the sex-stress interaction for Arc, 21DS tended to decrease Arc expression in male mice, but it tended to increase Arc expression in females, but no significant group differences were observed by Tukey's post hoc test. For the sex-stress interaction for NPY, both 5DS and 21DS tended to increase NPY expression in female

TABLE 1 A list of the primer sequences used for real-time PCR.

Primer sequence table

Gene name	Forward primer	Reverse primer
GAPDH	CAT GTT CCA GTA TGA CTC CAC TC	GGC CTC ACC CCA TTT GAT GT
Arc	GGA GGG AGG TCT TCT ACC GTC	CCC CCA CAC CTA CAG AGA CA
A2A	TTC CAC TCC GGT ACA ATG GC	CGA TGG CGA ATG ACA GCA C
CREB	AGC CGG GTA CTA CCA TTC TAC	GCA GCT TGA ACA ACA ACT TGG
Neuropeptide Y	CTC CGC TCT GCG ACA CTA C	GGA AGG GTC TTC AAG CCT TGT
5HT1A	GCG GTC ACC GAT CTC ATG G	CAG TAC CTG TCT AGC GCG AT



alcohol intake using the drinking-in-the-dark test is shown for night 1 (**A**), night 2 (**B**), night 3 (**C**), and night 4 (**D**). N = 25-26 for the male control group, 24 for the female control group, 16 for the male 5DS group, 14 for the female 5DS, 10 for the male 21DS group, and 10 for the female 21DS group. Δ indicates a main effect of stress, and the male/female sign indicates a main effect of sex by two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05). *Significantly different from the control group, and **significantly different from both the control and the 5DS groups by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test.

mice but to decrease it in male mice. Tukey's *post hoc* analyses revealed that both the female 21DS group (p = 0.026) and the male control group (p = 0.032) exhibited higher levels of NPY than the female control group.

When the unstressed male group was used as the control group, the observed results were largely similar, but there were several notable differences. For A2A, the sex–stress interaction was again statistically significant $[F_{(2,38)} = 4.99, p = 0.013]$,

but unlike female controls, no significant effect of stress was observed. For Arc, the sex-stress interaction was again significant when using the unstressed male mice as the control group, instead of female mice $[F_{(2,38)} = 4.12, p = 0.0252]$. However, a significant effect of stress was also observed $[F_{(2,38)} = 4.85, p = 0.014]$, an effect that was not statistically significant when unstressed female mice were used as the control group. For 5-HT1A, NPY, and CREB, all significant findings



Impact of chronic stress (21DS) and sub-chronic stress (5DS) on the expression of the 5-HT1A receptor (A), the A2A receptor (B), Arc (C), CREB (D), and NPY (E) in the NAc of male and female mice. N = 9 in the male control group, N = 10 in the female control group, and N = 5 in all other groups. Δ Indicates a main effect of stress and X indicates a sex-stress interaction by two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05). *Significantly different from the other two stress conditions by Tukey's *post hoc* test.

with female controls were also found to be significant with male controls, and no additional main effects or interactions were observed with the change in the normalization method.

Discussion

The current results indicate that chronic, but not subchronic, exposure to variable stressors is sufficient to increase binge-like alcohol consumption in both male and female mice. The fact that the same sub-chronic stress paradigm (5DS) that fails to promote binge drinking does significantly increase anxiety-like behavior and forced swimming immobility (Baugher et al., 2022) suggests that stress-induced negative affect is not sufficient to increase binge-like alcohol consumption. Importantly, anxiety-like phenotypes following 5DS have only been reported on days 7 and 8 (the days preceding nights 2 and 3 in the current study), whereas alterations in forced swimming behavior were reported on days 8 and 9 (prior to nights 3 and 4 in the current study). While we are confident that the forced swimming alterations induced by 5DS would be observed at earlier time points, it is less clear how long the increased anxiety of 5DS-exposed mice persists following 5DS exposure. If 5DS-induced anxiety-like phenotypes are transient, this could contribute to the lack of significant increase in alcohol consumption following 5DS.

In addition, it is unclear whether the 4-day DID procedure used here provides mice with enough time and experience with alcohol to learn that alcohol consumption can alleviate anxiety-related phenotypes. If not, increased binge-like drinking to reduce negative affect would not be expected. Regardless, the fact that 21DS-exposed animals tested for 4 days in the

DID paradigm do display increased alcohol intake suggests that an extensive history of alcohol intake is not an absolute requirement for stress-induced increases in binge-like drinking. Nonetheless, it remains to be determined whether 5DS would increase DID alcohol consumption in animals with a longer history of alcohol intake. The reasons why 21DS significantly increased drinking, while 5DS did not, have not been conclusively established. Prior work with the 5DS model has shown that it is sufficient to increase corticosterone levels in mice (Baugher et al., 2022), and that while 21DS may be more effective than 5DS at increasing corticosterone levels to promote drinking, this has not been tested. Alternatively, chronic stress, but not sub-chronic stress, could be sufficient to promote dysregulation of neural circuits that control alcohol intake [for a review of relevant circuits, see Lovinger and Alvarez (2017)]. Indeed, some of our gene expression data (discussed later) are consistent with this possibility. Future research comparing the cellular and molecular alterations induced by 21DS vs. 5DS across multiple brain regions has the potential to provide new insights into some of these potential pathological processes.

The current findings add to a large body of research examining the effects of stress on alcohol intake in rodent models, in which many conflicting findings have been reported [reviewed in Becker et al. (2011)]. In general, most mouse studies report that acute environmental stress either has no effect or reduces alcohol intake on days in which environmental stress is administered, but stressor-specific changes have been observed at later time points. For example, short-term exposure to several of the stressors employed here, including restraint (30 min) and tail suspension (6 min), has been reported to reduce alcohol intake in a prior study. Specifically, acute restraint induced a reduction in alcohol intake that lasted for 2 days following stress exposure, while tail suspension suppressed alcohol intake only on the stress exposure day (Cozzoli et al., 2014). Interestingly, another prior study has reported that female CD1 mice that are prone to excessive immobility in the tail suspension test drink more alcohol than female mice that exhibit less immobility in this test, but no such relationship was observed in male mice (Pelloux et al., 2005), which suggests that the factors leading to increased alcohol intake differ in male and female mice. Unlike restraint and tail suspension, a single exposure to 30 min of predator odor stress increased alcohol consumption, starting the day after stress exposure in female mice and starting 2 days after stress exposure in male mice (Cozzoli et al., 2014). The reasons why acute exposure to different environmental stressors leads to different effects on alcohol intake remain to be established.

Similar to acute stressors, the effects of chronic environmental stressors on alcohol consumption in adult rodents also appear to depend on the specific stress paradigm employed and the sex and genetic background of animals being studied. For example, unpredictable chronic stress (11 days) has been reported to increase binge-like alcohol intake in male mice, but not in female mice (Quadir et al., 2019). Chronic mild stress (7 weeks) has been reported to have variable effects on DID alcohol consumption in female mice taken from crosses between mice on a c57BL6/J or DBA background, with stress reducing binge-like alcohol intake in most strains but increasing it in others (Mulligan et al., 2019). Chronic repeated predatory stress has been reported to increase the consumption of aversive alcohol solutions containing quinine in male c57BL6/J mice, but not in female mice (Shaw et al., 2020).

Although there is considerable variability in the effects of environmental stress on alcohol intake overall, social stressors have been more consistently reported to increase alcohol intake. For example, early-life maternal deprivation has been reported to increase alcohol consumption in adult male and female rats, and this effect has been reported to be enhanced by restraint stress during adulthood (Penasco et al., 2015). In addition, social defeat stress is sufficient to increase alcohol consumption in male mice (Kudryavtseva et al., 2006; Norman et al., 2014; Rodriguez-Arias et al., 2014; Newman et al., 2018; Montagud-Romero et al., 2021; Reguilon et al., 2021a,b) and female mice (Newman et al., 2021).

To our knowledge, the current study is the first to examine potential sex differences following 5 or 21 days of exposure to variable environmental stressors. No significant sex-stress interaction was observed, and thus, we can only conclude that stress increased alcohol intake independent of sex. While the *post hoc* tests reveal a significant effect of stress in female mice, but not in male mice (without a sex-stress interaction), this does not provide strong support to arrive at a conclusion that stress differentially impacts the sexes (Nieuwenhuis et al., 2011). Nonetheless, given the apparent trend toward greater effects in female mice, it is possible that future studies with larger sample sizes or intermediate stress durations could still reveal significant sex differences in susceptibility to stress-induced binge drinking.

Although exposure to 5DS during adulthood had no effect on binge-like alcohol intake, future research should evaluate whether exposure to 5DS during adolescence would increase alcohol intake during adolescence or in adulthood. Several prior studies have reported that chronic stress during adolescence can promote increased alcohol intake in adulthood. For example, 25 days of chronic variable social stress starting on post-natal day 25 has been shown to increase DID alcohol intake 1 week later (Caruso et al., 2018). Chronic variable stress in adolescence has also been reported to increase alcohol consumption in adult male and female mice (Lopez et al., 2011). However, whether sub-chronic stressors during adolescence have similar effects in male or female mice remains unknown.

Our gene expression data reveal several distinct molecular alterations in the NAc following 5DS vs. 21DS. In particular, the reduction in A2A expression in 21DS-exposed mice compared with 5DS and control animals could have important implications for binge-like alcohol intake. Indeed, given that the hypnotic effects of alcohol have been argued to be mediated by the A2A receptor (Fang et al., 2017), it is possible that reductions in the expression of this receptor would render mice less sensitive to the sedative-like effects of alcohol, which could promote increased intake (Schuckit, 1994). Indeed, in the absence of stress, A2A receptor agonists have been reported to reduce alcohol intake, while antagonists were reported to increase alcohol consumption (Thorsell et al., 2007; Micioni Di Bonaventura et al., 2012). Consistent with this, mice that completely lack the A2A receptor have been reported to exhibit increased alcohol consumption (Naassila et al., 2002). However, there may be multiple additional mechanisms through which 21DS increases alcohol intake. Future research would be required to determine whether any of the molecular differences observed here are causally related to the increased binge alcohol consumption that we observe in the mice exposed to 21DS, but not in the mice exposed to 5DS. Nonetheless, our results demonstrate that chronic and sub-chronic stressors exert differential effects on gene expression, and these different molecular adaptations could underlie the distinct behavioral responses to chronic and sub-chronic stress. Interestingly, several sex-stress interactions on gene expression were observed (for A2A, Arc, and NPY). Given that male and female mice exhibited largely similar behavioral responses to 21DS and 5DS, this may reflect an example of sexual convergence, in which similar behavioral phenotypes arise as the result of distinct neurobiological processes in male and female mice. Indeed, we had previously noted several additional sex differences in 5DS-induced gene expression changes in the NAc, despite the fact that 5DS was shown to induce largely similar effects on depression- and anxiety-like behavior in both sexes (Baugher et al., 2022).

Regarding the gene expression analyses, slight differences in results of statistical tests depending on whether male or female mice were designated as the "control" group suggest that control group selection can significantly impact data interpretation and the conclusions of these types of studies. Importantly, the three significant stress-sex interactions in gene expression that were identified with female controls (Arc, NPY, and A2A) were also statistically significant with male controls. However, the fact that the significant effects of stress observed for Arc and A2A were dependent on the sex used to normalize suggests that these effects may not be particularly robust. By contrast, the main effect of stress on CREB expression was observed regardless of which sex was set as the control group. Instead of using a single sex as a control group and comparing across sexes, it could also be argued that each sex should be normalized to the control group of the corresponding sex, although this prevents meaningful comparisons across sex. Despite this limitation, within-sex normalization can provide insights into whether there are differences in the magnitude of stress-induced changes between the sexes. When we employed within-sex normalization, all three statistically significant stress–sex interactions identified using a single sex as the control group remained significant. However, within-sex normalization also identified a significant sex–stress interaction for the expression of CREB [$F_{(2,38)} = 4.44$, p = 0.02], which was not determined to be significant using either of the other two normalization methods.

Overall, the current data provide new insights into the effects of chronic and sub-chronic stressors on alcohol consumption. The observed sex differences in molecular alterations induced by stress in NAc could have important implications for sex-specific behavioral responses to stress, although the only behavior examined in the current study was impacted by stress similarly in both sexes. Continuing to define the behavioral and molecular alterations induced by stress in male and female mice has the potential to reveal sex-specific pathological processes that could eventually be targeted to reduce the burden of stress-related disorders.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

This animal study was reviewed and approved by Villanova University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Author contributions

WM, SH, and BS contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study and performed the statistical analyses. WM, SH, KA, LS, AP, and MW performed the experiments, collected the data, and contributed to revising the manuscript. BS wrote the initial draft. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Funding to publish this research was provided by Villanova University's Scholarship Open Access Reserve fund. Additional support for this research was provided by Villanova University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Aggleton, J. P. (1993). The contribution of the amygdala to normal and abnormal emotional states. *Trends Neurosci.* 16, 328–333. doi: 10.1016/0166-2236(93)90110-8

Bader, L. R., Carboni, J. D., Burleson, C. A., and Cooper, M. A. (2014). 5-HT1A receptor activation reduces fear-related behavior following social defeat in Syrian hamsters. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 122, 182–190. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2014.03. 024

Baker, T. B., Piper, M. E., McCarthy, D. E., Majeskie, M. R., and Fiore, M. C. (2004). Addiction motivation reformulated: An affective processing model of negative reinforcement. *Psychol. Rev.* 111, 33–51. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.111.1.33

Barbas, H. (2000). Connections underlying the synthesis of cognition, memory, and emotion in primate prefrontal cortices. *Brain Res. Bull.* 52, 319–330. doi: 10.1016/s0361-9230(99)00245-2

Batalha, V. L., Pego, J. M., Fontinha, B. M., Costenla, A. R., Valadas, J. S., Baqi, Y., et al. (2013). Adenosine A(2A) receptor blockade reverts hippocampal stressinduced deficits and restores corticosterone circadian oscillation. *Mol. Psychiatry* 18, 320–331. doi: 10.1038/mp.2012.8

Baugher, B. J., Buckhaults, K., Case, J., Sullivan, A., Huq, S. N., and Sachs, B. D. (2022). Sub-chronic stress induces similar behavioral effects in male and female mice despite sex-specific molecular adaptations in the nucleus accumbens. *Behav. Brain Res.* 425:113811. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2022.113811

Becker, H. C., Lopez, M. F., and Doremus-Fitzwater, T. L. (2011). Effects of stress on alcohol drinking: A review of animal studies. *Psychopharmacology* 218, 131–156. doi: 10.1007/s00213-011-2443-9

Biggio, G., Corda, M. G., Concas, A., Demontis, G., Rossetti, Z., and Gessa, G. L. (1981). Rapid changes in GABA binding induced by stress in different areas of the rat brain. *Brain Res.* 229, 363–369. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(81)91000-3

Caruso, M. J., Seemiller, L. R., Fetherston, T. B., Miller, C. N., Reiss, D. E., Cavigelli, S. A., et al. (2018). Adolescent social stress increases anxiety-like behavior and ethanol consumption in adult male and female C57BL/6J mice. *Sci. Rep.* 8:10040. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28381-2

Chance, W. T., Sheriff, S., Peng, F., and Balasubramaniam, A. (2000). Antagonism of NPY-induced feeding by pretreatment with cyclic AMP response element binding protein antisense oligonucleotide. *Neuropeptides* 34, 167–172. doi: 10.1054/npep.2000.0807

Covington, H. E. III, Maze, I., Sun, H., Bomze, H. M., DeMaio, K. D., Wu, E. Y., et al. (2011). A role for repressive histone methylation in cocaine-induced vulnerability to stress. *Neuron* 71, 656–670. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.06.007

Cozzoli, D. K., Tanchuck-Nipper, M. A., Kaufman, M. N., Horowitz, C. B., and Finn, D. A. (2014). Environmental stressors influence limited-access ethanol consumption by C57BL/6J mice in a sex-dependent manner. *Alcohol* 48, 741–754. doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2014.07.015

Deckel, A. W., Shoemaker, W. J., and Arky, L. (1996). Dorsal lesions of the prefrontal cortex: Effects on alcohol consumption and subcortical monoaminergic systems. *Brain Res.* 723, 70–76. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(96)00219-3

Ducottet, C., Aubert, A., and Belzung, C. (2004). Susceptibility to subchronic unpredictable stress is related to individual reactivity to threat stimuli in mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 155, 291–299. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2004.04.020

Ducottet, C., and Belzung, C. (2004). Behaviour in the elevated plus-maze predicts coping after subchronic mild stress in mice. *Physiol. Behav.* 81, 417–426. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.01.013

Eck, S. R., Xu, S. J., Telenson, A., Duggan, M. R., Cole, R., Wicks, B., et al. (2020). Stress Regulation of Sustained Attention and the Cholinergic Attention System. *Biol. Psychiatry* 88, 566–575. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.04.013

Fang, T., Dong, H., Xu, X. H., Yuan, X. S., Chen, Z. K., Chen, J. F., et al. (2017). Adenosine A2A receptor mediates hypnotic effects of ethanol in mice. *Sci. Rep.* 7:12678. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-12689-6

Heilig, M. (2004). The NPY system in stress, anxiety and depression. Neuropeptides 38, 213-224. doi: 10.1016/j.npep.2004.05.002

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Herman, J. P., Guillonneau, D., Dantzer, R., Scatton, B., Semerdjian-Rouquier, L., and Le Moal, M. (1982). Differential effects of inescapable footshocks and of stimuli previously paired with inescapable footshocks on dopamine turnover in cortical and limbic areas of the rat. *Life Sci.* 30, 2207–2214. doi: 10.1016/0024-3205(82)90295-8

Hodes, G. E., Pfau, M. L., Purushothaman, I., Ahn, H. F., Golden, S. A., Christoffel, D. J., et al. (2015). Sex Differences in Nucleus Accumbens Transcriptome Profiles Associated with Susceptibility versus Resilience to Subchronic Variable Stress. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 16362–16376. doi: 10.1523/ INEUROSCI.1392-15.2015

Kanny, D., Naimi, T. S., Liu, Y., Lu, H., and Brewer, R. D. (2018). Annual Total Binge Drinks Consumed by U.S. Adults, 2015. Am. J. Prev. Med. 54, 486–496. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2017.12.021

Krishnan, V., Han, M. H., Graham, D. L., Berton, O., Renthal, W., Russo, S. J., et al. (2007). Molecular adaptations underlying susceptibility and resistance to social defeat in brain reward regions. *Cell* 131, 391–404. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007. 09.018

Kudryavtseva, N., Gerrits, M. A., Avgustinovich, D. F., Tenditnik, M. V., and Van Ree, J. M. (2006). Anxiety and ethanol consumption in victorious and defeated mice; effect of kappa-opioid receptor activation. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 16, 504–511. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2006.01.002

Lim, B. K., Huang, K. W., Grueter, B. A., Rothwell, P. E., and Malenka, R. C. (2012). Anhedonia requires MC4R-mediated synaptic adaptations in nucleus accumbens. *Nature* 487, 183–189. doi: 10.1038/nature11160

Lopez, M. F., Doremus-Fitzwater, T. L., and Becker, H. C. (2011). Chronic social isolation and chronic variable stress during early development induce later elevated ethanol intake in adult C57BL/6J mice. *Alcohol* 45, 355–364. doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2010.08.017

Lorsch, Z. S., Ambesi-Impiombato, A., Zenowich, R., Morganstern, I., Leahy, E., Bansal, M., et al. (2021). Computational Analysis of Multidimensional Behavioral Alterations After Chronic Social Defeat Stress. *Biol. Psychiatry* 89, 920–928. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.010

Lovinger, D. M., and Alvarez, V. A. (2017). Alcohol and basal ganglia circuitry: Animal models. *Neuropharmacology* 122, 46–55. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017. 03.023

Micioni Di Bonaventura, M. V., Cifani, C., Lambertucci, C., Volpini, R., Cristalli, G., Froldi, R., et al. (2012). Effects of A(2)A adenosine receptor blockade or stimulation on alcohol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacology* 219, 945–957. doi: 10.1007/s00213-011-2430-1

Mineur, Y. S., Garcia-Rivas, V., Thomas, M. A., Soares, A. R., McKee, S. A., and Picciotto, M. R. (2022). Sex differences in stress-induced alcohol intake: A review of preclinical studies focused on amygdala and inflammatory pathways. *Psychopharmacology* 239, 2041–2061. doi: 10.1007/s00213-022-06120-w

Moller, C., Wiklund, L., Sommer, W., Thorsell, A., and Heilig, M. (1997). Decreased experimental anxiety and voluntary ethanol consumption in rats following central but not basolateral amygdala lesions. *Brain Res.* 760, 94–101. doi: 10.1016/s0006-8993(97)00308-9

Montagud-Romero, S., Reguilon, M. D., Pascual, M., Blanco-Gandia, M. C., Guerri, C., Minarro, J., et al. (2021). Critical role of TLR4 in uncovering the increased rewarding effects of cocaine and ethanol induced by social defeat in male mice. *Neuropharmacology* 182:108368. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2020.108368

Mulligan, M. K., Lu, L., Cavigelli, S. A., Mormede, P., Terenina, E., Zhao, W., et al. (2019). Impact of Genetic Variation on Stress-Related Ethanol Consumption. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 43, 1391–1402. doi: 10.1111/acer.14073

Naassila, M., Ledent, C., and Daoust, M. (2002). Low ethanol sensitivity and increased ethanol consumption in mice lacking adenosine A2A receptors. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 10487–10493. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-23-10487. 2002

Newman, E. L., Albrechet-Souza, L., Andrew, P. M., Auld, J. G., Burk, K. C., Hwa, L. S., et al. (2018). Persistent escalation of alcohol consumption

by mice exposed to brief episodes of social defeat stress: Suppression by CRF-R1 antagonism. *Psychopharmacology* 235, 1807–1820. doi: 10.1007/s00213-018-4905-9

Newman, E. L., Covington, H. E. III, Leonard, M. Z., Burk, K., and Miczek, K. A. (2021). Hypoactive Thalamic Crh+ Cells in a Female Mouse Model of Alcohol Drinking After Social Trauma. *Biol. Psychiatry* 90, 563–574. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.05.022

Nieuwenhuis, S., Forstmann, B. U., and Wagenmakers, E. J. (2011). Erroneous analyses of interactions in neuroscience: A problem of significance. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1105–1107. doi: 10.1038/nn.2886

Nolen-Hoeksema, S., and Hilt, L. (2006). Possible contributors to the gender differences in alcohol use and problems. *J. Gen. Psychol.* 133, 357–374. doi: 10. 3200/GENP.133.4.357-374

Norman, K. J., Seiden, J. A., Klickstein, J. A., Han, X., Hwa, L. S., DeBold, J. F., et al. (2014). Social stress and escalated drug self-administration in mice I. Alcohol and corticosterone. *Psychopharmacology* 232, 991–1001. doi: 10.1007/s00213-014-3733-9

Pandey, S. C., Roy, A., Zhang, H., and Xu, T. (2004). Partial deletion of the cAMP response element-binding protein gene promotes alcohol-drinking behaviors. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 5022–5030. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5557-03.2004

Pandey, S. C., Zhang, H., Roy, A., and Xu, T. (2005). Deficits in amygdaloid cAMP-responsive element-binding protein signaling play a role in genetic predisposition to anxiety and alcoholism. *J. Clin. Invest.* 115, 2762–2773. doi: 10.1172/JCI24381

Pandey, S. C., Zhang, H., Ugale, R., Prakash, A., Xu, T., and Misra, K. (2008). Effector immediate-early gene arc in the amygdala plays a critical role in alcoholism. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 2589–2600. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4752-07.2008

Pelloux, Y., Hagues, G., Costentin, J., and Duterte-Boucher, D. (2005). Helplessness in the tail suspension test is associated with an increase in ethanol intake and its rewarding effect in female mice. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 29, 378–388. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000156123.10298.fa

Peltier, M. R., Verplaetse, T. L., Mineur, Y. S., Petrakis, I. L., Cosgrove, K. P., Picciotto, M. R., et al. (2019). Sex differences in stress-related alcohol use. *Neurobiol. Stress* 10:100149. doi: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2019.100149

Penasco, S., Mela, V., Lopez-Moreno, J. A., Viveros, M. P., and Marco, E. M. (2015). Early maternal deprivation enhances voluntary alcohol intake induced by exposure to stressful events later in life. *Neural. Plast* 2015:342761. doi: 10.1155/2015/342761

Powers, R. J., and Kutash, I. L. (1985). Stress and alcohol. Int. J. Addict. 20, 461-482. doi: 10.3109/10826088509044926

Quadir, S. G., Guzelian, E., Palmer, M. A., Martin, D. L., Kim, J., and Szumlinski, K. K. (2019). Complex interactions between the subject factors of biological sex and prior histories of binge-drinking and unpredictable stress influence behavioral sensitivity to alcohol and alcohol intake. *Physiol. Behav.* 203, 100–112. doi: 10. 1016/j.physbeh.2017.08.002

Reguilon, M. D., Ferrer-Perez, C., Manzanedo, C., Minarro, J., and Rodriguez-Arias, M. (2021a). Ethanol intake in male mice exposed to social defeat: Environmental enrichment potentiates resilience. *Neurobiol. Stress* 15:100413. doi: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2021.100413

Reguilon, M. D., Ferrer-Perez, C., Minarro, J., and Rodriguez-Arias, M. (2021b). Oxytocin reverses ethanol consumption and neuroinflammation induced by social defeat in male mice. *Horm. Behav.* 127:104875. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104875

Rimondini, R., Thorsell, A., and Heilig, M. (2005). Suppression of ethanol selfadministration by the neuropeptide Y (NPY) Y2 receptor antagonist BIIE0246: Evidence for sensitization in rats with a history of dependence. *Neurosci. Lett.* 375, 129–133. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2004.10.084

Rodriguez-Arias, M., Navarrete, F., Blanco-Gandia, M. C., Arenas, M. C., Bartoll-Andres, A., Aguilar, M. A., et al. (2014). Social defeat in adolescent mice increases vulnerability to alcohol consumption. *Addict.biol.* 21, 87–97. doi: 10. 1111/adb.12184

Sachs, B. D., Tran, H. L., Folse, E., and Caron, M. G. (2018). Brainregion-specific Molecular Responses to Maternal Separation and Social Defeat Stress in Mice. *Neuroscience* 373, 122–136. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.0 1.018

Sacks, J. J., Gonzales, K. R., Bouchery, E. E., Tomedi, L. E., and Brewer, R. D. (2015). 2010 National and State Costs of Excessive Alcohol Consumption. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 49:e73–e79. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2015.05.031

Sapolsky, R. M., Krey, L. C., and McEwen, B. S. (1984). Stress down-regulates corticosterone receptors in a site-specific manner in the brain. *Endocrinology* 114, 287–292. doi: 10.1210/endo-114-1-287

Schreiber, R., and De Vry, J. (1993). 5-HT1A receptor ligands in animal models of anxiety, impulsivity and depression: Multiple mechanisms of action? *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 17, 87–104. doi: 10.1016/0278-5846(93) 90034-p

Schuckit, M. A. (1994). Low level of response to alcohol as a predictor of future alcoholism. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 151, 184–189.

Schulte, M. T., Ramo, D., and Brown, S. A. (2009). Gender differences in factors influencing alcohol use and drinking progression among adolescents. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 29, 535–547. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2009.06.003

Shaw, G. A., Bent, M. A. M., Council, K. R., Pais, A. C., Amstadter, A., Wolstenholme, J. T., et al. (2020). Chronic repeated predatory stress induces resistance to quinine adulteration of ethanol in male mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 382:112500. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112500

Sneddon, E. A., Schuh, K. M., Frankel, J. W., and Radke, A. K. (2021). The contribution of medium spiny neuron subtypes in the nucleus accumbens core to compulsive-like ethanol drinking. *Neuropharmacology* 187:108497. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropharm.2021.108497

Storvik, M., Hakkinen, M., Tupala, E., and Tiihonen, J. (2009). 5-HT(1A) receptors in the frontal cortical brain areas in Cloninger type 1 and 2 alcoholics measured by whole-hemisphere autoradiography. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 44, 2–7. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agn090

Thiele, T. E., Crabbe, J. C., and Boehm, S. L. II (2014). "Drinking in the Dark" (DID): A simple mouse model of binge-like alcohol intake. *Curr. Protocols Neurosci.* 68, 94941–494912. doi: 10.1002/0471142301.ns0949s68

Thiele, T. E., and Navarro, M. (2014). "Drinking in the dark" (DID) procedures: A model of binge-like ethanol drinking in non-dependent mice. *Alcohol* 48, 235–241. doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2013.08.005

Thorsell, A., Johnson, J., and Heilig, M. (2007). Effect of the adenosine A2a receptor antagonist 3,7-dimethyl-propargylxanthine on anxiety-like and depression-like behavior and alcohol consumption in Wistar Rats. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 31, 1302–1307. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2007. 00425.x

Tsankova, N. M., Berton, O., Renthal, W., Kumar, A., Neve, R. L., and Nestler, E. J. (2006). Sustained hippocampal chromatin regulation in a mouse model of depression and antidepressant action. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 519–525. doi: 10.1038/ nn1659

van Calker, D., Müller, M., and Hamprecht, B. (1979). Adenosine regulates via two different types of receptors, the accumulation of cyclic AMP in cultured brain cells. *J. Neurochem.* 33, 999–1005. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.1979.tb05 236.x

Wang, X., Spandidos, A., Wang, H., and Seed, B. (2012). PrimerBank: A PCR primer database for quantitative gene expression analysis, 2012 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40:D1144–D1149. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1013

Weiss, S., Sebben, M., Kemp, D. E., and Bockaert J. (1986). Serotonin 5-HT1 receptors mediate inhibition of cyclic AMP production in neurons. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 120, 227–230. doi: 10.1016/0014-2999(86) 90544-3

White, A. M., Castle, I. P., Powell, P. A., Hingson, R. W., and Koob, G. F. (2022). Alcohol-Related Deaths During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA* 327, 1704–1706. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.4308