






BMJ Open Validity of a catheter exit site clinical assessment scale for the early detection of exit site infections in patients on haemodialysis with a central venous catheter: protocol for a multicentre validation study in Spain (EXITA Study)

José Luis Cobo-Sánchez ^{1,2}, Ian Blanco-Mavillard ^{3,4,5},
Raquel Pelayo-Alonso ⁶, Noelia Mancebo-Salas ⁷,
Ismael Fernandez-Fernandez ³, Joan Ernest De Pedro-Gomez^{4,5}

To cite: Cobo-Sánchez JL, Blanco-Mavillard I, Pelayo-Alonso R, *et al.* Validity of a catheter exit site clinical assessment scale for the early detection of exit site infections in patients on haemodialysis with a central venous catheter: protocol for a multicentre validation study in Spain (EXITA Study). *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e065724. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065724

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065724>).

Received 15 June 2022
Accepted 18 July 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Ian Blanco-Mavillard;
ianblanco@hmanacor.org

ABSTRACT

Introduction Haemodialysis patients with central venous catheter (HD-CVC) are at increased risk of exit site infections (ESIs) and catheter-related bloodstream infections, causing an increase of hospitalisation, morbidity and mortality rates. The main aim of the EXITA Study is to develop and validate an instrument for the early detection of HD-CVC ESIs.

Methods and analysis EXITA is a multicentre prospective cohort study to validate the proposed instrument with a sample of 457 HD-CVCs: 92 in the ESI group and 365 in the non-ESI group. Sample size was calculated using Epidat V.4.2 software, with 95% and 90% expected sensitivity and specificity, respectively, an ESI incidence around 20% and 5%–10% precision range. During each haemodialysis session, the absence or presence of each item will be assessed by nurses. If any item is present, a microbiological study of pericatheter skin smears and/or exit site exudate will be carried out. HD-CVC ESI will be diagnosed when the pericatheter skin smears and/or exit site exudate culture are positive (≥ 15 CFU/mL by semiquantitative Maki's technique or ≥ 1000 CFU/mL by Cleri's technique). To validate the scale, a logistic regression analysis will be performed: the β coefficients of each of the signs/symptoms of the scale to be validated will be estimated. We will use logit function and calculate ESI probability = $e^{\text{logit ESI}} / (1 + e^{\text{logit ESI}})$.

Ethics and dissemination The study has been approved by the Research Ethics Committee with Medical Products of Cantabria (approval code 2019.146). We will obtain informed consent from all participants before data collection. We will publish the study results in a peer-reviewed scientific journal.

INTRODUCTION

Patients on haemodialysis need vascular access to facilitate renal replacement therapy, thus ensuring sufficient blood flow for dialysis while maintaining clinical safety.¹ The

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ To our knowledge, this is the first study based on a consistent statistical approach to evaluate the validity of a catheter exit site clinical assessment scale in patients on haemodialysis for the early detection of exit site infections.
- ⇒ The items on the scale are based on a previous systematic review and a consensus of an international panel of experts in care of vascular access in patients on haemodialysis, which provides robustness in content validity.
- ⇒ The study will be carried out in nine hospitals to maximise the external validity of the scale.
- ⇒ One limitation of the study is that each patient included may present one or multiple episodes of exit site infections without being able to formally verify that the different episodes from a single patient are independent of each other.

method used to obtain this vascular access can have a significant impact on medium-term and long-term morbidity and mortality.² Numerous studies have shown that the use of a central venous catheter in haemodialysis (HD-CVC) is associated with higher morbidity and mortality than the use of an arteriovenous fistula.^{3–5} However, approximately 50%–80% of patients start haemodialysis therapy with a CVC in the USA and Europe,^{6–8} using this vascular access device among prevalent patients receiving haemodialysis in 30%–40% of cases.^{1,6,7} The advantage of CVCs is that they can be inserted immediately in emergencies (such as hyperkalaemia or acute lung oedema) and when the vascular bed is exhausted.



The incidence of central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) following the insertion of a haemodialysis catheter is highly variable, ranging from 1.6 to 6.18 per 1000 catheter days for tunnelled catheters and from 1.4 to 8.3 per 1000 catheter days for non-tunnelled ones.^{9 10} Similarly, the incidence of exit site infection (ESI) ranges from 0.35 to 8.3 per 1000 catheter days for tunnelled catheters and from 8.2 to 16.75 per 1000 catheter days for non-tunnelled ones.^{9–12} To some extent, this variability may be due to differences in the definitions of CLABSI and ESI, but it also reflects heterogeneous clinical management and poor adherence to clinical practice guidelines.

The estimated total cost of hospitalisation for a CVC-related infection ranges from \$17 000 to \$32 000,^{13–15} according to the causative agent and the length of treatment/hospitalisation required. The use of a validated exit site assessment scale could reduce the appearance of local and systemic infectious complications by up to 10%,¹⁶ with the relevant savings in human, social and economic resources.

Clinical practice guidelines for the management of haemodialysis vascular access include recommendations related to the care of HD-CVCs during maintenance and insertion, aimed at minimising risk factors and avoiding potential complications, especially those related to infection (either local, at the exit site or in the subcutaneous tunnel, or CLABSI), which could lead to the loss of vascular access or worse to death.^{1 17–21} The guidelines describe necessary precautions in the manipulation of the catheter (including care of the exit site and the surrounding skin) and the need for the patient to be instructed in self-care. The measures focused on exit site care include direct observation of this area during each haemodialysis session, to facilitate the early detection of infectious complications.^{1 17–21} However, there is no universal definition of the signs and symptoms of ESI, and clinical practice guidelines on vascular access vary greatly in this regard.^{1 18 22–25} In view of these considerations, we believe it is necessary to seek a consensus regarding the definition of ESI, or at least its main signs and symptoms, to enable early identification.

Several studies bring evidence that central-tunnelled catheter ESI may predispose to CLABSI with occurrence in 4%–20% cases of dialysis line-related sepsis.^{26 27} Assessment scales for the exit site of other types of catheters, such as peripheral venous catheters^{28–30} or peritoneal dialysis catheters,^{31–33} have been useful in clinical practice. A recent study shows a reduction of the ESI from 53.5% (95% CI 35.9% to 66.2%) to 18.6% (95% CI 6.1% to 29.4%) ($p < 0.001$) using a scale of this type in patients on haemodialysis. Similarly, the study showed a reduction of CLABSIs from exerted positive impact on the frequency of the central-tunnelled catheter removal, which dropped from 39.5% to 20.9% ($p = 0.05$).³⁴ However, this scale lacks a prior validation process. In our knowledge, no validated scale exists for evaluating exit site of HD-CVC.

Current clinical practice guidelines on vascular access for haemodialysis and infection control do not provide a universal definition regarding ESI.^{1 18 22–24} In this respect, the 2019 update of the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF KDOQI) Clinical Practice Guideline²² indicates as a recommended area for future research ‘further validation studies of diagnostic criteria for exit site and tunnel infections in haemodialysis patients’.

Therefore, we consider it necessary and timely to design and validate a scale for assessing the exit site of tunnelled HD-CVC that underpins the recommendations of clinical practice guidelines.

The EXIT site Assessment (EXITA) Study’s primary objective is to validate a clinical scale for the exit site assessment of tunnelled HD-CVC to reduce infections in patients on haemodialysis in Spanish hospitals. The secondary objectives are: (1) to evaluate the incidence of infectious complications in the exit site of HD-CVCs and their risk factors; and (2) to determine the relationship between the variability of the exit site care by healthcare professionals and the incidence of ESI.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Design

The EXITA Study is intended to validate an instrument for the early detection of ESI in tunnelled HD-CVCs. The scale development will consist of two phases:

1. *Scale design.* After identifying the clinical signs and symptoms, by a scoping review of the literature, they will be categorised by an international panel of experts in HD-CVC exit site care, using the Delphi technique. The Delphi protocol has been published recently.³⁵ The prioritisation thus obtained will be used to develop the preliminary version of the assessment scale we propose.
2. *Validation.* We will conduct a prospective cohort study in a population of patients who have an HD-CVC, differentiating between those presenting ESI and those who are free of infection.

Timeline for the study

A pilot study with scale version 1 will take place in May 2021. Patients’ recruitment take place during validation study period: this period will be from 1 May 2021 to 30 June 2022. Data analysis, and the review of the behaviour of the items of the scale and its version 2 are scheduled to take place in May–June 2022, after reaching the planned sample size. Data analysis and report timeline of the EXITA Study are presented in [figure 1](#).

Setting

This version of the assessment scale will then be subjected to a pilot and validation study with patients on haemodialysis in seven regions (Autonomous Communities) of the decentralised Spanish health system at the following hospitals: Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla (Santander, Spain), Hospital Universitario Fundación

Content	May 2021	June 2021- May 2022	May-June 2022	From June 2022
Pilot study with scale version 1				
Validation study				
Data analysis and report				
Scale version 2				
Preparation of final report and dissemination of results				

Figure 1 EXITA Study timeline.

Alcorcón (Madrid, Spain), Hospital Universitario Gregorio Marañón (Madrid, Spain), Hospital de Manacor (Manacor, Spain), Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra (Pamplona, Spain), Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid (Valladolid, Spain), Hospital Universitario de Canarias (Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain), Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía (Córdoba, Spain) and Hospital Quirónsalud A Coruña (La Coruña, Spain).

Subjects

The following inclusion criteria will be applied: patients with a tunnelled CVC one month after insertion (when the catheter is considered stable), undergoing renal replacement therapy with haemodialysis, aged at least 18 years and who consent to participate in the study. Patients who, due to their clinical and/or personal situation, cannot consent to participate will be excluded.

Sample size

The minimum sample size was calculated taking as a reference a mean ESI incidence around 20% in Spain,^{36–38} with an expected sensitivity of 95% and an expected specificity of 90%. Because there are no validation studies of similar scales in vascular catheters (neither peripheral nor central), these expected properties are based on Eriguchi *et al* results in the validation of the exit site scoring system for peritoneal dialysis catheter, recommended by the 2005 guidelines of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis.³⁹ We calculate that 92 patients should be included in the ESI group in order to estimate the presence of ESI, with 95% confidence level and a precision of ± 10 percentage units. Similarly, 365 patients should be included in the non-ESI group in order to estimate, with 95% confidence level and a precision of ± 5 percentage units. Sample size was calculated using Epidat V.4.2 software.⁴⁰

Data collection

The first version of the scale will be piloted in 10 patients at each participating hospital, assessed by three nurses in each case. This initial approach is intended to obtain a linguistic validation of the instrument and thus assess its understandability and clarity. In addition, a qualitative analysis will be performed of the opinions expressed and according to the results obtained, the scale will be

modified as appropriate, to produce the definitive assessment instrument.

This final version will then be taken as the exit site assessment method to be applied before each haemodialysis session at the participating hospitals (where the exit site treatment protocol will remain unchanged). A record will be kept of the data thus obtained, including clinical and catheter-related variables. If the patient presents more than one HD-CVC during the study period, the corresponding data will be collected for each catheter. For every HD-CVC, an initial control culture will be obtained, based on a pericatheter skin smear from a healthy exit site (ie, with no signs or symptoms of infection). During each haemodialysis session, the absence or presence of each item will be assessed. Nurses of the participating centres will receive training for the unequivocal identification of the signs/symptoms to be validated in order to eliminate observer bias. If any item is present, a microbiological study of pericatheter skin smears and/or exit site exudate will be carried out. A pericatheter skin swab culture will be repeated when the signs/symptoms disappear. For samples, a dry cotton swab will be rubbed over a 2 cm² area around the insertion site, immediately after dressing removal, without performing skin disinfection.^{1 23} Exclusion criteria to collect pericatheter skin smears: presence of local allergic reaction and/or bleeding. Data related to the microbiological study will be collected (table 1).

HD-CVC ESI will be diagnosed when the pericatheter skin smears and/or exit site exudate culture are positive (≥ 15 CFU/mL by semiquantitative Maki's technique or ≥ 1000 CFU/mL by Cleri's technique).^{1 23} Skin contamination will not be considered infection and not be included in statistical analysis.

At each participating hospital, the local protocols applied regarding HD-CVC maintenance and the management/treatment of related infections, both local and systemic, will remain unchanged. The proposed assessment scale will continue to be applied until the necessary sample size is reached among the participating hospitals (within an estimated 8–12 months).

Variables and definitions

Table 1 shows the variables to be considered and their definitions.

Data analysis

To validate the scale, a logistic regression analysis will be performed. To select the signs/symptoms of the scale in the multivariate analysis, a univariate analysis will be carried out with each sign/symptom, following the selection criteria proposed by Hosmer *et al*,⁴¹ in which variables with a level of significance less than 0.25 will be considered. In addition, the clinical relevance of the signs/symptoms will be considered, regardless of the statistical significance. Using logistic regression, the β coefficients of each of the signs/symptoms of the scale to be validated will be estimated. Later, we will use the formula:

$$\text{Logit ESI} = \text{constant} + \beta_1 \times X_1 + \dots + \beta_n \times X_n$$

Table 1 Variables and definitions

Group	Variable	Definition
Clinical variables	Age (continuous quantitative)	Years (n)
	Sex (qualitative)	Male Female
	Kidney disease aetiology (qualitative)	According to the European Renal Association (ERA/EDTA) coding
	Degree of comorbidity (qualitative)	According to the Modified Charlson Index for patients with kidney failure
	Months in kidney replacement therapy with haemodialysis (quantitative)	Months (n)
Catheter-related variables	Catheter design (qualitative)	One exit site Two exit sites
	Duration of catheter insertion (quantitative)	Days elapsed since the insertion
	Venous insertion (qualitative)	Subclavian (left or right) Jugular (left or right) Other
	Previous CVC-related infections (qualitative)	Exit site infection: Yes/No Tunnel infection: Yes/No Bacteraemia: Yes/No
Variables related to local CVC maintenance	Frequency of dressing change (qualitative)	At each dialysis session Weekly As required Other frequency
	Antiseptic (qualitative)	Chlorhexidine aqueous solution 0.5% Chlorhexidine alcohol solution 2% Povidone iodine Alcohol 70% Other antiseptic Antibiotic instillation
	Dressing (qualitative)	Gauze Partially reinforced transparent polyurethane Fully reinforced transparent polyurethane Transparent polyurethane with chlorhexidine gluconate window Other
	Frequency of ES evaluation (qualitative)	At each dialysis session Weekly As necessary Other
Variables concerning catheter-related infections during follow-up	Presence of scale items (qualitative/quantitative)	Yes=1 point No=0 points
	Culture (qualitative)	Type of sample collected (pericatheter smear and/or exudate), culture method, result (including microorganisms if positive culture)

CVC, central venous catheter; ES, exit site.

To transform the logit ESI into ESI probabilities, the following formula will be used:

$$\text{ESI probability} = \frac{e^{\text{logit ESI}}}{1 + e^{\text{logit ESI}}}$$

In this way, we will obtain the probabilities, expressed as a percentage, that a patient with an HD-CVC has of presenting ESI.^{41 42}

To address the secondary aims of this study, a descriptive analysis of the variables will be performed, including the distribution of the phenomena under study within each of the dialysis units concerned. A multiple regression

analysis will be carried out to determine the association between the presence of infectious complications (as determined by microbiological tests) and the clinical variables, catheter-related variables and local catheter maintenance policies. Statistical analysis will be performed using SPSS software (V.20.0) and MedCalc software (V.19.6).

Patient and public involvement

There is no patient and public involvement.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The study will not involve any clinical intervention or change in usual practice. The patients concerned will be asked by the clinician nurse to provide signed informed consent and will be given clear written information about the purposes and implications of the research. The computerised database will not contain patient identification data. The individuals involved in compiling the data will sign a confidentiality agreement. The Research Ethics Committee with Medical Products of Cantabria (approval code 2019.146) has approved this study protocol. We will send the findings of this study to a peer-reviewed scientific journal for publication.

DISCUSSION

Using a validated instrument to assess the exit site of the HD-CVC will make observations more objective, facilitate a uniform classification and thus enable results to be readily compared. The use of a validated exit site assessment scale can reduce the likelihood of local and systemic infectious complications in patients on haemodialysis, reducing morbidity, hospitalisation, mortality and associated costs. Moreover, its use will provide continuity of care and a documented record of exit site evolution. This instrument will also help researchers achieve a uniform measure of the effects of interventions on the incidence of ESI complications. Finally, this instrument will enable patients to receive objective information about the status of the catheter exit site, accustoming them to identify signs of infection at an early stage and fostering self-care.

Mapping clinical variability in issues related to maintaining the exit site, as proposed in this study, will provide valuable support for future research into the implementation of evidence-based measures.

One limitation of the study is that each patient included may present one or multiple episodes of HD-CVC ESI without being able to formally verify that the different episodes from a single patient are independent of each other. The study will be carried out in nine hospitals of seven regions of the decentralised public health system in Spain, to maximise the external validity of the scale to be developed. However, this multicentre approach will also influence clinical variability, in terms of CVC maintenance and the treatment approach adopted to local infections. A protocol on collecting samples for microbiological culture has been designed with the aim of avoiding bias.

Author affiliations

¹Nursing Quality, Training, Research, Development and Innovation Department, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Santander, Spain

²Nursing Department, Escuela Universitaria de Enfermería Clínica Mompía-Universidad Católica Santa Teresa de Jesús de Ávila (UCAV), Mompía, Spain

³Implementation, Research and Innovation Unit, Hospital de Manacor, Manacor, Spain

⁴Care, Chronicity and Evidence in Health Research Group (CurES), IdISBa, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

⁵Nursing and Physiotherapy Department, Balearic Islands University, Palma, Spain

⁶Nephrology Department, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Santander, Spain

⁷Department of Nursing, Escuela Universitaria de Enfermería Cruz Roja Española, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Twitter José Luis Cobo-Sánchez @jl_cobo, Ian Blanco-Mavillard @lanblanco7, Raquel Pelayo-Alonso @RAQUELPELAYOALO, Noelia Mancebo-Salas @NoeliaManceboS, Ismael Fernandez-Fernandez @Isma_Fdez and Joan Ernest De Pedro-Gomez @JoanDePedro

Collaborators EXITA Study group: Irene Larrañeta-Inda and Ana Ulzurrun-García (Nephrology Department; Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain); Isidro Sánchez-Villar (Nephrology Department; Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain); Fernando González-García (Nephrology Department; Hospital Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain); Julia Hernando-García and Ma Jesús Rollán-de la Sota (Nephrology Department; Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid (Valladolid)); Luis Miguel Vieira-Barbosa Lopes (Hemodialysis Unit; Hospital Quirónsalud A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain); Ma del Rosario Prieto-Rebollo and Carolina Sesmero-Ramos (Nephrology Department; Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón, Alcorcón, Spain); Catalina Jaume-Riutort (Nephrology Department; Hospital de Manacor, Manacor, Spain); Rafael Casas-Cuesta and Mateo Alcántara-Crespo (Nephrology Department; Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía de Córdoba, Córdoba, Spain).

Contributors JLC-S coordinates the research team and is responsible for reporting to Ethics Committees and every institution involved in the study. JLC-S and IB-M revised previous literature on haemodialysis CVC guidelines and recommendations and previous ES assessment scales. JLC-S, IB-M and JDP-G designed the scale design and data collection methods, together with NM-S, RP-A and IF-F. JLC-S, IB-M and JDP-G audited the study design, especially concerning the proposed statistical analysis. JLC-S, IB-M and JDP-G wrote the first version of the protocol, which was later edited by all the authors.

Funding This work was supported by IDIVAL-Valdecilla Biomedical Research Institute (grant number NVAL18/05).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

José Luis Cobo-Sánchez <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3695-9216>

Ian Blanco-Mavillard <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2851-5631>

Raquel Pelayo-Alonso <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4253-6247>

Noelia Mancebo-Salas <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7433-188X>

Ismael Fernandez-Fernandez <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8263-7800>

REFERENCES

- Ibeas J, Roca-Tey R, Vallespín J, *et al*. Spanish clinical guidelines on vascular access for haemodialysis. *Enferm Nefrol* 2018;21:S1–256.
- Kumbar L, Yee J. Current concepts in hemodialysis vascular access infections. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis* 2019;26:16–22.
- Ravani P, Palmer SC, Oliver MJ, *et al*. Associations between hemodialysis access type and clinical outcomes: a systematic review. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013;24:465–73.
- Grubbs V, Wasse H, Vittinghoff E, *et al*. Health status as a potential mediator of the association between hemodialysis vascular access and mortality. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2014;29:892–8.
- Arhuidese IJ, Orandi BJ, Nejim B, *et al*. Utilization, patency, and complications associated with vascular access for hemodialysis in the United States. *J Vasc Surg* 2018;68:1166–74.
- United States Renal Data System. Us renal data system 2019 annual data report: epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2019;75:S1–64.
- Noordzij M, Jager KJ, van der Veer SN, *et al*. Use of vascular access for haemodialysis in Europe: a report from the ERA-EDTA registry. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2014;29:1956–64.

- 8 Roca-Tey R, Arcos E, Comas J, *et al*. Vascular access for incident hemodialysis patients in Catalonia: analysis of data from the Catalan renal registry (2000-2011). *J Vasc Access* 2015;16:472–9.
- 9 Weijmer MC, Vervloet MG, ter Wee PM. Compared to tunneled cuffed haemodialysis catheters, temporary untunneled catheters are associated with more complications already within 2 weeks of use. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2004;19:670–7.
- 10 Develter W, De Cubber A, Van Biesen W, *et al*. Survival and complications of indwelling venous catheters for permanent use in hemodialysis patients. *Artif Organs* 2005;29:399–405.
- 11 Stevenson KB, Adcox MJ, Mallea MC, *et al*. Standardized surveillance of hemodialysis vascular access infections: 18-month experience at an outpatient, multifacility hemodialysis center. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2000;21:200–3.
- 12 Betjes MGH. Prevention of catheter-related bloodstream infection in patients on hemodialysis. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2011;7:257–65.
- 13 Reed SD, Friedman JY, Engemann JJ, *et al*. Costs and outcomes among hemodialysis-dependent patients with methicillin-resistant or methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2005;26:175–83.
- 14 Ramanathan V, Chiu EJ, Thomas JT, *et al*. Healthcare costs associated with hemodialysis catheter-related infections: a single-center experience. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2007;28:606–9.
- 15 Nissenson AR, Dylan ML, Griffiths RI, *et al*. Clinical and economic outcomes of *Staphylococcus aureus* septicemia in ESRD patients receiving hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005;46:301–8.
- 16 Goddard L, Clayton S, Peto TEA, *et al*. The 'just-in-case venflon': effect of surveillance and feedback on prevalence of peripherally inserted intravascular devices. *J Hosp Infect* 2006;64:401–2.
- 17 Vanholder R, Canaud B, Fluck R, *et al*. Diagnosis, prevention and treatment of haemodialysis catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSI): a position statement of European renal best practice (ERBP). *NDT Plus* 2010;3:234–46.
- 18 Vascular Access Work Group. Clinical practice guidelines for vascular access. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2006;48 Suppl 1:S248–73.
- 19 Kumwenda M, Mitra S, Reid C. *Clinical practice guideline vascular access for haemodialysis. 6th Edition*, 2015. <https://renal.org/sites/renal.org/files/vascular-access.pdf>
- 20 Polkinghorne KR, Chin GK, MacGinley RJ, *et al*. KHA-CARI Guideline: vascular access - central venous catheters, arteriovenous fistulae and arteriovenous grafts. *Nephrology* 2013;18:701–5.
- 21 Canadian Society of Nephrology (CSN). Report of the Canadian Society of nephrology vascular access Working group. *Semin Dial* 2012;25:22–5.
- 22 Lok CE, Huber TS, Lee T, *et al*. KDOQI clinical practice guideline for vascular access: 2019 update. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2020;75:S1–164.
- 23 Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, *et al*. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 update by the infectious diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1–45.
- 24 O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Dellinger EP, *et al*. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. *Am J Infect Control* 2002;30:476–89.
- 25 O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LA. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections update 2017, 2017. Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/pdf/guidelines/bsi-guidelines-H.pdf>
- 26 Sychev D, Maya ID, Allon M. Clinical management of dialysis catheter-related bacteremia with concurrent exit-site infection. *Semin Dial* 2011;24:239–41.
- 27 Beathard GA. Management of bacteremia associated with tunneled-cuffed hemodialysis catheters. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1999;10:1045–9.
- 28 Gallant P, Schultz AA. Evaluation of a visual infusion phlebitis scale for determining appropriate discontinuation of peripheral intravenous catheters. *J Infus Nurs* 2006;29:338–45.
- 29 Ray-Barruel G, Polit DF, Murfield JE, *et al*. Infusion phlebitis assessment measures: a systematic review. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2014;20:191–202.
- 30 Gorski L, Hadaway L, Hagle ME. Infusion therapy standards of practice. *J Infus Nurs* 2016;39:s1–161.
- 31 Twardowski ZJ, Prowant BF. Classification of normal and diseased exit sites. *Perit Dial Int* 1996;16 Suppl 3:32–50.
- 32 Cho P, Exconde E, Sulit V, *et al*. Redesigning peritoneal dialysis catheter exit-site classification. *Cannt J* 2012;22:39–41.
- 33 Hoekstra BP, de Vries-Hoogsteen A, Winkels B, *et al*. Exit site care in the Netherlands: the use of guidelines in practice. *J Ren Care* 2017;43:156–62.
- 34 Porazko T, Stasiak E, Klinger M. The impact of the introduction of innovative REDS scale for the evaluation of central tunneled catheter (CTC) exit site on infection prevention in long-term Haemodialyzed patients. *Front Surg* 2021;8:629367.
- 35 Cobo-Sánchez JL, de Pedro-Gómez JE, Mancebo-Salas N, *et al*. Defining the relevance of signs and symptoms to identify exit site infection of a hemodialysis central venous catheter: a Delphi study protocol. *Int J Qual Methods* 2021;20:160940692110027.
- 36 Cobo Sánchez JL, Pelayo Alonso R, Alonso Nates R. Hemodialysis catheter exit site healing with chlorhexidine gluconate discs: a case study. In: SEDEN, ed. *Libro de Comunicaciones XXXV Congreso de la Sociedad Española de Enfermería Nefrológica*. Madrid. *SEDEN* 2011:110–5.
- 37 Martín-Peña A, Luque Márquez R, Guerrero MJM, *et al*. Tunneled hemodialysis catheter-related bloodstream infections: a prospective multicenter cohort study from Spain. *J Vasc Access* 2012;13:239–45.
- 38 Bouza E, Rojas L, Guembe M, *et al*. Predictive value of superficial cultures to anticipate tunneled hemodialysis catheter-related bloodstream infection. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2014;78:316–9.
- 39 Eriguchi M, Tsuruya K, Yoshida H, *et al*. Validation of the exit-site scoring system recommended by the 2005 guidelines of the International Society for peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int* 2011;31:698–700.
- 40 Servicio de Epidemiología de la Dirección Xeral de Saúde Pública da Consellería de Sanidade (Xunta de Galicia). Epidat 4.2TM software., 2016. Available: <https://www.sergas.es/Saude-publica/EPIDAT?idioma=es>
- 41 Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. *Applied Logistic Regression*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2013.
- 42 Hilbe JM. *Logistic regression models*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2009.