ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Secular trends in major osteoporotic fractures among 50+ adults in Denmark between 1995 and 2010

Shahab Abtahi^{1,2,3} • Johanna H.M. Driessen^{1,2,3,4} • Peter Vestergaard^{5,6} • Joop van den Bergh^{4,7,8,9} Annelies Boonen^{2,7} · Frank de Vries^{1,2,3} · Andrea M. Burden^{1,2,3}

Received: 27 February 2018 / Accepted: 9 August 2018 / Published online: 27 August 2018 © The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

Summary We investigated the incidence trend in all major osteoporotic fractures for the whole untry of Denmark between 1995 and 2010. Hip and other osteoporotic fractures declined for the general population ar especial mong women. But, we observed some increasing trend among men which needs more attention.

Purpose The trend in osteoporotic fractures is varied across the globe, and there is no related in action in the case of Denmark for all major osteoporotic fractures (MOF). Thus, we investigated the incident rates (IRs) of MOF among 50+ adults in Denmark over the period 1995–2010.

Methods A series of cross-sectional analyses was done using the Danish National Hear Service Register. Participants were 50+ adults in the full country Denmark with a MOF between 1995 and 2010. Genu. cific IRs of MOF per 10,000 person years (PYs) were estimated, in addition to IRs of individual fracture sites (hip, vert brac, humerus, and radius/ulna), and women-tomen IR ratios for MOF.

Results A general decline was observed in IRs of MOF for the hole pulation (from 169.8 per 10,000 PYs in 1995, to 148.0 in 2010), which was more pronounced among women. Thirty one at nine een percent of decline was observed in hip fracture rates among women and men, respectively. The trend in clinical vertebra, facture was slightly decreasing for women and increasing for men. The women-to-men rate ratio of MOF decrease other bly from 2.93 to 2.72 during study period.

Conclusions We observed declining trends in MCF and has acture for both sexes. However, a lower rate of decrease of hip fracture and an increasing trend in vertebral ract, was noticed among men. Considering our observations and the major economic burden which accompanies this devastating sease, more attention should be paid to MOF, especially in men.

Keywords Osteoporosis · Osteoporotic finance sures · Epidemiology · Incidence rates Frank de Vries 5 frank, vries@mumc.n Dep ment o Clinical Pharmacy and Toxicology, Maastricht Medical Center+, Maastricht, The Netherlands rd Public Health Research Institute (CAPHRI), Ca Maastricht, The Netherlands Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Clinical Pharmacology, 8 Utrecht Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands NUTRIM School for Nutrition and Translational Research in 9 Metabolism, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, The Netherlands

- - Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark
 - Department of Endocrinology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark
 - Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, The Netherlands
 - Department of Internal Medicine, VieCuri Medical Center, Venlo, The Netherlands
 - Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a systemic metabolic skeletal disease where reduced bone mineral density would make patients susceptible to fractures, called osteoporotic (OP) fractures. Based on literature consensus, major osteoporotic fractures (MOF) include mostly hip, clinical vertebral, humerus, and forearm (radius/ulna) fractures, which altogether are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. OP fractures incurred 5.8 million lost Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) worldwide in the year 2000, of which 51% was represented by two WHO world regions Europe and the Americas [2]. The occurrence of a MOF would not only impact the well-being and function of a patient, including an elevated mortality risk, but also has substantial economic consequences [3-8]. It is estimated that in the year 2011, the associated costs of OP fractures were €36 billion in Europe, and €1.6 billion in Denmark (including both direct and productivity costs), and these costs are estimated to double by 2050 [9, 10].

The predicted increase in OP fractures has been reported in numerous countries, including the USA, China, and in worldwide projections (especially developing countries), and is in large part due to the impending aging trajectory [11-13]. A recent study in the UK with a relatively long follow-up time (1990-2012) revealed mostly a rising or steady trend for most OP fractures in both men and women [14]. However, despite the expected increase, a number of studies have identified a leveling, or decline in hip fractures in recent years [15-22]. This led the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) vorking group on fracture to request for updates on secular fren 'n hip and other OP fracture incidence rates (IRs) [21 In Danis. studies, age-adjusted hip fracture IRs increased bety, n 1977 and 1999, yet a decline, particularly in women, has be a observed since 1997 [22–24]. This trend of it reasing fractures up to the 1990s, with a leveling of fracture the s in the past two decades, has been noted by others 7 18, 25. Apart from two single year studies which surveyed here of forearm and OP fractures in 2010 and 2011 ectively, and two reports on hip fracture trend until 200 and 2010 there has never been a country-specific report on vular incidence trends in all OP fractures for Dep.n. 1 [23, 24, 26, 27]. Thus, the aim of this study was to investige the IRs of MOF among adults aged 50 years or older in Den nark over the period 1995-2010.



We used data from the registry of the Danish National Health Service. The extensive registers in Denmark cover all contacts to the health sector for all citizens, without age restriction. This includes approximately 5.2 million individuals in 1995 and 5.5 million in 2010 [28]. The unique 10-digit civil registry number allocated to each Danish citizen was used to link the population-based registries and generate a complete hospital discharge history for each patient. Data on vital status for the Danish population have been collected since 1968 in the Civil Registration System, and all inpatient contacts have been registered in the Danish National Hospital Discharge Register (NHDR) since 1977 [29]. The NHDR covers all inpatient contacts since 1977, and beginning in 1995, the NHDR captures also all outpatient visits to hospitals, outpati at clinics, and emergency room visits. The validity of the banish National fracture records have been previously verifice [20].

Study design

We included patients, aged 50 years older who were diagnosed with a fracture in the period between 1995 and 2010. MOF were identified in accordal, with the WHO/FRAX definition as hip, clini al pptomatic vertebral, humerus, or forearm (radius/ulpc) fracture, 1, 32]. They were clustered by site using the foll ving International Classification of Diseases and Re equilable roblems 10th Edition (ICD-10) coder hip (S72, S72.2), clinical symptomatic vertebral \$32.0, T08), humerus (\$42.2-\$42.4), and (S12, S22.), forearm (SSZ). As it is possible that the original fracture and w-up visits or procedures may have the same ICD-10 cod, we introduced a washout period to avoid double punt ng fractures in individuals. As 1995 was the first year of observation, we also applied a 1-year washout period prior o 1995. Thus, if a patient had a hip fracture code (ICD-10 S72.2) in 1995 and also had the same code in 1994, we did not include this in our analysis as we could not be certain that it was a new hip fracture or a recurring follow-up. After 1995, we took the first recorded fracture code in each calendar year and assessed if the patient had a previous code for the same fracture, or unspecified fracture, in the prior 365 days. If there were no codes for a prior fracture of the same type, or unspecified, in the prior 365 days, it was deemed an eligible new fracture, otherwise it was excluded. Additionally, for MOF, the first occurrence of a hip, clinical symptomatic vertebral, humerus, or forearm fracture was selected.

Statistical analysis

Population demographics for the background population in calendar years 1995–2010 were obtained online from statistics Denmark [28]. IRs (number of fractures/10,000 person years [PYs]), and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), were calculated by dividing all cases of first recorded fractures during the calendar year over the average number of persons alive in that calendar year. For example, to calculate the denominator for the year 2010, we first summed the number of people alive on 1 January 2010 and those alive on 1 January 2011, then divided this sum by two. Gender-specific IRs were estimated in addition to site-specific fracture rates. While we did not produce age-specific rates, we were able to standardize the IRs to the annual Danish population over the age 50, using the statistics Denmark data. This permits a comparison to a similar age distribution. Women-to-men incidence rate ratios (IRRs) were calculated for MOF and determined by dividing the IR for women over the IR for men. The IRs were plotted over time both for MOF, as well as specific fracture sites, and the plots were examined by visual assessment. Data were analyzed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA).

Results

We identified a total of 422,380 MOF in Danish adults over 50 between 1995 and 2010, where a sum of 101,177 fractures occurred among men, and 321,203 cases among women (Table 1); thus, females sustained 76.0% of all MOF. There was a 4.5% relative increase in the total number of MOF from 1995 to 2010, although apart from a spike in 2010, the numbers were dropping until 2009. The mean age at first occurrence of a MOF was 74.1 years, and the age distribution was constant across all years.

A general decline was observed in the IRs of MOF for the whole population, where IRs dropped from 169.8 per 10,000 PYs in 1995 to 148.0 in 2010 (Table 1), as visualized in Fig. 1. Among women, the rates for the MOF dropped during this 16year study period from 242.8 to 211.5; however, it is worth mentioning that the rates had dropped even lower in the years 2008 and 2009 (IRs 188.3 and 186.2, respectively). For men, the MOF rates exhibited a smaller decrease from 82.9 in 1995 to 77.6 in 2010. The women-to-men rate ratio of MOF decreased noticeably from 2.93 (95% CI, 2.85–3.01) in 1995 to 2.72 (95% CI, 2.65–2.80) in 2010 (Table 1).

Regarding hip fractures, a total of 152,571 cases one definitied, where 72.3% (110,349) of all hip fractures occurred in momen, and 27.7% (42,222) occurred in momen. The mean age for occurrence of hip fracture among the wave propulation was 80.0 years. The overall hip fracture IRs decreased during the study period, i.e., 1995 to 2010 to m 64.1 per 10,000 PYs to 45.5, respectively, and this decrease was more prominent in women (Fig. 2a). We observed a 5.% decline in hip fracture rates (from 87.2 to 59.9 pt 10,000 PYs) among women and 19% decline (from 26.5 to 29. per 10,000 PYs) among men.

The IRs over time or the other MOF subsites are provided in Fig. 2b–d. The control clinical vertebral fracture remained steady between 195 and 2010, yet there was a slightly decreasing trend for men (Fig. 2b). Overall, humerus fracture rates remained stable, this steady state was observed among both men and

 Table 1
 Absolute number and incidence rates of major osteop rotic fracture, mong Danish adults aged 50+, stratified by sex and calendar year (1995–2010)

| Calendar year | Total | | | | Mer | | | Women | | | | IRRW/M ratio | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|-----------|------|
| | Number of fractures | IR per 10,000 PYs | 95% CI | (| Number of fractures | 7 per 10,000 PYs | 95% CI | | Number of fractures | IR per 10,000 PYs | 95% CI | | IRR W/ M | 95% CI | |
| 1995 | 28,351 | 169.8 | 166. | 172.6 | 6323 | 82.9 | 80.0 | 85.9 | 22,028 | 242.8 | 238.2 | 247.4 | 2.93 | 2.85 | 3.01 |
| 1996 | 27,215 | 160.1 | 157.4 | 17.2 | 5908 | 75.8 | 73.1 | 78.6 | 21,307 | 231.5 | 227.1 | 236.0 | 3.05 | 2.97 | 3.14 |
| 1997 | 25,856 | 149.5 | 46.9 | 152.2 | 5850 | 73.5 | 70.9 | 76.3 | 20,006 | 214.3 | 210.1 | 218.6 | 2.91 | 2.83 | 3.00 |
| 1998 | 25,823 | 1/4, |) ma | 149.7 | 5850 | 72.2 | 69.6 | 74.9 | 19,973 | 211.3 | 207.1 | 215.5 | 2.93 | 2.84 | 3.01 |
| 1999 | 26,314 | 148.1 | 145.5 | 150.7 | 6141 | 74.7 | 72.1 | 77.4 | 20,173 | 211.3 | 207.1 | 215.5 | 2.83 | 2.75 | 2.91 |
| 2000 | 25,007 | 139.2 | 136.8 | 141.7 | 5925 | 71.1 | 68.6 | 73.7 | 19,082 | 198.1 | 194.1 | 202.2 | 2.79 | 2.71 | 2.87 |
| 2001 | 25 797 | 1 1 | 139.7 | 144.6 | 6004 | 71.1 | 68.6 | 73.7 | 19,793 | 203.8 | 199.8 | 207.9 | 2.87 | 2.78 | 2.95 |
| 2002 | 25,698 | 140.2 | 137.8 | 142.7 | 6211 | 72.7 | 70.2 | 75.3 | 19,487 | 199.3 | 195.3 | 203.3 | 2.74 | 2.66 | 2.82 |
| 2003 | 192 | 136.1 | 133.7 | 138.5 | 6198 | 71.6 | 70.0 | 75.2 | 18,994 | 192.7 | 188.8 | 196.7 | 2.69 | 2.61 | 2.77 |
| 2604 | 26, | 140.0 | 137.6 | 142.5 | 6446 | 73.6 | 71.0 | 76.2 | 19,750 | 198.6 | 194.7 | 202.6 | 2.70 | 2.63 | 2.78 |
| 15 | ,687 | 141.1 | 138.7 | 143.5 | 6603 | 74.4 | 71.8 | 77.0 | 20,084 | 200.2 | 196.2 | 204.2 | 2.69 | 2.62 | 2.77 |
| 200 | 26,739 | 139.8 | 137.4 | 142.2 | 6558 | 72.8 | 70.4 | 75.4 | 20,181 | 199.2 | 195.4 | 203.2 | 2.74 | 2.66 | 2.81 |
| 2007 | 25,804 | 133.4 | 131.1 | 135.7 | 6538 | 71.6 | 69.2 | 74.2 | 19,266 | 188.5 | 184.7 | 192.3 | 2.63 | 2.56 | 2.71 |
| 2008 | 26,001 | 132.9 | 130.6 | 135.2 | 6569 | 71.0 | 68.6 | 73.5 | 19,432 | 188.3 | 184.6 | 192.2 | 2.65 | 2.58 | 2.73 |
| 2009 | 26,076 | 131.8 | 129.5 | 134.1 | 6681 | 71.3 | 68.9 | 73.8 | 19,395 | 186.2 | 182.5 | 190.0 | 2.61 | 2.54 | 2.69 |
| 2010 | 29,624 | 148.0 | 145.6 | 150.4 | 7372 | 77.6 | 75.1 | 80.2 | 22,252 | 211.5 | 207.5 | 215.5 | 2.72 | 2.65 | 2.80 |
| Total | 422,380 | | | | 101,177 | | | | 321,203 | | | | | | |

IR incidence rate, CI confidence interval, IRRW/M women-to-men ratio of incidence rate, PYs person years

Fig. 1 Incidence rates of major osteoporotic fractures for Danish adults aged 50+, stratified by sex and calendar year, 1995–2010. 95% confidence intervals are shown by small bars for each graph line and calendar year. *IR* incidence rates, *PYs* person years



women (Fig. 2c). Regarding forearm fractures, there is a declining trend for the whole study population from 1995 to 2009 (IRs dropped from 73.0 in 1995 to 55.5 in 2009), but there was a spike in 2010 (IRs 69.1) (Fig. 2d). Women followed a similar trend to the overall trend regarding radius/ulna fracture, while for men, a smaller decrease was observed. The mean age of occurrence was 72.4 years for clinical vertebral fracture, 73.6 years for humerus fracture, and 69.6 years for radius/ulna fracture. Furthermore, females sustained 61.5% of all clinical vertebral fractures, 76.4% of all humerus fractures, and 82.1% of all radius/ulna fractures.

Discussion

In this study, we observed reduction in IRs of MOF from 1995 to 2010 in occadults in Denmark, where the striking finding was a nucleich in hip fracture rates in both men and women. Among when, the IRs of all MOF have been declining, where exception of a rather steady state for humerus. But in men avan, from the gradually reducing hip and forearm fracture rates, steady rates for humerus fracture and a rising the prime in vertebral fracture were noticed. The results of study by D iessen et al. in 2011 complement our observed



decreasing trend in MOF for Denmark [27]. Considering the aging population, these findings are interesting, as we identify a decreasing incidence of MOF between 1995 and 2011. Yet, importantly, there appears to be differences between males and females, particularly for hip fractures, in Denmark.

In general, our findings are in line with the present literature affirming a decrease in hip fracture rates in both men and women. We observed a 31% decline (from 87.2 to 59.9 per 10,000 PYs) among women and 19% decline (from 36.5 to 29.6) among men. Driessen et al. estimated even lower IRs for hip fracture in Denmark in the year 2011, as 57.1 per 10,000 PYs for women, and 29.2 for men [27]. In numerous studies from different countries, a leveling or decrease in age- and sex-specific hip fracture rates especially in the past two decades has been noticed too [15-20, 23]. For instance, Leslie et al. showed that there was a 32% reduction in hip fracture rates among women in Canada (118.6 to 80.9 per 100,000 PYs), and 25% in men (68.2 to 51.1) between 1985 and 2005 [15]. Additionally, Brauer et al. reported dropped rates from 964.2 per 100,000 PYs in 1986 to 793.5 in 2005 among 65+ women, and from 392.4 to 369.0 among 65+ men in the USA [16]. In most cases as we observed, the decrease was more profound among women, which could be hypothesized due to the higher number of women under anti-osteoporosis treatment or who received lifestyle modifications.

There are few studies that have looked at both hip and other MOF. A study in the USA made comparisons after 20 ye rs (1989–1991 and 2009–2011), and the reported decrease in hip fracture rates in both sexes and an increase in vertebral vertures in men were concordant with our results [22]. Anothe study in Canada (1986–2006) identified a similar results regarding a global decrease in hip fracture rates and a decrease of forearm fractures among women [34].

On the other hand, there are studies v se regults are not concordant with our findings. Van Velde et al. reported an increase of hip fracture rates in men. The steady state for women in the UK [14]; the lifferences could be due to a different version of Kall us a for fracture classification (ICD-9 vs. 10) or a dift. nt kind of database (medical record-based Clin. 1 Practic Research Datalink [CPRD]). A Japanese study she of not only substantially lower rates for limb O? fractures, and higher rates of vertebral cases, but also an incoving t end in hip fractures since the 1990s [35]. Two er stude from Japan and Singapore showed similar Its ith increasing tendencies for hip fracture over the r. 199, and 2000s [36, 37]. Interestingly, there was a notable difference between East and West regarding change of rates of hip fracture in the past decades, where the exact reason for these trend discrepancies is not clear [38, 39].

Our study period (i.e., the 1990s and 2000s) was a crucial time span as it saw extensive developments in management of osteoporosis, including bisphosphonates coming to the market, awareness of osteoporosis management grew, and treatment guidelines underwent a number of revisions [40, 41]. Based on the guidelines for osteoporosis treatment in Denmark, the suggested daily intake of calcium for men with osteoporosis is lower than postmenopausal women at risk (800-1000 mg vs. 1000-1200 mg, respectively), but the first line treatment for both sexes is alendronate, and in case of severe disease, anabolic treatment is indicated [42, 43]. Nevertheless, osteoporosis has been traditionally considered a women's only disease, and it could be hypothesized that a decrease in hip fractures due to preventive medication may be stronger among women than men. Numerous studie have already shown the effectiveness of anti-os porotic therapy (including bisphosphonates) on fracture preve. on nowever, there are studies which reported city a small cole of these medication in the reduction of Kip 1 sture rates [23, 44–46]. So, the extent to which anti-os porous therapy may affect rates of OP fractures, or whether pould have a role in the present discrepancies and men and women, requires further investigation.

Beside anti-steep protic therapy, there might be other factors which contacted to the mostly declining OP fracture trend in Penmark. A increasing proportion of 50+ individuals was bonn the World War II, and grew up under better nutritional cates and physical activities (sport classes introat schools, etc.), which might help to improve their peak bon hass. Also, the next generations are being guided by bysidians on the importance of bone health as we age, and th. could end up in positive effects including better use of Ca/ Wit D supplements, and more adherence to anti-osteoporotic therapies. These among others resulted in a lower rate of fracture despite an aging population. Still, interventions such as more ubiquitous use of devices that reduce risk of falling in frail and elderly, such as walk aids, could play a role. These hypothesized factors are beyond the scope of this study and need further investigation.

As stated above, the economic burden of OP fractures is substantial and many reports suggest this will increase over the coming years mostly because of an aging population [1, 3-8]. Studies showed that healthcare expenditures associated with an OP fracture in the USA are twice as high compared to patients only affected with osteoporosis and are threefold higher compared to the general population [8]. It has also been shown that the hospital costs of OP fractures were higher compared to similar aging ailments like myocardial infarction, stroke, or breast cancer [4]. From €1.6 billion total costs of OP fractures in Denmark in 2011, just €628 million (40.2%) was spent for men [9]; but based on our results, there was an increase in the total number of fractures in Denmark, the OP fracture rates are not declining among men with the same rate observed in women, and the women to men ratio for MOF rates were noticeably decreased from 2.93 to 2.72 between 1995 and 2010. Considering all these, it could be expected that healthcare expenditures for osteoporosis and OP fractures among men might be a more serious burden in the near future.

This study had many strengths. The database used provided the opportunity of studying all fracture cases reported to hospitals in Denmark in this time period, and it has already proved to be reliable and valid [30]. This is one of the few studies that examined not only hip but all MOF. Again, the duration of study is one of the longest in literature with 16 years of followup time. We were also able to stratify IRs by fracture sites. All these resulted in a comprehensive study which could be used for national and international policy-making purposes, including improvements of healthcare services, and better estimation of OP fracture-associated costs.

We had also some limitations in this study. To minimize double counting, we excluded fractures of the same type within 1 year. While this decision was made to improve the likelihood that we would capture new fractures as opposed to follow-up visits, we recognize that this may have resulted in an underestimation of the true fracture rate as we may have excluded some new fractures. In case of clinical vertebral fracture, this underestimation could be even worse, as the evidence suggests the majority of vertebral fractures do not immediately come to clinical attention [47]. On the other hand, there is this a possibility that we overestimated the clinical vertebral fractures, because with advancement of spine imaging utilization in recent years, there are more chances to detect old previously unrecognized fractures, and so, some prevalent vertebral fracture might be misclassified as incident fractures. Also, our IRs were not adjusted beyond the factors explained in this article, as we were not able to examine the influence of any further mediating or confounding fac or on the observed trends. Similarly, we have limited explanator formation for seemingly anomalous spikes. For comple, the only explanation for the spike observed in trend. of n. ius/ulna fracture in the year 2010 (Fig. 2d) was the re-ord breaking reezing winter happened in Europe in 2010 (p tentially resulting in higher number of falls) [48], as no other cod or reporting issue was detected.

In conclusion, we did a series of consectional analyses showing the secular trends . 10F rates in Denmark between 1995 and 2010. The results shared a general decline in MOF rates and a decreasing trent hip fracture rates for both men and women, which in line with the study by Driessen et al. in Denmark for the yer 2011, and many other studies in Nordic and Western countries. Also, we noticed a lower rate of decreas. mip fracture trends and an increasing trend in rates verte. Uracture among men, which was accompa-1.by reducing women-to-men IRs ratio in the study perinsidering these observations and the major economic od. burden which accompanies this devastating disease, more attention should be paid to MOF, especially in men.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest SA, JD, PV, and AMB declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

JB reports grants and personal fees from Eli Lilly, grants and personal fees from Amgen, and personal fees from Sanofi, outside the submitted work.

AB received a grant to her department from the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development to investigate a multicomponent adherence intervention in patients with a recent major osteoporotic fracture.

FV currently supervises a PhD student who is also employed with F. Hoffmann la Roche Ltd., Basel Switzerland. He has not received any reimbursements for this, and the student's research topics are not related to this publication.

Ethical approval This was not a clinical trial, and ethic committee approval was not required.

Open Access This article is distributed under the tops of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International beinse (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits the arestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the surge provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indice of changes were made.

References

- Lad SP, Patil Lag M, Boakye M (2007) Trends in pathological vertebral houses in the United States: 1993 to 2004. J Neuro Spine 7,3):305–310
- Johneh O K, s JA (2006) An estimate of the worldwide prevalence and disability associated with osteoporotic fractures. Osteopor s Int 17(12):1726–1733
- 3. riggs AM, Sun W, Miller LJ, Geelhoed E, Huska A, Inderjeeth A (2015) Hospitalisations, admission costs and re-fracture risk elated to osteoporosis in Western Australia are substantial: a 10-year review. Aust N Z J Public Health 39(6):557–562
- Singer A, Exuzides A, Spangler L, O'Malley C, Colby C, Johnston K, Agodoa I, Baker J, Kagan R (2015) Burden of illness for osteoporotic fractures compared with other serious diseases among postmenopausal women in the United States. Mayo Clin Proc 90(1):53–62
- Christensen L, Iqbal S, Macarios D, Badamgarav E, Harley C (2010) Cost of fractures commonly associated with osteoporosis in a managed-care population. J Med Econ 13(2):302–313
- Pike C, Birnbaum HG, Schiller M, Sharma H, Burge R, Edgell ET (2010) Direct and indirect costs of non-vertebral fracture patients with osteoporosis in the US. PharmacoEconomics 28(5):395–409
- Sasser AC, Rousculp MD, Birnbaum HG, Oster EF, Lufkin E, Mallet D (2005 May-Jun) Economic burden of osteoporosis, breast cancer, and cardiovascular disease among postmenopausal women in an employed population. Womens Health Issues 15(3):97–108
- Orsini LS, Rousculp MD, Long SR, Wang S (2005) Health care utilization and expenditures in the United States: a study of osteoporosis-related fractures. Osteoporos Int 16(4):359–371
- Hansen L, Mathiesen AS, Vestergaard P, Ehlers LH, Petersen KD (2013) A health economic analysis of osteoporotic fractures: who carries the burden? Arch Osteoporos 8:126
- Compston J (2010) Osteoporosis: social and economic impact. Radiol Clin N Am 48(3):477–482
- Burge R, Dawson-Hughes B, Solomon DH, Wong JB, King A, Tosteson A (2007) Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis-related fractures in the United States, 2005–2025. J Bone Miner Res 22(3):465–475
- Si L, Winzenberg TM, Jiang Q, Chen M, Palmer AJ (2015) Projection of osteoporosis-related fractures and costs in China: 2010-2050. Osteoporos Int 26(7):1929–1937

- Cooper C, Campion G, Melton LJ (1992) Hip fractures in the elderly: a world-wide projection. Osteoporos Int 2(6):285–289
- van der Velde RY, Wyers CE, Curtis EM, Geusens PPMM, van den Bergh JPW, de Vries F, Cooper C, van Staa TP, Harvey NC (2016) Secular trends in fracture incidence in the United Kingdom between 1990 and 2012. Osteoporos Int 27(11):3197–3206
- Leslie WD, O'Donnell S, Jean S, Lagacé C, Walsh P, Bancej C, Morin S, Hanley DA, Papaioannou A, Osteoporosis Surveillance Expert Working Group (2009) Trends in hip fracture rates in Canada. JAMA 302(8):883–889
- Brauer CA, Coca-Perraillon M, Cutler DM, Rosen AB (2009) Incidence and mortality of hip fractures in the United States. JAMA 302(14):1573–1579
- Dimai HP, Svedbom A, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Pieber T, Resch H, Zwettler E, Chandran M, Borgstrom F (2011) Epidemiology of hip fractures in Austria: evidence for a change in the secular trend. Osteoporos Int 22(2):685–692
- Maravic M, Taupin P, Landais P, Roux C (2011) Change in hip fracture incidence over the last 6 years in France. Osteoporos Int 22(3):797–801
- Crisp A, Dixon T, Jones G, Cumming RG, Laslett LL, Bhatia K, Webster A, Ebeling PR (2012) Declining incidence of osteoporotic hip fracture in Australia. Arch Osteoporos 7:179–185
- Chen FP, Shyu YC, Fu TS, Sun CC, Chao AS, Tsai TL, Huang TS (2017) Secular trends in incidence and recurrence rates of hip fracture: a nationwide population-based study. Osteoporos Int 28(3): 811–818
- Cooper C, Cole ZA, Holroyd CR, Earl SC, Harvey NC, Dennison EM, Melton LJ, Cummings SR, Kanis JA, IOF CSA Working Group on Fracture Epidemiology (2011) Secular trends in the incidence of hip and other osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 22(5): 1277–1288
- Vestergaard P, Rejnmark L, Mosekilde L (2008) Strongly increasing incidence of hip fractures in Denmark from 1977 to 1999. Ugeskr Laeger 170(8):621–623 [Danish]
- Abrahamsen B, Vestergaard P (2010) Declining incidence of fractures and the extent of use of anti-osteoport, therapy h Denmark 1997-2006. Osteoporos Int 21(3):373-30
- Rosengren BE, Björk J, Cooper C, Abrahansen B (2017), ecent hip fracture trends in Sweden and Denmar' with age-period-cohort effects. Osteoporos Int 28(1):139–149
- Neuburger J, Wakeman R (2016) Is the increase of hip fracture increasing among older men in En. 12 J Epidemiol Community Health 70(10):1049–1050
- 26. Abrahamsen B, Jørgensen MP, Schwarz P (2015) Epidemiology of forearm fractures in adults in Jenmar enational age- and genderspecific incidence rates, vio and the provide the provide the providence of surgical fracture repair is postients and outpatients. Osteoporos Int 26(1):67–76
- Driessen JH, Hans, L, Eriksen SA, van Onzenoort HA, Henry RM, van den Bergh J, Abrahamsen B, Vestergaard P, de Vries F (201) The epidemiology of fractures in Denmark in 2011. Osteop. Am 27, 6):2017–2025
- K2: F. Plation 1. January by sex, age, ancestry, country of ori n and citizenship (1980–2017). Statistics Denmark, Accessed b;17:2017, Available from: http://www.statbank.dk/statbank5a/ b;17:2017
- Andersen TF, Madsen M, Jørgensen J, Mellemkjoer L, Olsen JH (1999) The Danish National Hospital Register. A valuable source of data for modern health sciences. Dan Med Bull 46(3):263–268
- Hundrup YA, Høidrup S, Obel EB, Rasmussen NK (2004) The validity of self-reported fractures among Danish female nurses: comparison with fractures registered in the Danish National Hospital Register. Scand J Public Health 32(2):136–143

- WHO Scientific Group on the Assessment of Osteoporosis at Primary Health Care Level. Summary meeting report. 5–7 May 2004. Brussels, Belgium: World Health Organization; 2004:9
- FRAX. WHO Fracture risk assessment tool. 2001. Accessed 29.05.2018, Available from: www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX/index.aspx
- Amin S, Achenbach SJ, Atkinson EJ, Khosla S, Melton LJ 3rd. (2014) Trends in fracture incidence: a population-based study over 20 years. J Bone Miner Res 29(3):581–589
- Leslie WD, Sadatsafavi M, Lix LM, Azimaee M, Morin S, Metge CJ, Caetano P (2011) Secular decreases in fracture rates 1986-2006 for Manitoba, Canada: a population-based analysis. Osceoporos Int 22(7):2137–2143
- Tsukutani Y, Hagino H, Ito Y, Nagashima H (2015) Epitebiology of fragility fractures in Sakaiminato, Japare incidence, cular trends, and prognosis. Osteoporos Int 26(9):2 9–2255
- Hagino H, Furukawa K, Fujiwara S, Okan, T, Katagiri H, Yamamoto K, Teshima R (2009) F cent trends h the incidence and lifetime risk of hip fracture in ottori, Japan. Osteoporos Int 20(4):543–548
- Koh LK, Saw SM, Lee JJ Le, KH, Lee J, National Working Committee on Osteoporosis (200), Vip fracture incidence rates in Singapore 1991-1999. Cooporos In. 12(4):311–318
- Ballane G, Cauley JA, Luc. MM, Gel-H F (2014) Secular trends in hip fracture cldwide: c posing trends East versus West. J Bone Miner Ves 2: 8):1745–1755
- 40. Kanis A. S. as P, Burckhardt P, Cooper C, Torgerson D (1997) Guideli es for diagnosis and management of osteoporosis. The Europea, Foundation for Osteoporosis and Bone Disease. steoporos Int 7(4):390–406
- Ompston J, Cooper A, Cooper C, Francis R, Kanis JA, Marsh D, McCloskey EV, Reid DM, Selby P, Wilkins M, National Osteoporosis Guideline Group (NOGG) (2009 Feb) Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and men from the age of 50 years in the UK. Maturitas 62(2):105–108
- 42. Danish Endocrine Society (co-endorsed by the Danish Bone Society and the National Board of Health). National Osteoporosis Guidelines: Treatment instructions for osteoporosis in women. NBV. 2016 Oct. Accessed 23.11.2017, Available from: http:// www.endocrinology.dk/index.php/3-calcium-ogknoglemetaboliske-sygdomme/3-osteoporose [Danish]
- 43. Danish Endocrine Society (co-endorsed by the Danish Bone Society and the National Board of Health). National Osteoporosis Guidelines: Treatment of male osteoporosis. NBV. 2016 Oct. Accessed 23.11.2017, Available from: http://www.endocrinology. dk/index.php/3-calcium-og-knoglemetaboliske-sygdomme/nbvendokrinologi-behandling-af-mandlig-osteoporose-sekundaerosteoporose-mandlig-hypogonadisme-d-vitamin [Danish]
- 44. Sanderson J, Martyn-St James M, Stevens J, Goka E, Wong R, Campbell F, Selby P, Gittoes N, Davis S (2016) Clinical effectiveness of bisphosphonates for the prevention of fragility fractures: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Bone 89:52–58
- Nguyen ND, Eisman JA, Nguyen TV (2006) Anti-hip fracture efficacy of bisphosphonates: a Bayesian analysis of clinical trials. J Bone Miner Res 21(2):340–349
- Vestergaard P, Mosekilde L, Langdahl B (2011) Fracture prevention in postmenopausal women. BMJ Clin Evid 3:2011
- Johnell O, Kanis J (2005) Epidemiology of osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 16(Suppl 2):S3–S7
- Cattiaux J, Vautard R, Cassou C, Yiou P, Masson-Delmotte V, Codron F (2010) Winter 2010 in Europe: a cold extreme in a warming climate. Geophys Res Lett 37:L20704