Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Daniel Q. Huang, National University of Singapore, Singapore

REVIEWED BY Xinting Pan, Mengchao Hepatobiliary Hospital, China Margaret Teng, National University Hospital, Singapore

*CORRESPONDENCE Chengbo Yu yuchengbo1974@zju.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Hepatology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 03 May 2022 ACCEPTED 18 July 2022 PUBLISHED 03 August 2022

CITATION

Wang H, Sun R, Yang S, Ma X and Yu C (2022) Association between serum ferritin level and the various stages of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review. *Front. Med.* 9:934989. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.934989

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wang, Sun, Yang, Ma and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between serum ferritin level and the various stages of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review

Huanqiu Wang¹, Ruyu Sun², Sisi Yang¹, Xueqing Ma¹ and Chengbo Yu^{1*}

¹State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, National Clinical Research Center for Infectious Diseases, Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ²Institute of Social Medicine, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Introduction: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become the most common liver disorder across the world, and non-invasive evaluation approaches are in need to assess NAFLD disease progression. Serum ferritin has been proposed as one of the biomarkers for NAFLD diagnosis in previous studies. This systematic review aims to identify, report, and synthesize studies that investigated the association of serum ferritin level with the various stages of NAFLD among the adult population.

Methods: Three databases – MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Scopus – were systematically searched to obtain potentially relevant publications before July 2022. No restrictions were applied to geographical region, study design, publication type and language. The association between serum ferritin level or different ferritin categories and the various stages of NAFLD was the primary outcome of interest. Title and abstract screenings, data extraction and coding, and quality assessment were independently completed by two authors with discrepancies resolved through discussion with a third author.

Results: Thirty-two studies were included and heterogeneity was considerable. The associations between serum ferritin level and the stages of hepatic steatosis, fibrosis, inflammation and ballooning and the occurrence of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) were investigated but inconsistent associations were reported. Most studies identified serum ferritin to be a predictor of advanced NAFLD, while several revealed the opposite end.

Conclusions: Serum ferritin could be considered to act as a non-invasive biomarker for assessing various stages of NAFLD. Nevertheless, further studies are still in need to confirm its predictive value since this study reported inconsistent associations based on the qualitative synthesis.

Systematic Review Registration: http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO, identifier: CRD42021275630.

KEYWORDS

non-invasive predictor, clinical evaluation, hepatic fibrosis, hepatic steatosis, hepatic inflammation, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis

Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) represents a spectrum of hepatic pathology with fat excessively accumulating in the hepatic parenchyma in individuals who consume little or no alcohol (1, 2). It has become the most common liver disorder across the world, with a global prevalence estimated to be 25.24% (3) and still on the rise (4), heavy in both clinical and economic burdens. Noticeably, sex differences in NAFLD exist – NAFLD is more prevalent and more severe in men than in women during the reproductive age; the differences usually get smaller after menopause (5).

Generally, NAFLD consists of two subtypes: the first is simple steatosis (also termed as NAFL), which is nonprogressive; the second is non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which has not only steatosis but also hepatocyte damage (6). NASH is progressive and may lead to end-stage liver diseases such as fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma, possibly resulting in liver-related mortality (Figure 1) (7, 8). In the United States, one of the major causes of adult cirrhosis is NASH, with NASH-related cirrhosis recognized as the second indication for liver transplantation (3). Hence, clinical evaluation of the disease progression in NAFLD patients is important for physicians to choose appropriate interventions and assess prognosis.

According to current clinical guidelines, liver biopsy is heavily relied upon for the clinical evaluation of NAFLD,

especially for the diagnosis of NASH (9). However, liver biopsy is an invasive procedure and may be accompanied by complications such as bleeding (10), and there might be underestimation of the disease progression, which is caused by sampling bias, since a biopsy specimen represents only \sim 1/50,000 of the liver volume (11). Therefore, it is suggested to develop and utilize accurate non-invasive evaluation approaches such as imaging and biomarkers, either to combine with liver biopsy for higher validity and reliability, or replace it to avoid invasive diagnostic procedures.

Serum ferritin has been widely studied to assist with disease diagnosis and progression, since it is an acute-phase reactant and a pro-inflammatory cytokine whose concentration is elevated in both infectious and non-infectious inflammation (12). Elevated serum ferritin is reported in about 30% patients diagnosed with NAFLD (13) and it has been proposed as one of the biomarkers for NAFLD diagnosis in previous studies (11, 14). For instance, one Iranian study proposed that the ferritin values of 150 ng/ml in females and 248 ng/ml in males as potential diagnostic cut-off points (15). Studies have also identified it as a potential indicator for the evaluation of NAFLD progression and prognosis, e.g., predicting liver fibrosis in NAFLD patients (16).

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have synthesized existing evidence on the association between serum ferritin and disease progression of NAFLD. This systematic review aims to address the research gap by identifying, reporting, and synthesizing studies that investigated the association of serum



templates.

ferritin level or different ferritin categories with the various stages of NAFLD among the adult population.

Methods

This study was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines (17), and was prospectively registered with PROSPERO (protocol number CRD42021275630; http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO).

Search strategy and eligibility criteria

Three MEDLINE, databases EMBASE. and Scopus were systematically searched using а combination of the key terms "ferritin," "fatty liver," "non-alcoholic "hepatic steatosis," steatohepatitis" and related (title/abstract/keywords/MeSH) syntax obtain potentially relevant publications before to July 2022. No restrictions were applied to geographical region, study design, publication type and language. Full search strategies are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) original and empirical human studies; (2) observational studies including cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies; (3) studies that enrolled adult NAFLD patients diagnosed with any approach; (4) studies that explored the association between serum ferritin and disease progression of NAFLD, with confounding factors either adjusted or not.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) review, casereport, abstract, protocol, letter, commentary, meta-analysis and proceeding articles; (2) interventional studies such as clinical trials; (3) experiments performed *in vitro* or in animals; (4) studies that included pediatric patients or patients diagnosed with other chronic liver diseases, e.g., hepatitis B and C, autoimmune hepatitis, etc.; (5) studies not analyzing the association between serum ferritin and disease progression of NAFLD.

Results identified from the search were imported into a citation manager (Zotero), and duplicates were removed. Two authors (HW and RS) independently screened titles and abstracts against the eligibility criteria. Full texts were retrieved for evaluation when citations were considered relevant or with insufficient information for inclusion or exclusion during title/abstract screening. Manual searches were conducted in the reference lists of included studies to obtain additional relevant studies. Full-text evaluations were independently conducted by two authors (HW and RS). Disagreements between the two authors during screening and evaluation were discussed with a third author (CY) to reach consensus.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Data was extracted from the included studies using a purposive-built data collection form in Excel. The following data was extracted and coded into the form: (1) publication information including first author's name, article title, year of publication; (2) study design including study type, study location, sample size, target population, and selection criteria for participant recruitment; (3) socio-demographic status and medical history of study participants; (4) results of liver imageology (ultrasound, CT, or MRT) and liver biopsy, including grades of steatosis, ballooning, inflammation, fibrosis, cirrhosis, etc.; (5) serum ferritin level, together with its testing methods; (6) approaches or standards employed for NAFLD diagnosis and grading; (7) proven associations between serum ferritin level and the various stages of NAFLD. Two authors (HW and RS) independently extracted and coded the data. Discrepancies during this process was discussed with a third author (CY) until consensus was reached.

Quality of the included studies was assessed using the quality control criteria for proteomic studies reporting potential biomarkers (18). Quality assessment was independently completed by two authors (HW and RS), and disagreements were resolved through discussion with a third author (CY).

Results

A total of 3,234 records were returned from the literature search, of which 1,383 duplicates were removed and 1,707 citations were excluded during title/abstract screenings (Figure 2). We assessed 144 full-text articles, and 32 studies met the predefined inclusion criteria. Figure 3 presents the characteristics of all included studies, categorized by year of study, publication language, study design, study region [the World Health Organization (WHO) regions], and participants. Nearly half of the included studies were published after 2016 (n = 15, 46.9%; Figure 3). Most of the studies were published in English (n = 29, 90.6%), with another one study published in Chinese, one study published in Japanese, and one study published in Korean. Fifteen studies employed cross-sectional design, 10 studies were cohort studies, and seven studies were case-control studies. The included studies covered a total of 28,261 participants, of whom 27,028 were NAFLD patients, including 2,376 NASH patients; one study explored the association of ferritin and the various stages of NAFLD in patients with hypothyroidism (Table 1).

Most studies utilized liver biopsy for NAFLD diagnosis and grading. The studies of Brunt et al. (22) and Kleiner et al. (20) were often referred to as the criteria for grading NAFLD progression, e.g., the grading of steatosis, inflammation and fibrosis stages. As the primary outcome of interest, the association of ferritin and various stages of NAFLD was



proven by multivariate statistical analysis in 15 of the included studies, mostly adjusted for age, sex, BMI and other medical history variables. However, the other 17 studies only conducted univariate statistical analysis.

Serum ferritin level and hepatic steatosis stages

Altogether, 15 studies investigated the association of serum ferritin level and hepatic steatosis stages in NAFLD patients (25, 26, 28-30, 32-34, 39, 41, 45, 48, 49, 51, 53). Among

the 15 studies, nine studies consistently reported that NAFLD patients with a higher serum ferritin level were more likely to have an advanced steatosis stage (25, 28–30, 33, 34, 41, 45, 49), usually analyzed by correlation analysis. An Indian study reported significant associations in both females and males (25). Three studies did not find any significant association between serum ferritin level and steatosis stage (32, 48, 53). The other three studies reported inconsistent associations: one Egyptian study identified ferritin as a predictor for steatosis among NAFLD patients with hepatic fibrosis, but the association was not significant among patients without fibrosis (26); one study from the UK reported ferritin to be a predictor in one



group of NAFLD patients, while it was not significantly related to steatosis progression in another group of NAFLD patients (39); the other study from China revealed that ferritin could distinguish Stage 2 or 3 steatosis from Stage 1, but not Stage 3 from Stage 1 or 2 (51). Almost all of the above results were tested by univariate statistical analysis without further exploration *via* multivariate analysis, except from two studies – one study reporting the predictive role of serum ferritin for steatosis progression that became non-significant in the multivariate analysis (34), and the other showed consistent non-significant associations in both univariate and multivariate analysis (53).

Serum ferritin level and the occurrence of steatohepatitis

The association of serum ferritin level and the occurrence of steatohepatitis among NAFLD patients were investigated in 11 studies (19, 21, 23, 27, 28, 33, 35, 37-39, 44). Nine of the 11 studies compared the serum ferritin level in NAFL patients with it in NASH patients, among which five studies identified it to be a predictor for the occurrence of NASH (23, 27, 28, 35, 38, 44) yet two studies showed non-significant associations (21, 39). Data in these studies were usually analyzed by ANOVA test or t-test. Only three studies further included ferritin into a multivariate model and their result remained the same as it was in the univariate analysis (21, 27) except that in one study, the significant difference (p < 0.001) became borderline (p = 0.05) (35). Interestingly, one Italian study set 160 and 380 ng/ml as ferritin cut-offs and found both of them were predictive for the occurrence of NASH, with the cut-off of 380 ng/ml having a higher odds ratio in both univariate and multiple logistic regression analyses (27).

An international study and a study from the US compared ferritin levels among patients with different NASH categories – no NASH, suspicious or borderline NASH and definitive NASH, and found a significant difference among the three groups of patients *via* χ^2 test, but no further analysis was conducted to identify the trend of serum ferritin level in NASH progression (19, 33). Another Iranian study had similar results; there was a difference of serum ferritin levels among patients with mild, moderate and severe steatohepatitis, but no further comparison was made (37).

Five studies analyzed the accuracy of ferritin level for diagnosing NASH by conducting receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis (19, 28, 35, 38, 44) and reported inconsistent results (Table 2). Two suggested that ferritin had poor accuracy (19, 28), but three demonstrated the opposite end (35, 38, 44).

Serum ferritin level and hepatic fibrosis stages

There are 25 studies exploring the association of serum ferritin level and fibrosis stages in NAFLD patients (19, 21, 23, 26–29, 31–37, 39, 40, 43, 45–51, 53).

Ferritin and hepatic fibrosis stages graded from F0–F4 using Brunt et al.'s standards

Most of these studies employed the Brunt et al. (22) standards to grade fibrosis stages from F0 (absence of fibrosis) to F4 (cirrhosis). In an international study, ferritin was reported to be significantly different in NAFLD patients with different stages of fibrosis *via* χ^2 test, and further identified that serum ferritin levels higher than the upper limit of normal (ULN, which

/sis justed	ns by multivariate analysis nd <i>p</i> -value were reported i on analysis and correlatio nd <i>p</i> -value were reported i sis	ons by univariate analysi d <i>p</i> -value were reported i n analysis and correlatio d <i>p</i> -value were reported i iis	evel, I)	FLD rent	sion	losis	s)			hor,
	ivariate analysis. ere reported in and correlation ere reported in	variate analysis, vere reported in and correlation vere reported in								

Angulo, 20: (19)	4 Retrospective cohort study	UK, Australia, Italy, US	Adult NAFLD patients	1,014 (586 + 428)	46.9 ± 0.4	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Presence of NASH was recorded on pattern and distribution of liver histologic lesions The stage of fibrosis [Kleiner et al. (20)]: stage 0 = absence of fibrosis stage 1 = perisinusoidal or portal stage 3 = septal or bridging fibrosis stage 4 = cirrhosis Advanced fibrosis: stage 3-4 fibrosis	NASH category: No NASH: 495 Suspicious/borderline: 129 Definitive: 390 Fibrosis stage: Stage 0: 351 Stage 1: 251 Stage 2: 141 Stage 3: 161 Stage 4: 110	252 ± 8 Normal ferritin: 683 patients Elevated ferritin level: 331 patients ULN: 200 ng/ml in females and 300 ng/ml in males	χ^2 test: Ferritin and NASH category: p = 0.003 Ferritin and fibrosis stage: p = 0.003 in women, p < 0.001 in men	$ \begin{split} & \text{Multivariate logistic regressions:} \\ & \text{Presence of fibrosis (stage 1-4):} \\ & \text{Ferritin > ULN vs. ferritin \leq \\ & \text{ULN: 1.84 (1.36, 2.50), } p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin \leq 1.5 \\ & \text{ULN: 2.14 (1.45, 3.15), } p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 2 ULN vs. ferritin > 2 ULN: \\ & 2.52 (1.45, 4.41), p = 0.001 \\ & \text{Severe fibrosis (stage 2-4):} \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.10 vs. ferritin \leq ULN: } \\ & 1.64 (1.22, 2.19), p = 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin \leq 1.5 \\ & \text{ULN: 1.95 (1.38, 2.75), } p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin > 2 \\ & \text{ULN: 1.95 (1.38, 2.75), } p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin > 2 \\ & \text{ULN: 1.95 (1.38, 2.75), } p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin > 2 \\ & \text{ULN: 1.95 (1.39, 2.75), } p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin > 2 \\ & \text{ULN: 1.95 (1.39, 2.50, p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin > 2 \\ & \text{ULN: 1.61 (1.17, 2.18), } p = 0.004 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. ferritin > 1.5 ULN vs. 5 (1.34, 2.82), p < 0.001 \\ & \text{Ferritin > 2 ULN vs. ferritin > 2 \\ & \text{ULN vs. ferritin > 2 ULN: 2.11 (1.33, 3.34), p = 0.001 \\ \end{split}$	Age, sex, race, BMI, diabetes, ALT, and recruitment site
Buzzetti, 20 (21)	9 Retrospective cohort study	UK, Italy	Adult NAFLD patients	468 (291 + 177)	47 ± 13	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy NAFLD lesions were scored according to the NASH Clinical Research Network (CRN) NAS scoring system Hepatic fibrosis [Brunt et al. (22)]: 0 = absence of fibrosis 1 = zone 3 perisinusoidal/perivenular fibros 2 = zone 3 and periportal fibrosis 3 = septal/bridging fibrosis 4 = cirrhosis Significant fibrosis: stages \geq F3	No NASH: 221 (47) NASH: 247 (53) F0: 207 (44) F1: 104 (22) F2: 68 (15) F3: 41 (9) F4: 48 (10) \geq F3: 89 (19)	188 (range 61-314) Ferritin > ULN: 122 (26%) patients ULN: 200 ng/ml in females and 300 ng/ml in male	Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: $p = 0.42$ (t-test) Ferritin > ULN and the occurrence of NASH: $p = 0.11$ (χ^2 test) Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: 1001 (1.000-1.001), $p = 0.07$ (univariate logistic regression analysis) Ferritin and fibrosis stage (t-test): For-Fi vs. F2: $p > 0.05$ For-Fi vs. F3: $p = 0.024$ FO-Fi vs. F4: $p = 0.028$ F2 vs. F3: $p > 0.05$	Multivariate logistic regression analysis: Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: p > 0.05 Ferritin and advanced fibrosis: p > 0.05	: Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: Age, eex, BMI, hypertension, diabetes, ALT, iron pattern; Ferritin and advanced fibrosis: not reported

TABLE	1	Continued
IADLE	± .	Continued

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size (M + F)	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean±SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
Canbakan, 2007 (23)	Prospective cohort study	Turkey	Adult NAFLD patients	105 (54 + 51)	46.6 ± 9.7	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Steatosis and fibrosis were staged according to Brunt et al. NAFL: hepatocellular steatosis without fibrosis and prominent inflammation; NASH: steatosis, ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes, mixed acute and chronic lobular inflammation, and zone 3 peristinus/dal fibrosis	NAFL: 38 NASH: 67 Advanced fibrosis (stage 3): 7 Cirrhosis: 4	NAFL group: 71.2 ± 58.2 NASH group: 109.7 ± 81.5	Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: $p = 0.016$ (<i>t</i> -test) Ferritin and fibrosis stage: $r = 0.35$, $p < 0.001$ (correlation analysis)	N/A	N/A
Chandok, 2012 (24)	Prospective cohort study	Canada	Adult NAFLD patients	482 (227 + 255)	49.6 ± 3.1	Liver biopsy or imaging (either liver ultrasound, CT, or MRI)	Liver biopsy or imaging Patients were stratified into three groups based on their histologic severity of disease and radiologic findings: simple steatosis, NASH or cirrhosis.	NAFLD without cirrhosis or biopsy: 374 Non-NASH: 60 NASH: 28 Cirrhosis: 20	 199.6 Serum ferritin ≥ 500: 26 patients Simple steatosis group: 223.9 ±204.6 NASH group: 240.7 ± 228.5 Cirrhosis group: 271.3 ± 536.8 	ANOVA test: Ferritin among different groups: p = 0.34	N/A	N/A
Chaturvedi, 2020 (25)	Cross-sectional study	India	Adult patients with hypothyroidism, including NAFLD patients	100 (33 + 67) NAFLD patients: 33 (13 + 20)	Not reported	Liver ultrasound	Liver ultrasound Steatosis was graded according to Brunt et al. (22)	Fatty liver grade I: 15 Fatty liver grade II: 12 Fatty liver grade III: 6		Correlation analysis: Ferritin and steatosis stage among males: $r = 10.076$, $p = 0.004$ Ferritin and steatosis stage among females: $r = 0.876$, $p = 0.043$	N/A	N/A

Frontiers in Medicine

(Continued)

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size $(M + F)$	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean ± SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
El Nakeeb, 2017 (26)	Case-control study	Egypt	Group 1: Healthy adults (control group) Group 2: adult NAFLD patients without hepatic fibrosis Group 3: adult NAFLD patients with	113 (Sex not reported) Group 1: 30 Group 2: 31 Group 3: 52	$\begin{array}{c} Group \ 1: 28.03 \\ \pm \ 6.99 \\ Group \ 2: 29.94 \\ \pm \ 9.27 \\ Group \ 3: 32.92 \\ \pm \ 12.66 \end{array}$	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Steatosis and fibrosis were graded according to Brunt et al. (22)	Steatosis (among Group 2&3): 51: 65 52: 18 53-54: 0 Fibrosis (among Group 3): F1: 37 F2: 5 F3: 10	Group 1: 51.95 \pm 39.38 Group 2: 76.94 \pm 57.41 Group 3: 114.55 \pm 120.85	Correlation analysis Ferritin and steatosis stage in Group 2: $r = 0.009$, $p = 0.962$ Ferritin and steatosis stage in Group 3: $r = 0.745$, $p < 0.001$ Univariate logistic regression analysis: Ferritin and the occurrence of fibrosis: $p = 0.330$	N/A	N/A
Fracanzani, 2011 (27)	Cross-sectional study	Italy	Adult NAFLD patients	431 (360 + 71)	Not reported	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Steatosis and fibrosis were graded according to Kleiner et al. (20)	No NASH: 174 NASH: 257 Steatosis: grade 1: 219 grade 2: 140 grade 3: 70 Fibrosis 0-1: 301 Fibrosis 2: 130	Ferritin <160 ng/ml: 132 patients Ferritin 161–380 ng/ml: 136 patients Ferritin > 380 ng/ml: 131 patients Ferritin was categorized according to tertiles	Univariate logistic regression analysis: Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: Ferritin <160 ng/ml: Ref Ferritin <160 ng/ml: 1.14 (0.7-1.87) Ferritin >380 ng/ml: 1.86 (1.11-3.10) p = 0.018 Ferritin and fibrosis stage: Ferritin <160 ng/ml: Ref Ferritin 161-380 ng/ml: 1.42 (0.83-2.43) Ferritin >380 ng/ml: 1.40 (0.82-2.40) p = 0.21	Multivariate logistic regression analysis: Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: Ferritin a 160 ng/ml: Ref Ferritin 161–380 ng/ml: 1.04 (0.55–1.98) Ferritin 5380 ng/ml: 2.06 (0.98–4.33) p = 0.07 Ferritin and fibrosis stage: Ferritin <160 ng/ml: Ref Ferritin <160 ng/ml: Ref Ferritin <160 ng/ml: 810 ng/ml: 1.61 (0.79–3.31) Ferritin >380 ng/ml: 3.39 (1.42–8.12) p = 0.006	Referral center, gender, age, waist circumference, ALT, HOMA-IR, glucose tolerance, metabolic syndrome, steatosis stage or fibrosis stage
Goh, 2016 (28)	Prospective cohort study	US	Adult NAFLD patients	405 (179 + 226)	48 ± 12	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Stages of fibrosis, ballooning, steatosis and inflammation were diagnosed following the classification of Kleiner et al. (20) The degree of steatosis (0–3), lobular inflammation (0–3), and ballooning (0–2), made up the 8-point NAFLD activity score (NAS)	NAFL group: 114 (28) NASH group: 291 (72)	NAFL group: 125.7 [61.0, 243.5] NASH group: 184.0 [91.6, 383.0]	ANOVA test: Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: $p < 0.001$ Correlation analysis: p (95% CI) Ferritin and fibrosis stage: 0.09 (-0.01, 0.18), $p = 0.088$ Ferritin and ballooning stage: 0.12 (0.02, 0.21), $p = 0.021$ Ferritin and steatosis stage: 0.16 (0.06, 0.26), $p = 0.001$	N/A	N/A

(Continued)

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size $(M + F)$	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean±SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
Hagstrom, 2016 (29)	Prospective cohort study	Sweden	Adult NAFLD patients	222 (134 + 88)	Not reported	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy The degree of steatosis (0–3), Iobular inflammation (0–3), and ballooning (0–2), made up the 8-point NAFLD activity score (NAS) Portal inflammation was sore on a scale of 0–4. Fibrosis was scored according to METAVIR (0–4)	Steatosis grade 1: 73 2: 64 3: 51 Lobular inflammation: 0: 13 1: 78 2: 99 3: 32 Ballooning: 0: 61 1: 78 2: 83 Fibrosis stage: 0: 45 1:85 2: 63 3: 20 4: 9	Normal ferritin level: 133 patients High ferritin level: 89 patients Cut-offs: 150 ng/ml in females and 350 ng/ml in males	Ferritin and inflammation stage: 0.06 (-0.04 , 0.16), $p = 0.24$ Ferritin and NAS: 0.15 (0.05, 0.25), $p = 0.003$ Fisher's exact test: Ferritin (normal/high) and steatosis grade: $p = 0.006$ Ferritin (normal/high) and lobular inflammation: $p = 0.004$ Ferritin (normal/high) and ballooning: $p = 0.002$ Ferritin (normal/high) and fibrosis stage: $p < 0.001$ Ferritin (normal/high) and fibrosis stage: $p < 0.001$ Ferritin group Adden the the the the the poisson regression: Following 15 years after biopsy, the high ferritin group showed a significant and gradually steeper increase in mortality. Thirty years after biopsy, the hazard was over 0.10 deaths per person-year in the high ferritin group and <0.03 deaths per person-year in the normal ferritin group. The hazard ratio increased 9% faster each year in the high ferritin group (HR = 1.09, 95%	After adjusting for potential confounders, the hazard ratio remained essentially unchanged (HR = 1.10, 95% CI 1.01–1.21, <i>p</i> < 0.05)	Age at baseline (time for liver biopsy), time, the interaction between time and serum ferritin, sex, smoking, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypertension, BMI and fibrosis stage
Hanafy, 2019 (30)	Case-control study	Egypt	Group 1: healthy adults (control group) Group 2: adult NAFLD patients Group 3: adult NAFLD patients (validation group)	y 433 (299 + 134) Group 1: 100 (70 + 30) Group 2: 272 (190 s + 82) Group 3: 61 (39 + s 22) p)	Group 1: 38.2 ± 1.: - Group 2: 35.5 ± 4: Group 3: 40 ± 4.5	8 Liver ultrasound 7	Liver ultrasound and biopsy The degree of steatosis was measured by controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) via ultrasound: S0: 212-265 dB/m; S1 (5-33% steatosis): 266-303 dB/m; S2 (34-66%): 304-320 dB/m; S3(>66%): 321-400 dB/m	Steatosis: S0: 71 S1: 21 S2: 77 S3: 103 Fibrosis: F0: 66 F1: 26 F2: 26	Group 1: 196 \pm 18.7 Group 2: 383 \pm 40.2 Group 3: 402 \pm 20.2	Cı: 1.01, 1.18, <i>p</i> < 0.05) Correlation analysis: Ferritin and steatosis grade: <i>r</i> = 0.664, <i>p</i> < 0.001	Logistic regressive analysis: Ferritin and the presence of significant fibrosis: $p < 0.001$	Age and sex

Frontiers in Medicine

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size (M + F)	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean±SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{P}$ value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{P}$ value were reported in correlation analysis
Kawanaka, 2012 (31)	Prospective cohort study	Japan	Adult NASH patients with stage 3 fibrosis	33 (14 + 19)	57.4 ± 14.4	Liver biopsy	Fibrosis was measured via liver biopsy: significant fibrosis is defined as stage 3, cirrhosis as stage 4 Liver biopsy NASH was diagnosed according to Matteoni et al. (8). Fibrosis was staged according to Brunt et al. (22)	F3: 82 F4: 72 Baseline: F3: 33 Follow up: F0: 0 F1: 9 (improved) F2: 11 (improved) F3: 7 (not changed) F3: 7 (not changed) F4 (cirrhosis): 6 (deteriorated)	Changes in ferritin between follow-up and baseline: Deterioration group (F4): + 92 No-change group (F3): -109 Improvement group (F1/2): -207	Wilcox rank sum test: Changes in ferritin between 5 follow-up and baseline among three groups: p = 0.009 Difference of ferritin changes between no-change group and deterioration group: p < 0.05 Difference of ferritin changes between no-change group and	N/A
Kim, 2013 (32)	Prospective cohort study	South Korea	Adult NAFLD patients	108 (73 + 35)	39.0 ± 13.5	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Histological grading and staging of NAFLD were scored semi-quantitatively according to the original criteria for NAFLD subtypes, and NAFLD histologic activity score (NAS) system. The NAS identified the degree of steatosis (0-3), lobular inflammation (0-3), and hepatocellular ballooning (0-2). The NAS was the sum of above numerical pathologic scores and ranged from 0 to 8 NAFLD type 1: steatosis alone; NAFLD type 2: steatosis with hepatocellular ballooning; NAFLD type 4: steatosis with hepatocellular ballooning; NAFLD type 4: steatosis with Mallory-Denk bodies or fibrosis NAFLD subtypes 3 and 4 were considered to represent NASH	NAFLD type 1: 1 (1) NAFLD type 2: 40 (37.0) NAFLD type 3: 39 (36.1) NAFLD type 4: 28 (25.9) NASH: 67 (62.0) NAS 22 : 9 (8.3) NAS $3-4$: 54 (50) NAS 25 : 45 (41.7) Fibrosis stage: F0: 19 F1: 54 F2: 27 F3: 10 F4: 1	Not reported	Improvement group: $p < 0.03$ Correlation analysis: Ferritin and steatosis stage: $r = 0.162$, $p = 0.153$ Ferritin and inflammation stage: r = 0.172, $p = 0.129Ferritin and ballooning stage: r = 0.172, p = 0.266Ferritin and fibrosis stage: r = 0.272, p = 0.015Ferritin and KAS score: r = 0.228, p = 0.022Ferritin and NAFLD subtype: r = 0.195, p = 0.085$	N/A

Confounders adjusted for

N/A

N/A

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size $(M + F)$	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean ± SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{P}$ -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{P}$ -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
Kowdley, 201 (33)	2 Cross-sectiona study	I US	Adult NAFLD patients	628 (235 + 393)	47.7 ± 11.8	Liver biopsy	Histologic finding with stage 2 or above fibrosis were also defined as NASH The stage of fibrosis was scored according to Brunt et al. (22) Liver biopsy Histologic features of NAFLD were assessed by the Pathology Committee of the NASH Clinical Research Network in a centralized consensus review format	Steatosis: S1: 252 S2: 214 S3: 162 Lobular inflammation: <2 under 20x: 319 2-4 under 20x: 71 Hepatocellular ballooning None: 199 Mild: 161 More than mild: 268 Fibrosis stage: F0: 160 F1: 182 F2: 121 F3: 112 Cirrhosis: 49 NASH diagnosis category: No NASH: 125	Ferritin ≤ULN: 416 patients Ferritin >ULN and ≤ 1.5 × ULN: 84 patients Ferritin >1.5 × ULN: 128 patients ULN: 200 gm/ml in females and 300 ng/ml in males	χ^2 test: Ferritin and steatosis grade: $p < 0.001$ Ferritin and lobular inflammation: $p = 0.026$ Ferritin and hepatocellular ballooning: $p = 0.004$ Ferritin and fibrosis stage: $p < 0.001$ Ferritin and NASH diagnosis category: $p = 0.013$	Multivariate logistic regression analysis: Ferritin >1.5 × ULN and the presence of advanced fibrosis (i.e., stage 3 or 4): OR = 1.67; p = 0.028 Ferritin >2.5 × ULN and the presence of advanced fibrosis: $OR = 2.46; p$ = 0.005	Age at biopsy, sex, presence of diabetes, BMI, ALT
Loguercio, 2004 (34)	Cross-sectiona study	l Italy	Adult NAFLD patients	305 (250 + 55)	Not reported	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Presence/absence and the entity of steatosis, intra-acinar and portal inflammation, pericellular, perivenular and portal fibrosis, and cirrhosis were semi-quantitatively assessed as follows: absent = 0; mild \leq 25%; moderate = 25-75%; severe \geq 75%	Suspicious/borderline: 119 Definite: 384 Steatosis: Absent: 0 Mild: 31.5% Moderate: 49.5% Severe: 18.4% Portal fibrosis: Absent: 32% Mild: 57.7% Moderate: 6.1% Severe: 4.4% Lobular/portal inflammation:	Elevated ferritin: approximately 35% of the included patients Cut-offs: 150 ng/ml in females and 300 ng/ml in males	χ^2 test: Ferritin and steatosis stage: $p < 0.01$ Ferritin and inflammation stage: p < 0.01 Ferritin and fibrosis stage: $p < 0.01$ Ferritin and cirrhosis (compared with simple steatosis and steatosis + inflammation/fibrosis): $p < 0.01$	When data were processed with the multivariate analysis, ferritin was not found to be an independent predictor of hepatic lesions.	Gender, age, BMI, insulin, OGTT, cholesterol, triglycerides.

(Continued)

ò	
nt.	
ē	
S	
Ľ	
\leq	
ē	
di	
÷	
e	

Study (first author, year)	Study design Country	Participants	Sample size (M + F)	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean±SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{P}$ value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{P}$ value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
Manousou, 2011 (35)	Cross-sectional UK study	Adult NAFLD patients	111 (71 + 40)	54 ± 14	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Non-NASH: either NAFLD or those cases that were thought to be borderline NASH: having inflammation +/- fibrosis Fibrosis: Group 1 (none or mild fibrosis) patients with fibrosis stages of 0 1 and 1A according to Kleiner et al. (20) Group 2 (moderate fibrosis to cirrhosis): patients with fibrosis stages of 1B, 1C, 2, 3 and 4 according to Kleiner et al. (20) Steatosis and fibrosis were assessed according to Kleiner et al. (20) Lobular inflammation: 1: 0-2 foci per x 200 field 2: 2-4 foci per x 200 field Portal	Absent: 20% Mild: 64.9% Moderate: 6.6% Severe: 8.5% Pericellular fibrosis: Absent: 37% Mild: 50.7% Moderate: 9.3% Severe: 3.0% Non-NASH: 47 (42.3) NASH: 64 (57.7) Fibrosis: F0: 41.7% F1: 25% F2: 13% I. F3: 9.3% F4: 11.1% Lobular inflammation: 0: 28.7% 1: 50% 2: 20.4% 3: 0.9%	228±100 Abnormal ferritin: 27 (24.5%) patients ULN: 150 ng/ml for females and 340 ng/ml for males	<i>T</i> -test: Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: <i>p</i> < 0.001 Ferritin between two fibrosis groups: <i>p</i> < 0.001	Multivariate logistic regression analysis Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: 1.034 (1.003–1.161), $p = 0.05$ Ferritin and fibrosis stage (excluding 1: cirrhosis pattents): 1.016 (1.007–1.024) p < 0.001 Ferritin and portal inflammation: 1.015 (1.008–1.022), $p = 0.035$ Ferritin and lobular inflammation: 1.056 (1.015–1.099), $p = 0.007$ Serum (Frittin at a cut-off value of 240 ng/ml and above was significantly associated with lobular inflammation ($p = 0.009$) and portal inflammation ($p = 0.043$).	: Ferritin and the occurrence of NASH: adjusted for BMI, DM, I AST; Ferritin and fibrosis stage: adjusted for DMI; Ferritin and portal inflammation stage: adjusted for DM; Ferritin and lobular inflammation stage: p adjusted for BMI and DM.
Moon, 2006 (36)	Cross-sectional South Korea study	Adult NAFLD patients	39 (33 + 6)	34.5 ± 13.7	Liver biopsy	0: none to minimal 1: greater than minimal Liver biopsy Inflammation and fibrosis were staged according to Brunt et al. (22)	Inflammation: Grade 0: 5 (12.8) Grade 1: 16 (41.0) Grade 2: 16 (41.0)	250.5 ± 243.9	Correlation analysis: Ferritin and inflammation stage r = 0.518, p = 0.001; Ferritin and fibrosis stage: $r =$	Multivariate logistic regression analysis : Inflammation Grade 0 and 1	: Age and BMI

Grade 3: 2 (5.1)

Wang et al.

10.3389/fmed.2022.934989

0.460, p = 0.005

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size (M + F)	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean ± SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
								Fibrosis: Stage 0: 7 (17.9) Stage 1: 15 (38.5) Stage 2: 15 (38.5) Stage 2: 2 (5.1) Stage 4: 0 (0)		Univariate analysis: Inflammation Grade 0 and 1 were categorized as mild, and Grade 2 and 3 were categorized as moderate to conduct analysis; fibrosis Grade 0 and 1 were categorized as mild, and Grade 2, 3 and 4 were categorized as moderate to conduct analysis Ferritin and inflammation progression: p = 0.008 Ferritin and fibrosis	were categorized as mild, and Grade 2 and 3 were categorized as moderate to conduct analysis; fibrosis Grade 0 and 1 were categorized as mild, and Grade 2, 3 and 4 were categorized as moderate to conduct analysis Ferritin and inflammation progression: regression coefficient 0.146, $p = 0.303$ Ferritin and fibrosis progression: regression coefficient 0.024, $p = 0.531$, I
Mousavi, 2018 (37)	Cross-sectional study	Iran	Adult NAFLD patients	30 (17 + 13)	37.93 ± 12.5	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Staging and grading were performed according to the Brunt et al. (22) scoring	No steatohepatitis: 5 (16.7) Mild steatohepatitis: 19 (63.3) Moderate steatohepatitis: 4 (13.3) Severe steatohepatitis: 2 (6.7) Fibrosis: F0: 13 (43.3) F1: 3 (10) F2: 8 (26.7) F3: 4 (13.3) F4: 2 (6.7)	200.8 ± 200.6 Ferritin levels above 200: 11 (36.7%) patients Cut-off: 200ng/ml	progression: $p = 0.035$ Ferritin between patients without and with steatohepatitis: $p > 0.05$ (<i>t</i> -test) Ferritin among three grades of steatohepatitis: $p = 0.559$ (ANOVA test) Ferritin and fibrosis stage: $p =$ 0.228 (correlation analysis)	N/A	N/A
Parikh, 2015 (38)	Case-control study	India	Group 1: healthy adults (control group) Group 2: adult NAFLD patients	7 105 (77 + 28) Group 1: 50 (37 + 13) Group 2: 55 (40 + 15)	Group 1: 41.6 \pm 13.89 Group 2: 42.37 \pm 3.2	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy NAFL: steatosis with or without inflammation; NASH: steatosis with either ballooning or Mallory Denk bodies, bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis	NAFL: 35 NASH: 20 (all with fibrosis/cirrhosis)	Group 1: 35.2 ± 18.5 Group 2: 51.2 ± 9.4	Ferritin between NAFL and NASH patients: $p < 0.05$ (<i>t</i> -test) Ferritin (cut off 48 ng/ml) between Brunt fibrosis stages (0–2 and 3/4): $p < 0.05$ (χ^2 test)	N/A	N/A

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size $(M + F)$	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean ± SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
Ryan, 2018 (39)	Case-control study	UK	Group 1: healthy adults (control group) Group 2: adult NAFLD patients Group 3: adult HBV or HCV infected patients Group 4: adult NAFLD patients (validation group	505 (398 + 107) Group 1: 20 (8 + 12) Group 2: 51 (3 + 19) Group 3: 30 (25 + 5) Group 4: 404 (333 +71)	Group 1: 58 ± 10 Group 2: 55 ± 12.7 Group 3: 50 ± 12 Group 4: 49 ± 12	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy and MRI Liver biopsy: Fibrosis and steatosis stage was determined as outlined by Brunt et al. (22) NASH: NAS score ≥5 MRI: Steatosis was determined by hepatic lipid content (HLC)	MRI HLC in Group 2: 15.2 ±12% Fibrosis in Group 2: Mid: 17 (33.3%) Moderate 16 (31.4%) Severe: 18 (35.3%) NASH in Group 4: 171 (49.3%) patients	Group 2: 137 (rage 1,944) Group 4: 2.5±0.5 log10 ng/ml Hyperferritinaemia: 7 patients in Group 2	Group 2: Correlation analysis: Ferritin and MR1-proven steatosis stage (HLC value): $r =$ 0.57, $p < 0.0001$ Ferritin and histological steatosis: $r = 0.5$, $p = 0.0002$ Ferritin and histological inflammatory grade: $r = 0.07$, $p =$ 0.62 ANOVA test: Ferritin and fibrosis stages (F0/1, F2, F3, F4): $p = 0.007$ Ferritin and histological grade of steatosis: $r = 0.05$, $p = 0.33$ Ferritin and histological grade of steatosis: $r = 0.05$, $p = 0.33$ Ferritin and hepatocellular ballooning: $r = 0.02$ Ferritin and hepatocellular ballooning: $r = 0.02$ Ferritin increased significantly from F0/1 stage to F3 ($p =$ 0.013), and then decreased ($p =$ 0.048) in cirrhosis Linear regression analysis: Ferritin (log10 ng/ml) and inflammation: $\beta = 0.07$ (0.03), $p =$ 0.02	Group 2: Multiple logistic regression analysis: Ferritin was an independent predictor of significant (F2) compared with early (F01) fibrosis stage OR (95% CD: 1.01 (1.00-1.014), $p = 0.048$ Group 4: Linea regression analysis: Ferritin (log10 ngm1) and inflammation: $\beta = 0.08 (0.03), p = 0.002$	Group 2: adjusted for age, gender, weight, ALT IR, propeptide of r Type III Procollagen (P3NP), hepcidin, and MR liver T2; Group 4: adjusted for age, sex, type 2 diabetes, and alcohol.

Wang et al.

Frontiers in Medicine

Study (first author, year)
Study design
Country
Participants
Sample size (M + F)
Mean age \pm SD (yrs)
NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool
NAFLD progression approach/tool
Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)
Serum ferritin level, mean ± SD (ng/ml)
Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis
Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in correlation analysis
Confounders adjusted for

Seyedian, 2017 (40)	Cross-sectional Iran study	Adult NAFLD patients	284 (202 + 82)	Not reported	Not reported	Liver elastography Liver stiffness: Advanced stiffness: F3: >8.7 KPa F4: >10.3 KPa Liver cirrhosis: 11.5KPa	Mild liver stiffness: 226 Advanced liver stiffness: 58	High ferritin: 46 (16.2) patients Low ferritin: 238 (83.8) patients Cut-offs: 135 ng/ml in female and 225 ng/ml in male Mild liver stiffness group: 132 \pm 101.6 Advanced liver stiffness group: 222.8 \pm 194.4	Ferritin and liver stiffness level: p < 0.001 (<i>t</i> -test) Ferritin level and liver stiffness level: $p < 0.001$ (χ^2 test)	N/A	N/A
Uysal, 2011 (41)	Case-control Turkey study	Group 1: healthy adults (control group) Group 2: Adult NASH patients	88 (47 + 41) Group 1: 28 (10 + 18) Group 2: 60 (37 + 23)	Group 1: 48 ± 11 Group 2: 48 ± 14	Liver ultrasound	Liver ultrasound Patients with NASH was divided into three subgroups according to Saadch et al. (42) minimal, moderate and marked steatosis subgroup parallel to the increase in echogenicity	Minimal steatosis: 17 Moderate steatosis: 20 Marked steatosis: 23	Group 1: 26.72±11.26 Group 2: 117.54±62.88 Minimal steatosis: 84.4±39.1 Moderate steatosis: 105.5±58.2 Marked steatosis: 152.4±65.6	Kruskal–Wallis test or Mann–Whitney U test: Ferritin between minimal and marked steatosis: $p < 0.05$ Ferritin between moderate and marked steatosis: $p < 0.05$	N/A	N/A
Yao, 2019 (43)	Cross-sectional China study	Non-obese general population taking their annual health examination, including NAFLD patients	1,020 (701 + 319) NAFLD patients: 148 (95 + 53)	$\begin{array}{c} 43.4\pm7.4\\ \text{NAFLD patients:}\\ 23.4\pm1.1 \end{array}$	Liver ultrasound	Laboratory testing and age FIB-4 score (consists of age, AST, PLT, ALT level): Lower risk of fibrosis: FIB-4 < 1.3 Advanced fibrosis: FIB-4 ≥ 1.3	Not reported	NAFLD patients: 276.7 (34.5–786.6) Low risk fibrosis group: 239.0 (34.5–326.6) Advanced fibrosis group: 308.8 (64.1–786.6)	Univariate logistic regression analysis: Ferritin and fibrosis stage: OR (95% CI): 2.760 (2.169–3.342), $p < 0.001$	Multivariate logistic regression analysis: The ORS (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -values of the associations of ferritin and fibrosis stage are as follows: When adjusted for age, gender, and BMI: 1.898 (1.163–2.621), <i>p</i> < 0.001 When adjusted for age, gender, BMI, uric acid (UA), and hypersensitive-CRP (hsCRP): 1.720 (1.149–2.302), <i>p</i> < 0.001 When adjusted for age, gender, BMI, UA, hsCRP, and hemoglobin: 1.401 (1.091-1.714), <i>p</i> = 0.02	Age, gender, BMI, UA, hsCRP, and hemoglobin
Yoneda, 2010 (44)	Case-control Japan study	Group 1: healthy adults (control group) Group 2: adult NAFLD patients	106 (Sex not reported) Group 1: 20 Group 2: 86	Not reported	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Criteria for the diagnosis of NAFL and NASH were not reported	NAFL patients: 24 NASH patients: 62	NAFL: 164.9 ± 95.5 NASH: 278.6 ± 156.3	T-test: Ferritin and NAFL/NASH: $p=0.0060$	N/A	N/A

(Continued)

Frontiers in Medicine

TABLE 1 Continued

Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p-value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p-value were reported in correlation analysis Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and *p*-value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and *p*-value were reported in correlation analysis author, diagnosis NAFLD progression approach/tool Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%) Serum ferritin level, mean \pm SD (ng/ml) Mean age \pm SD (yrs) Sample size (M + F) approach/tool (first Study design Participants Country NAFLD Study year) Bugianesi, Cross-sectional Italy Adult NAFLD 167 (Sex not 41 ± 11 Liver ultrasound Liver ultrasound Steatosis: 239 ± 235 Univariate logistic regression Multivariate logistic regression analysis: Age, sex, and BMI 1:88 (52.7) Ferritin between mild fibrosis (stage 2004 (45) study patients reported) Steatosis, necroinflammation Cut-off: 350 ng/ml analysis: and fibrosis were graded 2:47 (28.1) Ferritin between mild fibrosis 1-2) and no fibrosis (stage 0): OR (95% according to Brunt et al. (22) 3: 32 (19.2) (stage 1-2) and no fibrosis CI): 1.52 (1.08-2.13), p = 0.016 Ferritin with minor modifications Necroinflammation: (stage 0): OR (95% CI): 1.32 between severe fibrosis (stage 3-4) and no fibrosis (stage 0): OR (95% CI): 1.69 NASH was diagnosed based on 0:16 (9.6) (1.06-1.67), p = 0.017the presence of fibrosis (grade 1 1:74 (44.3) Ferritin between severe fibrosis (1.18-2.43), p = 0.0045or higher) or 2: 55 (32.9) (stage 3-4) and no fibrosis (stage 0): OR (95% CI):1.49 necroinflammation (grade 2 3: 22 (13.2) or higher) Fibrosis: (1.18-1.88), p = 0.001 0:63 (37.7) Linear regression analysis: 1:38 (22.8) Ferritin and steatosis stage: r =2:30(18.0) 0.309, p < 0.0001 3:27 (16.2) Ferritin and fibrosis stage: r =4:9 (5.4) 0.311, p < 0.0001Ferritin and inflammation stage: r = 0.041, p = 0.601Shimada, 2002 Cross-sectional Japan Adult NASH 81 (40 + 41) Median age: 54 Liver biopsy liver biopsy Steatosis and Mild fibrosis: 58 120 (range 13-520) Mann-Whitney test: N/A N/A (range 21-82) fibrosis were graded according Cut-off: 200ng/ml F0-2 (46) study patients Severe fibrosis: 23 Ferritin between mild and to Brunt et al. (22) Severity of fibrosis: fibrosis: 140 (27-520) F3-4 severe fibrosis: p = 0.0101Fibrosis was also graded as mild F0: 8 (10) fibrosis: 67 (13-250) (F0-2) or severe (F3-4) F1: 29 (36) F2: 21 (26)

F3: 6 (7) F4: 17 (21)

author,

Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and *p*-value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p-value were reported in correlation analysis Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p-value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p-value were reported in correlation analysis Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%) NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool NAFLD progression approach/tool Serum ferritin level, mean \pm SD (ng/ml) Mean age \pm SD (yrs) Sample size (M + F) Study (first year) Study design Participants Country

Angulo, 1999 (47)	Cross-sectional US study	Adult NASH patients	144 (47 + 97)	50.5 (range 11–77) Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Degree of fibrosis: 0 = none, normal connective tissue 1 = mild, foci of pericellular fibrosis in zone 3 2 = moderate, perivenular or pericellular fibrosis confined to zone 3 and 2 regions, with or without portal/periportal fibrosis 3 = severe, bridging or septal fibrosis 4 = cirrhosis The level of fatty infiltration: 1 = mild (10%–30% of hepatocytes affected) 2 = moderate (30%–70% of hepatocytes affected) 3 = severe	Degree of fibrosis: (): 37 (26) 1: 53 (37) 2: 15 (10) 3: 14 (10) 4: 25 (17) Degree of steatosis: 1: 40 (28) 2: 83 (58) 3: 21 (15)	221 (6-1,639) Elevated serum ferritin (>200): 77 (53%) patients Cut-off: 200ng/ml Degree of fibrosis: 0: 229 (24-1,520) 1-2: 242 (6-1,639) 3-4: 194 (11-1,000) Degree of fat infiltration: 0: 197 (24-1,040) 1-2: 246 (11-1,639) 3-4: 149 (6-1,000)	Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests: Ferritin and degree of fibrosis: p = 0.4 Ferritin and degree of fat infiltration: p = 0.1 χ^2 test: Elevated ferritin and degree of fibrosis: p = 0.5 Elevated ferritin and degree of fat infiltration: p = 0.7	N/A	N/A
Koruk, 2003 (48)	Case-control Turkey study	Group 1: healthy adults (control group) Group 2: adult NASH patients	34 (24 + 10) Group 1: 16 (11 + 5) Group 2: 18 (13 + 5)	Group 1: 40 \pm 10.3 Liver biopsy Group 2: 44 \pm 7.1	Liver biopsy Inflammation, fibrosis and steatosis were graded according to Brunt et al. (22)	Steatosis: 1: 6 (33.3) 2: 10 (55.5) 3: 2 (11.1) Inflammation: Minimal: 7 (38.8) Mild: 8 (44.4) Moderate: 3 (16.6) Severe: 0 Fibrosis: 0: 8 (44.4) 1: 7 (38.8) 2: 3 (16.6) 3: 0 4: 0	Group 1: 81.87 ± 54.70 Group 2: 173.11 ± 91.04	There was no relationship between the serum concentrations of ferritin and the degree of hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and liver fibrosis in patients with NASH	N/A	N/A

Confounders adjusted for

17

Study (first author, year)	Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size $(M + F)$	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean±SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and <i>p</i> -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
Qu, 2021 (49)	Cross-sectional study	China	Adult NAFLD patients	167 (126 + 41)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{S0: } 38.45 \pm 9.34 \text{ S1:} \\ 41.97 \pm 12.55 \text{ S2:} \\ 43.55 \pm 12.45 \text{ S3:} \\ 38.09 \pm 11.22 \end{array}$: Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Inflammation, fibrosis and steatosis were graded according to Brunt et al. (22)	Steatosis: S0: 58 S1: 53 S2: 29 S3: 27	S0 patients: 206.20 ± 169.83 S1 patients: 286.65 ± 150.80 S2 patients: 326.55 ± 214.71 S3 patients: 391.50 ± 184.93	ANOVA test: Ferritin and steatosis stage: $p = 0.006$ Ferritin and inflammation stage: p = 0.470 Ferritin and fibrosis stage: $p = 0.238$	N/A	N/A
Trasolini, 2022 (50)	Retrospective cohort study	Canada	Adult NAFLD patients	224 (112 + 112)	52 (range 43–60)	Transient elastography	Transient elastography Low likelihood of fibrosis (>F1): <8.0 kPa High likelihood of significant fibrosis (F3-F4): >8.7 kPa	No fibrosis (<8.0 kPa): 185 Fibrosis (≥8.0 kPa): 39 Significant fibrosis (≥8.7 kPa): 32	145 (range 62–311) No fibrosis: 135 (range 60–304) Fibrosis: 161 (range 82–365)	Kruskal-Wallis test: Ferritin (cut-off of 300 ng/ml) and fibrosis stages: $p = 0.099$ Ferritin (cut-off of 450 ng/ml) and fibrosis stages: $p = 0.12$	N/A	N/A
Wang, 2022 (51)	Cross-sectional study	l China	Adult NAFLD patients	136 (90 + 46)	41.00 (range 33,00–57.75)	Liver biopsy	Liver biopsy Steatosis, ballooning, and inflammation were graded according to the Steatosis-Activity-Fibrosis scoring system, (52) Steatosis: S0: less than 5% S1: 5–33% S2: 34–66% S3: more than 67% Hepatocellular ballooning: 0 point: normal cuboidal hepatocytes with pink eosinophilic cytoplasm 1 point: the presence of clusters of nounded hepatocytes with pale cytophasm usually reticulated and quite similar size to that of normal hepatocyte although the shape is different 2 points: the presence of at least one enlarged hepatocyte with the size of 2-fold or more than that of normal cells based on features of 1 point Lobular inflammation:	Steatosis S1: 39 (28.7) S2: 55 (40.4) S3: 42 (30.9) Steatosis 2-3: 97 (71.3) Steatosis 3: 42 (30.9) Inflammation activity 1 point: 2 (1.5) 2 points: 24 (17.6) 3 points: 40 (29.4) 4 points: 70 (51.5) Inflammation activity 4: 70 (51.5) Fibrosis 2 Fi: 18 (13.2) F2: 71 (52.2) F4: 15 (11.0) Fibrosis 2-4: 118 (86.8) Fibrosis 4: 15 (11.0)	Normal ferritin: 79 (58.1%) patients Elevated ferritin: 57 (41.9%) patients Cut-offs: 336.2ng/ml in males and 306.8 ng/ml in females	χ^2 test: Ferritin between Steatosis 2–3 and Steatosis 1– $p = 0.040$ Ferritin between Steatosis 3 and Steatosis 1– 2 , $p = 0.599$ Ferritin between inflammation activity 3–4 and inflammation activity 1– 2 ; $p = 0.085$ Ferritin between inflammation activity 1– 3 ; $p = 0.021$ Ferritin between Fibrosis 2–4 and Fibrosis 1– 2 ; $p = 0.016$ Ferritin between Fibrosis 3–4 and Fibrosis 1– 2 ; $p = 0.016$ Ferritin between Fibrosis 4 and Fibrosis 1– 3 ; $p = 0.692$	N/A	N/A

Study (first author, year) Study design	Country	Participants	Sample size (M + F)	Mean age \pm SD (yrs)	NAFLD diagnosis approach/tool	NAFLD progression approach/tool	Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%)	Serum ferritin level, mean±SD (ng/ml)	Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and p -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p -value were reported in correlation analysis	Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in correlation analysis	Confounders adjusted for
Yang, 2022 (53) Cross-se study	tional US	Adult NAFLD patients	1,604 (856 + 748)) 52.73±16.26	Vibration controlled and transient elastography	0 point: 0 inflammatory cell foci per 20x 1 point: ≤2 inflammatory cells foci per 20x 2 points: >2 inflammatory cells foci per 20x Inflammation activity score: the sum of lobula inflammation and hepatocellular ballooning. The severity of fibrosis was graded according to Kleiner et al. (20) Vibration controlled and transient elastography Steatosis: Severe steatosis (S3): CAP ≥ 302 dB/m Fibrosis: Significant fibrosis (≥F2): LSM ≥ 8 kPa Advanced fibrosis (≥F3): LSM ≥ 9.7 kPa Cirrhosis (F4): LSM ≥ 13.6 kPa	r CAP: 322.20 ± 36.09 dB/m LSM: 6.37 ± 4.84 kPa	166.41 ± 161.36	Univariate linear regression analysis: Dependent variable: serum ferritin levels Severe steatosis (S3) CAP < 302: 8.efreence CAP \geq 302: 9.1 (-14.1 to 32.2), p = 0.443 Significant fibrosis (\geq F2) LSM < 8.0: 95.4 (59.4 -131.4), $p < 0.001$ Advanced fibrosis (\geq F3) LSM < 9.7: 74.2 (44.8 -103.7), $p < 0.001$ Cirrhosis (F4) LSM < 13.6: Reference LSM \geq 13.6: 147.9 (93.2 -202.6), p < 0.001	Multivariate linear regression analysis: Dependent variable: serum ferritin levels Model 1: Severe steatosis (S3) CAP < 302: Reference; CAP \ge 302: -1.1 (-23.6 to 21.5), $p = 0.925$ Significant fibrosis (\ge F2) LSM < 8.0: Reference; LSM \ge 8.0: 8.43 (49.3-119.4), $p < 0.001$ Advanced fibrosis (\ge F3) LSM < 9.7: Reference; LSM \ge 9.7: 65.5 (36.8–94.1), $p < 0.001$ Cirrhosis (F4) LSM \ge 13.6: Reference; LSM \ge 13.6: 141.6 (88.5–194.6), $p < 0.001$ Model 2: Severe steatosis (S3) CAP < 302: Reference; CAP \ge 302: -7.3 (-29.0 to 14.4), $p = 0.508$ Significant fibrosis (\ge F2) LSM < 8.0: Reference; LSM \ge 8.6: 59 (-29.5 to 41.2), p	Model 1: age, gender, and race; Model 2: age, gender, race, BMI, diabetes waist circumference HDL-cholesterol, glycohemoglobin, AST, ALT, GGT, serum albumin, serum creatinine, and uric acid.

Proven associations by univariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and *p*-value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and p-value were reported in correlation analysis Proven associations by multivariate analysis, OR (95% CI) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in logistic regression analysis and correlation coefficient (r) and $_{p}$ -value were reported in correlation analysis Number of NAFLD patients with different gradings, n (%) author, NAFLD progression approach/tool Serum ferritin level, mean \pm SD (ng/ml) Confounders adjusted for diagnosis Mean age \pm SD (yrs) Sample size (M + F) (first approach/tool Study design Participants Country NAFLD Study year) LSM < 9.7: Reference; LSM ≥ 9.7: −0.7 (-29.8 to 28.4), p = 0.960 Cirrhosis (F4) LSM < 13.6: Reference; LSM \geq 13.6: 38.9 (-15.2 to 93.0), p = 0.159Adult NAFLD Group 1 (incident HCC Yu, 2022 (54) Retrospective US 18,569 (6,990 + At baseline Not reported Not reported Median (range:5%-95%) Wilcoxon rank sum test: Cox proportional hazard regression: Age, race, BMI, 11,579) Group 1: 66.1 during follow-up): 244 Group 1: 83 (8-981) Independent variable: history of type 2 Ferritin between Group 1 and cohort study patients ± 10.8 Group 2 (free of HCC Group 2: 100 (9-700) Cut-offs: Group 2: p = 0.445 serum ferritin level Dependent variable: diabetes, cigarette Group 2: 59.9 during follow-up): 18,325 200 ng/ml in females and incident HCC smoking status 300 ng/ml in males ± 12.0 Normal ferritin: Reference Low ferritin (<30 ng/ml in males or <10 ng/ml in females): 1.38 (0.91, 2.09), p = 0.127 High ferritin: (>200 ng/ml in females and >300 ng/ml in males): 1.03 (0.75, 1.42), p = 0.868 p for trend: 0.368

SD, standard deviation; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; ULN, upper limit of normal; BMI, body mass index; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; NAFL, non-alcoholic fatty liver; NAS, NAFLD activity score; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance index; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; DM, diabetes mellitus; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HR, hazards ratio; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter; LSM, liver stiffness measurement; GGT, gamma glutamyl transpeptidase; HDL, high density lipoprotein; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

Study (first author, year)	Ferritin cut-off value (ng/ml)	Diagnostic aim	Sensitivity (%), Specificity (%)	AUROC (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
Angulo, 2014 (19)	>ULN (200 ng/ml in females and	Fibrosis stage 1–4 vs. stage 0	37, 76	0.57 (0.53-0.60)	Not reported
	300 ng/ml in males)	Fibrosis stage 2–4 vs. stage 0–1	39, 72	0.55 (0.52-0.59)	Not reported
		Fibrosis stage 3–4 vs. stage 0–2	41, 70	0.55 (0.51-0.59)	Not reported
	>1.5 ULN (300 ng/ml in females	Fibrosis stage 1–4 vs. stage 0	22, 89	0.55 (0.52-0.59)	Not reported
	and 450 ng/ml in males)	Fibrosis stage 2–4 vs. stage 0–1	25, 86	0.55 (0.52-0.59)	Not reported
		Fibrosis stage 3–4 vs. stage 0–2	27, 84	0.56 (0.52-0.60)	Not reported
	>2.0 ULN (400 ng/ml in females	Fibrosis stage 1–4 vs. stage 0	13, 95	0.54 (0.50-0.58)	Not reported
	and 600 ng/ml in males)	Fibrosis stage 2–4 vs. stage 0–1	14,93	0.53 (0.50-0.57)	Not reported
		Fibrosis stage 3–4 vs. stage 0–2	16, 92	0.54 (0.50-0.58)	Not reported
	>ULN (200 ng/ml in females and	The occurrence of NASH	Not reported	0.58 (0.54-0.61)	Not reported
	300 ng/ml in males)				
El Nakeeb, 2017	≥51.95 ng/ml	The occurrence of fibrosis	65.4, 40	Not reported	Not reported
(26)					
Hanafy, 2019 (30)	>321 ng/ml	Fibrosis stage 3–4 vs. stage 0–2	95.8, 90	0.809 (0.77-0.85)	0.001
Manousou, 2011 (35)	>240 ng/ml	The occurrence of NASH	91, 70	0.82 (0.73-0.90)	Not reported
Parikh, 2015 (38)	\geq 48 ng/ml	Fibrosis stage 3–4 vs. stage 0–2	Not reported	0.779 (95% CI not reported)	Not reported
Seyedian, 2017 (40)	>255 ng/ml (in males)	Advanced liver stiffness vs. mild liver stiffness	90, specificity not reported	0.59 (0.489–0.697)	Non-significan
	>135 ng/ml (in females)		Not reported	0.79 (0.663-0.917)	Significant
	<72.5 ng/ml (in males)	Excluding advanced liver stiffness	90, specificity not reported	Not reported	Not reported
	<65.5 ng/ml (in females)		93, specificity not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Yoneda, 2010 (44)	196 ng/ml	The occurrence of NASH	64.2, 76.5	0.732 (0.596-0.856)	0.005

TABLE 2 Summary of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis of included studies.

AUROC, area under the ROC curve; CI, confidence interval; ULN, upper limit of normal; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NAFL, non-alcoholic fatty liver.

was 200 ng/ml in females and 300 ng/ml in males), higher than 1.5 ULN and higher than 2 ULN were predictors of presence of fibrosis (F1–F4), severe fibrosis (F2–F4) and advanced fibrosis (F3/F4), respectively, through multiple logistic regressions (19). Similarly, a study from the US reported significant results in univariate analysis, and identified both 1.5 ULN and 2.5 ULN ferritin levels to be predictors of advanced fibrosis (33). A study from two European countries reported that when comparing with the ferritin level of patients with F0–F1 fibrosis, that of F2 patients were non-significantly different; however, multiple analysis showed non-significant results (21).

Different adjusting confounders would influence the associations. One study from the US reported that ferritin could distinguish significant fibrosis (F2–F4), advanced fibrosis (F3–F4) and cirrhosis (F4) from less severe fibrosis; the associations remained significant when age, gender and race were adjusted, yet became nonsignificant when more variables were included, e.g., BMI, medical history of diabetes, waist circumference, laboratory analysis results including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), etc. (53).

Different associations of ferritin and hepatic fibrosis stages

Ten studies simply reported patients with more advanced fibrosis were more likely to have a higher serum ferritin level (23, 29, 32, 34, 35, 38, 40, 43, 45, 46), tested by univariate analysis. Four of the 10 studies further included ferritin into multivariate analysis models, and three studies had results that remained the same (35, 43, 45) yet one study showed nonsignificant association (36). One study only had results from multivariate analysis and reported the significant association that a higher ferritin level predicts the presence of significant fibrosis (30). Another seven studies showed non-significant results in univariate analysis (28, 37, 47-51). Further, one study found non-significant association between ferritin and the occurrence of fibrosis in NAFLD patients (26). Additionally, one study reported inconsistent results from two different groups of NAFLD patients (39), and one study reported that ferritin was higher in patients with cirrhosis when comparing with patients with simple steatosis and steatosis plus inflammation or fibrosis (34).

Interestingly, when ferritin cut-offs were set as 160 ng/ml and 380 ng/ml, the differences of ferritin levels among

patients with different fibrosis were non-significant for both two cut-offs in univariate analysis, but the association of ferritin level and fibrosis stages became significant when ferritin cut-off was 380 ng/ml in multiple logistic regression (27).

Ferritin and hepatic fibrosis progression in longitudinal study

A Japanese study using longitudinal data followed a group of NAFLD patients with F3 fibrosis at baseline, and categorized them into deterioration group (F4), no-change group (F3) and improvement group (F1/F2) according to their fibrosis stage at follow-up after 1–10 year(s) (31). This study showed that changes of ferritin levels in these patients were significantly different among the three groups, with significant differences in both between no-change group and deterioration group and between no-change group and improvement group (31).

Accuracy of ferritin for predicting hepatic fibrosis

Three studies further explored the accuracy of ferritin for predicting fibrosis stages (19, 30, 40). One study suggested it had poor accuracy among males yet had high accuracy among females (40), one study reported poor accuracy generally (19), and one study demonstrated it was a good predictor (30).

Serum ferritin level and hepatic inflammation stages

Eleven studies explored the association serum ferritin level and inflammation stages among NAFLD patients (28, 29, 32-36, 39, 45, 48, 49). Ten of the 11 studies conducted univariate analysis: four studies demonstrated that NAFLD patients with a higher ferritin level were more likely to have more advanced hepatic inflammation (29, 33, 34, 36); five studies showed nonsignificant results (28, 32, 45, 48, 49); and one study reported inconsistent associations from two different groups of patients (39). Only three studies explored the association of ferritin and inflammation progression via multivariate analysis: one study identified ferritin as a predictor for more advanced portal and lobular inflammation status, with a significant cutoff value of 240 ng/ml (35); another study found non-significant associations of ferritin between patients with mild (Grade 0 and 1) and moderate (Grade 2 and 3) inflammation (36); the other study found significant association of ferritin (log 10 ng/ml) and inflammation stages by multiple linear regression analysis (39).

Serum ferritin level and hepatic ballooning stages

The association of serum ferritin level and hepatic ballooning were investigated in five studies (28, 29, 32, 33, 39), all tested by univariate analysis. Three of them suggested that NALFD patients with higher ferritin were more likely to have a more advanced ballooning stage (28, 29, 33), and the other two reported non-significant results (32, 39).

Another one study from China combined inflammation and ballooning score as the inflammation activity score (1–4, the higher the more severe), and found that ferritin levels were different between patients with 4 points and 1–3 points, but not 3-4 points and 1–2 points (51).

Serum ferritin level and integrated NAFLD progression including incident HCC and mortality

Three studies reported that serum ferritin level was positively correlated with NAFLD activity score (NAS) (28, 29, 32).

One study from the US explored the role of ferritin in predicting future incident hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), with an average follow-up of 4.34 years. The authors reported non-significant associations both in univariate analysis and multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis (54).

There is one study investigating the association of serum ferritin level and mortality (29). It suggested that following 15 years after liver biopsy, patients with elevated ferritin (>350 ng/ml in males and >150 ng/ml in females) showed a significant and gradually steeper increase in mortality compared with those with normal ferritin levels at biopsy; following 30 years after biopsy, the hazard ratio increased 9% faster per year in patients with elevated ferritin, and the significance remained when potential confounders were adjusted.

Discussion

This systematic literature review identified 32 studies reporting the association between serum ferritin level or different ferritin categories and various stages of NAFLD, including the occurrence of NASH, hepatic steatosis stages, fibrosis stages, inflammation stages, ballooning stages, incident HCC and mortality. Most studies suggested that serum ferritin was a predictor for more advanced NAFLD and could relate to higher mortality. However, non-significant association was also reported by a few included studies. The accuracy of ferritin as a predictor for NAFLD progression was also reported inconsistently.

This study not only synthesized current evidence on the association of ferritin and NAFLD progressions, but also identified certain research gaps in this field. First, more than half of the included studies only employed univariate statistical analysis. Under these circumstances, the reliability of the association was not high due to the potential influences exerted by confounders such as age, sex, ATL levels, etc. Future studies should apply a rigorous study design. Second, although many studies employed a cohort design, only three of them used longitudinal data for analysis (29, 31, 54). Two of them investigated the association of ferritin and future incident HCC (54) and mortality (29), respectively; the other revealed the association of ferritin and changes of fibrosis stages (31). This calls for more studies to explore the predictive value of ferritin for NAFLD prognosis. Third, when categorizing the included studies according to the WHO regions, we found that none of the studies were from the African Region, indicating a research gap among African populations. Fourth, heterogeneity was high among the included studies and it prevented further data synthesis via meta-analysis. The included studies used different grading standards and various statistical analysis approaches. Future studies could apply consistent study design for better homogeneity to assist data synthesis on this topic. Fifth, many studies did not evaluate the diagnosis accuracy, specificity, or sensitivity of serum ferritin level, without which the predictive value of ferritin for evaluating various stages of NAFLD would not be clear. Sixth, many studies used the same ferritin cut-off values for all participants, thus failed to observe the potential sex differences in the associations of ferritin and NAFLD stages between the two populations, since in addition to the sex differences in NAFLD prevalence, there are also differences in ferritin cut-off values as a result of different iron status between females and males (55). Future studies are supposed to take sex differences into consideration.

Several previous reviews have narratively summarized existing evidence on this topic, which mostly elaborated the association of serum ferritin and the occurrence of NASH (11, 16, 56, 57) or fibrosis (16, 56, 57). One meta-analysis identified that ferritin was independently associated with NAFLD and NASH diagnosis (58). Our study included a broader body of evidence and added insights into the associations of ferritin and stages of steatosis, inflammation and ballooning, indicating the potential value of ferritin as a biomarker for clinical assessment of NAFLD progression and prognosis. The inconsistent associations reported by the included studies might result from inadequate sample sizes in some of the included studies. Gene variants or polymorphisms that are relevant to iron metabolism could influence serum ferritin levels in NAFLD patients, which might provide an explanation for the non-significant role of serum ferritin in predicting NAFLD progression in certain studies (21). In addition, previous studies recommended ferritin to be a component of non-invasive integrated scoring system for NAFLD assessment (59, 60), since the diagnosis accuracy improved under this circumstance (61).

Studies have explored the mechanisms of the elevated serum ferritin and how it is related to disease various disease stages in NAFLD patients. On the one hand, NAFLD disease progression process can upregulate serum ferritin: one mechanism is that the excessive ferritin is released by damaged hepatocytes and/or systematic inflammation; inflammation can also upregulate hepcidin levels and result in dysmetabolic iron overload syndrome (DIOS), consequently raising up serum ferritin level; the p.C282Y homozygote HFE mutation in NAFLD patients is related to elevated transferrin saturation, accompanied by abnormally higher ferritin (13); further, signals that mediate NASH pathogenesis (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1β) can elevate ferritin level (33). On the other hand, ferritin is involved in NASH pathogenesis by promoting apoptosis and inducing signaling cascades related to inflammation, oxidative stress, lipid transport, and fibrogenesis (33).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that has comprehensively synthesized and reported the existing evidence on this topic, including articles published in three languages and from various countries, and shedding light on the diagnostic and predictive value of ferritin for NAFLD assessment. However, some limitations should be noted. First, meta-analysis was not conducted due to the heterogeneity of NAFLD grading standards and statistical analysis methods among the included studies. Moreover, the absence of necessary data in some included studies for conducting meta-analysis prevented us from further analysis. Second, five studies were not incorporated in this study since we did not have access to their full texts. This might result in a certain level of bias.

In conclusion, serum ferritin level could be considered to act as a non-invasive biomarker for NAFLD progression assessment owing to its associations with the occurrence of NASH, the stages of steatosis, inflammation, ballooning, fibrosis, general NAFLD progression and mortality. Nevertheless, further studies are still in need to confirm its predictive value since this study reported inconsistent associations based on the qualitative synthesis.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

CY conceptualized the study, supervised the project, and was acting as the submission's guarantor. HW and RS searched the literature, extracted, and coded the data, completed the visualization, and interpreted the results. HW prepared the original draft with important contributions from RS. SY, XM, and CY commented on drafts, and provided edits and feedback. All authors had full access to all the data and have approved the final version of the paper.

Funding

The work was supported by grants from the National Scientific and Technological Major Project of China (No. 2017ZX10105001).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fmed.2022.934989/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Clark JM, Brancati FL, Diehl AM. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology. (2002) 122:1649-57. doi: 10.1053/gast.2002.33573

2. Loomba R, Sanyal AJ. The global NAFLD epidemic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2013) 10:686–90. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.171

3. Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatol Baltim Md.* (2016) 64:73–84. doi: 10.1002/hep.28431

4. Zhu J-Z, Dai Y-N, Wang Y-M, Zhou Q-Y, Yu C-H, Li Y-M. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and economy. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2015) 60:3194–202. doi: 10.1007/s10620-015-3728-3

5. Lonardo A, Nascimbeni F, Ballestri S, Fairweather D, Win S, Than TA, et al. Sex differences in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: state of the art and identification of research gaps. *Hepatol Baltim Md.* (2019) 70:1457–69. doi: 10.1002/hep.30626

6. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Diehl AM, Brunt EM, Cusi K, Charlton M, Sanyal AJ. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatol Baltim Md.* (2012) 55:2005–23. doi: 10.1002/hep.25762

7. Adams LA, Lymp JF, St Sauver J, Sanderson SO, Lindor KD, Feldstein A, et al. The natural history of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a population-based cohort study. *Gastroenterology*. (2005) 129:113–21. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.04.014

8. Matteoni CA, Younossi ZM, Gramlich T, Boparai N, Liu YC, McCullough AJ. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a spectrum of clinical and pathological severity. *Gastroenterology*. (1999) 116:1413–9. doi: 10.1016/S0016-5085(99)70506-8

9. Zhu J-Z, Hollis-Hansen K, Wan X-Y, Fei S-J, Pang X-L, Meng F-D, et al. Clinical guidelines of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2016) 22:8226–33. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i36.8226

10. Grant A, Neuberger J. Guidelines on the use of liver biopsy in clinical practice. *Gut.* (1999) 45:IV1–11. doi: 10.1136/gut.45.2008.iv1

11. Wong VW-S, Adams LA, de Lédinghen V, Wong GL-H, Sookoian S. Noninvasive biomarkers in NAFLD and NASH - current progress and future promise. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2018) 15:461–78. doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0014-9

12. Kernan KF, Carcillo JA. Hyperferritinemia and inflammation. *Int Immunol.* (2017) 29:401–9. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxx031

13. Moris W, Verhaegh P, Jonkers D, Deursen CV, Koek G. Hyperferritinemia in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: iron accumulation or inflammation? *Semin Liver Dis.* (2019) 39:476–82. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1693114

14. Hu K-C, Wang H-Y, Liu S-C, Liu C-C, Hung C-L, Bair M-J, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: updates in noninvasive diagnosis and correlation with cardiovascular disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2014) 20:7718–29. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i24.7718

15. Etminani R, Manaf ZA, Shahar S, Azadbakht L, Adibi P. Predictors of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among middle-aged Iranians. *Int J Prev Med.* (2020) 11:113. doi: 10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_274_19

16. Pearce SG, Thosani NC, Pan J-J. Noninvasive biomarkers for the diagnosis of steatohepatitis and advanced fibrosis in NAFLD. *Biomark Res.* (2013) 1:7. doi: 10.1186/2050-7771-1-7

17. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* (2009) 6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

18. Mischak H, Allmaier G, Apweiler R, Attwood T, Baumann M, Benigni A, et al. Recommendations for biomarker identification and qualification in clinical proteomics. *Sci Transl Med.* (2010) 2:46ps42. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3001249

19. Angulo P, George J, Day CP, Vanni E, Russell L, De la Cruz AC, et al. Serum ferritin levels lack diagnostic accuracy for liver fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2014) 12:1163–9.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2013.11.035

20. Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatol Baltim Md*. (2005) 41:1313–21. doi: 10.1002/hep.20701

21. Buzzetti E, Petta S, Manuguerra R, Luong TV, Cabibi D, Corradini E, et al. Evaluating the association of serum ferritin and hepatic iron with disease severity in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int.* (2019) 39:1325–34. doi:10.1111/liv.14096

22. Brunt EM, Kleiner DE, Wilson LA, Belt P, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, NASH Clinical Research Network (CRN). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) activity score and the histopathologic diagnosis in NAFLD: distinct clinicopathologic meanings. *Hepatol Baltim Md.* (2011) 53:810–20. doi: 10.1002/hep.24127

23. Canbakan B, Senturk H, Tahan V, Hatemi I, Balci H, Toptas T, et al. Clinical, biochemical and histological correlations in a group of non-drinker subjects with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Acta Gastro-Enterol Belg.* (2007) 70:277–84.

24. Chandok N, Minuk G, Wengiel M, Uhanova J. Serum ferritin levels do not predict the stage of underlying non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis.* (2012) 21:53–8.

25. Chaturvedi M, Pal K, Verma R, Paramjeet. Prevalance of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in hypothyroid patients and its correlation with serum ferritin levels. *J Indian Acad Clin Med.* (2020) 21:43–5.

26. El Nakeeb N, Saleh SA, Massoud YM, Hussein A, Hamed R. Serum ferritin as a non-invasive marker in the prediction of hepatic fibrosis among Egyptian patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *JGH Open*. (2017) 1:112–9. doi: 10.1002/jgh3.12019

27. Fracanzani AL, Valenti L, Bugianesi E, Vanni E, Grieco A, Miele L, et al. Risk of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic

fatty liver disease and low visceral adiposity. J Hepatol. (2011) 54:1244-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2010.09.037

28. Goh GB, Issa D, Lopez R, Dasarathy S, Dasarathy J, Sargent R, et al. The development of a non-invasive model to predict the presence of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol Aust.* (2016) 31:995–1000. doi: 10.1111/jgh.13235

29. Hagström H, Nasr P, Bottai M, Ekstedt M, Kechagias S, Hultcrantz R, et al. Elevated serum ferritin is associated with increased mortality in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease after 16 years of follow-up. *Liver Int.* (2016) 36:1688–95. doi: 10.1111/liv.13144

30. Hanafy AS, Seleem WM. El-kalla F, AbdAlkhalik Basha M, Abd-Elsalam S. Efficacy of a non-invasive model in predicting the cardiovascular morbidity and histological severity in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev.* (2019) 13:2272–8. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2019.05.032

31. Kawanaka M, Oka T, Urata N, Kimura T, Nakamura J, Goto D, et al. Clinical characteristics of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) patients who progressed from F3 stage fibrosis to cirrhotic NASH. *J Jpn Soc Gastroenterol.* (2012) 109:2042–8.

32. Kim YS, Jung ES, Hur W, Bae SH, Choi JY, Song MJ, et al. Noninvasive predictors of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in Korean patients with histologically proven nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Mol Hepatol.* (2013) 19:120–30. doi: 10.3350/cmh.2013.19.2.120

33. Kowdley KV, Belt P, Wilson LA, Yeh MM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Chalasani N, et al. Serum ferritin is an independent predictor of histologic severity and advanced fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* (2012) 55:77–85. doi: 10.1002/hep.24706

34. Loguercio C, De Simone T, D'Auria MV, de Sio I, Federico A, Tuccillo C, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a multicentre clinical study by the Italian Association for the Study of the Liver. *Dig Liver Dis.* (2004) 36:398–405. doi: 10.1016/S1590-8658(04)00094-5

35. Manousou P, Kalambokis G, Grillo F, Watkins J, Xirouchakis E, Pleguezuelo M, et al. Serum ferritin is a discriminant marker for both fibrosis and inflammation in histologically proven non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients. *Liver Int.* (2011) 31:730–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2011.02488.x

36. Moon JH, Park SH, Oh KC, Jung JO, Shin WG, Kim JP, et al. Association of hepatic iron deposition and serum iron indices with hepatic inflammation and fibrosis stage in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Korean J Gastroenterol Taehan Sohwagi Hakhoe Chi.* (2006) 47:432–9.

37. Mousavi SRM, Geramizadeh B, Anushiravani A, Ejtehadi F, Anbardar MH, Moini M. Correlation between serum ferritin level and histopathological disease severity in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Middle East J Dig Dis.* (2018) 10:90–5. doi: 10.15171/mejdd.2018.96

38. Parikh P, Patel J, Ingle M, Sawant P. Serum ferritin levels predict histological severity in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in India. *Indian J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 34:200–8. doi: 10.1007/s12664-015-0572-5

39. Ryan JD, Armitage AE, Cobbold JF, Banerjee R, Borsani O, Dongiovanni P, et al. Hepatic iron is the major determinant of serum ferritin in NAFLD patients. *Liver Int.* (2018) 38:164–73. doi: 10.1111/liv.13513

40. Seyedian SS, Hajiani E, Hashemi SJ, Masjedizadeh A, Shayesteh AA, Alavinejad P, et al. Relationship between serum ferritin level and transient elastography findings among patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Fam Med Prim Care.* (2017) 6:750–4. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_158_17

41. Uysal S, Armutcu F, Aydogan T, Akin K, Ikizek M, Yigitoglu MR. Some inflammatory cytokine levels, iron metabolism and oxidant stress markers in subjects with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Clin Biochem.* (2011) 44:1375–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2011.09.017

42. Saadeh S, Younossi ZM, Remer EM, Gramlich T, Ong JP, Hurley M, et al. The utility of radiological imaging in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology.* (2002) 123:745–50. doi: 10.1053/gast.2002.35354

43. Yao J, Dai Y, Zhang J, Zhang X, Zheng R. Association between serum ferritin level and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in a non-obese Chinese population: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Lab.* (2019) 65:1075–80. doi: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2019.181250

44. Yoneda M, Nozaki Y, Endo H, Mawatari H, Iida H, Fujita K, et al. Serum ferritin is a clinical biomarker in Japanese patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) independent of HFE gene mutation. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2010) 55:808–14. doi: 10.1007/s10620-009-0771-y

45. Bugianesi E, Manzini P, D'Antico S, Vanni E, Longo F, Leone N, et al. Relative contribution of iron burden, HFE mutations, and insulin resistance to fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver. *Hepatol Baltim Md.* (2004) 39:179–87. doi: 10.1002/hep.20023

46. Shimada M, Hashimoto E, Kaneda H, Noguchi S, Hayashi N. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: risk factors for liver fibrosis. *Hepatol Res.* (2002) 24:429–38. doi: 10.1016/S1386-6346(02)00246-2

47. Angulo P, Keach JC, Batts KP, Lindor KD. Independent predictors of liver fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatol Baltim Md.* (1999) 30:1356–62. doi: 10.1002/hep.510300604

48. Koruk M, Tayşi S, Savaş MC, Yilmaz O, Akçay F, Karakök M. Serum levels of acute phase proteins in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Turk J Gastroenterol.* (2003) 14:12–7.

49. Qu HJ, Wang L, Zhuang ZJ, Yang WJ, Ding JP, Shi JP. [Studying the correlation between ferritin and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease]. *Zhonghua Gan Zang Bing Za Zhi*. (2021) 29:1089–94.

50. Trasolini R, Cox B, Galts C, Yoshida EM, Marquez V. Elevated serum ferritin in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is not predictive of fibrosis. *Can Liver J.* (2022) 5:152–9. doi: 10.3138/canlivj-2021-0002

51. Wang Q, Zhu M, Li H, Chen P, Wang M, Gu L, et al. Hyperferritinemia correlates to metabolic dysregulation and steatosis in Chinese biopsy-proven nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes Targets Ther.* (2022) 15:1543–52. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S361187

52. Bedossa P, Poitou C, Veyrie N, Bouillot J-L, Basdevant A, Paradis V, et al. Histopathological algorithm and scoring system for evaluation of liver lesions in morbidly obese patients. *Hepatology*. (2012) 56:1751–9. doi: 10.1002/hep. 25889

53. Yang N, Lu Y, Cao L, Lu M. The association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and serum ferritin levels in American adults. *J Clin Lab Anal.* (2022) 36:e24225. doi: 10.1002/jcla.24225

54. Yu Y-C, Luu HN, Wang R, Thomas CE, Glynn NW, Youk AO, et al. Serum biomarkers of iron status and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma development in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev.* (2022) 31:230–5. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-21-0754

55. Rushton DH, Barth JH. What is the evidence for gender differences in ferritin and haemoglobin? *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* (2010) 73:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2009.03.010

56. Castera L, Friedrich-Rust M, Loomba R. Noninvasive assessment of liver disease in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology*. (2019) 156:1264–81.e4. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.12.036

57. Barros RK, Cotrim HP, Daltro CH, Oliveira YA. Hyperferritinemia in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Rev Assoc Medica Bras.* (2017) 63:284–9. doi: 10.1590/1806-9282.63.03.284

58. Du S-X, Lu L-L, Geng N, Victor DW, Chen L-Z, Wang C, et al. Association of serum ferritin with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2017) 16:228. doi: 10.1186/s12944-017-0613-4

59. Sumida Y, Yoneda M, Hyogo H, Yamaguchi K, Ono M, Fujii H, et al. A simple clinical scoring system using ferritin, fasting insulin, and type IV collagen 7S for predicting steatohepatitis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Gastroenterol.* (2011) 46:257–68. doi: 10.1007/s00535-010-0305-6

60. Lurie Y, Webb M, Cytter-Kuint R, Shteingart S, Lederkremer GZ. Noninvasive diagnosis of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 21:11567–83. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i41.11567

61. Roskams T, Yang SQ, Koteish A, Durnez A, DeVos R, Huang X, et al. Oxidative stress and oval cell accumulation in mice and humans with alcoholic and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Am J Pathol.* (2003) 163:1301-11. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63489-X