

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# Correspondence

## A call for more evidence documenting humanto-dog transmission of monkeypox virus

Sophie Seang and colleagues' description of human-to-dog transmission of monkeypox virus<sup>1</sup> raised concerns about the role of pets in monkeypox virus transmission, reinforcing recommendations from public health authorities that infected people should avoid contact with animals, isolate exposed pets, and consider removing exposed pets from the homes of immunocompromised people.<sup>2</sup> For example, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention now notes that human-to-dog transmission of monkeypox virus has occurred and that signs in dogs include development of a rash, "which to-date have been located on the abdomen and anus".<sup>2</sup>

However, the authors of this Correspondence provided insufficient evidence that the adult dog was infected with monkeypox virus: monkeypox virus was detected using PCR in scrapings from the dog's skin lesions and from swabs from its anus and oral cavity, but whether specimens were pooled or tested separately is not stated, and cycle threshold values were not provided to quantify the DNA present. The idea that this was contaminating DNA therefore remains plausible. The lesion on the dog's abdomen resembled a papule seen with bacterial folliculitis, and the anal lesion was barely perceptible. Biopsy proof that these lesions were pox lesions was absent, and there was no serological support for infection. In experimental infections of rabbits, mice, rats, guinea pigs, and hamsters, generalised skin lesions were documented only in rabbits, and adults were more resistant to disease than neonates.<sup>3</sup>

Although precautions are warranted until we know more, given the staggering implications of human-topet transmission, additional studies are required before concluding that dogs are susceptible to monkeypox virus infection and disease.

JES is on the Board of Directors for LexaGene Holdings, a rapid molecular diagnostic company.

### Jane E Sykes

2

#### jesykes@ucdavis.edu

Department of Medicine and Epidemiology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

- Seang S, Burrel S, Todesco E, et al. Evidence of human-to-dog transmission of monkeypox virus. Lancet 2022; 400: 658–59.
  Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
  - Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Monkeypox: pets in the home. Aug 17, 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/ specific-settings/pets-in-homes.html (accessed Aug 23, 2022).
- Marennikova SS, Seluhina EM. Susceptibility of some rodent species to monkeypox virus, and course of the infection. *Bull World Health Organ* 1976; **53**: 13–20.

### Characterising adults in Scotland who are not vaccinated against COVID-19

By Aug 10, 2022, 3497208 of the estimated 4.4 million adults living in Scotland had received three doses of a COVID-19 vaccine. However, a proportion of the adult population remains unvaccinated (defined as no record of any vaccine being administered) and susceptible to severe COVID-19 outcomes. Characterising this population can help to understand gaps in vaccine coverage and determinants of vaccine hesitancy and could support targeted public health messaging. Unlike the vaccinated population, on whom information is gathered at the point of vaccination, current estimates of the unvaccinated population are calculated using general practitioner (GP) records. However, complications arise because GP records can include people who have moved away from Scotland; estimates suggest that GP records contain a population 8% greater than National Records of Scotland population estimates.<sup>1</sup>

We used data from linked national health records to estimate the number

and describe the characteristics of adults living in Scotland for whom there is no record of any COVID-19 vaccination. This analysis was conducted using the Early Pandemic Evaluation and Enhanced Surveillance of COVID-19 (EAVE II) platform<sup>2</sup> a national COVID-19 surveillance platform using anonymised individual patient-level records from all 940 general practices in Scotland, deterministically linked to multiple datasets recording morbidity, mortality, virology, vaccination, and prescribing (appendix p 1). Linkage was done with a unique identifier for each resident in Scotland who is registered with a GP.

The EAVE II cohort includes all individuals registered with a GP in Scotland as of March 1, 2020, including those who have subsequently left Scotland without informing their GP. To exclude people no longer living in Scotland, we defined unvaccinated individuals as those without COVID-19 vaccination records who had at least one interaction with the National Health Service (NHS) Scotland since Jan 1, 2019. We used the EAVE II cohort at a cutoff date of Dec 8, 2020 (the start of the UK's vaccination programme). In the appendix (p 2) we outline the process of identifying the unvaccinated population. We excluded individuals who died of any cause before the cutoff date and those recorded as having left Scotland. As individuals younger than 18 years were only invited for vaccination more recently (between August, 2021, and March, 2022, depending on age), our analysis was restricted to adults aged 18 years and older.

This identification process yielded 4712 810 individuals who were recorded as eligible for COVID-19 vaccination. Linkage of vaccine eligibility data with COVID-19 vaccination records identified 842 029 (17·9%) of the 4712 810 eligible individuals as having no record of vaccination. Among these 842 029 people, 86 489 (10·3%) had documented reasons for not receiving a vaccine, including immunisation con-



Published **Online** September 15, 2022 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(22)01780-9

See Online for appendix



Published Online September 7, 2022 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(22)01653-1

For Scotland's COVID-19 vaccine dashboard see https:// public.tableau.com/app/profile/ phs.covid.19/viz/COVID-19Daily Dashboard\_15960160643010/ Overview

Submissions should be made via our electronic submission system at http://ees.elsevier.com/ thelancet/ traindicated, immunisation consent not indicated, reason for non-vaccination, generally unwell, and vaccine refused by patient.<sup>3</sup> Immunisation contraindicated was recorded for nearly one fifth of all unvaccinated individuals for whom a reason was documented. Laboratory records identified 254049 individuals with no vaccination record who were tested at least once for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR since the start of the pandemic. Non-hospital-based prescription records identified 416 499 individuals with no vaccination record who had been prescribed medication of any description since Jan 1, 2019. 285647 unvaccinated individuals had interacted with the unscheduled care pathway (one or more of NHS 24, out-of-hours GP consultations, or the Scottish Ambulance Service), while 133569 people with no vaccination record had at least one hospital admission according to the Scottish Morbidity Records. In total, 268740 individuals with no evidence of vaccination were identified in any of the above data sources.

573 289 eligible individuals aged 18 years or older were identified as having no record of any COVID-19 vaccination in Scotland and at least one contact with NHS Scotland since Jan 1, 2019. We then excluded people who had died since the start of the vaccination programme, and those for whom immunisation contraindicated was recorded as the reason for non-vaccination. On Aug 10, 2022, our method identified 494 288 individuals with no record of any COVID-19 vaccination.

This unvaccinated cohort contained similar proportions of males and females, with similar age distribution across both sexes (appendix pp 3-4). The mean age was 42·4 years. Most unvaccinated people lived in urban settings, and 143 558 (29·0%) of 494 288 unvaccinated individuals compared with 719 251 (18·7%) of 3 847 789 vaccinated individuals resided in areas ranked by the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation as containing the most deprived 20% of the Scottish population.

On the basis of GP records, the majority (298 866, 60.5%) of 494288 unvaccinated individuals were not known to have any comorbidities, compared with 1988751 (51.7%) of 3847789 vaccinated individuals, whereas 55122 (11.2%) of 494288 unvaccinated individuals were recorded as having three or more comorbidities, compared with 481019 (12.5%) of 3847789 vaccinated individuals. The most frequently reported comorbidities among 494288 unvaccinated individuals were chronic respiratory disease (77 643, 15.7%), depression (63 375, 12.8%), and hypertension (52 474, 10.6%).

One in five (103 505, 20.9%) of 494 288 unvaccinated individuals were prescribed medications for conditions relating to the CNS, compared with 655 531 (17.0%) of 3847 789 vaccinated individuals, with more than a third of this unvaccinated group (40 179 [38.8%] of 103 505) prescribed antidepressants.

Multivariable logistic regression modelling was used to identify the factors most likely to predict COVID-19 vaccination. Male sex, high deprivation, living in large urban areas, being prescribed medication for CNS disorders, and having more than three comorbidities were most associated with unvaccinated status, although individuals with some comorbidities—such as hypertension, diabetes, and chronic respiratory disease—were more likely to be vaccinated.

Previous UK data have reported on inequalities of COVID-19 vaccination coverage, with considerably lower uptake among some groups.<sup>4</sup> Notably, although increasing age and presence of comorbidities are among the most widely recognised risk factors for COVID-19 mortality,<sup>5-7</sup> people with a substantial number of comorbidities remained at increased risk of being unvaccinated.

The limitations of our approach include a lack of ethnicity data, which are important because variations in vaccine uptake among different ethnic groups are known.8 Additionally, although our approach minimises false inflation of the number of unvaccinated people, some of these individuals will have had no recent interaction with the health-care system and so will remain undetected. Unvaccinated people might also be less likely to have health-seeking behaviour, reducing the chance of them being detected through this method.9 Some individuals might have been vaccinated outside of Scotland, which was not captured in our analysis. Identifying people vaccinated in other countries will improve future estimates of unvaccinated populations.

In summary, this national analysis revealed that, even after accounting for possible overinflation of population size, a considerable proportion of the adult population of Scotland remains unvaccinated against COVID-19. We also identified predictors of unvaccinated status, which can help with formulating a revised national vaccination strategy.

AS and CR are members of the Scottish Government Chief Medical Officer's COVID-19 Advisory Group. AS is a member of the NERVTAG Risk Stratification Subgroup and an unfunded member of AstraZeneca's COVID-19 strategic consultancy group, the Thrombocytopenia Taskforce. CR is a member of the Scientific Pandemic Influenza Group on Modelling and the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency COVID-19 Vaccine Benefit and Risk Working Group. JLKM is a member of the COVID Scottish National Incident Management Team. CM reports research funding from the Medical Research Council, Health Data Research UK, National Institute for Health Research, and the Scottish Chief Science Office. All other authors declare no competing interests. EAVE II is funded by the Medical Research Council with the support of BREATHE, the health data research hub for respiratory health, which is funded through the UK Research and Innovation Industrial Strategy Challenge Fund and delivered through Health Data Research UK. Additional support was provided through Public Health Scotland and the Scottish Government Director-General Health and Social Care. The research for this Correspondence is part of the Data and Connectivity National Core Study,

led by Health Data Research UK in partnership with the Office for National Statistics and funded by UK Research and Innovation. UA and CM acknowledge funding from Health Data Research UK (Measuring and Understanding Multimorbidity using Routine Data in the UK-HDR-9006; CFC0110). The funding source had no involvement in data collection, study design, data analysis, interpretation of findings, or the decision to publish this Correspondence. We thank Dave Kelly (Albasoft, Inverness, UK) for support with making primary care data available, Iain Mclaughlin (Public Health Scotland, Glasgow, UK) for help with the identification of the unvaccinated cohort, and lames Pickett (Health Data Research UK, London, UK), Wendy Inglis-Humphrey, Vicky Hammersley, Maria Georgiou, Laura Gonzalez Rienda (Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK), Morna Coote, Amanda Burridge, Amie Wilson, and Megan Gorman (Public Health Scotland, Glasgow, UK) for project management and administration support. We acknowledge the support of the EAVE II Patient Advisory Group for their help with interpretation of findings and suggestions for dissemination and engagement. SSH and EH contributed equally. AS and CR contributed equally.

Safraj Shahul Hameed, Elliott Hall, Zoe Grange, Christopher Sullivan, Sharon Kennedy, Lewis D Ritchie, Utkarsh Agrawal, Colin R Simpson, Syed Ahmar Shah, Igor Rudan, Colin McCowan, Josephine L K Murray, Chris Robertson, \*Aziz Sheikh aziz.sheikh@ed.ac.uk

Public Health Scotland, Glasgow, UK (SSH, EH, ZG, CS, SK, JLKM, CR); Centre of Academic Primary Care, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK (LDR); School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK (UA, CM); Usher Institute, School of Health, Wellington Faculty of Health, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand (CRS); Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK (CR); Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK (SAS, IR, AS)

- Stockton D. PHS reporting of cases, hospitalisations and deaths from COVID-19 by vaccine status—interpreting the data. Jan 19, 2022. https://publichealthscotland.scot/ourblog/2022/january/phs-reporting-of-caseshospitalisations-and-deaths-from-covid-19-by-vaccine-status-interpreting-the-data/ (accessed March 22, 2022).
- 2 Simpson CR, Robertson C, Vasileiou E, et al. Early pandemic evaluation and enhanced surveillance of COVID-19 (EAVE II): protocol for an observational study using linked Scottish national data. BMJ Open 2020; 10: e039097.
- 3 UK Health Security Agency. COVID-19: the green book, chapter 14a. Nov 27, 2020. https://www.gov.uk/government/ publications/covid-19-the-green-bookchapter-14a (accessed Aug 11, 2022).
- 4 Perry M, Akbari A, Cottrell S, et al. Inequalities in coverage of COVID-19 vaccination: a population register based cross-sectional study in Wales, UK. Vaccine 2021; 39: 6256-61.

www.thelancet.com Vol 400 September 24, 2022

Flook M, Jackson C, Vasileiou E, et al. Informing the public health response to COVID-19: a systematic review of risk factors for disease, severity, and mortality. *BMC Infect Dis* 2021; **21:** 342.

5

7

9

- 6 Parohan M, Yaghoubi S, Seraji A, Javanbakht MH, Sarraf P, Djalali M. Risk factors for mortality in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Aging Male 2020; 23: 1416–24.
  - Booth A, Reed AB, Ponzo S, et al. Population risk factors for severe disease and mortality in COVID-19: a global systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2021; **16**: e0247461.
  - Gaughan C, Razieh C, Khunti K, et al. COVID-19 vaccination uptake amongst ethnic minority communities in England: a linked study exploring the drivers of differential vaccination rates. J Public Health 2022; fdab400.
  - Glasziou P, McCaffery K, Cvejic E, et al. Testing behaviour may bias observational studies of vaccine effectiveness. J Assoc Med Microbiol Infect Dis Can 2022;
    - published online July 12. https://doi. org/10.3138/jammi-2022-0002.

# Maintenance antipsychotic trials and the effect of withdrawal

Johannes Schneider-Thoma and colleagues<sup>1</sup> report the results of a systematic review and network metaanalysis of antipsychotics for the maintenance treatment of adults with schizophrenia, but did not consider the effect of withdrawalrelated effects when interpreting their results. They included 49 trials with a placebo group, of which we were able to access information on participants and design for 47 trials (appendix). Of those 47 trials, all used a discontinuation design, most involving people who had been taking antipsychotics for many years, and 43 trials described or implied abrupt cessation of antipsychotic treatment upon assignment to placebo, although the trial with the longest taper period stopped antipsychotics over 4–6 weeks. These rapid stopping schedules, including of depot formulations, are likely to induce somatic and psychiatric withdrawal effects (eg, anxiety), which are now established symptoms, as recently shown in an individual participant data metaanalysis.<sup>2</sup> Withdrawal effects could be conflated with worsening of the illness and therefore interpreted as relapse,<sup>3,4</sup> inflating the apparent relapse rate in the placebo group. Withdrawal might also have a destabilising effect on the illness leading to relapse, and abrupt cessation of antipsychotics could outright induce psychotic symptoms.4 Therefore, the placebo-controlled discontinuation trials included by Schneider-Thoma and colleagues<sup>1</sup> do not inform about potential benefits of starting maintenance treatment with antipsychotics to begin with, and provide misleading information on the benefits and harms of continuing versus stopping ongoing antipsychotic treatment, by ignoring the consequences of withdrawal effects.<sup>4</sup>

JM is chief investigator of a National Institute for Health and Care Research-funded trial of gradual antipsychotic reduction. KM and MAH declare no competing interests.

#### \*Klaus Munkholm, Mark Abie Horowitz, Joanna Moncrieff kmunkholm@health.sdu.dk

Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Odense and Cochrane Denmark, Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense 5000, Denmark (KM); Open Patient data Exploratory Network, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark (KM); Research and Development Department, Goodmayes Hospital, North East London NHS Foundation Trust, Ilford, UK (MAH, JM); Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK (MAH, JM)

- Schneider-Thoma J, Chalkou K, Dörries C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 32 oral and long-acting injectable antipsychotics for the maintenance treatment of adults with schizophrenia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2022; **399:** 824–36.
- 2 Brandt L, Schneider-Thoma J, Siafis S, et al. Adverse events after antipsychotic discontinuation: an individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2022; **9:** 232–42.
- 3 Horowitz MA, Jauhar S, Natesan S, Murray RM, Taylor D. A method for tapering antipsychotic treatment that may minimize the risk of relapse. Schizophr Bull 2021; 47: 1116–29.
- 4 Moncrieff J. Antipsychotic maintenance treatment: time to rethink? PLoS Med 2015; 12: e1001861.

### Authors' reply

We thank Klaus Munkholm and colleagues for their valuable Correspondence. First, we would like to mention that this Correspondence does See Online for appendix