# Relationship of lean mass and obesity in Indian urban children and adolescents

#### M. K. Garg, Raman K. Marwaha<sup>1</sup>, Namita Mahalle<sup>2</sup>, Nikhil Tandon<sup>3</sup>

Department of Endocrinology, Army Hospital (Research and Referral), Delhi Cantt, <sup>3</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, <sup>1</sup>Senior Consultant Endocrinology and Scientific Advisor (Projects), ILSI-India, New Delhi, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Biochemistry Division, Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital and Research Center, Erandwane, Pune, Maharashtra, India

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The association of obesity and lean mass (LM) has not been examined well in children and adolescents, and it remains controversial. **Objective:** The objective of this study was to evaluate the relationship of body mass index (BMI) categories and regional obesity with total and regional LM in children and adolescents. **Methods:** A total of 1408 children and adolescents (boys 58.9%; girls 41.1%) divided according to BMI (normal weight 79.5%, overweight 16.0%, and obese 4.5%) were included in this cross-sectional study. Total and regional LM and fat mass were measured by DXA. Leg and arm fat-to-total fat ratio (LATR) indicative of subcutaneous fat and trunk fat-to-total fat ratio (TTR), an indicator of visceral fat, were calculated. **Results:** Mean age of the study population was  $13.2 \pm 2.7$  years (boys -  $13.0 \pm 2.7$ ; girls -  $13.4 \pm 2.8$  years). Total LM (TLM) and its regional distribution were higher in overweight and obese groups when compared with those with normal BMI in both genders. TLM was comparable between overweight and obese in both genders. TLM per unit of fat progressively decreased from normal to obese categories. The difference in LM per unit fat between BMI categories persisted after adjustment for age, height, and sexual maturity score. TLM increased across the quartiles of TTR, but decreased with an increment in subcutaneous fat (quartiles of LATR). **Conclusions:** Obese children and adolescents apparently have higher LM than normal BMI children, but have lower LM per unit of fat. Subcutaneous fat had a negative impact and visceral fat had a positive impact on TLM.

Key words: Lean mass, obesity, subcutaneous fat, visceral fat

#### INTRODUCTION

The obesity epidemic is affecting all age groups and could decrease prospects for an active life expectancy. The prevalence of overweight and obesity is increasing in children and adolescents.<sup>[1]</sup> The prevalence of overweight among children and adolescents in the India has doubled from 11.7% to 22.1% from 2006 to 2011.<sup>[1]</sup> Although the body mass index (BMI) is widely used as a surrogate measure of adiposity, it is a measure of excess weight relative to

**Corresponding Author:** Maj. Gen. (Retd) Dr. Raman K. Marwaha, Flat No. 17, Gautam Apartments, Gautam Nagar, New Delhi - 110 049, India. E-mail: marwaha\_ramank@hotmail.com

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:			
	Website: www.ijem.in		
	<b>DOI:</b> 10.4103/2230-8210.192908		

height, rather than excess body fat. The interpretation of BMI among children and adolescents is further complicated by the changes that occur in weight, height, and body composition during growth.<sup>[2,3]</sup> BMI levels among adults are highly correlated with the percentage of body fat among various race, sex, and age.<sup>[4,5]</sup> However, associations among children and adolescents have been variable and relatively weak.<sup>[6-11]</sup> These weaker associations among children and adolescents may be attributable to the asynchronous changes that occur in the levels of fat mass (FM) and lean mass (LM) during growth.<sup>[11]</sup>

It is suggested that the "obesity paradox" is merely based on the inadequacy of BMI to differentiate between

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

Cite this article as: Garg MK, Marwaha RK, Mahalle N, Tandon N. Relationship of lean mass and obesity in Indian urban children and adolescents. Indian J Endocr Metab 2016;20:779-83.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

LM and FM. Against the background of obesity, it is perceived that adipose tissue is a plain risk factor and LM is the protective element. It is argued that the favorable impact of elevated BMI results from the beneficial effects of lean tissue, overriding the adverse effects of excess body fat.<sup>[12]</sup> However, the association of obesity and LM has not been studied well in literature. Hence, we designed this cross-sectional population-based study to (i) evaluate the relationship between BMI categories and LM and (ii) to analyze the effect of regional obesity (subcutaneous and visceral) on total LM (TLM) in children and adolescents.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was an extension of the analysis from our earlier study.<sup>[13]</sup> Adolescents were recruited from different schools in the city of New Delhi as a part of the project to generate normative data for BMD. There were 1829 apparently healthy children and adolescents who underwent health examination (clinical, biochemical, and densitometric) on a voluntary basis. The data on LM and FM and its distribution were available from 1403 children and adolescents for the present study. Children and adolescents with clinically overt hepatic, renal, neoplastic, gastrointestinal, dermatological, endocrine, and systemic infective disorders, steroid intake, or alcoholism were excluded. Demographic, anthropometric, and clinical data were ascertained, and a detailed physical examination was conducted.

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving the children and adolescents were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences. Administrative approval was taken from the school authorities, written informed consent from parents/guardians, while verbal assent was taken from the children who participated in the study.

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable wall-mounted stadiometer (200 cm/78 inches) Model WS045 (Narang Medical Limited, New Delhi, India) with the participant standing straight with head held in the Frankfurt horizontal plane. Weight, without shoes and while wearing light clothes, was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg, using an electronic scale (EQUINOX Digital weighing machine, Model EB6171, Equinox Overseas Private Limited, New Delhi, India). BMI was calculated by dividing weight with the square of height in meters. The study population was divided according to BMI-based criteria proposed by Cole *et al.*<sup>[14]</sup> as normal, overweight, and obese.

Pubertal staging was carried out by trained professionals of the same sex based on Tanner criteria.<sup>[15]</sup> Testicular volume was determined by comparative palpation with Prader Orchidometer (Pharmacia and Upjohn, Uppsala, Sweden). Based on the testicular volume, participants were divided into four stages. Stage 1 (prepubertal) included participants with testicular volume <4 ml, Stage 2 (early puberty) – volume ≥4 but ≤8 ml, Stage 3 – volume ≥10 but ≤15, and Stage 4 (fully mature) – testicular volume >15 ml. A testicular volume of 4 ml or greater was considered as the onset of puberty. If there was a discrepancy in the testicular volumes of two sides, the larger one was taken as the final volume.

LM was measured using the Prodigy Oracle (GE Lunar Corp., Madison, WI) according to a standard protocol. Quality control procedures were carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Instrument variation was determined regularly using a phantom supplied by the manufacturer, and the mean coefficient of variation was <0.5%. For in vivo measurements, mean coefficients of variation for all sites were <1%. Body FM and regional distribution were measured using the same DXA machine. Instrument variation was determined by measuring total FM and regional FM in twenty healthy adults twice, and the mean coefficient of variation was <0.5%. Leg and arm fat-to-total fat ratio (LATR) was considered as indicative of subcutaneous fat and trunk fat-to-total fat ratio (TTR) was indicative of visceral fat.<sup>[16]</sup> TLM was calculated according to the quartiles of LATR and TTR separately for genders. Interquartile range for LATR and TTR was 0.05 and 0.06 and 0.06 and 0.08 for girls and boys, respectively. Appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMI) was calculated by LM at arms and leg in kilogram divided by square of height in meters.

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS software version 20.0 (Chicago, IL, USA). Data were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or number (%) unless specified. One-way analysis of variance was used to test the differences between BMI groups. *Post hoc* analysis was used to compare the significance level between two groups within each parameter. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

Mean age of the study participants was  $13.2 \pm 2.7$  years (boys -  $13.0 \pm 2.7$ ; girls -  $13.4 \pm 2.8$  years). Nearly, 79.5% of the children and adolescents were normal weight, 16.0% were overweight, and 4.5% were obese. Age and pubertal status were comparable in all groups in both genders [Table 1]. ASMI, TLM, and its regional distribution were higher in overweight and obese compared to normal weight children and adolescents, but were comparable between overweight and obese boys (LM: P = 0.828; ASMI: P = 0.982) and girls (LM: P = 0.268; ASMI: P = 0.096) [Table 2]. TLM per unit of fat (TLM/TF ratio) showed a significant decrease from normal weight group to obese group, but was comparable between overweight and obese groups, even after adjustment with age, height, and SMS in both genders [Table 3].

Unadjusted TLM decreased with the quartiles of LATR (subcutaneous fat) and increased with the quartiles

of TTR (visceral fat) in both gender. However, when adjusted for age and SMS, the same pattern persisted among boys, but the pattern of TLM became nonsignificant with LATR in girls [Table 4].

#### DISCUSSION

In the present study, we observed that TLM and regional LM were more in overweight and obese categories when compared to the normal weight category, but were comparable between overweight and obese category. Overweight and obesity are associated with an increase in

	Boys				Girls			
	Normal	Overweight	Obese	Р	Normal	Overweight	Obese	Р
n (%)	651 (78.8)	135 (16.3)	40 (4.8)		464 (80.4)	90 (15.6)	23 (4.0)	
Age (years)	13.0±2.7	13.4±2.5	12.5±3.1	0.067	13.4±2.8	13.7±2.6	13.8±2.8	0.543
Height (cm)	155.3±15.6	159.9±13.4	155.3±13.6	0.005	150.6±11.6	153.4±9.1	152.0±10.5	0.093
Weight (kg)	43.1±12.2	62.4±14.0	70.8±20.5	< 0.0001	42.2±10.4	58.5±10.3	70.7±15.1	<0.0001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	17.5±2.4	24.0±2.1	28.7±4.3	< 0.0001	18.3±2.8	24.6±2.3	30.3±3.8	<0.0001
SMS	3.2±1.4	3.3±1.4	2.9±1.5	0.144	4.0±1.3	4.1±1.2	4.1±1.0	0.425
Total fat (kg)	8.4±4.9	21.3±6.2	30.1±10.0	< 0.0001	13.3±5.8	24.1±6.2	33.6±10.6	<0.0001
LATR	0.51±0.04	0.48±0.04	0.47±0.04	< 0.0001	0.50±0.05	0.48±0.05	0.47±0.05	<0.0001
TTR	0.43±0.06	0.48±0.05	0.50±0.05	< 0.0001	0.46±0.06	0.48±0.05	0.50±0.05	< 0.0001

BMI: Body mass index, SMS: Sexual maturity score, LATR: Leg and arm fat-to-total fat ratio, TTR: Trunk fat-to-total fat ratio

Table 2: Lean mass according to weight categories among boys and girls Girls Bovs P\* P\* Normal Overweight Obese Normal Overweight Obese n (%) 651 (78.8) 135 16.3) 40 (4.8) 464 (80.4) 90 (15.6) 23 (4.0) 33.10±9.38 38.26±9.55 37.89±10.71 < 0.0001 26.93±5.02 31.32±4.71 32.64±6.86 < 0.0001 Total LM (kg) P\* \* < 0.0001 0.002.0.828 < 0.0001 < 0.0001. 0.268 ASMI 8.19±1.91 9.39±1.98 9.40±2.55 < 0.0001 6.53±0.97 7.73±1.02 8.12±1.18 < 0.0001 < 0.0001, 0.096 P\*\* < 0.0001 < 0.0001, 0.982 < 0.0001 Arm LM 3.59±1.29 4.14±1.62 < 0.0001 2.60±0.60 3.15±0.63 3.30±0.64 < 0.0001 4.21±1.36 D\* \* < 0.0001 0.011,0.768 < 0.0001 < 0.0001, 0.279 < 0.0001 9.00±1.93 < 0.0001 Leg LM 11.42±3.52 13.53±3.58 13.02±4.22 10.86±1.87 11.27±2.51 P\* \* < 0.0001 0.006,0.424 < 0.0001 < 0.0001. 0.366 Trunk LM 14.86±4.39 17.30±4.49 17.30±4.80 < 0.0001 12.56±2.50 14.49±2.38 15.32±4.12 < 0.0001 P\*\* < 0.0001 < 0.0001, 0.726 < 0.0001 < 0.0001, 0.170

\*P value for trend among all the three groups, \*\*P value between individual groups. ASMI: Appendicular skeletal muscle index, LM=Lean mass

### Table 3: Total lean mass versus total fat ratio in weight categories according to interaction with age, height, and sexual maturity score

Sexual maturity score					
Adjustment	Normal	Overweight	Obese	Р	
Boys					
TLM/TF ratio	2.460±0.057	1.366±0.035*	1.110±0.137*	< 0.0001	
Adjusted for age	2.448±0.045	1.411±0.103*	1.175±0.204*	< 0.0001	
Adjusted for height	2.437±0.045	1.474±0.103*	1.154±0.204*	< 0.0001	
Adjusted for SMS	2.445±0.044	1.433±0.101*	1.152±0.199*	< 0.0001	
Girls					
TLM/TF ratio	5.167±0.112	1.913±0.056*	1.311±0.048*	< 0.0001	
Adjusted for age	5.173±0.100	1.873±0.220*	1.350±0.404*	< 0.0001	
Adjusted for height	5.181±0.100	1.846±0.221*	1.324±0.404*	< 0.0001	
Adjusted for SMS	5.171±0.099	1.868±0.219*	1.396±0.402*	< 0.0001	
Aujusteu IOI SIVIS	5.17 120.099	1.000±0.219	1.370±0.40Z		

Values are expressed as mean±SE. \*There were no significant differences between overweight and obese groups in both genders. TLM/TF: Total lean mass per unit of fat, SMS: Sexual maturity score, SE: Standard error, LM: Lean mass

mass					
Quartiles	Quartile-1	Quartile-2	Quartile-3	Quartile-4	P for trend
Boys					
LATR	< 0.4698	0.4699-0.4989	0.4999-0.5301	>0.5301	
Total LM (unadjusted)	39.990±0.621	35.360±0.621	30.898±0.618	30.517±0.621	< 0.0001
Total LM (adjusted)**	35.390±0.371	34.567±0.355	33.530±0.358	33.254±0.361	< 0.0001
TTR	< 0.3995	0.3996-0.4403	0.4404-0.4825	>0.4825	
Total LM (unadjusted)	27.785±0.575	31.792±0.575	35.478±0.573	41.697±0.575	< 0.0001
Total LM (adjusted)**	32.079±0.365	33.450±0.347	34.571±0.344	36.65±0.368	< 0.0001
Girls					
LATR	< 0.4654	0.4655-0.4919	0.4920-0.5182	>0.5182	
Total LM (unadjusted)	28.027±0.444	28.795±0.444	27.888±0.444	26.721±0.446	0.012
Total LM (adjusted)**	27.911±0.305	28.162±0.306	27.573±0.305	27.793±0.309	0.586
TTR	< 0.4285	0.4286-0.4630	0.4631-0.4933	>0.4933	
Total LM (unadjusted)	25.105±0.428	27.831±0.428	29.014±0.428	29.406±0.428	< 0.0001
Total LM (adjusted)**	27.179±0.315	27.744±0.303	27.901±0.306	28.532±0.305	0.026

Table 4: Effect of subcutaneous fat (leg arm fat-to-total fat ratio) and visceral fat (Trunk-to-total fat ratio) on total lean mass\*

\*Values are expressed as mean±SE, \*\*Adjusted for age and SMS. SMS: Sexual maturity score, SE: Standard error, LM=Lean mass, LTR: Leg fat-to-total fat ratio, TTR: Trunk fat-to-total fat ratio, LATR: Leg and arm fat-to-total fat ratio

fat and bone mass.<sup>[8-10]</sup> Consistent with this, we expect that LM should show a similar pattern of increase. Surprisingly, TLM/TF decreased after adjustment with age, height, and SMS in both genders. This suggests that overweight and obese children and adolescents have lower TLM/TF when compared to normal BMI category. Studies have shown that LM is increased when weight gain is observed in early childhood, but FM increases when weight gain is seen in late childhood.<sup>[17,18]</sup> Hence, weight gain in later childhood will have relatively lower LM when compared to early childhood.

This has an implication in the management of obesity. Diet therapy is known to decrease fat as well as LM.<sup>[19]</sup> Physical activity increases LM and decreases FM with decreasing weight and obesity in children and adolescents.<sup>[20]</sup> Pharmacological therapy (sibutramine) is associated with a decrease in fat as well as LM.<sup>[21]</sup> Bariatric surgery in adults leads to more loss of LM compared to FM.<sup>[22]</sup> Hence, an increase in the physical activity is the best mode of therapy to achieve weight loss. Increase in LM may help in improving the health status by decreasing obesity while a decrease in LM is associated with an adverse outcome.<sup>[23]</sup>

The relationship between regional lean and FM was influenced by age and gender. With an increasing adiposity, skeletal muscle to adipose tissue ratio declined faster at the trunk in men and at the extremities in women.<sup>[24]</sup> We observed a negative effect of subcutaneous fat and a positive effect of visceral fat on LM which was influenced by age and pubertal status in girls, indicating the importance of pubertal status for the effect. Level of physical activity may be an important factor showing this effect. A study has shown that the change in the pattern of subcutaneous fat distribution could be due to a varied response of different sites toward the loss of subcutaneous fat due to increased levels of activity.<sup>[25]</sup> Furthermore, increase in physical activity will result in a decrease in subcutaneous fat and increase in LM,<sup>[26]</sup> and this can explain the inverse relationship. Visceral fat due to its gravitational effect will put physical strain on appendicular system and will increase TLM.<sup>[27]</sup>

The main limitation of the study was the absence of longitudinal data, which could have assessed the change in BMI categories and its effect on LM.<sup>[28]</sup> Another limitation was the absence of measurement of adipokines and myokines, which could have highlighted the mechanism of association between body fat and LM.<sup>[29]</sup> However, the strength of the study attributes to the large sample size from healthy Indian population.

#### CONCLUSION

Obese children and adolescents have higher LM than normal weight children, but when calculated as per the unit of FM, they have lower LM. Subcutaneous fat had a negative impact and visceral fat had a positive impact on TLM which is influenced by age and pubertal status.

## Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Gupta N, Goel K, Shah P, Misra A. Childhood obesity in developing countries: Epidemiology, determinants, and prevention. Endocr Rev 2012;33:48-70.
- 2. Franklin M. Comparison of weight and height relations in boys from 4 countries. Am J Clin Nutr 1999;70:157S-62S.

- Horlick M. Body mass index in childhood Measuring a moving target. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001;86:4059-60.
- Gallagher D, Heymsfield SB, Heo M, Jebb SA, Murgatroyd PR, Sakamoto Y. Healthy percentage body fat ranges: An approach for developing guidelines based on body mass index. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;72:694-701.
- Jackson AS, Stanforth PR, Gagnon J, Rankinen T, Leon AS, Rao DC, et al. The effect of sex, age and race on estimating percentage body fat from body mass index: The Heritage Family Study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2002;26:789-96.
- Bray GA, DeLany JP, Harsha DW, Volaufova J, Champagne CC. Evaluation of body fat in fatter and leaner 10-y-old African American and white children: The Baton Rouge Children's Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2001;73:687-702.
- Mast M, Langnäse K, Labitzke K, Bruse U, Preuss U, Müller MJ. Use of BMI as a measure of overweight and obesity in a field study on 5-7 year old children. Eur J Nutr 2002;41:61-7.
- Maynard LM, Wisemandle W, Roche AF, Chumlea WC, Guo SS, Siervogel RM. Childhood body composition in relation to body mass index. Pediatrics 2001;107:344-50.
- Widhalm K, Schönegger K, Huemer C, Auterith A. Does the BMI reflect body fat in obese children and adolescents? A study using the TOBEC method. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001;25:279-85.
- Lindsay RS, Hanson RL, Roumain J, Ravussin E, Knowler WC, Tataranni PA. Body mass index as a measure of adiposity in children and adolescents: Relationship to adiposity by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry and to cardiovascular risk factors. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001;86:4061-7.
- Taylor RW, Jones IE, Williams SM, Goulding A. Body fat percentages measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry corresponding to recently recommended body mass index cutoffs for overweight and obesity in children and adolescents aged 3-18 y. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;76:1416-21.
- 12. Szabó T, von Haehling S, Doehner W. Differentiating between body fat and lean mass – how should we measure obesity? Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab 2008;4:E1.
- Shivaprasad C, Marwaha RK, Tandon N, Kanwar R, Mani K, Narang A, *et al.* Correlation between bone mineral density measured by peripheral and central dual energy X-ray absorptiometry in healthy Indian children and adolescents aged 10-18 years. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2013;26:695-702.
- Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: International survey. BMJ 2000;320:1240-3.
- 15. Tanner JM. Growth at Adolescence: With a General Consideration of the Effects of Hereditary and Environmental Factors Upon Growth and Maturation from Birth to Maturity. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1969.
- Marwaha RK, Garg MK, Tandon N, Mehan N, Sastry A, Bhadra K. Relationship of body fat and its distribution with bone mineral density

in Indian population. J Clin Densitom 2013;16:353-9.

- Singhal A, Wells J, Cole TJ, Fewtrell M, Lucas A. Programming of lean body mass: A link between birth weight, obesity, and cardiovascular disease? Am J Clin Nutr 2003;77:726-30.
- Bann D, Wills A, Cooper R, Hardy R, Aihie Sayer A, Adams J, et al. Birth weight and growth from infancy to late adolescence in relation to fat and lean mass in early old age: Findings from the MRC National Survey of Health and Development. Int J Obes (Lond) 2014;38:69-75.
- Santanasto AJ, Glynn NW, Newman MA, Taylor CA, Brooks MM, Goodpaster BH, *et al.* Impact of weight loss on physical function with changes in strength, muscle mass, and muscle fat infiltration in overweight to moderately obese older adults: A randomized clinical trial. J Obes 2011;2011. pii: 516576.
- de Souza RJ, Bray GA, Carey VJ, Hall KD, LeBoff MS, Loria CM, et al. Effects of 4 weight-loss diets differing in fat, protein, and carbohydrate on fat mass, lean mass, visceral adipose tissue, and hepatic fat: Results from the POUNDS LOST trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95:614-25.
- Parks EP, Zemel B, Moore RH, Berkowitz RI. Change in body composition during a weight loss trial in obese adolescents. Pediatr Obes 2014;9:26-35.
- Huettner F, Rammos CK, Dynda DI, Lange ML, Marshall JS, Rossi TR, *et al.* Body composition analysis in bariatric surgery: Use of air displacement plethysmograph. Am Surg 2012;78:698-701.
- den Hoed MA, Pluijm SM, de Groot-Kruseman HA, te Winkel ML, Fiocco M, van den Akker EL, *et al.* The negative impact of being underweight and weight loss on survival of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica 2015;100:62-9.
- Schautz B, Later W, Heller M, Müller MJ, Bosy-Westphal A. Total and regional relationship between lean and fat mass with increasing adiposity – Impact for the diagnosis of sarcopenic obesity. Eur J Clin Nutr 2012;66:1356-61.
- Bhalla R, Satwanti, Kapoor AK, Singh IP. The distribution pattern of subcutaneous fat with reference to level of physical activity in adult females. Z Morphol Anthropol 1983;74:191-7.
- Marwaha RK, Garg MK, Tandon N, Mahalle N. Comparison of body composition between professional sportswomen and apparently healthy age- and sex-matched controls. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2015;19:288-91.
- Suzuki Y. Influences of gravitational stress and total muscle mass on human cardiovascular adjustments during prolonged dynamic exercise. Ann Physiol Anthropol 1990;9:139-51.
- Demerath EW, Schubert CM, Maynard LM, Sun SS, Chumlea WC, Pickoff A, et al. Do changes in body mass index percentile reflect changes in body composition in children? Data from the Fels Longitudinal Study. Pediatrics 2006;117:e487-95.
- Trayhurn P, Drevon CA, Eckel J. Secreted proteins from adipose tissue and skeletal muscle – Adipokines, myokines and adipose/ muscle cross-talk. Arch Physiol Biochem 2011;117:47-56.