iScience



Article

Phylogenetic-based inference reveals distinct transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 lineages Gamma and P.2 in Brazil



Tiago Gräf, Gonzalo Bello, Felipe Gomes Naveca, ..., Tatiana Schäffer Gregianini, Darcita Buerger Rovaris, Sandra Bianchini Fernandes

tiago.graf@fiocruz.br (T.G.) gbellobr@gmail.com (G.B.)

Highlights

Lineages P.2 and Gamma probably emerged around July and November 2020, respectively

Lineages P.2 and Gamma mostly spread from Rio de Janeiro and Amazonas, respectively

Gamma was locally transmitted in most Brazilian states by December 2020

Gamma spread faster and was more transmissible than P.2

Gräf et al., iScience 25, 104156 April 15, 2022 © 2022 The Author(s). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2022.104156



iScience

Article

Phylogenetic-based inference reveals distinct transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 lineages Gamma and P.2 in Brazil

Tiago Gräf,^{1,14,16,*} Gonzalo Bello,^{2,14,*} Felipe Gomes Naveca,³ Marcelo Gomes,⁴ Vanessa Leiko Oikawa Cardoso,¹ Alexandre Freitas da Silva,⁵ Filipe Zimmer Dezordi,⁵ Mirleide Cordeiro dos Santos,⁶ Katia Correa de Oliveira Santos,⁷ Érika Lopes Rocha Batista,⁸ Alessandro Leonardo Álvares Magalhães,⁸ Fernando Vinhal,⁹ Fábio Miyajima,¹⁰ Helisson Faoro,¹¹ Ricardo Khouri,¹ Gabriel Luz Wallau,⁵ Edson Delatorre,¹² Marilda Mendonça Siqueira,¹³ Paola Cristina Resende,¹³ and Brazilian Ministry of Health COVID-19 Genomic Surveillance Network¹⁵

SUMMARY

The COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil experienced two major lineage replacements until mid-2021. The first was driven by lineage P.2, in late 2020, and the second by lineage Gamma, in early 2021. To understand how these SARS-CoV-2 lineages spread in Brazil, we analyzed 11,724 genomes collected throughout the country between September 2020 and April 2021. Our findings indicate that lineage P.2 probably emerged in July 2020 in the Rio de Janeiro state and Gamma in November 2020 in the Amazonas state. Both states were the main hubs of viral disseminations to other Brazilian locations. We estimate that Gamma was 1.56–3.06 times more transmissible than P.2 in Rio de Janeiro and that the median effective reproductive number (Re) of Gamma varied according to the geographic context (Re = 1.59–3.55). In summary, our findings support that lineage Gamma was more transmissible and spread faster than P.2 in Brazil.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil during 2020 was mostly driven by SARS-CoV-2 lineages B.1.1.28 and B.1.1.33 in most country regions, and by lineages B.1.1 and B.1.195 at some specific states (Resende et al., 2020a; Candido et al., 2020; Naveca et al., 2021). In late 2020, two descendant sub-lineages of B.1.1.28 emerged in Brazil and replaced dominant lineages across the country. The first lineage turnover observed during late 2020 was associated with the emergence and dissemination of the lineage P.2, previously designated variant of interest (VOI) Zeta, which harbors mutation E484K in the spike protein (Voloch et al., 2021). The lineage P.2 was first detected in the Rio de Janeiro (RJ) state in October 2020 and became the most prevalent lineage in several Brazilian states from November 2020 to January 2021 (Voloch et al., 2021; Lamarca et al., 2021; http://www.genomahcov.fiocruz.br/dashboard-en/). The second country-wide lineage turnover event took place after the emergence of the lineage P.1, currently designated variant of concern (VOC) Gamma, in the Amazonas (AM) state in November 2020 (Naveca et al., 2021; Faria et al., 2021). The VOC Gamma harbors multiple mutations in the spike protein and becomes the dominant lineage in all Brazilian regions by early 2021(Lamarca et al., 2021; Martins et al., 2021; Silva et al., 2021; Tosta et al., 2021; Dos Santos et al., 2021).

Phylodynamic reconstruction of the spatiotemporal spread pattern of lineages B.1.1.28 and B.1.1.33 supports that densely populated and well-connected urban centers in the Southeastern region were the main sources of inter-regional SARS-CoV-2 spread during the first epidemic wave in 2020 in Brazil (Candido et al., 2020). Mathematical modeling also supports that São Paulo (SP) and RJ were the main superspreader cities of SARS-CoV-2 during the first months of the pandemic in Brazil (Nicolelis et al., 2021). Even though lineage P.2 and VOC Gamma spread widely through Brazil since late 2020, the routes of regional dissemination and the onset date of community transmission across different states remain unclear. Furthermore, despite previous studies estimated that the effective reproductive number (Re) of the VOC Gamma was nearly two times higher than non-VOC co-circulating in the AM state (Naveca et al., 2021; Faria



²Laboratório de AIDS e Imunologia Molecular, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, FIOCRUZ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Laboratório de Ecologia de Doenças Transmissíveis na Amazônia (EDTA), Leônidas e Maria Deane Institute, Fiocruz. Manaus. Brazil

⁴Grupo de Métodos Analíticos em Vigilância Epidemiológica, Programa de Computação Científica (PROCC), Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵Instituto Aggeu Magalhães, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

⁶Instituto Evandro Chagas, Belem, Para, Brazil

⁷Instituto Adolfo Lutz, São Paulo, Brazil

⁸Secretaria de Saúde de Aparecida de Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

⁹Lab HLAGYN - Goiânia, Goias, Brazil

¹⁰Fundação Oswaldo Cruz -Fiocruz Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil

¹¹Instituto Carlos Chagas (ICC), Fiocruz-PR, Curitiba, Parana, Brazil

¹²Departamento de Biologia. Centro de Ciencias Exatas, Naturais e da Saude, Universidade Federal do Espirito Santo, Alegre, Brazil

¹³Laboratory of Respiratory

Viruses and Measles, Oswaldo Cruz Institute (IOC), Oswaldo Cruz Foundation

Continued







Figure 1. Genomic epidemiology of COVID-19 in Brazil from September 2020 to March 2021

(A) Daily number of deaths due to COVID-19 and 7-day rolling average (line).

(B) Weekly number of genomes generated in this study.

- (C) Proportion of SARS-CoV-2 lineages circulating in Brazil in the study period.
- (D) Proportion of SARS-CoV-2 genomes harboring mutation Spike:484E/K in Brazil in the study period.

et al., 2021; Coutinho et al., 2021), the Re of this VOC in other states and its relative transmissibility concerning the lineage P.2 has not been addressed yet.

Here, we used a large dataset of SARS-CoV-2 positive samples sequenced by the Brazilian Ministry of Health COVID-19 Genomic Surveillance Network (see STAR Methods for details). Genomes retrieved from patients of all Brazilian states sampled between September 2020 and April 2021 was analyzed alongside publicly available SARS-CoV-2 sequences sampled throughout Brazil in the same period. Our findings show the changing prevalence of lineage P.2 and VOC Gamma in different country regions, -reconstruct the pathways of inter-state spread, estimate the onset date of community transmission in such locations, and compare the relative transmissibility of both co-circulating variants.

RESULTS

Genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in Brazil: September 2020 to March 2021

The COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil displayed two distinct phases over the period of investigation: a decreasing phase from around 900 deaths/day in early September to 300 deaths/day in mid-November 2020, followed by an increasing phase up to 3,000 deaths/day in late March 2021 (Figure 1A). A total of 11,724 high-quality (<5% N) Brazilian SARS-CoV-2 whole-genomes (29 kb) sampled between September 01st, 2020 and April 16th, 2021 were analyzed, including 5,472 generated by our collaborative genomic surveillance network (Figure 1B and Data S2) and 6,252 obtained from the EpiCoV database in GISAID (https://www.gisaid.org/), covering all Brazilian states (Table S1. Genomes sampling spatial distribution). Based on this sampling, our genomic analysis revealed a changing pattern of SARS-CoV-2 lineage frequencies in the studied period with a high overall prevalence of lineages B.1.1.28 and B.1.1.33 during

RJ, Brazil ¹⁴These authors contributed equally ¹⁵Affiliations are detailed in the Supplementary Consortia List

(FIOCRUZ), Rio de Janeiro,

¹⁶Lead contact

*Correspondence: tiago.graf@fiocruz.br (T.G.), gbellobr@gmail.com (G.B.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci. 2022.104156





the decreasing phase, a subsequent predominance of lineage P.2 from December 2020 to January 2021, and the dominance of the VOC Gamma in February and March 2021 (Figure 1C). In addition, it is interesting to note that the overall proportion of local variants harboring the mutation Spike:E484K, which comprises the VOC Gamma and the lineages P.2, N.9, and N.10, sharply increased in Brazil from <1% in September 2020 to >90% in March 2021 (Figure 1D).

Timing the origin of variants Gamma and P.2 in Brazil

To estimate the precise onset date of the lineage P.2 and the VOC Gamma, we first excluded all Gamma or P.2 sequences that did not display the full set of variant-defining mutations and those recently classified as Gamma-like (Naveca et al., 2022). Next, we assessed the temporal signal of the P.2 and Gamma datasets and excluded several outliers, including some of the sequences with the earliest recorded sampling dates (Figure 2A). The leaf-dating Bayesian molecular clock method confirmed that the earliest Gamma sequence recovered in November 2020 and three P.2 genomes sampled between April and August 2020 clearly violate the assumption of a molecular clock as displayed median expected collection dates much more recent than their recorded sampling dates (Figure 2B). This quality control process resulted in two final datasets of 1,357 P.2 and 2,477 Gamma Brazilian sequences sampled between 25th September 2020–26th March 2021 and 03rd December 2020–16th April 2021, respectively, mostly (>70%) generated by our collaborative genomic surveillance network. Finally, to estimate the time of the most recent common ancestor (T_{MRCA}) of each variant, we generated spatiotemporal representative subsets containing 219 P.2 and 191 Gamma sequences. Bayesian molecular clock analyses traced the T_{MRCA} of the lineage P.2 to 28th July 2020 [95% high posterior density (HPD): 11th June–3rd December 2020] and of that of the VOC Gamma to 30th November 2020 (95% HPD: 14th November–3rd December 2020) (Figure 2C).

Inter-state spread and domestic dissemination of variants Gamma and P.2 in Brazil

To describe the pathways that shaped the country-wide dissemination of the lineage P.2 and the VOC Gamma in Brazil, we performed a discrete Bayesian phylogeographic reconstruction. Bayesian analyses support that the lineage P.2 most probably [*Posterior State Probability* (*PSP*) = 0.71] arose in RJ (Southeastern region). This state was also the main epicenter of the regional spread of this lineage in Brazil, followed by the Southern states of Santa Catarina (SC) and Rio Grande do Sul (RS) and the Southeastern state of SP (Figure 3A and Video S1. SARS-CoV-2 lineage P.2 migration history and SARI cases in Brazil). RJ contributed with ~50% of all inter-state disseminations of lineage P.2 between October 2020 and February 2021, while the four major spreading states (RJ, SP, SC, and RS) combined accounted for >90% of all inter-state viral disseminations in that period (Figure 3B). The relative contribution of the secondary hubs SC, RS, and SP to the overall dissemination of P.2 remained roughly constant between mid-October 2020 and late February 2021. The P.2 migrations from RJ to other states started in September 2020 but were mostly distributed between October 2020 and January 2021 (Figure 3C). The earliest onset date of community transmission of the lineage P.2 was traced to October 2020 in most Brazilian states (Figure 3D).

Bayesian analyses support that the VOC Gamma most probably (*PSP* = 1.0) arose in the AM (Northern region) and this state was also the main hub of Gamma spread throughout the country, followed by the Southeastern states of SP and RJ (Figure 4A and Video S2. SARS-CoV-2 VOC Gamma migration history and SARI cases in Brazil). AM was responsible for 60%–70% of the inter-state disseminations of the VOC Gamma between December 2020 and March 2021, while the three major spreading states combined accounted for >90% of all inter-state viral transmissions in that period (Figure 4B). The relative contribution of the secondary hubs (SP and RJ) to the overall dissemination of Gamma in Brazil increased from mid-December 2020 to late March 2021. The first transition events of VOC Gamma from AM into other Brazilian states occurred in December 2020 and reached a peak between January and February 2021 (Figure 4C), while the earliest timing of community transmission of this VOC in most Brazilian states was traced to December 2020 (Figure 4D). By early January 2021, this variant was already disseminated and established local transmission chains in nine out of 23 Brazilian states from all major country regions: North (Pará), Northeastern (Bahia, Maranhão, and Sergipe), Southeastern (SP and RJ), Southern (SC and Paraná), and Central-Western (Goiás) regions.

Estimating the R_e of variants Gamma and P.2 in Brazil

We applied the birth-death skyline (BDSKY) model to estimate the R_e of P. 2 and Gamma at source locations by selecting all P.2 sequences from RJ (P.2_{RJ}) and all Gamma sequences from AM (Gamma_{AM}), and at







Figure 2. Temporal analysis of the P.2 and Gamma sequence datasets

(A) Plots of the root-to-tip divergence against dates of sample collection for P.2 and Gamma datasets. Outliers excluded from the further analysis were colored gray.

(B) Leaf-dating Bayesian molecular clock of the four sequences excluded due to probably wrong collection date annotation. Reported collection dates are indicated by the dotted lines. Boxplots represent the median and the 95% HPD interval of the estimated collection dates.

(C) Time-calibrated maximum clade credibility tree for P.2 and Gamma spatiotemporal representative datasets. The median estimated T_{MRCA} (with 95% HPD in parentheses) for each clade are shown on the root.

secondary outbreaks by combining large (n > 10) highly supported (SH-aLRT > 0.80) clades representative of the circulation in a single state. Only Gamma clusters from SP and RJ, and none for P.2, met the criteria for analysis of secondary outbreaks (see STAR Methods). The temporal trajectory of the median R_e of clade

iScience

Article





Figure 3. Spatiotemporal spread of SARS-CoV-2 lineage P.2 in Brazil

(A) Inter-state circular migration flow plot as estimated from the Markov jumps analysis complementary to the phylogeographic model. Arrows indicate the direction of the migration and thickness is relative to the number of jumps.

(B) Contribution of each state in seeding P.2 to other locations through time measured as the proportion of the total Markov per day.

(C) Time distribution (95% HPD) of jumps from RJ to other Brazilian states.

(D) T_{MRCA} of each highly supported [Shimodaira–Hasegawa-like approximate Likelihood Ratio Test (SH-aLRT) > 80] state-specific clades with more than three genomes.

 $P.2_{RJ}$ supports an initial expansion phase ($R_e > 1$), followed by a phase of stabilization ($R_e \sim 1$) and subsequent decrease ($R_e < 1$) (Figure 5A). Interestingly, when clade $P.2_{RJ}$ reached the highest median R_e in September 2020, the lineage P.2 was still undetected ⁴ and the number of severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) cases remained relatively constant in RJ (Figure 5A). When lineage P.2 became the dominant variant in RJ in December 2020, the clade $P.2_{RJ}$ displayed a median $R_e \sim 1$.

Similar to clade P.2_{RJ}, the clade Gamma_{AM} showed an epidemic dynamic with three phases of expansion ($R_e > 1$), stabilization ($R_e \sim 1$), and subsequent decrease ($R_e < 1$) (Figure 5B). When clade Gamma_{AM} reached the highest median R_e in December 2020, the relative prevalence of Gamma in Amazonas was low (<10%), but the number of SARI cases already started to increase (Figure 5B). When Gamma became the dominant variant in AM in January 2021, the clade Gamma_{AM} also displayed a median $R_e \sim 1$. The initial expansion phase of major local Gamma clades in RJ (Gamma_{RJ}) and SP (Gamma_{SP}) from late December 2020 to late January 2021 occurred when Gamma was barely detected, and the number of SARI cases remained relatively constant in those states (Figures 5A and 5C). The clade Gamma_{RJ} expanded in RJ during a period (December 2020 to March 2021) when the prevalence of clade P.2_{RJ} was declining and the ratio R_e (Gamma_{RJ})/ R_e (P.2_{RJ}) was 1.56 (December-January), 3.06 (February), and 2.33 (March) along the





Figure 4. Spatiotemporal spread of SARS-CoV-2 VOC Gamma in Brazil

(A) Inter-state circular migration flow plot as estimated from the Markov jumps analysis complementary to the phylogeographic model. Arrows indicate the direction of the migration and thickness is relative to the number of jumps.

(B) Contribution of each state in seeding Gamma to other locations through time measured as the proportion of the total Markov jumps per day. (C) Time distribution (95% HPD) of jumps from AM to other Brazilian states. The vertical green line shows the time of Gamma first notification and vertical red line shows the starting date of patients with COVID-19 transferring from AM to other states.

(D) $T_{\rm MRCA}$ of each highly supported (SH-aLRT > 80) state-specific clades with more than three genomes.

co-circulating period, supporting the higher transmissibility of the VOC Gamma concerning lineage P.2. Comparison of the median R_e of VOC Gamma in different states revealed that clade Gamma_{AM} displayed a much faster initial expansion ($R_e = 3.55$) than clades Gamma_{RJ} and Gamma_{SP} ($R_e = 1.59$ and 1.91, respectively). The growth rate of clade Gamma_{AM}, however, rapidly decreased in the second half of December 2020 ($R_e = 1.40$) and during early 2021 ($R_e = <1$), while clades Gamma_{RJ} and Gamma_{SP} maintained longer phases of epidemic growth ($R_e = 1.45-2.01$) until March 2021.

DISCUSSION

We combine spatial and genomic data to reconstruct the spatiotemporal dynamics of the VOC Gamma and lineage P.2 in Brazil from September 2020 through April 2021, a study period that covers a decreasing phase of COVID-19 incidence from September to November 2020 and a subsequent increasing phase up to March–April 2021. Although both SARS-CoV-2 variants harbor the same mutation of concern Spike:E484K, they displayed different spatiotemporal dynamics probably shaped by the higher transmissibility of the VOC Gamma concerning lineage P.2.











Figure 5. Phylodynamics analyses of SARS-CoV-2 variants P.2 and Gamma

(A) in Rio de Janeiro state.(B) in Amazonas state.

(C) in São Paulo state. Effective reproductive number (R_e) [median (solid lines) and 95% HPD (highlighted area)], as estimated by Bayesian phylogenetics methods, is shown in green and brown for Gamma and P.2, respectively. Along with R_e , SARI cases are shown as a black line. PANGO lineages proportions per month are shown in the bottom panel, colored according to the legend.

Our study indicates that variants P.2 and Gamma probably arose in RJ and AM states around July and November 2020, respectively. This is consistent with initial estimations (Voloch et al., 2021; Faria et al., 2021; Resende et al., 2021) and rejects the hypothesis of a much older origin of lineage P.2 in February 2020 and of VOC Gamma in August 2020 (Lamarca et al., 2021). Our analyses suggest that some Gamma and P.2 Brazilian sequences with the earliest recorded sampling times available at the EpiCoV database in GISAID are probably the result of sample contamination or spelling errors in metadata entries. The inclusion of those problematic sequences, as well as of Gamma sequences belonging to Gamma-like clades (Lamarca et al., 2021), certainly pushed back the T_{MRCA} of Brazilian variants to unreliable old dates. The emergence of lineage P.2 in February 2020 is highly improbable because it overlaps with the estimated origin of the parental lineage B.1.1.28 (Candido et al., 2020), while the emergence of the VOC Gamma in August 2020 is inconsistent with the absence of this variant among hundreds of Amazonian SARS-CoV-2 positive samples genotyped between August and November 2020(Naveca et al., 2021).

Although the densely populated and well-connected states in the Southeastern region (RJ and SP) were the main sources of dissemination of lineage P.2 in Brazil, this variant spread at a slower rate than the VOC Gamma that was mainly disseminated from the poorly connected state of AM. According to our estimations, the earliest onset date of community transmission of lineage P.2 in most sampled states was traced to October 2020 (~3 months after its emergence), coinciding with the first detection of this variant in such locations (Resende et al., 2021), and it only became the most prevalent variant in some states around December 2020 (~5 months after its emergence). By comparison, the earliest timing of community transmission of the VOC Gamma in many Brazilian states was traced to December 2020 (~1 month after its emergence) and became the most prevalent variant in all Brazilian states by February 2021 (~3 months after its emergence). By the time when the VOC Gamma was first described on 12th January 2021 in Japanese travelers returning from the Amazonas state (Fujino et al., 2021), this variant had already established local transmission chains in at least nine out of 23 Brazilian states from all major country regions.

The rapid geographic spread of the VOC Gamma and the consistent fixation as the most prevalent SARS-CoV-2 lineage across all Brazilian regions during 2021 supports that this VOC is more transmissible than lineage P.2. The comparison of the R_e of local clades of variants Gamma and P.2 that co-circulated in the RJ state from December 2020 to March 2021 allowed us to quantify the relative transmissibility of those lineages while controlling for confounding ecological factors such as local levels of immunity and social distancing measures. Our phylodynamics estimates indicate that the VOC Gamma displayed a median R_e that was between 1.56 and 3.06 higher than that of lineage P.2 during the period of co-circulation. Notably, this result is consistent with a previous study conducted in the AM state that estimated that the median R_e of the VOC Gamma was 2.2 times higher than that estimated for local B.1.1.28 clades that co-circulated during December 2020 (Naveca et al., 2021). These findings confirm the notion that the VOC Gamma was more transmissible than pre-existing SARS-CoV-2 lineages in Brazil, including the lineage P.2, in different local settings.

Even though our phylogeographic reconstructions support that RJ and SP were important hubs of interregional spread of SARS-CoV-2 during the epidemic wave in late 2020 and early 2021 as observed in early 2020 (Candido et al., 2020), they also pointed that other Brazilian states from the Northern (AM) and Southern (SC and RS) regions accounted for a substantial fraction of all inter-state disseminations of variants Gamma and P.2, respectively. Our findings also support that inter-state viral transmissions not only occurred between close geographic locations but also between distant states of Northern, Southern, and Northeastern regions. The diversification of geographic hubs of viral dispersion and the detection of long-distance viral migrations suggest that reactivation of domestic passenger air traffic since the second half of 2020 may have been an important driver of dissemination of variants P.2 and Gamma in Brazil. These findings also emphasize that implementation of more strict control measures for domestic air passengers, like the mandatory exigence of a negative SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR/antigen



test, could be an effective measure to reduce the dissemination rate of SARS-CoV-2 within Brazil (Murano et al., 2021).

Our findings revealed that variants P.2 and Gamma were disseminated for several weeks before being detected in a new location and their early phase of cryptic expansion is challenging to detect or predict by epidemiological surveillance systems. When the lineage P.2 reached the highest median R_e in September 2020 in RJ, the mean daily number of SARS-CoV-2 cases in the state was decreasing and the incidence of SARI cases only started to increase in mid-October 2020. Similarly, the VOC Gamma in RJ and SP probably started to expand in December 2020, well-before the first detection of this variant in January 2021 and the peak of SARI cases associated with Gamma in March 2021 in both states. We hypothesize that the unnoticed early expansion of variants P.2 or Gamma in many locations happened in an epidemic scenario where previous dominant lineages were declining, so the growth of daily SARI cases only became apparent when these new variants P.2 and Gamma might have been driven by young people, and SARI cases only increased when older individuals got infected.

Because the levels of immunity and social distancing varied over time among different Brazilian states, we compared the R_e of the VOC Gamma in AM, RJ, and SP between December 2020 and March 2021 to quantify the potential impact of ecological factors on Gamma spread. Although the R_e estimates displayed large credibility intervals with considerable overlap, our data suggest that the VOC Gamma displayed a much faster initial expansion in AM ($R_e \sim 3.6$) than in RJ or SP ($R_e \sim 1.5$ –2.0), but the growth phase in AM extends over a shorter time than in the Southeastern states. We speculate that the weaker mitigation measures implemented in AM with respect to RJ and SP at late 2020 and/or large-scale gatherings may have amplified the intrinsic higher transmissibility of the VOC Gamma in this Northern state (Naveca et al., 2021). The steep decrease of R_e in AM in January 2021 might be the result of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) implemented after the health system collapse (Naveca et al., 2021) and/or of high levels of population immunity estimated at ~70% in Manaus (Sabino et al., 2021). On the other hand, the larger number of susceptible individuals in the Southeastern region may have sustained a longer phase of Gamma expansion.

In summary, this molecular epidemiological study showed that the COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil from September 2020 to March 2021 was characterized by the emergence and spread of the lineage P.2 and the VOC Gamma that were the most prevalent SARS-CoV-2 variants at different time periods in the country. The spatial dispersion of these variants in Brazil was driven by short and long-distance viral transmission and both variants circulated cryptically in several locations for some weeks before being detected. The VOC Gamma displayed a higher transmissibility than lineage P.2 which explained the faster rate of spatial spread of this variant and its establishment as the dominant SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Brazil within a few (~3) months after its emergence. Our findings also support that the transmissibility of the VOC Gamma varied according to the geographic context probably due to regional differences in social distancing measures and/or fraction of population previously infected. These findings also strengthen the need for ongoing genomic surveillance to provide early warnings about spread of emergent SARS-CoV-2 variants in Brazil.

Limitations of the study

The most important limitation of our study was the uneven sampling among Brazilian states and throughout the time, which may have introduced some bias in phylogeographic analyses. Although the number of genomes analyzed in this study roughly follows the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases in Brazil (Figures 1A and 1B), the nonrandom temporal sampling across locations might limit the potential of our analyses to identify large state-specific viral clusters and to accurately estimate the earliest onset date of communitarian transmission of SARS-CoV-2 variants in several locations. In addition, even though the datasets here analyzed comprise SAR-S-CoV-2 sequences from 24 out of 27 Brazilian states, the uneven distribution of these genomes among locations might have biased contributions to the overall inter-states' transmissions.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - O Lead contact

CellPress OPEN ACCESS



- Materials availability
- $\, \odot \,$ Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - O The genomic surveillance network
 - O Ethical aspects
- METHOD DETAILS
 - SARS-CoV-2 amplification and sequencing
 - SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome consensus sequences and genotyping
 - Dataset assembling
 - Analysis of temporal signal
 - O Estimating time-scaled phylogenetic trees
 - O Discrete bayesian phylogeography
 - Effective reproductive number (Re) estimation

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104156.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank all the health care workers and scientists who have worked hard to deal with this pandemic threat, the GISAID team, and all the EpiCoV database's submitters. GISAID data acknowledgment is available under the EPI_SET_20220310na code locator. We also appreciate the support of the Fiocruz COVID-19 Genomic Surveillance Network (http://www.genomahcov.fiocruz.br/) members, the Respiratory Viruses Genomic Surveillance Network of the General Laboratory Coordination (CGLab), Brazlian Ministry of Health (MoH), Brazilian Central Laboratory States (LACENs), and the Amazonas surveillance teams for the partnership in the viral surveillance in Brazil. Funding: Financial support was provided by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas (PCTI-EmergeSaude/AM call 005/2020 and Rede Genômica de Vigilância em Saúde-REGE-SAM); Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (grant 402457/2020-0); CNPq/Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovações e Comunicações/Ministério da Saúde (MS/FNDCT/ SCTIE/Decit) (grant 403276/2020-9); Departamento da Ciência e Tecnologia (DECIT), Ministério da Saúde; Inova Fiocruz/Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (Grants VPPCB-007-FIO-18-2-30 and VPPCB-005-FIO-20-2-87), INCT-FCx (465259/2014–6) and Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (26/210.196/2020). F.G.N., G.L.W., G.B., and M.M.S. are supported by the CNPq through their productivity research fellowships (306146/2017-7, 303902/2019-1, 302317/2017-1 and 313403/2018-0, respectively). G.B. is also funded by FAPERJ (Grant number E-26/202.896/2018).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TG and GB: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Data curation, Writing – original draft. FGN, GLW, ED, and PCR: Data curation, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. TG, ED, and VLOC: Visualization. FGV, FM, HF, RK, GLW, MMS, and PCR: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision. MG, AFS, FZD, MCS, KCOS, ELRB, ALAM, FV, TPM, VAN, VS, ALGC, FN, GS, MM, MJB, AC, KP, MJ, LFG, CF, VA, LB, ACRC, AC, LAS, JDG, ABL, FMP, TOC, JCSJ, LGLN, HB, DLFT, JFB, CD, REL, LCAS, GBL, LCM, MEPLD, RLDNP, JA, CAS, RRR, AFLB, FCMI, BG, VGV, PB, ACDP, LRA, RSL, FCM, ASR, TMMV, ECP, ACC, LSB, LFMB, MMO, MOS, AAS, IR, MCD, RSS, TSG, DBR, and SBF: Investigation, Resources.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests

Received: November 8, 2021 Revised: February 23, 2022 Accepted: March 21, 2022 Published: April 15, 2022

REFERENCES

Aksamentov, I., Roemer, C., Hodcroft, E.B., and Neher, R.A. (2021). Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. J. Open Source Softw. 6, 3773. https:// doi.org/10.21105/joss.03773.

Bielejec, F., Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., and Lemey, P. (2011). SPREAD: spatial phylogenetic reconstruction of evolutionary dynamics. Bioinformatics 27, 2910–2912. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btr481.

Bouckaert, R., Vaughan, T.G., Barido-Sottani, J., Duchêne, S., Fourment, M., Gavryushkina, A., Heled, J., Jones, G., Kühnert, D., De Maio, N., et al. (2019). Beast 2.5: an advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Comput. Biol. *15*, e1006650. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pcbi.1006650.

Candido, D.S., Claro, I.M., de Jesus, J.G., Souza, W.M., Moreira, F.R.R., Dellicour, S., Mellan, T.A., du Plessis, L., Pereira, R.H.M., Sales, F.C.S., et al. (2020). Evolution and epidemic spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Brazil. Science 369, 1255–1260. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.abd2161.

Coutinho, R.M., Maria, F., Marquitti, D., Ferreira, L.S., Borges, M.E., Lopes, R., Da Silva, P., Canton, O., Portella, T.P., Poloni, S., et al. (2021). Modelbased estimation of transmissibility and reinfection of SARS-CoV-2 P.1 variant. Preprint at medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.03. 21252706.

Dezordi, F.Z., Neto, A.M.D.S., Campos, T.L., Jeronimo, P.M.C., Aksenen, C.F., Almeida, S.P., and Wallau, G.L.; Fiocruz Covid-Genomic Surveillance Network (2022). ViralFlow: a versatile automated workflow for SARS-CoV-2 genome assembly, lineage assignment, mutations and intrahost variant detection. Viruses 14. https:// doi.org/10.3390/v14020217.

Dos Santos, C.A., Bezerra, G.V.B., de Azevedo Marinho, A.R.R.A., Alves, J.C., Tanajura, D.M., and Martins-Filho, P.R. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 genomic surveillance in Northeast Brazil: timing of emergence of the Brazilian variant of concern P1. J. Trav. Med. 28. https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/ taab066.

Drummond, A.J., Rambaut, A., Shapiro, B., and Pybus, O.G. (2005). Bayesian coalescent inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22, 1185–1192. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi103.

Faria, N.R., Mellan, T.A., Whittaker, C., Claro, I.M., Candido, D.D.S., Mishra, S., Crispim, M.A.E., Sales, F.C., Hawryluk, I., McCrone, J.T., et al. (2021). Genomics and Epidemiology of a Novel SARS-CoV-2 Lineage in Manaus, Brazil. Preprint at medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.26. 21252554.

Fujino, T., Nomoto, H., Kutsuna, S., Ujiie, M., Suzuki, T., Sato, R., Fujimoto, T., Kuroda, M., Wakita, T., and Ohmagari, N. (2021). Novel SARS-CoV-2 variant in travelers from Brazil to Japan. Emerg. Infect Dis 27. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2704.210138.

Gu, Z., Gu, L., Eils, R., Schlesner, M., and Brors, B. (2014). Circlize Implements and enhances circular visualization in R. Bioinformatics *30*, 2811–2812. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu393.

Huddleston, J., Hadfield, J., Sibley, T.R., Lee, J., Fay, K., Ilcisin, M., Harkins, E., Bedford, T., Neher, R.A., and Hodcroft, E.B. (2021). Augur: a bioinformatics toolkit for phylogenetic analyses of human pathogens. J. Open Source Softw. *6*, 2906. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss. 02906.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., and Jermiin, L.S. (2017). ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat. Methods 14, 587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 4285.

Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/mst010.

Lamarca, A.P., de Almeida, L.G.P., Francisco, R.D.S., Lima, L.F.A., Scortecci, K.C., Perez, V.P., Brustolini, O.J., Sousa, E.S.S., Secco, D.A., Santos, A.M.G., et al. (2021). Genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 tracks early interstate transmission of P.1 lineage and diversification within P.2 clade in Brazil. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 15, e0009835. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.

Larsson, A. (2014). AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets. Bioinformatics 30, 3276–3278. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btu531.

Lemey, P., Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., and Suchard, M.A. (2009). Bayesian phylogeography finds its roots. PLoS Comput. Biol. 5, e1000520. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pcbi.1000520.

Martins, A.F., Zavascki, A.P., Wink, P.L., Volpato, F.C.Z., Monteiro, F.L., Rosset, C., De-Paris, F., Ramos, Á., and Barth, A.L. (2021). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 lineage P.1 in patients from a region with exponentially increasing hospitalisation rate, February 2021, Rio Grande do Sul, Southern Brazil. Euro Surveill. 26, 2100276. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES. 2021.26.12.2100276.

Murano, Y., Ueno, R., Shi, S., Kawashima, T., Tanoue, Y., Tanaka, S., Nomura, S., Shoji, H., Shimizu, T., Nguyen, H., et al. (2021). Impact of domestic travel restrictions on transmission of COVID-19 infection using public transportation network approach. Sci. Rep. *11*, 3109. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-021-81806-3.

Nascimento, V.A.D., Corado, A.L.G., Nascimento, F.O.D., Costa, Á., Duarte, D.C.G., Luz, S.L.B., Gonçalves, L.M.F., Jesus, M.S., Costa, C.F.D., Delatorre, E., and Naveca, F.G. (2020). Genomic and phylogenetic characterisation of an imported case of SARS-CoV-2 in Amazonas State, Brazil. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 115, e200310. https://doi.org/10. 1590/0074-02760200310.

Naveca, F.G., Nascimento, V., de Souza, V.C., Corado, A.D.L., Nascimento, F., Silva, G., Costa, Á., Duarte, D., Pessoa, K., Mejía, M., et al. (2021). COVID-19 in Amazonas, Brazil, was driven by the persistence of endemic lineages and P.1 emergence. Nat. Med. 27, 1230–1238. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01378-7.

Naveca, F.G., Nascimento, V., Souza, V., Corado, A.L., Nascimento, F., Silva, G., Mejía, M.C., Brandão, M.J., Costa, Á., Duarte, D., et al. (2022). Spread of gamma (P.1) sub-lineages carrying Spike mutations close to the Furin cleavage site and Deletions in the N-terminal Domain Drives ongoing transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Amazonas, Brazil. Microbiol. Spectr. 10, e0236621. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum. 02366-21.

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B.Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msu300.

Nicolelis, M.A.L., Raimundo, R.L.G., Peixoto, P.S., and Andreazzi, C.S. (2021). The impact of superspreader cities, highways, and intensive care availability in the early stages of the COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil. Sci. Rep. 11, 13001. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92263-3.

O'Brien, J.D., Minin, V.N., and Suchard, M.A. (2009). Learning to count: robust estimates for labeled distances between molecular sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26, 801–814. https://doi.org/10. 1093/molbev/msp003.

Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., and Suchard, M.A. (2018). Posterior summarization in bayesian phylogenetics using tracer 1.7. Syst. Biol. 67, 901–904. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/sysbio/syy032.

Rambaut, A., Holmes, E.C., O'Toole, Á., Hill, V., McCrone, J.T., Ruis, C., du Plessis, L., and Pybus, O.G. (2020). A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. Nat. Microbiol. 5, 1403–1407. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0770-5.

Rambaut, A., Lam, T.T., Max Carvalho, L., and Pybus, O.G. (2016). Exploring the temporal structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). Virus. Evol. 2, vew007. https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/ vew007.

Resende, P.C., Bezerra, J.F., Teixeira Vasconcelos, R.H., Arantes, I., Appolinario, L., Mendonça, A.C., Paixao, A.C., Duarte, A.C., Silva, T., Rocha, A.S., et al. (2021). Severe Acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 P.2 lineage associated with reinfection case, Brazil, june-october 2020. Emerg. Infect Dis. 27, 1789–1794. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2707. 210401.

Resende, P.C., Delatorre, E., Gräf, T., Mir, D., Motta, F.C., Appolinario, L.R., da Paixão, A.C.D., Mendonça, A.C.D.F., Ogrzewalska, M., Caetano, B., et al. (2020a). Evolutionary dynamics and dissemination pattern of the SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.33 during the early pandemic phase in Brazil. Front. Microbiol. *11*, 615280. https://doi. org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.615280.

Resende, P.C., Motta, F.C., Roy, S., Appolinario, L., Fabri, A., Xavier, J., Harris, K., Matos, A.R., Caetano, B., Orgeswalska, M., et al. (2020b).



CelPress



SARS-CoV-2 genomes recovered by long amplicon tiling multiplex approach using nanopore sequencing and applicable to other sequencing platforms. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.30.069039.

Sabino, E.C., Buss, L.F., Carvalho, M.P.S., Prete, C.A., Crispim, M.A.E., Fraiji, N.A., Pereira, R.H.M., Parag, K.V., da Silva Peixoto, P., Kraemer, M.U.G., et al. (2021). Resurgence of COVID-19 in Manaus, Brazil, despite high seroprevalence. Lancet *397*, 452–455. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21) 00183-5.

Shapiro, B., Ho, S.Y., Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Pybus, O.G., and Rambaut, A. (2011). A Bayesian phylogenetic method to estimate unknown sequence ages. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28, 879–887. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msq262. Silva, M.S.D., Demoliner, M., Hansen, A.W., Gularte, J.S., Silveira, F., Heldt, F.H., Filippi, M., Pereira, V.M.A.G., Silva, F.P.D., Mallmann, L., et al. (2021). Early detection of SARS-CoV-2 P.1 variant in Southern Brazil and reinfection of the same patient by P.2. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo 63, e58. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-9946202163058.

Stadler, T., Kühnert, D., Bonhoeffer, S., and Drummond, A.J. (2013). Birth-death skyline plot reveals temporal changes of epidemic spread in HIV and hepatitis C virus (HCV). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 110, 228–233. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1207965110.

Suchard, M.A., Lemey, P., Baele, G., Ayres, D.L., Drummond, A.J., and Rambaut, A. (2018). Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. Virus. Evol. 4, vey016. https://doi.org/10. 1093/ve/vey016.

iScience

Article

Tosta, S., Giovanetti, M., Brandão Nardy, V., Reboredo de Oliveira da Silva, L., Kelly Astete Gómez, M., Gomes Lima, J., Wanderley Cardoso, C., Oliveira Silva, T., São Pedro Leal de Souza, M., Presta Dia, P.H., et al. (2021). Short report: early genomic detection of SARS-CoV-2 P.1 variant in northeast Brazil. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 15, e0009591. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd. 0009591.

Voloch, C.M., da Silva Francisco, R., de Almeida, L.G.P., Cardoso, C.C., Brustolini, O.J., Gerber, A.L., Guimarães, A.P.C., Mariani, D., da Costa, R.M., Ferreira, O.C., et al. (2021). Genomic characterization of a novel SARS-CoV-2 lineage from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. J. Virol. 95, e00119– e00121. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00119-21.



STAR*METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Biological samples		
Saliva or nasopharyngeal swabs (NPS) sample	State Central Laboratories (LACENs)	N/A
Critical commercial assays		
Maxwell® RSC Viral Total Nucleic Acid Purification Kit	Promega	AS1330
Illumina® COVIDSeq™ Test	Illumina Inc.	20043675
Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay	Seegene	RP10250X
SARS-CoV-2 (E/RP) (Biomanguinhos)	Biomanguinhos	https://www.bio.fiocruz.br/index.php/br/ produtos/reativos/testes-moleculares/ novo-coronavirus-sars-cov2/kit- molecular-sars-cov-2-e-rp
SARS-CoV-2 CDC RT-PCR in-house protocol	CDC in house	https://www.fda.gov/media/ 134922/download
PROTOSCRIPT® II FIRST STRAND CDNA SYNTHESIS KIT - 150 RX	New England Biolabs	E6560L
Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase	ThermoFisher	M0493L
Qubit High Sensitivity dsDNA kit	ThermoFisher	Q32851
SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System	Invitrogen	18091200
Deposited data		
Data S2	This study	N/A
Data S2 Software and algorithms	This study	N/A
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6	This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6	This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://www.geneious.com/	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin	This study This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://www.geneious.com/ https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade	This study This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://www.geneious.com/ https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467	This study This study thtps://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2	This study This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/ http://www.iqtree.org	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst	This study This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/ http://www.iqtree.org http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tempest/	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016
Deta S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst BEAST v1.10	This study	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018
Deta S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst BEAST v1.10 TRACER v1.7	This study	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018
Deposited dataData S2Software and algorithmsViralflow v.0.0.6Geneious v10.2.6PangolinNextcladeMAFFT v7.467IQ-TREE v2.1.2TempEstBEAST v1.10TRACER v1.7Augur	This study	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018 Rambaut et al., 2018 Huddleston et al., 2021
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst BEAST v1.10 TRACER v1.7 Augur	This study	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018 Rambaut et al., 2018 Huddleston et al., 2021
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst BEAST v1.10 TRACER v1.7 Augur AliView FigTree v1.4.4	This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/ http://www.iqtree.org http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tempest/ https://beast.community/index.html https://docs.nextstrain.org/projects/augur/ en/stable/index.html https://docs.nextstrain.org/projects/augur/ en/stable/index.html https://github.com/AliView/AliView https://github.com/AliView/AliView	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018 Rambaut et al., 2018 Huddleston et al., 2021 Larsson, 2014 N/A
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst BEAST v1.10 TRACER v1.7 Augur AliView FigTree v1.4.4 SPREAD	This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/ http://www.iqtree.org http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tempest/ http://beast.community/index.html http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/ https://docs.nextstrain.org/projects/augur/ en/stable/index.html https://github.com/AliView/AliView https://github.com/AliView/AliView	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018 Rambaut et al., 2018 Huddleston et al., 2021 Larsson, 2014 N/A Bielejec et al., 2011
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst BEAST v1.10 TRACER v1.7 Augur AliView FigTree v1.4.4 SPREAD R	This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/ http://www.iqtree.org http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tempest/ http://beast.community/index.html http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/ https://docs.nextstrain.org/projects/augur/ en/stable/index.html https://github.com/AliView/AliView https://github.com/AliView/AliView https://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/ https://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/spread https://trea.kuleuven.be/cev/software/spread	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018 Rambaut et al., 2018 Huddleston et al., 2021 Larsson, 2014 N/A Bielejec et al., 2011 N/A
Data S2 Software and algorithms Viralflow v.0.0.6 Geneious v10.2.6 Pangolin Nextclade MAFFT v7.467 IQ-TREE v2.1.2 TempEst BEAST v1.10 TRACER v1.7 Augur AliView FigTree v1.4.4 SPREAD R Circlize	This study https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/dezordi/ViralFlow https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://clades.nextstrain.org/ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/ http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/ http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tempest/ http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/ https://docs.nextstrain.org/projects/augur/ en/stable/index.html https://github.com/AliView/AliView https://github.com/AliView/AliView https://rega.kuleuven.be/cev/ecv/software/spread https://rega.kuleuven.be/cev/ecv/software/spread https://cran.r-project.org/ https://github.com/jokergoo/circlize	N/A Dezordi et al., 2022 N/A Rambaut et al., 2020 Aksamentov et al., 2021 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Katoh and Standley, 2013 Nguyen et al., 2015 Rambaut et al., 2016 Suchard et al., 2018 Rambaut et al., 2018 Huddleston et al., 2021 Larsson, 2014 N/A Bielejec et al., 2011 N/A Gu et al., 2014

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for laboratory resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Paola Cristina Resende (paola@ioc.fiocruz.br) and/or Felipe Gomes Naveca (felipe.naveca@





fiocruz.br). Requests for computational resources and files should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding authors, Tiago Gräf (tiago.graf@fiocruz.br) and/or Gonzalo Bello (gbello@ioc.fiocruz.br).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

- SARS-CoV-2 genome data have been deposited at EpiCov database in the GISAID platform and are publicly available. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table.
- Additional Supplemental Items are available from Mendeley Data at https://data.mendeley.com/ datasets/mkm6k9mby7/1
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

The genomic surveillance network

The Brazilian Ministry of Health COVID-19 Genomic Surveillance Network is composed by Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Fiocruz), Adolfo Lutz Institute (IAL), Evandro Chagas Institute (IEC), the Central Laboratories of each State of Brazil (LACENs) and other partners, such as Health Secretariat of Aparecida de Goiânia, Goiás. Saliva or nasopharyngeal swabs (NPS) samples were collected from suspect COVID-19 cases by sentinel hospitals or health care units and initially tested by real time RT-PCR as a routine diagnostic for SARS-CoV-2 by LACENs using any of the following different commercial assays: SARS-CoV2 (E/RP) (Biomanguinhos, https://www.bio.fiocruz.br/index.php/br/produtos/reativos/testes-moleculares/novo-coronavirus-sars-cov2/kit-molecular-sars-cov-2-e-rp), Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay (Seegene) or an in-house protocol following the USA/CDC guidelines (https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download). SARS-CoV-2 positive samples with cycling threshold (Ct) below 25 were randomly selected for genome sequencing. Alternatively, targeted cases, such as reinfection cases and fatal cases without comorbidities, were also selected for sequencing. Samples are then sent to one of the genomic reference laboratories participating in the network for whole genome sequencing.

Ethical aspects

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the of the FIOCRUZ (CAAE: 68118417.6.0000.5248 and CAAE: 32333120.4.0000.5190) and Amazonas State University (CAAE: 25430719.6.0000.5016), which waived the signed informed consent. SISGEN AAE5985.

METHOD DETAILS

SARS-CoV-2 amplification and sequencing

The viral RNA was subjected to reverse transcription and PCR amplification using in-house protocols developed by COVID-19 Fiocruz Genomic Network (Nascimento et al., 2020; Resende et al., 2020b) or the Illumina COVIDSeq Test (Illumina), including some primers to cover regions with dropout (Naveca et al., 2022). Normalized pooled amplicons of each sample were used to prepare NGS libraries with Nextera XT (FC-131-1096) and clustered with MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 (500-cycles - MS-102-2003) on 2 × 250 cycles (in-house protocols) or 2 × 150 cycles (MS-103-1002) paired-end runs. All sequencing data was collected using the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platforms and MiSeq Control software v2.6.2.1 (Illumina).

SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome consensus sequences and genotyping

FastQ files generated by the Illumina pipeline at BaseSpace (Illumina) were downloaded and submitted to the Viralflow v.0.0.6 workflow (Dezordi et al., 2022). SARS-CoV-2 genome assembly was performed using the reference NC_045512.2 after trimming primers sequences of the ARTIC v3 primer set and the additional primer sequences used in the COVIDSeq adaptation performed by COVID-19 Fiocruz Genomic Surveillance network (Naveca et al., 2022). Alternatively, some sequencing hubs from downloaded and imported the FASTQ files into Geneious v10.2.6 (Biomatters) for trimming and assembling. Consensus sequences were initially assigned to viral lineages according to the nomenclature proposed



by Rambaut et al. (2020), using the Pangolin software (https://cov-lineages.org/resources/pangolin.html) and later confirmed using phylogenetic analyses.

Dataset assembling

We complemented the Gamma and P.2 genomes dataset identified in our genomic surveillance by retrieving all Brazilian high quality (<5% N) whole-genomes (29 kb) identified as Gamma and P.2 from EpiCoV database in GISAID (https://www.gisaid.org) and that were deposited up to April 16th. Mutational composition of these sequences was analyzed in Nextclade (https://clades.nextstrain.org) (Aksamentov et al., 2021) and due to highly variable patterns of substitutions, we have retained in the dataset only those genomes with the full-set of P.2 and Gamma lineages defining synapomorphies, thus excluding from the analysis all Gamma-like sequences (Table S2. Gamma lineage defining synapomorphies and Table S3. P.2 lineage defining synapomorphies).

Analysis of temporal signal

SARS-CoV-2 Gamma and P.2 complete genome sequences were aligned using MAFFT v7.467 (Katoh Standley, 2013) and subject to maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic analysis using IQ-TREE v2.1.2 (Nguyen et al., 2015) under the general time-reversible (GTR) model of nucleotide substitution with a gamma-distributed rate variation among sites, four rate categories (G4), a proportion of invariable sites (I) and empirical base frequencies (F) nucleotide substitution model, as selected by the ModelFinder application (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017). The branch support was assessed by the approximate likelihood-ratio test based on the Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like procedure (SH-aLRT) with 1,000 replicates. The temporal signal of the Gamma and P.2 assembled datasets was assessed from the ML tree by performing a regression analysis of the root-to-tip divergence against sampling time using TempEst (Rambaut et al., 2016) and excluding outlier sequences that deviate more than 1.5 interguartile ranges from root-to-tip regression line, which included those Gamma and P.2 sequences with the oldest sampling dates. The expected collection dates of the oldest Gamma and P.2 sequences were next estimated by using the Bayesian leaf-dating method developed to estimate the age of sequences with unknown collection date (Shapiro et al., 2011). For this analysis, the oldest Gamma and P.2 sequences were combined with sequences from Amazonas (Gamma epicenter) and RJ P.2 epicenter), respectively, and were analyzed with the BEAST v1.10 software (Suchard et al., 2018) using a GTR + F + I + G4 nucleotide substitution model, an exponential growth coalescent model for the tree prior and a strict molecular clock with a uniform substitution rate prior (8–10 \times 10⁻⁴ substitutions/site/year). To estimate the expected sampling dates of the oldest sequences we set a precision value of two years for the recorded date (year and month) of sampling and select the tip date option sampling uniformly from precision. Sequences for which the recorded collection date was not contained within the 95% High Posterior Density (HPD) interval of the leaf-age estimate were excluded. Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) was run sufficiently long to ensure convergence (effective sample size> 200) in all parameter estimates as assessed in TRACER v1.7 (Rambaut et al., 2018).

Estimating time-scaled phylogenetic trees

Due to the size of the datasets, we firstly estimated the time of the most recent common ancestor (T_{MRCA}) of each lineage by applying a full phylogenetic Bayesian analysis in downsampled datasets. To do so, 10 genomes per Brazilian state per week were randomly chosen using Augur (Huddleston et al., 2021), resulting in a dataset of 191 Gamma and 219 P.2 sequences. Alignments were generated using MAFFT v7.475 (Katoh Standley, 2013) and visually inspected in AliView (Larsson, 2014). Time-scaled phylogenetic trees were estimated in BEAST v.1.10 (Suchard et al., 2018) under a GTR + F + I + G4 model. The evolutionary model was complemented with the non-parametric Bayesian skyline (BSKL) model as the coalescent tree prior (Drummond et al., 2005) and a strict molecular clock model with a uniform substitution rate prior $(8-10 \times 10^{-4} \text{ substitutions/site/year})$. MCMC was run sufficiently long to ensure convergence (effective sample size> 200) in all parameter estimates as assessed in TRACER v1.7 (Rambaut et al., 2018). The maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree was summarized with TreeAnnotator v1.10. ML and MCC trees were visualized using FigTree v1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). The time-scaled tree for the full Gamma (N = 2,477) and P.2 (N = 1,357) datasets was performed using a modified version of BEAST (https://beast.community/thorney_beast) that makes feasible the analysis of big datasets. In summary the method alleviates most of the computational burden by fixing the tree topology and then re-scales the branch lengths based on a clock and coalescent models. To do so, ML phylogenetic trees constructed for both Gamma and P.2 datasets as explained above, were inputted in the BEAST xml file





as starting and data trees and analyses were performed under a logistic coalescent prior, which outperformed [Bayes Factor (BF) > 3] the exponential prior in a Marginal Likelihood Estimation (MLE) of model fitness, and a strict molecular clock, as specified above. Additionally, we set a uniform prior on the root height estimates based on the 95% HPD determined in the BEAST analysis of the downsampled datasets. Four and three MCMC were run for 100 million generations and then combined to ensure stationarity and good mixing for the Gamma and P.2 datasets, respectively.

Discrete bayesian phylogeography

A set of 1000 and 900 trees was randomly selected from the posterior distribution of trees resulting from the BEAST analysis of the full Gamma and P.2 datasets, respectively. Sampling locations (Brazilian states) were used as traits in the phylogeographic model and reconstruction of the ancestral states was performed using a discrete symmetric model with BSSVS (Lemey et al., 2009) on the posterior sampling of trees. SPREAD software (Bielejec et al., 2011) was used to identify the well-supported transition rates based (BF > 3). We complemented this analysis with Markov jump estimation of the number of location transitions throughout evolutionary history (O'Brien et al., 2009), which was used to explore directionality of the transitions. Viral migration history between all supported location transitions was then visualized in circular migration flow plots using the package "circlize" (Gu et al., 2014) available in R software (https://www.rproject.org). The temporal history of transitions from the lineage epicenter to other Brazilian states was summarized as histograms in one-week periods. Because many spatial transitions connected nodes with low support, we used a conservative approach to estimate the onset date of community transmission in each state from the T_{MRCA} of only highly supported (SH-aLRT > 80) clusters comprising at least three sequences from a given state and whose location root was most probably traced (Posterior State Probability [PSP] > 0.50) to that state. Additionally, we summarize P.2 and Gamma lineages spatial-temporal history alongside with SARI cases trajectories in Brazil, by generating an animation with baltic library (https:// github.com/evogytis/baltic).

Effective reproductive number (Re) estimation

To estimate the Re of lineages Gamma and P.2 through time in the source locations, we selected all Gamma sequences from Amazonas and all P.2 sequences from RJ whose state of the ancestral node in the phylogeographic trees remained in Amazonas or RJ, respectively. To estimate the Re of Gamma and P.2 dissemination outside the source locations, state specific clusters in the phylogenetic trees were selected based on the following criteria: a) high branch support (SH-aLRT > 80); b) cluster size bigger than 10 sequences; c) > 80% of sequences from a single state and origin of the cluster in the same state. Selected clusters were then combined and analyzed when the dataset comprised more than 50 sequences. Following these criteria, we could only analyze the phylodynamic of local dissemination of Gamma in the states of RJ and SP. The assembled datasets comprised 298 and 199 Gamma genomes for RJ and SP, respectively. For P.2 we could not analyze local dissemination outside the source region. The assembled datasets were then analyzed using the birth-death skyline (BDSKY) model (Stadler et al., 2013) which reconstructs Re trajectories and is implemented within BEAST 2 v2.6.5 (Bouckaert et al., 2019). The sampling rate (d) was set to zero for the period before the oldest sample and estimated from the data afterward. The BDSKY prior settings were as follows: Become Uninfectious Rate (exponential, mean = 36); Reproductive Number (log normal, mean = 0.8, sd = 0.5); Sampling Proportion (beta, alpha = 1, beta = 100). Origin parameter was conditioned to the root height, and the Re was estimated in a piecewise manner over intervals defining to match state specific epidemiological trajectories. Molecular clock was as in the time-scaled trees analysis and the HKY + G4+F substitution model was used. MCMC chains were run until all relevant parameters reached ESS >200, as explained above.