PLASTOME REPORT

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

Characterization of the complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Artemisia sylvatica* Maximowicz 1859 (Asteraceae)

Qiaoyu Zhang^a, Zelong Yu^b, Chunsheng Wang^c, Yingli Zhang^a, Bailing Miao^a, Yuan Xu^d and Qiong Chen^d

^aCollege of Horticulture, Xinyang Agricultural and Forestry University, Xinyang, P. R. China; ^bCollege of Forestry, Xinyang Agricultural and Forestry University, Xinyang, P. R. China; ^cCollege of Agriculture, Xinyang Agricultural and Forestry University, Xinyang, P. R. China; ^dCollege of Pharmacy, Xinyang Agricultural and Forestry University, Xinyang, P. R. China

ABSTRACT

Artemisia sylvatica Maximowicz 1859 is one of the medicinal herbs in *Artemisia*. This study presents the complete chloroplast genome of *A. sylvatica*, sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq platform. The genome is 151,161 bp in length, featuring a GC content of 38%. It consists of a large single-copy (LSC) region of 82,892 bp, a small single-copy (SSC) region of 18,353 bp, and two inverted repeat (IR) regions of 24,958 bp each. In total, the genome contains 132 genes, including 87 protein-coding genes, 37 tRNA genes, and 8 rRNA genes. Phylogenetic analysis positions *A. sylvatica* within the subgenus *Artemisia*, highlighting its evolutionary relationships within this diverse genus. The first chloroplast genome of *A. sylvatica* was reported in this work contributes to the enrichment of genomic data for the genus *Artemisia*.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 7 August 2024

Accepted 4 October 2024

KEYWORDS *Artemisia sylvatica;* chloroplast genome; phylogenetic analyses

Artemisia is one of the largest genera in the Asteraceae family, comprising more than 500 species worldwide. Many of these species are well-known medicinal herbs, such as Artemisia abrotanum, Artemisia absinthium, Artemisia annua, Artemisia dracunculus, and Artemisia vulgaris (Ekiert et al. 2022; Nurlybekova et al. 2022). Artemisia sylvatica Maximowicz 1859 has been reported as an herbal medicine for the treatment of inflammation (Jin et al. 2004; Lee et al. 1998), cancer (Choi and Kim 2013), and the use of anticomplement (Moon et al. 2012). With the development of Artemisia species research, increasing numbers of complete chloroplast genomes of Artemisia species have been reported (Lee et al. 2016; Lim et al. 2018). However, the complete chloroplast genome of Artemisia sylvatica has not yet been sequenced.

In this study, we characterized the chloroplast genome sequence of *A. sylvatica* thoroughly to provide insights into the genome characterization and evolution of this important species. This research contributes to molecular studies within the *Artemisia* genus and the broader Asteraceae family.

Materials and methods

Plant material collection and DNA extraction

Plant samples of A. sylvatica were collected from Xinyang, China (longitude $114^{\circ}04'$ E, latitude $32^{\circ}07'$ N) and authenticated by

Dr. ZeLong Yu, an expert in plant identification at Xinyang Agricultural and Forestry University (XYAFU). Voucher specimens were deposited at the Dabie Mountain Biodiversity Herbarium (contact person: Wei Zhou, 634858289@qq.com) under accession number ZW20230810025 (Figure 1). Fresh leaves were packaged in thin foil and then frozen by liquid nitrogen for high throughput sequencing. Genomic DNA was extracted using a DNA easy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Co., Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturers' instructions. NanoDrop2000C spectrophotometry and electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose gel were used to detect the concentration and integration of the total DNA, respectively.

Sequencing, assembly, and annotation

Extracted DNA was fragmented to an average size of approximately 400 bp using CovarisM220 (Gene Company Limited, China) for paired-end library construction. Paired-end library was constructed using NEXTFLEX[®] Rapid DNA-Seq (BiooScientific, Austin, TX, USA). Adapters containing the full complement of sequencing primer hybridization sites were ligated to the blunt end of fragments. The paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Raw reads were quality controlled with Trimmomatic and Fast QC software (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

CONTACT Qiong Chen S 599299467@qq.com C College of Pharmacy, Xinyang Agricultural and Forestry University, Xinyang, P. R. China. Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2024.2415130.



Figure 1. A Specimen of the *A. sylvatica*, (A)a whole plant without the root, exhibited in the Dabie Mountain Biodiversity Herbarium. (B) One of the flowers. (C) The phyllaries of one flower, (D) Bisexual florets inside one flower. The image a was taken by Qiaoyu Zhang. The images B, C and D were taken by Yuan Xu.

uk/projects/fastqc). The assembly strategy of cp genome referred to Zhou's (Zhou et al. 2017). Cp-like reads were extracted by mapping clean reads against the collection of cp genomes retrieved from the NCBI nucleotide database based on their coverage and similarity. Cp contigs were assembled based on cp-like reads using SOAPdenovo2 (Luo et al. 2015), then scaffolded by SSPACE (Boetzer et al. 2011). Finally, gaps were filled with clean reads using the Gap Filler package (Nadalin et al. 2012). The annotation of the *A. sylvatica* chloroplast genome was performed using CPGAVAS2 (http://www.cpgavas2) (Shi et al. 2019), concerning the annotation of *Artemisia princeps* isolate PRPS03 (OP359063.1), and manually curated using Apollo (Misra and Harris 2005). The overall features of the *A. sylvatica* chloroplast genome were visualized using CPGview (Liu et al. 2023).

Phylogenetic tree construction

A total of 45 whole chloroplast genomes from various *Artemisia* species were retrieved from the NCBI database for phylogenetic analysis, including *A. absinthium* (NC_066024.1), *A. annua* (KY085890.1), *A. japonica* (MG951491.1), *A. ordosica* (MN932370.1), *A. selengensis* (MG951497.1), *A. transiliensis* (NC_070210.1) and so on (Supplemental Table 1). These genomes were aligned using MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2002), and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using RaxML-ng after determining the best-fit model for phylogenetic inference

(Minh et al. 2020). The resulting tree was visualized using iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/).

Results

A total of 18,450,012 paired reads were assembled to the complete plastid genome of A. sylvatica, revealing the average coverage depth was 1292X (Supplemental Figure 1). The complete chloroplast genome of A. sylvatica has been submitted to GenBank under the accession number PQ009835. The genome exhibited a typical quadripartite structure (Figure 2) spanning 151,161 bp in total length. The large single copy (LSC) region comprised 82,892 bp, the small single copy (SSC) region comprised 18,353 bp, and a pair of inverted repeat (IR) regions separated the LSC and SSC, each region spanning 24,958 bp. The chloroplast genome encoded 132 complete genes, including 87 protein-coding genes, 37 tRNA genes, and 8 rRNA genes (Supplemental Tables 2, 3, and 4). A total of 96 genes were unique, including 73 protein-coding and 23 tRNA genes, and all the rRNA genes were duplicated in IR regions. The average GC content was 38%. The schematic map of the cissplicing genes in A. sylvatica chloroplast genome was shown in Supplemental Figure 2. Trans-splicing gene rps12 had three unique exons. Two of them were duplicated as they were located in the IR regions (Supplemental Figure 3).

Annotation of the chloroplast genome identified a total of 40 SSRs, predominantly consisting of mono-nucleotide

Figure 2. The complete plastome map of *A. sylvatica*, which was generated by CPGview. LSC, SSC, and IRs (IRa and IRb) with their length are represented on the first circle. The second circle showed the GC ratio in dark gray. The outermost circle indicated gene names color-coded by their functional classification. The transcription directions for the inner and outer genes were clockwise and anticlockwise, respectively. The functional classification of the genes was shown in the bottom left corner. The optional codon usage bias was displayed in the parenthesis after the gene name.

repeats (33; 82.5%), followed by di-nucleotide repeats (4; 10%) and tri-nucleotide repeats (3; 7.5%) (Supplemental Table 5 and Supplemental Figure 4).

For further exploration of the phylogenetic relationships of *A. sylvatica* within the *Artemisia* genus, a maximum likelihood tree was constructed using 43 *Artemisia* species and two outgroup species (*Helianthus annuus*-NC_007977.1 and *Helianthus tuberosus*-MG696658.1). The analysis placed the cp genome of *A. sylvatica* in Clade 8 (Jiao et al. 2023), named subgenus *Artemisia* (Figure 3A). The phylogenetic tree (Figure 3B) from the complete chloroplast genome sequences revealed that *A. sylvatica* was closely related to *A.lactiflora* (MW411453.1), additionally, it clustered with nine other species: *A. princeps* (MF034021.1), *A. montana* (KF887960.1), *A. indica* (NC080507.1), *A. tangutica* (MT701043.1), *A. rubripes* (MG951496.1), *A. argyi* (KM386991.1), *A. argyrophylla* (MF034022.1), *A. stolonifera* (MG951500.1), and *A. lancea* (NC 071926.1), all of which were placed within the subgenus *Artemisia*.

Discussion and conclusions

This study reported the first complete chloroplast genome sequence of *A. sylvatica*. The genus *Artemisia* is known for its morphological diversity, characterized by morphological traits like pollen type and floret arrangement within the capitula (Bremer and Humphries 1993; Vallès et al. 2011; Watson et al. 2002). However, molecular sequencing has revealed discrepancies between molecular phylogeny and infrageneric taxonomy of *Artemisia*, challenging the reliability of traditional morphological classifications (Garcia et al. 2011b; Riggins and Seigler 2012; Shultz and Flora of North America Editorial Committee 2006).

Jiao's et al. (2023) research is currently the most comprehensive and authoritative study on *Artemisia* classification by

Figure 3. A maximum-likelihood (ML) based phylogenetic tree of *A. sylvatica* and related *Artemisia* species. The numbers on each node indicated the bootstrap support values. (A) The overall phylogeny of all the species and outgroups. A detailed phylogenetic relationship of those species was illustrated in Supplemental Table 1. *Artemisia* was classified into five major clades (Clade 8, Clade 7, Clade 4, Clade 1, and Clade 5 shown by different colors, respectively) (Jiao et al. 2023). (B) A clear relationship of *A. sylvatica* with the other 10 species in the same clade named Clade 8. The following sequences were used: *Helianthus tuberosus* (MG696658.1) (Zhong et al. 2019), *Helianthus.annuus* (NC 007977.1) (Timme et al. 2006), *A.princeps* (MF034021.1) (Min et al. 2019), *A.montana* (KF887960.1) (Cao et al. 2020), *A.indica* (NC 080507.1), *A.lactiflora* (MW411453.1) (Lan et al. 2022), *A.tangutica* (MT701043.1) (Yu et al. 2022), *A.rubripes* (MG951496.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.argyi* (KM386991.1) (Kang et al. 2016), *A.argyrophylla* (MF034022.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.frigida* (JX293720.1) (Jin et al. 2023a), *A.juncea* (NC 070198.1) (Jin et al. 2022), *A.gmelinii* (KU736962.1) (Lee et al. 2016), *A.freyniana* (MS951497.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.dracunculus* (NC 066025.1), *A.giraldii* (OK128342.1) (Yue et al. 2022), *A.parviflora* (NC 086944.1), *A.haliaisanensis* (MG951490.1) (Lim et al. 2020), *A.dracinculus* (NG 0951492.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.argiacia* (MG951491.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.dracinculus* (MG951492.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.apiacea* (MG951493.1), *A.capilaris* (KU736963.1) (Lee et al. 2016), *A.jardacia* (MG951494.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.dracinculus* (MG951492.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.apiacea* (MG951483.1), *A.capilaris* (KU736963.1) (Lee et al. 2016), *A.jardacia* (MG951494.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.dracinculus* (MG951494.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.apiacea* (MG951483.1), *A.capilaris* (KU7085890.1) (Xinqiang Guo et al. 2024), *A.nakaii* (MG951494.1) (Kim et al. 2020), *A.fukudo* (KU360270.1) (

molecular sequencing, sampling 228 species (258 samples) from both fresh and herbarium collections across all subgenera and key geographical areas. He conducted a phylogenomic analysis using nuclear SNPs from genome skimming data, proposing eight subgenera. Our study, based on whole chloroplast genomes, supports this classification and confirms the placement of *A. sylvatica* and ten other species within the subgenus *Artemisia*.

However, our results did not fully align with Jiao's et al. (2023) findings. In our study, *A. sylvatica* and *A. lactiflora* clustered together (Figure 3B), while Jiao reported *A. sylvatica* and *A. princeps* forming a cluster in Clade 8c. This discrepancy likely stem from the differing genetic data used: Jiao's analysis based on nuclear genes and genome skimming, whereas our phylogenetic tree is based on whole chloroplast genomes. Since *Artemisia* plants are wind-pollinated and susceptible to hybridization, phylogenetic trees derived from nuclear genomes and maternally inherited chloroplast genomes may differ. Further experimental verification is necessary to clarify these differences.

Author contributions

Q.Z. and Q.C. conceived the project, Z.Y., C.W., Y. Z., B. M. and Y.X. collected the samples and analyzed the data, Q.Z. and Y.X. drafted this work, Q.C. reviewed the work. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of this work.

Ethical approval

The sample of *A. sylvatica* was collected with permission from the Dongjiahe Botanical Farm, Xinyang City, Henan Province, China, and strictly complied with local and Chinese regulations. No ethical approval is required in this study.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work was financially supported by Young Ph.D. startup funding in 2019 from Xinyang Agricultural and Forestry University [203034] and Research on the key technology and industrialization demonstration application of standardized ecological planting under the forest of Chinese medicinal materials in Henan Province [241111311400].

Data availability statement

The genome sequence data that support the findings of this study are openly available in GenBank of NCBI at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ under the accession number PQ009835. The associated BioProject, BioSample, and SRA numbers are PRJNA1136178, SAMN42506235, and SRR29869130, respectively.

References

Boetzer M, Henkel CV, Jansen HJ, Butler D, Pirovano W. 2011. Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. Bioinformatics. 27(4):578–579. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq683.

- Bremer K, Humphries C. 1993. Generic monograph of the Asteraceae-Anthemideae. Bulletin of the Natural History Museum. 23:71–177.
- Cao X, Zhao M, Qi J. 2020. The complete chloroplast genome sequence of medicinal plant, *Artemisia Montana*. Mitochondrial DNA Part B. 5(3): 2137–2138. doi:10.1080/23802359.2020.1768941.
- Choi E, Kim G. 2013. Effect of artemisia species on cellular proliferation and apoptosis in human breast cancer cells via estrogen receptorrelated pathway. J Tradit Chin Med. 33(5):658–663. doi:10.1016/s0254-6272(14)60038-8.
- Ekiert H, Klimek-Szczykutowicz M, Rzepiela A, Klin P, Szopa A. 2022. Artemisia species with high biological values as a potential source of medicinal and cosmetic raw materials. Molecules. 27(19):6427. doi:10. 3390/molecules27196427.
- Garcia S, McArthur ED, Pellicer J, Sanderson SC, Vallès J, Garnatje T. 2011b. A molecular phylogenetic approach to western North America endemic *Artemisia* and allies (Asteraceae): untangling the sagebrushes. Am J Bot. 98(4):638–653. doi:10.3732/ajb.1000386.
- Guo X, Xue D, Wu Y, Yu M. 2024. Comparative analysis of *Artemisia* plastomes and insights into the infra-generic phylogenetic relationships of the genus. 15 July, PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-4573083/v1.
- Iram S, Hayat MQ, Tahir M, Gul A, Abdullah, Ahmed I. 2019. Chloroplast genome sequence of *Artemisia scoparia*: comparative analyses and screening of mutational hotspots. Plants (Basel). 8(11):476. doi:10. 3390/plants8110476.
- Jiao B, Chen C, Wei M, Niu G, Zheng J, Zhang G, Shen J, Vitales D, Vallès J, Verloove F, et al. 2023. Phylogenomics and morphological evolution of the mega-diverse genus *Artemisia* (Asteraceae: Anthemideae): implications for its circumscription and infrageneric taxonomy. Ann Bot. 131(5):867–883. doi:10.1093/aob/mcad051.
- Jin HZ, Lee JH, Lee D, Hong YS, Kim YH, Lee JJ. 2004. Inhibitors of the LPSinduced NF-kappaB activation from *Artemisia sylvatica*. Phytochemistry. 65(15):2247–2253. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2004.06.034.
- Jin G, Wen Song Z, Feng S, Feng Y. 2023a. The complete chloroplast genome of an endangered plant *Artemisia borotalensis* (Asteraceae) and phylogenetic analysis. Mitochondrial DNA Part B. 8(1):145–148. doi:10.1080/23802359.2022.2163599.
- Jin G, Li W, Song F, Yang L, Wen Z, Feng Y. 2023b. Comparative analysis of complete Artemisia subgenus Seriphidium (Asteraceae: Anthemideae) chloroplast genomes: insights into structural divergence and phylogenetic relationships. BMC Plant Biol. 23(1):136. doi:10.1186/s12870-023-04113-1.
- Jin GZ, Sheludyakova M, Li WJ, Song F, Wen ZB, Feng Y. 2023c. Artemisia qingheensis (Asteraceae, Anthemideae), a new species from Xinjiang, China. PhytoKeys. 229:229–239. doi:10.3897/phytokeys.229.101689.
- Kang SH, Kim K, Lee JH, Ahn BO, Won SY, Sohn SH, Kim JS. 2016. The complete chloroplast genome sequence of medicinal plant, *Artemisia argyi*. Mitochondrial DNA B Resour. 1(1):257–258. doi:10.1080/ 23802359.2016.1159926.
- Katoh K, Kazuharu M, Kuma K, Takashi M. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res. 30(14):3059–3066. doi:10.1093/nar/gkf436.
- Kim GB, Lim CE, Kim JS, Kim K, Lee JH, Yu HJ, Mun JH. 2020. Comparative chloroplast genome analysis of *Artemisia* (Asteraceae) in East Asia: insights into evolutionary divergence and phylogenomic implications. BMC Genomics. 21(1):415. doi:10.1186/s12864-020-06812-7.
- Lan Z, Shi Y, Yin Q, Gao R, Liu C, Wang W, Tian X, Liu J, Nong Y, Xiang L, et al. 2022. Comparative and phylogenetic analysis of complete chloroplast genomes from five *Artemisia* species. Front Plant Sci. 13: 1049209. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.1049209.
- Lee SH, Kim MJ, Bok SH, Lee H, Kwon BM, Shin J, Seo Y. 1998. Arteminolide, an inhibitor of farnesyl transferase from *Artemisia sylvatica*. J Org Chem. 63(20):7111–7113. doi:10.1021/jo980919p.
- Lee YS, Park JY, Kim JK, Lee HO, Park HS, Lee SC, Kang JH, Lee TJ, Sung SH, Yang TJ. 2016. The complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Artemisia gmelinii* and *Artemisia capillaris* (Asteraceae). Mitochondrial DNA B Resour. 1(1):410–411. doi:10.1080/23802359.2016.1176880.
- Lim CE, Kim GB, Ryu SA, Yu HJ, Mun JH. 2018. The complete chloroplast genome of *Artemisia hallaisanensis* Nakai (Asteraceae), an endemic

medicinal herb in Korea. Mitochondrial DNA B Resour. 3(1):359–360. doi:10.1080/23802359.2018.1450680.

- Liu S, Ni Y, Li J, Zhang X, Yang H, Chen H, Liu C. 2023. CPGView: a package for visualizing detailed chloroplast genome structures. Mol Ecol Resour. 23(3):694–704. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13729.
- Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, He G, Chen Y, Pan Q, Liu Y, et al. 2015. Erratum: SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. Gigascience. 4(1):30. doi:10. 1186/s13742-015-0069-2.
- Lu K, Mao W, Du Z, He Y, Fan C, Zhang K, Wang L, Liu G, Duan Y. 2020. The complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Artemisia ordosica*. Mitochondrial DNA Part B. 5(2):1663–1664. doi:10.1080/23802359.2020. 1748530.
- Moon HI, Jung S, Lee YC, Lee JH. 2012. Anticomplement activity of various solvent extracts from Korea local *Artemisia* spp. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol. 34(1):95–97. doi:10.3109/08923973.2011.581286.
- Nadalin F, Vezzi F, Policriti A. 2012. GapFiller: a de novo assembly approach to fill the gap within paired reads. BMC Bioinformatics. 13 Suppl 14(Suppl 14):S8. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-s14-s8.
- Nurlybekova A, Kudaibergen A, Kazymbetova A, Amangeldi M, Baiseitova A, Ospanov M, Aisa HA, Ye Y, Ibrahim MA, Jenis J. 2022. Traditional use, phytochemical profiles and pharmacological properties of *Artemisia* genus from Central Asia. Molecules. 27(16):5128. doi:10. 3390/molecules27165128.
- Riggins CW, Seigler DS. 2012. The genus Artemisia (Asteraceae: anthemideae) at a continental crossroads: molecular insights into migrations, disjunctions, and reticulations among Old and New World species from a Beringian perspective. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 64(3):471–490. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.05.003.
- Shi L, Chen H, Jiang M, Wang L, Wu X, Huang L, Liu C. 2019. CPGAVAS2, an integrated plastome sequence annotator and analyzer. Nucleic Acids Res. 47(W1):W65–W73. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz345.
- Shultz LM. 2006. Artemisia. In Flora of North America Editorial Committee, editor. Flora of North America North of Mexico, Vols. 19– 21. New York: Oxford University Press, p. 503–534.

- Timme RK, Kuehl J, Boore J, Jansen RK. 2006. A comparison of the first two sequenced chloroplast genomes in Asteraceae: lettuce and sunflower. doi:10.2172/960402.
- Min J, Park J, Kim Y, Kwon W. 2019. The complete chloroplast genome of Artemisia fukudo Makino (Asteraceae): providing insight of intraspecies variations. Mitochondrial DNA Part B. 4(1):1510–1512. doi:10.1080/ 23802359.2019.1601044.
- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, Haeseler A, Lanfear R. 2020. IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Mol Biol Evol. 37(5):1530–1534. doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa015.
- Misra S, Harris N. 2005. Using Apollo to browse and edit genome annotations. Curr Protoc Bioinform. 9(1):9.5.1–9.5.28. doi:10.1002/0471250953. bi0905s12.
- Vallès J, Garcia S, Hidalgo O, Martín J, Pellicer J, Sanz M, Garnatje T. 2011. Biology, genome evolution, biotechnological issues and research including applied perspectives in *Artemisia* (Asteraceae). Adv Botan Res. 60:349–419. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-385851-1.00015-9.
- Watson LE, Bates PL, Evans TM, Unwin MM, Estes JR. 2002. Molecular phylogeny of subtribe Artemisiinae (Asteraceae), including Artemisia and its allied and segregate genera. BMC Evol Biol. 2(1):17. doi:10. 1186/1471-2148-2-17.
- Yu J, Xia M, Wang Y, Chi X, Xu H, Chen S, Zhang F. 2022. Short and long reads chloroplast genome assemblies and phylogenomics of *Artemisia tangutica* (Asteraceae). Biologia. 77(4):915–930. doi:10.1007/s11756-021-00951-2.
- Yue J, Lu Q, Ni Y, Chen P, Liu C. 2022. Comparative analysis of the plastid and mitochondrial genomes of *Artemisia giraldii* Pamp. Sci Rep. 12(1): 13931. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-18387-2.
- Zhou J, Chen X, Cui Y, Sun W, Li Y, Wang Y, Song J, Yao H. 2017. Molecular structure and phylogenetic analyses of complete chloroplast genomes of two *Aristolochia* medicinal species. Int J Mol Sci. 18(9):1839. doi:10.3390/ijms18091839.
- Zhong Q, Yang S, Sun X, Wang L, Li Y. 2019. The complete chloroplast genome of the Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus* L.) and an adaptive evolutionary analysis of the *ycf2* gene. PeerJ Preprints. 7: e27796v1. doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.27796v1.