Research Article

Ethnopharmacological Evaluation of Breu Essential Oils from **Protium Species Administered by Inhalation**

Eduardo Rodrigues da Silva,¹ Danilo Ribeiro de Oliveira,¹ Patrícia Dias Fernandes,² Humberto Ribeiro Bizzo,³ and Suzana Guimarães Leitão¹

¹Faculdade de Farmácia, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Bloco A, 2° Andar, Sala 10, Cidade Universitária, 21941-902 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

²Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro,

Bloco J, 1° Andar, Sala 10, Cidade Universitária, 21941-902 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

³Embrapa Agroindústria de Alimentos, Avenida das Américas 29501, Guaratiba, 23020-470 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Suzana Guimarães Leitão; sgleitao@gmail.com

Received 9 May 2017; Revised 13 September 2017; Accepted 8 October 2017; Published 13 November 2017

Academic Editor: Ken Yasukawa

Copyright © 2017 Eduardo Rodrigues da Silva et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Breu is an aromatic oleoresin which has been used by Amazonian traditional communities as a remedy for headaches and migraines by burning and inhaling the smoke produced during its combustion. This study evaluated the antinociceptive and sedative activities of formulations containing breu essential oils administered by inhalation. Methods. Five different formulations (A-E) containing breu essential oils were evaluated for their sedative and antinociceptive activities in mice. They were delivered for 20 minutes using an inhalation chamber coupled with a nebulizer and the air inside was collected by static headspace and analyzed by GC-FID. Results. All nebulized formulations had similar chemical compositions and major compounds as the original essential oils. None of them resulted in significant increase in response time during the hot plate test. In the formalin test, Formulation E showed a significant inhibition of licking responses in the early (46.8%) and late (60.2%) phases. Formulation B was effective (36.9%) in the first phase and Formulation D (37.9%) in the second. None of the formulations presented sedative effects. Conclusion. Breu essential oils, when inhaled, may present antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties without sedation. Additionally, nebulization proved to be an efficient method for administration of formulations containing these essential oils.

1. Introduction

Species belonging to the Protium genus (Burseraceae) produce a characteristic fragrant oleoresin with economic, medicinal, and cultural values [1, 2]. In the Brazilian Amazon region, these oleoresins are known as breu, breu-branco (white-tar), and breu-preto (black-tar) [3-5]. Breu oleoresins are a combination of two fractions-a volatile fraction that is mainly composed of mono- and sesquiterpenes and a solid nonvolatile fraction that is mainly composed of triterpenes-and have a complex chemical composition with varying concentrations of each component responsible for breu therapeutic activities [6-9]. Despite traditional communities' belief that there is a difference between white and black breu, we have demonstrated that it is difficult to

establish this nomenclature based on chemical, botanical, or regional names [5]. This traditional classification is probably associated with the darkening of the oleoresin caused by volatilization of select components and/or oxidation of others [5]. Among the mono and sesquiterpenes that characterize the volatile breu fractions, some present antimicrobial, antioxidant [10], analgesic [11], anti-inflammatory, and antitumor [12] activities. Because of its high sensorial quality, breu essential oil is also used as a fragrance in the cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries [13].

In March 2012, our research group embarked on an expedition to the quilombola territories along the Erepecuru River (Oriximiná, Brazil) in search of different breu trees and oleoresins to collect, analyze, and understand their use by the quilombolas. This journey was called "The Malungo Expedition" [5]. *Quilombola* communities (descendants of African slaves) from Oriximiná, in the State of Pará, Brazil, use *breu* in their popular medicine to treat headaches and migraines by burning and inhaling the smoke produced during its combustion [14, 15]. It can also be used as a nasal decongestant by inhalation for severe colds [16, 17] as well as a topical treatment for contusions and inflammation and can be administered as a patch [18, 19] for colds, coughs, and bronchitis [3]. Furthermore, *breu* is employed for mystical, spiritual [16, 19, 20], and superstitious purposes [21] as well as for caulking boats [14, 20].

Since The Malungo Expedition [5], our group has been interested in evaluating the traditional uses of breu resins as a remedy for headaches and migraines by inhalation of the smoke produced during its combustion, but a literature search for pharmacological animals models resulted in no validated studies. Several pharmacological models of pain involve inflammatory mediators. We selected the formalininduced licking model to evaluate inflammatory pain. This model also involves direct activation of nociceptors (via C-fibers). According to the quilombola tradition, the breu oleoresin is burned to generate smoke, which is inhaled. In this procedure, some of the original breu compounds may undergo pyrolysis reactions and some are simply transferred to the vapor phase and therefore inhaled without a chemical change. In the inhalation model developed for this study, we only tested the volatile fractions of the oleoresins because they had been fully characterized in previous work from our group. The present study was designed to explore the form of administration performed by the quilombolas and to investigate whether the compounds in breu essential oils, when included in an inhalation formulation, could produce a pharmacological response in *in vivo* antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory models. In addition, the sedative activity of these formulations was also evaluated to exclude a possible relationship with the observed pharmacological effects.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Drugs. Ethanol 96% (v/v) and propylene glycol were purchased from Spectrum (Spectrum Brasil, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). Breu essential oils (Protium spp.) were obtained by hydrodistillation from collected oleoresins and chemically characterized by HRGC-MS as described previously [5]. Distilled water was prepared in-house with a distiller.

2.2. Test Animals. Male Swiss Webster mice (20-25 g), donated by the Instituto Vital Brazil (Niteroi, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), were used in this study. Animals were maintained under standard housing conditions (room with a lightdark cycle of 12 h, $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, 60% to 80% humidity, and food/water provided ad libitum). Animals were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for at least 1 h before the onset of each test and were used only once throughout the experiments. Research was conducted in accordance with the internationally accepted principles for laboratory animal use and care as found in the European Community guidelines (EEC Directive of 1986; 86/609/EEC) and the US guidelines (NIH publication #85-23, revised in 1985). All protocols followed the principles and guidelines adopted by the National Council for the Control of Animal Experimentation (CONCEA), were approved by the Biomedical Sciences Institute/UFRJ, Ethical Committee for Animal Research, and received the number DFBCICB015–04/16. All experimental protocols were performed during the light phase. Animal numbers per group were kept at a minimum. At the end of each experiment, mice were euthanized by ketamine/xylazine overdose.

2.3. Preparation of the Inhalation Formulations. Glycoalcoholic solutions containing 0.1 g/mL breu essential oil, 10% (w/v) propylene glycol, and ethanol were prepared according to the popular use by the Quilombola Communities of Oriximiná (Pará, Brazil) [14]. According to quilombola knowledge, it is standard practice to burn and inhale approximately 20 g of breu oleoresin, with an average yield of 2.5% (w/w) of essential oil, which represents approximately 0.5 g of essential oil/20 g of oleoresin [5]. Therefore, a dose of 0.5 g of essential oil per 5 mL of the formulation was chosen (0.1 g/mL). The final formulation is described below, and it was prepared as follows: first, propylene glycol was homogenized with part of the ethanol, and, subsequently, essential oil was added under stirring. Finally, ethanol was added until the final volume (5 mL) was reached, and the solution was homogenized and stored in amber glass bottles under refrigeration $(-4^{\circ}C)$. Based on previous chemical characterization [5], essential oils from 10 different breu samples (Table 1) were mixed in equal parts for pharmacological tests, according to the similarity of their chemical compositions (major compounds) as follows: Formulation "A", prepared with 0.125 g of BBIM, BBPIR, $BBIR_1$, and $BBIR_2$ essential oils, with $\delta\mbox{-}3\mbox{-}carene$ as the major compound; Formulation "B", prepared with 0.25 g of BBIR₃ and WBB1 essential oils, with *p*-cymene as the major compound; Formulation "C", prepared with 0.25 g of BBTF1 and BBTF2 essential oils, with p-cymene as the major compound with high concentrations of sesquiterpenes; Formulation "**D**", prepared with 0.5 g of WBB₂ essential oil with limonene and α -terpineol as the major compounds; and Formulation "E", prepared with 0.5 g of WBIG essential oil, with α -pinene as the major compound.

Final formulation was as follows:

Breu essential oil(s): 0.5 g Propylene glycol: 0.5 g Ethanol 96% (*q.s.p.*): 5.0 mL.

2.4. Essential Oil Administration by Inhalation in an Inhaling Chamber. For each pharmacological test, seven groups containing five mice each were assembled. Each group was represented by mice that inhaled the following: nebulized air only (control group); the formulation vehicle without essential oil (vehicle group); and one of the formulations (A–E). The inhalation process was carried out in a chamber that was previously developed by our group (Figure 1) [22]. The chamber contains a central structure connected to five animal holders (Figure 1(a), 1) with lids (Figure 1(a), 2), and nebulized air passes directly and continuously through these animal holders. The central chamber (Figure 1(a), 3)

Sample code	Common name	Identified species	Formulation	Major compounds	
BBIM	Black breu or breuzinho	Protium heptaphyllum (Aubl.) Marchand		δ-3-Carene	
BBPIR	Black breu	Protium decandrum (Aubl.) Marchand	Δ		
BBIR ₁	Black breu	Protium heptaphyllum (Aubl.) Marchand	11		
BBIR ₂	Black breu	Protium heptaphyllum (Aubl.) Marchand			
BBIR ₃	Black breu	Protium heptaphyllum (Aubl.) Marchand	В	<i>p</i> -Cymene	
WBB_1	White breu	Protium decandrum (Aubl.) Marchand	Б		
BBTF ₁	Black breu or sucuruba	Protium cf. opacum Swart	C	<i>p</i> -Cymene and high	
BBTF ₂	Black breu or sucuruba	Protium altsonii Sandwith	C	concentration of sesquiterpener	
WBB ₂	White breu	Protium occultum D.C. Daly	D	Limonene and α -terpineol	
WBIG	White breu	Protium strumosum Daly	Е	α-Pinene	

TABLE 1: Sample codes, identified species for each collected sample [5], formulation's composition, and major compounds in the essential oils of each formulation.



FIGURE 1: (a) Inhalation chamber coupled to a nebulizer. (1) Animal holder; (2) lid; (3) central part; (4) "head"; (5) silicone hose; (6) glass joint; (7) nebulizer cup; (8) nebulizer; (9) sampling port; (10) air output. (b) Animal holder with a mouse inside.

(b)

has an outlet for air collection, known as a sampling port (Figure 1(a), 9), which has a threaded plastic cap with a septum to avoid air loss during *in vivo* tests. First, this chamber was assembled and coupled to the nebulizer cup by means of a silicone hose. Then, 5 mL of the test formulation, prepared as described above, was dispersed in a sufficient volume of purified water to a final volume of 15 mL and placed in the nebulizer cup. Animals were placed into the animal holders; the nebulizer was turned on and the formulation was nebulized into the inhalation chamber and each of the five animal holders simultaneously. The nebulized air was blown into the chamber from the head (Figure 1(a), 4) to the bottom by a central tube, generating a vortex that optimizes saturation and allows more uniform air distribution to the animals [22].

In all of the pharmacological tests, the inhalation lasted twenty minutes. All 15 mL were consumed.

2.5. *Chemical Analysis of the Air Nebulized inside the Chamber.* Chemical analysis of the nebulized air inside of the inhalation

chamber was performed to assess the chemical composition of the volatiles inhaled by the animals. For analysis, the formulations were prepared as described above. The air inside of the chamber was collected ($100 \,\mu$ L) at 1 and 15 minutes after the nebulization started from the static headspace using a Hamilton Bonaduz AG syringe, MicroliterTM Syringe, 2.500 μ L, and analyzed. Between each test, the chamber and nebulizer cup were washed with ethanol and water and dried.

Nebulized air relative compositions were obtained using gas chromatography coupled with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) using two Agilent 7890 gas chromatographs. Separation was accomplished with a HP-5 fused silica capillary column ($30 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm}$ i.d., $0.25 \mu \text{m}$ phase thickness). The operating conditions were as follows: split ratio 1 : 10; injector temperature 250°C; carrier gas: hydrogen, 1.5 mL/min, constant flow; column temperature, 60° C (no hold), 3° C per min to 240°C; and detector temperature: 280°C. Between each analysis, the system was purged to avoid residual component carry over.

Linear retention indices were calculated by injection of a series of *n*-alkanes (C_7-C_{26}) [23] using the same column and conditions as described above for GC analyses. Peak identification was performed by comparison with chromatograms and retention times obtained previously by our group [5].

2.6. Hot Plate Test. Mice were tested to assess central antinociceptive activity according to the method described by Sahley and Berntson [24] and adapted by Matheus et al. [25]. Initially, all mice were evaluated for the determination of their individual baselines. Mice were placed over the stainless-steel heating plate that was at a temperature of $55 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C, and the time that it took for each mouse to remove one hind paw from the surface of the plate was timed. These verifications were taken 60 and 30 minutes before mice underwent inhalation. The baseline of each animal was calculated as the mean of the timed trials. The dwell limit time for each mouse was set at three times the baseline value. Baseline determinations were performed 2 days before the pharmacological test. After 2 days, mice were subjected to inhalation. Five and 30 minutes after the end of the inhalation test, mice were placed on the hot plate under same experimental conditions described above. The time that each mouse took to lift a hind paw was timed and scored for final analysis.

2.7. Formalin-Induced Licking Test. The peripheral analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities were evaluated using a model adopted by Hunskaar and Hole [26]. Immediately after inhalation, animals were withdrawn from the chamber and $20 \,\mu\text{L}$ of a 2.5% (v/v) formalin solution in PBS was injected subcutaneously into the right hind paw. Animals were then transferred to a transparent acrylic box subdivided into six equal square areas. The time that mice licked their right hind paw in the first five minutes and from 15 to 30 minutes following completion of the inhalation test was timed.

2.8. Rota-Rod Test. Before evaluating the sedative activity of the formulations, mice were trained in the rota-rod apparatus twice for 10 minutes at 5 rpm with a 30-minute interval between training sessions. After the two training sessions, mice with an average number of falls equal to or greater than nine were eliminated from the test.

Two days after the training sessions, mice were placed in the chamber to inhale the formulations or controls. After inhalation, mice were removed from the chamber and placed in the rota-rod apparatus where they were tested for 5 minutes at 5 rpm immediately and 30 minutes after inhalation. In the training and testing sessions, the number of times that each mouse lost its balance and fell from the device during the period of time was counted [27].

2.9. Statistical Analysis. The *in vivo* experimental results are reported as the mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni's test for multiple comparison using SPSS 11.5 software. Differences between groups were considered significant at p < 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Formulation Descriptions. Based on traditional quilombola medicinal use information, formulations containing breu essential oils were developed and evaluated for their antinociceptive and sedative activities. The final concentration of essential oil in the formulations (0.1 g/mL) was established based on the amount of breu used in burning and inhalation by quilombolas [14] as well as the mean yield of essential oil in the breu samples [5]. Although the traditional mode of use of breu by the quilombola communities involves burning and subsequent inhalation of the smoke produced, the chamber developed for this study does not foresee this procedure.

All of the formulations presented a clear and monophasic aspect, with a slightly yellowish color and a characteristic scent, indicating that solutions with molecular dispersion were obtained [28, 29]. Because they were extemporaneous formulations, it was necessary to disperse them in water before nebulization, generating a heterogeneous system known as a liquid-liquid dispersion. This probably occurs because the excess water makes the medium quite polar, preventing the apolar components of the essential oil from remaining in the solution [28, 29]. Despite the heterogeneous nature of the solution in the formulation cup, the compressed air injected by the nebulizer generates a vortex that guarantees homogeneous air nebulization inside the chamber [22].

3.2. Chemical Analysis of the Air Nebulized inside the Chamber. The chemical composition of the volatiles produced during operation of the nebulizing chamber was analyzed by static head space sampling of the chamber air by a sampling port. In this chamber, the animal holders have an air output (Figure 1(a), 10) that can be sealed by a plastic screw cap. These outputs are opened during the tests, forcing the intake air to leave the chamber thought them since they are the only exits from the chamber [22]. The main components in each essential oil formulation, A-E, were quantified in the nebulized air and are shown in Table 2. The chemical composition of each *breu* essential oil present in the formulations is described in Da Silva et al. [5]. Compared to the individual composition of the essential oils observed in a previous study [5], the composition of each nebulized formulation was quite similar to that of the original essential oils. In all formulations, the major components were present and at higher concentrations than the other components. A mixture of δ -3-carene/isosylvestrene was the major component of the head space air from Formulation "A" (58.96% in the first minute and 56.4% after 15 min), which was prepared with the BBIM, BBPIR, BBIR₁, and BBIR₂ essential oils (61.45% mean in the original oils); p-cymene was found at 20.6% (first minute) and 22.5% (after 15 min) in the head space air of Formulation "B", prepared with the BBIR₃ and WBB1 essential oils (32.7% mean in the original oils); pcymene (27.9% in the first minute and 23.4% after 15 min) and a high concentration of sesquiterpenes were the major compounds in the nebulized air from Formulation "C", prepared with the BBTF1 and BBTF2 essential oils (11.45% mean of *p*-cymene in the original essential oils); a mixture of limonene/ β -phellandrene (33.4% in the first minute and 6.4% after 15 min) and α -terpineol (15.4% in the first minute and 68.9% after 15 min) was detected as the major component in the head space air of Formulation "D", prepared with the WBB₂ essential oil (41.1% limonene/ β -phellandrene mix and 30.9% α -terpineol in the original essential oil). Finally, α pinene was found at 68.8% (first minute) and 49.2% (after 15 min) in the head space of Formulation "E", prepared with the WBIG essential oil, which contained 57.7% α -pinene in its original composition. From Table 2, it can be seen that, in the first minute, lower molecular weight components (monoterpene hydrocarbons) were detected at higher relative percent concentrations, as expected. This is why α -pinene was detected at a higher relative percentage in Formulation E in the first minute (68.8%) than in the original essential oil (57.7%). By the fifteenth minute, the relative percent concentrations of the monoterpene hydrocarbons decayed while concentrations of the oxygenated monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes increased. This suggests that initially mice receive a dose that is rich in monoterpenes, which are lighter and probably more easily dispersed in the nebulized droplets. As the formulation is depleted, it is heavier and more difficult

TABLE 2: Relative (%) chemical composition of the collected air after 1 and 15 minutes of nebulization of each formulation.

Formulations according to major compounds		s	A		В		С		D		E		
		DV *	· · · · * *			Percentage (tage (%)	%)				
S.N.	Substance	RI _{lit}	RI	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min
(1)	α-Thujene	924	927	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.6	1.1	0.5	_		1.2	0.8
(2)	α-Pinene	932	934	4.3	1.9	19.2	8.5	3.7	1.7	5.2	_	68.8	49.2
(3)	Camphene	946	949	0.2	_	0.3	_	0.6	_	_	_	1.1	0.7
(4)	Verbenene	961	969	0.9	0.2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(5)	Sabinene	969	973	_	_	0.3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(6)	trans-p-Menthane	973	975	_	_	_	_	0.3	_	0.8	_	_	_
(7)	β -Pinene	974	980	_	_	3.5	1.0	_	_	_	_	9.5	7.7
(8)	2-Menthene*	_	980	0.8	0.4	_	_	_	1.7	_	_	_	_
(9)	3-p-Menthene	984	984	_	_	_	_	1.1	0.7	_	_	_	_
(10)	Myrcene	988	990	0.8	0.5	_	0.6	_	_	_	_	_	_
(11)	Bornane*	_	1001	_	_	2.1	1.9	_	_	_	_	_	_
(12)	α-Phellandrene	1002	1005	13.4	11.7	19.4	18.8	17.8	13.9	_	_	_	_
(13)	Mix (δ -3-carene and iso-sylvestrene)	1011	1011	59.0	56.4	16.7	16.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.5	_	_
(14)	α-Terpinene	1014	1017	0.9	0.5	3.6	3.7	7.2	5.8	_	_	0.5	0.6
(15)	1- <i>p</i> -Menthene	1021	1022	0.6	0.1	0.4	_	0.4	_	0.9	_	_	_
(16)	<i>p</i> -Cymene	1022	1026	8.2	7.1	20.6	22.5	27.9	23.4	18.8	6.6	5.3	6.2
(17)	Mix (limonene and β -phellandrene)	1024	1028	4.3	3.8	6.9	8.2	11.3	10.6	33.4	6.4	5.5	6.8
(18)	1,8-Cineole	1026	1031	1.6	1.1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(19)	y-Terpinene	1054	1059	_	_	0.2	_	0.2	_	_	_	1.4	2.1
(20)	<i>m</i> -Cymenene	1082	1085	_	_	0.1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(21)	Terpinolene	1086	1088	0.3	0.2	_	0.7	0.2	0.8	1.1	_	0.3	0.4
(22)	<i>p</i> -Cymenene	1089	1092	_	_	0.2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(23)	Linalool	1098	1102	0.3	0.1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(24)	cis-p-Menth-2en-1-ol	1118	1123	_	_	0.1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(25)	Camphor	1141	1146	0.4	1.6	0.3	2.1	_	_	_	_	1.3	4.3
(26)	<i>trans</i> -Dihydro- α -terpineol	1143	1147	_	_	0.3	2.1	5.9	7.2	6.2	12.6	_	_
(27)	<i>cis</i> -Dihydro-α-terpineol	1164	1162	_	_	_	_	0.2	_	_	_	_	_
(28)	<i>p</i> -Mentha-1,5-dien-8-ol	1166	1167	0.4	1.8	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(29)	Terpinen-4-ol	1174	1178	_	_	_	_	0.3	_	_	_	0.4	2.1
(30)	p-Cymen-8-ol	1179	1182	0.3	1.8	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(31)	α -Terpineol	1186	1191	0.7	6.9	0.3	6.1	0.6	1.2	15.4	68.9	0.9	10.1
(32)	y-Terpineol	1199	1199	_	_	_	_	_	_	1.0	_	_	_
(33)	α-Cubebene	1345	1351	_	_	_	_	1.0	3.3	_	_	_	_
(34)	Cyclosativene	1369	1370	_	_	_	_	_	1.1	_	_	_	_
(35)	α-Copaene	1374	1377	_	_	_	_	0.2	0.7	_	_	_	_
(36)	Cyperene	1398	1398	_	_	_	_	0.3	0.8	_	_	_	_
(37)	α-Cedrene	1410	1414	_	_	_	_	0.2	_	_	_	_	_
(38)	α -cis-Bergamotene	1411	1416	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.2	2.2
(39)	β -Caryophyllene	1417	1423	_	_	_	_	0.5	1.6	_	_	_	_
(40)	β-Cedrene	1419	1420	_	_	_	_	0.5	1.6	_	_	_	_
(41)	<i>trans-</i> α-Bergamotene	1432	1437	_	_	_	_	0.4	1.4	_	_	_	_
(42)	α-Guaiene	1437	1444	_	_	_	_	0.2	_	_	_	_	_
(43)	Aromadendrene	1439	1448	_	_	_	_	0.1	0.4	_	_	_	_
(44)	β -Barbatene	1440	1445	_	_	_	_	0.1	0.4	_	_	_	_
(45)	, α-Neo-clovene	1452	1455	_	_	_	_	0.4	1.2	_	_	_	_
(46)	Khusimene	1453	1454	_	_	_	_	0.4	1.2	_	_	_	_
(47)	α-Neocallitropsene	1474	1481	_	_	_	_	0.5	1.8	_	_	_	_
(48)	γ-Gurjunene	1475	1480	_	_	_	_	0.5	1.8	_	_	_	_
(49)	y-Muurolene	1478	1478	_	_	0.1	1.9	0.2	_	_	_		_

Formulations according to major compounds			pounds		А		В		С		D		Е
C.N. Substance		DI *	* DI**					Percen	tage (%)				
3.IN.	Substance RI _{lit} RI		KI	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min	1 min	15 min
(50)	Germacrene D	1480	1483	_	_	_	_	0.1	_			_	_
(51)	<i>cis-β-</i> Guaiene	1492	1496	_	_	_	_	0.1	_	_	_	_	_
(52)	<i>trans-β</i> -Guaiene	1502	1513	_	_	_	_	0.1	0.4		_	_	_
(53)	Cuparene	1504	1509	_	_	_	_	0.1	0.4	_	_	_	_
(54)	γ-Cadinene	1513	1515	_	_	_	_	1.7	6.2		_	_	_
(55)	δ -Cadinene	1522	1525	_	_	—	_	0.3	1.2	_	_	—	—
(56)	(<i>E</i>)- γ -Bisabolene	1529	1533	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	0.1	2.5
(57)	trans-Cadina-1,4-diene	1533	1541	_	_	—	_	0.3	1.2	_	_	—	—
(58)	1,10-di- <i>epi</i> -Cubenol	1618	1620	_	_	_	_	0.3	_		_	_	_
Mon	oterpene hydrocarbons	_	_	96.5	84.7	94.7	83.2	74.5	61.7	62.9	15.5	93.6	74.5
Oxyg	genated monoterpenes	_	_	2.1	12.1	1.0	10.3	7.0	8.4	22.6	81.5	2.6	16.5
Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons — —		_	_	_	0.1	1.9	8.2	26.7	_	_	0.3	4.7	
Oxyg	genated sesquiterpenes	_	_	_	_	_		0.3	_		_	_	_

TABLE 2: Continued.

Components listed in order of elution of the HP-5 column. *Tentative identification. Bold characters represent major compounds. S.N. means substance number. Monoterpene hydrocarbons: S.N. (1)–(22); oxygenated monoterpenes: S.N. (23)–(32); sesquiterpene hydrocarbons: S.N. (33)–(57); oxygenated sesquiterpenes: S.N. (58). RI_{lit}^* , retention indices obtained in the literature; RI^{**} , linear retention indices calculated from a homologous series of n-alkanes C_7-C_{26} . Percentage was obtained by normalizing the FID peaks area.

TABLE 3: Effects of formulations on hot plate test in mice.

Treatment	Latency period (s)				
freatment	5 min	30 min			
Compressed air	7.3 ± 2.2	6.5 ± 1.2			
Vehicle	4.8 ± 0.7	4.9 ± 0.9			
Formulation A	5.0 ± 1.6	5.7 ± 2.0			
Formulation B	3.9 ± 0.5	3.9 ± 0.6			
Formulation C	4.7 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 1.3			
Formulation D	7.6 ± 3.0	8.6 ± 4.4			
Formulation E	4.8 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 1.5			

Each value is presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 5).

to disperse components that are nebulized, increasing their concentration inside the chamber. This is clearly seen in Formulation **D**, in which the major compounds in the first minute are a mixture of β -phellandrene-limonene (monoterpene hydrocarbons), which are replaced by α -terpineol (oxy-genated monoterpene) as the major volatile compound of the air sample collected at the second time point (15 min).

3.3. Hot Plate Test. The hot plate test was employed to assess antinociceptive activity. In the hot plate test, the response to pain stimuli is relayed to the supraspinal reflex mediated by μ_1 and μ_2 opioid receptors [30]. This test was developed by Woolfe & Macdonald in 1944 [31] and improved by many other researchers, such as Eddy et al. [32] and O'Callaghan and Holtzman [33]. In all of these cases, antinociceptive activity is characterized by an increased tolerance to pain by the animal when in contact with a heated plate.

None of the mice that inhaled any of the tested formulations showed a significant increase in response time compared to animals that inhaled compressed air or vehicle,



FIGURE 2: Effects of formulations on hot plate test in mice. *Each value is presented as the mean* \pm *SEM* (*n* = 5).

indicating the absence of antinociceptive activity (Figure 2 and Table 3). This result is in accordance with previous work from Rao and coworkers [11], who reported that oral administration of *breu* essential oil obtained from *P. heptaphyllum* did not result in antinociceptive activity in the hot plate test.

3.4. Formalin-Induced Licking Test. Intraplantar administration of formalin produces nociception, which is characterized by two distinct phases [30]. The early phase (neurogenic phase) occurs in the first five minutes and is associated with direct chemical stimulus of the afferent fibers, mainly C-fibers [34, 35], with activation of TRPA1 channels [36], and reflects centrally mediated pain. The late phase (inflammatory phase)

	Licking of the hind paw (s)						
Treatment	Early phase $(0, 5 \text{ min})$	% Inhibition	Late phase	% Inhibition			
Compressed air	(0-3 mm) 72.0 ± 10.0		172.80 ± 6.5				
Vehicle	65.40 ± 8.4	_	156.60 ± 10.5	_			
Formulation A	59.40 ± 5.2	9.17	134.20 ± 21.2	14.3			
Formulation B	41.30 ± 4.3	36.9*	159.30 ± 37.0	0.0			
Formulation C	48.80 ± 4.3	25.4	146.70 ± 36.2	6.3			
Formulation D	57.40 ± 9.3	12.2	97.20 ± 6.6	37.9*			
Formulation E	34.80 ± 3.8	46.8^{*}	62.40 ± 8.3	60.2^{*}			

Each value is presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 5); * indicates p < 0.05 compared with vehicle group (Bonferroni's test).



FIGURE 3: Effects of formulations on formalin-induced paw licking in mice. Each value is presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 5). * indicates p < 0.05 compared with control groups (Bonferroni's test).

occurs between 15 and 30 minutes after formalin injection and is mediated by the release of a combination of inflammatory mediators and sensitization of central nociceptive neurons [26, 35, 37]. It is well-known that centrally acting drugs, such as opioids, inhibit nociception in both phases, while peripheral-acting drugs, such as acetylsalicylic acid, inhibit only the second phase [26, 38]. In addition, the activity of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs is also observed in the second phase [26, 34, 35].

Figure 3 and Table 4 show the results of the five formulations on the formalin test. The vehicle did not produce significant inhibition of the licking response in the early or the late phase. The results obtained from inhalation of the nebulized formulations were compared with those obtained from inhalation of the vehicle. Formulation B reduced the licking time significantly only in the early phase (36.9%), suggesting possible central pain inhibition. Formulation D suppressed the licking time significantly only in the late phase (37.9%), indicating possible peripheral antinociception by decreasing tonic inflammatory pain. Only Formulation E significantly suppressed the licking time in both the early phase (46.8%) and late phase (60.2%), indicating that it is effective on both tonic inflammatory and central pain.

Because the pain mechanisms assessed using the hot plate and formalin tests are different, it is common for substances to be active in only one of the models. This was observed with the oral administration of *breu* essential oil, which resulted in antinociceptive activity in both the capsaicin and formalin (only in the second phase) tests and none in the hot plate test [11]. This was also observed in the present study, as well as in others [39, 40]. The active substances present in all of these studies probably do not have affinity with opioid receptors, as they are inactive in the hot plate test.

The activity shown by formulations B, D, and E is related to their essential oil compositions since the compressed air (control group), vehicle (vehicle group), and Formulations A and C did not present a decrease in the licking time in either phase. Thus, the predominant presence of monoterpenes (α pinene, *p*-cymene, α -phellandrene, limonene, and β -pinene) in the fraction of nebulized and inhaled air of Formulations B, D, and E (Table 2) may be related to the antinociceptive activity. In addition, the fraction of nebulized and inhaled air of Formulation E also had a high concentration of α terpineol (Table 2), a potent analgesic that acts on both central and peripheral pain [41]. Interesting results regarding the antinociceptive activity of these monoterpenes administered by different pathways can be found in the literature. When administered intraperitoneally in mice, limonene presents antinociceptive activity in acetic acid and formalin (mainly in the second phase) tests without sedative properties [42]. Additionally, p-cymene injected intraperitoneally in mice presented orofacial antinociceptive activity in formalin, capsaicin, and glutamate tests, without sedative properties [43]. Similarly, α -phellandrene [39] and β -pinene [44] also showed antinociceptive properties in different models. These data suggest that the combination of these components in the formulations is a crucial factor in the observed antinociceptive activity.

In addition to antinociceptive properties, these monoterpenes present anti-inflammatory activity. Limonene, for example, in addition to being the major component of essential oils from *Citrus* species with anti-inflammatory activity when administered orally also had the same effect when tested alone [45]. Bergamot essential oil is rich in limonene and α -pinene, which are mainly responsible for the antiinflammatory activity of Bergamot in the carrageenan test [46]. α -Pinene is also a major component in *Chenopodium album* L. [47] and *Ugni myricoides* [48] leaf essential oils, which have anti-inflammatory properties against TPA and carrageenan, respectively. This monoterpene is involved in immunologic activation and inflammatory intermediate synthesis inhibition, which are important for the pharmacological properties of many essential oils [49].

The different tested *breu* formulations significantly decreased the duration of the licking time in both phases of pain responses in the formalin-induced licking model. One possible explanation for this effect is that *breu* may act by decreasing the release of inflammatory mediators or exert direct effects on different receptors present in the paw, such as bradykinin, serotonin, or opioid receptors, thus reducing the licking response.

3.5. Rota-Rod Test. The rota-rod test is a safe and efficient test to assess an animal's motor coordination and balance. It has been employed to directly measure the influence of essential oils and their components on the central nervous system [50, 51]. Another advantage of the rota-rod test is that it allows distinguishing analgesic or anti-inflammatory effects from possible sedative effects since nonspecific muscle relaxation effects may reduce motor coordination and mask the mice's response to nociception [30].

Although *quilombolas* reported mild drowsiness and relaxation after burning and inhalation of the *breu* oleoresin [14], all of the tested animals remained conscious and no visible effects on their behavior were observed from *breu* formulation inhalation. The amount of alcohol in the formulation did not appear to affect the behavior of the animals since administration of the vehicle alone (which contains alcohol) did not generate any behavioral changes. Although it is well-known that several monoterpenes can induce seizures [52], we did not observe this effect in the tested mice.

None of the formulations with essential oils presented sedative or motor coordination depressant effects after nebulization and inhalation since the number of animal falls was quite reduced (Table 5). However, it should be taken into account that the breu formulations evaluated in the present study only contained the volatile fractions (essential oils) and that breu was not submitted to combustion. Burning of an oleoresin, as is usually done in the traditional use, may induce changes in the chemical composition, as pyrolysis may occur. This effect was not evaluated here. However, during the traditional mode of use, burning occurs slowly and at least some of the volatile compounds pass to the vapor phase by evaporation due to the heating flux, before pyrolysis, similar to cigarette burning, for example. Therefore, both the original compounds and pyrolysis products might be present in the smoke inhaled during traditional use. Nevertheless, the absence of sedative or motor coordination depressant effect is a satisfactory result since it demonstrates that any of the observed anti-inflammatory or antinociceptive effects are associated with a depressor effect above the central nervous system. Other essential oils, such as Chrysopogon

Treatment	Numbe	r of falls
freatment	0 min	30 min
Compressed air	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
Vehicle	0.4 ± 0.5	0 ± 0
Formulation A	0.8 ± 0.8	0.2 ± 0.4
Formulation B	0.4 ± 0.9	0.3 ± 0.4
Formulation C	0.2 ± 0.4	0 ± 0
Formulation D	0.6 ± 0.9	0 ± 0
Formulation E	0.4 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.4

Each value is presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 5).

zizanioides [39] and *Croton sanderianus* [40], also have antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activity without sedative properties. Myrcene and linalool, which are components of the *breu* essential oil, have sedative and motor system depressant activities at high concentrations [53, 54]. However, it appears that their concentrations in the nebulized and inhaled air fractions were not enough to trigger such effects.

4. Conclusion

Experimental data on the pharmacological activity of breu essential oils administered by inhalation, in a similar manner as used in Amazon quilombola traditional communities, are presented for the first time. Nebulization of formulations containing breu essential oils provides a mixture of major breu components similar to those found in the pure essential oil and proved to be an efficient method of administration. Depending on the type and concentration of the components, the breu essential oils may present antinociceptive properties without sedation when inhaled after nebulization. The presence of monoterpenes, such as α -pinene, p-cymene, α phellandrene, limonene, β -pinene, and α -terpineol, may play important roles in these bioactivities. Formulation E, which contains a high concentration of α -pinene, appeared to be the most promising formulation since it was the only formulation with significant activity in both phases of the formalin test. It is interesting to note that, with the exception of Formulation E (which suppressed licking time by 60.2% in the late phase), no activity was greater than 50% in the models tested. However, it is necessary to remember that breu essential oil formulations were administered by inhalation and not by common routes, such as oral or intraperitoneal routes, that present more pronounced effects. It is possible that, when administered by inhalation, the effects are not as prominent due to rapid elimination (via respiration). In this work, we demonstrated that formulations containing essential oils from breu samples can produce in vivo antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities when inhaled by nebulization.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by EMBRAPA, UFRJ, FAPERJ, and CNPq (Process No. 453893/2014-7).

References

- A. Weeks, D. C. Daly, and B. B. Simpson, "The phylogenetic history and biogeography of the frankincense and myrrh family (Burseraceae) based on nuclear and chloroplast sequence data," *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 85–101, 2005.
- [2] J. E. L. S. Ribeiro and J. D. Daly, "Burseraceae," in Flora da Reserva Ducke. Guia de identificação das plantas vasculares de uma floresta de terra-firme na Amazônia Central, J. E. L. S. Ribeiro, Hopkins, and J. G. Michael, Eds., pp. 534–543, INPA, Manaus, Brazil, 1999.
- [3] M. E. Berg, "Flora medicinal amazônica," in *Plantas Medicinais Na Amazônia*, Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi, Belém, Brazil, 3th, article 203 edition, 2010.
- [4] A. Costa, "Fármacos Resinosos," in *Farmacognosia*, pp. 773– 842, Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian, Lisbon, Portugal, 5th edition, 1994.
- [5] E. R. Da Silva, D. R. De Oliveira, M. De Fátima Figueiredo Melo, H. R. Bizzo, and S. G. Leitão, "Report on the Malungo expedition to the Erepecuru river, Oriximiná, Brazil. Part I: Is there a difference between black and white Breu?" *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 647–656, 2016.
- [6] L. E. De Carvalho, D. Da Silva Pinto, L. A. M. Magalhães, M. Da Paz Lima, M. O. M. Marques, and R. Facanali, "Chemical constituents of essential oil of Protium decandrum (Burseraceae) from western Amazon," *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 181–184, 2010.
- [7] L. Hernández-Vázquez, S. Mangas, J. Palazón, and A. Navarro-Ocaña, "Valuable medicinal plants and resins: Commercial phytochemicals with bioactive properties," *Industrial Crops and Products*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 476–480, 2010.
- [8] J. R. De A.Silva, M. D. G. B. Zoghbi, A. Da C.Pinto, R. L. O. Godoy, and A. C. F. Amaral, "Analysis of the hexane extracts from seven oleoresins of protium species," *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 305–308, 2009.
- [9] A. C. Siani, I. S. Garrido, S. S. Monteiro, E. S. Carvalho, and M. F. S. Ramos, "Protium icicariba as a source of volatile essences," *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 477–489, 2004.
- [10] P. N. Bandeira, A. M. Fonseca, S. M. O. Costa et al., "Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of the essential oil of resin of Protium heptaphyllum," *Natural Product Communications* (*NPC*), vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 117–120, 2006.
- [11] V. S. Rao, J. L. Maia, F. A. Oliveira, T. L. G. Lemos, M. H. Chaves, and F. A. Santos, "Composition and antinociceptive activity of the essential oil from protium heptaphyllum resin," *Natural Product Communications (NPC)*, vol. 2, no. 12, pp. 1199–1202, 2007.
- [12] A. C. Siani, M. F. S. Ramos, O. Menezes-De-Lima Jr. et al., "Evaluation of anti-inflammatory-related activity of essential oils from the leaves and resin of species of *Protium*," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 57–69, 1999.
- [13] K. Bawer, D. Garbe, and H. Surburg, Common Fragrance and Flavor Materials: Preparation and Uses, VCH, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1990.

- [14] D. R. Oliveira, Bioprospecção de Espécies Vegetais do Conhecimento Tradicional Associado ao Patrimônio Genético em Comunidades Quilombolas de Oriximiná-PA [Doctoral, thesis], Núcleo de Pesquisa de Produtos Naturais – Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2009.
- [15] N. Figueiredo, "As puçangas," in *Rezadores, Pajés & Puçangas*, pp. 28–56, UFPA - Boitempo, Belém, Brazil, 1st edition, 1979.
- [16] H. Lorenzi and F. J. A. Matos, *Plantas Medicinais No Brasil: Nativas e Exóticas*, São Paulo, Brazil, Instituto Plantarum, 2002.
- [17] R. E. Schultes and R. F. Raffauf, *The Healing Forest: Medicinal and Toxic Plants of the Northwest Amazonia*, Dioscorides Press, Portland, OR, USA, 1990.
- [18] MEB, *Receitas da Medicina Popular*, UFAM, Manaus, Brazil, 1993.
- [19] R. M. Rodrigues, "Nome Classificação científica Descrição detalhada de cada planta – Características próprias," in *A flora da Amazônia*, pp. 23–205, CEJUP, Belém, Brazil, 1st edition, 1989.
- [20] E. A. S. Silva, Estudo dos Óleos Essenciais Extraídos de Resinas de Espécies de Protium spp [Dissertation, thesis], Instituto de Química de São Carlos – University of São Paulo, São Carlos, Brazil, 2006.
- [21] M. C. Amorozo and A. Gely, Uso de Plantas Medicinais Por Caboclos Do Baixo Amazonas, vol. 4, no. 1, Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Barcarena, Spain, 1988.
- [22] E. R. Silva, P. D. Fernandes, V. F. Veiga-Junior, R. B. Bizzo, S. G. Leitão, and D. R. Oliveira, "Development and evaluation of an inhalation chamber for in vivo tests," *Annals of the Barzilian Academy of Science*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 1643–1653, 2017.
- [23] H. van Den Dool and P. Dec. Kratz, "A generalization of the retention index system including linear temperature programmed gas—liquid partition chromatography," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 11, pp. 463–471, 1963.
- [24] T. L. Sahley and G. G. Berntson, "Antinociceptive effects of central and systemic administrations of nicotine in the rat," *Psychopharmacology*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 279–283, 1979.
- [25] M. E. Matheus, L. F. Berrondo, E. C. Vieitas, F. S. Menezes, and P. D. Fernandes, "Evaluation of the antinociceptive properties from *Brillantaisia palisotii* Lindau stems extracts," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 377–381, 2005.
- [26] S. Hunskaar and K. Hole, "The formalin test in mice: dissociation between inflammatory and non-inflammatory pain," *PAIN*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 103–114, 1987.
- [27] N. W. Dunham and T. S. Miya, "A note on a simple apparatus for detecting neurological deficit in rats and mice," *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 208-209, 1957.
- [28] L. N. Prista, A. C. Alves, R. Morgado, and J. S. Lobo, "Formas farmacêuticas obtidas por dispersão molecular: Soluções," in *Tecnologia Farmacêutica*, vol. 2, pp. 747–1110, Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian, Lisbon, Portugal, 5th edition, 2006.
- [29] M. E. Aulton, "Dissolução e Solubilidade," in *Delineamento de Formas Farmacêuticas*, pp. 31–47, Artmed, Porto Alegre, Brazil, 2nd edition, 2005.
- [30] D. Le Bars, M. Gozariu, and S. W. Cadden, "Animal models of nociception," *Pharmacological Reviews*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 597– 652, 2001.
- [31] G. Woolfe and A. D. Macdonald, "The evaluation of analgesic action of pethidine hydrochloride (demerol)," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 80, pp. 300–307, 1944.

- [32] N. B. Eddy, C. F. Touchberry, and J. E. Lieberman, "Synthetic analgesics; methadone isomers and derivatives," *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 121–137, 1950.
- [33] J. P. O'Callaghan and S. G. Holtzman, "Quantification of the analgesic activity of narcotic antagonists by a modified hotplate procedure," *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 192, no. 3, pp. 497–505, 1975.
- [34] Y.-F. Chen, H.-Y. Tsai, and T.-S. Wu, "Anti-inflammatory and analgesic activities from roots of *Angelica pubescens*," *Planta Medica*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 2–8, 1995.
- [35] A. Tjølsen, O.-G. Berge, S. Hunskaar, J. H. Rosland, and K. Hole, "The formalin test: an evaluation of the method," *PAIN*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 5–17, 1992.
- [36] C. R. McNamara, J. Mandel-Brehm, D. M. Bautista et al., "TRPA1 mediates formalin-induced pain," *Proceedings of the National Acadamy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 104, no. 33, pp. 13525–13530, 2007.
- [37] K. Omote, T. Kawamata, M. Kawamata, and A. Namiki, "Formalin-induced release of excitatory amino acids in the skin of the rat hindpaw," *Brain Research*, vol. 787, no. 1, pp. 161–164, 1998.
- [38] M. Shibata, T. Ohkubo, H. Takahashi, and R. Inoki, "Modified formalin test: characteristic biphasic pain response," *PAIN*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 347–352, 1989.
- [39] D. F. Lima, M. S. Brandão, J. B. Moura et al., "Antinociceptive activity of the monoterpene α-phellandrene in rodents: possible mechanisms of action," *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 283–292, 2012.
- [40] F. A. Santos, F. A. Jeferson, C. C. Santos, E. R. Silveira, and V. S. N. Rao, "Antinociceptive effect of leaf essential oil from *Croton sonderianus* in mice," *Life Sciences*, vol. 77, no. 23, pp. 2953–2963, 2005.
- [41] L. J. Quintans-Júnior, M. G. B. Oliveira, M. F. Santana et al., "α-Terpineol reduces nociceptive behavior in mice," *Pharmaceutical Biology*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 583–586, 2011.
- [42] J. F. Do Amaral, M. I. G. Silva, M. R. D. A. Neto et al., "Antinociceptive effect of the monoterpene *R*-(+)-limonene in mice," *Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 1217–1220, 2007.
- [43] M. F. Santana, L. J. Quintans Jr., S. C. H. Cavalcanti et al., "P-Cymene reduces orofacial nociceptive response in mice," *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1138–1143, 2011.
- [44] A. G. Guimarães, J. S. S. Quintans, and L. J. Quintans-Júnior, "Monoterpenes with analgesic activity—a systematic review," *Phytotherapy Research*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2013.
- [45] J. L. Amorim, D. L. R. Simas, M. M. G. Pinheiro, D. S. A. Moreno, C. S. Alviano, da Silva A. J. R. et al., "Anti-inflammatory properties and chemical characterization of the essential oils of four Citrus species," *Plos One*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1–18, 2016.
- [46] M. Karaca, H. Özbek, A. Him, M. Tütüncü, H. A. Akkan, and V. Kaplanoglu, "Investigation of anti-inflammatory activity of bergamot oil," *European Journal of General Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 176–179, 2007.
- [47] L. A. Usman, A. A. Hamid, N. O. Muhammad, N. O. Olawore, T. I. Edewor, and B. K. Saliu, "Chemical constituents and antiinflammatory activity of leaf essential oil of Nigerian grown Chenopodium album L," *EXCLI Journal*, vol. 9, pp. 181–186, 2010.

- [48] N. L. M. Quintão, G. F. Da Silva, C. S. Antonialli, L. W. Rocha, V. C. Filho, and J. F. Cicció, "Chemical composition and evaluation of the anti-hypernociceptive effect of the essential oil extracted from the leaves of Ugni myricoides on inflammatory and neuropathic models of pain in mice," *Planta Medica*, vol. 76, no. 13, pp. 1411–1418, 2010.
- [49] R. de Cássia da Silveira E Sá, L. N. Andrade, and D. P. de Sousa, "A review on anti-inflammatory activity of monoterpenes," *Molecules*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1227–1254, 2013.
- [50] A. K. Mehta, S. Halder, N. Khanna, O. P. Tandon, and K. K. Sharma, "The effect of the essential oil of Eugenia caryophyllata in animal models of depression and locomotor activity," *Nutritional Neuroscience*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 233–238, 2013.
- [51] Ö. D. Can, Ü. Demir Özkay, H. T. Kiyan, and B. Demirci, "Psychopharmacological profile of Chamomile (Matricaria recutita L.) essential oil in mice," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 19, no. 3-4, pp. 306– 310, 2012.
- [52] P. R. Burkhard, K. Burkhardt, T. Landis, and C.-A. Haenggeli, "Plant-induced seizures: Reappearance of an old problem," *Journal of Neurology*, vol. 246, no. 8, pp. 667–670, 1999.
- [53] V. M. Linck, A. L. da Silva, M. Figueiró, E. B. Caramão, P. R. H. Moreno, and E. Elisabetsky, "Effects of inhaled Linalool in anxiety, social interaction and aggressive behavior in mice," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 17, no. 8-9, pp. 679–683, 2010.
- [54] T. G. Do Vale, E. C. Furtado, J. G. Santos, and G. S. B. Viana, "Central effects of citral, myrcene and limonene, constituents of essential oil chemotypes from Lippia alba (mill.) N.E. Brown," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 709–714, 2002.