Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



American Journal of Ophthalmology Case Reports





Amantadine-induced corneal edema: A case and literature review

Antony Raharja^a, Wessam Mina^a, Zahra Ashena^{a,*}

^a Queen's Hospital, Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust, Romford, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords: Purpose: To present a case of irreversible corneal edema after 10 years of amantadine use. A literature review was Amantadine carried out to describe the clinical characteristics and outcomes of amantadine-induced corneal edema. Cornea Observations: A 36-year-old woman presented with a 6-week history of gradually progressive bilateral painless Corneal edema visual loss with visual acuity (VA) of 20/350 and 20/300 in the right and left eye, respectively. Examination Drug toxicity showed bilateral diffuse central corneal edema with multiple Descemet membrane folds without endothelial Specular microscopy guttata, keratic precipitates or intraocular inflammation. This did not respond to hypertonic saline drops and Multiple sclerosis empirical treatment for presumed herpetic endotheliitis with oral acyclovir. Medication review revealed the use of amantadine 100mg daily for the past 10 years, prescribed by her neurologist for fatigue. Despite discontinuing amantadine, corneal edema was irreversible due to a markedly reduced endothelial cell count of 625 (right) and 680 cells/mm² (left). Conclusions and Importance: This case highlights the need to consider amantadine as a cause of unexplained bilateral non-guttae corneal edema. A literature review of 33 case reports revealed broadly similar features of amantadine-induced corneal edema; whilst most cases had favorable outcomes with median VA 20/25 (interquartile range IQR 20/20-20/30) and complete resolution of corneal edema within 30 days (IQR 14-35) of amantadine discontinuation, most experienced low endothelial cell density 759 cells/mm² (IOR 621-1078). Taken together, screening specular microscopy ought to be considered for those in whom amantadine is likely required long-term.

1. Introduction

Amantadine is an N-Methyl-D-aspartate-type glutamate receptor antagonist, initially formulated for influenza A but has been increasingly repurposed for Parkinson disease, levodopa-induced dyskinesia, and fatigue related to multiple sclerosis.^{1,2} Amantadine-induced corneal edema is a rare ocular adverse drug reaction. Previous reports described complete resolution upon stopping amantadine use.^{3,4} Herein, we present a young patient with irreversible corneal edema with markedly reduced endothelial cell count after 10 years of amantadine use. Given a rising trend of amantadine prescriptions⁵ and the grave implication of failing to recognise this association, our literature review summarises the clinical characteristics and outcomes of amantadine-induced corneal edema.

2. Case report

A 36-year-old Caucasian woman with known multiple sclerosis was

referred to our corneal service. She described painless gradual reduction in her vision over six weeks. Best corrected visual acuities (BCVA) were reduced to 20/350 and 20/300 in the right (RE) and left eye (LE) respectively, from her previous baseline of 20/90 (RE) and 20/70 (LE) following previous episodes of optic neuritis.

Slit lamp examination showed bilateral diffuse central corneal edema with multiple Descemet membrane folds without endothelial guttata or keratic precipitates (KP). Intraocular pressure was normal in both eyes. Central corneal thickness (CCT) was $802\mu m$ (RE) and $796\mu m$ (LE). Both eyes were white with no signs of intraocular inflammation. She was phakic with a clear lens in both eyes. Fundus examination was limited, but did not show overt optic disc swelling. Retinal nerve fibre layer thickness remained stable on optical coherence tomography of the optic discs, compared to that taken a year prior. She denied any history of intraocular surgery, cold sores or herpetic keratitis. Corneal sensation was also intact. Specular microscopy could only image the paracentral corneal zone as the edematous central cornea precluded good image quality; this revealed markedly reduced endothelial cell count (ECC) of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajoc.2023.101881

Received 29 January 2023; Received in revised form 18 April 2023; Accepted 26 June 2023 Available online 3 July 2023 2451-9936/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author. Queen's Hospital, Rom Valley Way, Romford, RM7 0AG, United Kingdom. *E-mail address:* zashena@nhs.net (Z. Ashena).

 $625~(\rm RE)$ and $680~\rm cells/mm^2$ (LE) but assessment of polymegethism and pleomorphism was not possible.

Although herpetic keratitis was unlikely, she was empirically treated with acyclovir 400mg 5x/day for two weeks and 5% sodium chloride eyedrops but showed no improvement. Upon reviewing her medication, we noted that she has been taking amantadine 100mg daily for the past 10 years, prescribed by her neurologist for fatigue. Her other medications included solifenacin, levetiracetam, baclofen, propranolol, gabapentin, prednisolone 20mg/day, and omeprazole.

Upon discussion with her neurologist, amantadine was discontinued. Nevertheless, corneal edema persisted at 3 months. Clinically, there is worsening with development of painful bullous keratopathy in RE (Fig. 1). A bandage contact lens with prophylactic preservative-free topical antibiotic was required in the right eye to improve comfort. She was then put on the waiting list for the right Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK). However, unfortunately, she passed away due to Covid-19 before going through the corneal transplantation.

3. Discussion

Amantadine-induced corneal toxicity is a clinical diagnosis made after excluding other causes of corneal decompensation. This young 36year-old patient did not have any previous intraocular surgery. Hence, pseudophakic bullous keratopathy was unlikely. An eye examination one year preceding presentation did not show any background of corneal dystrophies. In the absence of KP and anterior chamber inflammation, herpetic endotheliitis was unlikely; moreover, she did not respond to empirically treatment with oral acyclovir. Besides, this case shared many similar characteristics with other reported cases of amantadine-associated corneal edema: bilateral asymmetrical central corneal edema, reduced endothelial cell count, and the absence of endothelial guttata and signs of intraocular inflammation.^{3,4,6–28}

Our literature review using search terms 'amantadine' and 'corneal edema' on PUBMED and Google Scholar generated 32 other cases (64 eyes) (Table 1).^{3,4,6-28} Of all cases, 70% were females and the median age was 52 years (interquartile range IQR 39-64; range 8-80). Indications for amantadine use were Parkinson disease (n = 12), other movement-related disorders (n = 10), multiple sclerosis (n = 5), psychiatric illness (n = 4), influenza (n = 1), and neurostimulation (n = 1). The majority experienced gradually progressive blurry vision with bilateral asymmetric corneal edema and Descemet membrane folds with the median presenting BCVA and CCT being 20/200 (IQR 20/125-20/400) and 886 µm (IQR 810-937), respectively in the worse eye. In 22 of 32 (69%) cases, corneal edema primarily affected the central cornea.^{3,4,7,8,10,11,13,17–19,21,23–29} Bullous/microcystic changes were reported in several cases.^{11,18,21,22,24,25,27,29} Punctate keratopathy,¹⁶ corneal infiltrates,¹¹ corneal deposits,¹⁷ and guttate-like appearance⁷ were each implicated in only one case – thus atypical of this condition. Intraocular inflammation, raised intraocular pressure and corneal neovascularisation were not a feature. Specular microscopy typically revealed polymegethism, pleomorphism and reduced



Fig. 1. Amantadine-induced corneal edema primarily affects central cornea and spares the periphery. Microcystic epithelial changes, stromal edema, subepithelial bullae and Descemet membrane folds were noted.

endothelial cell density. The median ECC was 759 cells/mm² (IQR 621–1078) in the worse eye, ^{4,6,7,10–12,16,17,19,20,24–29} albeit baseline ECC prior to amantadine initiation was unknown.

The median daily amantadine dose was 200 mg (IQR 200–300), with the median treatment duration being 12 months (IQR 4.5–24). In most cases, corneal edema completely resolved within 30 days (IQR 14–35) of amantadine discontinuation; The median BCVA achieved was 20/25 (IQR 20/20–20/30). No significant correlation was found between duration of amantadine use and recovery time (spearman rank correlation coefficient r = 0.16, p = 0.43). Our case is unique, presenting the longest lag time reported (10 years) between amantadine initiation and onset of edema, indicating the potential cumulative toxic effect of amantadine on endothelial cells.

The corneal graft was required in 5 cases (10 eyes), who used amantadine for 12, 17, 27 and 120 months (our case); all are women, with median age 42 years (IQR 39–45). The duration of amantadine treatment varied considerably, but all had used amantadine for at least 12 months (range 12–120 months). Of note, the continual use of amantadine was thought to cause non-immunologic failure in four eyes (2 penetrating keratoplasties, PK,²⁷ and 2 Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty, DSAEK²⁰); of these, three were reversible upon amantadine discontinuation, and one required a repeat transplant. This underlines the importance of recognising amantadine use in patients with corneal edema.

The link between amantadine and corneal edema has also been reported by two large database studies. Although individual patient notes were not examined, a two-year postmarketing surveillance study utilising the national Veterans Health Administration pharmacy and clinical database found increased risks of corneal edema amongst amantadine users (relative risk RR of 1.7 [95% confidence interval CI 1.1–2.8]).³⁰ Although only 36 of 13,137 (0.27%) patients developed corneal edema within the two-year study period, 12 events occurred within 1 month of starting amantadine. Another population-based Taiwanese study also echoed the increased risk of corneal edema in Parkinson disease (PD) patients with amantadine use (RR of 1.79 [95% CI 1.25–2.55], p = 0.0013).³¹ In particular, those receiving higher daily dose (>100mg/day) (adjusted RR 2.71 and 1.69, respectively), demonstrating a dose-response relationship.

The mechanism of amantadine-related corneal edema is unclear but may represent an idiosyncratic reaction and/or dose-dependent endothelial cell toxicity. Since idiosyncratic reaction typically manifests within a month of drug initiation, the former is less likely since only 3 (9.7%) patients within our literature review experienced corneal edema within 1 month of amantadine use. The latter is supported by two cohort studies. A cross-sectional study of 169 PD patients on amantadine found lower endothelial cell density ((mean \pm standard error; 2662.47 \pm 29.06 vs. 2784.72 \pm 25.89, P = 0.002), lower hexagonality and greater coefficient of variation compared to age- and gender-matched healthy controls.³² In the age- and gender-adjusted multiple regression analysis, a longer treatment duration led to lower endothelial cell density ($R^2 =$ 0.054, P = 0.011). Another prospective longitudinal study found that PD patients on amantadine had an accelerated decrease in endothelial cell density (1.51% vs. 0.94% vs. 0.55%) (P = 0.04), a decrease of percentage hexagonality of the cells ECH (4.98% vs. 3.56% vs. 2.31%) (P = 0.01), and increase of the coefficient of variation CoV (6.12% vs. 4.80%vs. 3.30%) (P = 0.03) compared with amantadine naive patients with PD and controls, respectively.³

4. Conclusion

In summary, we highlight the importance of recognising amantadine as a cause of corneal edema. Whilst most amantadine-associated corneal edema resolved upon amantadine discontinuation, some experienced permanent reduction in endothelial cell count as sequelae and will therefore be vulnerable to future corneal decompensation. Although the

Table 1

Summary characteristics of 33 cases of amantadine-induced corneal edema. CCT: Central corneal thickness; ND: Not documented; BCVA: Best corrected visual acuity; M: Male; F: Female; RE: Right eye; LE: Left eye; BE: Both eyes. *recovery time indicates the duration between amantadine discontinuation and complete resolution of corneal edema.

| First author, year | Age, sex | Indication | Dose (mg/ day) | Duration (month) | Presenting CCT | Presenting BCVA | BCVA at recovery | Recovery time* | Endothelial cell count (cells mm ²) |
|---|-------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Chao,2022 ³ | 8 M | Tardive dyskinesia | 100 | 10 | ND | RE 20/200 LE 20/500 | RE 20/30 LE 20/25 | 2 weeks | ND |
| 2009 ⁴ | 12F | Attention deficit hyperactive disorder | 200 | 4 | RE 851 LE 886 | BE 20/200 | RE 20/20 LE 20/25 | 10 days | RE 1242 LE 1412 |
| Beran, 2018 ¹⁶ | 14 M | Neuro-stimulant post- tumour resection | 200 | 7 | RE 917 LE 937 | RE 20/200 LE 20/400 | RE 20/50 LE 20/60 | 7 weeks | RE 1395 LE 1054 |
| Hughes,2004 ²² | 14 M | Tremor | 300 | ND | RE 973 LE 950 | RE 20/400 LE 20/160 | BE 20/25 | 10 days | ND |
| antiago-Cabán, 2012 ²³ | 16F | Extra-pyramidal drug side effects | 300 | 6 | ND | BE 20/400 | RE 20/40 LE 20/30 | 1 month | ND |
| squenazi, 2009 ²⁴ | 39F | Multiple sclerosis (MS) & tremor | 200 | 8 | RE 940 LE 802 | BE 20/400 | RE 20/40 LE 20/30 | 2 months | RE 1504 LE 1596 |
| Chang, 2008 ²⁵ | 52F | Parkinson disease (PD) | 250 | 78 | ND | BE HM | RE 20/30 LE 20/60 | 2 weeks | RE 569 LE 453 |
| in, 2014 ²⁶ | 53F | PD | ND | 1.5 | RE 739 LE 697 | BE 20/200 | BE 20/30 | 6 weeks | ND |
| in, 2014 ²⁶ | 72F | PD | ND | 18 | ND | RE 20/500 LE 20/320 | RE 20/40 LE 20/30 | 4 weeks | RE 1149 LE 1256 |
| in, 2014 ²⁶ | 66 M | PD | 200 | 12 | RE 834 LE 851 | RE 20/100 LE 20/50 | RE 20/40 LE 20/25 | 1 month | RE 1730 LE 1704 |
| leng, 2008 ²⁷ | 57 M | MS | 200 | 2 | RE 838 LE 1000 | RE 20/70 LE 20/100 | RE 20/25 LE 20/30 | 14 days | ND |
| leng, 2008 ²⁷ | 44F | Bipolar affective disorder | 200 | 3 | ND | BE 20/400 | RE 20/50 LE 20/40 | 1 month | ND |
| 'ang, 2015 ²⁸ | 46 M | Treatment- resistant depression | 200 | 36 | RE 803 LE 911 | BE 20/60 | BE 20/20 | 54 days | RE 702 LE 707 |
| loteham, 2011 ⁶ | 77F | Tremor | 150 | 0.5 | BE>1000 | RE 20/1000 LE CF | RE 20/25 LE 20/32 | 14 days | RE 901 LE 1134 |
| lessen, 2018 ⁷ | 44F | Ataxic cerebral palsy | 400 | 36 | RE 927 LE 641 | RE 20/125 LE 20/60 | BE 20/30 | 5 weeks | RE 609 LE 1387 |
| ond, 2009 ⁴ | 55F | PD | 200 | 84 | RE 930 LE 934 | RE 20/100 LE 5/200 | RE 20/25 LE 20/200 (amblyopia) | 'within days' | ND |
| (ubo, 2008 ⁸ | 61 M | PD | 300 | 8 | ND | RE 20/100 LE 20/200 | RE 20/20 LE 20/16 | 8 days | ND |
| lanchard, 1990 ⁹ | 64F | Influenza | ND | 19 days | ND | BE 20/20 'misty vision' | NA | 10 days | ND |
| Cim, 2013 ¹⁰ | 63F | Freezing of gait | 400 | 7 | RE 661 LE 651 | RE 20/125 LE 20/100 | RE 20/25 LE 20/20 | 1 month | RE 608 LE621 |
| Vendano- Cantos, 2012 ¹¹ | 64F | PD | 300 | 24 | ND | BE CF | RE 20/60 LE 20/100 | 40 days | RE 798 LE 853 |
| Park, 2011 ¹² | 43 M | Resting tremor | 200 | 4 | RE 954 LE 828 | BE CF | BE 20/20 | 2 weeks | RE 729 LE 730 |
| eogaonkar, 2011 ¹³ | 61F | PD | ND | 72 | RE 810 LE 780 | BE 20/200 | BE 20/20 | 1 month | ND |
| Chaffariyeh, 2010 ¹⁴ | 68F | PD | 200 | 24 | RE 871 LE 746 | RE 20/200 LE 20/100 | RE 20/40 LE 20/30 | 3 weeks | ND |
| 2010 Dubow, 200,8 ¹⁵ | 74F | PD | 200 | 24 | ND | RE 20/200 LE 20/40 | ND | 1 month | ND |
| ^{2022¹⁷} | 78 M | PD | 200 | 24 | ND | RE 20/60 LE 20/100 | BE 20/25 | 1 month | RE 691 LE 700 |
| oin, 2017 ¹⁸ | 50F | Essential tremor | months, | day for 12 then 300 for 7months | RE 798 LE 827 | RE 20/70 LE 20/50 | BE 20/20 | 3 months | ND |
| in, 2014 ²⁶ | 80F | Unspecified psychiatric illness | 200 | 0.75 | RE 650 LE 731 | RE 20/80 LE 20/400 | BE 20/32 | 4 weeks | RE 1828 LE 1927 |
| Iwang, 2009 ¹⁹ | 35 M | Parkinsonism | 400 | 37 | ND | RE 20/100 LE 20/50 | RE 20/40 LE 20/30 | 1 month | RE 876 LE788 |
| | | | | | Cases requirin | g corneal graft | | | |
| eng, 2008 ²⁷ | 55F | MS | 200 | 72 | RE 688 LE 677 | BE 20/200 | RE 20/40-2 LE 20/40 + 2 | Graft done prior to amantadine discontinuation | Atrophy of endothelial cell layer with large areas of absent endothelium on |

absent endothelium on histopathologic evaluation of host corneal button

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

| First author, year | Age, sex | Indication | Dose (mg/ day) | Duration (month) | Presenting CCT | Presenting BCVA | BCVA at recovery | Recovery time* | Endothelial cell count (cells/ mm ²) |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| Koenig, 2009 ²⁰ | 39F | Schizophrenia & tardive dyskesia | 200 | 12 | ND | BE 20/400 | RE CF LE 20/70 | Graft done prior to amantadine discontinuation | Severe endothelial cell loss on histopathologic evaluation |
| Welder ²⁹ | 42F | Huntington disease | 300 | ND | RE 890 LE 900 | RE 20/125 LE 20/80 | RE 20/70 LE 20/60 | Irreversible | No endothelial cells on specular microscopy and histology |
| Hood, 2010 ²¹ | 45F | MS | ND | 17 | RE 867 LE 700 | RE 10/400 LE 20/400 | RE 20/25 LE 20/40 | irreversible | Paucity of endothelial cells on histopathologic exam of Descemet membrane |
| Current case | 36F | MS | 100 | 120 | RE 802 LE 796 | RE 20/350 LE 20/300 | NA | Irreversible | RE 625 LE 680 |

idiosyncratic reaction is still a possible mechanism of this condition, the majority of patients (90.3%) within our literature review experienced corneal edema at least one month after using amantadine. Given the likely cumulative toxic effect of amantadine on endothelial cell count, baseline and regular eye examinations in asymptomatic amantadine users with specular microscopy ought to be considered, especially for young patients or those requiring amantadine long-term or at higher doses. Baseline examination may identify patients with Fuch's endothelial dystrophy (FED) in whom amantadine should be used with caution. As the median treatment duration preceding the onset of amantadine-induced corneal edema was 12 months within our literature review, we recommend a review at one year after starting amantadine. Subsequent monitoring frequency should be tailored case-by-case, considering changes in specular microscopy parameters at one year and other factors that may theoretically increase the risk of corneal decompensation (such as low baseline ECC, presence of FED, high daily amantadine dose, and poor kidney function since amantadine is renally cleared). Further studies are required to assess the viability and costeffectiveness of such a screening programme and to determine the optimal screening intervals.

Patient consent

Written consent to publish the case report was obtained. This report does not contain any personal information that could lead to the identification of the patient.

Funding

No funding.

Authorship

All authors attest that they meet the current ICMJE criteria for Authorship.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements and disclosures

We thank the patient for consenting to the case report.

References

 ting Yang T, Wang L, Deng X yang, Yu G. Pharmacological treatments for fatigue in patients with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurol Sci.* 2017;15(380):256–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2017.07.042.

- Multiple sclerosis in adults : management. NICE guideline [NG220] https://www. nice.org.uk/guidance/ng220; 2022.
- Chao J, Dunn S, Bohra L. Amantadine-induced bilateral corneal edema in a pediatric patient. J AAPOS. 2022;26(3):150–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jaapos.2022.01.005.
- Pond A, Lee MS, Hardten DR, Harrison AR, Krachmer JH. Toxic corneal oedema associated with amantadine use. Br J Ophthalmol. 2009;93(3):413. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bjo.2007.135731.
- Pitcher TL, MacAskill MR, Anderson TJ. Trends in antiparkinsonian medication use in New Zealand: 1995-2011. Parkinsons Dis. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/ 379431.
- Hotehama A, Mimura T, Usui T, Kawashima H, Amano S. Sudden onset of amantadine-induced reversible bilateral corneal edema in an elderly patient: case report and literature review. *Jpn J Ophthalmol.* 2011;55:71–74. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10384-010-0888-8.
- Hessen MM, Vahedi S, Khoo CT, Vakili G, Eghrari AO. Clinical and genetic investigation of amantadine-associated corneal edema. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2018;12: 1367–1371. https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S166384.
- Kubo S, Iwatake A, Ebihara N, Murakami A, Hattori N. Visual impairment in Parkinson's disease treated with amantadine: case report and review of the literature. *Park Relat Disord*. 2008;14(2):166–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. parkreldis.2007.03.003.
- Blanchard DL. Amantadine caused corneal edema. Cornea. 1990;9(2):181. https:// doi.org/10.1097/00003226-199004000-00017.
- Kim YE, Yun JY, Yang H-J, et al. Amantadine induced corneal edema in a patient with primary progressive freezing of gait. J Mov Disord. 2013;6(2):34–36. https:// doi.org/10.14802/jmd.13008.
- Avendaño-Cantos ÉM, Celis-Sánchez J, Mesa-Varona D, Gálvez-Martínez J, López-Arroquia E, González del Valle F. Corneal toxicity due to amantadine. Arch la Soc Española Oftalmol (English Ed. 2012;87(9):290–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. oftale.2011.09.005.
- Park CY, Chuck RS. Sudden bilateral corneal oedema in a patient with Parkinson's disease. Acta Ophthalmol. 2011;89(2):198–199. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-3768.2009.01561.x.
- Deogaonkar M, Wilson K, Vitek J. Amantadine induced reversible corneal edema. J Clin Neurosci. 2011;18(2):298–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2010.06.010.
- Ghaffariyeh A, Honarpisheh N. Amantadine-associated corneal edema. Park Relat Disord. 2010;16(6):427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2010.02.013.
- Dubow JS, Rezak M, Berman AA. Reversible corneal edema associated with amantadine use: an unrecognized problem. *Mov Disord*. 2008;23:2096–2097. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22136.
- Beran M, Okyere B, Vova J. Amantadine-induced corneal edema in a pediatric neuro-oncology patient: a case report. *Pharm Manag PM R*. 2018;10(10):1122–1124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2018.03.007.
- Cennamo M, Dragotto F, Favuzza E, Morelli A, Mencucci R. Amantadine therapy for Parkinson's Disease: in Vivo Confocal Microscopy corneal findings, case report and revision of literature. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2022;22(1):1–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12886-022-02410-1.
- Soin K, Feinstein EG, Guo J. Recent-onset bilateral blurred vision. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2017;135(1):71–72. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.2737.
- Hwang B-S, Lee S-B, Cha S-C, Wee W-R. A case of amantadine-induced corneal edema. J Korean Ophthalmol Soc. 2009;50(6):936–941. https://doi.org/10.3341/ JKOS.2009.50.6.936.
- Koenig SB, McDermott ML, Simons KB. Nonimmunologic graft failure after descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) for presumed amantadine-induced corneal edema. *Eye Contact Lens*. 2009;35(4):209–211. https:// doi.org/10.1097/ICL.0b013e3181a6936f.
- Hood CT, Langston RHS, Schoenfield LR, Dupps WJ. Amantadine-associated corneal edema treated with descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty. *Ophthalmic Surg Laser Imag.* 2010;41:1–4. https://doi.org/10.3928/15428877-20100726-11.
- Hughes B, Feiz V, Flynn SB, Brodsky MC. Reversible amantadine-induced corneal edema in an adolescent. *Cornea*. 2004;23(8):823–824. https://doi.org/10.1097/01. ico.0000130417.91438.7e.

A. Raharja et al.

- Santiago-Cabán LA, Rivera E, López-Beauchamp V. Bilateral corneal edema secondary to amantadine in the pediatric population: a case report. *Bol Asoc Med P R*. 2012;104(1):69–76.
- Esquenazi S. Bilateral reversible corneal edema associated with amantadine use. J Ocul Pharmacol Therapeut. 2009;25(6):567–570. https://doi.org/10.1089/ jop.2009.0029.
- Chang KC, Kim MK, Wee WR, Lee JH. Corneal endothelial dysfunction associated with amantadine toxicity. *Cornea*. 2008;27(10):1182–1185. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/ICO.0b013e318180e526.
- Lin CC, Cheng CY, Hu PS, Lin CP, Hsu SL. Amantadine-related corneal edema and endothelial cell loss: four case reports. *Taiwan J Ophthalmol.* 2014;4(3):137–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjo.2014.04.003.
- Jeng BH, Galor A, Lee MS, et al. Amantadine-associated corneal edema. Potentially irreversible even after cessation of the medication. *Ophthalmology*. 2008;115(9): 1540–1544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2008.03.011.
- Yang Y, Teja S, Baig K. Bilateral corneal edema associated with amantadine. CMAJ (Can Med Assoc J). 2015;187(15):1155–1158. https://doi.org/10.1503/ cmaj.140542.

- Welder J, Kardon R, Cohen A, Wagoner M. Bilateral corneal oedema. Eyerounds.org. https://eyerounds.org/cases/123-amantadine-corneal-edema.htm. Accessed December 7, 2022.
- French DD, Margo CE. Postmarketing surveillance of corneal edema, Fuchs dystrophy, and amantadine use in the Veterans Health Administration. *Cornea*. 2007;26(9):1087–1089. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0b013e3181450d4c.
- Lee PY, Tu HP, Lin CP, et al. Amantadine use as a risk factor for corneal edema: a nationwide cohort study in taiwan. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2016;171:122–129. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2016.08.034.
- Chang KC, Jeong JH, Kim MK, Wee WR, Lee JH, Jeon BS. The effect of amantadine on corneal endothelium in subjects with Parkinson's disease. *Ophthalmology*. 2010; 117(6):1214–1219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2009.10.039.
- Daggumilli S, Vanathi M, Ganger A, Goyal V, Tandon R. Corneal evaluation in patients with parkinsonism on long-term amantadine therapy. *Cornea.* 2019;38(9): 1131–1136. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0000000000001951.