SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 16 October 2018 Accepted: 4 March 2019 Published online: 18 March 2019

OPEN DNA polymerase ι is acetylated in response to S_N2 alkylating agents

Justyna McIntyre¹, Aleksandra Sobolewska¹, Mikolaj Fedorowicz¹, Mary P. McLenigan², Matylda Macias³, Roger Woodgate² & Ewa Sledziewska-Gojska¹

DNA polymerase iota (Poli) belongs to the Y-family of DNA polymerases that are involved in DNA damage tolerance through their role in translesion DNA synthesis. Like all other Y-family polymerases, Polu interacts with proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), Rev1, ubiquitin and ubiquitinated-PCNA and is also ubiquitinated itself. Here, we report that Pole also interacts with the p300 acetyltransferase and is acetylated. The primary acetylation site is K550, located in the Rev1-interacting region. However, K550 amino acid substitutions have no effect on Polu's ability to interact with Rev1. Interestingly, we find that acetylation of Pol_i significantly and specifically increases in response to $S_N 2$ alkylating agents and to a lower extent to $S_N 1$ alkylating and oxidative agents. As we have not observed acetylation of Polt's closest paralogue, DNA polymerase eta (Pol η), with which Polt shares many functional similarities, we believe that this modification might exclusively regulate yet to be determined, and separate function(s) of Pol₁.

The transfer of an acetyl group from acetyl coenzyme A to a specific site on a protein is one of the major posttranslational modifications and one of many that modify lysine residues^{1,2}. Lysine acetylation was first discovered in histones and its significance in transcription control has been demonstrated^{3,4}. Besides transcription, acetylation regulates many other cellular processes including the cell cycle, proliferation, apoptosis, DNA recombination, stress response and DNA repair⁵⁻⁷. Lysine acetylation can have a profound and diverse impact on modified proteins as it can influence protein stability, localization, enzymatic activity, as well as DNA and protein binding⁸⁻¹¹. Acetylation is a dynamic modification catalyzed by acetyltransferases that can be reversed by deacetylases. The lysine acetyltransferases (KATs) are grouped in three major families and one of them, p300/ CREB-binding protein (CBP), consists of just two members, p300 and CBP12.

Mammalian p300 and CBP are paralogs sharing 86% amino acid identity in their aminotransferase domain and while they are conserved in metazoans, they do not have detectable sequence homology with other KATs^{12,13}. The enzymes possess a bromodomain that recognizes acetylated substrates and multiple other non-catalytic domains involved in protein binding¹⁴. Additionally, a non-canonical, but functional RING domain, connects the enzymes with ubiquitination processes^{15,16}. p300/CBP interact with over 400 proteins and act as network hubs in different cellular pathways, often in complexes controlling transcriptional activation¹⁷. Defects in these acetyltransferases have been linked to human diseases, including several types of cancer, heart malfunction, diabetes mellitus, as well as Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome, which is characterized by developmental abnormalities and cancer predisposition^{17,18}. On the other hand, due to the fact that p300/CBP are involved in the regulation of many tumor-relevant proteins including p53, c-myc, or BRCA1, many therapeutic strategies targeting p300/CBP are under investigation [reviewed in¹⁹⁻²²]. Despite the high homology between p300 and CBP, there is accumulating evidence to suggest that CBP and p300 are not fully redundant but, due to differential association with other proteins, or diversity in their substrate specificity, also have unique roles *in vivo* [reviewed in¹⁸].

p300/CBP-directed lysine acetylation seems to play an important and diverse role in DNA replication and the DNA damage response. The p300/CBP-acetylated proteins are engaged in DNA damage recognition, signaling and most DNA repair pathways [^{23,24}, reviewed in⁵]. However, until recently, there is little indication for the involvement of p300/CBP in the regulation of DNA damage tolerance mechanisms. Our previous report was the first to suggest such a possibility²⁵. We proposed that p300 acetyltransferase inhibition influences polyubiquitination of DNA polymerase iota (Pol_{ν}), a non-canonical polymerase involved in DNA translession synthesis (TLS).

¹Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Pawinskiego 5a, 02-106, Warsaw, Poland. ²Laboratory of Genomic Integrity, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892-3371, USA. ³Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Neurobiology, International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, ul. Ks. Trojdena 4, 02-109, Warsaw, Poland. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.M. (email: jmcintyre@ibb.waw.pl)

Even though TLS and other DNA damage tolerance processes do not actually repair DNA lesions, they play a crucial role in cell survival and genomic stability maintenance [reviewed in²⁶]. They are particularly important in dividing cells, as DNA synthesis by replicative polymerases is stalled by lesions in the template DNA. The threat of a replication block may be circumvented by employing TLS polymerases, which are able to incorporate a nucleotide opposite the normally replication-blocking lesion²⁷. Depending on the lesion and the TLS polymerase employed, TLS can be accurate, or highly error-prone²⁸.

DNA polymerases (Pols) eta (η), iota (ι), kappa (κ) and Rev1 belong to the Y-family of DNA polymerases that are best known for their TLS activity²⁹, which is credited to their flexible and capacious active site, thereby allowing them to accommodate damaged nucleotides. Pol η , a flagship member of the Y-family DNA polymerases, is able to correctly bypass a thymine-thymine cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer (CPD), which is the most common mutagenic UV-light induced DNA lesion. Accordingly, the lack of Pol η in XPV cells makes them sensitive to UV-radiation. It was shown that in the absence of Pol η , its closest paralogue, Pol ι , bypasses UV induced photoproducts and functions in a manner that delays the onset of skin cancer in mice^{30,31}. Both Pol η and Pol ι can copy a template with the most common oxidative DNA lesion, 8-oxoG. However, Pol ι does so by utilizing its ability for Hoogsteen pairing^{32,33}. Additionally, both of the polymerases can, to some extent, participate in the bypass of lesions induced by methylating agents³⁴. *In vitro* experiments have shown that Pol ι is capable of bypassing many other DNA lesions^{33,35-38}, while *in vivo* a Pol ι deficiency has been suggested to sensitize cells to oxidative DNA damage³⁹ and cause UV-induced mesenchymal tumorigenesis⁴⁰.

As a consequence of a spacious active site, Y-family polymerases are more liberal than classical replicases in the selection of an incoming nucleotide, which together with a lack of proofreading activity, results in frequent mistakes when they synthesize DNA on an undamaged template⁴¹. Pol_i is the most mutagenic among all known human polymerases and its fidelity is strictly template-dependent^{42,43}.

The recruitment of Y-family polymerases to the replication fork occurs as a result of complex regulation where protein posttranslational modifications and protein interactions play fundamental roles. All Y-family polymerases can interact through their dedicated domains with the replication processivity factor, PCNA. These interactions are additionally strengthened after DNA damage-induced PCNA monoubiquitination, as all Y-family polymerases are equipped with one or two, ubiquitin binding domains (UBMs or UBZs)^{44,45}. Rev1 protein represents another interaction platform coordinating targeting of TLS polymerases to the blocked replication fork. In addition to protein-protein interactions, posttranslational modifications of Y-family polymerases seem to provide more specific instructions for their participation in TLS². Consequently, it was shown that the modification status of Poly dramatically changes in response to DNA damage⁴⁶. UV irradiation produces CPD dimers, the cognate substrate of Poly, and results in changes to the ubiquitination, phosphorylation and GlcNAcylation status of the TLS polymerase, which subsequently affects its recruitment to a stalled replication fork, CPD bypass and removal from DNA after TLS, respectively⁴⁶⁻⁵¹. The modification of Pol₁ caused by DNA damage has not been reported so far. In contrast to Poly, the monoubiquitination status of Poly does not change in response to DNA damaging agents, but the extent of polyubiquitination increases significantly after treatment with 1,4-naphthoquinones²⁵. We have previously presented evidence that menadione-induced Polu polyubiquitination does not occur due to oxidative damage, but rather the inhibition of p300/CBP acetyltransferase activity²⁵.

In the current work, we have shown that Pol_i is acetylated by the p300/CBP acetyltransferases and that this response is especially strong in cells treated with the $S_N 2$ alkylating agents, methyl methanesulphonate (MMS) and dimethyl sulfate (DMS), but not other DNA damaging treatments. We have identified the key protein interactions responsible for this modification and pinpointed the primary residue in Pol_i that is acetylated. Interestingly, while we found that the response to $S_N 2$ alkylating agents targets Pol_i for acetylation, the same is not true for its closest paralogue, Pol₁, suggesting a differentiation of cellular functions of these TLS polymerases. The possible consequences of acetylation on the cellular function(s) of Pol_i are discussed.

Results

p300 Interacts with Pol. Our previous results suggested a link between Pol₁ polyubiquitination and inhibition of the lysine acetyltransferase activity $p300^{25}$, a large, multi-domain protein (Fig. 1a) influencing multiple processes in the cell [reviewed in¹²]. To further corroborate the relationship between Pol₁ and p300, we initially investigated whether p300 physically interacts with Pol₂. We confirmed the interaction by performing FLAG co-immunoprecipitation assays in HEK293T cells transiently transfected with FLAG-Pol₁ and a p300-c-myc expression vector (Fig. 1b). Additionally, we have also demonstrated the interaction between endogenous p300 and Pol₂ proteins by performing a proximity ligation assay (PLA) (Fig. 1b).

Because p300 can both acetylate and ubiquitinate substrates¹⁶, we next examined whether the RING ubiquitin ligase domain, which is present in the p300 protein, or the HAT domain, which is responsible for the acetyltransferase activity of p300, is required for the interaction with Pol₁. The catalytic regions of p300 form a compact module in which the RING domain is juxtaposed with the HAT domain. We performed immunoprecipitation experiments with transiently transfected HEK293T cells using either of the two HA-tagged p300 deletion constructs and FLAG-tagged Pol₁. p300 Δ C (amino acids 1–1287) lacking the HAT domain failed to interact with Pol₄, while p300 Δ N (amino acids 1046–2414) interacted strongly with the protein, indicating that C-terminal half of the p300 protein, including the HAT domain, is required for binding to Pol₄ (Fig. 1c).

To further map the interaction between Pol₁ and p300 and identify a region in Pol₁ necessary for an interaction with the p300 protein, we generated a series of Pol₁ deletion constructs lacking domains involved in protein-protein interactions including the ubiquitin binding motifs UBM1 and UBM2, the Rev1-interacting region (RIR) and the PCNA interacting peptide (PIP) (Fig. 2a). We used the HA-immunoprecipitation assay in HEK293T cells transiently transfected with HA-tagged p300 Δ N and full-length, or truncated versions of Pol₂. As shown in Fig. 2b, HA-p300 Δ N interacted with all of the Pol₁ constructs lacking the known protein interacting domains, which suggests that the Pol₁ catalytic domain located at the N-terminus of the protein is sufficient for



Figure 1. Mapping the region of p300 that interacts with Pol. (**a**) Schematic representation of p300 deletion constructs. The colorful block represents some of the important domains in p300: Bd - bromodomain, RING - non-canonical RING domain characteristic for ubiquitin ligases, PHD - plant homodomain, HAT - acetyltransferase catalytic domain, AIL -autoinhibitory loop. The amino acid location of the various domains are indicated above the block scheme. Constructs used are shown below. (**b**) Interaction between Pol₄ and p300. Left panel shows the results of immunoprecipitation assays (IP) performed with anti-FLAG resins incubated with extracts (E) from cells co-expressing p300-c-myc and FLAG-Pol₄ or p300-c-myc and empty FLAG vector as a negative control. Right panel shows the results of the proximity ligation assay (PLA), signal (red) provides evidence that endogenous Pol₄ and p300 are close together in the cell, actin cytoskeleton is shown in green (Alexa488 Phalloidin staining), nucleus in blue (Hoechst staining). Scale bar is $10 \,\mu$ m. (**c**) Immunoprecipitation assays (IP) were performed with anti-FLAG resins that were incubated with extracts (E) from cells expressing FLAG-Pol₄ or FLAG empty vector as a negative control and either of HA-tagged p300 deletion constructs p300 Δ N or p300 Δ C.



Figure 2. Mapping the region of Pol₄ that interacts with p300. (a) Schematic representation of Pol₄ with indicated domains, and Pol₄ deletion constructs. (b) Immunoprecipitation assays were performed with 10µl of anti-HA resins that were incubated with equal amounts of extracts from cells co-expressing HA-p300 Δ N and full-length FLAG-Pol₄ or FLAG-Pol₄ with deletions of various protein interacting domains. (c) Immunoprecipitation assays were performed with 10µl of anti-HA resins that were incubated with equal amounts of extracts from cells co-expressing HA-p300 Δ N and full-length FLAG-Pol₄ or FLAG-Pol₄ or anti-HA resins that were incubated with equal amounts of extracts from cells co-expressing HA-p300 Δ N and full-length FLAG-Pol₄ or FLAG-Pol₄ locking the N-terminal catalytic domain (IP- immunoprecipitation). In (b,c) the input controls contain 20µg or 10µg of protein extracts, respectively.

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | (2019) 9:4789 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41249-3



Figure 3. *In vivo* and *in vitro* acetylation of Polt by p300. (**a**) Immunoprecipitation assay of extracts from HEK293T cells co-transfected with FLAG-Polt and wild-type p300-c-myc. As indicated, prior to harvesting, cells were treated either with DMSO or with deacetylase inhibitor (DKAc) cocktail. (**b**) Immunoprecipitation assay used extracts from HEK293T cells co-transfected with FLAG-Polt and wild-type p300-c-myc, or FLAG-Polt and p300 containing the D1399Y mutation that abolishes the acetyltransferase activity of p300. 24hrs prior to harvesting, cells were treated with DKAc inhibitor. In panels (**a**,**b**), Polt acetylation was verified by western blot using antibodies against acetylated lysines (KAc). Polt was visualized using polyclonal rabbit antibodies against a C-terminal fragment of Polt. (**c**) *In vitro* acetylation of recombinant His-tagged Polt by p300. The reaction products were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blot using antibodies against KAc and against Polt.

the interaction with p300. To verify this hypothesis, we tested the interaction of HA-p300 Δ N with Pol_l lacking the catalytic domain, but possessing all known protein-interacting regions (409–715aa). The recombinant Pol_l protein lacking its N-terminus did not interact with p300, implying that the presence of the catalytic domain of Pol_l is required for its interaction with the p300 protein (Fig. 2c).

Pol*i* **is Acetylated** *in vivo* **and** *in vitro*. Our current studies show that Pol*i* directly binds the C-terminal half of p300 protein including the HAT domain. This interaction prompted us to test whether Pol*i* is acetylated by p300 *in vivo* and *in vitro*. As demonstrated in Fig. 3a, acetylation of FLAG-tagged Pol*i* was clearly detected in an immunoprecipitated (IP) complex using anti-FLAG resins, when cells co-expressing FLAG-Pol*i* and p300-c-myc were pre-treated for 24 h with a deacetylation inhibitor (DKAc) cocktail, in contrast to cells treated with DMSO. To verify whether p300 is responsible for Pol*i* acetylation, we co-transfected FLAG-Pol*i* with either full-length wild-type p300 protein, or a variant with a D1399Y mutation that reduces acetyltransferase activity⁵². The acetylation of Pol*i* decreased dramatically when co-expressed with the p300 D1399Y mutant with the acetyltransferase-deficiency, suggesting that Pol*i* can be acetylated by p300 (Fig. 3b). In addition, we proved that Pol*i* can be acetylated by p300 by performing *in vitro* experiments, in which full-length p300 was incubated with a purified His-tagged Pol*i* protein and acetyl coenzyme A (Fig. 3c).

Identification of Pol*i* **Acetylation Sites.** To further explore acetylation of Pol*i*, we performed a series of mass spectrometry analyses to identify residues of Pol*i* that are acetylated *in vivo* and in *in vitro*. We initially used anti-FLAG resins to purify FLAG-tagged Pol*i* from HEK293T transiently transfected with the FLAG-Pol*i* plasmid. These cells were then incubated with, or without, DKAc inhibitors for 24 hours (Fig. 4a) before the purified proteins were run on an SDS-PAGE gel and analyzed by mass spectrometry. Additionally, small amounts of the purified proteins were evaluated by western blot using antibodies against acetylated lysines and Pol*i*. Compared to Pol*i* isolated from untreated cells, we observed a faint acetylation signal from FLAG-Pol*i* purified from cells

a.



Figure 4. Identification of acetylation sites in Pol. (a) Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained gel (left panel) of FLAG-tagged Pol₁ purified from HEK293T cells transfected with the FLAG-Pol₁ plasmid and treated, or untreated, with DKAc inhibitor cocktail as indicated. Pol₁ acetylation of purified Pol₁ was visualized by western blot (right panel) using monoclonal antibodies against acetylated lysines (KAc). Pol₁ was visualized using polyclonal rabbit antibodies against a C-terminal fragment of Pol₂. (b) Effect of the K550R substitution on Pol₁ acetylation. Western blot using monoclonal antibodies against acetylated lysines. Pol₁ was visualized using polyclonal rabbit antibodies against a C-terminal fragment of Pol₂.

treated with the DKAc inhibitor cocktail. In agreement with the western blot results, mass spectrometry analysis did not reveal any acetylated residues in untreated cells, whereas K550 was identified as the main acetylation site when cells were treated with DKAc inhibitors. In the Polt protein that was acetylated *in vitro*, we identified four acetylated lysines: K138, K440, K550 and K715 (Fig. S1). K550 is located in the RIR domain that is responsible for Polt's interaction with Rev1, and was the only residue identified in both approaches. To determine if it is the primary site of acetylation, we co-transfected human HEK293T cells with a plasmid expressing p300 and a recombinant plasmid carrying wild-type FLAG-tagged Polt, or one containing a K550R substitution. Transfected cells were treated with DKAc inhibitor cocktail. Proteins pulled-down with anti-FLAG resin were then probed with antibodies against acetylated lysines. In the K550R mutant, the acetylation signal was significantly reduced (Fig. 4b), but still observable, thereby confirming that K550 is the primary acetylation site, but also suggesting the existence of alternate acetylation site(s) *in vivo*.

Interaction of Acetylated Polu with Rev1. Our observation that K550, which is located in the RIR domain, is the major acetylation site in Polu protein prompted us to check the role of the K550 residue itself and its acetylated form in the interaction of Polu with Rev1. Using anti-HA resins, we performed immunoprecipitation assays on extracts from HEK293T transiently transfected with plasmids encoding HA-tagged full-length Rev1 and either wild-type FLAG-Polu, or a variant carrying a single point mutation at K550. K549 is adjacent to K550 and it is known that if the primary residue is unavailable for posttranslational modification, the neighboring sites could be modified⁴⁶. To determine if K549 might compensate for K550 if it is unavailable for acetylation, we also tested whether a double point mutation of K549 and K550 influences Polu's interaction with Rev1. Initially, we tested lysine-to-arginine ($K \rightarrow R$) mutants. Both, the single and double mutant of Pol_i maintained the interaction with Rev1 (Fig. 5a). To study the effect of the acetylation on the Polt-Rev1 interaction, we replaced the lysine residues with glutamine, since previous studies have suggested that glutamine substitutions simulate acetylation^{53,54}. Immunoprecipitation experiments with $K \rightarrow Q$ Pol₁ mutants indicate that there is no effect on Pol₁'s ability to interact with Rev1 (Fig. 5b). As a control for these experiments, we used an F546A/F547A Polu mutant, that has been shown to abolish the interaction between Pol_i and Rev1⁵⁵. Together, our results suggest that $K \rightarrow R$ mutations, which simulate an unmodified state, or $K \rightarrow Q$ mutations, which mimic acetylation, do not significantly change the interaction between Polu with Rev1.

Pole Acetylation in Response to a Variety of DNA Damaging Agents. The Pole acetylation observed in the previous experiments was mostly detected in cells overexpressing the p300 protein. To determine the physiological conditions under which Poli is acetylated we tested acetylation of the ectopically expressed FLAG-Poli in cells with native levels of p300, after treatment with a variety of DNA damaging agents. We investigated the influence of UV irradiation, which induces cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers and other photoproducts; MMS, a source of alkylating damage; zeocin stimulating DNA double strand breaks; hydrogen peroxide, causing DNA oxidative lesions and two DNA crosslinking agents, used in chemotherapy, mitomycin C and cisplatin. Additionally, we have also tested whether hydroxyurea, which blocks replication fork progression due to decreased dNTPs production, has any influence on the acetylation status of Polt. As shown in Fig. 6a, exposure of cells to most of the DNA damaging agents and hydroxyurea did not result in significant Pole acetylation. In contrast, MMS treatment substantially induced Pole acetylation. We also observed a weak Pole acetylation signal in hydrogen peroxide treated cells. To further analyze the phenomenon of Polu acetylation in response to MMS and hydrogen peroxide, we tested whether other alkylating and oxidizing agents were also able to induce Pol₄ acetylation. We exposed HEK293T cells to increasing concentrations of hydrogen peroxide and potassium bromate, which is known to cause DNA oxidative lesions (Fig. 6b). Both oxidative agents, at higher doses, caused some acetylation of Polu. In comparison to MMS, we investigated the influence of other alkylating agents, EMS and MNNG, on Polu





Figure 5. Effect of mutating Pol_ι K550 residue and its interaction with Rev1. (a) Immunoprecipitation of Rev1 and wild-type Pol_ι, or Pol_ι K550 mutants. Immunoprecipitation assays were performed with HA-tagged full-length Rev1 immobilized on anti-HA resins that were incubated with extracts from cells expressing FLAG-tagged wild-type Pol_ι, or Pol_ι carrying single K550R, or double K549R/K550R mutations. (b) Immunoprecipitation of Rev1 and wild-type Pol_ι, or Pol_ι mutants mimicking K550 acetylation. Immunoprecipitation assays were performed with HA-tagged full-length Rev1 immobilized on anti-HA resins that were incubated with extracts from cells expressing FLAG-tagged wild-type Pol_ι, or Pol_ι and wild-type Pol_ι, or Pol_ι mutants mimicking K550 acetylation. Immunoprecipitation assays were performed with HA-tagged full-length Rev1 immobilized on anti-HA resins that were incubated with extracts from cells expressing FLAG-tagged wild-type Pol_ι, or Pol_ι carrying single K550Q, or double K549Q/K550Q mutations. As a control, the lack of interaction between Rev1 and Pol_ι F546A/F547A is shown. E-extract, IP- immunoprecipitation.

acetylation (Fig. 6c). Both alkylating agents caused some acetylation of Pol₁ that was much weaker than MMS. Since alkylation by MMS proceeds *via* second-order nucleophilic substitution ($S_N 2$) and MNNG is an $S_N 1$ alkylating agent, we examined acetylation of Pol₁ with DMS, another $S_N 2$ alkylating agent. Interestingly both MMS and DMS induced a much higher acetylation level than EMS and MNNG. It is known that compared to MMS, much lower concentrations of DMS induce the same amount of alkylation damage⁵⁶, which might explain the difference in the strength of the acetylation signal when using equimolar amount of MMS and DMS.

Characterization of MMS-induced Pol acetylation. To further corroborate MMS-induced Pol acetylation, we used mass spectrometry analysis to identify Pol acetylation sites in cells exposed to MMS. HEK293T cells transiently transfected with the FLAG-Pol plasmid were exposed to 200 μ M MMS for 1 h. In a control sample from cells not treated with MMS, K550 was again the only acetylated residue. The analysis of Pol protein isolated from MMS-exposed cells also revealed acetylation of K550 and an additional three other lysine residues; K440, K446 and K530 (Fig. S2). Next, by using the K550R mutant, we examined whether K550 is an important site for MMS-induced Pol acetylation. Indeed, MMS-stimulated Pol acetylation was drastically reduced when K550 was unavailable for modification (Fig. 7a). We conclude that K550 is, in general, the main Pol acetylation site after MMS treatment. To test the *in vivo* properties of the acetylated and unacetylated protein, we examined if Pol variants with K550R and K550Q substitutions could accumulate in DNA replication foci similar to the wild-type protein. Our results show that mutations that either block posttranslational modification(s) at K550 (K550R) or mimic its acetylation functions in fine tuning, rather than in the general regulation of Pol¹ is cellular activities. We also speculate that, since the main acetylation site is located outside the catalytic domain of Pol₁, it is unlikely to directly affect Pol³ s enzymatic activities. We do not however, exclude that it might indirectly



Figure 6. Effect of DNA-damaging agents on Pol₄ acetylation in HEK293T cells. (a) Acetylation of Pol₄ in HEK293T cells transfected with the FLAG-Pol₄ expressing vector and treated, as indicated, with UV-irradiation – 7 J/m², MMS – 1 h, zeocin – 12 hrs, hydrogen peroxide $(H_2O_2) - 1$ h, mitomycin C (MMC) – 40 hrs, cisplatin (cisPt) – 12 h, or hydroxyurea (HU). 10µg of protein extract was loaded in each line. Pol₄ acetylation was visualized by western blot using monoclonal antibodies against acetylated lysines (KAc). Pol₄ was visualized using polyclonal rabbit antibodies against a C-terminal fragment of Pol₄. (b) Acetylation of Pol₄ in HEK293T cells transfected with FLAG-Pol₄ plasmid and treated for 1 h with increasing doses of the oxidative agents, Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) or Potassium bromate (KBrO₃). (c) Acetylation of Pol₄ in HEK293T cells transfected with the FLAG-Pol₄ plasmid and treated for 1 h with alkylating agents, MMS, EMS, MNNG and DMS.



Figure 7. Characterization of Polı K550R and K550Q variants. (**a**) Effect of the K550R substitution on MMS-induced Polı acetylation. Western blot using monoclonal antibodies against acetylated lysines. Polı was visualized using polyclonal rabbit antibodies against a C-terminal fragment of Polı. (**b**) Comparison of Polı localization into "replication factories" of wild-type GFP-Polı, GFP-Polı-K550R, and GFP-Polı-K550Q, respectively that block, or mimic, acetylation at the K550 residue. Respective plasmids were transfected into MRC5 cells. Histogram showing the percentage of nuclei with foci (left panel). Numbers are based upon 3 replicates where 200 nuclei were counted for each cell line from GFP positive cells. Example of GFP-positive cells with a nucleus without foci (right top panel), or with foci (right bottom panel).



Figure 8. Characterization of Polt acetylation. (**a**) Effect of a p300 knock-out on Polt acetylation in cells treated for 1 h with 200 μ M MMS or DMS. (**b**) Effect of a p300/CBP inhibitor, L002, on MMS-induced Polt acetylation. (**c**) Immunoprecipitation assay on extracts from HEK293T cells co-transfected with FLAG-Polt and wild-type mCBP, or with an L1435A/D1436A (LD) mutation that abolishes acetyltransferase activity of CBP. (**d**) Effect of 1 h treatment with 200 μ M MMS, or DMS, on the cellular level of p300 and CBP. (**e**) Effect of CBP knock-out on Polt acetylation in cells treated for 1 h with 200 μ M MMS or DMS. (**f**) Effect of p300 overproduction, or MMS, or DMS treatment on Polt or Pol η acetylation. Immunoprecipitation of FLAG-tagged polymerases from extracts from HEK293T cells transfected with FLAG-Polt (left panel) or FLAG- Pol η (right panel). As indicated, cells were co-transfected with wild-type p300-c-myc, and treated for 1 h with 200 mM DMS, or MMS. Unless otherwise stated, HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with the FLAG-Polt plasmid. 24 hrs post transfection cells were treated for 24 hrs with DKAc inhibitor cocktail. Proteins were visualized by western blot using the following antibodies; polyclonal antibodies against p300 (p300 protein); monoclonal antibodies against acetylated Polt; polyclonal rabbit antibodies against a C-terminal fragment of Polt; or monoclonal antibodies against the FLAG epitope, as indicated.

influences enzymatic activities of the polymerase via interactions with other proteins, and this will be a subject of future investigation.

The acetylation of Pol_l in response to MMS treatment was observed without ectopic expression of p300. This raised the question of whether p300 itself, or other acetyltransferases modify Pol_l under alkylating conditions. To establish whether p300 acetyltransferase is responsible for the modification, we disrupted the p300 gene in a HEK293T cell line using CRISPR-Cas9 technology and assayed the acetylation of Pol_l in response to MMS and DMS in the p300 knock-out cells. To our surprise, in two independently isolated p300 knock-out cell lines that were transiently transfected with the FLAG-Pol_l plasmid, MMS/DMS-induced acetylation of Pol_l was still observable (Fig. 8a), suggesting that p300 is not the only acetyltransferase responsible for Pol_l's modification. In parallel, we examined Pol_l acetylation following MMS treatment in cells exposed to the p300 acetyltransferase inhibitor, L002. HEK293T cells transfected transiently with FLAG-Pol_l were pre-incubated for 24 hrs with DKAc inhibitor and subsequently exposed, or not (controls), to L002. After a 1 hour exposure, cells were treated with MMS for an additional one, or two hours. Pol_l acetylation was assessed in extracts collected at the various time points. As shown in Fig. 8b, Pol_l acetylation is only noticeable in extracts from cells that were not treated with p300 inhibitor, strongly suggesting that incubation of cells with L002 effectively prevented Pol_l acetylation. These findings imply that p300 is accountable for Pol_l acetylation in response to MMS exposure. However, in human cells, p300 has a paralogue, CBP, and together they are the only members of one of several classes of

acetyltransferase families. It is known that to some extent L002 inhibits CBP acetyltransferase activity and p300 and CBP often acetylate the same substrates, albeit with different potency^{16,57}. To verify that CBP can acetylate Polt, we assayed Polt acetylation in HEK293T cells co-transfected with the plasmid carrying FLAG-tagged Polt and a plasmid carrying murine CBP. In the cells treated for 24 hrs with DKAc inhibitor, Polt acetylation was clearly observable (Fig. 8c). To further confirm the ability of CBP to acetylate Polt, we assayed cells co-transfected with FLAG-tagged Polt and either wild-type CBP, or the inactive *L1435A/D1436A* mutant⁵⁸. In cells expressing the HAT catalytic dead CBP mutant, Polt was not acetylated, thus confirming that CBP also acetylates Polt (Fig. 8c). Finally, we disrupted the CBP gene in a HEK293T cell line using CRISPR-Cas9 technology and verified acetylation of Polt in response to MMS and DMS in the CBP knock-out cells (Fig. 8d). Similar to the p300 KO cells, acetylation of Polt was still observable. Together, our results suggest that both p300 and CBP acetyltransferases can acetylate Polt.

As both over-expression of p300/CBP from a plasmid, or MMS/DMS treatment resulted in increased Polu acetylation, we decided to check whether treatment with $S_N 2$ alkylating agents influences the levels of the p300/CBP acetyltransferases. In our experiment, we examined the level of native p300 and CBP protein in cells treated with MMS, or DMS. It appears that the amount of p300 protein is slightly increased in MMS treated cells and a stronger effect is observable in cells treated with DMS. Detection of an augmented amount of acetyltransferase in response to those $S_N 2$ alkylating agents is clearer in the case of CBP (Fig. 8e).

Finally, our observation that Pol₁ undergoes p300/CBP-dependent acetylation in response to $S_N 2$ alkylating agents prompted us to determine whether this phenomenon is shared with other Y-family polymerases, particularly with Pol₁, which is the closest paralogue of Pol₁ in mammalian cells. We investigated acetylation of Pol₁ in cells transfected with FLAG-tagged Pol₁ over-expressing p300 or treated with alkylating agents. It appears that under the conditions where we observe significant acetylation of Pol₁ there is no acetylation of Pol₁, suggesting that this regulatory mechanism is specific for Pol₁ (Fig. 8f).

Discussion

Polu is a TLS polymerase present in mammals, some other vertebrates and some fungi. The cellular function of the enzyme is still far from being understood. Despite the fact that it was identified two decades ago⁵⁹ and possesses very peculiar features that are not found in other Y-family DNA polymerases, such as a dRP-lyase activity and an extremely high mutagenic potential when copying template T, the cellular function of Pol ι has yet to be determined^{42,60}. In an attempt to decipher the circumstances requiring such an enigmatic enzyme, we investigated the mechanism of Poli's regulation. Poli, like other Y-family polymerases, seems to be primarily controlled by the combined action of posttranslational modifications and protein-protein interactions. Similar to other Y-family DNA polymerases, Poli is monoubiquitinated in vivo, and so far, it is only known that such a modification facilitates its physical and functional interaction with $Pol\eta^{61}$. Mass spectrometry analysis previously identified over 27 unique ubiquitination sites in Pole, localized in different functional domains²⁵. Additionally, our earlier studies showed that inhibition of p300 acetyltransferase activity induces Pol_{ν} polyubiquitination³¹. In the current manuscript, we show that Polu not only directly interacts with the p300 acetyltransferase but is subject to acetylation itself (Fig. 3). p300 has two enzymatic activities, an acetyltransferase activity, associated with its HAT domain, and a ubiquitin ligase activity connected with a non-canonical RING domain¹⁵. We explored whether either of these domains is located in the region required for an interaction with Polu. Our results revealed that Polu does not interact with the N-terminal half of p300 containing the RING domain, but rather with the C-terminal part of p300, including the HAT domain (Fig. 1). Although, we cannot formally exclude the possibility that Poli can also be ubiquitinated by p300, the lack of an interaction with the N-terminal part of the protein that is required for $p300\ E3\ autoubiquitination\ and\ E4\ polyubiquitination\ of\ p53\ suggests\ that\ p300\ ubiquitination\ of\ Pol_{\nu}\ is\ unlikely.$

The main acetylation site of Poli is K550 located in Poli's Rev1 interacting region (RIR motif 539-ASRGVLSFFSKKQMQD-554). K550 is a conserved residue found in many different species of mammals, but not other vertebrates, which correlates with the conservation of the RIR (Fig. S3). Moreover, K550 is conserved in all human and mouse paralogs of Pol₁, Pol₁ and Pol κ^{62} . Nonetheless, we demonstrated that both K550R or K550Q mutations, respectively blocking and mimicking acetylation, do not significantly affect the ability of Polu to interact with Rev1. This result is consistent with previous results defining the RIR motif as x-x-x-F-F-y-y-y, consisting of two consecutive phenylalanine residues proceeded by no specific residues (x) and followed by any four residues (y) excluding proline⁵⁵. On the other hand, Liu et al., observed significant reduction in the strength of the interaction between Rev1 and Polk when the corresponding lysine in Polk was mutated to glycine (K571G)⁶³. Lysine 550 is located near the interface with Rev1 and can also serve as one of many possible ubiquitination sites²⁵. In our previous studies, we speculated that attaching ubiquitin to K550 would obstruct the interaction of Poli and Rev1²⁵. Following this idea, we can hypothesize that acetylating K550 might prevent its susceptibility to ubiquitination, thereby assuring an opportunity for the two polymerases to interact. In general, the RIRs of TLS DNA polymerases play an essential role in regulating TLS activity, however, the interaction between the C-terminal domain of Rev1 and RIR motifs of Poln, Poll and Polk are not exceptionally tight and are often competitive with one another. So, it seems that a Rev1-RIR interaction results from a dynamic association, rather than a stable connection. Even though the results of our immunoprecipitation experiments show that in general, blocking, or mimicking, Pole acetylation does not notably alter the interaction with Rev1, we cannot exclude the possibility that it can influence polymerase engagement in the microenvironment of a particular replication block.

To further corroborate the physiological conditions leading to Poli's modification, we investigated the acetylation in response to a variety of DNA damaging agents. The results of our experiments showed that Poli is acetylated in response to alkylating agents and in much lower extent to oxidative agents (Fig. 6).

It is worth noting that similar to Pole acetylation, Lee *et al.*, observed global acetylation of proteins in cells exposed to genotoxic agents, particularly MMS and other alkylating agents and to a lower extent to hydrogen peroxide⁶⁴. However, in contrast to the global protein acetylation that, according to Lee *et al.*, does not depend on

p300/CBP, our results show that these two acetyltransferases are responsible for Pol₄ acetylation (Figs 3 and 8). Additionally, our results suggest that, at least partially, increased Pol₄ acetylation in response to MMS and DMS could be a consequence of higher cellular levels of the two acetyltransferases, as we observed slight induction of both p300 and CBP in MMS or DMS treated cells (Fig. 8d). We are unaware of any information in the literature reporting the inducibility of p300/CBP in response to DNA damaging agents. However, Cohen *et al.*, showed that CBP protein accumulates in the cytoplasm in response to UV radiation⁶⁵.

Although, our results point to MMS/DMS as the main facilitator of Pol₁ acetylation, we noticed that oxidative agents (hydrogen peroxide and potassium bromate) can also induce Pol₁ acetylation (Fig. 6b), but to a much lower extent. Since both alkylation and oxidation of DNA, as well as other DNA damaging treatments used in this research, can activate the ATR/ATM dependent cascade of kinases governing DNA damage response (DDR), one can speculate that Pol₁ acetylation reflects the level of DDR induction. However, based on the literature data on phosphorylation of the landmarks of DDR: Chk1⁶⁶, Chk2⁶⁷ or γ H2AX⁶⁸, in response to the DNA damaging treatments inducing or not Pol₁ acetylation, we conclude that the Pol₁ acetylation does not correlate with activation of DDR. While the exact mechanism of how Pol₁ is influenced by the cellular responses is not known, it was previously shown that Pol₁ can bypass alkylation or oxidative lesions both *in vitro* and *in vivo*^{32–34,39,69–73}.

Both alkylation and oxidative DNA lesions are substrates of base excision repair (BER). It is also worth mentioning that Pol₁ displays BER activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* and functionally interacts with the BER scaffold protein XRCC1^{39,60,74}. Furthermore, Pol₁ possesses deoxyribose phosphate (dRP) lyase activity, a feature characteristic for BER polymerases^{58,68}. Strikingly, proteins involved in BER represent a significant group among all proteins involved in DNA damage response that were found to be acetylated^{5,75–78}. This suggests that protein acetylation can considerably influence the activity of BER enzymes, even though it cannot be unequivocally proven that it activates or represses BER.

Interestingly, the level of Pol₁ acetylation is particularly high in cells treated with two S_N2 alkylating agents: MMS and DMS, in contrast to rather moderate acetylation in response to EMS (S_N1/S_N2) and MNNG (S_N1). One could speculate that the DNA lesions produced by S_N2 rather than S_N1 alkylating agents might serve as a signal for Pol₁ acetylation. The stronger response to S_N2 than S_N1 alkylators is intriguing, since the sites methylated in an S_N2 reaction in duplex DNA are also modified by S_N1 alkylating agents. However, in single-stranded DNA, some sites are more reactive with MMS than with MNNG⁷⁹. Accordingly, DNA repair dioxygenases from the AlkB family cause resistance to cell killing by S_N2 but not the S_N1 alkylating agents^{80–82}. On the other hand, S_N2 and S_N1 alkylating agents differently modify amino acids residues⁸³; therefore, at the moment we cannot exclude the possibility that Pol₄ acetylation results from S_N2 alkylation of proteins, or other cellular constituents. However, in light of the known DNA damage tolerance/repair activities of TLS polymerases, the functional connection of Pol₄ acetylation with DNA rather than protein modifications by S_N2 alkylating agents seems more credible. Nonetheless, this phenomenon needs to be investigated in detail and will be the subject of future studies.

Despite the fact that Pol₄, similar to many other cellular proteins, is acetylated in response to MMS, its closest paralogue, Pol₁, does not follow the same pathway. Additionally, it has been recently shown that Pol₆, another member of the Y-family DNA polymerases, is not a substrate for *in vitro* CBP/p300 acetylation²². Pol₄ was thought to be a less efficient and more error prone back-up polymerase for $Pol\eta^{31,84}$. Our results, however, suggest that despite many similarities between these two Y-family enzymes, there are cellular requirements and conditions devoted specifically for Pol₄ and acetylation of the enzyme might play a role in adjusting its performance to these circumstances.

Experimental procedures. *Reagents-* Were purchased from the following vendors: L002, plumbagin, MMS, EMS, MNNG, DMS, hydroxyurea, potassium bromate, acetyl coenzyme A, Hoechst from Sigma Aldrich; deacetylation inhibitor cocktail from Santa Cruz; zeocin from InvivoGen, MMC and cisplatin from TCI, hydrogen peroxide (Chempur), Anti DYKDDDDK Affinity Gel (Biotool), anti HA beads (Life Technologies), DMSO (BioShop), Turbofectin 8.0 from Origene, Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific);

Antibodies- anti-FLAG (Abnova, MAB2094), anti-p300 (Santa Cruz, sc-585X and Abcam, ab54984), anti-HA (Abcam, ab9110 and Abnova, MAB7911), anti-Polt (Novus Biologicals, NB100-175), anti-N-terminal Polt and anti-C-terminal Polt⁷, anti-acetylated lysine (Thermo Scientific, MA1-2021), anti-CBP (Bethyl Laboratories, PLA0097 and Santa Cruz, sc-730), anti-tubulin (Abcam, ab 6160).

Plasmids. Vectors expressing truncated or mutated variants of Pol₁ (pJRM258 – pJRM262) were generated by sub-cloning respective PCR fragments into pJRM46⁶¹ expressing N-terminal FLAG-tagged full-length human Pol₂. Plasmids pJRM264, pDH77, pGS11, pGS7 and pNWA10 are pJRM46 derivatives with single, or double lysine to arginine, lysine to glutamine or lysine to alanine substitutions that were generated by chemically synthesizing appropriate DNA fragments (Genscript) and subsequently sub-cloned into pJRM46. Vectors pJRM242 and pJRM244, expressing N- or C-terminal fragments of p300 proteins tagged with an HA-epitope, were generated by sub-cloning respective PCR fragments into the pCMV6AN-HA vector (Origene). Plasmid pGS23, expressing full-length wild-type human Rev1 was generated by sub-cloning the codon optimized synthesized *REV1L* gene (Genscript) into pCMV6AN-HA. Plasmids pGS24 and pGS25 expressing eGFP-tagged full-length Pol₄ with single K550R, or K550Q mutations, respectively, were generated by chemically synthesizing appropriate DNA fragments (Jenscript) and their subsequent sub-cloning into pDH24⁸⁵. The full list of all the plasmids used in this study is shown in Table 1.

Plasmid Transfection and Protein Expression. In all of our experiments, we have used ectopically expressed Pol_{ν}, because the endogenous level of Pol_{ν} in HEK293T cells is very low⁸⁶.

Plasmid	Description		Source/Ref.
pJRM46	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	1-715aa	61
pJRM258	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	1-419aa	this work
pJRM259	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	1-470aa	this work
pJRM260	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	1-537aa	this work
pJRM261	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	1-676aa	this work
pJRM262	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	409-715aa	this work
pJRM264	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	K550R	this work
pDH77	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	K550Q	Woodgate lab
pGS7	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	K549R/K550R	this work
pGS8	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	K549Q/K550Q	this work
pNWA10	pCMV6AN-DDK-polı	F546A/F547A	Woodgate lab
pJRM160	pCMV6AN-DDK-polղ		this work
pDH24	peGFP-Polı		89
pGS24	peGFP-Polı K550R		this work
pGS25	peGFP-Polı K550Q		this work
pCMVβ-p300-myc			gift from Tso-Pang Yao (Addgene # 30489)
pCMVβ-p300.DY-myc			gift from Tso-Pang Yao (Addgene # 30489)
pJRM242	pCMV6AN-DDK-p300∆C		this work
pJRM244	pCMV6AN-DDK-p300∆N		this work
pcDNA3β-FLAG-CBP-HA			58
pcDNA3β-FLAG-CBP-LD-HA			58
pGS23	pCMV6AN-HA-Hs_CO-FL-Rev1		this work
pJM868	His-Ec-CO-polı_wt		87

Table 1. Plasmids used in this study.

Mammalian expressing constructs were transfected into HEK293T cells using Turbofectin 8.0 according to manufacturer's instructions (Origene). Twenty-four to forty-eight hours post transfection cells were harvested and lysed. The presence of expressed proteins of interest was verified by western blot.

Human p300 and CBP Knock-out by CRISPR/Cas9. A p300 knock-out in a HEK293T cell line was generated using the p300CRISPR/Cas9 KO and p300HDR plasmids purchased from Santa Cruz (sc-400055 and sc-400055-HDR, respectively). A CBP knock-out in the HEK293T cell line was performed using CBP CRISPR/ Cas9 KO and CBP HDR plasmids purchased from Santa Cruz (sc-400200 and sc-400200-HDR, respectively). The knock-out procedure was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with p300CRISPR/Cas9 KO and p300HDR or CBP CRISPR/Cas9 KO and CBP HDR plasmids and the successful co-transfections were visually confirmed by detection of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) and red fluorescent protein (RFP) via fluorescent microscopy. 48 hrs post transfection the media was removed and replaced with one containing puromycin and cells were selected on the medium with the antibiotic for another 5 days with fresh media replacement every 2 days. Next, single cell colonies were isolated and complete knockouts were confirmed by western blot with antibodies against p300 or CBP.

Foci Formation of DNA Polu. The GFP- Polu foci formation assay was performed as described previously⁸⁶. Briefly, the eGFP constructs were transfected into MRC5 fibroblasts previously plated onto coverslips. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were fixed using 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS and mounted onto slides using Mowiol mounting medium. Fluorescence images of cell nuclei were acquired on a Zeiss Axiovert 40 CFL (Carl Zeiss) with X-cite Series 120Q light source, using Zen 2 (blue edition) software. A minimum of 200 GFP-expressing nuclei, were analyzed for each cell line per line.

Immunoprecipitation Assay. For the immunoprecipitation assay of acetylated Pol₁ and Pol₁, respective cell extracts including FLAG-tagged proteins were incubated for one hour to overnight at 4 °C with Anti-DYDDDDK (Flag) Affinity Gel (Biotool), washed three times and analyzed directly by SDS-PAGE and western blot. For the immunoprecipitation assay regarding Pol₁ interaction, respective cell extracts including FLAG- or HA-proteins were incubated for one hour at 4 °C with Anti-DYDDDDK (Flag) Affinity Gel (Biotool), or Pierce HA Epitope Tag Antibody Agarose (Thermo Scientific) respectively. Post incubation resins were washed five times and analyzed directly by SDS-PAGE and western blot.

Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA). Prior to the proximity ligation assay (PLA), MRC5 fibroblasts were cultured and fixed on slides with 4% paraformaldehyde and 4% sucrose in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. After fixation, the cells were rinsed with PBS then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies for Pol₄ and p300 diluted 1:250 in 1% donkey serum (DS) and 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. The proximity ligation assay (PLA;

Sigma DUO92004-100RXN) was performed using $40\,\mu$ L of reaction per slide according to the manufacturer's instruction. In brief, slides after overnight incubation with primary antibodies recognizing Pol₁ and p300 were washed with PBS (3x) and incubated with two PLA secondary antibodies with probes, anti-mouse MINUS and anti-rabbit PLUS for 1 hour at 37 °C. Slides were then washed with buffer A, followed by the ligation reaction, for 30 min at 37 °C. Subsequently the amplification reaction was performed for 100 min at 37 °C, followed by washing with buffer B (all ingredients and buffers were provided by manufacturer) and mounting with VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium with DAPI for nucleus visualization. Images of immunofluorescently stained cells were acquired using confocal microscopy. The confocal system consisted of a Zeiss LSM800 Exciter microscope equipped with lasers that produced light at 405, 488, and 594 nm wavelengths was used for the acquisition of pictures of PLA positive cells, $40 \times /1.30$ objective was used to scan samples. A series of continuous optical sections at 0.4 µm intervals along the z-axis of a cell were scanned for all fluorescent signals and stored as a series of 1024×1024 pixel images. The laser power and image acquisition settings were kept constant.

Protein Purification. Full-length recombinant human Poli was purified as described previously⁸⁷. Briefly, pJM868 plasmid encoding His-tagged *Escherichia coli*-codon optimized human Poli⁸⁷ was expressed in the *E. coli* strain RW644⁸⁸. The His-Poli protein was purified on HisPur Ni-NTA Superflow Agarose (Thermo Scientific) as recommended by the manufacturer. The eluate containing Poli was dialyzed in buffer including 20 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.3, 10 mM sodium chloride, 10% glycerol, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and applied to HP Q Sepharose (GE Healthcare). Poli was eluted in a step gradient of sodium chloride. Full-length recombinant p300 was purchased from Active Motif.

Mass Spectrometry. LC-MS analysis of gel slices was conducted in Mass Spectrometry Lab, Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Science, where they were analyzed by mass spectrometry as a custom contract service.

In vitro Acetylation. Acetylation reactions were performed in acetylation buffer (50 mM Tris pH8, 150 mM sodium chloride, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM sodium butyrate, 5% glycerol) with the addition of 100μ M acetyl coenzyme A, 1 mM PMSF, 100 ng of p300 (Active Motif) and purified recombinant Pol₂ for 1 hour at 30 °C. The reaction was then stopped by the addition of Laemmli buffer and followed by SDS-PAGE.

References

- Lee, S. Post-translational modification of proteins in toxicological research: Focus on lysine acylation. *Toxicol. Res.* 29, 81–86 (2013).
 McIntyre, J. & Woodgate, R. Regulation of translesion DNA synthesis: Posttranslational modification of lysine residues in key
- Proteins, DNA Repair (Amst). 29, 166–179 (2015).
 2. Allford MC Construction of Microsoft Amsterial and the second statement of the second st
- Allfrey, V. G., Faulkner, R. & Mirsky, A. E. Acetylation and methylation of histones and their possible role in the regulation of RNA synthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 51, 786–94 (1964).
- 4. Berger, S. L. The complex language of chromatin regulation during transcription. Nature 447, 407–412 (2007).
- Dutto, I., Scalera, C. & Prosperi, E. CREBBP and p300 lysine acetyl transferases in the DNA damage response. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 75, 1325–1338 (2018).
- 6. Montgomery, D. C., Sorum, A. W. & Meier, J. L. Defining the orphan functions of lysine acetyltransferases. ACS Chem. Biol. 10, 85–94 (2015).
- 7. Choudhary, C. *et al.* Lysine acetylation targets protein complexes and co-regulates major cellular functions. *Science* **325**, 834–840 (2009).
- 8. Drazic, A., Myklebust, L. M., Ree, R. & Arnesen, T. The world of protein acetylation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Proteomics* 1864, 1372–1401 (2016).
- 9. Tang, Y., Wenhui, Z., Chen, Y., Zhao, Y. & Gu, W. Acetylation is indispensible for p53 activation. Cell 133, 612–626 (2010).
- Grönroos, E., Hellman, U., Heldin, C. H. & Ericsson, J. Control of Smad7 stability by competition between acetylation and ubiquitination. *Mol. Cell* 10, 483–493 (2002).
- Milite, C. et al. A novel cell-permeable, selective, and noncompetitive inhibitor of KAT3 histone acetyltransferases from a combined molecular pruning/classical isosterism approach. J. Med. Chem. 58, 2779–2798 (2015).
- 12. Dancy, B. M. & Cole, P. A. Protein lysine acetylation by p300/CBP. Chem. Rev. 115, 2419-2452 (2015).
- Wang, L., Tang, Y., Cole, P. A. & Marmorstein, R. Structure and chemistry of the p300/CBP and Rtt109 histone acetyltransferases: implications for histone acetyltransferase evolution and function. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 18, 741–7 (2008).
- Delvecchio, M., Gaucher, J., Aguilar-Gurrieri, C., Ortega, E. & Panne, D. Structure of the p300 catalytic core and implications for chromatin targeting and HAT regulation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 20, 1040–1046 (2013).
- 15. Grossman, S. R. et al. Polyubiquitination of p53 by a ubiquitin ligase activity of p300. Science. **300**, 342–344 (2003).
- 16. Shi, D. et al. CBP and p300 are cytoplasmic E4 polyubiquitin ligases for p53. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 16275–16280 (2009).
- 17. Bedford, D. C. & Brindle, P. K. Is histone acetylation the most important physiological function for CBP and p300? *Aging (Albany. NY)*. **4**, 247–255 (2012).
- 18. Kalkhoven, E. CBP andp300: HATs for different occasions. Biochem. Pharmacol. 68, 1145-1155 (2004).
- 19. Di Martile, M., Del Bufalo, D. & Trisciuoglio, D. The multifaceted role of lysine acetylation in cancer: prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target. *Oncotarget* 7 (2016).
- Powolny, A. A. & Singh, S. V. Plumbagin-induced apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells is associated with modulation of cellular redox status and generation of reactive oxygen species. *Pharm. Res.* 25, 2171–2180 (2008).
- Jin, L. et al. Therapeutic targeting of the CBP/p300 bromodomain blocks the growth of castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 77, 5564–5575 (2017).
- 22. Yang, H. *et al.* Small-molecule inhibitors of acetyltransferase p300 identified by high-throughput screening are potent anticancer agents. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **12**, 610–620 (2013).
- 23. Yasuda, T. *et al.* Novel function of HATs and HDACs in homologous recombination through acetylation of human RAD52 at double-strand break sites. *PLOS Genetics* 14 (2018).
- 24. Piekna-Przybylska, D., Bambara, R. A. & Balakrishnan, L. Acetylation regulates DNA repair mechanisms in human cells. *Cell Cycle* 15, 1506–1517 (2016).
- 25. McIntyre, J. et al. Posttranslational regulation of human DNA polymerase t. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 27332-27344 (2015).
- Gao, Y., Mutter-Rottmayer, E., Zlatanou, A., Vaziri, C. & Yang, Y. Mechanisms of post-replication DNA repair. *Genes (Basel)*. 8 (2017).

- Vaisman, A. & Woodgate, R. Translesion DNA polymerases in eukaryotes: what makes them tick? Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 52, 274–303 (2017).
- 28. Goodman, M. F., Woodgate, R. & Translesion, D. N. A. Polymerases. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 5, a010363–a010363 (2013).
- 29. Ohmori, H. *et al.* The Y-family of DNA Polymerases. *Mol. Cell* **8**, 7–8 (2001). 30. Gueranger, Q. *et al.* Role of DNA polymerases η , ι and ζ in UV resistance and UV-induced mutagenesis in a human cell line. *DNA*
 - Repair (Amst). 7, 1551–1562 (2008).
- Dumstorf, C. A. et al. Participation of mouse DNA polymerase iota in strand-biased mutagenic bypass of UV photoproducts and suppression of skin cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 18083–8 (2006).
- Kirouac, K. N. & Ling, H. Unique active site promotes error-free replication opposite an 8-oxo-guanine lesion by human DNA polymerase iota. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 3210–3215 (2011).
- Taggart, D. J., Fredrickson, S. W., Gadkari, V. V. & Suo, Z. Mutagenic potential of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine bypass catalyzed by human Y-family DNA polymerases. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 27, 931–940 (2014).
- Plosky, B. S. et al. Eukaryotic Y-family polymerases bypass a 3-methyl-2'-deoxyadenosine analog in vitro and methyl methanesulfonate-induced DNA damage in vivo. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, 2152–2162 (2008).
- 35. Pence, M. G. et al. Lesion bypass of N²-ethylguanine by human DNA polymerase L. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 1732-1740 (2009).
- 36. Frank, E. G. et al. Translesion replication of benzo[a]pyrene and benzo[c]phenanthrene diol epoxide adducts of deoxyadenosine and deoxyguanosine by human DNA polymerase v. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 5284–92 (2002).
- Bobola, M. S. et al. Human glioma cell sensitivity to the sequence-specific alkylating agent methyl-lexitropsin. Clin. Cancer Res. 13, 612–620 (2007).
- Smith, L. A. et al. Bypass of a psoralen DNA interstrand cross-link by DNA polymerases β, ι, and κ in vitro. Biochemistry 51, 8931–8938 (2012).
- 39. Petta, T. B. et al. Human DNA polymerase iota protects cells against oxidative stress. EMBO J. 27, 2883–2895 (2008).
- Ohkumo, T. et al. UV-B radiation induces epithelial tumors in mice lacking DNA polymerase η and mesenchymal tumors in mice deficient for DNA polymerase ι. Mol. Cell. Biol. 26, 7696–7706 (2006).
- McCulloch, S. D. & Kunkel, T. A. The fidelity of DNA synthesis by eukaryotic replicative and translesion synthesis polymerases. *Cell Res.* 18, 148–161 (2008).
- Zhang, Y., Yuan, F., Wu, X. & Wang, Z. Preferential incorporation of G opposite template T by the low-fidelity human DNA polymerase t. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, 7099–108 (2000).
- Tissier, A., McDonald, J. P., Frank, E. G. & Woodgate, R. poli, a remarkably error-prone human DNA polymerase. *Genes Dev.* 14, 1642–50 (2000).
- Sabbioneda, S. *et al.* Effect of proliferating cell nuclear antigen ubiquitination and chromatin structure on the dynamic properties of the Y-family DNA polymerases. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 19, 5193–5202 (2008).
- Garg, P. & Burgers, P. M. Ubiquitinated proliferating cell nuclear antigen activates translesion DNA polymerases η and REV1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 18361–6 (2005).
- 46. Bienko, M. et al. Regulation of translesion synthesis DNA polymerase eta by monoubiquitination. Mol. Cell 37, 396–407 (2010).
- Chen, Y. et al. Human DNA polymerase η activity and translocation is regulated by phosphorylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 16578–16583 (2008).
- Göhler, T., Sabbioneda, S., Green, C. M. & Lehmann, A. R. ATR-mediated phosphorylation of DNA polymerase η is needed for efficient recovery from UV damage. J. Cell Biol. 192, 219–227 (2011).
- Jung, Y. S., Qian, Y. & Chen, X. DNA polymerase eta is targeted by Mdm2 for polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation in response to ultraviolet irradiation. DNA Repair (Amst). 11, 177–184 (2012).
- Dai, X., You, C. & Wang, Y. The functions of serine 687 phosphorylation of human DNA polymerase η in UV damage tolerance. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 15, 1913–20 (2016).
- 51. Ma, X. et al. Poly O-GlcNAcylation governs genome integrity during translesion DNA synthesis. Nat. Commun. 8 (2017).
- Ito, A. *et al.* p300/CBP-mediated p53 acetylation is commonly induced by p53-activating agents and inhibited by MDM2. *EMBO J.* 20, 1331–1340 (2001).
- 53. Kamieniarz, K. & Schneider, R. Tools to tackle protein acetylation. Chem. Biol. 16, 1027–1029 (2009).
- White, R. H., Keberlein, M. & Jackson, V. A mutational mimic analysis of histone H3 post-translational modifications: Specific sites influence the conformational state of H3/H4, causing either positive or negative supercoiling of DNA. *Biochemistry* 51, 8173–8188 (2012).
- 55. Ohashi, E. *et al.* Identification of a novel REV1-interacting motif necessary for DNA polymerase κ function. *Genes to Cells* 14, 101–111 (2009).
- 56. Garner, R. C. & Hradec, J. Biochemistry of Chemical Carcinogenesis. (Plenum Press, New York, 1989).
- Cazzalini, O. et al. CBP and p300 acetylate PCNA to link its degradation with nucleotide excision repair synthesis. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, 8433–8448 (2014).
- Zhao, X., Sternsdorf, T., Bolger, T. A., Evans, R. M. & Yao, T.-P. Regulation of MEF2 by histone deacetylase 4- and SIRT1 deacetylasemediated lysine modifications. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25, 8456–8464 (2005).
- 59. McDonald, J. et al. Novel human and mouse homologs of Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA polymerase n. Genomics 60, 20-30 (1999).
- 60. Bebenek, K. et al. 5'-Deoxyribose phosphate lyase activity of human DNA polymerase iota in vitro. Science 291, 2156–2159 (2001).
- 61. McIntyre, J. *et al.* Ubiquitin mediates the physical and functional interaction between human DNA polymerases η and ι. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, 1649–1660 (2013).
- 62. Wojtaszek, J. et al. Multifaceted recognition of vertebrate Rev1 by translesion polymerases ζ and κ. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 26400–26408.
- 63. Liu, D. *et al.* Insights into the regulation of human Rev 1 for translesion synthesis polymerases revealed by the structural studies on its polymerase-interacting domain. *J. Mol. Cell Biol.* **5**, 204–206 (2013).
- Lee, M. Y. et al. Alkylating agent methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) induces a wave of global protein hyperacetylation: Implications in cancer cell death. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 360, 483–489 (2007).
- 65. Cohen, H. Y. et al. Acetylation of the C terminus of Ku70 by CBP and PCAF controls Bax-mediated apoptosis. Mol. Cell 13, 627–638 (2004).
- Zhang, S. *et al.* Novel DNA Damage response rapid degradation of the p12 subunit of DNA polymerase δ. J. Biol Chem. 282, 15330–15340 (2007).
- Chou, W. C. et al. Chk2-dependent phosphorylation of XRCC1 in the DNA damage response promotes base excision repair. EMBO J. 27, 3140–3150 (2008).
- 68. Liaw, H., Lee, D. & Myung, K. DNA-PK-dependent RPA2 hyperphosphorylation facilitates DNA repair and suppresses sister chromatid exchange. *PLoS One.* **6**, e21424 (2011).
- Tolentino, J. H., Burke, T. J., Mukhopadhyay, S., McGregor, W. G. & Basu, A. K. Inhibition of DNA replication fork progression and mutagenic potential of 1, N6-ethenoadenine and 8-oxoguanine in human cell extracts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 1300–1308 (2008).
 -). Drabløs, F. *et al.* Alkylation damage in DNA and RNA Repair mechanisms and medical significance. *DNA Repair (Amst).* **3**, 1389–1407 (2004).
- 71. Furrer, A. & Van Loon, B. Handling the 3-methylcytosine lesion by six human DNA polymerases members of the B-, X- and Y-families. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, 553–566 (2014).

- Nair, D. T., Johnson, R. E., Prakash, S., Prakash, L. & Aggarwal, A. K. Replication by human DNA polymerase-*i* occurs by Hoogsteen base-pairing. *Nature* 430, 377–80 (2004).
- Johnson, R. E., Yu, S.-L., Prakash, S. & Prakash, L. A Role for yeast and human translesion synthesis DNA polymerases in promoting replication through 3-methyl adenine. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 27, 7198–7205 (2007).
- Prasad, R. et al. Localization of the deoxyribose phosphate lyase active site in human DNA polymerase i by controlled proteolysis. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 29649–29654 (2003).
- Bhakat, K. K., Mantha, A. K. & Mitra, S. Transcriptional regulatory functions of mammalian AP-endonuclease (APE1/Ref-1), an essential multifunctional protein. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 11, 621–637 (2009).
- 76. Bhakat, K. K., Hazra, T. K. & Mitra, S. Acetylation of the human DNA glycosylase NEIL2 and inhibition of its activity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 3033–3039 (2004).
- Bhakat, K. K. & Mitra, S. Regulation of the human O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase gene by transcriptional coactivators cAMP response element-binding protein-binding protein and p300. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 34197–34204 (2000).
- Blandert, G. et al. DNA damage-induced translocation of the Werner helicase is regulated by acetylation. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 50934-50940 (2002).
- Singer, B. & Grunberger, D. Reactions of directly acting agents with nucleic acids. In: Molecular Biology of Mutagens and Carcinogens 45–96, Springer, Boston, MA, (1983).
- Kataoka, H., Yamamoto, Y. & Sekiguchi, M. A new gene (*alkB*) of *Escherichia coli* that controls sensitivity to methyl methane sulfonate. J. Bacteriol. 153, 1301–1307 (1983).
- Dinglay, S., Trewick, S. C., Lindahl, T. & Sedgwick, B. Defective processing of methylated single-stranded DNA by E. coli alkB mutants. Genes Dev. 14, 2097–2105 (2000).
- Wyatt, M. D. & Pittman, D. L. Methylating agents and DNA repair responses: methylated bases and sources of strand breaks. Chem Res Toxicol. 19, 1580–1594 (2008).
- Boffa, L. C., Bolognesi, C. & Mariani, M. R. Specific targets of alkylating agents in nuclear proteins of cultured hepatocytes. *Mutat. Res.* 190, 119–23 (1987).
- Jansen, J. G. et al. Redundancy of mammalian Y family DNA polymerases in cellular responses to genomic DNA lesions induced by ultraviolet light. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, 11071–11082 (2014).
- Donigan, K. A., McLenigan, M. P., Yang, W., Goodman, M. F. & Woodgate, R. The steric gate of DNA polymerase *i* regulates ribonucleotide incorporation and deoxyribonucleotide fidelity. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 9136–9145 (2014).
- 86. Frank, E. G. et al. DNA polymerase 1: The long and the short of it! DNA Repair (Amst). 58, 47-51 (2017).
- Frank, E. G., McDonald, J. P., Karata, K., Huston, D. & Woodgate, R. A strategy for the expression of recombinant proteins traditionally hard to purify. *Anal. Biochem.* 429, 132–139 (2012).
- Karata, K., Vaisman, A., Goodman, M. F. & Woodgate, R. Simple and efficient purification of *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase V: Cofactor requirements for optimal activity and processivity *in vitro*. DNA Repair (Amst). 11, 431–440 (2012).
- Maul, R. W. et al. DNA polymerase t functions in the generation of tandem mutations during somatic hypermutation of antibody genes. J Exp Med. 213, 1675–83 (2016).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Donald Huston for providing plasmid pDH77 and Nicholas Ashton for providing pNWA10 and helpful discussions. We also thank the members of the Mass Spectrometry Lab from the IBB, Janusz Debski and Ewa Sitkiewicz for help with analyzing the mass spectrometry data and preparing figures presenting peptide fragmentation spectra. This work was supported by the Polish National Science Center (grant number 2015/17/B/ NZ1/00862) and the NICHD/NIH Intramural Research Program (1ZIAHD001500-36).

Author Contributions

J.M. constructed all the pJRM plasmids shown in Table 1 and the p300 and CBP KO cell lines, as well as purified proteins and designed, performed experiments shown in Figures 1–8, analyzed the results and wrote the paper. A.S. performed the experiments shown in Figure 8e, f. M.F. participated in the experiments for Figure 7b. M.P.M. performed the foci formation assay presented in Figure 7b. M.M. performed the PLA experiment that is shown in Figure 1b. R.W. designed Genscript gene synthesis constructs, discussed the results and edited the paper. E.S.G. discussed the results and participated in writing the paper.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41249-3.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019