

Letter to the Editor

Cetuximab in small bowel adenocarcinoma: a new friend?

D Santini^{*,1}, ME Fratto¹, C Spoto¹, A Russo², S Galluzzo¹, A Zoccoli¹, B Vincenzi¹ and G Tonini¹

¹Medical Oncology, University Campus Bio-Medico, Via Alvaro del Portillo, 200, Rome 00128, Italy; ²Department of Surgical and Oncological Sciences, University of Palermo, Via del Vespro 179, Palermo 90127, Italy

British Journal of Cancer (2010) 103, 1305. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605898 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 14 September 2010

© 2010 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

Small bowel adenocarcinoma (SBA) is a rare and aggressive tumour. SBA in the United States increased from 5.7 cases per million in 1973 to 7.3 cases per million in 2004 (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER), 1973–2004 database; Jemal *et al* (2009). Surgery is the mainstay of treatment, even if chemotherapy in advanced disease has been associated with an increased survival. The most effective agents include 5-FU, irinotecan, platinum agents and gemcitabine (Fishman *et al*, 2006; Speranza *et al*, 2010). The molecular characterisation of this cancer could help to improve prognosis. Specifically, the frequency of *KRAS* gene mutations is similar than in colorectal cancer (Ari *et al*, 1997). The role of targeted therapy, specifically of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors, has never been investigated in

patients with SBA. A recent report showed that a high percentage of tumours express both EGFR and VEGF-A suggesting that these patients could benefit from therapeutic strategies targeting EGFR and VEGF receptors (Overman *et al*, 2010).

In our institution four patients with advanced SBA (three men and one woman) were enrolled to receive cetuximab in combination with chemotherapy. The primary site of disease was duodenum in two patients and jejunum in the other two patients. All patients had peritoneal carcinosis, whereas one presented also bone, abdominal lymph nodes and liver metastases. Cetuximab (250 mg mq⁻¹, with a loading dose of 400 mg mq⁻¹) was associated with CPT-11-based chemotherapy in first- (two patients) or second-line (two patients) therapy for metastatic disease. The patients previously treated progressed with folfiri during first-line chemotherapy. The median number of weekly cetuximab cycles was 14 (range: 8–28). One patient obtained a complete peritoneal response, two patients a partial peritoneal response and one patient stable disease in all the disease localisations. Two patients have a time to progression with cetuximab of 3 months, whereas the other two are still on cetuximab after 3 and 10 months. Two patients are still alive (overall survival of 7 and 17 months), the other two had an overall survival of 35 and 19 months. Treatment was well tolerated, with one patient presenting grade 3 neutropenia and grade 3 diarrhoea. Nobody needed to have a dose modification. In three patients *KRAS* status was tested, resulting in *KRAS* wild-type mutational status. The same patients presented a G2 skin rash, that was associated with the two partial responses and the complete response. Table 1 shows the main characteristics and the results.

These case reports show that anti-EGFR therapy may have a role in SBA, especially in those patients harbouring a wild-type *KRAS* status. A prospective trial is needed to explore and to support these preliminary observations.

Table 1 Patients' characteristics and results obtained with cetuximab

Total number	4
Age (years)	
Median (minimum–maximum)	64.25 (57–61)
Gender, number (%)	
Male	3 (75)
Female	1 (25)
Primary site (%)	
Duodenum	2 (50)
Jejunum	2 (50)
Grading, number (%)	
Well differentiated	0 (0)
Moderately differentiated	1 (25)
Poorly differentiated	2 (50)
<i>KRAS</i> status	
Wild-type	3 (75%)
Mutant	0 (0%)
Not available	1 (25%)
Response to cetuximab	
Progression	0 (0%)
Stable disease (second line)	1 (25%)
Partial response (first and second line)	2 (50%)
Complete response (first line)	1 (25%)
Skin rash	
G0	1 (25%)
G1	0 (0%)
G2	3 (75%)

REFERENCES

- Ari M, Shimizu S, Imai Y, Nakatsuru Y, Oda H, Oohara T, Ishikawa T (1997) Mutations of the *Ki-ras*, *p53*, and *APC* genes in adenocarcinomas of the human small intestine. *Int J Cancer* 70: 512–517
- Fishman PN, Pond GR, Moore MJ, Oza A, Burkes RL, Siu LL, Feld R, Gallinger S, Greig P, Knox JJ (2006) Natural history and chemotherapy effectiveness for advanced adenocarcinoma of the small bowel: a retrospective review of 113 cases. *Am J Clin Oncol* 29: 225–231
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Thun MJ (2009) Cancer statistics, 2009. *CA Cancer J Clin* 59: 225–249
- Overman MJ, Pozadzides J, Kopets S, Wen S, Abbruzzese JL, Wolff RA, Wang H (2010) Immunophenotype and molecular characterization of adenocarcinoma of the small intestine. *Br J Cancer* 102: 144–150
- Speranza G, Doroshow JH, Kummur S (2010) Adenocarcinoma of the small bowel: changes in the landscape? *Curr Opin Oncol* 22: 387–393

*Correspondence: Dr D Santini; E-mail: d.santini@unicampus.it

Published online 14 September 2010