



Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Res. 2022 September ; 92(3): 671–677. doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01820-z.

Laryngeal Mask Ventilation With Chest Compression During Neonatal Resuscitation – Randomized, Non-inferiority Trial in Lambs

Mani Srinivasan¹, Gugino Sylvia¹, Helman Justin¹, Bawa Mausma¹, Nair Jayasree¹, Chandrasekharan Praveen¹, Rawat Munmun¹

¹Department of Pediatrics, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 14203.

Abstract

Background: Effective positive pressure ventilation is a critical factor in newborn resuscitation. Neonatal endotracheal intubation (ETT) needs considerable training and experience, which poses a human factor challenge. Laryngeal mask airway (LMA) ventilation can be a secure and viable alternative during the initial stages of newborn resuscitation. However, there is limited evidence for its use during chest compression (CC).

Methods: Seventeen lambs were randomized into LMA or ETT ventilation post cord occlusion induced cardiac arrest. After 5 minutes of cardiac arrest, resuscitation was initiated as per NRP recommendations. Ventilation, oxygenation, systemic and pulmonary hemodynamic parameters were recorded till return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) or 20 minutes.

Results: Baseline characteristics were similar between the groups. The incidence of ROSC was 75% (6/8) in the LMA group and 56% (5/9) in the ETT group ($p = 0.74$). The median (IQR) time to achieve ROSC was 6.85 min (6 min – 9.1 min) in the LMA group and 7.50 min (5.33 min – 18 min) in the ETT group ($p = 0.65$).

Conclusion: LMA ventilation during CC is feasible and non-inferior to ETT in this model.

Introduction

The effective delivery of positive pressure ventilation (PPV) is the most important factor in the successful resuscitation of a depressed newborn.¹ If the infant's heart rate remains less than 60 bpm after at least 30 seconds of PPV that inflates the lungs, as evidenced by chest movement with ventilation, chest compressions (CC) are indicated. Neonatal resuscitation program (NRP) strongly recommends endotracheal tube (ETT) insertion if CC are necessary

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Corresponding Author information Srinivasan Mani, Fellow Physician PGY-6, Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine, 1001 Main Street, 5th floor, Buffalo, New York, United States. 14203., drvazan@gmail.com, Phone: 3472724034.

Author Contributions: SM and MR - conceptualization, methodology, data acquisition and analysis, interpretation, writing – original draft, critically reviewing and editing. SG, JH, MB, JN, PC - data acquisition, extraction, critical reviewing and editing of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version for publication.

Disclosure:

Disclosure statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Category of study: Basic science

during resuscitation because ETT will maximize the efficacy of each positive-pressure breath and allow the compressor to give compressions from the head of the bed.²

ETT insertion in a newborn requires considerable training and experience.³ Even resuscitators with advanced training sometimes require prolonged and/or multiple attempts to successfully intubate the neonatal trachea.⁴ Study conducted using the National Emergency Airway Registry for Neonates (NEAR4NEOS), an international multi-site prospective database, found that first attempt success rates in neonatal intubations are less than 50% with considerable risk of procedure-related adverse events.⁵ Availability of healthcare personnel with expertise in advanced resuscitation, including newborn ETT insertion, is a practical challenge, especially in limited-resource (human and technical) settings. With the change in practice of resuscitating infants born through meconium-stained amniotic fluid in 2015, where routine endotracheal intubation and tracheal suctioning is no longer needed, intubation skills have become even harder to acquire and maintain.⁶ PPV with laryngeal mask airway (LMA) is a reasonable alternative.^{2,7,8}

NRP recommends LMA as a rescue airway device when a resuscitator “cannot ventilate and cannot intubate.”¹ LMA insertion in the newborn can be easily taught and successfully performed on the first attempt to establish a clinically patent airway within 10 seconds and restore oxygenation in 30 seconds.⁹ Studies have shown that providers can achieve proficiency in LMA insertion after a 15-min educational training on manikins alone (mean time to successful insertion of an LMA was 5 seconds).¹⁰ Laryngeal mask ventilation may be a more effective technique for healthcare personnel with limited expertise in endotracheal intubation in newborns.¹¹

Prior randomized controlled trials had shown that LMA is more effective than face mask in delivering PPV in newborns > 34 weeks/ birth weight >1500 grams.^{11,12} LMA use had better odds of successful resuscitation, 5-minute Apgar scores, and decreased the need for NICU admissions.¹² Trials comparing LMA to ETT found ventilation of a depressed newborn with LMA to be equally effective.^{13,14} Oxygenation, ventilation, and Apgar scores were not different with either airway device during neonatal resuscitation.¹⁴ A meta-analysis found similar evidence for comparable efficacy between LMA and ETT in the initial stages of neonatal resuscitation.¹⁵ In addition, animal studies have shown that the chest compressions do not affect the seal created by the LMA.¹⁶

Current evidence suggests that LMA ventilation can be a secure and viable alternative to manage the airway of a depressed newborn during the initial stages of resuscitation; however, there is limited evidence for its use during chest compressions.^{15,17,18} We hypothesized that PPV through LMA during chest compression would have a similar time to return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) compared to ETT. We defined ROSC as heart rate (HR) >60 beats per minute (bpm) with systolic blood pressure (BP) >30 mm Hg.¹⁹

Primary outcome

The primary outcome of our study was the time taken to achieve the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC).

Secondary outcome

The secondary outcome measures are:

1. Incidence of ROSC
2. Number of epinephrine doses required during the resuscitation
3. Adequacy of ventilation and oxygenation measured by tidal volume (TV), mean airway pressures (MAP), partial pressure of carbon dioxide (paCO₂), and partial pressure of oxygen (paO₂).
4. Systemic and pulmonary hemodynamic parameters measured as average left carotid blood flow(Qca), mean blood pressure (BP), and average left pulmonary blood flow(Qp).
5. Procedure-related complications specifically abdominal distension, measured as abdominal girth at the level of umbilicus before and after resuscitation.

Methods

Ethics Statement

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC, protocol # PED10085N) at the University at Buffalo. All experiments were performed according to animal ethical guidelines, in compliance with National Research Council guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals.²⁰

Study design

Randomized controlled trial (Noninferiority Trial)

Study Population

A transitioning asphyxiated cardiac arrest lamb model is an established model to study the gas exchange, systemic and pulmonary hemodynamics during neonatal resuscitation.¹⁹

Animal preparation

Time-dated near-term (139–141-day gestation; term being 145 days) pregnant ewes (2 different breeds – Polypay and combination of Suffolk, Dorset, Katahdin) were procured from Maple Twig Farms (Meyersville, PA) and May Family Farms (Buffalo Mills, PA). Following an overnight fast, the ewe was medicated with intravenous diazepam and ketamine. The ewe was intubated with a 10.0-mm cuffed endotracheal tube (ETT), and general anesthesia was provided by 2–5% inhaled isoflurane. A cesarean section was performed. Fetal lambs were partially exteriorized and intubated with a cuffed endotracheal tube to remove lung liquid by gravity and occluded to prevent gas exchange. Flow transducers (Transonic, Ithaca, NY, USA) were placed around the left carotid artery and the left pulmonary artery. Catheters were placed in the right carotid artery and right jugular vein for pressure monitoring and blood gas analysis.²¹ Pulse oximetry probe and electrocardiogram (ECG) leads were placed to monitor preductal oxygen saturation and heart rate, respectively.

Randomization

We used opaque envelopes to randomize the lambs into either experimental or control groups before C-section. Resuscitators could not be blinded due to the nature of intervention being studied (ETT vs LMA).

Experimental protocol

Asphyxia-induced cardiac arrest was achieved by umbilical cord occlusion. Asystole was defined as the absence of heart rate by ECG and absence of carotid flows. Post asystole lambs were left in asystole for additional 5 minutes before resuscitation to ensure complete cardiac arrest. During this period, we removed the ETT, moved the lamb to the infant warmer and inserted ETT or LMA Supreme™ (Laryngeal Mask Airway Co. Ltd, Jersey, UK) depending on the group to which the lamb was randomized, (A) LMA ventilation group (B) ETT ventilation group (controls). We used LMA Supreme™ because of the advantage of an esophageal drain tube. We used LMA Supreme size 1 for lambs < 5 kgs and size 1.5 for lambs ≥ 5kgs. We used continuous suction set at 80 cm H₂O through a 6 Fr suction catheter inserted through the esophageal drain tube at the start of resuscitation. We initiated PPV as per NRP recommendations precisely at 5 minutes after asystole in both the groups. Figure 1 shows the proper placement of a LMA in a lamb model. We continuously measured end-tidal carbon dioxide, peak inspiratory pressure, positive end-expiratory pressure, and the tidal volume using a respiratory profile monitor (Respironics NM3, Philips). Arterial blood gases were drawn at 4 minutes of asystole, every minute during resuscitation, at ROSC, and every 5 minutes for 30 minutes following ROSC. Arterial blood flows and pressures were continuously recorded using a computer with BIOPAC systems (Goleta, California, USA). Resuscitation was continued until ROSC (defined as HR >60 with a systolic BP >30 mm Hg) was attained or 20 minutes elapsed, whichever occurred first.

Sample size calculation

The duration of CPR associated with successful ROSC using an endotracheal tube as the airway device reported from similar experimental protocols in lambs was reviewed. The median(IQR) time to ROSC reported in a couple of recently published similar animal protocols from our lab was 8 min (6.3 – 10) and 6.1 min (2.9 –7.1)^{22,23} Based on the review of published work from our lab, we calculated the standard deviation of the time to ROSC(outcome) in the control group (comparator) as 3 minutes.

We calculated the sample size based on the non-inferiority margin of 5 percent, power of 90%, and standard deviation of the outcome of 3 minutes. If there is truly no difference between the standard and experimental treatment, then 14 subjects (7 in each group) are required to be 90% sure that the lower limit of a one-sided 95% confidence interval (or equivalently a 90% twosided confidence interval) will be above the non-inferiority limit of -5.

Data analysis

Continuous variables are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) and analyzed by Student t-test, Mann Whitney U test, or repeated-measures ANOVA variance as appropriate. Categorical variables are reported as percentages

and analyzed using the χ^2 test with Yates correction. . XLSTAT 2021.1 (Addinsoft, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. We defined statistical significance as $p < 0.05$ and analyzed all the lambs that were randomized.

Results

Seventeen lambs (8 in LMA and 9 in the ETT group) were randomized, asphyxiated, and resuscitated. Baseline characteristics were similar between the two groups as shown in Table 1.

Incidence and Time to ROSC

The incidence of ROSC was 75% (6/8) in the LMA group and 56% (5/9) in the ETT group ($p = 0.74$). The median (interquartile range) time to achieve ROSC was 6 min 51 sec (6 min 0 sec – 9 min 6 sec) in the LMA group and 7 min 30 sec (5 min 20 sec – 18 min 0 sec) in the ETT group ($p = 0.65$). (Table 2)

Epinephrine during resuscitation

Lambs that achieved ROSC in the LMA group required one dose of epinephrine. In the ETT group, one lamb achieved ROSC without epinephrine, two lambs required four doses of epinephrine and two lambs achieved ROSC with one dose of epinephrine. The percentage of lambs that required more than one dose of epinephrine to achieve ROSC was 0% (0/6) in the LMA group and 40%(2/5) in the ETT group ($p = 0.35$). (Table 2)

Ventilation Parameters

There was no difference between the mean (SD) peak inspiratory pressure used during CC in the LMA group (33 ± 5 cm H₂O) and in the ETT group (33 ± 7 cm H₂O) ($p = 0.78$). Mean airway pressure used during CC in the LMA group $15(5)$ cm H₂O and ETT group $16(5)$ cm H₂O was also similar ($p = 0.84$). The mean (SD) positive end-expiratory pressure used during chest compressions in the LMA group was $7(4)$ cm H₂O and $6(3)$ cm H₂O in the ETT group ($p = 0.84$). The mean (SD) expired tidal volume per kilogram body weight delivered during chest compressions in the LMA group was $9.9(3.6)$ ml/ Kg and $10.7(2.6)$ ml/ Kg in the ETT group ($p = 0.59$). We analyzed the mean airway pressure and expired tidal volume per kilogram body weight across various time points during the resuscitation and post-resuscitation for the lambs, which achieved ROSC and were not different ($p = 0.28$ and $p = 0.63$ respectively). (Figure 2)

Arterial Blood Gas

The mean arterial pH, $paCO_2$ and paO_2 during chest compression were not statistically different between the LMA group and the ETT group as shown in the table 2. However, the mean lactate during chest compression was significantly lower in the LMA group ($p = 0.003$). The statistical significance persisted in the subgroup analysis of the lambs that achieved ROSC ($p=0.03$). The arterial $paCO_2$ and paO_2 in mm Hg across various time points during the resuscitation and post-resuscitation for the lambs, which achieved ROSC were not different ($p = 0.47$ and $p = 0.59$ respectively). (Figure 3)

Hemodynamic Parameters

The mean Qca, mean BP and mean Qp during chest compression were not statistically different between the LMA group and the ETT group as shown in the table 2. We analyzed the mean Qca, mean BP, and mean Qp across various time points during the resuscitation and post-resuscitation for the lambs, which achieved ROSC and they were not different ($p = 0.75$, $p = 0.66$ and $p = 0.58$, respectively). (Figure 4)

Abdominal Distension

The median(IQR) increase in the abdominal girth in centimeters measured before and after resuscitation in the lambs randomized to the LMA group was 3.8 (3.1 –4.1) Vs. 0.5 (0 – 1) in the lambs randomized to ETT group. ($p = 0.008$) The median increase in the abdominal girth during resuscitation was 11.5% from baseline in the LMA group.

Discussion

A knowledge gap persists in identifying the most effective airway device and interface during neonatal resuscitation.⁸ Novel techniques that require lower skills to perform, are easy to acquire, and have longer retention can help in the effective delivery of advanced CPR steps like CC and medications in low resource settings. LMA is an alternative airway device that healthcare personnel can safely use even without extensive training in advanced resuscitation.²⁴ In this randomized controlled trial in a near-term lamb model of perinatal asphyxial cardiac arrest we find that LMA ventilation during CC is feasible and non-inferior to ETT in resuscitation. Compared to ETT, LMA ventilation during chest compressions required similar mean airway pressures to achieve adequate tidal volumes. LMA ventilation resulted in similar ventilatory parameters as measured by arterial paCO_2 and PaO_2 . Pulmonary and systemic hemodynamic measures were not different with either of the airway devices during chest compression. LMA ventilation during chest compression resulted in significantly lower blood lactate levels without any difference in other blood gas parameters.

World Health Organization's 'Every Newborn Action Plan' to end preventable deaths in low and middle-income countries envisions reducing the neonatal mortality rate to less than 10 per 1000 live birth by 2035.²⁵ Although the implementation of basic resuscitation programs like Helping Baby Breathe with a focus on "The Golden Minute" (first minute after birth) has contributed to substantial progress²⁶, there is a need and utility for developing capabilities of mid-level resuscitation in low resource settings.²⁷ Such capabilities might include securing a LMA as an alternative to endotracheal intubation before CC and intraosseous access as an alternative to umbilical venous access for medications. That would enable healthcare personnel with limited skills to deliver advanced neonatal resuscitation in appropriate settings.²⁸ In the developed countries, LMA use is more prevalent in adult resuscitative medicine, especially in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest(OHCA). A randomized trial that compared the effect of the initial airway strategy found that the Laryngeal tube arm had better 72 hour-survival compared to the ETT arm in OHCA in adults.²⁹

Newborns requiring CC during resuscitation at delivery are rare. It is estimated to occur in 0.08% of all term and near-term deliveries.³⁰ The rarity of the problem makes it difficult to conduct a clinical study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of LMA ventilation during chest compression. Ethical concerns also surround conducting such resuscitation trials before gathering strong evidence for the proposed intervention. Animal experiments can bridge this knowledge gap. The sheep larynx is an appropriate experimental model for various surgical and functional investigations in human laryngology because of the similarities in the dimensions.³¹ A study that aimed to test the applicability of LMA in several animal species, including dogs (n=33), cats (n=9), swine (n=9), rabbits (n=5), sheep (n=7), and roe deer (n=1) and to compare its effectiveness in securing sealed airway respiration as an alternative to endotracheal tubes found that LMA designed for humans can be used for airway management in veterinary medicine. The rate of successful insertion of the LMA in the first attempt was highest in sheep in that study.³² In our study, we found that the insertion of LMA in the lambs was easy. We maintained the LMA in a relatively stable position (Figure 2) during the entire duration of resuscitation and post resuscitative mechanical ventilation in lambs that achieved ROSC. In order to minimize variability in the insertion times of the airway devices³³ and the efficacy of CC, we had the same trained personnel performing the assigned roles in all the experiments.

The LMA provides PPV with low pressures in the respiratory passage and reduced air losses compared to an uncuffed ETT with the advantage of lower airway resistance.³⁴ A pediatric study done during the operative room anesthesia had shown a significant difference between ventilation with LMA compared to ETT in the peak inspiratory pressures (PIP) (10.4±1.19 vs. 13.2±1.25 cm H₂O) required to achieve similar tidal volumes (TV) (140.8±13.85ml vs. 139.67±28.95 ml). PIP with LMA was lesser compared to PIP with ETT. Pulmonary dynamic compliance (TV/PIP-PEEP) with LMA (13.62±3.03 L/cm H₂O) was significantly higher than that with ETT (10.84±2.98) in children.³⁵ However, in our study, we did not find a difference in the PIP during LMA ventilation. Our results could be due to the ventilation of the fluid-filled lung in our experimental model.

Theoretical concerns exist regarding the supraglottic airway devices (SGD) increasing the pressure in the retropharyngeal space that could be transmitted to the carotid arteries and reduce carotid blood flow. SGD was associated with decreased carotid blood flow compared to ETT during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in experimental porcine models.^{36,37} However, a study that evaluated carotid artery compression in patients with SGD did not demonstrate radiographically evident mechanical compression of the carotid artery.³⁸ Hemodynamic data from our study supports this observation. We did not find any significant difference in the carotid blood flow during or after resuscitation. The difference in these results could be related to the difference in the induction of experimental cardiac arrest (ventricular fibrillation vs. umbilical cord occlusion) The main limitation of our study is the inherent concern of species differences. Because of the lack of evidence from clinical studies to understand the effect of time difference to achieve ROSC in neonates,³⁹ we based our sample size calculation on data from translational studies. In addition, we cannot extrapolate the results of our study to preterm gestation with surfactant deficient lungs. Our preliminary studies in lambs with the classic LMA showed us that abdominal distension with prolonged PPV could be a procedure-related adverse effect. We aimed to minimize this effect by

using a second-generation LMA with an esophageal drain tube. Despite this experimental set up we found that LMA ventilation lead to abdominal distension of 11.5% increase from baseline. There are significant species differences in the GI anatomy between humans and lambs. The stomach constitutes 19% of humans' total digestive tract volume compared to sheep, about 67%. We believe that the species difference in the GI anatomy contributed partly to our study's findings related to abdominal distension. Nevertheless, we did not observe any significant differences in the tidal volumes obtained using LMA compared to ETT. We used cuffed ETT in our experimental model, contrary to the standard clinical practice of using uncuffed ETT in newborn infants.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first translational study to evaluate the use of LMA as an airway device during chest compressions in a term animal model of perinatal asphyxia as an alternative to ETT. The strength of our study is the evidence primer available for undertaking clinical studies to evaluate the feasibility and efficacy of LMA use during chest compressions in newborn infants. Such studies would have the potential to optimize neonatal resuscitation in resource-limited healthcare settings.

A randomized trial conducted in Uganda comparing the use of cuffless LMA and face mask in neonates requiring positive pressure ventilation by midwives found no difference in the early neonatal death or the NICU admission rates for moderate or severe hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.²⁴ One of the secondary outcomes studied in this trial was the need for advanced resuscitation (endotracheal intubation, chest compression, and medications) initiated if a physician was available. The need for advanced resuscitation was approximately 7% in both groups. The use of LMA as the airway device during advanced resuscitation must be studied systematically in similar resource-limited settings to determine if the use of LMA could bring a meaningful improvement in the short-term survival and reduction in morbidity.

In addition to the importance of early effective airway access, the cost-effectiveness of LMA use is an essential factor to be considered apart from the safety and efficacy before considering the widespread use of LMA during neonatal resuscitation, especially in low-resource settings. The supreme LMA used in our study was a disposable device. However, there are other types of second-generation LMAs that can be autoclaved and reused. An educational interventional study conducted in a developing country found that the study participants (physician and midwives) considered LMA a sustainable, cost-effective method to resuscitate newborn infants.⁴⁰ Another study that evaluated the cost analysis of LMA use in anesthesia practice found that the costefficiency of LMA will depend on the reuse rates.⁴¹

Conclusion

Laryngeal mask airway ventilation during chest compression is feasible and non-inferior to endotracheal tube ventilation in this experimental near-term lamb model of asphyxial cardiac arrest induced by umbilical cord occlusion. Further clinical studies confirming the feasibility and efficacy of LMA ventilation during chest compression in newborn infants are necessary before undertaking randomized clinical trials.

Acknowledgment:

Statement of financial Support: The research in this manuscript was supported by the following grants: SM – was supported by American Academy of Pediatrics Neonatal Resuscitation Program (AAP NRP) young investigator grant 2019. PC - was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) K12 HL138052, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) R03HD096510, (NICHD) R01HD104909, AAP NRP Research grant 2020 & ZOLL Foundation grant 2020. MR – was supported by NIH/NICHD R03 HD10406 & AAP NRP Research Grant. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH, AAP NRP, and the ZOLL Foundation.

Abbreviations:

LMA	Laryngeal mask airway
ETT	Endotracheal tube
CC	Chest compression
NRP	Neonatal Resuscitation Program
PPV	Positive-pressure Ventilation
ROSC	Return of spontaneous circulation
NICU	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
NEAR4NEOS	National Emergency Airway Registry for Neonates
IACUC	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

Reference

1. Kattwinkel J et al. Part 15: Neonatal Resuscitation: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation* 122, S909–919 (2010). [PubMed: 20956231]
2. Weiner GM, Zaichkin J, Kattwinkel J, American Academy of P. & American Heart, A. Textbook of Neonatal Resuscitation. (2016).
3. Falck AJ, Escobedo MB, Baillargeon JG, Villard LG & Gunkel JH Proficiency of Pediatric Residents in Performing Neonatal Endotracheal Intubation. *Pediatrics* 112, 1242–1247 (2003). [PubMed: 14654592]
4. Carbine DN, Finer NN, Knodel E & Rich W Video Recording as a Means of Evaluating Neonatal Resuscitation Performance. *Pediatrics* 106, 654–658 (2000). [PubMed: 11015505]
5. Foglia EE et al. Neonatal Intubation Practice and Outcomes: An International Registry Study. *Pediatrics* 143 (2019).
6. Myers P & Gupta AG Impact of the Revised Nrp Meconium Aspiration Guidelines on Term Infant Outcomes. *Hosp Pediatr* 10, 295–299 (2020) [PubMed: 32094237]
7. Zanardo V et al. Delivery Room Resuscitation of near-Term Infants: Role of the Laryngeal Mask Airway. *Resuscitation* 81, 327–330 (2010). [PubMed: 20022416]
8. Aziz K et al. Part 5: Neonatal Resuscitation: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation* 142, S524–S550 (2020). [PubMed: 33081528]
9. Paterson SJ, Byrne PJ, Molesky MG, Seal RF & Finucane BT Neonatal Resuscitation Using the Laryngeal Mask Airway. *Anesthesiology* 80, 1248–1253; discussion 1227A (1994). [PubMed: 8010471]

10. Gandini D & Brimacombe J Manikin Training for Neonatal Resuscitation with the Laryngeal Mask Airway. *Paediatr Anaesth* 14, 493–494 (2004). [PubMed: 15153213]
11. Pejovic NJ et al. Neonatal Resuscitation Using a Laryngeal Mask Airway: A Randomised Trial in Uganda. *Arch Dis Child* 103, 255–260 (2018). [PubMed: 28912163]
12. Trevisanuto D et al. Supreme Laryngeal Mask Airway Versus Face Mask During Neonatal Resuscitation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Pediatr* 167, 286–291.e281 (2015). [PubMed: 26003882]
13. Yang C et al. Randomized, Controlled Trial Comparing Laryngeal Mask Versus Endotracheal Intubation During Neonatal Resuscitation---a Secondary Publication. *BMC Pediatrics* 16, 17 (2016). [PubMed: 26811060]
14. el Shimi M, Abusaif S, I. & Khafagy S Efficacy of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Neonatal Resuscitation. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine* 70, 1767–1772 (2018).
15. Qureshi MJ & Kumar M Laryngeal Mask Airway Versus Bag-Mask Ventilation or Endotracheal Intubation for Neonatal Resuscitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 3, CD003314 (2018). [PubMed: 29542112]
16. Carlson JN, Suffoletto BP, Salcido DD, Logue ES & Menegazzi JJ Chest Compressions Do Not Disrupt the Seal Created by the Laryngeal Mask Airway During Positive Pressure Ventilation: A Preliminary Porcine Study. *Cjem* 16, 378–382 (2014). [PubMed: 25227646]
17. Bansal SC, Caoci S, Dempsey E, Trevisanuto D & Roehr CC The Laryngeal Mask Airway and Its Use in Neonatal Resuscitation: A Critical Review of Where We Are in 2017/2018. *Neonatology* 113, 152–161 (2018). [PubMed: 29232665]
18. Shea JE, Scrivens A, Edwards G & Roehr CC Safe Emergency Neonatal Airway Management: Current Challenges and Potential Approaches. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition, fetalneonatal-2020–319398* (2021).
19. Rawat M et al. Oxygenation and Hemodynamics During Chest Compressions in a Lamb Model of Perinatal Asphyxia Induced Cardiac Arrest. *Children* 6, 52 (2019).
20. Council, N. R. *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals: Eighth Edition* (The National Academies Press, 2011).
21. Vali P et al. Hemodynamics and Gas Exchange During Chest Compressions in Neonatal Resuscitation. *PLOS ONE* 12, e0176478 (2017). [PubMed: 28441439]
22. Vali P et al. Continuous Chest Compressions with Asynchronous Ventilations Increase Carotid Blood Flow in the Perinatal Asphyxiated Lamb Model. *Pediatr Res* (2021).
23. Sankaran D et al. Randomised Trial of Epinephrine Dose and Flush Volume in Term Newborn Lambs. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition, fetalneonatal-2020–321034* (2021).
24. Pejovic NJ et al. A Randomized Trial of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Neonatal Resuscitation. *New England Journal of Medicine* 383, 2138–2147 (2020). [PubMed: 33252870]
25. WHO, U. *Every Newborn: An Action Plan to End Preventable Deaths*. Geneva: World Health Organization (2014.).
26. Versantvoort JMD et al. Helping Babies Breathe and Its Effects on Intrapartum-Related Stillbirths and Neonatal Mortality in Low-Resource Settings: A Systematic Review. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 105, 127 (2020).
27. Umphrey L et al. When Helping Babies Breathe Is Not Enough: Designing a Novel, Mid-Level Neonatal Resuscitation Algorithm for Médecins Sans Frontières Field Teams Working in Low-Resource Hospital Settings. *Neonatology* 114, 112–123 (2018). [PubMed: 29804116]
28. Niermeyer S, Robertson NJ & Ersdal HL Beyond Basic Resuscitation: What Are the Next Steps to Improve the Outcomes of Resuscitation at Birth When Resources Are Limited? *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 23, 361–368 (2018). [PubMed: 30001818]
29. Wang HE et al. Effect of a Strategy of Initial Laryngeal Tube Insertion Vs Endotracheal Intubation on 72-Hour Survival in Adults with out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama* 320, 769–778 (2018). [PubMed: 30167699]
30. Wyckoff MH, Perlman JM & Lupton AR Use of Volume Expansion During Delivery Room Resuscitation in near-Term and Term Infants. *Pediatrics* 115, 950–955 (2005). [PubMed: 15805369]

31. Zrunek M, Happak W, Hermann M & Streinzer W Comparative Anatomy of Human and Sheep Laryngeal Skeleton. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* 105, 155–162 (1988). [PubMed: 3341156]
32. Vidricková P & Boldižár M Usage of Laryngeal Mask Airway Devices in Veterinary Medicine. *Macedonian Veterinary Review* 43, 131–139 (2020).
33. Mani S & Rawat M Proficiency of Laryngeal Mask Airway Insertion Skill in Nrp Certified Providers. *Am J Perinatol* (2020).
34. Tartari S, Poole D, Bocchi A, Sgarbi A & Alvisi R [Laryngeal Mask Vs Tracheal Intubation During Mechanical Ventilation in Pediatric Anesthesia]. *Minerva Anestesiol* 66, 33–37 (2000). [PubMed: 10736980]
35. Mahdavi A, Razavi SS, Malekianzadeh B & Sadeghi A Comparison of the Peak Inspiratory Pressure and Lung Dynamic Compliance between a Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway and an Endotracheal Tube in Children under Mechanical Ventilation. *Tanaffos* 16, 289–294 (2017). [PubMed: 29849686]
36. Segal N et al. Impairment of Carotid Artery Blood Flow by Supraglottic Airway Use in a Swine Model of Cardiac Arrest. *Resuscitation* 83, 1025–1030 (2012). [PubMed: 22465807]
37. Kim TH et al. Effect of Endotracheal Intubation and Supraglottic Airway Device Placement During Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation on Carotid Blood Flow over Resuscitation Time: An Experimental Porcine Cardiac Arrest Study. *Resuscitation* 139, 269–274 (2019). [PubMed: 31009692]
38. White JM, Braude DA, Lorenzo G & Hart BL Radiographic Evaluation of Carotid Artery Compression in Patients with Extraglottic Airway Devices in Place. *Acad Emerg Med* 22, 636–638 (2015). [PubMed: 25903385]
39. Foglia EE et al. Duration of Resuscitation at Birth, Mortality, and Neurodevelopment: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics* 146 (2020).
40. Zanardo V et al. Laryngeal Mask Airway for Neonatal Resuscitation in a Developing Country: Evaluation of an Educational Intervention. *Neonatal Lma: An Educational Intervention in Drc. BMC Health Serv Res* 10, 254 (2010). [PubMed: 20807424]
41. Macario A, Chang PC, Stempel DB & Brock-Utne JG A Cost Analysis of the Laryngeal Mask Airway for Elective Surgery in Adult Outpatients. *Anesthesiology* 83, 250–257. (1995). [PubMed: 7631945]

Impact Statement:

- Laryngeal Mask Airway (LMA) ventilation with chest compression is feasible and non-inferior to endotracheal tube ventilation in this experimental near-term lamb model of asphyxial cardiac arrest.
- First translational study to evaluate the use of LMA as an airway device with chest compression. Evidence primer for clinical studies to evaluate and confirm the feasibility and efficacy of LMA ventilation with chest compression are necessary before randomized clinical trials in neonates.
- LMA use in neonatal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) could have the potential to optimize advanced resuscitation, especially in resource-limited healthcare settings.




Figure 1: X-ray neck of a term lamb confirming the LMA placement. This X-ray neck of a term lamb shows the inflated cuff of a correctly placed size 1 LMA along with the cricoid cartilage as a reference point.




Figure 2:

Bar graph comparing the A) mean airway pressure in centimeter water and B) Expired tidal volume in milliliter/ kilogram body weight in the lambs that achieved ROSC along the y axis and various time points of resuscitation along the x axis namely PPV, PPV+CC, Post ROSC. The orange bars represent LMA group, and the green bars represent the ETT group. There was no statistical difference in the mean airway pressures used and the tidal volumes delivered between the two




Figure 3:

Bar graph comparing the mean arterial A) PaCO_2 levels and B) PaO_2 in millimeter mercury in the lambs that achieved ROSC along the y axis and various time points of resuscitation along the x axis namely baseline, asystole, PPV, PPV+CC, Post ROSC. The orange bars represent LMA group, and the green bars represent the ETT group. There was no statistical difference in the mean arterial PaCO_2 and PaO_2 between the groups. ($p=0.47$ and $p = 0.59$ respectively)




Figure 4:

Bar graph comparing the A) mean carotid artery flow in milliliter per kilogram per minute B) mean arterial blood pressure in millimeter mercury C) mean pulmonary artery flow in milliliter per kilogram per minute in the lambs that achieved ROSC along the y axis and various time points of resuscitation along the x axis. The orange bars represent LMA group, and the green bars represent the ETT group. There was no difference in the mean carotid

artery flow, mean arterial blood pressure, mean pulmonary artery flow between the groups.
($p=0.75, 0.66, 0.58$ respectively.)

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

TABLE 1

Baseline characteristics of the term asphyxiated lambs in the LMA and ETT group.

VARIABLE	LMA (n = 8) MEAN ± SD	ETT (n = 9) MEAN ± SD	P VALUE
Gestational age (days)	140 ± 0.8	140±1.6	0.25
Birth weight (kilograms)	4.2 ± 1.9	4.5±1.1	0.73
Gender (male: female)	4:4	3:6	0.84
Baseline pH (pre ETT occlusion)	7.18 ± 0.14	7.15 ± 0.13	0.66
Baseline lactate (mmol/liter)	5.7 ± 2.1	6.2 ± 3.4	0.67
Time to asystole (minutes)	13 ± 7	13 ± 9	0.97

LMA – Laryngeal mask airway, ETT – Endotracheal tube, mmol – millimole, SD – standard deviation

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

TABLE 2

Comparison of outcomes during chest compression in the LMA and ETT group.

VARIABLE	LMA (n = 8)	ETT (n = 9)	P VALUE
PRIMARY OUTCOME			
Time to ROSC Median (IQR) Minutes, seconds	6m 51s (6m 0s– 9m 6s)	7m 30s (5m 20s – 18m 0s)	0.65
SECONDARY OUTCOME			
Incidence of ROSC (percent)	75	56	0.74
Need for >1 dose of epinephrine Percent (ratio)	0 (0/6)	40 (2/5)	0.35
PIP Mean(SD) cm H ₂ O	33(5)	33(7)	0.78
MAP Mean(SD) cm H ₂ O	15(5)	16(5)	0.84
PEEP Mean(SD) cm H ₂ O	7(4)	6(3)	0.84
Expired tidal volume Mean(SD) ml/kg	9.9(3.6)	10.7(2.6)	0.59
pH Mean(SD)	6.87(0.11)	6.80(0.08)	0.27
PaCO ₂ Mean(SD) mm Hg	106.5(25.3)	109.4(30.8)	0.96
PaO ₂ Mean(SD) mm Hg	25.3(9.9)	33.1(11.8)	0.08
Lactate Mean(SD) mmol/L	8.8(2.7)	13.8(2.5)	0.003
Carotid artery flow Median(IQR) (ml/kg/min)	2.9(1.2–3.4)	1.7(1.2–19)	0.23
Systolic blood pressure Median(IQR) mm Hg	27(22–29)	25(23–26)	0.60
Diastolic blood pressure Median(IQR) mm Hg	10(7–13)	8(6–9)	0.23
Mean blood pressure Median(IQR) mm Hg	17(15–18)	13(12–14)	0.09
Pulmonary artery flow Median(IQR) ml/kg/min	1.4(0.5–2.4)	1(0.7–17)	0.96

ROSC – return of spontaneous circulation, PIP – peak inspiratory pressure, PEEP – positive end expiratory pressure, MAP – mean airway pressure, IQR – interquartile range, paCO₂ – partial pressure of carbon dioxide, paO₂ – partial pressure of oxygen, SD – standard deviation, ml – milliliter, mm- millimeter, Hg – mercury, kg – kilogram, min – minute, mmol – millimole, L - liter