

Prevalence of antibodies against *Neospora caninum* in dogs from urban areas in Central Poland

Katarzyna Goździk · Robert Wrzesień ·
Adrianna Wielgosz-Ostolska · Justyna Bień ·
Monika Kozak-Ljunggren · Władysław Cabaj

Received: 8 September 2010 / Accepted: 28 October 2010 / Published online: 16 November 2010
© The Author(s) 2010. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract *Neospora caninum* is a protozoan parasite which causes abortion in cattle as well as reproduction problems and neurological disorders in dogs. To assess the prevalence of the parasite in urban dogs in the Mazovian Voivodeship, Central Poland, serum samples from 257 dogs were analyzed for the presence of specific IgG antibodies. The examined dogs visited three private veterinary clinics located in Warsaw due to control tests, vaccinations, or other reasons not directly connected with neosporosis. Using ELISA and Western blot, antibodies against the parasite were detected in 56 out of 257 dogs, giving a prevalence of 21.7%. A greater prevalence was observed in female dogs than in males, 28% and 17.3%, respectively, and the differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). There were no significant differences in seroprevalence of *Neospora* infection within the age groups ($p > 0.05$). This study indicates the presence of *N. caninum* in the Mazovian Voivodeship, in dogs which live in urban

areas and exposure of these dogs to the parasite. The fact that seropositive dogs had no contact with cattle confirms the important role of dogs in the parasite's epidemiology.

Introduction

Neospora caninum is an intracellular protozoan parasite that provokes neurological disorders, recurrent abortion, and neonatal mortality in dogs. The parasite has a wide range of intermediate hosts, including cows, sheep, goats, horses, bison, and deer.

Neosporosis is especially important in cattle; the parasite has been recognized as one of the main causes of abortion in dairy cattle worldwide (Dubey 2003). Dogs and coyotes are the only definitive hosts of *N. caninum* that have been described to date, but possibly other carnivores such as foxes and wolves can act as definitive hosts for the parasite. Dogs are both intermediate and definitive hosts of *N. caninum* and play a crucial role in horizontal transmission of this protozoan to other animals (McAllister et al. 1998; Gondim et al. 2004).

In the dog population, neosporosis is spread by vertical infection from bitch to offspring and/or horizontal infection through ingestion of infected tissues of bovine origin. The parasite may be transmitted to cattle through the ingestion of oocysts that are shed in the feces of acutely infected dogs or by congenital infection from mother to fetus via the placenta. Although *N. caninum* is transplacentally transmitted very efficiently in cattle and oocysts are rarely found in dog feces, dogs are considered essential in the life cycle of this parasite (Schaes et al. 2005; Dubey et al. 2007).

The diagnosis of neosporosis in dogs is difficult due to nonspecific clinical signs. The clinical symptoms depend on the placement of parasites. The parasite can reach

K. Goździk (✉) · J. Bień · M. Kozak-Ljunggren · W. Cabaj
Witold Stefański Institute of Parasitology
of the Polish Academy of Sciences,
Twarda Street 51/55,
00-818, Warszawa, Poland
e-mail: kasiagoz@twarda.pan.pl

R. Wrzesień
Faculty of Animal Sciences, Department of Animal and
Environment Biology, Warsaw University of Life Sciences,
Ciszewskiego Street 8,
02-786, Warszawa, Poland

A. Wielgosz-Ostolska
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences,
Division of Laboratory and Clinical Diagnostics of Animal
Diseases, Warsaw University of Life Sciences,
Ciszewskiego Street 8,
02-786, Warszawa, Poland

different organs, mainly the central nervous system, the brain and spinal cord, but also muscles, heart, liver, kidneys and skin, where it can form cysts and persist for a long time leading to chronic disease. In affected dogs, the most common symptoms include progressive paralysis of the hind limbs, difficulty in swallowing, paralysis of the jaw, muscle flaccidity and muscle atrophy, or even heart failure. A cutaneous disease or diarrhea in case of digestive neosporosis may also occur. In general, neosporosis can be asymptomatic in adult dogs and the most severe cases of disease occur in young, congenitally infected puppies (Dubey and Lindsay 1996; Dubey 2003).

Serological methods such as the indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFA), *Neospora* agglutination test, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and Western blot can be used for the detection of specific antibodies in sera (Hemphill and Gottstein 2000; Dubey and Schares 2006; Dubey et al. 2007). Using serological methods *N. caninum* infections in dogs have been reported in many parts of the world. In Europe, the prevalence rates of canine neosporosis varied between 0.5% and 28.9% in different countries (Dubey et al. 2007).

In Poland, the presence of *N. caninum* has been previously confirmed serologically in aborting cows and later in other intermediate hosts such as bison and red deer (Cabaj et al. 2000; Cabaj et al. 2005; Goździk et al. 2010). Moreover, the antibodies against *N. caninum* were detected in definitive hosts such as dogs and foxes in southwestern Poland. Using a commercially available IFA test, the antibodies against the parasite were detected in low titres in two of 45 red foxes and in one out of 60 farmed foxes (Śmiełowska-Łoś et al. 2003) and in 18 out of 110 tested dogs (Płoneczka and Mazurkiewicz 2008). *Neospora* infection was also serologically confirmed in ten of 29 farm dogs living in close contact with dairy cattle herds in the eastern part of Poland, giving a prevalence of 34.5% (Goździk et al. 2009). Nevertheless, there is still little information about the presence of *N. caninum* in definitive hosts in other parts of Poland, possible transmission routes of the parasite and the rate of infection among dogs. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of *N. caninum* in dogs which live in urban areas in Mazovia Voivodeship, Central Poland and have no contact with cattle.

Materials and methods

Sampling of dogs

Blood samples were collected between March 2008 and May 2009. Samples were obtained from 257 randomly chosen dogs during clinical examination in three private

veterinary clinics located in Warsaw. A blood sample was drawn from the cephalic vein of each dog with a 20-gauge needle to a blood collection tube. The blood was centrifuged at $1,000\times g$ for 15 min, the sera collected and stored at -20°C until analyzed. Epidemiological information about the sex and age was recorded and the general clinical status of the dogs was evaluated. Dogs were divided into the following four age groups: under 1 year old, 1 to 5, 5 to 10, and over 10 years of age.

Serological examination

ELISA

The presence of antibodies to *N. caninum* was demonstrated by ELISA modified from Björkman et al. (1994a). In this assay, crude antigen from tachyzoites of the Polish isolate, Nc-PolB1 (Goździk and Cabaj 2007) was used as a capture antigen in the final dilution of $5\ \mu\text{g/ml}$. Horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-dog IgG (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.) diluted 1:20,000 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was used as the secondary antibody. All sera were diluted 1:100 in PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 and analyzed in duplicate.

Since no positive and negative control was available and the crude antigen based ELISA was not validated, all sera with an optical density value exceeding 0.200 absorbance units were additionally analyzed by Western blot as a reference method. The cutoff value of 0.200 was chosen arbitrarily in this study and was based on the validation of the immunostimulating complex enzyme-linked immunoassay (iscom-ELISA) against an indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) using cattle sera (Frössling et al. 2003).

Electrophoresis and Western blot analysis

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Western blot analysis were performed essentially as described by Björkman et al. (1994a) and Björkman and Hemphill (1998). For analysis under reducing conditions, 2X Laemmli sample buffer containing 10% beta-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) was added to the antigen preparation and soluble proteins were denatured by boiling the mixture for 5 min. The samples were electrophoresed on a 5% stacking gel and 12% resolving gel and the separated polypeptides were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (pore size, $0.2\ \mu\text{m}$; Bio-Rad Laboratories). Membranes were blocked for 1 h in Tris-buffered saline (20 mM Tris, 0.9% NaCl, pH 9.0) containing 5% non-fat dry milk. The blocked membranes were cut into 3-mm-wide strips. Then the blotted polypeptides were exposed to the examined sera diluted 1:50 in PBS-Tween 20 buffer containing 5% non-fat dry milk for 1 h at room temperature. Peroxidase-labeled goat anti-dog IgG-heavy

and light chain (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.) diluted 1:5,000 in PBS–Tween was used as the secondary antibody and the membranes were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Immunoreactive proteins were detected with DAB (3′3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride) (Sigma). A serum was regarded as positive when it reacted with at least two of the five immunodominant *Neospora*-specific antigens (55–53, 48–47, 37–35, 27, and 18–16 kDa) (Björkman et al. 2007).

Statistical analysis

Differences in seroprevalence between sex and age groups were analyzed using the chi-square test at $P \leq 0.05$ of significance level. The calculations were performed using the STATISTICA Software (Series 1203b, version 6.1 for Windows, StatSoft, Inc.).

Results

A total of 257 dog sera were tested using serological methods, ELISA based on crude tachyzoite antigen and Western blot. The OD values of the analyzed dog sera obtained in ELISA varied between 0.133 and 3.126. The OD values of the background (well coated with antigen but without serum) varied between 0.047 and 0.075. One hundred sixty-four sera had an OD value exceeding 0.200 and were further examined by Western blot. A serum was classified as positive when it reacted with at least two of the five immunodominant antigens (55–53, 48–47, 37–35, 27, and 18–16 kDa). The strongest reaction occurred with two major proteins characterized by a molecular weight of approximately 37–35 and 18–16 kDa, additionally an antigen of 27 kDa was also observed.

Using the Western blot confirmation test, the presence of specific anti-*Neospora* antibodies was detected in 56 sera and those sera, deemed *N. caninum* positive, gave a seroprevalence of 21.7%. The prevalence of anti-*Neospora* antibodies was greater among females than males (28% and 17.3%, respectively) and this difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

Four age groups were considered in the study: less than 1 year old, more than 1 year to 4 years old, more than five

to ten, and more than 10 years of age. The prevalence of antibodies within the age groups varied between 8% and 27%; however, this variation was non-significant ($P = 0.1733$) (Table 2).

Twelve dogs, serologically classified as positive, presented clinical signs of neurological disorders attributable to neosporosis, such as epilepsy, imbalance, tremor, and ataxia. In one case dermatitis was diagnosed, and in five dogs hepatic encephalopathy was recognized. The remaining dogs were not further examined and the causative role of *N. caninum* was not proven.

Discussion

Out of the 257 dog serum samples tested in this study, 56 were classified as seropositive for *N. caninum* giving a seroprevalence of 21.7%. The detection of antibodies was carried out using an ELISA test, where the soluble protein fraction from the Polish isolate (PolB1) was used as the capture antigen. Different diagnostic tests are used to detect antibodies against *Neospora* in dogs.

Currently, the anti-*Neospora* antibodies are commonly detected using an IFA (Hemphill and Gottstein 2000). There are several companies producing ready to use slides for diagnosis of neosporosis in dogs (for example, VMRD, Inc., USA; Mega Screen FLUONEOSPORA Mega Cor, Austria; and Fuller Laboratories, USA). Commercially available IFA tests are highly specific and sensitive; nevertheless, the method is time consuming when analyzing large numbers of sera and manually reading IFA results depend on the subjective assessment of the lab worker.

Several ELISA based on a water soluble fraction of sonicated tachyzoites have been validated for use in cattle, sheep, and goats. These tests were shown to have a high diagnostic sensitivity and specificity when evaluated against an IFAT (Hemphill and Gottstein 2000); however, all serological tests require species-specific conjugates to detect specific antibodies and must be individually evaluated using known positive and negative controls and a bank of sera of known status. Our ELISA had not been validated for dog sera due to lack of an appropriate control sera (true positive and true negative). The cutoff value of 0.200 was chosen arbitrarily and was based on the validation of

Table 1 Prevalence of anti-*N. caninum* antibodies in tested sera according to sex of dogs

	Number of examined dogs' sera	Number of sera classified positive in ELISA and Western blot	Prevalence (%)
Sex			
Female	107	30	28%
Male	150	26	17.3%
Total	257	56	21.7%

Table 2 Prevalence of anti-*N. caninum* antibodies in tested sera in different age groups of dogs

	Number of examined dogs' sera	Number of sera classified positive in ELISA and Western blot	Prevalence (%)
Age (years)			
≥1	25	2	8%
>1–≤5	77	14	18%
>5–≤10	85	21	24.7%
>10	70	19	27%
Total	257	56	21.7%

another ELISA, iscom-ELISA, against an IFA using cattle sera (Frössling et al. 2003). The ELISA test was used here for epidemiological studies to screen dogs from the Voivodeship to assess infection status in animals in this area.

It is worth mentioning that potential cross reactions might occur in serological assays due to the fact that *N. caninum* is closely related to *Toxoplasma gondii*. Some authors demonstrated the cross-reactivity between antigens from these parasites while using the soluble protein fraction as the capture antigen in ELISA (Nishikawa et al. 2002). The reliability of the serological results depends on the diagnostic method used in the survey. The ELISA method chosen in this study is based on the soluble protein fraction from the whole tachyzoites. Nevertheless, to eliminate false positive results and/or to confirm the presence of antibodies against *Neospora*, Western blot was used additionally as a confirmation method (Björkman et al. 2007). In Western blot analysis, the main immunodominant bands localized at 55–53, 48–47, 37–35, 27 and 16–18 kDa, which is in agreement with results obtained by other authors (Schaes et al. 2001; Staubli et al. 2006). Based on the results obtained in the two tests, the dog sera were classified as positive.

The prevalence of 21.7% of antibodies among urban dogs obtained in this study indicates a higher rate of infection than in other European countries, like Austria 2.1% (Wanha et al. 2005), Hungary 1% (Hornok et al. 2006), Spain 2.9% (Collantes-Fernandez et al. 2008), the Czech Republic 2.6% (Vaclavek et al. 2007), or 0.5% from Sweden, the lowest seroprevalence reported in Europe (Björkman et al. 1994b).

Prevalence rates comparable to our results were found in urban dogs in northwest Italy (20.2%) (Ferroglio et al. 2007), in Catalonia (northeastern Spain) (12.2%) (Ortuno et al. 2002), in Denmark (15.3%) (Rasmussen and Jensen 1996), and in southwestern Poland, where 15% of the dogs tested showed positive results (Płoneczka and Mazurkiewicz 2008).

Differences in prevalence rates observed between the gender groups were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), the prevalence of antibodies was higher in female dogs than in male dogs; however, no significant differences in neo-

sporosis seroprevalence were found among the different age groups. The examined dogs visited veterinary clinics due to control tests, vaccinations, or other reasons not directly connected with neosporosis. Among the 56 seropositive dogs, only 12 had clinical symptoms compatible with neosporosis, which suggests that a large number of the infections occur in a subclinical form; however, these dogs were not further examined and the causative role of *N. caninum* had not been shown.

In Poland, neosporosis was earlier considered an issue only in dairy cattle production. Previous studies carried out on farms in the northeast of Poland showed a seroprevalence of 15.6% in aborting cows and 92.5% in offspring from seropositive dams (Cabaj et al. 2000; Moskwa and Cabaj 2003). In several dairy cattle farms in the Mazovian Voivodeship, the seroprevalence varied from 1.5% to 23% (Moskwa et al. 2005). Unfortunately, during those investigations the dogs living in close contact with infected cows were not included into the studies.

The latest data revealed a much higher seroprevalence, up to 70% in some herds in the eastern part of the country (Goździk et al. 2009). Parallel studies performed on dogs showed a prevalence of 34.5% in farm dogs living in this area. The results of serological investigations obtained in this study indicate that the examined dogs had contact with the parasite, although they lived in urban areas and showed that neosporosis is a common infection even in such dogs. It has been shown that dogs living in the countryside have a significantly greater risk of *N. caninum* infection than dogs living in large cities since they have direct access to parasite cysts present in placentas, fetuses, or tissues from infected livestock (Dijkstra et al. 2002; Dubey 2003).

The detection of specific antibodies in dog sera indicates the potential contact of these animals with the pathogen but is not necessarily correlated with shedding of oocysts nor related with a risk of horizontal transmission or environmental contamination, since oocysts are rarely found in dog feces (Slapeta et al. 2002; Schaes et al. 2005). It is known that dogs can become infected by ingesting infected tissues, but whether they can be infected by the ingestion of oocysts is unknown (Dubey et al. 2007). It has been described that subclinically infected bitches can vertically transmit the

parasitosis, and successive litters from the same bitch may be born infected. Nevertheless, vertical transmission alone could not maintain the parasite in dogs (Barber and Trees 1998).

Another explanation for the presence of antibodies in dog sera could be feeding habits, like eating raw meat containing parasite cysts. It has been reported that the consumption of raw beef can be a risk factor for *N. caninum* infection (Kramer et al. 2004; Dubey et al. 2007) and it is known that dogs are sometimes fed raw or poorly cooked meat by their owners. These facts could explain why in our study a seroprevalence of more than 20% in urban dogs was found.

The presence of infected dogs in a particular area should be considered as a potential risk factor of *Neospora* infection in cattle. It has been proven that the parasite is transmitted via placenta in cattle but also that the presence of definitive hosts is crucial for the life cycle of the parasite (Dubey 2003; Dubey et al. 2007). The results of these serological studies need to be confirmed by direct methods such as demonstration of the parasites by histopathology or PCR.

Further investigations on dog populations in Poland are needed in order to determine the possible route of infection in dogs and assess the seroprevalence of neosporosis in definitive hosts in other parts of the country.

Acknowledgement This work was financially supported by grant no. N308 016 31/0701 of the State Committee for Scientific Research in Warsaw, Poland.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- Barber JS, Trees AJ (1998) Naturally occurring vertical transmission of *Neospora caninum* in dogs. *Int J Parasitol* 28:57–64
- Björkman C, Hemphill A (1998) Characterization of *Neospora caninum* iscom antigens using monoclonal antibodies. *Parasite Immunol* 20:73–80
- Björkman C, Lunden A, Holmdahl J, Barber J, Trees AJ, Uggla A (1994a) *Neospora caninum* in dogs: detection of antibodies by ELISA using an iscom antigen. *Parasite Immunol* 16:643–648
- Björkman C, Lunden A, Uggla A (1994b) Prevalence of antibodies to *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* in Swedish dogs. *Acta Vet Scand* 35:445–447
- Björkman C, Sager H, Schares G (2007) Serology. In: Ortega-Mora LM, Gottstein B, Conraths FJ, Buxton D (eds) Protozoal abortion in farm ruminants. Guidelines for diagnosis and control. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 63–75
- Cabaj W, Choromanski L, Rodgers S, Moskwa B, Malczewski A (2000) *Neospora caninum* infections in aborting dairy cows in Poland. *Acta Parasitol* 45:113–114
- Cabaj W, Moskwa B, Pastusiak K, Gill J (2005) Antibodies to *Neospora caninum* in the blood of European bison (*Bison bonasus bonasus* L.) living in Poland. *Vet Parasitol* 128:163–168
- Collantes-Fernandez E, Gomez-Bautista M, Miro G, Alvarez-Garcia G, Pereira-Bueno J, Frisuelos C, Ortega-Mora LM (2008) Seroprevalence and risk factors associated with *Neospora caninum* infection in different dog populations in Spain. *Vet Parasitol* 152:148–151
- Dijkstra Th, Barkema HW, Eysker M, Hesselink JW, Wouda W (2002) Natural transmission routes of *Neospora caninum* between farm dogs and cattle. *Vet Parasitol* 105:99–104
- Dubey JP (2003) Review of *Neospora caninum* and neosporosis in animals. *Korean J Parasitol* 41:1–16
- Dubey JP, Lindsay DS (1996) A review of *Neospora caninum* and neosporosis. *Vet Parasitol* 67:1–59
- Dubey JP, Schares G (2006) Diagnosis of bovine neosporosis. *Vet Parasitol* 140:1–34
- Dubey JP, Schares G, Ortega-Mora LM (2007) Epidemiology and control of neosporosis and *Neospora caninum*. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 20:323–367
- Ferroglio E, Pasino M, Ronco F, Bena A, Trisciuglio A (2007) Seroprevalence of antibodies to *Neospora caninum* in urban and rural dogs in north-west Italy. *Zoonoses Public Health* 54:135–139
- Frössling J, Bonnett B, Lindberg A, Björkman C (2003) Validation of a *Neospora caninum* iscom ELISA without a gold standard. *Prev Vet Med* 57:141–153
- Gondim LFP, McAllister MM, Pitt WC, Zemliecka DE (2004) Coyotes (*Canis latrans*) are definitive hosts of *Neospora caninum*. *Int J Parasitol* 34:159–161
- Goździk K, Cabaj W (2007) Characterisation of the first Polish isolate of *Neospora caninum* from cattle. *Acta Parasitol* 52:295–297
- Goździk K, Grono K, Bień J, Kozak M, Cabaj W (2009) The first evidence of neosporosis in farm dogs in Eastern Poland. *World Association for the Advancement of Veterinary Parasitology 2009 Abstract Volume*: 158
- Goździk K, Jakubek E-B, Björkman C, Bień J, Moskwa B, Cabaj W (2010) Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* in free living and farmed red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in Poland. *Pol J Vet Sci* 13 (1):117–120
- Hemphill A, Gottstein B (2000) A European perspective on *Neospora caninum*. *Int J Parasitol* 30:877–924
- Hornok S, Edelhofer R, Fok E, Berta K, Fejes P, Repasi A, Farkas R (2006) Canine neosporosis in Hungary: screening for seroconversion of household, herding and stray dogs. *Vet Parasitol* 137:197–201
- Kramer L, De Risio L, Tranquillo VM, Magnino S, Genchi C (2004) Analysis of risk factors associated with seropositivity to *Neospora caninum* in dogs. *Vet Rec* 154:692–693
- McAllister MM, Dubey JP, Lindsay DS, Jolley WR, Wills RA, McGuire AM (1998) Dogs are definitive hosts of *Neospora caninum*. *Int J Parasitol* 28:1473–1478
- Moskwa B, Cabaj W (2003) *Neospora caninum*: a newly recognized agent causing spontaneous abortion in Polish cattle. *Med Wet* 59:23–26
- Moskwa B, Cabaj W, Pastusiak K, Bień J (2005) Current studies on neosporosis in Poland. *Wiad Parazytol* 51:65–67
- Nishikawa Y, Claveria FG, Fujisaki K, Nagasawa H (2002) Studies on serological cross-reaction of *Neospora caninum* with *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Hammondia heydorni*. *J Vet Med Sci* 64:161–164
- Ortuno A, Castella J, Almeria S (2002) Seroprevalence of antibodies to *Neospora caninum* in dogs from Spain. *J Parasitol* 88:1263–1266
- Ploneczka K, Mazurkiewicz M (2008) Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* in dogs in southwestern Poland. *Vet Parasitol* 153:168–171

- Rasmussen K, Jensen AL (1996) Some epidemiologic features of canine neosporosis in Denmark. *Vet Parasitol* 62:345–349
- Schares G, Wenzel U, Müller T, Conraths FJ (2001) Serological evidence for naturally occurring transmission of *Neospora caninum* among foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). *Int J Parasitol* 31:418–423
- Schares G, Pantchev N, Barutzki D, Heydorn AO, Bauer C, Conraths FJ (2005) Oocysts of *Neospora caninum*, *Hammondia heydorni*, *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Hammondia hammondi* in faeces collected from dogs in Germany. *Int J Parasitol* 35:1525–1537
- Slapeta JR, Modry D, Kyselova I, Horejs R, Lukes J, Koudela B (2002) Dog shedding oocysts of *Neospora caninum*: PCR diagnosis and molecular phylogenetic approach. *Vet Parasitol* 109:157–167
- Staubli D, Nunez S, Sager H, Schares G, Gottstein B (2006) *Neospora caninum* immunoblotting improves serodiagnosis of bovine neosporosis. *Parasitol Res* 99:648–658
- Śmiełowska-Łoś E, Pacoń J, Jańczak M, Płoneczka K (2003) Prevalence of antibodies to *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in wildlife and farmed foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). *Electron J Pol Agric Univ Vet Med* 6:2
- Vaclavek P, Sedlak K, Hurkova L, Vodrazka P, Sebesta R, Koudela B (2007) Serological survey of *Neospora caninum* in dogs in the Czech Republic and a long-term study of dynamics of antibodies. *Vet Parasitol* 143:35–41
- Wanha K, Edelhofer R, Gabler-Eduardo C, Prosl H (2005) Prevalence of antibodies against *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* in dogs and foxes in Austria. *Vet Parasitol* 128:189–193