Physician attitudes towards evidence-based medicine in eastern Saudi Arabia

Nadira Al-Baghlie, MD, FFCM; Sameeh M. Al-Almaie, MD, FFCM

BACKGROUND: Because of the growing awareness of the limitations of traditional information on clinical decisions, there is a shift in medical practice towards evidence-based medicine (EBM).

METHODS: We assessed physician attitudes towards evidence-based medicine (EBM) in a cross-sectional study of a random sample of physicians in primary health care centers (PHCCs) and general hospitals in the Dammam area of eastern Saudi Arabia. A random sample of 409 physicians was drawn from the population of doctors. The tool of data collection was a self-administered questionnaire. Only physicians who said they had heard about EBM were included in the study.

RESULTS: Only 108 (39.6%) physicians out of those who responded had heard about EBM. Of those, 71 (65.7%) were in favor of EBM and 89 (90.8%) had a positive attitude towards EBM, as they scored above the cut-off point in the questionnaire. Using multiple linear regression, it was found that critical appraisal knowledge and EBM knowledge scores were the only significant predictor variables of EBM attitude score.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS: There was an overall positive attitude among physicians towards EBM. There was a proportional relation between the knowledge of EBM and attitude towards it. Dissemination of the EBM concept and practice among physicians is highly recommended.

KEYWORDS: Evidence-based medicine, physicians, attitude, knowledge

From the Department of Family & Community Medicine, College of Medicine, King Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence to: Dr. Nadira A. Al-Baghlie P.O. Box 63915 Dammam 31526 Saudi Arabia E-mail: nadera48@hotmail.com

Accepted for publication: July 2004

Ann Saudi Med 2004;24(6):425-428

ld medical practices depended on unsystematic observations from clinical experience, an understanding of pathophysiologic principles of disease, common sense and clinical experience as a means for building and maintaining knowledge of patient management.1 According to this paradigm, clinicians had a number of options for sorting out clinical problems. Reviewing textbooks and consulting local experts were considered appropriate ways of obtaining medical information.² However, most medical textbooks are not based on evidence. They tend to be out of date in relation to recent advances in scientific knowledge. In addition, there may be a number of updates in knowledge during the time lag from writing to publication.3 Experienced physicians differ in the making of clinical judgments, which are often not based on evidence.4 Traditional continuous medical education does not work in improving professional practice.5,6 In addition to the expansion in research and discrepancy in validity,⁷ there is currently an increased interest in narrowing the gap between research findings and their implementation in clinical practice.8

Despite the development of rigorous clinical trial methods, people have been aware for decades of the gap between the results of randomized, blinded clinical studies and the practical use of treatments in the usual clinical setting. This gap results in expensive, ineffective or even harmful, decision making.^{1,9} EBM deals directly with the uncertainties of clinical medicine and has the potential for transforming the education and practice of the next generation of physicians.²

Most studies conducted around the world on physicians' attitude towards EBM have found that there is a belief in its importance.¹⁰⁻¹⁷ In Saudi Arabia, little is known about physician opinions of EBM. We assessed physician attitudes toward EBM in eastern Saudi Arabia.

Methods

We assessed physician attitudes toward EBM in a cross-sectional study conducted in the Dammam area, including the cities of Dammam, Alkhobar and Al-Qatif. The study population consisted of physicians in primary health care centers (PHCCs) and general hospitals. A representative sample calculated using an appropriate formula18 resulted in 409 physicians. A multistage random sampling technique was used to select the sample from both PHCCs and hospital physicians. Only physicians who had heard about EBM were included in the study. The tool of data collection was a self-administered questionnaire, which included questions on whether they were in favor of EBM, and why if they were not; and whether they would like to be trained in EBM. A Likert scale was used to measure physician attitudes towards EBM. The scale included the following statements: Quality of patient care is improved by EBM, health care costs

Variables	Description	Number (%)
Sex	Male Female	127 (46.5) 146 (53.5)
Place of work	Hospital PHC	153 (56) 120 (44)
Qualifications*	Bachelor Master Degree Fellowship PhD Board Certificate	169 (62.4) 25 (9.2) 27 (10) 14 (5.2) 36 (13.3)
Title*	Residents Specialists Consultants	188 (69.4) 39 (14.4) 44 (16.2)
Specialty	GP Medicine Surgery Pediatric Ob/gyn Others	99 (36.3) 24 (8.8) 34 (12.5) 37 (13.6) 27 (9.9) 52 (19)
Place of graduation*	KFU KSU KAU others	124 (47.5) 28 (10.7) 4 (1.5) 105 (40.2)
Nationality*	Saudi Non Saudi	175 (64.6) 96 (35.4)

Table 1. Characteristics of the pa	articipating physicians.
------------------------------------	--------------------------

*Total varies due to missing values

Table 2. Physician attitudes towards evidence-based medicine.

can be reduced by EBM, EBM brings about a quick update in knowledge, EBM is focused on patient values, practicing EBM does not replace clinical experience, and EBM should be taught in medical schools. For each statement a score of 1 was given for the answer "strongly disagree", 2 for "disagree", 3 for "I don't know", 4 for "agree", and 5 for "strongly agree". A total of 30 points were allocated for these questions. Another score was used to assess knowledge of EBM,¹⁹ which included knowledge on critical appraisal, statistical terms used in EBM, knowledge about EBM concepts and knowledge about the steps of EBM. Only the portion of data about knowledge in relation to attitude is mentioned in this paper.

A cut-off point was taken as 19 out of 30 for the attitude score. All variables were checked for accuracy and completeness and coded. Data was then entered into a personal computer and the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version "6" was used for data entry and analysis. The data were double-checked, and multiple linear regressions analysis used to assess the relation between the attitude of physicians and other variables. A P value of <0.05 was considered indicative of the level of significance throughout the study.

Results

Responses were received from 273 of 409 physicians for a response rate of 66.7%. Reminders had to be sent in some cases. Demographic characteristics of respondents are shown in Table 1. Of all the respondents, only 108 physicians (39.6%) had heard of the concept of EBM, including 59 (54.6%) hospital physicians and 49 (45.4%) PHC physicians (Figure 1). Of the 108 physicians who said they had heard about EBM, 71(65.7%) were in favor of EBM,

	SD		DA	4	D	к	Agre	ee	SA	
Variables	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
Quality of care is improved by practicing EBM*	0	0	1	1	18	17.8	49	48.5	33	32.7
Healthcare cost can be reduced by EBM*	0	0	4	4	27	26.7	41	40.6	29	28.7
EBM brings about quick knowledge update*	0	0	3	3	21	21	36	36	40	40
EBM is focused on pt's value*	2	2	4	4	47	47	30	30	17	17
Practicing EBM doesn't replace clinical experience*	1	1	7	7	24	24	42	42	26	26
EBM should be taught in medical school*	1	1	2	2	9	8.9	47	46.5	42	41.6

SD: strongly disagree, DA: disagree, DK: don't know, SA: strongly agree

*Total varies due to missing values

Predictors	Regression coefficient (β)	Standard error of β	t test	P value
(Constant)	26.85	3.12	8.6	0.000
Critical appraisal knowledge	1.94	0.50	3.86	0.0002
Knowledge of EBM components	0.18	0.05	3.3	0.0015

 Table
 3.
 Relation
 between
 EBM
 attitude
 and
 EBM
 knowledge
 Score
 (critical appraisal and total knowledge of EBM components).

9 (8.3%) were not, while 28 (25.9%) said that they did not know. The reasons for not being in favor of EBM were: EBM was difficult to understand for 5 physicians (62.5%), not useful for 4 (50%), replaced experience for 3 (37.5%), while one physician mentioned that it was expensive and another mentioned that he did not know the concept but had heard of it.

The overall mean attitude score was 23.9 ± 3.3 of 30 points among those who had heard about the EBM concept. Most of the respondents had a positive attitude towards EBM; 89 (90.8%) of those who had heard about the concept scored above 19 out of 30 points. In calculating the respondent's answers about the statements used to assess their attitude towards EBM, 81.2% agreed (agreed and strongly agreed) that care given to patients could be improved by using EBM, 69.3% agreed that health care costs could be reduced through EBM, 76% agreed that

it would help to update their knowledge, 47% agreed that it focused on patient values, 68% agreed that it could not replace experience, while a majority (88.1%) agreed that it should be taught in medical schools (Table 2).

We found that critical appraisal knowledge and EBM knowledge scores were the only significant predictor variables of EBM attitude score. Table 3 shows the regression model for both critical appraisal and total knowledge of EBM components in predicting the score for EBM attitude. As these scores increased, the total attitude scores increased.

Discussion

This study has shown that most physicians who had heard about the concept of EBM had a positive attitude towards it, with 90.8% scoring more than the cut-off point for rating the value of EBM. There were more physicians (7.3%) who did not want to be trained in EBM compared to the results of a study done by Wilson et al¹⁹ in which less than 1% did not want to be trained. The higher percentage of refusal to be trained in EBM in our study may be related to the interesting finding that the higher the knowledge about EBM the better the attitude towards it (P=0.0015). This demonstrates

that physicians who had no understanding of what EBM really is were the ones who had the negative attitude. This finding is peculiar to our study. We deduced that the poor understanding of EBM concepts could lead to more misunderstanding. Those physicians who misunderstood EBM would be protective of their current way of practicing medicine. Nevertheless, most respondents, including those who mentioned that they did not want to be trained, or were not in favor of EBM, had no doubt about its value and importance.

It is not known whether training in EBM would lead to a change in practical behavior, or whether the positive attitude of the physicians toward EBM would lead to its implementation in practice. However, most of the studies on attitude towards EBM showed that views on it were favorable.^{10,11,16} They all agreed that it improved patient care. This indicates that most physicians are not actually



Figure 1. Physician awareness and opinion of evidence-based medicine.

skeptical about the value of EBM, but have certain views that would hamper its implementation.

This study found an overall positive attitude among physicians towards EBM. There was a proportional relation between knowledge of EBM and attitude towards it. This revealed that an understanding of what EBM really was increased awareness of its importance, and how badly it was needed in clinical practice. Dissemination of the EBM concept among practitioner through workshops, seminars and other activities is highly recommended. Further research is needed to assess knowledge of EBM in all medical practice settings, to determine to what extent clinical practice is evidence-based, and to assess any improvement achieved every five years.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to all the respondent physicians who spared their valuable time to answer the questionnaires.

References

 Rosenberg W, Donald A. Evidence based medicine: an approach to clinical problem-solving. BMJ. 1995; 310:1122-1126.

2. Evidence-Based medicine working group. Evidence based medicine: a new approach to teaching the practice of medicine. JAMA. 1992; 266: 2420-2425.

3. Mckibbon A, Eady A, Marks S. PDQ evidencebased principles and practice. Hamilton: B.C. Decker Inc; 1999.

4. Elliott M, Joseph L, Bruce K, Mosteller F, Chalmers TC. A comparison of results of meta-analyses of randomized control trials and recommendations of clinical experts. JAMA. 1992; 268:240-248.

5. Davis DA, Thomson MA, Oxman AD, Haynes B. Evidence for the effectiveness of CME. A review of 50 randomized controlled trials. JAMA. 1992; 268, NO.9: 1111-1117.

 Davis D A, Thomson M A, Oxman A D, Haynes B. Changing Physician performance: A systematic review of the effect of continuing medical education strategies. JAMA. 1995; 274(9):700-705. 7. Haynes RB. Where's the meat in clinical journals? ACP Journal Club. 1993;119:A23- A24.

8. Haynes B, Haines A. Getting research findings into practice: Barriers and bridges to evidence based clinical practice. Br Med J. 1998; 317:273-276.

9. Lockett T. Evidence-based medicine and cost effective medicine for the uninitiated. New York: Radcliff Medical Press;1997:14.

10. McColl A, Smith H, White P, Field J, General Partitions of the route to evidence-based medicine; questionnaire survey. **BMJ.** 1998,316:361-365.

11. Mayer J and Piterman L. The attitude of Australian GPs to evidence-based medicine: a focus group study. Family Pract.1999;16(6):627-632.

12. Al-Ansary L, Khoja T. The place of evidence based medicine among primary health care physicians in Riyadh region, Saudi Arabia. Family Pract. 2002; 19(5): 537-542.

13. Coleman P, Nicholl J. Influence of evidence-based guidance on health policy and clinical practice in England. Qual Health Care. 2001;10: 229-237.

14. Prescott K, Lioyd M, Douglas H-R, Haines A, Humphrey C, Rosenthal J et al. Promoting clinically effective practice: general practitioners' awareness of sources of research evidence. Family Pract. 1997:14: 320-323.

15. McAlister FA, Graham I, Karr GW, Laupacis A. EBM and the practicing clinician. J Gen Intern Med. 1999 Apr; 14(4): 262-264.

16. Olatunbosun OA, Edouard L, Pierson R A. Physicians' attitudes toward evidence-based obstetric practice: a questionnaire survey. **BMJ.** 1998; 316: 365-366.

17. Scott I, Heyworth R, Fairweather P. The use of evidence-based medicine in the practice of consultant physicians: results of questionnaire survey. Aust N Z J Med. 2000; 30(30): 319-326.

18. Williams G Cochrane. Sampling Techniques. 2nd ed. Johwilly; 1963.

19. Wilson P, Droogan J, Glanville J, Watt I, Hardman G. Access to the evidence base from general practice staff in Northern and Yorkshire region. Qual Health Care. 2001;10: 83-89.