

CrossMark
click for updates

Korean J Pediatr

Baseline heart rate variability in children and adolescents with vasovagal syncope

Sun Hee Shim, MD¹, Sun-Young Park, MD², Se Na Moon, MD³, Jin Hee Oh, MD, PhD⁴, Jae Young Lee, MD, PhD⁵, Hyun Hee Kim, MD, PhD², Ji Whan Han, MD, PhD⁶, Soon Ju Lee, MD, PhD²

¹Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon St. Mary's Hospital, Bucheon, ²Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, Yeouido St. Mary's Hospital, Seoul, ³Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, St. Paul's Hospital, Seoul, ⁴Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, St. Vincent's Hospital, Suwon, ⁵Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, Seoul, ⁶Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital, Uijeongbu, Korea

Purpose: This study aimed to evaluate the autonomic imbalance in syncope by comparing the baseline heart rate variability (HRV) between healthy children and those with vasovagal syncope.

Methods: To characterize the autonomic profile in children experiencing vasovagal syncope, we evaluated the HRV of 23 patients aged 7–18 years and 20 healthy children. These children were divided into preadolescent (<12 years) and adolescent groups. The following time-domain indices were calculated: root mean square of the successive differences (RMSSD); standard deviation of all average R-R intervals (SDNN); and frequency domain indices including high frequency (HF), low frequency (LF), normalized high frequency, normalized low frequency, and low frequency to high frequency ratio (LF/HF).

Results: HRV values were significantly different between healthy children and those with syncope. Student *t* test indicated significantly higher SNDD values (60.46 ms vs. 37.42 ms, $P=0.003$) and RMSSD (57.90 ms vs. 26.92 ms, $P=0.000$) in the patient group than in the control group. In the patient group, RMSSD (80.41 ms vs. 45.89 ms, $P=0.015$) and normalized HF (61.18 ms vs. 43.19 ms, $P=0.022$) were significantly higher in adolescents, whereas normalized LF (38.81 ms vs. 56.76 ms, $P=0.022$) and LF/HF ratio (0.76 vs. 1.89, $P=0.041$) were significantly lower in adolescents. In contrast, the control group did not have significant differences in HRV values between adolescents and preadolescents.

Conclusion: The results of this study indicated that children with syncope had a decreased sympathetic tone and increased vagal tone compared to healthy children. Additionally, more severe autonomic imbalances possibly occur in adolescents than in preadolescents.

Key words: Vasovagal syncope, Heart rate, Child, Adolescent

Corresponding author: Soon Ju Lee, MD, PhD
Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, Yeouido St. Mary's Hospital, 10 63(yuksam)-ro, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul 150-713, Korea
Tel: +82-2-3779-1207
Fax: +82-2-783-2589
E-mail: soonju.lee@catholic.ac.kr

Introduction

Syncope is defined as a short period of temporally-limited and self-limited loss of consciousness due to transient diminution of blood flow to the brain. Vasovagal syncope is most common in children and adolescents, but the precise nature of such episodes remains under investigation¹⁻³. To date, the main pathophysiology of vasovagal syncope is thought to be a cardioinhibitory response resulting from either increased parasympathetic activation or vasodepressor response due to inhibition of sympathetic activity, or a mixed response including both. Vasovagal syncope may be caused by autonomic cardioinhibitory and/or vasodilator response. It is likely that sensory inputs through vagal afferents, pain pathways,

Copyright © 2014 by The Korean Pediatric Society

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and central pathways affect the nucleus tractus solitaries to cause sympathoinhibition and vagal efferent activation. These responses are triggered by warm environment, prolonged standing, pain, illness, emotions, venous puncture, standing, fasting, fatigue, drug use, and menstruation. Bezold-Jarisch and carotid sinus reflexes are also thought to be involved. The Bezold-Jarisch reflex, a type of autonomic dysfunction, activates mechanoreceptors in the left ventricle when blood volume is low and stimulates sympathetic tone. Such sympathetic stimulation may result in activation of vagal afferents. The carotid sinus reflex results in increased blood pressure applied to the carotid sinus, which enhances the baroreceptor and activates vagal efferents³⁻⁵.

In a previous study, vasovagal syncope was defined as sympathetic-parasympathetic imbalance detected by heart rate variability (HRV), head up tilt (HUT) test and 24-hour electrocardiography (ECG) monitoring in adults. However, there were only a few HRV studies regarding vasovagal syncope in children and adolescents, and studies of baseline HRV are even more rare. There is a range of time domains (from less than 30 seconds to 24 hours) to explore cardiac autonomic tone for HRV analysis. In this study, we evaluated baseline HRV of children and adolescents with vasovagal syncope and compared the values with those of healthy controls. We hypothesized that we would detect imbalances of the autonomic nervous system in syncopal patients, and hoped to design more effective preventions.

Materials and methods

1. Subjects

The patient group consisted of 23 children (15 girls, 8 boys; mean age: 11.6 years) with histories of ≥ 1 episodes of vasovagal syncope. The patients were referred to the outpatient clinic center, Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, Yeouido St. Mary's Hospital between March 2010 and February 2011. In addition, the patient group was divided into preadolescents and adolescents at 12 years of age, the mean age of Korean adolescence⁶. All patients with symptomatic arrhythmia or other chronic underlying diseases (epilepsy, diabetes mellitus, asthma, anemia, congenital heart disease, cardiomegaly, psychological disease) or users of medication acting upon the cardiovascular system were excluded. The control group consisted of 20 children (12 girls, 8 boys; mean age 12.3 years) who never experienced syncope. The control group was recruited among patients who visited the Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon St. Mary's Hospital, from February 2013 to June 2013 for treatment for the common cold. The control group was divided into preadolescents and adolescents by the age of 12 years⁶. It included

healthy children without any chronic problems.

2. Methods

1) HRV measurement

HRV was analyzed using an SA-3000P device (Medicore Co., Seoul, Korea). HRV recordings were obtained for 5 minutes. All study participants attached electric patches to both wrists and the left ankle and remained in relaxed sitting positions. We collected data between 9 and 11 AM to control for circadian changes of HRV. Room temperature during data collection was 23°C–25°C. All participants had fasted for at least two hours before measurements were taken. ECGs were obtained through ECG recordings using personal computers over periods of five minutes. HRV was automatically analyzed by time domain and frequency domain.

The time domain measures analyzed were the following: mean heart rate, standard deviations of all average R-R intervals (SDNN), and the square root of the mean squared differences of successive R-R intervals (RMSSD).

Frequency domain measures were calculated as the following: very low frequency component (VLF, 0.01–0.05 Hz), low frequency component (LF, 0.04–0.15 Hz), high frequency component (HF, 0.15–0.4 Hz), and low to high frequency ratio (LF/HF ratio). LF and HF were collected as normalized LF and normalized HF because of time limitations.

2) Statistics

Data were expressed as mean \pm standard error of mean by student *t* test. The data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS ver. 20.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). For all analyses *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

This study included 23 patients and 20 controls. There were no significant differences in age, height, or body weight between the two groups (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic features of syncope and control group

Variable	Syncope (n=23)	Control (n=20)	<i>P</i> value
Sex (male/female)	8/15	8/12	
Age (yr)	11.60 \pm 2.64 (7–18)	12.30 \pm 2.29 (9–17)	NS
Height (cm)	149.36 \pm 12.10 (130–177)	153.81 \pm 10.91 (134–176.6)	NS
Weight (kg)	44.56 \pm 13.49 (24.6–70)	50.03 \pm 14.71 (30–80)	NS

Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (range). NS, not significant (*P*>0.05).

1. Comparison of HRV analysis between patient group and control group (Table 2).

1) Time domain analysis

The statistical analysis showed a significant increase in the median SNDD and RMSSD values in the patient group compared to the control group ($P=0.003$ and $P=0.000$).

2) Frequency domain

The absolute value of normalized LF decreased in the patient group compared to the control group. Otherwise, the absolute value of normalized HF increased in the patient group compared

to the control group. The LF/HF ratio decreased in the patient group compared to the control group.

2. Comparison of HRV analysis between adolescence and preadolescence of patient group (Table 3).

1) Time domain analysis

SDNN in adolescents increased in terms of absolute value. RMSSD significantly increased in adolescents compared to preadolescents ($P=0.015$).

2) Frequency domain analysis

Normalized LF and LF/HF ratios significantly decreased in adolescents compared to preadolescents ($P=0.022$ and $P=0.041$). Normalized HF significantly increased in adolescents compared to preadolescents ($P=0.022$).

3. Comparison of HRV analysis between adolescence and preadolescence of control group (Table 4).

1) Time domain analysis

There were no significant differences between adolescents and pre-adolescents in SDNN, RMSSD and mean heart rate.

2) Frequency domain analysis

All indices of time domain analysis showed no statistically significant difference between adolescents and preadolescents

4. Comparison of HRV variability between patient and control group in adolescents (Table 5)

1) Time domain analysis

The mean heart rate significantly decreased in patient group ($P=0.000$). The value of SDNN and RMSSD significantly

Table 2. Comparison of HRV variability between patient and control group

HRV index	Patient (n=23)	Control (n=20)	P value
Time domain			
Mean heart rate (bpm)	78.73±23.67	89.00±12.01	0.088
SDNN (ms)	60.46±30.05	37.42±14.60	0.003
RMSSD (ms)	57.90±30.42	26.92±13.95	0.000
Frequency domain			
Total power (ms ²)	7.52±1.06	6.81±0.80	0.018
VLF (ms ²)	6.58±1.10	5.96±0.94	0.056
LF (ms ²)	6.10±1.21	5.49±0.80	0.071
Normalized LF	50.52±18.65	57.35±16.24	0.211
HF (ms ²)	6.06±1.40	5.18±1.01	0.025
Normalized HF	49.45±18.66	42.64 ±16.24	0.213
LF/HF ratio	1.50±1.59	1.73±1.23	0.622

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. HRV, heart rate variability; SDNN, standard deviations of all average R-R intervals; RMSSD, root mean square of the successive difference; VLF, very low frequency; LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency.

Table 3. Comparison of HRV variability between adolescent and pre-adolescent in patient group

HRV index	Adolescent (n=8)	Preadolescent (n=15)	P value
Time domain			
Mean heart rate (bpm)	62.37±4.17	87.46±25.25	0.002
SDNN (ms)	64.27±23.01	58.44±33.79	0.630
RMSSD (ms)	80.41±29.59	45.89±23.93	0.015
Frequency domain			
Total power (ms ²)	7.78±0.67	7.38±1.21	0.333
VLF (ms ²)	6.54±0.79	6.61±1.25	0.875
LF (ms ²)	6.44±0.95	5.91±1.36	0.298
Normalized LF	38.81±15.48	56.76±17.51	0.022
HF (ms ²)	6.93±0.64	5.60±1.49	0.007
Normalized HF	61.18±15.48	43.19±17.51	0.022
LF/HF ratio	0.76±0.60	1.89±1.82	0.041

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. HRV, heart rate variability; SDNN, standard deviations of all average R-R intervals; RMSSD, root mean square of the successive difference; VLF, very low frequency; LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency.

Table 4. Comparison of HRV variability between adolescent and pre-adolescent in control group

HRV index	Adolescent (n=10)	Preadolescent (n=10)	P value
Time domain			
Mean heart rate (bpm)	86.50±10.48	91.5 ±13.45	0.366
SDNN (ms)	37.80±14.51	37.05±15.46	0.912
RMSSD (ms)	26.04±13.21	27.80±15.31	0.786
Frequency domain			
Total power (ms ²)	6.75±0.75	6.87±0.89	0.729
VLF (ms ²)	5.83±0.95	6.09±0.96	0.553
LF (ms ²)	5.29±0.58	5.69 ±1.00	0.286
Normalized LF	50.32±16.44	64.37±13.30	0.051
HF (ms ²)	5.30±0.10	5.06±1.04	0.602
Normalized HF	49.68±16.44	35.6±13.30	0.051
LF/HF ratio	1.20±0.68	2.26±1.45	0.057

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. HRV, heart rate variability; SDNN, standard deviations of all average R-R intervals; RMSSD, root mean square of the successive difference; VLF, very low frequency; LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency.

Table 5. Comparison of HRV variability between patient and control group in adolescents

HRV index	Patient (n=8)	Control (n=10)	P value
Time domain			
Mean heart rate (bpm)	62.38±4.17	86.50±10.48	0.000
SDNN (ms)	64.27±23.01	37.80±14.51	0.009
RMSSD (ms)	80.42±29.60	26.04±13.21	0.000
Frequency domain			
Total power (ms ²)	7.78±0.68	6.75±0.76	0.008
VLF (ms ²)	6.54±0.80	5.84±0.95	0.115
LF (ms ²)	6.44±0.95	5.29±0.59	0.006
Normalized LF	38.81±15.48	50.32±16.44	0.150
HF (ms ²)	6.93±0.65	5.31±1.02	0.001
Normalized HF	61.19±15.48	49.68±15.44	0.150
LF/HF ratio	0.76±0.61	1.20±0.68	0.172

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation.

HRV, heart rate variability; SDNN, standard deviations of all average R-R intervals; RMSSD, root mean square of the successive difference; VLF, very low frequency; LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency.

increased in patient group ($P=0.009$ and $P=0.000$).

2) Frequency domain analysis

The absolute value of normalized LF decreased in patient group compare to control group. On the other hand, absolute value of normalized HF increased in patient group. LF/HF ratio decreased in patient group.

5. Comparison of HRV variability between patient and control group in preadolescents (Table 6)

1) Time domain analysis

The mean heart rate and SDNN had no significant difference. Only RMSSD increased significantly ($P=0.046$).

2) Frequency domain analysis

All indices of time domain analysis showed no statistically significant difference between patients and controls. Also, in preadolescents, the difference of absolute values between patient and control group was smaller than in adolescents.

Discussion

HRV has become the most popular method for analyzing autonomic imbalance. Variations in heart rate are evaluated in terms of time domain measures and the frequency domain measures. The time domain measures are mean heart rate, SDNN, and RMSSD. The frequency domain is analyzed in terms of total power, VLF, HF, and LF. SDNN and LF value reflect sympathetic tone. Especially, reductions of the SDNN mean decreasing sympathetic tone by left ventricular dysfunction. RMSSD and HF reflect parasympathetic modulation of the heart. Normalized LF and normalized HF re-

Table 6. Comparison of HRV variability between patient and control group in preadolescents

HRV index	Patient (n=15)	Control (n=10)	P value
Time domain			
Mean heart rate (bpm)	87.47±25.52	91.50±13.46	0.649
SDNN (ms)	58.44±33.80	37.05±15.47	0.075
RMSSD (ms)	45.90±23.93	27.80±15.31	0.046
Frequency domain			
Total power (ms ²)	7.39±1.21	6.88±0.89	0.265
VLF (ms ²)	6.61±1.26	6.10±0.96	0.287
LF (ms ²)	5.92±1.37	5.70±1.00	0.665
Normalized LF	56.77±17.52	64.38±13.30	0.256
HF (ms ²)	5.60±1.49	5.06±1.05	0.334
Normalized HF	43.20±17.52	35.62±13.30	0.258
LF/HF ratio	1.90±1.82	2.27±1.46	0.600

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation.

HRV, heart rate variability; SDNN, standard deviations of all average R-R intervals; RMSSD, root mean square of the successive difference; VLF, very low frequency; LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency.

present the relative values of LF and HF in proportion to the total power. The representations of LF and HF in normalized form mean the controlled and balanced parameter of the autonomic nervous system⁷. Thus, we considered normalized LF and HF to be more reliable values than LF and HF. LF/HF ratios can be used to help quantify the autonomic balance. VLF assessed from short-term recordings is a dubious measure, and not to be used^{1,4,7-15}.

Comparisons of patients and controls showed that significantly increased SDNN, related to the function of the left ventricle, indicated increased stroke power in the left ventricle by activating sympathetic modulation^{8,10}. Increasing baseline RMSSD in the patient group indicated that parasympathetic activation persisted continuously in vasovagal syncope patients. Both significantly increased SDNN and RMSSD indicated that increasing stroke volume to compensate for blood volume activates sympathetic tone, which is then followed by overstimulation of parasympathetic modulation to inhibit sympathetic increase. In the frequency domain, the results suggest relative inhibition of sympathetic tone and activation of parasympathetic tone in the patient group compared to the control group.

We also evaluated the patient group divided into adolescents and preadolescents. These results indicate that adolescents with vasovagal syncope experienced more severe activation of vagal sinus modulation than preadolescents. This result corresponds with epidemiological findings that vasovagal syncope is more frequent in adolescents than preadolescents^{2,5}. On the other hand, adolescents and preadolescents in the control group were not significantly different in terms of HRV. This result shows that the control group regulated effectively a sympathetic-parasympathetic balance.

To confirm predominance in vagal tone of adolescent patients, we also analyzed the differences of HRV pattern by age. The time and frequency domain of HRV analysis in adolescent age show the activation of parasympathetic tone and the inhibition of sympathetic tone in adolescent patients once more.

Despite the asymptomatic period, significantly increased RMSSD and HF, decreased LF, and LF/HF ratios suggest continued hyperactive parasympathetic tone. In other words, our findings may represent cardioinhibitory response. There are some possible explanations for why this tendency is more clear in adolescents than preadolescents. Although rapid growth in adolescents requires dramatic increases in blood volume, the adolescent cardiovascular system cannot meet this challenge. Therefore, sympathetic modulation is activated to compensate deficient blood volume, and parasympathetic hyperactivation follows. This hyperactivation emerges as vasovagal syncope. As this interaction continues, parasympathetic tone usually remains hyperactive.

Unlike adults, HRV in children and adolescents with vasovagal syncope has been evaluated only infrequently. Piccirillo et al.¹⁶⁾ detected activation of sympathetic autonomic activity and inhibition of parasympathetic activity in children with vasovagal syncope during the HUT test. Their results suggested that sympathetic tone activates during the HUT test and vagal sinus modulation increases immediately after the HUT test in vasovagal syncope patients.

Shortly before syncope, the sympathetic nervous system activates, and then parasympathetic nervous activation follows to maintain homeostasis. This result indicates the Bezold-Jarisch reflex. Longin et al.⁴⁾ measured short-term HRV indices in children with syncope or presyncopal symptoms to compare with healthy children, and also found sympathetic predominance. These results were different with ours. We consider that these studies were measured just before syncope while we evaluated baseline HRV.

On the other hand, Hosaka et al.¹⁷⁾ and Kochiadakis et al.¹⁸⁾ reported that basal HRV indices were augmented by parasympathetic predominance in syncopal patients compared to healthy controls like our study. Guzman et al.¹⁹⁾ found increased parasympathetic activity indicated by significant increases in RMSSD in patients with cardioinhibitory type response, and sympathetic activity predominance indicated by significant decreases in RMSSD in vasodepressor type response during HUT test. In our study, we could not be distinguished cardioinhibitory type with vasodepressor type because our patient was asymptomatic state.

The analysis of HRV patterns with vasovagal syncope remains controversial. The results of HRV analysis can be conflicting, as the pathophysiology of syncope remains unclear. More investigations are needed.

The most common medications for the prevention of vasovagal syncope are beta-blockers. These agents are thought to prevent vasovagal syncope by attenuating the sympathetically mediated increase in inotropy, making cardiac mechanoreceptor activation less likely^{3,20,21)}. These are used in children and adolescents because of their relatively small numbers of side effects²⁰⁾. However, despite the frequent use of beta blockers, evidence supporting the efficacy of beta blockers is extremely limited. Sheldon et al.²²⁾ found that treatment with beta-blockers did not prevent syncope recurrence. Alegria et al.²³⁾ showed that recurrent syncope was more frequent in patients treated with beta-blockers than in control patients treated conservatively. The limited evidence available on the efficacy of beta blockers is conflicting, and their role remains uncertain^{3,20)}.

We suggest some strategies for the effective prevention of vasovagal syncope based on our study. First, using anticholinergic agents to prevent vasovagal syncope may effectively inhibit vagal tone activation. There are some reports that transdermal scopolamine and propantheline bromide are effective for vasovagal syncope^{20,21)}. Although the data regarding the efficacy of anticholinergic agents are limited, such agents may be used for effective prevention if confirmed safe by continuous investigation. Second, inhibiting sympathetic overstimulation by intravascular volume expansion may be especially effective for adolescents because of their rapid growth. Fludrocortisones, the most common volume expander, is believed to exert its principal effects by increasing sodium reabsorption in the kidneys and thus increasing blood volume, limiting the hemodynamic effects of venous pooling during upright posture. However, care should be taken for use in pediatrics because adverse effects associated with fludrocortisones include hypertension, pulmonary edema, hypokalemia, hypomagnesemia. There have been some reports that fludrocortisones are effective for vasovagal syncope during short term follow up, but not effective during long-term follow-up^{2,3,20,21)}. Third, biofeedback training to increase the amplitude of respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) is suggested for the prevention of vasovagal syncope. RSA is the variation in heart rate that accompanies breathing. The heart rate normally increases during inhalation and decreases during exhalation. HRV can voluntarily be controlled by respiration²⁴⁾. If HRV is monitored in the same way as breathing, it is possible to regulate HRV patterns, which may be an effective treatment for vasovagal syncope.

Because of significant differences of HRV between vasovagal syncope patients and controls, we recommend screening tests for children at high risk of vasovagal syncope. By screening, if children who still do not experience syncope search out, they can be prevented more effectively in advance.

Further studies are needed including larger numbers of patients to establish classifications of syncope type in terms of blood pressure. In the future, we will evaluate differences of HRV patterns in patients who follow up after starting treatment.

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

1. Johnsrude CL. Current approach to pediatric syncope. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2000;21:522-31.
2. Benditt DG, van Dijk JG, Sutton R, Wieling W, Lin JC, Sakaguchi S, et al. Syncope. *Curr Probl Cardiol* 2004;29:152-229.
3. Olshansky B. Reflex syncope [Internet]. Waltham: UpToDate Inc.; 2013 [cited 2013 Sep 11]. Available from: <http://www.uptodate.com/contents/reflex-syncope>.
4. Longin E, Reinhard J, von Buch C, Gerstner T, Lenz T, Konig S. Autonomic function in children and adolescents with neurocardiogenic syncope. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2008;29:763-70.
5. Kenny RA, Bhangu J, King-Kallimanis BL. Epidemiology of syncope/collapse in younger and older Western patient populations. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2013;55:357-63.
6. Park MJ, Lee IS, Shin EK, Joung H, Cho SI. The timing of sexual maturation and secular trends of menarchial age in Korean adolescents. *Korean J Pediatr* 2006;49:610-6.
7. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Circulation* 1996;93:1043-65.
8. McCraty R, Watkins A. Autonomic assessment report: a comprehensive heart rate variability analysis-interpretation guide and instructions. Boulder Creek: Institute of HeartMath, 1996.
9. Zygmunt A, Stanczyk J. Heart rate variability in children with neurocardiogenic syncope. *Clin Auton Res* 2004;14:99-106.
10. Galeev AR, Iqisheva LN, Kazin EM. Heart rate variability in healthy six- to sixteen-year-old children. *Human Physiol* 2002;28:428-32.
11. Stys A, Stys T. Current clinical applications of heart rate variability. *Clin Cardiol* 1998;21:719-24.
12. Lazzeri C, La Villa G, Barletta G, Franchi F. 24-hour heart rate variability in patients with vasovagal syncope. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2000;23(4 Pt 1):463-8.
13. Kim JK, Kim JS, Ahn KJ, Lee SC, Gwon HC, Park SW, et al. Heart rate variability in patients with neurocardiogenic syncope or pre-syncope. *Korean Circ J* 2000;30:716-23.
14. Chun H, Kim S, Sung J, Paek D. Determinants of heart rate variability in general Korean population. *Korean Circ J* 2001;31:107-13.
15. Sehra R, Hubbard JE, Straka SP, Fineberg NS, Engelstein ED, Zipes DP. Autonomic changes and heart rate variability in children with neurocardiac syncope. *Pediatr Cardiol* 1999;20:242-7.
16. Piccirillo G, Naso C, Moise A, Lionetti M, Nocco M, Di Carlo S, et al. Heart rate and blood pressure variability in subjects with vasovagal syncope. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2004;107:55-61.
17. Hosaka H, Takase B, Katsushika S, Ohsuzu F, Kurita A. Altered fractal behavior and heart rate variability in daily life in neurally mediated syncope. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2003;57 Suppl 1: 77S-82S.
18. Kochiadakis GE, Kanoupakis EM, Rombola AT, Igoumenidis NE, Chlouverakis GI, Vardas PE. Reproducibility of tilt table testing in patients with vasovagal syncope and its relation to variations in autonomic nervous system activity. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1998;21:1069-76.
19. Guzman CE, Sanchez GM, Marquez MF, Hermosillo AG, Cardenas M. Differences in heart rate variability between cardioinhibitory and vasodepressor responses to head-up tilt table testing. *Arch Med Res* 1999;30:203-11.
20. Bloomfield DM. Strategy for the management of vasovagal syncope. *Drugs Aging* 2002;19:179-202.
21. Kaufmann H, Freeman R. Pharmacological treatment of reflex syncope. *Clin Auton Res* 2004;14 Suppl 1:71-5.
22. Sheldon R, Rose S, Flanagan P, Koshman ML, Killam S. Effect of beta blockers on the time to first syncope recurrence in patients after a positive isoproterenol tilt table test. *Am J Cardiol* 1996;78:536-9.
23. Alegria JR, Gersh BJ, Scott CG, Hodge DO, Hammill SC, Shen WK. Comparison of frequency of recurrent syncope after beta-blocker therapy versus conservative management for patients with vasovagal syncope. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;92:82-4.
24. Lehrer PM. Emotionally triggered asthma: a review of research literature and some hypotheses for self-regulation therapies. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback* 1998;23:13-41.