Pulmonary arterial wedge pressure increase during exercise in patients diagnosed with pulmonary arterial or chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

Julian Müller [1,2], Laura Mayer[1,2], Simon R. Schneider [1,2], Anna Titz [1,2], Esther I. Schwarz[1,2], Stephanie Saxer [1,2], Michael Furian [1,2], Ekkehard Grünig[3], Silvia Ulrich [1,2,4] and Mona Lichtblau [1,2,4]

[1]Department of Pulmonology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. [2]University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. [3]Thoraxclinic Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany. [4]These authors contributed equally.

Corresponding author: Silvia Ulrich (silvia.ulrich@usz.ch)

Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)
In patients with PVD, PAWP increased slightly but significantly with the onset of exercise compared to resting values. PAWP/CO slopes >2 WU are common in patients with PVD ⩾50 years without exceeding the PAWP of 25 mmHg during exercise. https://bit.ly/3ORosU3

Cite this article as: Müller J, Mayer L, Schneider SR, *et al*. Pulmonary arterial wedge pressure increase during exercise in patients diagnosed with pulmonary arterial or chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *ERJ Open Res* 2023; 9: 00379-2023 [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00379-2023].

Received: 8 June 2023
Accepted: 2 Aug 2023

Abstract

Background The course of pulmonary arterial wedge pressure (PAWP) during exercise in patients with pulmonary arterial or chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (PAH/CTEPH), further abbreviated as pulmonary vascular disease (PVD), is still unknown. The aim of the study was to describe PAWP during exercise in patients with PVD.

Methods In this cross-sectional study, right heart catheter (RHC) data including PAWP, recorded during semi-supine, stepwise cycle exercise in patients with PVD, were analysed retrospectively. We investigated PAWP changes during exercise until end-exercise.

Results In 121 patients (59 female, 66 CTEPH, 55 PAH, 62±17 years) resting PAWP was 10.2±4.1 mmHg. Corresponding peak changes in PAWP during exercise were +2.9 mmHg (95% CI 2.1–3.7 mmHg, p<0.001). Patients ⩾50 years had a significantly higher increase in PAWP during exercise compared with those <50 years (p<0.001). The PAWP/cardiac output (CO) slopes were 3.9 WU for all patients, and 1.6 WU for patients <50 years and 4.5 WU for those ⩾50 years.

Conclusion In patients with PVD, PAWP increased slightly but significantly with the onset of exercise compared to resting values. The increase in PAWP during exercise was age-dependent, with patients ⩾50 years showing a rapid PAWP increase even with minimal exercise. PAWP/CO slopes >2 WU are common in patients with PVD aged ⩾50 years without exceeding the PAWP of 25 mmHg during exercise.

Introduction

Right heart catheterisation (RHC) is currently the gold standard in diagnosing pulmonary hypertension (PH). To differentiate pre- and post-capillary PH, pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP) is measured. A resting PAWP >15 mmHg is indicative of post-capillary disease [1]. An increase >18 mmHg during fluid challenge and >25 mmHg during exercise is considered pathological as this demasks occult post-capillary PH [1].

In a systematic review that included 24 studies and 237 healthy subjects, Kovacs *et al*. [2] compiled pulmonary haemodynamics, including pulmonary artery pressure (PAP), cardiac output (CO) and PAWP during rest and exercise. In this study, PAWP did not exceed values >15 mmHg during exercise in patients <50 years of age. However, the older the individual (>50 years), the higher was the PAWP during exercise, which was often above 20 mmHg.

In the current guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of PH, normal values for PAWP at rest are defined as ⩽15 mmHg, while a PAWP >25 mmHg and PAWP/CO slope >2 WU during exercise are considered to indicate left heart disease [1].

Patients with pre-capillary PH, namely patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) or chronic thromboembolic PH (CTEPH), further abbreviated as pulmonary vascular disease (PVD), have per definition a normal resting PAWP ⩽15 mmHg. However, there might be inconsistencies according to the classification if considering exercise haemodynamics as well. Nonetheless, PAWP and PAWP/CO slope during exercise have not been assessed systematically in these groups of patients. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to describe and analyse the changes in PAWP as well as PAWP/CO during exercise RHC in patients diagnosed with PVD, either classified as PAH Group 1 or CTEPH Group 4.

Methods

Study design and subjects

All patients who underwent semi-supine incremental stepwise cycle exercise RHC in the PH centre of the University Hospital Zurich between November 2016 and July 2022 as part of the workup of suspected PH were eligible. Patients were excluded if they had only resting RHC, *e.g.* due to initial severe decompensated right heart failure, had repeated RHC or did not consent to the use of their data for research purposes. Patients with PVD were included if they were diagnosed with PAH or CTEPH (World Health Organization (WHO) Group 1 or 4) and met haemodynamic criteria for pre-capillary PH at rest according to current PH guidelines with mean PAP (mPAP) ⩾20 mmHg, PAWP ⩽15 mmHg and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) >2 WU) [1]. All RHC were diagnostic catheters in therapy-naive patients. Patients with post-capillary PH (resting PAWP >15 mmHg), exercise-induced post-capillary PH, defined by an increase of PAWP >25 mmHg, and patients with PH due to lung disease (COPD>Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage I, forced expiratory volume <70%, parenchymal lung disease or emphysema) were excluded from the analysis.

Ethics

All patients gave written informed consent to RHC and further use of their data for scientific analysis. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethical authorities (KEK 2019-00470).

Exercise RHC

In this cross-sectional study, exercise RHC was performed on a semi-supine cycle ergometer (TheraVital Ergometer; MedicaGMbH, Ravensburg, Germany) with a stepwise incremental protocol (increase by 10 or 20 W every 3 min) as previously described [3]. A balloon-tipped, triple-lumen, fluid-filled 8 Fr Swan-Ganz catheter (Swan-Ganz CCombo V; Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) was placed *via* a jugular vein according to standard procedures. Transducers were set at the midthoracic level and zeroed to atmospheric pressure [4, 5]. PAWP pressure curves were assessed on the haemodynamic monitor system (Dräger SA, Liebefeld, Switzerland) and averaged over several respiratory cycles after at least 15 min of rest and during the last 30 s of each exercise step [3, 4, 6, 7]. The mPAP, PAWP and CO, measured by continuous thermodilution, as well as arterial and mixed venous blood oxygenation were measured at rest and during the last minute of each exercise step. PVR was calculated as PVR=(mPAP – PAWP)/CO). PAWP/CO slope and mPAP/CO slope were calculated by $(PAWP_{Wmax} - PAWP_{rest\ semi\text{-}supine})/(CO_{Wmax} - CO_{rest\ semi\text{-}supine})$ and $(mPAP_{Wmax} - mPAP_{rest\ semi\text{-}supine})/(CO_{Wmax} - CO_{rest\ semi\text{-}supine})$, for individual paired slopes for each patient and in addition as overall means. For the intra-individual paired slopes, the mean value of the corresponding data was then calculated.

Data presentation and statistical analysis

To compare the PAWP at different work levels as repeated measures data during exercise, work levels in supine and semi-supine position, as well as 10 W, 20 W, up to 60 W and individual end-exercise were chosen. Data were summarised as mean±$_{SD}$. A linear mixed model was fitted to the data with PAWP at different work levels, group and intervention-group interaction as fixed effects and subject as random intercept, thus controlling for confounding factors. We tested if intervention-group interaction could be removed from the model. Linear contrasts were defined according to the model and tested for mean differences between chosen work levels.

Owing to the known age dependency in PAWP values, data were stratified for age ⩾50 years and <50 years and overall results were adjusted for age to reduce confounding [2]. In a subgroup analysis we stratified by PH class. We simulated the distribution of the data's residuals and the random effects with

Q-Q plots and Kentucky Anscombe plots. By visual inspection of these plots, we assumed homogeneity and normality of the residuals and the random effects that complies with model assumptions.

In all analyses, a 95% confidence interval that excluded the null hypothesis was considered evidence for statistical significance. Analyses were performed using R-Studio software Version 4.1.0.

Results

Participants

From September 2016 to June 2022, 341 exercise catheter data sets were identified from our data base. Of those, 101 were classified as either PH Group 2, 3 or 5 and therefore excluded from the analysis. Another 30 patients were excluded due to exercise-induced post-capillary PH with a PAWP >25 mmHg at end-exercise.

A total of 121 patients (59 female, 66 CTEPH, 55 PAH, 62±17 years) with PVD were included in the analysis (table 1). The study flowchart is shown in figure 1.

PAWP during exercise in patients with PVD

In 121 patients with PVD, mean±SD PAWP in supine position was 10.2±4.1 mmHg. At end-exercise, PAWP increased up to 13.1±4.2 mmHg according to a mean change of 2.9 mmHg (95% CI 2.1–3.7 mmHg, p<0.001) (figure 2, tables 2, 3 and 4). When changing patients' position from supine to semi-supine exercise position, a non-significant reduction of PAWP was observed. At a minimal load of 10 W, there was a significant increase in PAWP by 1.6 mmHg (95% CI 0.6–2.5 mmHg, p<0.001). After 10 W, none of the single increments (*e.g.* 10 W to 20 W, 20 W to 30 W, until end-exercise) was associated with a further significant increase in PAWP. Comparing the increase of PAWP from each step up to end-exercise, only the increase from 10 W to end-exercise resulted in a significant increase in PAWP corresponding to a mean change of +1.6 mmHg (95% CI 0.6–2.5 mmHg, p<0.001).

Patients with PVD <50 years

In 24 patients <50 years, the supine PAWP of 10.6±2.8 mmHg decreased non-significantly by −1.3 mmHg with changing patients' position to semi-supine, and increased significantly during exercise by 2.5 mmHg (95% CI 1.1–4.0 mmHg, p<0.001) to a mean PAWP of 11.8±2.9 mmHg at end-exercise (table 4).

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics

Participants		121
Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension		66
Pulmonary arterial hypertension		55
Idiopathic		26
Heritable		1
Connective tissue disease		19
HIV		2
Portal hypertension		3
Congenital heart disease		2
Schistosomiasis		2
Female/male		59/62
Age years		62±17
Weight kg		76±19
Height cm		168±11
BMI kg·m^{-2}		26±5
S_{pO_2} at rest %		94±3.8
Right heart catheterisation data	**Rest**	**End-exercise**
mPAP mmHg	36.8±11.8	61.0±18.4
PAWP mmHg	10.2±4.1	13.1±4.2
CO L·min^{-1}	5.3±1.4	7.1±2.2
Pulmonary vascular resistance WU	5.0±3.1	6.8±3.8
mPAP/CO slope WU	NA	13.4±15.1
PAWP/CO slope WU	NA	3.88±6.2

Data are presented as mean±SD or absolute numbers. BMI: body mass index; S_{pO_2}: oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry; mPAP: mean pulmonary artery pressure; PAWP: pulmonary artery wedge pressure; CO: cardiac output.



FIGURE 1 Patient flowchart.

From semi-supine to 30 W, there was a significant increase in PAWP by 2.0 mmHg (95% CI 0.4–3.6 mmHg, p=0.006). None of the single increments (*e.g.* from 0 W to 10 W, from 10 W to 20 W, up to end-exercise) was associated with a significant increase in PAWP. Comparing the increase of PAWP from increments to end-exercise, only in 10 W to end-exercise, a significant increase in PAWP according to a mean change of +2.1 mmHg (95% CI 0.4–3.8 mmHg, p=0.004) was observed.

Patients with PVD ⩾50 years

In 97 patients ⩾50 years the supine PAWP of 10.8±3.6 mmHg was unchanged by changing patients' position to semi-supine but significantly increased during exercise by 3.4 mmHg (95% CI 2.4–4.3 mmHg, p<0.001) (table 4). At a minimal load of 10 W, there was a significant increase in PAWP by 1.8 mmHg (95% CI 0.7–2.9 mmHg, p<0.001). After 10 W, none of the single increments (*e.g.* from 10 W to 20 W, from 20 W to 30 W, up to end-exercise) was associated with an increase in PAWP. Comparing the increase of PAWP from increments to end-exercise, only in 10 W to end-exercise, a significant increase in PAWP corresponding to a mean change of +1.4 mmHg (95% CI 0.3–2.5 mmHg, p=0.002) was observed.

Patients ⩾50 years had a statistically significant higher PAWP of 1.9 mmHg (96% CI 0.6–3.2 mmHg, p=0.006) during the entire course of exercise and the increase in PAWP was significantly higher corresponding to a mean change of 2.2 mmHg (95% CI 1.1–2.6 mmHg, p<0.001) compared with patients <50 years. Figure 3 shows a graphical comparison of the PAWP during exercise in patients <50 years *versus* ⩾50 years.

PAWP/CO slope in patients with PVD aged <50 and ⩾50 years

The PAWP/CO slope calculated on intra-individual paired data was 3.88 WU overall for PVD patients, 1.60 WU for patients <50 years and 4.47 WU for patients ⩾50 years (95% CI −0.1–5.7 WU, p=0.053)



FIGURE 2 Pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP) during incremental exercise in 121 included patients with pulmonary vascular disease (PVD). Data are presented as mean±SD. Semi-supine to 10 W, 10 W to end-exercise as well as semi-supine to end-exercise showed significant increases in PAWP, as indicated by the p-values. After 10 W, none of the single increments were associated with a further significant PAWP increase between steps.

(figure 4b), while none of the patients exceeded an absolute value of PAWP >25 mmHg during exercise according to inclusion criteria.

To demonstrate discrepancies between calculation approaches, the slopes were also calculated as overall means, resulting in 1.61 WU in all PVD patients, 1.14 WU in patients <50 years and 1.76 WU in patients ⩾50 years (figure 4a) when not intra-individual datasets were used for slope calculations.

PAWP during exercise in patients with CTEPH versus PAH

Of 121 patients with PVD, 66 were diagnosed with CTEPH and 55 with PAH.

There was no statistically significant difference in PAWP during exercise between patients with CTEPH and PAH, neither in total PAWP differences nor in PAWP increase during exercise (supplementary figure S5 and tables S6 and S7).

TABLE 2 Pulmonary artery wedge pressure (mmHg) during exercise

End-point	All[#]	⩾50 years[¶]	<50 years[+]
$Baseline_{supine}$	10.2±4.1	10.8±3.6	10.6±2.8
$Baseline_{semi-supine}$	10.0±1.1	10.9±3.6	9.3±2.8
10 W	11.5±3.6	12.7±3.4	9.7±2.5
20 W	12.4±3.8	13.5±3.3	11.0±2.7
30 W	12.8±3.5	14.0±3.2	11.2±2.6
40 W	12.8±3.6	13.9±3.2	11.6±2.7
50 W	13.2±3.1	14.3±2.9	11.6±2.4
60 W	13.2±3.1	14.1±2.9	12.2±2.4
W_{max}	13.1±4.2	14.2±3.7	11.8±2.9

Data are presented as mean±SD. W_{max}: end-exercise. [#]: n=121; [¶]: n=97; [+]: n=24.

TABLE 3 Changes in pulmonary artery wedge pressure (mmHg) during exercise in all patients (n=121)

Contrast	Mean difference (95% CI)	p-value
$Baseline_{supine}$ *versus* $Baseline_{semi-supine}$	−0.2 (−1.0–0.6)	0.999
$Baseline_{supine}$ *versus* W_{max}	2.9 (2.1–3.7)	**<0.001**
$Baseline_{semi-supine}$ *versus* 10 W	1.6 (0.6–2.5)	**<0.001**
$Baseline_{semi-supine}$ *versus* W_{max}	3.1 (2.3–4.0)	**<0.001**
10 W *versus* W_{max}	1.6 (0.6–2.5)	**<0.001**
20 W *versus* W_{max}	0.8 (−0.1–1.7)	0.146
30 W *versus* W_{max}	0.3 (−0.6–1.3)	0.973
40 W *versus* W_{max}	0.3 (−0.7–1.2)	0.991
50 W *versus* W_{max}	0.0 (−1.2–1.1)	1.000
60 W *versus* W_{max}	−0.1 (−1.2–1.1)	1.000

p-values in bold denote evidence for statistical significance. W_{max}: end-exercise.

Discussion

The current analyses of exercise RHC data on PAWP during exercise in 121 patients with PVD diagnosed with PAH/CTEPH fills a gap of knowledge and demonstrated that the PAWP slightly (but not significantly) decreased by changing position from supine to semi-supine and rapidly and significantly increased from the onset of exercise with a levelling off towards the end-exercise. By stratifying by age, we found significantly higher increases in PAWP during exercise in patients ⩾50 years but no significant differences between PAH and CTEPH.

Across all patients, there was a significant increase of PAWP during exercise already at a minimal load of 10 W (semi-supine to 10 W, p<0.001). In younger individuals (<50 years), a significant increase in PAWP compared to resting values was found at 30 W exercise. None of the further exercise increments caused an increase of PAWP between adjacent levels of the workload. Therefore, we hypothesise that exercise testing up to exhaustion would not be required to assess the PAWP increase in patients with PVD. A major PAWP increase can be reached at loads between 10 W and 30 W, which could improve patients' wellbeing and

TABLE 4 Changes in pulmonary artery wedge pressure (mmHg) during exercise in patients stratified by age: <50 years and ⩾50 years (n=97)

Contrast	Mean difference (95% CI)	p-value
<50 years (n=24)		
$Baseline_{supine}$ *versus* $Baseline_{semi-supine}$	−1.3 (−2.8–0.2)	0.117
$Baseline_{supine}$ *versus* W_{max}	1.2 (−0.3–2.7)	0.213
$Baseline_{semi-supine}$ *versus* W_{max}	2.5 (1.1–4.0)	**<0.001**
$Baseline_{semi-supine}$ *versus* 30 W	2.0 (0.4–3.6)	**0.006**
10 W *versus* W_{max}	2.1 (0.4–3.8)	**0.004**
20 W *versus* W_{max}	0.8 (−0.7–2.4)	0.754
30 W *versus* W_{max}	0.6 (−1.1–2.2)	0.976
40 W *versus* W_{max}	0.2 (−1.4–1.8)	1.000
50 W *versus* W_{max}	0.2 (−1.7–2.1)	1.000
60 W *versus* W_{max}	−0.4 (−2.3–1.4)	1.000
⩾50 years (n=97)		
$Baseline_{supine}$ *versus* $Baseline_{semi-supine}$	0.1 (−0.9–1.1)	1.000
$Baseline_{supine}$ *versus* W_{max}	3.4 (2.4–4.3)	**<0.001**
$Baseline_{semi-supine}$ *versus* W_{max}	3.3 (2.3–4.2)	**<0.001**
$Baseline_{semi-supine}$ *versus* 10 W	1.8 (0.7–2.9)	**<0.001**
10 W *versus* W_{max}	1.4 (0.3–2.5)	**0.002**
20 W *versus* W_{max}	0.7 (−0.3–1.8)	0.397
30 W *versus* W_{max}	0.2 (−0.9–1.4)	0.999
40 W *versus* W_{max}	0.3 (−0.8–1.4)	0.996
50 W *versus* W_{max}	−0.2 (−1.5–1.2)	1.000
60 W *versus* W_{max}	0.0 (−1.3–1.4)	1.000

p-values in bold denote evidence for statistical significance. W_{max}: end-exercise.



FIGURE 3 Pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP) during stepwise incremental exercise in patients with pulmonary vascular disease (PVD) stratified by age: a) <50 years and b) ⩾50 years. Data in a and b are presented as mean±SD according to corresponding 95% confidence intervals between patients <50 years (panel a) and ⩾50 years (panel b). a) Semi-supine to 30 W as well as semi-supine to end-exercise showed significant increases in PAWP corresponding to the presented p-values. After 30 W, to end-exercise, none of the increments were associated with a significant increase in PAWP. b) Semi-supine to 10 W, semi-supine to end-exercise and from 30 W upon end-exercise there were significant increases in PAWP corresponding to the presented p-values. After 10 W, none of the increments were associated with a significant increase in PAWP. c) Comparison of the pulmonary artery wedge pressure during incremental exercise between age groups. The p-value refers to the significantly higher increase in PAWP during exercise in patients ⩾50 years (solid line) compared with those <50 years (dotted line).

safety during exercise testing and shorten the overall duration of the examination. Kovacs *et al.* [2] (2012) previously described the plateauing of the PAWP during exercise in healthy subjects. Since all patients with left heart failure were excluded from this analysis, there were expected similarities between the plateauing of the PAWP in the presently investigated patients with PVD and healthy individuals.

While there is robust evidence on pulmonary haemodynamics during exercise in healthy patients and in patients with heart failure, data are scarce in patients with PVD. Changes in resting PAWP by changing a patient's position, *e.g.* decrease from supine to semi-supine, have been described previously (2022) [8]. Singh *et al.* [9] (2019) investigated dynamic right ventricular–pulmonary arterial uncoupling in nine patients with pre-capillary PH and 11 patients with exercise PH and compared the results with 20 controls during exercise. The authors concluded that the right ventricular–pulmonary arterial uncoupling during exercise blunts stroke volume and therefore CO. Their findings of end-exercise PAWP (13±3 mmHg) were in line with our findings. Jain *et al.* [10] (2019) assessed the haemodynamics of 27 patients with pre-capillary PH and compared those with 23 controls during exercise to identify reliable markers of early disease, especially pulmonary capacitance. The authors reported an end-exercise PAWP of 14.7±6.6 mmHg in patients with pre-capillary PH and 10.8±4.7 mmHg in the control group. To our knowledge, this is the first paper focusing on the course of PAWP during exercise (not only end-exercise) in patients with PVD.

Age stratification

In patients ⩾50 years, we observed significant higher increases in PAWP during exercise ($p<0.001$) compared with patients <50 years. This is in agreement with the recent literature on healthy participants. A



FIGURE 4 Pulmonary artery wedge pressure/cardiac output (PAWP/CO) slope stratified by age <50 years and ⩾50 years during exercise from 0 Watt semi-supine up to end-exercise. The dotted line represents the 2 WU slope threshold. a) PAWP/CO slopes calculated by dividing the overall mean differences $((\text{meanPAWP}_{\text{Wmax}} - \text{meanPAWP}_{\text{rest}})/(\text{meanCO}_{\text{Wmax}} - \text{meanCO}_{\text{rest}}))$ for the two age groups resulting in both slopes lower than the 2 WU threshold. b) PAWP/CO slopes calculated as paired data for each individual patient (represented by the grey lines), resulting in a slope clearly above the 2 WU threshold for patients ⩾50 years.

systematic review by Kovacs *et al*. [2] (2012) that identified 24 studies on PVR during exercise in healthy participants and a clinical trial by Wolsk *et al*. [11] (2017) describe higher increases in PAWP in participants >50 years during exercise compared with those <50 years and concluded that even if the PAWP at rest seems to be independent from age, there is an age dependency during exercise. The left

ventricle gets stiffer with increasing age, resulting in higher PAWP increases during exercise, which can be improved by regular exercise training [12].

Comparing the increase in PAWP between age groups (figure 3), patients ⩾50 years have an early increase in PAWP at a minimal load (0 W to 10 W, p<0.001) up to 14.2±3.7 mmHg while patients <50 years show a slower increase in PAWP during exercise (0 W to 30 W, p<0.001) up to 11.8±2.9 mmHg.

PAWP/CO slopes

The PAWP/CO slopes were 3.88 WU for all patients, 1.60 WU for patients <50 years and 4.47 WU ⩾50 years (see figure 4b). In the 2022 European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines, PAWP >25 mmHg during exercise and PAWP/CO slopes >2 WU were introduced as cut-offs to identify patients with post-capillary PH during exercise [1]. While patients exceeding a PAWP of 25 mmHg were excluded from the study, the older patients (⩾50 years, mPAP 36.8±11.8, PAWP 10.2±4.1 mmHg, PVR 5.0±3.1 WU) would be classified as post-capillary during exercise according to the current guidelines despite having pre-capillary PH at rest and being classified as WHO class 1 and 4. The 2022 published systematic review by Zeder *et al.* [13] screened and compiled the recent literature for PAWP/CO slope in healthy subjects and identified evidence for the suggestion of a 2 WU threshold for classification and prognostic relevance. The common way to calculate the slopes of individual patients is to build the ratio of two differences: PAWP/CO slope=($PAWP_{max}$–$PAWP_{rest}$)/(CO_{max}–CO_{rest}) as described by Zeder *et al.* [13].

Building the ratio of the two mean differences in our cohort ($meanPAWP_{max}$ – $meanPAWP_{rest}$)/($meanCO_{max}$ – $meanCO_{rest}$) would lead to PAWP/CO slopes of 1.61 WU (*versus* 3.88 WU for mean of individual slopes) for all patients, 1.14 WU (*versus* 1.6 WU) for patients <50 years and 1.76 WU (*versus* 4.47 WU) ⩾50 years (see figure 4a) and would therefore be prone to relevant underestimation of all three slopes (all <2 WU). Meta-analyses of published data usually base their calculation on the published mean±SD of the study-level data as individual data are not available. Therefore, we believe that the values published in the meta-analysis by Zeder *et al.* [13] might underestimate the individual slopes of older individuals.

Following the ERS/ESC definition and classification of PH our strictly selected cohort is clearly classified as pre-capillary PH. Interestingly, even in these patients with low resting and exercise PAWP (all <25 mmHg during exercise), some patients clearly exceeded the PAWP/CO slope >2 WU threshold, especially patients ⩾50 years.

Esfandiari *et al.* [14] (2019) performed a systematic review on haemodynamics during exercise comparing healthy subjects aged >40 years with those <40 years. They reported that healthy participants <40 years increase their CO progressively during exercise, while those >40 years were not able to further increase their CO from moderate to maximum exercise [14]. In our study, patients ⩾50 years showed limited increase of CO during exercise while the PAWP rose, resulting in high PAWP/CO slopes. With these results, an age-dependent rather than an absolute cut-off value of 2 WU should be considered for the identification of post-capillary PH during exercise. Controversially, in the Kovacs *et al.* [2] review (2012), participants >50 years were able to increase CO during exercise which would not negatively influence PAWP/CO slopes, but the exercise intensity (*e.g.* submaximal or maximal) is unclear. Therefore, the authors themselves prefer to interpret these results with caution.

The importance of the PAWP/CO slope in heart failure especially with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is well known. Eisman *et al.* [15] (2018) analysed data of 175 participants, 30 controls, 32 patients with HFpEF with resting PAWP >15 mmHg and 110 patients with dyspnoea on exertion with resting PAWP <15 mmHg. The authors concluded that PAWP/CO slopes >2 WU are very common in the exercise dyspnoea group and therefore may refine early HFpEF diagnosis [15]. This “early stage” HFpEF population therefore reveals similarities to our ⩾50 years cohort, but their end-exercise mean PAWP was 25±8 mmHg whereas our ⩾50 years cohort had an end-exercise PAWP of 14.2±3.7 mmHg. Therefore, a PAWP/CO slope >2 WU and a total increase of PAWP >25 mmHg seems to be sufficient to diagnose HFpEF and post-capillary PH, but it may not be directly transferable to PVD, especially in patients >50 years.

Limitations

The main findings are mainly driven by patients ⩾50 years, which is due to the large sample size in this age group (119 *versus* 24). The haemodynamic limitations of included patients were generally slightly milder compared with the latest Swiss PH registry data, which revealed an mPAP of 41±11 mmHg along with a PVR of 7±4 WU for the years 2016–2019 [16]. This was most probably because we chose to study patients with relatively mild disease who were able to perform exercise RHC.

Conclusion

In patients with PVD, the increase in PAWP during exercise appears to be dependent on age. In particular, in patients ⩾50 years of age, PAWP increases early during exercise and at minimal exercise. Maximum values of PAWP increase were reached at 10–30 W and within the first minutes of exercise.

PAWP/CO slopes >2 WU are common in patients with PVD aged ⩾50 years without exceeding the PAWP of 25 mmHg during exercise. The threshold for defining post-capillary PH during exercise may need to be age-adjusted for patients with PVD aged >50 years.

Provenance: Submitted article, peer reviewed.

This study is registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov with identifier number NCT02249806.

Author contributions: All authors meet the criteria for authorship recommended by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. All authors contributed to the production of the final manuscript with revision for important intellectual content.

Conflict of interest: E. Grünig has received fees for lectures and/or consultations from Actelion, Bayer/MSD, Ferrer, GEBRO, GSK, Janssen and OMT. Research grants to his institution have been received from Acceleron, Actelion, BayerHealthCare, MSD, Bellerophon, GossamerBio, GSK, Janssen, Novartis, OMT, Pfizer, REATE and United Therapeutics. None of the other authors have any conflicts of interest in the context of this manuscript.

Support statement: The Swiss National Foundation (SNF 32003B_197706/1) and the Lung League Zurich supported this study. Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

References

1 Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM, *et al.* 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2022; 43: 3618–3731.
2 Kovacs G, Olschewski A, Berghold A, *et al.* Pulmonary vascular resistances during exercise in normal subjects: a systematic review. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 39: 319–328.
3 Hasler ED, Muller-Mottet S, Furian M, *et al.* Pressure-flow during exercise catheterization predicts survival in pulmonary hypertension. *Chest* 2016; 150: 57–67.
4 Kovacs G, Herve P, Barbera JA, *et al.* An official European Respiratory Society statement: pulmonary haemodynamics during exercise. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50: 1700578.
5 Kovacs G, Avian A, Olschewski A, *et al.* Zero reference level for right heart catheterisation. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 42: 1586–1594.
6 Kovacs G, Avian A, Pienn M, *et al.* Reading pulmonary vascular pressure tracings. How to handle the problems of zero leveling and respiratory swings. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; 190: 252–257.
7 Stamm A, Saxer S, Lichtblau M, *et al.* Exercise pulmonary haemodynamics predict outcome in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 48: 1658–1667.
8 Berlier C, Saxer S, Lichtblau M, *et al.* Influence of upright *versus* supine position on resting and exercise hemodynamics in patients assessed for pulmonary hypertension. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2022; 11: e023839.
9 Singh I, Rahaghi FN, Naeije R, *et al.* Dynamic right ventricular–pulmonary arterial uncoupling during maximum incremental exercise in exercise pulmonary hypertension and pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Pulm Circ* 2019; 9: 2045894019862435.
10 Jain P, Rao S, Macdonald P, *et al.* Diagnostic performance of pulmonary capacitance at rest and during exercise in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Heart Lung Circ* 2019; 28: 289–294.
11 Wolsk E, Bakkestrom R, Thomsen JH, *et al.* The influence of age on hemodynamic parameters during rest and exercise in healthy individuals. *JACC Heart Fail* 2017; 5: 337–346.
12 Bhella PS, Hastings JL, Fujimoto N, *et al.* Impact of lifelong exercise 'dose' on left ventricular compliance and distensibility. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 64: 1257–1266.
13 Zeder K, Banfi C, Steinrisser-Allex G, *et al.* Diagnostic, prognostic and differential-diagnostic relevance of pulmonary haemodynamic parameters during exercise: a systematic review. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 60: 2103181.
14 Esfandiari S, Wolsk E, Granton D, *et al.* Pulmonary arterial wedge pressure at rest and during exercise in healthy adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Card Fail* 2019; 25: 114–122.
15 Eisman AS, Shah RV, Dhakal BP, *et al.* Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure patterns during exercise predict exercise capacity and incident heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2018; 11: e004750.
16 Appenzeller P, Lichtblau M, Berlier C, *et al.* Disease characteristics and clinical outcome over two decades from the Swiss pulmonary hypertension registry. *Pulm Circ* 2022; 12: e12001.