1 Title:

2 T cell epitope mapping reveals immunodominance of evolutionarily

³ conserved regions within SARS-CoV-2 proteome.

4 Authors:

- 5 Cansu Cimen Bozkus^{1,2,3†*}, Matthew Brown^{1,2,3,4†}, Leandra Velazquez^{1,2}, Marcus Thomas⁵, Eric
- 6 A. Wilson^{1,5,6}, Timothy O'Donnell^{1,2}, Denis Ruchnewitz⁷, Douglas Geertz^{1,2}, Yonina Bykov^{4,8},
- 7 Julia Kodysh^{1,2}, Kasopefoluwa Y. Oguntuyo⁹, Vladimir Roudko⁵, David Hoyos¹⁰, Komal D.
- 8 Srivastava^{8,11}, Giulio Kleiner^{8,11}, Hala Alshammary^{8,11}, Neha Karekar^{1,2}, Christopher McClain^{1,2},
- 9 Ramya Gopal^{1,2}, Kai Nie¹², Diane Del Valle⁴, Daniela Delbeau-Zagelbaum¹, Denise Rodriguez¹,
- 10 Jessica Setal¹, The Mount Sinai COVID-19 Biobank Team, Emily Carroll¹³, Margrit
- 11 Wiesendanger¹³, Percio S. Gulko¹³, Alexander Charney¹⁴, Miriam Merad^{5,6}, Seunghee Kim-
- 12 Schulze^{5,12}, Benhur Lee⁸, Ania Wajnberg⁹, Viviana Simon^{8,11,15,16,17}, Benjamin D Greenbaum^{10,18},
- 13 Diego Chowell^{1,5,6}, Nicolas Vabret^{5,6}, Marta Luksza^{5,14}, Nina Bhardwaj^{1,2,3*}

14 Affiliations:

- ¹Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ²The Department of Medicine, The Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Icahn
- 17 School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ³Parker Institute of Cancer Immunotherapy, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- ⁴Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York,
 NY, USA.
- ⁵Department of Oncological Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,
 USA.
- ⁶The Department of Immunology and Immunotherapy, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,
- 24 New York, NY, USA.
- ⁷Institute for Biological Physics, University of Cologne, 50937 Cologne, Germany.
- ⁸Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ⁹The Department of Medicine, The Division of General Internal Medicine, Icahn School of
- 28 Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ¹⁰Computational Oncology, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan
- 30 Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.
- 31 ¹¹Center for Vaccine Research and Pandemic Preparedness (C-VaRPP), Icahn School of
- 32 Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ¹²Human Immune Monitoring Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,
 USA.

- ¹³The Department of Medicine, The Division of Rheumatology, Icahn School of Medicine at
- 36 Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ¹⁴Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,
 New York, NY, USA.
- ¹⁵Department of Pathology, Molecular and Cell Based Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at
 Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ¹⁶The Department of Medicine, The Division of Infectious Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at
 Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ¹⁷The Global Health and Emerging Pathogens Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount
 Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
- ¹⁸Physiology, Biophysics & Systems Biology, Weill Cornell Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical
- 46 College, New York, NY, USA
- 47 †These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 48 *Corresponding authors. Email: <u>cansu.cimenbozkus@mssm.edu</u>, <u>nina.bhardwaj@mssm.edu</u>

49 Abstract:

- 50 As SARS-CoV-2 variants continue to emerge capable of evading neutralizing antibodies, it has
- 51 become increasingly important to fully understand the breadth and functional profile of T cell
- 52 responses to determine their impact on the immune surveillance of variant strains. Here,
- sampling healthy individuals, we profiled the kinetics and polyfunctionality of T cell immunity
- elicited by mRNA vaccination. Modeling of anti-spike T cell responses against ancestral and
- variant strains of SARS-CoV-2 suggested that epitope immunodominance and cross-reactivity
- are major predictive determinants of T cell immunity. To identify immunodominant epitopes
 across the viral proteome, we generated a comprehensive map of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell epitopes
- across the viral proteome, we generated a comprehensive map of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell epitope
 within non-spike proteins that induced polyfunctional T cell responses in convalescent patients.
- 59 We found that immunodominant epitopes mainly resided within regions that were minimally
- 60 disrupted by mutations in emerging variants. Conservation analysis across historical human
- 61 coronaviruses combined with *in silico* alanine scanning mutagenesis of non-spike proteins
- 62 underscored the functional importance of mutationally-constrained immunodominant regions.
- 63 Collectively, these findings identify immunodominant T cell epitopes across the mutationally-
- 64 constrained SARS-CoV-2 proteome, potentially providing immune surveillance against
- emerging variants, and inform the design of next-generation vaccines targeting antigens
- 66 throughout SARS-CoV-2 proteome for broader and more durable protection.

67 One Sentence Summary:

68 Polyfunctional CD8+ and CD4+ T cells directed against SARS-CoV-2 target mutationally

69 constrained regions of the viral proteome.

70 Main Text:

71 **INTRODUCTION**

- 72 Since its initial emergence in December 2019, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
- 73 2 (SARS-CoV-2) genome has consistently undergone mutations, resulting in new variants.
- ⁷⁴ Some of these variants, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta and Omicron, spread rapidly, causing
- outbreaks, and are designated as "variants of concern (VOC)". VOC are marked by their
- renhanced transmissibility, effectively outcompeting their predecessors due to increased viral
- fitness and escape from immune recognition (1). Although the COVID-19 vaccines are
- continuously adapted to target prevalent VOC, by the time they are commonly accessible, the
- 79 next variant will likely have emerged, compromising the vaccine's efficacy. This race between
- 80 the new variants and effective vaccine development warrants the design of next-generation
- 81 vaccines that can provide unfettered protection against future SARS-CoV-2 variants.
- 82 Coordinated antigen-specific B and T cell responses, induced by vaccination and/or infection, are
- imperative to clearing RNA viruses (2, 3). Accordingly, the role of neutralizing antibodies in
- 84 preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection (4) and improving disease outcomes has been well
- documented (5). However, antibody titers wane over time (6) and VOC frequently evade
- 86 neutralization by antibodies (7–9). Despite reports of certain mutations evading T cell
- 87 recognition (10, 11), there is accumulating evidence that demonstrates preservation of overall T
- cell responses against VOC by targeting conserved prevalent epitopes (12) or cross-recognizing
- 89 mutated epitopes (13). Notably, T cell responses are critical for controlling SARS-CoV-2
- 90 infection and SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells correlate with durable immune
- 91 protection and reduced disease severity (14-16). Corroborating the durability of T cell immunity,
- 92 COVID-19 vaccines have been shown to induce stem-like memory T cells persisting more than 6
- 93 months after vaccination (17). Additionally, in preclinical studies using transgenic mice infected
- 94 with SARS-CoV-2 (18) and rhesus macaques administered intranasal SARS-CoV-2 vaccines,
- 95 CD8+ T cells alone were shown to be adequate for viral clearance even in the absence of
- 96 humoral immunity (19). Furthermore, in patients receiving B cell-depleting therapies, vaccines
- 97 elicited sustained T cells responses against SARS-CoV-2, providing protection from severe
- 98 disease (20, 21). Therefore, T cells may be key to mediating long-lasting, protective immunity
- against SARS-CoV-2 amid emerging variants that can evade antibody responses.
- 100 Detailed interrogation of T cell specificities from patient cohorts exposed to SARS-CoV-2 has
- 101 demonstrated the induction of T cell responses against a range of viral proteins, among which
- spike (S), nucleocapsid (N), membrane (M), ORF1, and ORF3 appear to be dominant targets
- 103 (22–25). Additionally, studies of unexposed individuals identified preexisting memory T cells
- 104 recognizing SARS-CoV-2 sequences (22, 26, 27), which are reported to be cross-reactive T cells
- derived from previous exposure to common cold viruses (HCoVs) (28). HCoVs share partial
- sequence homology to SARS-CoV-2, across both structural and non-structural proteins, (29) and
- 107 circulate widely, having infected a significant proportion of the population (30, 31). A potential
- 108 protective role for HCoV-derived cross-reactive T cells has also been suggested in individuals
- subsequently exposed to SARS-CoV-2 (32). Thus, incorporating immunogenic T cell epitopes
- 110 from conserved regions of the SARS-CoV-2 proteome into immunization strategies may offer
- durable and comprehensive protection, as reinforcement against emerging variants that escape
- 112 humoral immunity (33).

- 113 Here, we first investigated the specificities of T cell responses towards immunogenic regions in
- 114 S that are subject to mutational events in SARS-CoV-2 VOC in cohorts of vaccinated
- individuals. Our kinetics studies confirmed that T cells were induced early on after mRNA
- 116 vaccination with a high frequency of polyfunctional populations able to contribute to coordinated
- adaptive immunity. To understand the determinants governing the preservation of T cell
- responses and viral immune escape, we extensively mapped the functional responses to mutated
- 119 S epitopes revealing that polyclonal T cell populations were preserved and cross-reactive against
- variant antigens. Additionally, because internal antigens also serve as potent targets of T cell
- 121 immunity and experience less antibody-driven mutational pressure compared to S, we conducted
- one of the largest experimental efforts to-date for combined CD4+ and CD8+ T cell epitope
- 123 mapping of non-S proteins. Our comprehensive approach revealed highly immunogenic,
- dominant T cell epitopes across a cohort of convalescent patients, eliciting conserved cellular
- immune responses, which could serve as candidate epitopes for incorporation into vaccine
- designs effective against emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants and potentially pan-coronavirus
- 127 vaccine strategies.

128 **RESULTS**

129 Adaptive immune responses elicited by SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination

- 130 To study SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine-induced adaptive immunity, we collected longitudinal
- 131 blood samples from 15 healthy donors, who received either mRNA-1273 (by Moderna) or
- 132 BNT162b2 (by Pfizer/BioNTech) vaccine series (table S1). Samples were collected before
- vaccination (V0), 14 days after 1st dose (V1D14), 7 days after 2nd dose (V2D7), and 14 days
- after 2nd dose (V2D14) (Fig. 1A). We assessed the kinetics of the neutralizing antibody
- responses, a major correlate of protection from SARS-CoV-2 (4), using a pseudotyped vesicular
- stomatitis virus (VSV Δ G-Rluc) expressing the D614G SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein.
- 137 Neutralizing antibody titers were significantly increased after a single dose of mRNA vaccine
- and continued to increase after the second dose exceeding the titer levels detected in most
- unvaccinated convalescent control (CC) patients (Fig. 1B and fig. S1). Notably, 2 of the
- individuals in the vaccination cohort were previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. A single dose
- of mRNA vaccine was sufficient to robustly boost neutralizing antibody titers in these individuals (Fig. 1B)
- 142 individuals (Fig. 1B).
- 143 Next, we interrogated the kinetics of vaccine-induced T cell responses, another key correlate of
- 144 protection from SARS-CoV-2 (14). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) collected from
- vaccinated donors at V0, V1D14, V2D7, and V2D14 were stimulated in an *ex vivo* IFN-γ
- 146 ELISpot assay with pooled 15mer overlapping peptides (OLPs) spanning the N- and C-terminal
- 147 halves of Wuhan-1 S protein, Spike_N and Spike_C, respectively (table S2). mRNA vaccination
- significantly induced anti-S T cell responses at all timepoints tested, demonstrating *in vivo*
- 149 priming. However, there was no significant change in the magnitude of T cell responses across
- 150 post-vaccine timepoints tested (Fig. 1C). Notably, the magnitude of anti-S T cell responses
- elicited by mRNA-1273 was significantly greater than those elicited by BNT162b2 vaccine (Fig.
- 152 1C). This may be due to different antigen loads and dosing schedules of the two mRNA vaccines
- 153 (*34*). Similar differences between mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2-induced humoral responses were
- 154 previously reported (*34*).
- 155 To study the abundance and functionality of vaccine-induced T cells more robustly, we expanded
- 156 Wuhan-1 S-specific T cells in pre- and post-vaccination blood collected at V0 and V2D14,

respectively. T cells were stimulated with Spike_N and Spike_C OLP pools and antigen-specific

- 158 T cells were expanded for 10 days before measuring effector cytokine production by intracellular
- 159 flow cytometry (35). Vaccination induced robust anti-S T cell responses in all donors tested.
- 160 Although less frequently, anti-S T cells were detected at V0 in some donors (Fig. 1D). This can
- 161 be due to *in vitro* priming of naïve T cells (35) and/or expansion of cross-reactive T cells. mRNA
- vaccines could elicit both CD4+ and CD8+ anti-S T cells; however, reactive T cells were
- 163 predominantly CD4+ in most of the donors (Fig. 1D). This was consistent with our observations
- 164 in unvaccinated convalescent donors (CPC cohort, table S1), where infection-induced anti-S T
- 165 cells were also predominantly CD4+ (Fig. 1E). As expected by their unique major
- 166 histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules (table S1) and T cell repertoires, the abundance
- and CD4:CD8 subset distributions of anti-S T cells varied across donors. Importantly, vaccines
- 168 could induce polyfunctional CD4+ and CD8+ anti-S T cells secreting IFN- γ , TNF- α and IL-2
- 169 (Fig. 1F and G), further supporting the role of mRNA vaccination in establishing an effective T
- 170 cell immunity against SARS-CoV-2. Induction of polyfunctional anti-S T cells was similar
- between mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2 recipients (fig. S2).

172 Cross-reactivity of vaccine-induced adaptive immunity against SARS-CoV-2 variants

- 173 Breakthrough infections caused by emerging variants of concern indicate an impaired ability of
- the vaccine-induced immunity to recognize SARS-CoV-2 variants. To assess the kinetics of
- 175 Wuhan-1 S-directed antibody binding to variant strains, we utilized sera collected at V0, V1D14,
- 176 V2D7, and V2D14 in a Luminex binding assay with multiple spike receptor binding domain
- 177 (RBD) constructs. Antibody recognition of ancestral and Alpha (B.1.1.7) strain RBD was
- 178 comparable across all timepoints tested, while there was a reduction in the recognition of Beta
- 179 (B.1.351) and Gamma (P.1) RBD (Fig. 2A), consistent with other studies showing limited
- 180 antigenic change with Alpha (*36*) and reduced viral neutralization with Beta and Gamma variants
- 181 (*37*). The reduced recognition of Beta and Gamma RBD was even more pronounced in
- 182 convalescent patients and was at least in part mediated by E484K mutation found in both strains
- 183 with potential contributions by the K417N mutation found in Beta, Delta and Omicron strains
- 184 (Fig. 2A).
- 185 Cross-reactive T cell responses are also important for controlling infections with SARS-CoV-2
- variant strains, especially given the diminished neutralizing antibody control. To study cross-
- 187 reactive T cell responses against S mutations identified in variants of concern, we designed
- 188 15mer OLPs spanning a maximum of 14 upstream and downstream amino acid (aa) sequences
- surrounding each mutated aa. Then we pooled the WT (Wuhan-1) and mutant OLPs
- 190 corresponding to each variant tested, namely Alpha, Beta, and Gamma (table S2 and fig. S3).
- 191 Using these pools, we stimulated PBMCs collected from vaccinated (V2D14) and convalescent
- 192 (unvaccinated) individuals in an *ex vivo* IFN-γ ELISpot assay to measure the T cell recognition
- 193 of WT and variant S. Both vaccine and infection-primed Wuhan-1 S-specific T cells recognized
- 194 mutated S in Alpha, Beta and Gamma variants at a similar capacity *ex vivo* (Fig. 2B). These
- 195 observations are in accordance with previous studies demonstrating preservation of overall T cell
- responses against early variants in *ex vivo* assays (12, 13). However, multiple studies have
- 197 reported that certain S mutations may lead to escape from T cell recognition (10, 11). It is
- 198 possible that *ex vivo* analysis of T cell cross-reactivity may not capture nuanced differences in
- 199 the antigenicity of S mutations since total anti-S T cells comprise a small proportion among
- 200 PBMCs and the mutated S epitopes tested here are only a subset of many immunogenic S
- 201 epitopes engendering a wide breadth of T cell responses (38). Therefore, we aimed to study the

antigenicity and cross-recognition of S mutations in clonally enriched T cell populations specific 202 to these epitope regions. We expanded T cells from vaccinated donors collected at V2D14 203 stimulated with variant peptide pools and the corresponding WT pools, as described for ex vivo 204 205 analysis. To measure antigen-specific effector responses, expanded T cells were re-stimulated with either the WT or mutant peptides with which they were initially stimulated. In addition, 206 expanded WT T cells were re-stimulated with the corresponding mutant epitopes to directly 207 measure the cross-recognition of mutant S epitopes by Wuhan-1 S-specific T cells. In accordance 208 with total S-specific T cell immunity measured in fig. 1D, WT and mutated S-specific reactive T 209 cells were predominantly CD4+ (Fig. 2C). Both WT and mutated S in Alpha, Beta, and Gamma 210 variants induced overall T cell reactivity similarly (Fig. 2C). However, the direct measurement of 211 212 cross-recognition revealed a significantly diminished reactivity of WT T cells against variant S

213 (Fig. 2C).

To evaluate the underlying parameters of T cell immunity, we modeled anti-S T cell responses

against ancestral and variant strains of SARS-CoV-2 measured in fig. 2C. Our basic model,

detailed in the methods section, considers T cell recognition as a two-step process: 1) peptide

binding and presentation by MHC (pMHC) and 2) binding of pMHCs by specific T cell

receptors (TCRs), and includes the effects of initial stimulation, re-stimulation, and peptide

cross-reactivity, as well as patient specific amplitude of immune response. Our pMHC

220 calculations utilize prediction algorithms, which perform better for MHC-I than MHC-II binding

(39). Therefore, we focused on CD8+ T cell responses. We computed a recognition score for
 each 9mer within the peptide pools over the MHC-I set for each donor. To evaluate the

contribution of 9mers to overall pool level response, we applied different 9mer aggregating

functions, including "max", "sum", and biologically unrealistic "min" as a control. The max

function assumes that the most immunogenic 9mer in a pool is largely responsible for the

observed T cell response, while the *sum* function assumes all 9mers contribute to the pool-level

response in proportion to their relative immunogenicity. To compare different aggregating

functions, we derived the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) values for each, where a lower

value is preferred. The *max* function showed the best performance (Fig. 2D and fig. S4),

suggesting that anti-S T cell responses are primarily mounted by immunodominant epitopes.

Expectedly, the control *min* function, which assumes the least immunogenic epitope would drive the observed response, performed poorly. Further supporting the immunodominance model over

the additive model, the *max* and *sum* functions performed similarly since the recognition scores

of 9 mers in a peptide pool were not uniform but typically dominated by a small number of 9 mers

with negligible scores for the remaining 9mers (Fig. 2D and fig. S5).

For the immunodominance model, we also compared extended and partial recognition models.

237 Introducing a term accounting for the sequence similarity of our test epitopes and immunogenic

epitopes in the immune epitope database (IEDB) slightly improved model performance in

accordance with previous reports attributing this approach to increased TCR response (40, 41).

240 Removing patient-specific immune response amplitudes by setting them all to the same

optimized value displayed significantly reduced performance (Fig. 2D), highlighting the

heterogeneity of anti-S T cell responses observed across different donors. Our basic model

243 accounts for cross-reactivity of peptides, computing their sequence-based distance and resulting

impact on binding strength to the same TCR (42). Because all patients in our cohort were

vaccinated, we included the vaccine as a zeroth stimulation event, introducing additional cross-

reactivity terms with the complete Wuhan-1 S 9mers. However, we did not observe any

247 improvement in the model's performance, likely because the experimental data reflects only a

small subset of anti-S T cells and *in vitro* expansion impacts clonal dynamics. To evaluate the 248

role of cross-reactivity in variant S recognition by WT T cells more directly, we excluded the 249 effect of initial stimulation and consequently observed a decreased performance (Fig. 2D). 250

Together these results suggest that immunodominant epitopes are key inducers of anti-S T cell 251

immunity and that the overall anti-S T cell responses are preserved against mutant variants. As 252

- 253 the direct measurement of cross-recognition suggested a diminished reactivity of WT CD4+ T
- cells against variant S, we investigated whether any specific S mutation may lead to escape from 254
- T cell recognition. Stimulation of vaccinated donor (V2D14) T cells with peptides spanning 255
- individual mutations found in variant S and the corresponding WT demonstrated a significant 256
- decrease across the population in the recognition of mutations P26S and R246I by CD4+ T cells 257
- (Fig. 2E). Although the impact of individual variant spike mutations on the T cell immunity is 258
- 259 likely compensated by the wide breadth of T cell responses elicited in vaccinated individuals, the
- reduced cross-recognition of variant S and immune escape at certain mutant S epitopes prompt 260 the inclusion of non-spike epitopes in future vaccine designs to further boost T cell immunity 261
- against SARS-CoV-2. 262

T cell epitope mapping of non-spike SARS-CoV-2 proteins in convalescent patients 263

To evaluate the immunogenicity of non-spike epitopes across SARS-CoV-2 proteome, we 264

- synthesized overlapping 15mer peptides covering the entire nucleocapsid (N) and selected 265
- regions in other proteins (Fig. 3A and table S2). Selected regions were determined by prioritizing 266
- those enriched in interactions between epitopes predicted to be strong binders (43) and MHC 267
- 268 alleles frequent across different races (44) (fig. S6). We measured T cell responses in
- unvaccinated convalescent donors (ATLAS cohort, table S1) utilizing ex vivo IFN-y ELISpot and 269
- T cell expansion assays (Fig. 3B). Both S and non-S SARS-CoV-2 proteins elicited robust T cell 270
- responses ex vivo in convalescent patients (Fig. 3C) compared to healthy donor controls sampled 271
- prior to COVID-19 pandemic (fig. S7). The distribution of memory T cell responses against each 272
- protein varied across patients with S, N, membrane (M) and ORF7-directed responses being the 273
- most potent (Fig. 3D). Expansion assays confirmed the immunogenicity of non-S proteins and 274
- demonstrated that like anti-S T cells, non-S-specific T cells were also predominantly CD4+ (Fig. 275 276 3, E and F).
- Since our modeling of anti-S T cell responses suggested that T cell immunity was primarily 277
- elicited by immunodominant epitopes, we evaluated the presence of immunodominant regions 278
- within non-S proteins. We stimulated convalescent donor T cells with individual 15mer peptides 279
- constituting the peptide pools used in fig. 3. Epitope mapping revealed immunogenic regions 280
- across non-S proteins that elicited polyfunctional CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses (Fig. 4 and 281
- table S3). All mapped non-S proteins contained multiple immunogenic epitopes. A peptide was 282
- considered immunogenic, a "hit", if in at least one patient, the percent of reactive cells was 283
- 284 greater than the paired DMSO percent plus 3 times the standard deviation of all DMSO values (>DMSO+3SD) across the population. We investigated the novelty of these non-S immunogenic 285
- "hit" peptides by predicting the minimal epitopes for each "hit" binding to the responsive 286
- patients' MHC alleles. A peptide was considered novel if none of its predicted binders were 287
- deposited in IEDB. Accordingly, we found that 42% of CD8+ and 23% of CD4+ T cell peptides 288
- we identified were novel, and the others were previously reported (fig. S8), providing additional 289
- 290 confidence in the validity of our epitope discovery pipeline. Notably, certain immunogenic
- regions were commonly recognized by T cells across different convalescent patients (Fig. 4 and 291
- table S3). Together, these data demonstrate the wide breadth and robustness of T cell responses 292

against non-S SARS-CoV-2 proteins and suggest that certain regions are immunodominant,

significantly inducing T cell immunity across the population.

295 Conservation of non-spike immunodominant T cell epitopes

A high rate of recurrent mutations is observed across all regions of the SARS-CoV-2 genome 296 297 (45), which can lead to escape from T cell immunosurveillance. Therefore, we examined the mutational diversity within non-S proteins, especially in immunodominant regions. First, we 298 investigated the conservation of immunogenic ("hit") sequences, listed in fig. 4, among SARS-299 300 CoV-2 variants by measuring the percentage of sequences that are deposited to GISAID and have an exact match to the "hit" peptides. We observed a high degree of conservation with a 301 median over 99% for "hit" peptides inducing both CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses (Fig. 5A). 302 To more closely investigate the mutational diversity across the non-S peptidome, we computed 303 the entropy of the observed amino acid frequencies on each codon position for each non-S 304 protein utilizing sequencing and regional epidemiological count data obtained from GISAID 305 EpiCoV database (46) and WHO Coronavirus dashboard (47), respectively, through different 306 timepoints (48). We then compared the entropies of codons encoding experimentally validated 307 immunogenic peptides ("hit" or immunodominant, table S3) vs those encoding the rest of the 308 non-S proteome. We found that codons encoding immunogenic peptides, especially those that 309 are dominant across the population, exhibit significantly lower entropy (Fig. 5B). To validate 310 this, we conducted a parallel analysis utilizing entropy data from Nextstrain. Consistently, our 311 findings confirmed the lower entropy values in immunogenic regions (fig. S9), thus further 312 313 demonstrating that immunogenic non-S sequences are derived from mutationally constrained

314 regions.

Next, we focused on nucleocapsid as it is the only non-S protein we epitope mapped across the 315 entire protein sequence, and its immunogenicity data lacks any potential bias introduced by our 316 initial peptide selection based on pMHC binding predictions. We aligned the normalized entropy 317 values per amino acid residue with heatmaps displaying the extent of sharing of immunogenic 318 residues across our cohort, alongside a map demonstrating regions enriched for immunogenic 319 residues even despite the scarcity of predicted strong binders. This enrichment signified the 320 321 production of immunogenic epitopes at a rate higher than would be suggested by the number of predicted binders in regions of lower entropy that also contained shared immunogenic residues 322 (Fig. 5C). Importantly, these immunodominant, lower entropy regions mostly resided in highly 323 structured domains of nucleocapsid protein, namely the RNA binding domain (RBD) and 324 dimerization domain (DD) (Fig. 5C). This observation was not skewed by certain MHC alleles in 325 our cohort preferentially binding to highly structured domains, as previously reported in other 326 327 viral infections (49), since approximately 95% of all alleles were predicted to bind to epitopes from RBD and DD more frequently than other, less structured domains (fig. S10). Finally, the 328 mapping of the immunogenic nucleocapsid epitopes reported in IEDB also showed an 329 330 enrichment within RBD and DD compared to less structured regions (fig. S11).

Together these observations led to the hypothesis that immunogenic epitopes are enriched in the

less diverse, functionally important regions of the SARS-CoV-2 proteome, thus likely exerting

evolutionary constraints limiting mutational rates to avoid compromised viral fitness.

Accordingly, we examined epitope conservation throughout coronavirus evolution by comparing

335 SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid amino acid sequence to other historical human coronaviruses,

namely HCoV-229E, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, and HCoV-OC43. Corroborating previous

337 observations, immunogenic epitopes were more commonly found in fully conserved regions

- compared to non-immunogenic residues (Fig. 5D and fig. S12-13). To further investigate if the
- observed resistance to mutational pressure in the regions containing immunogenic epitopes is
- related to maintaining protein function, we conducted *in silico* analyses focusing on RBD and
- 341 DD. First, we visualized the immunogenicity of each residue of RBD on its crystal structure and
- found that immunogenic regions mapped onto the internal beta sheet, particularly the β -hairpin
- 343 (Fig. 5E), which is important for RNA binding (50, 51). Then we tested whether the
- 344 immunogenic residues were important for RBD stability by mutating each residue to alanine and
- comparing the energy of the modified structure to the wild type. The average change in protein
- 346 stability calculated for the top ten most immunogenic peptides from each patient was greater than
- 347 the overall average for residues across nucleocapsid RBD (Fig. 5F), indicating that most
- immunogenic peptides contain structurally important residues. Similarly, for DD, immunogenic
- regions mapped onto beta sheets (Fig. 5G), a critical motif in nucleocapsid oligomerization (52).
- Furthermore, alanine scanning revealed that mutations in immunogenic peptides from all
- patients, except Pt 003 which has missing data points, led to the destabilization of the protein-
- 352 protein interface (Fig. 5H), impacting nucleocapsid function.
- Taken together, the mutational diversity and biophysical analyses indicate that epitopes inducing
- 354 T cell immunity reside in functionally and structurally important positions, potentially explaining
- the high conservation and lack of immune editing in immunogenic regions.

356 **DISCUSSION**

- Through the induction of adaptive immunity, vaccinations have markedly reduced SARS-CoV-2
- 358 infection rates, severe disease, and mortality. However, as antibodies wane over time and SARS-
- 359 CoV-2 evolves to evade host immunity, especially neutralizing antibodies, it is necessary to
- 360 develop new strategies that provide durable protection. Vaccine-induced S-specific T cell
- responses have been shown to be long-lasting (17), overall preserved against variants (12, 13)
- and provide protection against COVID-19 even in the absence of antibody responses (*16*, *19*–
- 363 *21*). Unlike antibodies, T cell targets are not limited to extracellular antigens, making non-S viral
- proteins a valuable source of potential T cell epitopes. Accumulating evidence demonstrates the
- immunogenicity of non-S proteins, as well as their role in mediating viral clearance and disease control (22-25, 38, 53, 54). Thus, incorporating non-S proteins as targets in vaccine strategies
- control (22–25, 38, 53, 54). Thus, incorporating non-S proteins as targets in vaccine strategies
 could enhance durable protection against emerging variants. Accordingly, several preclinical
- studies have shown that inclusion of non-S antigens in immunization strategies provides robust
- 369 protection against VOC (55–58). In a hamster model of SARS-CoV-2 VOC challenge, a dual S
- and N encoding mRNA vaccine, mRNA-S+N, had more robust control of Delta and Omicron
- variants in the lungs and upper respiratory tract than mRNA-S. Although less robust than the
- dual vaccine, mRNA-N alone was immunogenic and induced infection control (57). Moreover, a
- recently developed mRNA vaccine, BNT162b4, encoding segments of N, M and ORF1ab
- proteins, elicited T cell responses and provided protection against severe disease in murine
- models when administered alone or in combination with S encoding BNT162b2. Protection
- against VOC was enhanced with dual vaccination compared to vaccination with S encoding
- vaccine alone (58). BNT162b4 is currently being tested in a clinical trial in combination with the
- 378 BNT162b2 Bivalent vaccine (NCT05541861).
- 379 As these new vaccines are designed, selection of epitope targets plays a critical role in achieving
- 380 maximum efficacy and protection against VOC across a diverse human population. Strategies for
- 381 selecting non-S targets may include utilizing entire proteins. Although this approach should

unbiasedly generate a broad range of epitopes, the high number of targets may cause immune

- competition, potentially reducing the immunogenicity of key epitopes (59, 60). Alternatively,
- non-S targets may be selected by utilizing computational algorithms predicting immunogenic
- epitopes. However, a high number of predicted epitopes does not elicit T cell responses (61). A
- thorough understanding of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses, informing their breadth,
- immunodominance and conservation is needed to enable the design of broad-spectrum vaccines
- 388 effective against emerging variants.
- 389 Here we studied SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses from vaccine recipients and
- 390 convalescent patients via rigorous functional interrogation combined with *in silico* analyses. Our
- 391 work revealed the (i) kinetics of vaccination-induced polyfunctional CD4+ and CD8+ T cell
- responses that remained intact against SARS-CoV-2 variants; (ii) immunodominant CD4+ and
- 393 CD8+ T cell epitopes across SARS-CoV-2 proteome; and that (iii) the immunodominant epitope
- regions exist in protein domains of lower mutational diversity due at least in part to their
- 395 functional importance. Together our findings identify critical non-S epitopes that are conserved
- and highly immunogenic across a diverse human cohort that can be utilized in future vaccine
- designs to elicit a focused T cell response effective against emerging VOC.
- 398 Our studies evaluating mRNA vaccine-induced adaptive immunity response kinetics showed that
- in SARS-CoV-2 infection-naïve individuals, while the antibody titers were peaked after the
- 400 booster vaccine, prime vaccination was sufficient to induce T cell responses, which remained
- similarly high after the booster. This suggests that T cells may be key mediators of early
- 402 response to vaccination. Accordingly, Oberhardt *et al.* showed that functional CD8+ T cells were
- 403 mobilized even after 1 week of prime vaccination with BNT162b2 (62). Guerrera *et al.* also
- showed the induction of T stem cell memory after prime vaccination, correlating with durable T
- 405 cell immunity (17). In our cohort the mRNA-1273 mRNA vaccine induced higher magnitude of T_{12} and T_{12} and T
- T cell responses than the BNT162b2 vaccine. Similar differences between the two vaccines were reported for humoral responses (*34*). This is likely due different antigen loads and dosing
- 407 reported for humoral responses (34). This is fixely due different antigen foads and dosing 408 schedules of the two vaccines. Overall, both vaccines induced polyfunctional T cells and
- 408 senedules of the two vacenies. Overall, both vacenies induced 409 similarly recognized tested VOC in *ex vivo* assays.
- 409 similarly recognized tested vOC in *ex vivo* assays.
- 410 Our findings that while neutralizing antibodies diminish, T cell recognition of VOC is preserved
- in *ex vivo* assays agree with previous reports (12, 13) and underline the critical role of T cell
- immunity in controlling emerging variants. This may be due to the wide breadth of T cell
- epitopes such that a reduced capacity of T cell recognition of certain mutated epitopes is
- 414 compensated by the overall response. It may also be due to T cells that can cross-react with the
- 415 mutated epitopes. Our findings support the relevance of both mechanisms. Our results with
- clonally enriched T cell populations specific to a single mutation region identified P26S and
- 417 R246I as escape mutations, significantly evading recognition by CD4+ T cells. P26S was also
- 418 previously reported to escape from CD4+ T cell responses (13). However, clonally enriched T
- cell populations specific to multiple mutation regions found in each VOC clade showed no
- 420 difference in the overall recognition of mutant or ancestral sequences, compensating for any
- 421 diminished response to a single epitope. In addition, modeling of T cell responses against
- 422 ancestral and variant strains suggested cross-reactivity as a major predictive parameter of T cell
- 423 immunity. This mathematical modeling approach we utilized only involved CD8+ T cell
- responses as it relied on peptide-MHC binding predictions, which perform poorly for MHC-II
- binding. However, it is also important to understand CD4+ T cell dynamics as SARS-CoV-2
- 426 primarily induces CD4+ T cell responses and the reduction in cross-recognition of S mutations in

427 clonally enriched T cell populations was observed more robustly in the CD4+ T cell subset.

- 428 Despite cross-reactivity being a key parameter of T cell response to VOC, including vaccination
- as an additional cross-reactivity measure with the complete Wuhan-1 S 9mers did not improve
- the performance of our model. This is likely because our dataset is not well suited to measure the
- 431 vaccine's effect since our experimental dataset is focused on a specific subset of anti-S T cell
- responses and *in vitro* expansion impacts clonal dynamics of T cells, reducing TCR diversity and
- allowing *in* vitro priming which may introduce a new set of TCRs.
- 434 Modeling of anti-S T cell immunity also identified peptide immunodominance as a key
- 435 parameter. Others have also reported immunodominance, with a limited number of epitopes
- 436 accounting for most of the total response against SARS-CoV-2 (24, 38). Here we
- 437 comprehensively mapped CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses and found that patterns of
- immunodominance were similarly present in non-S proteins, a subset of epitopes robustly
- 439 inducing T cell responses across a diverse cohort of patients. SARS-CoV-2 exhibits high rates of
- 440 recurrent mutations across its genome (45), often as a mechanism to evade immune responses.
- 441 This is observed particularly in VOC escaping from neutralizing antibody responses. Similarly,
- 442 certain S mutations have been reported to cause escape from T cell recognition (10, 11).
- 443 Consequently, immunodominant regions of the viral proteome may be expected to have high
- 444 mutation rates to escape from T cell immunosurveillance. However, we found that non-S
- immunogenic epitopes were enriched in the less diverse regions of the SARS-CoV-2 proteome.
- 446 Our data suggest that the high conservation and lack of immune editing in immunogenic regions 447 is due to their positions in functionally and structurally important regions of the proteome, thus
- is due to their positions in functionally and structurally important regions of the proteome, thus
 subject to evolutionary pressure restricting mutations and maintaining viral fitness. Another
- explanation is that MHC polymorphisms and diversity across populations provide an obstacle for
- 449 explanation is that MITC polymorphisms and diversity across populations provide an oosta 450 viral immune evasion from T cell immunity.
- 451 Together, our findings provide a comprehensive dataset of functional T cell responses across
- 452 MHC-typed study cohorts, which will help to greatly inform three ongoing research efforts in
- 453 this field: i) technologies identifying SARS-CoV-2 epitopes and cognate TCRs to track antigen-
- 454 specific T cell immunity (63, 64) ii) T cell-based diagnostics and correlates of protection to
- 455 complement antibody-based metrics and iii) design of next-generation coronavirus vaccines.

456 MATERIALS AND METHODS

457 Study Design

This study aimed to characterize SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunity elicited by vaccination 458 or natural infection. We evaluated the kinetics of Spike-specific adaptive immunity induction 459 following mRNA-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccination utilizing blood samples collected before 460 vaccination, 14 days after 1st dose, 7 days after 2nd dose, and 14 days after 2nd dose. We also 461 462 evaluated how Spike mutations present in variants of concern impact T cell recognition. Furthermore, we investigated the breadth and magnitude of T cell responses against SARS-CoV-463 2 in unvaccinated, convalescent individuals. We mapped immunogenic T cell epitopes within 464 non-spike proteins and evaluated their distributions across the viral proteome in the context of 465 mutational diversity. SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody responses were measured in binding assays 466 and pseudovirus neutralization assays. SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses were measured in 467 468 ex vivo ELISPOT and antigen-specific T cell expansion assays utilizing overlapping peptides, 15mers with 5 amino acids offset. Sample sizes and statistical tests used were indicated in the 469 figure legends and/or relevant method sections. 470

471 Study Population

The study populations included individuals receiving initial two doses of mRNA-based COVID-472 473 19 vaccines, and two cohorts of unvaccinated, convalescent patients. The collection, processing and banking of vaccinated donor blood specimens were carried out by the Mount Sinai Human 474 Immune Monitoring Core (HIMC). The sample collection for the first convalescent patient 475 476 cohort (CPC; utilized in fig. 1E and 2B) was performed by the Cancer Immunotherapy Clinical Trials Team at Tisch Cancer Institute at Mount Sinai. PBMCs were isolated by density gradient 477 centrifugation using Ficoll-Paque[™] Plus (GE Healthcare) and cryopreserved in human serum 478 containing 10% DMSO. The collection, processing and banking of blood samples from second 479 convalescent patient cohort (ATLAS; utilized in fig. 3 and 4) were performed by the Mount Sinai 480 Convalescent Plasma Donor Program. The use of patient-derived specimens was approved by the 481 Institutional Review Boards at Mount Sinai and all patients provided written informed consent 482 483 before the initiation of any study procedures. For ATLAS cohort a numerical COVID-19 disease severity scoring system was adapted from Moderbacher et al. (14) and indicated in the table S1 484 together with a summary of clinical demographics and sequence-based MHC-I/II genotyping 485 (SBT) results (Histogenetics) for each subject. Although the infecting viral strains were not 486 validated by sequencing, given the circulating SARS-CoV-2 strains during the time of infection, 487 the convalescent patients in both cohorts are expected to have been infected with Wuhan-1. 488 489 Historical healthy donor specimens used as control were procured from New York Blood Center as leukopak prior to 2019 and PBMCs were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using 490 Ficoll-Paque[™] Plus (GE Healthcare). PBMCs were cryopreserved in human serum containing 491

492 10% DMSO.

493 Peptide Selection and Synthesis

494 Custom libraries of overlapping peptides (OLPs) were chemically synthesized by GenScript and

- 495 each peptide had at least 85% purity as determined by high-performance liquid chromatography.
- 496 OLPs were typically 15mers and overlapped by 10 amino acids spanning the entire spike and
- 497 nucleocapsid and selected regions from other SARS-CoV-2 proteins (Fig. 3A). To select regions
- in ORF1ab, ORF3a, envelope, membrane, ORF6, ORF7a, ORF7b, ORF8 and ORF10 proteins,
- 499 we utilized a list of epitopes predicted to be immunogenic, published by Campbell *et al.* (43),
- and prioritized regions enriched in peptide-MHC (pMHC) interactions across different races (44)
- 501 using IEDB's population coverage tool (<u>http://tools.iedb.org/population/help/#by_ethnicity</u>).
- 502 This selection was supplemented with additional epitopes that had a predicted IC50 value less
- than 500 nM as determined using NetMHC 3.4. A complete list of all synthesized peptides and
- 504 peptide pooling strategies are included in table S2.

505 Pseudovirus Neutralization Assay

- 506 The neutralization capacity of patient plasma was assessed using a pseudotype particle (pp)
- 507 infection system. Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV) pseudotype particles were generated with a
- 508 co-transfection strategy. This pseudovirus system was generated as described previously (65). A
- 509 VSV[Rluc]- Δ G-G construct, which encodes the viral core genes with a renilla luciferase
- reporter substituted in place of the VSV entry surface glycoprotein gene, was used to infect 293T
- cells. These cells were also transfected with a plasmid encoding the full-length SARS-CoV-2 S
- from the codon-optimized Wuhan-Hu-1 isolate (NCBI accession no. NC_045512.2) and
- containing the D614G mutation. The resulting transfection product was a particle that
- encapsulated the viral RNA genome encoding the luciferase reporter and incorporated plasma-

- 515 membrane expressed SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins. CoV2pp (VSV∆G-Rluc bearing the SARS-
- 516 CoV-2 spike glycoprotein) at a concentration of ~400 TCID50/mL was incubated with diluted,
- 517 heat-inactivated patient plasma and then used to infect ACE2+ TMPRSS2+ 293T target cells.
- 518 Following cell lysis (Promega), relative luminescence units (RLUs) were measured with a
- 519 Cytation 3 reader. RLU values were normalized to those derived from cells infected with
- 520 CoV2pp incubated in the absence of plasma. VSV-Gpp (VSV Δ G-Rluc bearing the VSV-G entry
- 521 glycoprotein) infection was used as a positive infection control and BALDpp (VSV Δ G-Rluc
- bearing no protein) was used as a negative infection control. This assay has been validated in our
 laboratory using COVID-19 convalescent patient plasma and negative control plasma collected
- laboratory using COVID-19 convalescent patient plasma and negative control plasma collected
 from healthy donors prior to the pandemic. The 4-point nonlinear regression curves were used to
- 524 from healthy donors prior to the pandemic. The 4-point hommear regression curves were used525 calculate ID50 values (GraphPad Prism v9) for each convalescent patient and vaccinated
- 526 individual.

527 Luminex Antibody Binding Assay

528 To detect antibody reactivity to the SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) in individuals

- 529 vaccinated with COVID-19 mRNA vaccines, we used a Luminex Binding Assay described
- 530 previously (66). Briefly, SARS-CoV-2 mutant and wild-type (Wuhan-1 isolate) RBDs were
- 531 covalently coupled to a uniquely labeled fluorochrome carboxylated xMAP bead set (Luminex)
- at 4.0 µg protein/million beads using a 2-step carbodiimide reaction with the xMAP Antibody
- Coupling Kit (Luminex). The coupled beads were pelleted, resuspended at 5×10^5 beads/mL in
- storage buffer (PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.02% Tween-20, and 0.05%
- sodium azide, pH 7.4), and stored at -80°C. The beads needed for a single run (2500 beads/well
- 536 × number of wells) were diluted in assay buffer (PBS containing 0.1% BSA, 0.02% Tween-20)
- to a volume that delivered 2500 beads to each well in an aliquot of 50 μ L/well. Serum/plasma
- 538 was diluted in PBS, added as 50 μ L/well to the wells containing the beads, and incubated at
- room temperature (RT) for 1 hour on a plate shaker at 600 rpm. After 2 washes with assay
- 540 buffer, 100 μ L/well of biotinylated antihuman total immunoglobulin (Abcam) at 2 μ g/mL was
- added and incubated for 30 minutes at RT on a plate shaker. After 2 washes, 100 μ L/well of
- streptavidin-PE (BioLegend) at 1 μ g/mL was added and followed by a 30-minute incubation at
- 543 RT on a plate shaker. After 2 additional washes, 100μ L of assay buffer/well was added and put 544 on a shaker to resuspend the beads. The plate was read with a Luminex Flexmap 3D instrument.

545 Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

546 SARS-CoV-2 Spike-specific IgG antibody reactivity was assessed by ELISA. Briefly, 1 μg/mL

of recombinant protein (RBD or Spike ectodomain) or 100 uM peptide was coated onto Nunc
Maxisorp high-protein binding plates in PBS, overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed 6 times with

- 548 Maxisorp high-protein binding plates in PBS, overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed 6 times with 549 washing solution (3 times with 1xPBS with 0.2% Tween20 and 3 times with 1X PBS) and
- washing solution (3 times with 1xPBS with 0.2% Tween20 and 3 times with 1X PBS) and
 incubated with blocking buffer (1xPBS with 5% dried milk powder) for 2 hours. After blocking,
- the plates were washed similarly, and patient serum was diluted with blocking buffer and left to
- incubate at room temperature (RT) for 2 hours. The plates were again washed prior to the
- addition of anti-human IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (AP) at a 1:3500 dilution in
- blocking buffer. After 1 hour incubation at RT, the plates were developed with 0.6 mg/mL of
- substrate (AttoPhos, Promega) for 30 minutes and the developing reaction was stopped with 3M
- 556 NaOH. The fluorescence was measured at 450nm (excitation)/555nm (emission) wavelength
- 557 with an ELISA microplate reader (BioTek Synergy). The anti-SARS-COV-2 Spike monoclonal
- antibody CR3022 (Abcam) was used as a positive control for recombinant protein ELISAs.

559 T Cell Immunogenicity Assays

560 SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunogenicity was evaluated by two methods: enzyme-linked

- 561 immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) assays to measure *ex vivo* T cell responses and/or T cell
- solution expansion assays to robustly analyze peptide immunogenicity and elicited polyfunctional
- responses by CD8+ and CD4+ T cell subsets. For both assays, cryopreserved PBMCs were
- 564 quickly thawed in 37°C water bath and transferred into RPMI medium (Thermo Fisher
- 565 Scientific) containing DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich) at a final concentration of 2 U/mL, spun down
- and resuspended in media prior to being used in an assay described below.
- 567 For ELISpot assays, thawed PBMCs were resuspended in CTL-Test medium (ImmunoSpot)
- 568 supplemented with GlutaMAX (Gibco). Cells were seeded at 2.5x10⁵ cells per well in at least
- 569 duplicates in mixed cellular ester membrane plates (Millipore) which were previously (1-7 days)
- 570 coated with 4 μ g/mL of anti-IFN- γ antibody (clone 1-D1k, Mabtech) and blocked by incubating
- at least for 1 hour with RPMI medium containing 10% human serum. Cells were then stimulated
- 572 with 1 μ M of test peptides (custom peptide synthesis, GenScript) or control reagents as indicated
- 573 in each relevant figure legend and costimulatory antibodies anti-CD28 (BD Biosciences) and
- anti-CD49d (BD Biosciences) added at a final concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. After 24 hours of
- incubation at 37°C, plates were processed for IFN- γ detection. Plates were first incubated with
- 576 0.2 mg/mL of biotinylated anti-IFN- γ antibody (clone 7-B6-1 by Mabtech) for 2 hours at 37°C,
- 577 then 1 hour at room temperature (RT) with 0.75 U/mL streptavidin-AP conjugate (Roche) and
- lastly with the SigmaFast BCIP/NBT substrate for 15 minutes at RT. In between each step, plates
- 579 were washed 6 times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 and 3 times with purified water.
- 580 Plates were scanned and analyzed using ImmunoSpot software.

581 For T cell expansion assays, a previously published protocol was utilized (35). Briefly, PBMCs were resuspended in X-VIVO 15 medium (Lonza) supplemented with cytokines promoting 582 dendritic cell (DC) differentiation, GM-CSF (SANOFI, 1000 IU/mL), IL-4 (R&D Systems, 500 583 584 IU/mL) and Flt3L (R&D Systems, 50 ng/mL). Cells were seeded at 10⁵ cells per well in Ubottom 96-well plates and cultured for 24 hours before being stimulated with control reagents or 585 pooled test peptides (custom peptide synthesis, GenScript), where each peptide was at a final 586 587 concentration of 1 µM, together with adjuvants promoting DC maturation, LPS (Invivogen, 0.1 mg/mL), R848 (Invivogen, 10 mM) and IL-1β (R&D Systems 10 ng/mL), in X-VIVO 15 588 medium. Starting 24 hours after stimulation, cells were fed every 2-3 days with cytokines 589 supporting T cell expansion, IL-2 (R&D Systems, 10 IU/mL), IL-7 (R&D Systems, 10 ng/mL) 590 and IL-15 (Peprotech, 10 ng/mL) in complete RPMI media (GIBCO) containing 10% human 591 serum (R10). After 10 days of culture, cells were harvested, pooled within groups, washed, 592 resuspended in R10 and seeded in equal numbers into U-bottom 96-well plates. Expanded T cells 593 594 were then re-stimulated with control reagents or 1 μ M of test peptides, either pooled or individual, together with 0.5 mg/mL of costimulatory antibodies, anti-CD28 (BD Biosciences) 595 and anti-CD49d (BD Biosciences), and protein transport inhibitors BD GolgiStopTM, containing 596 monensin and BD GolgiPlugTM, containing brefeldin A. After 8 hours of incubation at 37°C, 597 cells were processed for intracellular staining for flow cytometry using BD Cytofix/CytopermTM 598 reagents according to manufacturer's protocol. The following antibodies were used: for surface 599 600 staining CD3 (clone SK7, FITC), CD4 (clone RPA-T4, BV785) and CD8a (clone RPA-T8, APC) and for intracellular staining IFN-g (clone B27, PE), TNF-a (clone Mab11, PE/Cy7) and 601 IL-2 (clone MQ1-17H12, BV605). All antibodies were purchased via BioLegend. LIVE/DEAD 602

Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit by Thermo Fischer Scientific was used for live and dead cell
 discrimination. Data was acquired using the BD Fortessa and FlowJo V10 was used for analysis.

For both assays, DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) was used at the equal volume of the test peptides and

served as the vehicle/negative control. Unless noted otherwise all T cell immunogenicity data

607 was shown after background normalization by subtracting the average of DMSO values (2 to 6

replicates per test group) from the corresponding spot numbers or cytokine+ cell frequencies

609 following peptide stimulation. For peptide selection in Fig. 4 and 5, a peptide was considered

610 immunogenic, a "hit", if in at least one patient, tested the % of reactive cells was greater than the

paired DMSO % average plus 3 times the standard deviation of all DMSO values across the

612 population (>DMSO + 3SD).

613 Modeling of T cell recognition and Model Selection

- To model T cell responses (*m*) against spike mutations found in Alpha, Beta and Gamma variants
- 615 (MT) or the corresponding WT (Wuhan-1) sequences in fig. 2C, we used a data likelihood

approach. The complete set of experimental data measurements is represented as

- 617 $D = \{m_p^{A_{\kappa},B_{\kappa}}: \kappa \in \{Alpha,Beta,Gamma\}; p \in patients; A,B \in \{WT, MT\}\}, where A_{\kappa} stands for the pool$
- 618 (WT or MT) that was used for the first stimulation, and B_{κ} (also WT or MT) is the pool used for
- 619 restimulation.
- 620 We proposed a mechanistic model to account for $m_p^{A_{\kappa},B_{\kappa}}$ in terms of the putative immunogenicity
- of peptides included in the stimulation and restimulation pools. Due to the presence of multiple
- sources of noise, including experimental conditions and intrinsic differences in patient-specific
- 623 immune responses, we assumed the experimental measurements to be drawn from an underlying
- 624 Gaussian process with standard deviation σ and patient-specific immune amplitudes, c_p . Our
- 625 model prediction corresponding to $m_p^{A_{\kappa},B_{\kappa}}$ is $c_p R(B_{\kappa}|H_p,A_{\kappa},\Theta)$, where $R(B_{\kappa}|H_p,A_{\kappa})$ is the T
- 626 cell recognition of the restimulation pool, H_n is the patient's set of 6 MHC class I alleles, and Θ
- 627 the model parameters. The likelihood of observing the experimental data, *D*, can be expressed
- 628 with the Gaussian (log) likelihood function,

634
$$L\left(D\left|\left\{c_{p}\right\}_{p\in \text{patients}},\Theta\right)\propto-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\sum_{p\in \text{patients}}\sum_{\kappa\in\left\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\ldots\right\}}\sum_{A_{\kappa}=WT,MT}\sum_{B_{\nu}=WT,MT}\left(c_{p}R\left(B_{\kappa}\left|H_{p},A_{\kappa},\Theta\right)-m_{p}^{A_{\kappa},B_{\kappa}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

By maximizing the log-likelihood function we find the model parameters, Θ , which minimize the

630 difference between our model predictions and the experimental data. The optimal patient-specific

- 631 immune factors can be derived analytically by setting the partial derivative of the log likelihood 632 function equal to zero and solving for c_p , $c_p = \frac{\sum_{\kappa} \sum_{A_{\kappa}} \sum_{B_{\kappa}} m_p^{A_{\kappa},B_{\kappa}} R(B_{\kappa}|H_p,A_{\kappa})}{\sum_{\kappa} \sum_{A_{\kappa}} \sum_{B_{\kappa}} R^2(B_{\kappa}|H_p,A_{\kappa})}$. We used the Hyperopt
- 633 package to optimize the remaining model parameters (67).
- 635
- 636 We considered T cell response as a two-step process: 1) peptide binding and presentation by
- 637 MHC class I molecules (pMHC) and 2) binding of pMHCs by specific T cell receptors (TCRs).
- 638 Accordingly, we computed T cell recognition at the 9mer level before aggregating over all 9mers
- 639 in the peptide pools, and over the MHC-I set for each patient. The T cell recognition score for a
- single 9mer, **b**, from the restimulation pool B, in isolation from other 9mers in the pool, is
- 641 computed as $R(\mathbf{b},h)=p_{MHC}(\mathbf{a},h,A)p_{MHC}(\mathbf{b},h,B)\exp[-\beta d(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})]$ The first term on the right-hand
- 642 side accounts for the strength of presentation of b by an MHC molecule of allele

 $h, p_{MHC}(\mathbf{a}, h, B) \propto \frac{1}{K_d(\mathbf{a}, h)}$. The second presentation term and the exponential term account for 643 the cross-reactivity of peptides. The cross-reactivity distance, $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, is a linear, sequence-based 644 distance function derived from extensive epitope-TCR binding assays (42). In our equation we 645 accounted for cross-reactivity of the corresponding WT-mutant peptides based on the assumption 646 that 9mers present during the first stimulation (e.g., WT, a) which were well-presented would 647 likely have elicited expansion of their cognate TCRs. Those expanded TCRs are then available to 648 recognize restimulation pool 9mers (e.g., Mutant, **b**), the binding strength depending on the 649 extent of cross-reactivity with the first stimulation pool 9mers. The corresponding WT-mutant 650 peptides only differed in most cases by a single amino acid; the cross-reactivity of the remaining 651 pairs of peptides, depicted in fig. S3, are predicted to be negligible. 652

This basic model was extended with other components. Because all patients in this cohort were previously vaccinated, we can optionally include the vaccine as a zeroth stimulation event. This contributes another presentation term - for all spike protein 9mers, as taken from the sequence of the Wuhan-1 strain used in the original vaccine - and two additional cross-reactivity terms with

657 the corresponding vaccine 9mer,

8
$$R(\mathbf{b},h) = p_{vacc}(\mathbf{a}_{v},h,V)p_{MHC}(\mathbf{b},h,B)p_{MHC} \times exp\left[-\beta\left(d(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})+d(\mathbf{a}_{vacc},\mathbf{a})+d(\mathbf{a}_{vacc},\mathbf{b})\right)\right]$$

659

660 We can also include sequence similarity to immunogenic epitopes in the IEDB database

661 (www.iedb.org). This approach has been previously used to define a TCR-immunogenicity score

662 for neoantigenic peptides, attributing higher microbial peptide sequence similarity with increased

663 TCR response (40, 41). This introduces a new weight-parameter for the IEDB-similarity term,

664 $\beta_{\text{IEDB}}, R(\mathbf{b}|\mathbf{h}) = p_{\text{pres}}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{h}, B) * p_{\text{pres}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{h}, A) * \exp[-\beta d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) - \beta_{\text{IEDB}} d_{\min}(\mathbf{b}, \text{IEDB})],$

where $d_{min}(\mathbf{b}, IEDB)$ is the distance of peptide **b** to the closest IEDB peptide.

We also tested different epitope dominance models to account for the contribution of different 9mers in the peptide pools. The immunodominance assumption is that the most immunogenic 9mer in a pool is largely responsible for the observed T cell response. Mathematically, this can be achieved by taking the maximum over all 9mer recognition terms. The maximum likelihood modeling framework was used to directly test the immunodominance hypothesis, and to compare it to a number of other assumptions using alternate aggregating functions including "sum", where all 9mers contribute to the pool level response in proportion to their relative immunogenicity,

673 "minimum", not expected to be biologically realistic, and the "Boltzmann operator", a

parameterized smooth approximation to both max $(\alpha \rightarrow \infty)$ and min $(\alpha \rightarrow \infty)$:

675
$$boltz_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \exp(\alpha x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\alpha x_i)}$$

676 We assumed that all MHC-I alleles of a patient contribute to the pool-level response in a

- 677 proportional manner, necessitating the summation as the aggregating function over H_p , the set of
- $678 \quad 6$ MHC-I alleles of the patient. The pool level response with 9mer-aggregating function F is
- 679 therefore, $R(B_{\kappa}|H_{p},A_{\kappa},\Theta) = \sum_{h \in H_{p}} F_{b \in B_{\kappa}}(R(\mathbf{b},h))$ with model parameters $\Theta = \{\beta,\alpha\}$.
- To compare the performance of these different models, we calculated the Bayesian Information
- 681 Criterion (BIC), BIC=k log n -2 log \hat{L} , where \hat{L} is the maximum log-likelihood score of a given
- 682 model, k is the number of parameters of this model and n is the data size.

- The recognition scores, $R(\mathbf{b}|\mathbf{h})$, were evaluated for each subsequence 9mer **b** of the 15mer 683
- peptides in the pools, Alpha, Beta and Gamma with 161, 161, and 203 subsequences, 684
- respectively, for each MHC allele h of the patients in the cohort. We computed the effective 685
- number of peptides that can be recognized among all the 9 mers in a pool, the *perplexity*, P =686
- $exp(H_{rec})$, with entropy $H_{rec} = -\sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{pool}} R(\mathbf{b}|h)/Z \log R(\mathbf{b}|h)/Z$ and with $Z = \sum_{b} R(\mathbf{b}|h)$, 687
- the normalization constant assuring the entropy is computed over a probability distribution. 688

Novelty of Immunogenic T Cell Epitopes 689

- To determine the novelty of hit peptides, minimal epitopes binding to donor MHC alleles were 690
- predicted. For CD4 epitope analysis, 98 hit peptides (15mers, table S3) were included and 691
- predictions were performed using NetMHCIIpan 4.0. Epitopes (9-15mer) with a predicted 692
- 693 binding affinity lower than 1000 nM were analyzed for their presence in IEDB. Similarly, for CD8 epitope analysis, 38 hit peptides (15mers, table S3) were included and predictions were 694
- 695 performed using MHCflurry 2.0. Epitopes (9-11mer) with a predicted binding affinity lower than
- 500 nM were analyzed for their presence in IEDB. The determination of possible minimal 696
- epitopes was MHC-dependent, but the IEDB search was MHC-independent. The database was 697
- exported in August 2022. The MHC alleles of all responsive donors were included donors. A 698
- 699 15mer was considered novel if none of its predicted binders, across all patient MHC alleles, were
- present in IEDB. A permutation test was used to assess the significance of the novelty 700
- 701 calculations for CD8+ T cell epitopes. Specifically, we generated synthetic lists of hits by
- random sampling without replacement from the full set of observations (peptides x patients). We 702
- did this 1000 times and ran the resulting lists of hits through the same pipeline to calculate the 703
- 704 fractions that are novel. Using this resampling procedure, we found that the number of novel
- 705 peptides we would expect to see by chance is significantly different (p<0.001) than what we 706
- observed.

Conservation of T Cell Epitopes 707

- To assess the conservation of selected immunogenic CD4+ and CD8+ T cell epitopes, the 708
- percentage of GISAID sequences deposited that have an exact match to the reactive peptide were 709
- measured. 100,000 random isolates from the GISAID database were subsampled and filtered to 710
- 711 those with a unique accession number, where no proteins contain an unknown amino acid (X)
- 712 after translation, have the full complement of all 27 proteins annotated, and were deposited
- during 2022. This analysis excluded ORF10 since it is not found in GISAID. 713
- The degree of amino acid residue sharing throughout the human coronavirus family was 714
- determined by multiple sequence alignment using CLUSTAL O (1.2.4) for H-COV 715
- Nucleocapsid Proteins: 229E (UniProt Accession: A0A127AU35), NL63 (UniProt Accession: 716
- 717 Q06XQ2), HKU1 (UniProt Accession: Q5MQC6), OC43 (UniProt Accession: P33469), SARS 2
- (UniProt Accession: P0DTC9). 718
- Peptide binding predictions in fig. 5C and S10 were performed using netMHCpan-4.1 and 719
- 720 netMHCIIpan-4.1 for MHC-I and MHC-II predictions, respectively. Peptide binding thresholds were set to 2% for MHC-I and 10% for MHC-II (68). 721

Diversity Analysis 722

- To assess mutational diversity during global circulation in the SARS-CoV-2 genome, entropy of 723
- the observed amino acid frequencies was computed. The sequence and regional epidemiological 724

count data were combined to account for regional disproportions in sequencing efforts while

- computing amino acid frequencies. The sequence data used was obtained from the GISAID
- EpiCoV database (46) available until 2024-03-21. For quality control, the 3' and 5' regions of
- sequences were truncated and sequences that contain more than 5% ambiguous sites or have an
- incomplete collection date were removed. All sequences were aligned against a reference isolate
- from GenBank 80 (MN908947), using MAFFT v7.525 (69). Weekly infection rates were used
 for individual countries as reported from the WHO Coronavirus dashboard (47) (download date:
- 732 2024-03-21). Infection counts were distributed equally over all days within a given reporting
- 733 week. Individual countries were grouped into coarse grained geographical regions r by their
- continents (North America, Europe, Asia, South America, Africa and Oceania). The sequence
- counts in each geographical region r and day t were computed as $N_r(t) = \sum_{i \in r} \omega(t t_i)$,
- where $\omega(\tau) = \exp(-\tau^4/4\sigma^2)$ with $\sigma = 11$ days is the smoothing kernel function. Combining
- 737 the sequence counts with the corresponding incidence data, $I_r(t)$, weight factors $m_r(t) =$
- 738 $I_r(t)/N_r(t)$ measuring the incidence per sequence count in each region were recorded (9, 48).
- Each GISAID sequence collected at region r and on date t was weighted by a factor
- 740 $m_r(t) / \sum_{r,t} m_r(r)$ when computing the amino acid frequencies x(a, i) on individual codon
- positions *i*. The entropy for position *i* was then computed as $H(i) = -\sum_{a} x(a, i) \log x(a, i)$.

742 To determine where experimentally validated immunogenic T cell epitopes reside, the entropy

value for each codon (and its corresponding residue) plotted as a bar graph was superimposed

with an immunogenicity value for each individual residue across the nucleocapsid protein. Per

- residue immunogenicity values were calculated as the sum of the reactive T cell percentages
- 746 against each peptide spanning that residue.
- As an alternative approach, Shannon entropy data from Nextstrain available in August 2022 and
- 748 February 2023 (<u>https://nextstrain.org/ncov/gisaid/global</u>) were analyzed for each codon. These
- entropy values were calculated using complete viral genome sequences deposited to the Global
- 750 Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID) from around the world. This approach was
- not applied to analysis by April 2024 since the most recent GISAID datasets did not include
- 752 codon/residue entropies.

753 Structural Analysis of Nucleocapsid

- 754 To assess whether immunogenic T cell epitopes contained structurally important residues,
- immunogenic regions were mapped onto 6YVO and 6ZWO crystal structures, RNA binding and
- dimerization domains of nucleocapsid, respectively. The change in protein stability (DDG) was
- 757 measured by mutating each residue of the protein into alanine and comparing the energy of that
- structure to the wildtype. This resulted in a DDG value being assigned to every residue with
- 759 positive values indicating destabilizing mutations and negative values indicating stabilizing
- 760 mutations. The top ten most immunogenic peptides were isolated from each patient, and the
- average DDG for those peptides was determined by averaging the values of individual residues.
- Alanine scanning was performed using the Rosetta analysis suite (70). The impacts on monomer
- stability of the RBD were probed using the cartesian_ddg application (71). The interface of the
- dimerization domain was analyzed using the Robetta alanine scanning server of the 6ZWO
- restal structure (72).

766 Statistical Analysis

- 767 Statistical tests used are indicated in the figure legends and in relevant methods sections. Briefly,
- statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism versions 8 and 9. All error bars

- represent mean + SD unless noted otherwise in the figure legend. To evaluate significance,
- 770 Wilcoxon matched-pairs test was used for paired samples and Welch's t-test was used for
- unpaired samples. Significance was denoted by *, **, ***, ****, indicating P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P
- 772 < 0.001, and P < 0.0001, respectively.
- 773

774 Supplementary Materials

- 775 Figs. S1 to S13
- Tables S1 to S3
- 777

778 **References and Notes**

- R. M. Meganck, C. E. Edwards, M. L. Mallory, R. E. Lee, H. Dang, A. B. Bailey, J. A.
 Wykoff, S. C. Gallant, D. R. Zhu, B. L. Yount, T. Kato, K. M. Shaffer, S. Nakano, A. M.
 Cawley, V. Sontake, J. R. Wang, R. S. Hagan, M. B. Miller, P. R. Tata, S. H. Randell, L. V.
 Tse, C. Ehre, K. Okuda, R. C. Boucher, R. S. Baric, SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern fitness
 and adaptation in primary human airway epithelia. *Cell Rep.* 43, 114076 (2024).
- T. M. Wilkinson, C. K. F. Li, C. S. C. Chui, A. K. Y. Huang, M. Perkins, J. C. Liebner, R.
 Lambkin-Williams, A. Gilbert, J. Oxford, B. Nicholas, K. J. Staples, T. Dong, D. C. Douek,
 A. J. McMichael, X.-N. Xu, Preexisting influenza-specific CD4+ T cells correlate with
 disease protection against influenza challenge in humans. *Nat. Med.* 18, 274–280 (2012).
- D. Stadlbauer, X. Zhu, M. McMahon, J. S. Turner, T. J. Wohlbold, A. J. Schmitz, S.
 Strohmeier, W. Yu, R. Nachbagauer, P. A. Mudd, I. A. Wilson, A. H. Ellebedy, F.
 Krammer, Broadly protective human antibodies that target the active site of influenza virus neuraminidase. *Science* 366, 499–504 (2019).
- M. Bergwerk, T. Gonen, Y. Lustig, S. Amit, M. Lipsitch, C. Cohen, M. Mandelboim, E. G.
 Levin, C. Rubin, V. Indenbaum, I. Tal, M. Zavitan, N. Zuckerman, A. Bar-Chaim, Y.
 Kreiss, G. Regev-Yochay, Covid-19 Breakthrough Infections in Vaccinated Health Care
 Workers. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 385, 1474–1484 (2021).
- 5. W. F. Garcia-Beltran, E. C. Lam, M. G. Astudillo, D. Yang, T. E. Miller, J. Feldman, B. M.
 Hauser, T. M. Caradonna, K. L. Clayton, A. D. Nitido, M. R. Murali, G. Alter, R. C.
 Charles, A. Dighe, J. A. Branda, J. K. Lennerz, D. Lingwood, A. G. Schmidt, A. J. Iafrate,
 A. B. Balazs, COVID-19-neutralizing antibodies predict disease severity and survival. *Cell*184, 476-488.e11 (2021).
- 801 6. J. P. Evans, C. Zeng, C. Carlin, G. Lozanski, L. J. Saif, E. M. Oltz, R. J. Gumina, S.-L. Liu,
 802 Neutralizing antibody responses elicited by SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination wane over
 803 time and are boosted by breakthrough infection. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 14, eabn8057 (2022).
- W. F. Garcia-Beltran, E. C. Lam, K. St Denis, A. D. Nitido, Z. H. Garcia, B. M. Hauser, J.
 Feldman, M. N. Pavlovic, D. J. Gregory, M. C. Poznansky, A. Sigal, A. G. Schmidt, A. J.

- Iafrate, V. Naranbhai, A. B. Balazs, Multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants escape neutralization
 by vaccine-induced humoral immunity. *Cell* 184, 2372-2383.e9 (2021).
- M. Hoffmann, N. Krüger, S. Schulz, A. Cossmann, C. Rocha, A. Kempf, I. Nehlmeier, L.
 Graichen, A.-S. Moldenhauer, M. S. Winkler, M. Lier, A. Dopfer-Jablonka, H.-M. Jäck, G.
 M. N. Behrens, S. Pöhlmann, The Omicron variant is highly resistant against antibody mediated neutralization: Implications for control of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cell* 185,
 447-456.e11 (2022).
- M. Meijers, D. Ruchnewitz, J. Eberhardt, M. Łuksza, M. Lässig, Population immunity
 predicts evolutionary trajectories of SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* 186, 5151-5164.e13 (2023).
- 815 10. C. Motozono, M. Toyoda, J. Zahradnik, A. Saito, H. Nasser, T. S. Tan, I. Ngare, I. Kimura,
 816 K. Uriu, Y. Kosugi, Y. Yue, R. Shimizu, J. Ito, S. Torii, A. Yonekawa, N. Shimono, Y.
 817 Nagasaki, R. Minami, T. Toya, N. Sekiya, T. Fukuhara, Y. Matsuura, G. Schreiber,
 818 Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium, T. Ikeda, S. Nakagawa, T. Ueno,
 819 K. Sato, SARS-CoV-2 spike L452R variant evades cellular immunity and increases
 820 infectivity. *Cell Host Microbe* 29, 1124-1136.e11 (2021).
- B21 11. G. Dolton, C. Rius, M. S. Hasan, A. Wall, B. Szomolay, E. Behiry, T. Whalley, J.
 Southgate, A. Fuller, COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) consortium, T. Morin, K.
 Topley, L. R. Tan, P. J. R. Goulder, O. B. Spiller, P. J. Rizkallah, L. C. Jones, T. R. Connor,
 A. K. Sewell, Emergence of immune escape at dominant SARS-CoV-2 killer T cell epitope. *Cell* 185, 2936-2951.e19 (2022).
- S. Meyer, I. Blaas, R. C. Bollineni, M. Delic-Sarac, T. T. Tran, C. Knetter, K.-Z. Dai, T. S.
 Madssen, J. T. Vaage, A. Gustavsen, W. Yang, L. S. H. Nissen-Meyer, K. Douvlataniotis,
 M. Laos, M. M. Nielsen, B. Thiede, A. Søraas, F. Lund-Johansen, E. H. Rustad, J. Olweus,
 Prevalent and immunodominant CD8 T cell epitopes are conserved in SARS-CoV-2
 variants. *Cell Rep.* 42, 111995 (2023).
- A. Tarke, C. H. Coelho, Z. Zhang, J. M. Dan, E. D. Yu, N. Methot, N. I. Bloom, B.
 Goodwin, E. Phillips, S. Mallal, J. Sidney, G. Filaci, D. Weiskopf, R. da Silva Antunes, S.
 Crotty, A. Grifoni, A. Sette, SARS-CoV-2 vaccination induces immunological T cell
 memory able to cross-recognize variants from Alpha to Omicron. *Cell* 185, 847-859.e11
 (2022).
- 14. C. Rydyznski Moderbacher, S. I. Ramirez, J. M. Dan, A. Grifoni, K. M. Hastie, D.
 Weiskopf, S. Belanger, R. K. Abbott, C. Kim, J. Choi, Y. Kato, E. G. Crotty, C. Kim, S. A.
 Rawlings, J. Mateus, L. P. V. Tse, A. Frazier, R. Baric, B. Peters, J. Greenbaum, E.
 Ollmann Saphire, D. M. Smith, A. Sette, S. Crotty, Antigen-Specific Adaptive Immunity to
 SARS-CoV-2 in Acute COVID-19 and Associations with Age and Disease Severity. *Cell*183, 996-1012.e19 (2020).
- L. Bergamaschi, F. Mescia, L. Turner, A. L. Hanson, P. Kotagiri, B. J. Dunmore, H.
 Ruffieux, A. De Sa, O. Huhn, M. D. Morgan, P. P. Gerber, M. R. Wills, S. Baker, F. J.
 Calero-Nieto, R. Doffinger, G. Dougan, A. Elmer, I. G. Goodfellow, R. K. Gupta, M.
 Hosmillo, K. Hunter, N. Kingston, P. J. Lehner, N. J. Matheson, J. K. Nicholson, A. M.

Petrunkina, S. Richardson, C. Saunders, J. E. D. Thaventhiran, E. J. M. Toonen, M. P.
Weekes, Cambridge Institute of Therapeutic Immunology and Infectious Disease-National
Institute of Health Research (CITIID-NIHR) COVID BioResource Collaboration, B.
Göttgens, M. Toshner, C. Hess, J. R. Bradley, P. A. Lyons, K. G. C. Smith, Longitudinal
analysis reveals that delayed bystander CD8+ T cell activation and early immune pathology
distinguish severe COVID-19 from mild disease. *Immunity* 54, 1257-1275.e8 (2021).

- 16. K. McMahan, J. Yu, N. B. Mercado, C. Loos, L. H. Tostanoski, A. Chandrashekar, J. Liu,
 L. Peter, C. Atyeo, A. Zhu, E. A. Bondzie, G. Dagotto, M. S. Gebre, C. Jacob-Dolan, Z. Li,
 F. Nampanya, S. Patel, L. Pessaint, A. Van Ry, K. Blade, J. Yalley-Ogunro, M. Cabus, R.
 Brown, A. Cook, E. Teow, H. Andersen, M. G. Lewis, D. A. Lauffenburger, G. Alter, D. H.
 Barouch, Correlates of protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. *Nature* 590,
 630–634 (2021).
- 858 17. G. Guerrera, M. Picozza, S. D'Orso, R. Placido, M. Pirronello, A. Verdiani, A. Termine, C.
 859 Fabrizio, F. Giannessi, M. Sambucci, M. P. Balice, C. Caltagirone, A. Salvia, A. Rossini, L.
 860 Battistini, G. Borsellino, BNT162b2 vaccination induces durable SARS-CoV-2-specific T
 861 cells with a stem cell memory phenotype. *Sci Immunol* 6, eabl5344 (2021).
- 18. B. Israelow, T. Mao, J. Klein, E. Song, B. Menasche, S. B. Omer, A. Iwasaki, Adaptive
 immune determinants of viral clearance and protection in mouse models of SARS-CoV-2. *Sci Immunol* 6, eabl4509 (2021).
- H. Ishii, T. Nomura, H. Yamamoto, M. Nishizawa, T. T. Thu Hau, S. Harada, S. Seki, M.
 Nakamura-Hoshi, M. Okazaki, S. Daigen, A. Kawana-Tachikawa, N. Nagata, N. IwataYoshikawa, N. Shiwa, T. Suzuki, E.-S. Park, M. Ken, T. Onodera, Y. Takahashi, K.
 Kusano, R. Shimazaki, Y. Suzaki, Y. Ami, T. Matano, Neutralizing-antibody-independent
 SARS-CoV-2 control correlated with intranasal-vaccine-induced CD8+ T cell responses. *Cell Rep Med* 3, 100520 (2022).
- S. A. Apostolidis, M. Kakara, M. M. Painter, R. R. Goel, D. Mathew, K. Lenzi, A. Rezk, K.
 R. Patterson, D. A. Espinoza, J. C. Kadri, D. M. Markowitz, C. E Markowitz, I. Mexhitaj,
 D. Jacobs, A. Babb, M. R. Betts, E. T. L. Prak, D. Weiskopf, A. Grifoni, K. A. Lundgreen,
 S. Gouma, A. Sette, P. Bates, S. E. Hensley, A. R. Greenplate, E. J. Wherry, R. Li, A. BarOr, Cellular and humoral immune responses following SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in
 patients with multiple sclerosis on anti-CD20 therapy. *Nat. Med.* 27, 1990–2001 (2021).
- 21. M. P. Sormani, I. Schiavetti, M. Inglese, L. Carmisciano, A. Laroni, C. Lapucci, V. 877 878 Visconti, C. Serrati, I. Gandoglia, T. Tassinari, G. Perego, G. Brichetto, P. Gazzola, A. Mannironi, M. L. Stromillo, C. Cordioli, D. Landi, M. Clerico, E. Signoriello, E. Cocco, J. 879 880 Frau, M. T. Ferrò, A. Di Sapio, L. Pasquali, M. Ulivelli, F. Marinelli, M. Pizzorno, G. Callari, R. Iodice, G. Liberatore, F. Caleri, A. M. Repice, S. Cordera, M. A. Battaglia, M. 881 Salvetti, D. Franciotta, A. Uccelli, CovaXiMS study group, Breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 882 infections after COVID-19 mRNA vaccination in MS patients on disease modifying 883 884 therapies during the Delta and the Omicron waves in Italy. *EBioMedicine* **80**, 104042 (2022). 885

- A. Grifoni, D. Weiskopf, S. I. Ramirez, J. Mateus, J. M. Dan, C. R. Moderbacher, S. A.
 Rawlings, A. Sutherland, L. Premkumar, R. S. Jadi, D. Marrama, A. M. de Silva, A. Frazier,
 A. F. Carlin, J. A. Greenbaum, B. Peters, F. Krammer, D. M. Smith, S. Crotty, A. Sette,
 Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19
 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. *Cell* 181, 1489-1501.e15 (2020).
- 891 23. H. Kared, A. D. Redd, E. M. Bloch, T. S. Bonny, H. Sumatoh, F. Kairi, D. Carbajo, B.
 892 Abel, E. W. Newell, M. P. Bettinotti, S. E. Benner, E. U. Patel, K. Littlefield, O.
 893 Laeyendecker, S. Shoham, D. Sullivan, A. Casadevall, A. Pekosz, A. Nardin, M. Fehlings,
 894 A. A. Tobian, T. C. Quinn, SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ T cell responses in convalescent
 895 COVID-19 individuals. J. Clin. Invest. 131 (2021).
- S. K. Saini, D. S. Hersby, T. Tamhane, H. R. Povlsen, S. P. Amaya Hernandez, M. Nielsen,
 A. O. Gang, S. R. Hadrup, SARS-CoV-2 genome-wide T cell epitope mapping reveals
 immunodominance and substantial CD8+ T cell activation in COVID-19 patients. *Sci Immunol* 6 (2021).
- 25. T. Sekine, A. Perez-Potti, O. Rivera-Ballesteros, K. Strålin, J.-B. Gorin, A. Olsson, S.
 Llewellyn-Lacey, H. Kamal, G. Bogdanovic, S. Muschiol, D. J. Wullimann, T. Kammann,
 J. Emgård, T. Parrot, E. Folkesson, Karolinska COVID-19 Study Group, O. Rooyackers, L.
 I. Eriksson, J.-I. Henter, A. Sönnerborg, T. Allander, J. Albert, M. Nielsen, J. Klingström, S.
 Gredmark-Russ, N. K. Björkström, J. K. Sandberg, D. A. Price, H.-G. Ljunggren, S.
 Aleman, M. Buggert, Robust T Cell Immunity in Convalescent Individuals with
 Asymptomatic or Mild COVID-19. *Cell* 183, 158-168.e14 (2020).
- 26. J. Mateus, A. Grifoni, A. Tarke, J. Sidney, S. I. Ramirez, J. M. Dan, Z. C. Burger, S. A.
 Rawlings, D. M. Smith, E. Phillips, S. Mallal, M. Lammers, P. Rubiro, L. Quiambao, A.
 Sutherland, E. D. Yu, R. da Silva Antunes, J. Greenbaum, A. Frazier, A. J. Markmann, L.
 Premkumar, A. de Silva, B. Peters, S. Crotty, A. Sette, D. Weiskopf, Selective and crossreactive SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes in unexposed humans. *Science* 370, 89–94 (2020).
- 27. N. Le Bert, A. T. Tan, K. Kunasegaran, C. Y. L. Tham, M. Hafezi, A. Chia, M. H. Y. Chng,
 M. Lin, N. Tan, M. Linster, W. N. Chia, M. I.-C. Chen, L.-F. Wang, E. E. Ooi, S.
 Kalimuddin, P. A. Tambyah, J. G.-H. Low, Y.-J. Tan, A. Bertoletti, SARS-CoV-2-specific
 T cell immunity in cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected controls. *Nature* 584,
 457–462 (2020).
- 917 28. J. Braun, L. Loyal, M. Frentsch, D. Wendisch, P. Georg, F. Kurth, S. Hippenstiel, M.
 918 Dingeldey, B. Kruse, F. Fauchere, E. Baysal, M. Mangold, L. Henze, R. Lauster, M. A.
 919 Mall, K. Beyer, J. Röhmel, S. Voigt, J. Schmitz, S. Miltenyi, I. Demuth, M. A. Müller, A.
 920 Hocke, M. Witzenrath, N. Suttorp, F. Kern, U. Reimer, H. Wenschuh, C. Drosten, V. M.
 921 Corman, C. Giesecke-Thiel, L. E. Sander, A. Thiel, SARS-CoV-2-reactive T cells in
 922 healthy donors and patients with COVID-19. *Nature* 587, 270–274 (2020).
- 923 29. V. Cicaloni, F. Costanti, A. Pasqui, M. Bianchini, N. Niccolai, P. Bongini, A
 924 Bioinformatics Approach to Investigate Structural and Non-Structural Proteins in Human
 925 Coronaviruses. *Front. Genet.* 13, 891418 (2022).

30. K. Tamminen, M. Salminen, V. Blazevic, Seroprevalence and SARS-CoV-2 crossreactivity of endemic coronavirus OC43 and 229E antibodies in Finnish children and adults. *Clin. Immunol.* 229, 108782 (2021).

- 31. E. N. Mulabbi, R. Tweyongyere, F. Wabwire-Mangen, E. Mworozi, J. Koehlerb, H.
 Kibuuka, M. Millard, B. Erima, T. Tugume, U. Q. Aquino, D. K. Byarugaba,
 Seroprevalence of human coronaviruses among patients visiting hospital-based sentinel
 sites in Uganda. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 21, 585 (2021).
- 32. L. Swadling, M. O. Diniz, N. M. Schmidt, O. E. Amin, A. Chandran, E. Shaw, C. Pade, J.
 M. Gibbons, N. Le Bert, A. T. Tan, A. Jeffery-Smith, C. C. S. Tan, C. Y. L. Tham, S.
 Kucykowicz, G. Aidoo-Micah, J. Rosenheim, J. Davies, M. Johnson, M. P. Jensen, G. Joy,
 L. E. McCoy, A. M. Valdes, B. M. Chain, D. Goldblatt, D. M. Altmann, R. J. Boyton, C.
 Manisty, T. A. Treibel, J. C. Moon, COVIDsortium Investigators, L. van Dorp, F. Balloux,
 Á. McKnight, M. Noursadeghi, A. Bertoletti, M. K. Maini, Pre-existing polymerase-specific
 T cells expand in abortive seronegative SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 601, 110–117 (2022).
- 33. V. Fumagalli, M. Ravà, D. Marotta, P. Di Lucia, E. B. Bono, L. Giustini, F. De Leo, M.
 Casalgrandi, E. Monteleone, V. Mouro, C. Malpighi, C. Perucchini, M. Grillo, S. De Palma,
 L. Donnici, S. Marchese, M. Conti, H. Muramatsu, S. Perlman, N. Pardi, M. Kuka, R. De
 Francesco, M. E. Bianchi, L. G. Guidotti, M. Iannacone, Antibody-independent protection
 against heterologous SARS-CoV-2 challenge conferred by prior infection or vaccination. *Nat. Immunol.* 25, 633–643 (2024).
- 34. Z. Zhang, J. Mateus, C. H. Coelho, J. M. Dan, C. R. Moderbacher, R. I. Gálvez, F. H.
 Cortes, A. Grifoni, A. Tarke, J. Chang, E. A. Escarrega, C. Kim, B. Goodwin, N. I. Bloom,
 A. Frazier, D. Weiskopf, A. Sette, S. Crotty, Humoral and cellular immune memory to four
 COVID-19 vaccines. *Cell* 185, 2434-2451.e17 (2022).
- S. C. Cimen Bozkus, A. B. Blazquez, T. Enokida, N. Bhardwaj, A T-cell-based
 immunogenicity protocol for evaluating human antigen-specific responses. *STAR Protoc* 2, 100758 (2021).
- 36. A. Muik, A.-K. Wallisch, B. Sänger, K. A. Swanson, J. Mühl, W. Chen, H. Cai, D. Maurus,
 R. Sarkar, Ö. Türeci, P. R. Dormitzer, U. Şahin, Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 lineage
 B.1.1.7 pseudovirus by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited human sera. *Science* 371, 1152–1153
 (2021).
- J. Newman, N. Thakur, T. P. Peacock, D. Bialy, A. M. E. Elrefaey, C. Bogaardt, D. L.
 Horton, S. Ho, T. Kankeyan, C. Carr, K. Hoschler, W. S. Barclay, G. Amirthalingam, K. E.
 Brown, B. Charleston, D. Bailey, Neutralizing antibody activity against 21 SARS-CoV-2
 variants in older adults vaccinated with BNT162b2. *Nat Microbiol* 7, 1180–1188 (2022).
- 38. A. Tarke, J. Sidney, C. K. Kidd, J. M. Dan, S. I. Ramirez, E. D. Yu, J. Mateus, R. da Silva
 Antunes, E. Moore, P. Rubiro, N. Methot, E. Phillips, S. Mallal, A. Frazier, S. A. Rawlings,
 J. A. Greenbaum, B. Peters, D. M. Smith, S. Crotty, D. Weiskopf, A. Grifoni, A. Sette,
 Comprehensive analysis of T cell immunodominance and immunoprevalence of SARSCoV-2 epitopes in COVID-19 cases. *Cell Rep Med* 2, 100204 (2021).

- 39. B. Peters, M. Nielsen, A. Sette, T Cell Epitope Predictions. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 38, 123–145 (2020).
- M. Łuksza, N. Riaz, V. Makarov, V. P. Balachandran, M. D. Hellmann, A. Solovyov, N. A.
 Rizvi, T. Merghoub, A. J. Levine, T. A. Chan, J. D. Wolchok, B. D. Greenbaum, A
 neoantigen fitness model predicts tumour response to checkpoint blockade immunotherapy. *Nature* 551, 517–520 (2017).
- 41. L. A. Rojas, Z. Sethna, K. C. Soares, C. Olcese, N. Pang, E. Patterson, J. Lihm, N. Ceglia, 972 973 P. Guasp, A. Chu, R. Yu, A. K. Chandra, T. Waters, J. Ruan, M. Amisaki, A. Zebboudj, Z. 974 Odgerel, G. Payne, E. Derhovanessian, F. Müller, I. Rhee, M. Yadav, A. Dobrin, M. Sadelain, M. Łuksza, N. Cohen, L. Tang, O. Basturk, M. Gönen, S. Katz, R. K. Do, A. S. 975 976 Epstein, P. Momtaz, W. Park, R. Sugarman, A. M. Varghese, E. Won, A. Desai, A. C. Wei, 977 M. I. D'Angelica, T. P. Kingham, I. Mellman, T. Merghoub, J. D. Wolchok, U. Sahin, Ö. Türeci, B. D. Greenbaum, W. R. Jarnagin, J. Drebin, E. M. O'Reilly, V. P. Balachandran, 978 979 Personalized RNA neoantigen vaccines stimulate T cells in pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 618, 980 144-150 (2023).
- 42. M. Łuksza, Z. M. Sethna, L. A. Rojas, J. Lihm, B. Bravi, Y. Elhanati, K. Soares, M.
 Amisaki, A. Dobrin, D. Hoyos, P. Guasp, A. Zebboudj, R. Yu, A. K. Chandra, T. Waters, Z.
 Odgerel, J. Leung, R. Kappagantula, A. Makohon-Moore, A. Johns, A. Gill, M. Gigoux, J.
 Wolchok, T. Merghoub, M. Sadelain, E. Patterson, R. Monasson, T. Mora, A. M. Walczak,
 S. Cocco, C. Iacobuzio-Donahue, B. D. Greenbaum, V. P. Balachandran, Neoantigen
 quality predicts immunoediting in survivors of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 606, 389–395
 (2022).
- 43. Katie M. Campbell, Gabriela Steiner, Daniel K. Wells, Antoni Ribas, Anusha Kalbasi,
 Prioritization of SARS-CoV-2 epitopes using a pan-HLA and global population inference
 approach, *BioRxiv* (2020). https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.30.016931.
- 44. V. Roudko, C. C. Bozkus, T. Orfanelli, C. B. McClain, C. Carr, T. O'Donnell, L.
 Chakraborty, R. Samstein, K.-L. Huang, S. V. Blank, B. Greenbaum, N. Bhardwaj, Shared
 Immunogenic Poly-Epitope Frameshift Mutations in Microsatellite Unstable Tumors. *Cell*183, 1634-1649.e17 (2020).
- 45. M. H. Abbasian, M. Mahmanzar, K. Rahimian, B. Mahdavi, S. Tokhanbigli, B. Moradi, M.
 M. Sisakht, Y. Deng, Global landscape of SARS-CoV-2 mutations and conserved regions. *J. Transl. Med.* 21, 152 (2023).
- 46. S. Elbe, G. Buckland-Merrett, Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's innovative contribution to global health. *Glob Chall* 1, 33–46 (2017).
- 47. World Health Organization 2023 data.who.int, WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard
 > About [Dashboard]. https://data.who.int/dashboards/covid19/about.
- 48. Matthijs Meijers, Denis Ruchnewitz, Jan Eberhardt, Malancha Karmakar, Marta Łuksza,
 Michael Lässig, Concepts and methods for predicting viral evolution, *BioRxiv* (2024).
 https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.19.585703.

- 49. G. D. Gaiha, E. J. Rossin, J. Urbach, C. Landeros, D. R. Collins, C. Nwonu, I. Muzhingi,
 M. N. Anahtar, O. M. Waring, A. Piechocka-Trocha, M. Waring, D. P. Worrall, M. S.
 Ghebremichael, R. M. Newman, K. A. Power, T. M. Allen, J. Chodosh, B. D. Walker,
 Structural topology defines protective CD8+ T cell epitopes in the HIV proteome. *Science*364, 480–484 (2019).
- 50. D. C. Dinesh, D. Chalupska, J. Silhan, E. Koutna, R. Nencka, V. Veverka, E. Boura,
 Structural basis of RNA recognition by the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid phosphoprotein. *PLoS Pathog.* 16, e1009100 (2020).
- 51. S. Kang, M. Yang, Z. Hong, L. Zhang, Z. Huang, X. Chen, S. He, Z. Zhou, Z. Zhou, Q.
 Chen, Y. Yan, C. Zhang, H. Shan, S. Chen, Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid
 protein RNA binding domain reveals potential unique drug targeting sites. *Acta Pharm Sin B* 10, 1228–1238 (2020).
- 1017 52. L. Zinzula, J. Basquin, S. Bohn, F. Beck, S. Klumpe, G. Pfeifer, I. Nagy, A. Bracher, F. U.
 1018 Hartl, W. Baumeister, High-resolution structure and biophysical characterization of the
 1019 nucleocapsid phosphoprotein dimerization domain from the Covid-19 severe acute
 1020 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 538, 54–62 (2021).
- 1021 53. A. P. Ferretti, T. Kula, Y. Wang, D. M. V. Nguyen, A. Weinheimer, G. S. Dunlap, Q. Xu,
 1022 N. Nabilsi, C. R. Perullo, A. W. Cristofaro, H. J. Whitton, A. Virbasius, K. J. Olivier Jr, L.
 1023 R. Buckner, A. T. Alistar, E. D. Whitman, S. A. Bertino, S. Chattopadhyay, G. MacBeath,
 1024 Unbiased Screens Show CD8+ T Cells of COVID-19 Patients Recognize Shared Epitopes
 1025 in SARS-CoV-2 that Largely Reside outside the Spike Protein. *Immunity* 53, 1095-1107.e3
 1026 (2020).
- 54. A. T. Tan, M. Linster, C. W. Tan, N. Le Bert, W. N. Chia, K. Kunasegaran, Y. Zhuang, C.
 Y. L. Tham, A. Chia, G. J. D. Smith, B. Young, S. Kalimuddin, J. G. H. Low, D. Lye, L.-F.
 Wang, A. Bertoletti, Early induction of functional SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells associates
 with rapid viral clearance and mild disease in COVID-19 patients. *Cell Rep.* 34, 108728
 (2021).
- 1032 55. N. K. Routhu, S. Gangadhara, L. Lai, M. E. Davis-Gardner, K. Floyd, A. Shiferaw, Y. C.
 1033 Bartsch, S. Fischinger, G. Khoury, S. A. Rahman, S. D. Stampfer, A. Schäfer, S. M. Jean,
 1034 C. Wallace, R. L. Stammen, J. Wood, C. Joyce, T. Nagy, M. S. Parsons, L. Gralinski, P. A.
 1035 Kozlowski, G. Alter, M. S. Suthar, R. R. Amara, A modified vaccinia Ankara vaccine
 1036 expressing spike and nucleocapsid protects rhesus macaques against SARS-CoV-2 Delta
 1037 infection. *Sci Immunol* 7, eabo0226 (2022).
- 56. S. Afkhami, M. R. D'Agostino, A. Zhang, H. D. Stacey, A. Marzok, A. Kang, R. Singh, J.
 Bavananthasivam, G. Ye, X. Luo, F. Wang, J. C. Ang, A. Zganiacz, U. Sankar, N. Kazhdan,
 J. F. E. Koenig, A. Phelps, S. F. Gameiro, S. Tang, M. Jordana, Y. Wan, K. L. Mossman,
 M. Jeyanathan, A. Gillgrass, M. F. C. Medina, F. Smaill, B. D. Lichty, M. S. Miller, Z.
 Xing, Respiratory mucosal delivery of next-generation COVID-19 vaccine provides robust
 protection against both ancestral and variant strains of SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* 185, 896-915.e19
 (2022).

- 57. R. L. Hajnik, J. A. Plante, Y. Liang, M.-G. Alameh, J. Tang, S. R. Bonam, C. Zhong, A.
 Adam, D. Scharton, G. H. Rafael, Y. Liu, N. C. Hazell, J. Sun, L. Soong, P.-Y. Shi, T.
 Wang, D. H. Walker, J. Sun, D. Weissman, S. C. Weaver, K. S. Plante, H. Hu, Dual spike
 and nucleocapsid mRNA vaccination confer protection against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and
 Delta variants in preclinical models. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 14, eabq1945 (2022).
- 58. C. M. Arieta, Y. J. Xie, D. A. Rothenberg, H. Diao, D. Harjanto, S. Meda, K. Marquart, B.
 Koenitzer, T. E. Sciuto, A. Lobo, A. Zuiani, S. A. Krumm, C. I. Cadima Couto, S. Hein, A.
 P. Heinen, T. Ziegenhals, Y. Liu-Lupo, A. B. Vogel, J. R. Srouji, S. Fesser, K. Thanki, K.
 Walzer, T. A. Addona, Ö. Türeci, U. Şahin, R. B. Gaynor, A. Poran, The T-cell-directed
 vaccine BNT162b4 encoding conserved non-spike antigens protects animals from severe
 SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Cell* 186, 2392-2409.e21 (2023).
- 1056 59. P. G. Thomas, S. A. Brown, R. Keating, W. Yue, M. Y. Morris, J. So, R. J. Webby, P. C.
 1057 Doherty, Hidden epitopes emerge in secondary influenza virus-specific CD8+ T cell
 1058 responses. *J. Immunol.* 178, 3091–3098 (2007).
- 60. A. R. Rappaport, C. Kyi, M. Lane, M. G. Hart, M. L. Johnson, B. S. Henick, C.-Y. Liao, A. 1059 Mahipal, A. Shergill, A. I. Spira, J. W. Goldman, C. D. Scallan, D. Schenk, C. D. Palmer, 1060 1061 M. J. Davis, S. Kounlavouth, L. Kemp, A. Yang, Y. J. Li, M. Likes, A. Shen, G. R. Boucher, M. Egorova, R. L. Veres, J. A. Espinosa, J. R. Jaroslavsky, L. D. Kraemer Tardif, 1062 L. Acrebuche, C. Puccia, L. Sousa, R. Zhou, K. Bae, J. R. Hecht, D. P. Carbone, B. 1063 Johnson, A. Allen, A. R. Ferguson, K. Jooss, A shared neoantigen vaccine combined with 1064 immune checkpoint blockade for advanced metastatic solid tumors: phase 1 trial interim 1065 1066 results. Nat. Med. 30, 1013-1022 (2024).
- 1067 61. W. Zhong, P. A. Reche, C.-C. Lai, B. Reinhold, E. L. Reinherz, Genome-wide
 1068 characterization of a viral cytotoxic T lymphocyte epitope repertoire. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278,
 1069 45135–45144 (2003).
- V. Oberhardt, H. Luxenburger, J. Kemming, I. Schulien, K. Ciminski, S. Giese, B.
 Csernalabics, J. Lang-Meli, I. Janowska, J. Staniek, K. Wild, K. Basho, M. S. Marinescu, J.
 Fuchs, F. Topfstedt, A. Janda, O. Sogukpinar, H. Hilger, K. Stete, F. Emmerich, B.
 Bengsch, C. F. Waller, S. Rieg, Sagar, T. Boettler, K. Zoldan, G. Kochs, M. Schwemmle,
 M. Rizzi, R. Thimme, C. Neumann-Haefelin, M. Hofmann, Rapid and stable mobilization
 of CD8+ T cells by SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine. *Nature* 597, 268–273 (2021).
- 1076 63. M. V. Pogorelyy, E. Rosati, A. A. Minervina, R. C. Mettelman, A. Scheffold, A. Franke, P.
 1077 Bacher, P. G. Thomas, Resolving SARS-CoV-2 CD4+ T cell specificity via reverse epitope discovery. *Cell Rep Med* 3, 100697 (2022).
- M. Stražar, J. Park, J. G. Abelin, H. B. Taylor, T. K. Pedersen, D. R. Plichta, E. M. Brown,
 B. Eraslan, Y.-M. Hung, K. Ortiz, K. R. Clauser, S. A. Carr, R. J. Xavier, D. B. Graham,
 HLA-II immunopeptidome profiling and deep learning reveal features of antigenicity to
 inform antigen discovery. *Immunity* 56, 1681-1698.e13 (2023).
- 1083 65. K. Y. Oguntuyo, C. S. Stevens, C. T. Hung, S. Ikegame, J. A. Acklin, S. S. Kowdle, J. C.
 1084 Carmichael, H.-P. Chiu, K. D. Azarm, G. D. Haas, F. Amanat, J. Klingler, I. Baine, S.

1085 1086 1087 1088 1089 1090		Arinsburg, J. C. Bandres, M. N. A. Siddiquey, R. M. Schilke, M. D. Woolard, H. Zhang, COVIDAR Argentina Consortium, A. J. Duty, T. A. Kraus, T. M. Moran, D. Tortorella, J. K. Lim, A. V. Gamarnik, C. E. Hioe, S. Zolla-Pazner, S. S. Ivanov, J. P. Kamil, F. Krammer, B. Lee, Quantifying Absolute Neutralization Titers against SARS-CoV-2 by a Standardized Virus Neutralization Assay Allows for Cross-Cohort Comparisons of COVID- 19 Sera. <i>MBio</i> 12 (2021).
1091 1092 1093 1094	66.	S. Weiss, J. Klingler, C. Hioe, F. Amanat, I. Baine, S. Arinsburg, E. M. Kojic, J. Stoever, S. T. H. Liu, D. Jurczyszak, M. Bermudez-Gonzalez, V. Simon, F. Krammer, S. Zolla-Pazner, A High-Throughput Assay for Circulating Antibodies Directed Against the S Protein of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. <i>J. Infect. Dis.</i> 222 , 1629–1634 (2020).
1095 1096 1097 1098	67.	James Bergstra, Daniel Yamins, and David Cox, "Making a Science of Model Search: Hyperparameter Optimization in Hundreds of Dimensions for Vision Architectures" in <i>Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on International Conference on Machine</i> <i>Learning</i> (PMLR, 2013)28(1), pp. 115–123.
1099 1100 1101 1102	68.	B. Reynisson, B. Alvarez, S. Paul, B. Peters, M. Nielsen, NetMHCpan-4.1 and NetMHCIIpan-4.0: improved predictions of MHC antigen presentation by concurrent motif deconvolution and integration of MS MHC eluted ligand data. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 48 , W449–W454 (2020).
1103 1104	69.	K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> 30 , 772–780 (2013).
1105 1106	70.	R. Das, D. Baker, Macromolecular modeling with rosetta. <i>Annu. Rev. Biochem.</i> 77, 363–382 (2008).
1107 1108 1109	71.	H. Park, P. Bradley, P. Greisen Jr, Y. Liu, V. K. Mulligan, D. E. Kim, D. Baker, F. DiMaio, Simultaneous Optimization of Biomolecular Energy Functions on Features from Small Molecules and Macromolecules. <i>J. Chem. Theory Comput.</i> 12 , 6201–6212 (2016).
1110 1111	72.	T. Kortemme, D. E. Kim, D. Baker, Computational alanine scanning of protein-protein interfaces. <i>Sci. STKE</i> 2004 , 12 (2004).
1112		

1113 Acknowledgments:

- 1114 We gratefully acknowledge all data contributors, i.e., the Authors and their Originating
- 1115 laboratories responsible for obtaining the specimens, and their Submitting laboratories for
- 1116 generating the genetic sequence and metadata and sharing via the GISAID Initiative, on which a 1117 portion of this research is based.
- 1118 We thank Vincenza Itri and Susan Zolla-Pazner at the Department of Medicine, the Division of
- 1119 Hematology and Medical Oncology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,
- 1120 USA, for generously allowing use of their Luminex machine in their laboratory for multiplexed
- antibody binding assays and providing technical supervision of reagent and equipment use.

We thank the members of the Mount Sinai COVID-19 Biobank Team listed here for their 1122 contributions to patient sample collection and processing: Charuta Agashe, Priyal Agrawal, Alara 1123 Akyatan, Kasey Alesso-Carra, Eziwoma Alibo, Kelvin Alvarez, Angelo Amabile, Carmen 1124 1125 Argmann, Kimberly Argueta, Steven Ascolillo, Rasheed Bailey, Craig Batchelor, Noam D. Beckmann, Aviva G. Beckmann, Priva Begani, Jessica Le Berichel, Dusan Bogunovic, Swaroop 1126 Bose, Cansu Cimen Bozkus, Paloma Bravo, Mark Buckup, Larissa Burka, Sharlene Calorossi, 1127 Lena Cambron, Guillermo Carbonell, Gina Carrara, Mario A. Cedillo, Christie Chang, Serena 1128 Chang, Alexander W. Charney, Steven T. Chen, Esther Cheng, Jonathan Chien, Mashkura 1129 Chowdhury, Jonathan Chung, Phillip H. Comella, Dana Cosgrove, Francesca Cossarini, Liam 1130 Cotter, Arpit Dave, Travis Dawson, Bheesham Dayal, Diane Marie Del Valle, Maxime Dhainaut, 1131 1132 Rebecca Dornfeld, Katie Dul, Melody Eaton, Nissan Eber, Cordelia Elaiho, Ethan Ellis, Frank Fabris, Jeremiah Faith, Dominique Falci, Susie Feng, Brian Fennessy, Marie Fernandes, Nataly 1133 Fishman, Nancy J. Francoeur, Sandeep Gangadharan, Daniel Geanon, Bruce D. Gelb, Benjamin 1134 S. Glicksberg, Sacha Gnjatic, Joanna Grabowska, Gavin Gyimesi, Maha Hamdani, Diana 1135 Handler, Jocelyn Harris, Matthew Hartnett, Sandra Hatem, Manon Herbinet, Elva Herrera, 1136 Arielle Hochman, Gabriel E. Hoffman, Jaime Hook, Laila Horta, Etienne Humblin, Suraj 1137 1138 Jaladanki, Hajra Jamal, Jessica S. Johnson, Gurpawan Kang, Neha Karekar, Subha Karim, Geoffrey Kelly, Jong Kim, Seunghee Kim-Schulze, Edgar Kozlova, Arvind Kumar, Jose 1139 Lacunza, Alona Lansky, Dannielle Lebovitch, Brian Lee, Grace Lee, Gyu Ho Lee, Jacky Lee, 1140 1141 John Leech, Lauren Lepow, Michael B. Leventhal, Lora E. Liharska, Katherine Lindblad, Alexandra Livanos, Bojan Losic, Rosalie Machado, Kent Madrid, Zafar Mahmood, Kelcey Mar, 1142 Thomas U. Marron, Glenn Martin, Robert Marvin, Shrisha Maskey, Paul Matthews, Katherine 1143 1144 Meckel, Saurabh Mehandru, Miriam Merad, Cynthia Mercedes, Elyze Merzier, Dara Meyer, 1145 Gurkan Mollaoglu, Sarah Morris, Konstantinos Mouskas, Emily Moya, Naa-akomaah Yeboah, Girish Nadkarni, Kai Nie, Marjorie Nisenholtz, George Ofori-Amanfo, Kenan Onel, Merouane 1146 1147 Ounadiela, Manishkumar Patel, Vishwendra Patel, Cassandra Pruitt, Adeeb Rahman, Shivani Rathi, Jamie Redes, Ivan Reves-Torres, Alcina Rodrigues, Alfonso Rodriguez, Vladimir Roudko, 1148 Panagiotis Roussos, Evelyn Ruiz, Pearl Scalzo, Eric E. Schadt, Ieisha Scott, Robert Sebra, 1149 Hardik Shah, Mark Shervey, Pedro Silva, Nicole W. Simons, Melissa Smith, Alessandra Soares 1150 Schanoski, Juan Soto, Shwetha Hara Sridhar, Stacey-Ann Brown, Hiyab Stefanos, Meghan 1151 Straw, Robert Sweeney, Alexandra Tabachnikova, Collin Teague, Ryan Thompson, Manying Tin, 1152 Kevin Tuballes, Scott R. Tyler, Bhaskar Upadhyaya, Akhil Vaid, Verena Van Der Heide, Natalie 1153 1154 Vaninov, Konstantinos Vlachos, Daniel Wacker, Laura Walker, Hadley Walsh, Wenhui Wang, Bo Wang, C. Matthias Wilk, Lillian Wilkins, Karen M. Wilson, Jessica Wilson, Hui Xie, Li Xue, 1155 Nancy Yi, Ying-chih Wang, Mahlet Yishak, Sabina Young, Alex Yu, Nina Zaks, Renyuan Zha. 1156 1157 **Funding:**

- 1158 Pershing Square Foundation's COVID-19 RFP grant GCO# 20-1838-00001-01 (NB)
- 1159 Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, seed1160 funds (NB)
- 1161 NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748 (BDG)
- National Institute of Health (NIH) Public Health Service Institutional Research Training award
 AI07647 (MB)
- 1164

1165 Author contributions:

- 1166 Conceptualization: CCB, MB, VR, BDG, ML, NB
- 1167 Formal Analysis: CCB, MB, MT, EW, TO
- 1168 Funding Acquisition: BDG, NB
- 1169 Investigation: CCB, MB, LV, MT, EW, TO, DG, YB, DR, PK, JK, VR, DH
- 1170 Methodology: CCB, MB, LV, MT, EW, TO, NV, ML, NB
- 1171 Resources: KYO, KDS, GK, HA, NK, CM, RG, KN, DDV, DD, DR, JS, PSG, AC, MM, SKS,
- 1172 BL, AW, VS
- 1173 Supervision: CCB, DC, NV, ML, NB
- 1174 Visualization: CCB, MB, MT, EW, TO
- 1175 Writing Original Draft: CCB, MB, MT, EW
- 1176 Writing Review & Editing: CCB, MB, MT, EW, TO, YB, DH, KDS, GK, HA, NK, RG, DD,
- 1177 EC, PSG, BL, VS, DC, ML, NB

1178 Competing interests:

- 1179 CCB is a Bridge Fellow of the Parker Institute of Cancer Immunotherapy (PICI) and received
- 1180 research support. MB is a PICI Scholar. TO is an employee of Imprint Labs and a consultant for
- 1181 CDI Labs, Shennon Biotechnologies, and PopVax. BDG has received honoraria for speaking
- 1182 engagements from Merck, Bristol Meyers Squibb, and Chugai Pharmaceuticals; has received
- 1183 research funding from Bristol Meyers Squibb, Merck, and ROME Therapeutics; and has been a 1184 compensated consultant for Darwin Health, Merck, PMV Pharma, Shennon Biotechnologies, and
- compensated consultant for Darwin Health, Merck, PMV Pharma, Shennon Biotechnologies, an
 Rome Therapeutics of which he is a co-founder. NB serves as an advisor/board member for
- 1186 Apricity, Break Bio, Carisma Therapeutics, CureVac, Genotwin, Novartis, Primevax, Rome
- 1187 Therapeutics, and Tempest Therapeutics; as a consultant for Genentech, Novartis, and ATP;
- 1188 receives research support from Dragonfly Therapeutics, Harbour Biomed Sciences, Regeneron
- 1189 Pharmaceuticals, and Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research; is an extramural member of PICI
- and receives research support. The remaining authors did not declare competing interests.

1191 Data and materials availability:

- 1192 The findings of a portion of this study (Fig. 5A-D) are based on 8,897,424 individual genome
- sequences and associated metadata published in GISAID's EpiCoV database (46) up to the
- following dates 2022-08-21, 2023-02-26, and 2024-03-21 via EPI SET 240918oy. All
- 1195 sequences in this dataset are compared relative to hCoV-19/Wuhan/WIV04/2019 (WIV04), the
- 1196 official reference sequence employed by GISAID (EPI ISL 402124). To view the contributors
- 1197 of each individual sequence with details such as accession number, Virus name, Collection date,
- 1198 Originating Lab and Submitting Lab and the list of Authors, visit 10.55876/gis8.240918oy. All
- 1199 other data needed to evaluate the conclusions of the paper are available in the main text or the
- 1200 supplementary materials. Python scripts used for model fitting are available upon request.
- 1201
- 1202
- 1203

1204 Figures:

1205



Fig. 1. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine-induced adaptive immunity. (A) Peripheral blood
samples were collected from individuals receiving COVID-19 mRNA vaccines, mRNA-1273
(by Moderna) or BNT162b2 (by Pfizer/BioNTech) longitudinally: before vaccination (V0), 14
days after 1st dose (V1D14), 7 days after 2nd dose (V2D7) and 14 days after 2nd dose (V2D14),
and from convalescent COVID-19 patients, who were not vaccinated. (B) Serum neutralization

capacity was assessed by a pseudotype particle (pp) infection system, VSV Δ G-Rluc bearing the 1211 SARS-CoV-2 D614G spike glycoprotein targeting 293T cells stably expressing ACE2 and 1212 TMPRSS2. 4-point nonlinear regression curves were used to calculate 50% pseudovirus 1213 1214 neutralization titers (pVNT50) for vaccine recipients (n=12) and convalescent patients (n=20). Horizontal lines denote median pVNT50 values. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs, 1215 $2x10^5$ cells/well) were stimulated with pools of overlapping peptides, 15mers with 5 amino acid 1216 offsets, spanning the N-terminal (Spike N or S N) or C-terminal half (Spike C or S C) of Spike 1217 protein for 24 h and IFN- γ secretion was measured by ELISPOT. (C) Representative ELISPOT 1218 wells from a vaccinated donor (top left) and summary of ELISPOT data (n=11 vaccinated 1219 donors, BNT162b2 recipients in shown red, mRNA-1273 recipients in blue, and n=5 1220 1221 convalescent patients, shown in black), where Spike Total (top right) is the sum of spots acquired by Spike N (bottom left) and Spike C (bottom right) peptide pools. T cells were 1222 expanded following stimulation with Spike N and Spike C peptide pools. Antigen-specific 1223 cytokine production by expanded T cell subsets, CD4+ or CD8+, were measured by intracellular 1224 staining by flow cytometry. IFN-y production by Spike-specific T cells (**D**) in vaccinated donors 1225 and (E) convalescent patients. (F) Polyfunctionality of Spike-specific T cells at V2D14 as 1226 1227 demonstrated by % of T cells (y axis) co-expressing effector cytokines: IFN- γ , TNF- α , and IL-2 (x axis). (G) Distribution of Spike-specific (Spike Total) T cell responses in each vaccinated 1228 donor at V2D14. Spot numbers and cytokine+ cell frequencies were demonstrated after 1229 1230 background subtraction. Statistical significance (p < 0.05) was evaluated by Wilcoxon matchedpairs test by comparing vaccination timepoints and Welch's t-test was used for comparing T cell 1231

1232 responses elicited by mRNA-1273 vs BNT162b2.





Fig. 2. Adaptive immune recognition of SARS-CoV-2 variants. (A) Antibody reactivity from vaccinated (before vaccination (V0), 14 days after 1st dose (V1D14), 7 days after 2nd dose

(V2D7) and 14 days after 2nd dose (V2D14)), convalescent (conv), or pre-COVID-19 (control) 1236 serum to RBD were assessed by Luminex antibody binding assay where MagPlex-C 1237 Microspheres Regions were conjugated to recombinant wild-type (WT, Wuhan-1) and mutant 1238 1239 RBD constructs (Alpha [N501Y], Beta [N501Y/K417N/E484K], and Gamma [N501Y/K417T/E484K] with mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) used as a readout for binding 1240 affinity. (B) Summary of ELISPOT data: peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs, 2x10⁵ 1241 cells/well) from vaccinated donors (V2D14) or from convalescent patients were stimulated with 1242 pooled peptides covering the mutations found in Alpha, Beta and Gamma variants (listed in (E)) 1243 or the corresponding WT sequences for 24 h and IFN- γ secretion was measured by ELISPOT. 1244 (C) V2D14 T cells from vaccinated donors were stimulated with variant or WT peptide pools 1245 and expanded prior to being re-stimulated with either the initial stimulation peptide pool 1246 $(WT \rightarrow WT, Mut \rightarrow Mut)$ or the variant pool to measure cross-reactivity $(WT \rightarrow Mut)$. Antigen-1247 specific cytokine production by expanded T cell subsets, CD4+ or CD8+, was measured by 1248 intracellular staining by flow cytometry. (D) Bars show Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) 1249 values for different models of T cell reactivity shown in C, where lower BIC is better. The basic 1250 model includes the effect of peptide pool stimulations and WT-Mutant peptide cross-reactivity. 1251 1252 Different peptide dominance models are shown on the y axis, which correspond to the 9mer aggregation function used. Performance of other models was also measured, blue: accounting for 1253 sequence similarity with IEDB epitopes, brown: including vaccination effect as the initial 1254 stimulation event, pink: excluding the effect of patient-specific amplitudes, green: excluding the 1255 effect of cross-reactivity between peptides from 1st and 2nd stimulation events. (E) Deconvolution 1256 of IFN-y production by T cells in response to individual mutations within the peptide pools tested 1257 1258 in C (WT \rightarrow WT vs Mut \rightarrow Mut). Statistical significance (p < 0.05) was evaluated by Wilcoxon matched-pairs test. 1259





Fig. 3. T cell responses against non-spike SARS-CoV-2 proteins. (A) Schema demonstrating 1262 peptide selection for each protein. The y axis denotes amino acid residue number. Tested regions 1263 are shown in red. (B) Experimental design summary. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells 1264 (PBMCs, $2x10^5$ cells/well) from convalescent, unvaccinated patients (n=10) were stimulated for 1265 24 h with pools of overlapping peptides, 15mers with 5 amino acid offsets, spanning each protein 1266 as indicated on the x axis. Pools contained no more than 25 peptides. IFN- γ secretion was 1267 measured by ELISPOT. Summary of ELISPOT data showing total T cell responses (C) per 1268 peptide pool and (D) per patient. T cells from the same convalescent, unvaccinated patient cohort 1269 (n=15) were expanded following stimulation with non-spike peptide pools. Antigen-specific 1270 cytokine production (IFN- γ +) by expanded T cell subsets, (E) CD4+ or (F) CD8+, was measured 1271 by intracellular staining by flow cytometry. Each dot corresponds to a patient. Normalized values 1272 were shown. Statistical significance (p < 0.05) was evaluated by the Wilcoxon matched-pairs test 1273 comparing DMSO vs peptide stimulation. 1274



1275

1276 Fig. 4. Deconvolution of T cell responses against non-spike SARS-CoV-2 proteins. T cells from convalescent, unvaccinated patients were expanded following stimulation with non-spike 1277 peptide pools. Then, expanded T cells were re-stimulated by individual 15mers constituting the 1278 peptide pools. Antigen-specific cytokine production by expanded T cell subsets, CD4+ (in blue) 1279 or CD8+ (in red), was measured by intracellular staining by flow cytometry. Heat maps 1280 demonstrate the percentage of reactive, polyfunctional T cells (secreting both IFN- γ and TNF- α) 1281 after normalization (subtraction of background, DMSO stimulation). y and x axes indicate the 1282 patients and peptides tested, respectively. Peptide sequences are reported in table S2. X indicates 1283 that the data was not collected. Statistical significance (p < 0.05) for peptides inducing T cell 1284

1285 responses across the tested population was evaluated by Wilcoxon matched-pairs test comparing

1286 DMSO vs peptide stimulation and denoted in red stars if significant (immunodominant peptides).

1287 A peptide was considered immunogenic, a "hit", if in at least one patient, the % of reactive cells

1288 was greater than the paired DMSO % plus 3 times the standard deviation (>DMSO + 3SD) of all

1289 DMSO values across the population, denoted by green dots.

1290

1291





Fig. 5. Conservation of T cell epitopes. (A) Conservation of the "hit" T cell peptides, identified in fig. 4, was measured as percent of previously deposited GISAID sequences with an exact match to the reactive peptide. The median conservation percentages for the CD4 and CD8 "hit peptides" were 99.54% and 99.47%, respectively. **(B)** Entropy values for the residues found in

"hit" peptides (green) or "hit" peptides that were significantly enriched across the test population 1298 (orange) were compared to the entropy values for all other residues (purple) in the ORFs tested 1299 in our study. Statistical significance was calculated by Welch's t-test. (C) Topography of 1300 immunogenic nucleocapsid residues is displayed. NTD: N-terminal domain, RBD: RNA binding 1301 domain, DD: dimerization domain, CTD: C-terminal domain. The black bars represent the 1302 difference between per residue immunogenicity (experimental, shaded in pink) and per residue 1303 binding value (predicted, shaded in lilac). Per residue immunogenicity values were generated by 1304 summing for each residue the normalized percentage of CD8 or CD4 T cells expressing both 1305 TNF α and IFN γ (>DMSO + 3SD) directed at each peptide for which the residue belongs. Per 1306 residue binding value corresponds to the frequency that a given residue appeared in a peptide 1307 predicted to bind to a patient MHC. The per residue values were scaled from 0-1 with 1 1308 representing the residue with the highest immunogenicity value or the most frequently included 1309 in a predicted binding peptide and 0 representing the residue with the lowest immunogenicity or 1310 1311 least frequent. The per residue values for binding predictions were then multiplied by -1 to 1312 reverse the sign. The Y axis was then transformed to represent the log odds ratio of the 1313 probability of being immunogenic vs predicted binders by dividing by the background probability (1/number of residues in the nucleocapsid protein). The normalized entropy per 1314 amino acid (aa) codon was also aligned with nucleocapsid, black bars denoting the degree of 1315 entropy and heatmaps showcasing the intensity of sharing of immunogenic residues in our 1316 cohort. (D) Summary of conservation degree for nucleocapsid CD8+ hit sequences analyzed by 1317 CLUSTAL O (1.2.4) multiple sequence alignment for H-COV Nucleocapsid Protein: 229E 1318 (UniProt Accession: A0A127AU35), NL63 (UniProt Accession: Q06XQ2), HKU1 (UniProt 1319 Accession: O5MOC6), OC43 (UniProt Accession: P33469), SARS 2 (UniProt Accession: 1320 P0DTC9). Hit peptides are marked in green and other tested sequences are in purple. Sharing 1321 was denoted as the following: "*" Residue shared among all coronaviruses in sequence 1322 alignment, ":" Conservation between groups of strongly similar properties, "." Conservation 1323 1324 between groups of weakly similar properties. (E) Mapping of immunogenic regions within RNA binding domain (RBD) on the 6YVO crystal structure. (F) The average change in protein 1325 stability (DDG) of RBD upon mutating each residue to alanine for the top ten most immunogenic 1326 peptides. Positive values indicate destabilizing mutations. The dashed line indicates the sliding 1327 window average (length 9 for MHC-I and 15 for MHC-II) across the nucleocapsid RBD. (G) 1328 Mapping of immunogenic regions within the dimerization domain onto the 6ZWO crystal 1329 structure. (H) Number of immunogenic peptides that contained at least one residue that could 1330 destabilize the interface when mutated to the alanine position (DDG>0 when mutated) was 1331 calculated for each patient. 1332

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Titration curves from two patients (001 and 004) for neutralizing antibody detection as summarized in Figure 1A.



Supplementary Figure 2. Comparison of effector cytokine secretion profile of anti-Spike T cells elicited by vaccination with mRNA-1273 (Moderna, in blue) or BNT162b2 (Pfizer/BioNTech, in red). Circulating anti-S T cells from V2D14 samples were expanded as described in Fig. 1D and cytokine formation was detected by intracellular flow cytometry. Significance was calculated by *t* test.

		1						
Δ	Beta		Alpha	Delta	(B.1.1.529			
A	(B.1.351	Gamma	(B.1.1.7	(B.1.617.2	and BA			
)	(P.1))	lineage)	lineages)			
	South							
Mutation	Africa	Brazil	UK	-	-	Mutated Sequence		WT Sequence
D614G	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	PGTNTSNQVAVLYQGVNCTEVPV	AIHADQ	PGTNTSNQVAVLYQDVNCTEVPVAIHADQ
A570D	N	N	Y	N	N	NKKFLPFQQFGRDIDDTTDAVRDPQTLEI NKKFLPFQ		NKKFLPFQQFGRDIADTTDAVRDPQTLEI
P681H	N	N	Y	N	Y	GAGICASYQTQTNSHRRARSVAS	QSIIAY	GAGICASYQTQTNSPRRARSVASQSIIAY
S982A	N	N	Y	N	N	SNFGAISSVLNDILARLDKVEAE	VQIDRL	SNFGAISSVLNDILSRLDKVEAEVQIDRL
N501Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	NCYFPLQSYGFQPTYGVGYQPYR	VVVLSF	NCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRVVVLSF
T716I	N	N	Y	N	N	ENSVAYSNNSIAIPINFTISVTT	EILPVS	ENSVAYSNNSIAIPTNFTISVTTEILPVS
D1118H	N	N	Y	N	N	VTQRNFYEPQIITTHNTFVSGNC	DVVIGI	VTQRNFYEPQIITTDNTFVSGNCDVVIGI
K417N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	RGDEVRQIAPGQTGNIADYNYKL	PDDFTG	RGDEVRQIAPGQTGKIADYNYKLPDDFTG
E484K	Y	Y	N	N	N	TEIYQAGSTPCNGVKGFNCYFPL	QSYGFQ	TEIYQAGSTPCNGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQ
A701V	Y	N	N	N	N	VASQSIIAYTMSLGVENSVAYSN	NSIAIP	VASQSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAYSNNSIAIP
L18F	Y	Y	N	N	N	FLVLLPLVSSQCVNFTTRTQLPP	AYTNSF	FLVLLPLVSSQCVNLTTRTQLPPAYTNSF
T20N	N	Y	N	N	N	VLLPLVSSQCVNLTNRTQLPPAY	TNSFTR	VLLPLVSSQCVNLTTRTQLPPAYTNSFTR
P26S	N	Y	N	N	N	SSQCVNLTTRTQLPSAYTNSFTR	GVYYPD	S SQCVNLTTRTQLPPAYTNS FTRGVYY PD
D138Y	N	Y	N	N	N	TNVVIKVCEFQFCNYPFLGVYYH	KNNKSW	TNVVIKVCE FQFCNDP FLGVYYHKNNKSW
R190S	N	Y	N	N	N	LMDLEGKQGNFKNLSEFVFKNID	GYFKIY	LMDLEGKQGNFKNLREFVFKNIDGYFKIY
K417T	N	Y	N	N	N	RGDEVRQIAPGQTGTIADYNYKL	PDDFTG	RGDEVRQIAPGQTGKIADYNYKLPDDFTG
T1027I	N	Y	N	N	N	IRAAEIRASANLAAIKMSECVLG	QSKRVD	IRAAEIRASANLAATKMSECVLGQSKRVD
H655Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	NVFQTRAGCLIGAEYVNNSYECD:	IPIGAG	NVFQTRAGCLIGAEHVNNSYECDIPIGAG
D80A	Y	N	N	N	N	HAIHVSGINGIKRFANPVLPFND	GVYFAS	HAIHVSGTNGTKRFDNPVLPFNDGVYFAS
D215G	Y	N	N	N	N	FKIYSKHTPINLVRGLPQGFSAL	EPLVDL	FKIYSKHTPINLVRDLPQGFSALEPLVDL
R246I	Y	N	N	N	N	GINITRFQTLLALHISYLTPGDS:	SSGWTA	GINITRFQTLLALHRSYLTPGDSSSGWTA
△242-244	Y	N	N	N	N	IGINITRFQTLHRSYLTPGDSSS	GW	IGINITRFQTLLALHRSYLTPGDSSSGW
T20N&L18F	N/Y	Y	N	N	N	VLLPLVSSQCVNFTNRTQLPPAY	TNSFTR	VLLPLVSSQCVNLTTRTQLPPAYTNSFTR
B <u>Mutation</u> Frequency Presence								
S:N501Y UK, SA, Brazil								
49 <u>0</u> 50 <u>0</u> 51 <u>0</u>								
NCYFPLQSYGFQPT N GVGYQPYRVVVLSF								
NCYFPLQSYGFQPT N GVGYQPYRVVVLSF NCYFPLQSYGFQPT Y GVGYQPYRVVVLSF								
Spike-99) PLOSYGFOPTNGVGY S:501Y-1) PLOSYGFOPTYGVGY						YGFQPT y GVGY		
Spike-100) GEOPTNGVGYOPYRV				RV	s:501y-2)		GFOPTYGVGYOPYRV	
Coilco 1	01)		01%11	CUCVODVI	DIVIDIT OF	g.501Y_2)		VCUCYODVDIART OF
sbike-1	01)			NGVGIQPI	KVVVLSE	S: SUII-3)		IGAGIÕLIKAAAPPL

WT N501Y

Mutant N501Y

Supplementary Figure 3. A. Mutated and corresponding WT (Wuhan-1) sequences tested in figure 2. Red squares indicate the mutations included in clade pools indicated as Alpha, Beta, Gamma pools. **B.** Example peptide design for each mutation and its control sequence. A maximum of 14 upstream and downstream amino acid sequences surrounding the mutated amino acid were included and overlapping peptides were pooled per mutation and the corresponding WT sequence.



Supplementary Figure 4. The predictive capacity of modelling: modelling vs experimental data. Plot shows the result of optimizing the model using the maximum as the 9-mer aggregating function. Model responses are shown on the x-axis and experimental responses on the y-axis. Each mutation pool is denoted (top left) and each patient's data is color coded (right).



Supplementary Figure 5. Distribution of perplexity, the effective number of peptides that can be recognized among all the ninemers in a pool of peptides. **A.** For each patient we plot the distribution of perplexity of the ninemers, as computed over different HLA alleles of the patient, and the stimulation and restimulation peptide pools. The median perplexity over all conditions (patient, HLA, pool) is 3.07. **B.** Several HLA types are characterized by higher perplexity: HLA-C0802 (median P=25.77), HLA-B4001 (median P=28.14), HLA-B3503 (median P=48.64) and HLA-C0401 (median P=71.54)

>YP009724391_ORF3a



OLP Design (total # = 13)

0.	TATIPIQASLPFGWL
ORF3a-0	TATIPIQASLPFGWL
1.	GLEAPFLYLYALVYF
ORF3a-1	GLEAPFLYLYALVYF
2.	VLHSYFTSDYYQLYSTQLSTDTGVEHVTFFIYNKIVDEPEEHVQIHTIDGSSGVV
ORF3a-2.1 ORF3a-2.2 ORF3a-2.3 ORF3a-2.4 ORF3a-2.5	VLHSYFTSDYYQLYS YFTSDYYQLYSTQLS DYYQLYSTQLSTDTG LYSTQLSTDTGVEHV QLSTDTGVEHVTFFI
ORF3a-2.6	DTGVEHVTFFIYNKI
ORF3a-2.7	EHVTFFIYNKIVDEP
ORF3a-2.8	FFIYNKIVDEPEEHV
ORF3a-2.9	NKIVDEPEEHVQIHT
ORF3a-2.10	DEPEEHVQIHTIDGS
ORF3a-2.11	EHVQIHTIDGSSGVV

Supplementary Figure 6. ORF3a exemplifying peptide selection strategy. Regions with enhanced peptide-MHC interactions were selected to maximize population coverage, using IEDB's population coverage tool (http://tools.iedb.org/population/help/#by_ethnicity).



anti-SARS-CoV-2 T cell responses in pre-COVID-19 healthy donors

Supplementary Figure 7. SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses were measured *ex vivo* utilizing peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs, 2x105 cells/well) from COVID-19-naïve healthy donors (samples collected in 2019 and prior) were stimulated for 24 h with pools of overlapping peptides (max 25 peptides per pool as detailed in Figure 3: 15mers with 5 amino acids offset, spanning each protein as indicated on the x axis). IFN-γ secretion was measured by ELISPOT. Summary of ELISPOT data showing total T cell responses per peptide pool.



Supplementary Figure 8. The novelty of the immunogenic "hit" peptides eliciting CD4 and CD8 T cell responses were determined by predicting possible minimal epitopes using donor MHC alleles for each hit. A 15mer was considered novel if none of its predicted binders, across all patient MHC alleles, were present in IEDB. 23 out of 98 and 16 out of 38 for CD4 and CD8 response eliciting hit peptides (15mers), respectively, were deemed novel.



Supplementary Figure 9. The average Shannon entropy values were obtained from Nextstrain as of August 2022 or February 2023 and demonstrated for the residues found in "hit" peptides (green; denoted by green dot in fig. 4) or "hit" peptides that were significantly enriched across test population (orange; denoted by red stars in fig. 4) were compared to the average for all other residues (purple) in the ORFs tested in our study. Statistical significance was calculated by Welch's t-test.



Supplementary Figure 10. Number of predicted peptides by MHC-I and MHC-II alleles of convalescent patients tested in fig. 4. **A.** The number of appearances was normalized with respect to each allele with red indicating the most shared residues for peptides predicted for that allele and blue indicating the least common. White spaces signify that the given residue did not appear in a predicted peptide for that allele. **B.** The summary of targeted domains for each allele. Blue bars indicate the number of targeted residues derived from the highly structured regions, e.g. RNA binding domain (RBD) or the dimerization domain (DD). The pink bar indicates regions outside of those two.



Supplementary Figure 11. Mapping of immunogenic Nucleocapsid peptides from IEDB. **A.** Residues indicate the following regions, yellow (1-50aa): N-Terminal Domain (NDT), grey (50-174aa): RNA binding domain (RBD), green (174-246aa): linker region, grey (246-365aa): dimerization domain (DD), purple (365-419aa): C-Terminal Domain (CTD). **B.** Quantification and frequency comparison of immunogenic peptides across different regions. The statistical significance of differences between means was tested using the Wilcoxon ranked sum test.

Conservation of CD8+ T cell hit epitopes

229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	QG QG MSYTPGHYAGSRSSSGNRSGILKKTSWADQSERNYQTFNRGRKTQPKFTVSTQPQGNT MSFTPGKQSSSRASSGNRSGILKKTSWADQSERNYQTFNRGRKTQPKFTVSTQPQGNT MSFTPGKQSSSRASSGNRSGILKWADQSDQVRNVQTRGRRAQPKQTATSQQPSGGNV MSDN-GPQN-QRNAPRITFGGPSDSTGSNQN-GERSGA <mark>R SKQRRPQGLP</mark>	22 14 58 59 46
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	RIPYSLYSPLLVDS-EQPWKVIPRNLVPVNKK-DKNKLIGYWNVQKRFRTRKGKRVDL FPPPSFYMPLLVSSDKAPYRVIPRNLVPIGKG-NKDEQIGYWNVQERWRMRGQRVDL IPHYSWFSGITQFQKGRDFKFSDGQGVPIAFGVPPSEAKGYWYRHSRRSFKTADGQQKQL VPYYSWFSGITQFQKGKEFEFVEGQGPPIAPGVPATEAKGYWYRHNRGSFKTADGNQRQL NNTASWFTALTQHGK-EDLKFPRGQGVPINTNSSPDDQIGYYRRAT-RRIRGGDGKMKDI * : : *: *: *: *:	78 71 118 119 104
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	SPKLHFYYLGTGPHKDAKFRERVEGVVWVAVDGAKTEPTG-YGVRRKNSEPEIPHFNQKL PPKVHFYYLGTGPHKDLKFRQRSDGVVWVAKEGAKTVNTS-LGNRKRNQKPLEPKFSIAL LPRWYFYYLGTGPYANASYGESLEGVFWVANHQADTSTPSDVSSRDPTTQEAIPTRF LPRWYFYYLGTGPHAKDQYGTDIDGVYWVASNQADVNTPADIVDRDPSSDEAIPTRF SPRWYFYYLGTGPEAGLPYGANKDGIIWVATEGALNTPKDH <mark>IGTRNPANNAAIV</mark> LQL *::********	137 130 175 176 161
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	PNGVTVAEEPDSRAPSRSQSRSQSRSRGESKSQSRNSSSDRNHNSQDDIMKAV PPELSVVEFEDRSNNSSRASSRSSTRNNSRDSSRSTSRQQSRTRSDSNQSSSDLVAAV PPGTILPQGYYVEGSGRSASN-SRPGSRSQSRGP-NNRSLSRSNSNFRHSDSIVKPDM PPGTVLPQGYYIEGSGRSAPN-SRSTSRTSSRAS-SAGSRSRANSGNRTPTSGVTPDM PQGTTLPKGFYAEGSRGGSQASSRSSSRSRSSRNSGNS-TPGS-SRGTSPARMAGNGG * :: : ** :*	190 188 231 232 215
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	AAALKSLGFDKPQEKDKKSAKTGTPKPSRNQSPASSQSAAKILARSQSSETKEQKHEMQK TLALKNLGFDNQSKSPSSSGTSTFPKKPNKPLSQPRADKPSQLKK ADEIANLVLAKLGKDSKPQQVTKQNAKEIRHKILTK ADQIASLVLAKLGKDATKPQQVTKHTAKEVRQKILNK DAALALLLLP <mark>RINQLESKVSGKG</mark> QQQQG : * : . : * : . : *	250 232 267 269 257
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	PRWKRQPNDDVTSNVTQCFGPRDLDHNFGSAGVVANGVKAKGYPQFAELVPSTAAML PRWKRVPTREENVIQCFGPRDFNHNMGDSDLVQNGVDAKGFPQLAELIPNQAALF PRQKRTPNKHCNVQQCFGKRGPSQNFGNAEMLKLGTNDPQFPILAELAPTPGAFF PRQKRSPNKQCTVQQCFGKRGPNQNFGGGEMLKLGTSDPQFPILAELAPTAGAFF <mark>FRQKRNATKAYNVTQAFGRGFEQTGGNFGDQELTRQGTDVKHWPQLAQFAFSASAFF</mark> ** **	307 287 322 324 315
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	FDSHIVSKESGNTVVLTFTTRVTVPKDHPHLGKFLEELNAFTREM FDSEVSTDEVGDNVQITYTYKMLVAKDNKNLPKFIEQISAFTKPS FGSKLDLVKRDSEADSPVKDVFELHYSGSIRFDSTLFGFETIMKVLEENLNAYVNSN FGSRLELAKVQNLSGNPDEPQKDVYELRYNGAIRFDSTLSGFETIMKVLNENLNAYQQQD GMSRIGMEVTF *.: *.: *:	352 332 379 384 364
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	QQQPLLNPSALEFNPSQ-TSPATVEPVRDEVSIETDIIDEVN SIKEMQSQSSHV QNTDSDSLSSKPQRKRGVKQLPEQFDSLNLSAGTQHISNDFTPEDHSLL GMMNMSPKPQRQRGHKNGQGENDNISVAVPKSRVQQNKSRELTAEDISLL PTEPKKDKKKKADETQALPQRQKKQQTVTLL-PAA-DLDDESKQLQ	393 344 428 434 408
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	393 ATLDDPYVEDSVA- KKMDEPYTEDTSEI 448 QSMSSADSTQA 419	

Supplementary Figure 12. CLUSTAL O(1.2.4) multiple sequence alignment analysis for H-COV Nucleocapsid Protein: 229E (UniProt Accession: A0A127AU35), NL63 (UniProt Accession: Q06XQ2), HKU1 (UniProt Accession: Q5MQC6), OC43 (UniProt Accession: P33469), SARS_2 (UniProt Accession: P0DTC9). Hit peptides inducing CD8+ T cell responses are marked by green and other tested sequences are in purple. Sharing was denoted as the following: "*" Residue shared among all coronaviruses in sequence alignment, ":" Conservation between groups of strongly similar properties, "." Conservation between groups of weakly similar properties.

Α		В	
Con	servation of CD4+ T cell immunodominant epitopes		Nucleocapsid Protein Ac
229E NL63 HKU1	GRQG RASVNWADDRAARKK MSYTPGHYAGSRSSSGNRSGILKKTSWADOSERNYOTFNRGRKTOPKFTVSTOPOGNT	22 14 58	Coronavirus Family
OC43 SARS_2	MSFTPGKQSSSRASSGNRSGNG-ILKWADQSDQVRÑVQTRGRRAQPKQTATSQQPSGGNV MSDN-GPQN-QR <mark>NAPRITFGGPSDSTG</mark> SNQN-GERSGARSKQRRPQGLP	59 46	
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	RIPYSLYSPLLVDS-EQPWKVIPRNLVPVNKK-DKNKLIGYWNVQKRFRTRKGKRVDL FPPPSFYMPLLVSSDKAPYRVIPRNLVPIGKG-NKDEQIGYWNVQERWRMRRGQRVDL IPHYSWFSGITQFQKGKDFKFSDGQGVPIAFGVPPSEAKGYWYRHSRSFKTADGQQKQL VPYYSWFSGITQFQKGKEFEFVEGQGPPIAPGVPATEAKGYWYRHNRGSFKTADGQQKQL NNTASWFTALTQHGK-EDLK <mark>PPRGQVPININSSE</mark> DDQIGYYRRAT-RRIFGBDGKMKDL *::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	78 71 118 119 104	s 10-
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	SPKLHFYYLGTGPHKDAKFRERVEGVVWVAVDGAKTEPTG-YGVRRKNSEPEIPHFNQKL PPKVHFYYLGTGPHKDLKFRQRSDGVVWVAKEGAKTVNTS-LGNRKRNQKPLEPKFSIAL LPRWYFYYLGTGPYANASYGESLEGVFWVANHQADTSTPSDVSSRDPTTQEAIPTRF LPRWYFYYLGTGPHAKDQYGTDIDGVYWVASNQADVNTPADIVDRDPSSDEAIPTRF SPRWYFYYLGTGPEAGLPYGANKDGIIWVATEGALNTPKDH <mark>IGTRNPANNAAIVLQL</mark> *: :*******	137 130 175 176 161	of Residence of Residence of Residence of Statement of St
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	PNGVTVAEEPDSRAPSRSQSRSQSRSRGESKSQSRNSSSDRNHNSQDDIMKAV PPELSVVEFEDRSNNSSRASSRSSTRNNSRDSSRSTSRQQSRTRSDSNQSSSDLVAAV PPGTULPQGYVEGSGRSASN-SRPGSRSQSGE-NNRSLSRSNSNFRHSDSIVKPDM PPGTVLPQGYVEGSGRSAPN-SRSTSRTSSRAS-SAGSRSRANSGNRTPTSGVFPDM <u>PQGTTLPKGFYAEGSRCGSQASSRSSSRSSRNSSRNS-TPGS-SRGTSPARMAGNGG</u> * **	190 188 231 232 215	
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	AAALKSLGFDKPQEKDKKSAKTGTPKPSRNQSPASSQSAAKILARSQSSETKEQKHEMQK TLALKNLGFDNQSKSPSSSGTSTPKKPNKPLSQPRADKPSQLKK ADBIANLVLAKLGKDSKPQQVTKQNAKEIRHKILTK ADQIASLVLAKLGKDATKPQQVTKHTAKEVRQKILNK DAALALLLDRINQLESKMS <mark>CKGQQQQGQTVTKKSAAEASKX</mark> : * :. : * : : *	250 232 267 269 257	Degree of Conservation
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	PRWKRQPNDDVTSNVTQCFGPRDLDHNFGSAGVVANGVKAKGYPQFAELVPSTAAML PRWKRVPTREENVIQCFGPRDFNHNMGDSDLVQNGVDAKGFPQLAELIPNQAALF PRQKRTPNKHCNVQQCFGKRGPSQNFGNAEMLKLGTNDPQFPILAELAPTPGAFF PRQKRSPNKQCTVQQCFGKRGPNQNFGGGEMLKLGTSDPQFPILAELAPTAGAFF PRQKRSPNKQCTVQQCFGKRGPQQNFGGGEMLKLGTSDPQFPILAELAPTAGAFF ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	307 287 322 324 315	Non-Hit Residues
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	FDSHIVSKESGNTVVLTFTTRVTVPKDHPHLGKFLEELNAFTREM FDSEVSTDEVGDNVQITYTYKMLVAKDNKNLPKFIEQISAFTKPS FGSKLDLVKRDSEADSPVKDVFELHYSGIRFDSTLFGFETIMKVLDENLNAYVNSN FGSRLELAKVQNLSGNPDEPQKDVYELRYNGAIRFDSTLSGFETIMKVLNENLNAYQQQD GMSRIGMEVTPSEADSPVKDVYELRYNGAIRFDSTLSGFETIMKVLNENLNAYQQQD *.:*:	352 332 379 384 364	
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	QQQPLLNPSALEFNPSQ-TSPATVEPVRDEVSIETDIIDEVN SIKEMQSQSSHV QNTDSDSISSKPQRKRGVKQLPEQFDSLNLSAGTQHISNDFTPEDHSLL GMMNMSFKPQRQRGHKNGQGENDNISVAVPKSRVQQNKSRELTAEDISLL PTEPKKDKKKKADETQALPQRQKKQQTVTLL-PAA-DLDDFSKQLQ	393 344 428 434 408	
229E NL63 HKU1 OC43 SARS_2	393 ATLDDPYVEDSVA- KKMDEPYTEDTSEI 448 SXSSADSTQA 419 weak conservation		



Dominant Hit Residue Non-Hit Residues

Supplementary Figure 13. A. CLUSTAL O(1.2.4) multiple sequence alignment analysis for H-COV Nucleocapsid Protein: 229E (UniProt Accession: A0A127AU35), NL63 (UniProt Accession: Q06XQ2), HKU1 (UniProt Accession: Q5MQC6), OC43 (UniProt Accession: P33469), SARS 2 (UniProt Accession: PODTC9). B. Summary of conservation degree. Immunodominant peptides inducing CD4+ T cell responses are marked by orange and other tested sequences are in purple. Sharing was denoted as the following: "*" Residue shared among all coronaviruses in sequence alignment, ":" Conservation between groups of strongly similar properties, "." Conservation between groups of weakly similar properties.