

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief





Data Article

Dataset on the knowledge, attitude, and practices of biomedical waste management among Tehran hospital's healthcare personnel



Mohammad Hadi Dehghani a,b,*, Massuomeh Rahmatinia a,c

- ^a Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ^b Institute for Environmental research, Center for Solid Waste Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ^c Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 2 July 2018
Received in revised form
25 July 2018
Accepted 1 August 2018
Available online 8 August 2018

Keywords: Knowledge Attitude Health care waste Hospital biomedical waste

ABSTRACT

The data of this research was the investigation of knowledge, attitude and practices of biomedical waste management among healthcare staff and performed in some general hospitals in Tehran, Iran. In this descriptive data, 162 participants were chosen according to stratified sampling method and a self-made questionnaire was used for data collection. Also, Kruskal-wallis test, Mann -Whitney U tests and Spearman correlation coefficient were used to analyze the data in R software, version 3.4.4. The weighted mean of data showed that the knowledge level in staff is "Low" and their activity level is "Moderate". Also, the data of the statistical analysis revealed that there is no significant difference between male and female health care personnel in knowledge, attitude and practices. However, the results of Kruskal-Wallis test showed that there was no significant difference between the views of hospital staff in occupational and educational groups about knowledge and attitude and their relationship with the history of passing the health course, while the difference in practices level

E-mail address: hdehghani@tums.ac.ir (M.H. Dehghani).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

was significant (P < 0.0001). Furthermore, the relation between attitude and practices level of participants with different work experience were not significant.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Specifications Table

Subject area Environmental Health Science More specific subject area Waste Management Type of data Table How data was acquired Data were collected by questionnaire Data format Raw, Analyzed The factors mentioned in the abstract were evaluated according to Experimental factors the completed questionnaires. Experimental features The researcher-made questionnaire, which contained data on Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Biomedical waste management among Healthcare Personnel were completed Data source location Tehran hospitals, Iran The data are available with this article Data accessibility

Value of the data

- The data showed a statistically significant positive relationship between Knowledge and years of service.
- The data is useful in showing that staff training is one of the fundamental ingredients in the field of proper management of biomedical waste.
- The data of the statistical analysis from this research can be useful as it indicates that it is necessary to hold some training course about biomedical waste management by relevant experts.

1. Data

Descriptive statistics related to the demographic information of the working personnel of case study hospitals were shown in Table 1. The data of Kruskal-Wallis test to compare the knowledge, attitude and practice of hospital staff regarding the management of hospital waste disposal in occupational groups was shown in Tables 2 and 3. Also, Tables 4 and 5 shows the data of the Mann-Whitney U test about the difference between the groups about the practices of hospital staff regarding the waste disposal management in occupational groups. Also, Table 6 shows the relationship between working personnel age, years of service and passing the health course with knowledge, attitude and practices. However, compare the range of scores for each field was shown in Table 7.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

This survey-descriptive study was carried out in 5 university hospitals of Tehran to investigate knowledge, attitude and practices of healthcare staff on the appropriate handling and management of health care waste (HCW). 162 participants of personnel working in the wards of Tehran hospitals: doctors, nurses and service personnel participated in this study and the questionnaire was completed by them. The questionnaire included demographic questions: 10 questions about knowledge,

M.H. Dehghani, M. Rahmatinia / Data in Brief 20 (2018) 219-225

Table 1Descriptive statistics related to the demographic information of the healthcare personnel.

Variable name	Variable grouping	Knowledge level N (%)	Attitude rate N (%)	Behavior rate N (%)	Sum	χ^2	DF	p
Age(year)	21-31	59(% 52.8)	59 (% 52.7)	59(%52.7)	177(%52.4)	0.000	2	1
	31-41	32 (% 21.8)	32(%21.8)	32(%21.8)	92(%27.2)	0.08	2	0.96
	41-51	19 (% 16.7)	19(%16.7)	19(%16.7)	57(%16.9)	0.000	2	1
	51-54	4 (% 3.5)	4(%3.5)	4(%3.5)	12(%3.5)	0.000	2	1
Sex	Female	117(%74.1)	117(%75)	116(%74.4)	350(%74.5)	0.006	2	0.99
	Male	41 (%25.9)	39(%25)	40(%25.6)	120(%25.5)	0.05	2	0.97
Education level	To diploma	36(%22.9)	34(%21.9)	35(%22.6)	105(%22.4)	0.06	2	0.97
	Associate Degree	17(%10.8)	17(%11)	17(%11)	51(%10.9)	0.000	2	1
	Bachelor	85(%54.1)	85(%54.8)	84(%54.2)	254(%54.3)	0.008	2	0.99
	Higher than bachelor	19(%12.1)	19(%12.3)	19(%12.3)	54(%12.2)	0.000	2	1
Job	Doctor	9(%5.8)	9(%5.8)	9(%5.8)	27(%5.8)	0.000	2	1
	Laboratory sciences	25(%16)	25(%16.2)	25(%16.2)	75(%16.1)	0.000	2	1
	Radiologist	19(%12.2)	19(%12.3)	18(%11.7)	56(%12.06)	0.04	2	0.98
	Paramedics and nurses	56(%35.9)	55(%35.7)	55(%35.7)	166(%35.8)	0.012	2	0.99
	services	29(%18.6)	28(%18.2)	29(%18.8)	86(%18.5)	0.023	2	0.98
	Technician	10(%6.4)	10(%6.5)	10(%6.5)	30(%6.45)	0.000	2	1
	others	8(%5.1)	8(%5.2)	8(%5.2)	24(%5.15)	0.000	2	1
Years of service	< 10	84(%59.6)	83(%59.7)	83(%59.7)	250(%59.65)	0.008	2	0.99
	10-20	43(%30.15)	42(30.2)	42(%30.2)	127(%30.3)	0.06	2	0.99
	20-30	14(%9.9)	14(%10.1)	14(%10.1)	42(%10.03)	0.000	2	1
Passing health course	Yes	71(%54.6)	70(%54.3)	70(%54.3)	211(%54.4)	0.009	2	0.99
-	No	59(%45.4)	59(%45.7)	59(%45.7)	177(%45.6)	0.000	2	1

Table 2Data of Kruskal-Wallis test about the knowledge, attitude and practices among healthcare personnel.

Job Groups		Doctor	Laboratory	Radiologist	Paramedics	Nurses	Health expert	Public Affairs	Services	Technician	Others	χ2	DF	Significant
Variables														
Knowledge	Number	9	25	19	6	50	4	3	26	10	8	17.957	9	0.036
	Average rating	10.94	8.84	9.58	4.83	62.38	9.38	102	88.42	93.8	84.13			
Attitude	Number	9	25	19	5	50	4	3	25	10	8	11.297	9	0.256
	Average rating	58.83	8.94	6.87	73	86.57	3.13	55.67	83.98	70.5	89.81			
Practices	Number	9	25	18	5	50	4	3	26	10	8	34.451	9	< 0.0001
	Average rating	55	8.18	5.22	91.6	76.15	12.8	85.83	114.2	53.05	60.13			

Table 3Data of Kruskal-Wallis test about the knowledge, attitude and practice among educational groups regarding biomedical waste management.

Variables	Study groups	Number	Average rating	χ2	DF	The significance level
Knowledge	To diploma	36	81.38	3.787	3	0.290
	Associate Degree	17	76.15			
	Bachelor	85	74.7			
	Higher than bachelor	19	96.29			
Attitude	To diploma	34	73.21	3.867	3	0.176
	Associate	17	64.18			
	Bachelor	85	83.99			
	Higher than bachelor	19	72.13			
Practices	To diploma	35	100.06	11.743	3	0.008
	Associate	17	69			
	Bachelor	84	73.8			
	Higher than bachelor	19	64			

Table 4Data of the Mann-Whitney *U* test about the practices among healthcare personnel.

Job Groups	Z	Significant Level
Doctor with a health expert	-2.79	0.005
Doctor with services	-3.19	0.001
Laboratory sciences with services	-2.7	0.007
Laboratory sciences with Technician	-1.95	0.05
Radiology with Nurses	-2	0.04
Radiology with health expert	-2.72	0.003
Radiology with services	-3.91	< 0.0001
Radiology with health expert	-3.62	< 0.0001
Nurses with health expert	-2.41	0.016
Nurses with services	-3.62	< 0.0001
Health expert with Technician	-2.7	0.007
Health expert with others	-2.21	0.027
Services with Technician	-3.27	0.001
services with others	-2.59	0.011

Table 5Data of the Mann-Whitney U for the difference between educational groups about the practices.

Study groups	Z Statistical	The significance level
To diploma or Associate	-2.63	0.008
To diploma or Bachelor	-2.82	0.005
To diploma or Higher than bachelor	-2.76	0.006

9 question about attitude and 11 question about practices [1–10]. The validity and reliability of the questionnaire were tested by relevant experts in this issue and Cronbach's alpha equal to 0.78 was achieved. The knowledge questions were scored by order: 2 scores for "Yes", 1 score for "No" and missing for "No idea" answer. The attitude and practices questions were scored by the Likert spectrum scaled from 1 to 5 score.

Table 6Spearman correlation coefficients between knowledge, attitude, practices, age, years of service and Passing the health course.

Variables		Correlation rate	The significance level
Age	Knowledge	0.156	0.097
	Attitude	0.108	0.256
	Practices	0.137	0.15
Years of services	Knowledge	0.199	0.018
	Attitude	0.087	0.307
	Practices	0.090	0.291
Passing the health	Knowledge	0.21	0.89
course	Attitude	0.434	0.28
	Practices	0.622	0.062

Table 7Comparison the range of scores for each field.

The range of scores for each field	Undesirable		Fairly Undesirable		Desirable	
The scope of the study	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Knowledge rate	23	14.2	103	36.6	36	22.2
Attitude Status	3	1.9	1	0.6	156	96.3
Behavior Status	13	0.8	101	62.3	46	28.4

Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank authorities of Tehran University of Medical Sciences for their comprehensives support for this study.

Transparency document. Supplementary material

Transparency document associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.08.002.

References

- [1] F.A. Moghadam, S.A. Azad, M. Sahebalzamani, H. Farahani, M. Jamaran, An investigation on the level of awareness, attitude, and interest among medicine, dentistry, and pharmacy students toward their majors on entering university: the case of Islamic Azad University, Tehran medical sciences branch, J. Fam. Med. Prim. Care 6 (2017) 784.
- [2] P. Mohammadi, S. Rahimi, T. Dashtaleh, Y. Sohrabi, Studying the level of knowledge, attitude, and performance among personnel of Doctor Mohammad Kermanshahi and Hazrat Masomeh Hospitals in terms of hospital waste management, Ann. Trop. Med. Public Health 10 (2017) 612.
- [3] O. Awodele, A.A. Adewoye, A.C. Oparah, Assessment of medical waste management in seven hospitals in Lagos, Nigeria, BMC Public Health 16 (2016) 269.
- [4] M. Alimohammadi, M. Yousefi, F.A. Mayvan, V. Taghavimanesh, H. Navai, A.A. Mohammadi, Dataset on the knowledge, attitude and practices of biomedical wastes management among Neyshabur hospital's healthcare personnel, Data Brief. 17 (2018) 1015–1019.
- [5] O.L. Rabeie, M.B. Miranzadeh, S.H. Fallah, S. Dehqan, Z. Moulana, A. Amouei, A.A. Mohammadi, H.A. Asgharnia, M. Babaie, Determination of hospital waste composition and management in Amol city, Iran, Health Scope 1 (2012) 127–131.
- [6] R. Nabizadeh, H. Faraji, A. Mohammadi, Solid waste production and its management in dental clinics in Gorgan, Northern Iran, Int. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 5 (2014) (421-216-421).
- [7] V. Mathur, S. Dwivedi, M. Hassan, R. Misra, Knowledge, attitude, and practices about biomedical waste management among healthcare personnel: a cross-sectional study, Indian journal of community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social, Medicine 36 (2011) 143.

- [8] P. Anand, R. Jain, A. Dhyani, Knowledge, attitude and practice of biomedical waste management among health care personnel in a teaching institution in Haryana, India, International, J. Res. Med. Sci. 4 (2016) 4246–4250.
- [9] K.A. Elaziz, I.M. Bakr, Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice of hand washing among health care workers in Ain Shams University hospitals in Cairo, J. Prev. Med. Hyg. 50 (2009) 166–169.
- [10] T. Ramokate, D. Basu, Health care waste management at an academic hospital: knowledge and practices of doctors and nurses, SAMJ: South Afr. Med. J. 99 (2009) 444–445.