Cancer Management and Research

Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Comparison of transcriptional profiles in human lymphocyte cells irradiated with ¹²C ion beams at 0–2.0 Gy

Ruifeng Zhang Xuhong Dang Zhongxin Zhang Yayi Yuan Yue Ren Zhikai Duan Yahui Zuo

China Institute for Radiation Protection, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China



Correspondence: Yahui Zuo China Institute for Radiation Protection, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China Tel +86 35 1220 2260 Email yahuiz@163.com



Objective: Heavy ions have contributed to tumor site-specific radiotherapy and are a major health risk for astronauts. The purpose of this study was to investigate the changes in gene expression in peripheral lymphocytes of cancer patients and astronauts exposed to ¹²C ions, and identify suitable molecular biomarkers for health monitoring. We also aimed to observe the effects of treatment and the level of damage, by comparing the transcriptional profiles of human lymphocyte cell lines exposed to ¹²C ion beams at doses of 0–2.0 Gy.

Materials and methods: A human lymphocyte cell line was irradiated with ¹²C ion beams at 0, 0.1, 0.5, and 2.0 Gy and transcriptional profiles were evaluated using the Agilent human gene expression microarray at 24 hours after irradiation. Differentially expressed genes were identified using a fold change of \geq 2.0. Representative genes were further validated by RT-PCR. Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analyses were performed to determine the roles of differentially expressed mRNAs.

Results: Based on the microarray assays, 1,113 genes were upregulated and 853 genes were downregulated in human lymphocyte cells irradiated with 0.1 Gy ¹²C ion beams compared with the control group, 1,095 genes were upregulated and 1,220 genes were downregulated in cells irradiated with 0.5 Gy ¹²C ion beams, and 1,055 genes were upregulated and 1,356 genes were downregulated in cells irradiated with 2.0 Gy. A total of 504 genes were differentially expressed in all irradiated groups, of which 88 genes were upregulated and 416 genes downregulated. Most of these altered genes were related to the cell cycle, apoptosis, signal transduction, DNA transcription, repair, and replication. The expression differences were further confirmed by RT-PCR for a subset of differentially expressed genes.

Conclusion: Differentially expressed genes between treatment and control groups at 24 hours post-irradiation increased as the radiation dose increased; upregulated genes gradually decreased and downregulated genes increased. Our data indicated that ¹²C ion beams could repress a number of genes in a dose-dependent manner, which might lead to the failure of multiple cellular biological functions.

Keywords: 12C ion beams, human lymphocyte cell line, microarray assay, gene expression

Introduction

Heavy ions, unlike photons, form a peak of energy deposition at the end of their path (known as a Bragg peak), with a steep decrease thereafter. Owing to the narrow width of the Bragg peak, spread-out Bragg peaks have been devised to obtain broad and uniform dose distributions at tumor sites, with little energy deposition in surrounding tissues.^{1,2} Accordingly, treatment with heavy ions may be particularly beneficial in malignancies that are difficult or hazardous to treat with surgery.³ Heavy ion radiotherapy for cancer

Cancer Management and Research 2019:11 2363-2369

2363

Construction of the set of the se

contributes to the trend in tumor site-specific radiotherapy. In addition, space radiation is a major health risk for astronauts.⁴ To protect against irradiation during space travel, studies of the hazards of energetic heavy particles are needed.

Therefore, studies of the biological effects of heavy ions have broad practical applications. Radiation influences cell molecules (including water molecules and biomacromolecules, such as DNA, RNA, and proteins), and the effect of radiation on DNA is particularly important.⁵ Cellular DNA damage is the ultimate biological consequence of radiation, and leads to mutation, cell death, senescence, and carcinogenesis.⁶ Microarray technology is one approach to comprehensively characterize genome-wide expression differences and changes in transcriptional profiles induced by ionizing radiation. The objective of our study was to explore the molecular biological effect of heavy ions using microarray technology and to identify molecular biomarkers of health monitoring and to observe the effects of treatment and the level of damage.

Materials and methods Cell culture

The human lymphocyte cell line Peng-EBV (provided by the Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Science) was used. Human lymphocyte cells were grown in MEM supplemented with 20% FBS (complete medium, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO_2 .

Irradiation with carbon ion beams

The human lymphocyte cell line was exposed to ¹²C ion beams at doses of 0, 0.1, 0.5, and 2.0 Gy, with a dose rate of 0.3–0.5 Gy/min, 0 Gy was not irradiated. Irradiation with ¹²C ion beams was performed at the China Institute of Atomic Energy in Lanzhou. In this study, 165 MeV/u ¹²C ion beams were used. Immediately after irradiation, the medium was replaced with fresh complete medium and cells were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

RNA extraction, quantification, and quality control

Total RNA was extracted from all samples using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The yield of RNA was determined using a NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the integrity of RNA was determined using an Agilent 2,100 Bioanalyzer and RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

IncRNA and mRNA microarray expression profiling

A whole genome expression analysis was performed by Shanghai OE Biotech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China) according to the protocol for the one-color microarray-based gene expression analysis developed by Agilent Technologies. Sample labeling, microarray hybridization, and washing were performed according to the manufacturer's standard protocols. Briefly, total RNAs were transcribed to doublestranded cDNA, synthesized into cRNA, and labeled with cyanine-3-CTP. The labeled cRNAs were hybridized onto the microarray. After washing, the arrays were scanned using the Agilent Scanner G2505C (Agilent Technologies).

Data analysis

Feature Extraction (version 10.7.1.1, Agilent Technologies) was used to analyze array images and obtain raw data. GeneSpring was employed for the basic data analysis. Raw data were normalized using the quantile algorithm. Differentially expressed genes were then identified based on fold change values, with a threshold fold change of ≥ 2.0 . Afterward, a Gene Ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis were used to determine the roles of these differentially expressed mRNAs. Finally, hierarchical clustering was performed to distinguish gene expression patterns among samples.

Reverse-transcriptase (RT) PCR

Differential gene expression between irradiation and control groups was verified for a subset of genes. Total RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed to cDNA using reverse transcriptase (Takara, Kusatsu, Japan) and cDNA samples were subjected to real-time quantitative PCR using a Rotor-Gene 6000 PCR machine (Corbett Life Science, Mortlake, Australia). The relative expression values were normalized against the levels of internal control (β -actin). Primers are shown in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean and SDs. Independent sample *t*-tests were used to identify significant differences between control and treatment groups using SPSS 21.0. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Gene	Gene-specific primer	Amplification conditions
ABCGI	F: 5'-ATCATTTGCACCATCCACCAG-3'	95°C for 30 seconds,
	R: 5'-TGGTAGGTTGGGCAGTTCAGAC-3'	95°C for 5 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 40 cycles
APOL6	F: 5'-GCAAGGACAGAGGTTCAGGAT-3'	95°C for 30 seconds,
	R: 5'-AGCCTCTGTGGCAGCAAAT-3'	95°C for 5 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 40 cycles
LY6G5C	F: 5'-CCGAAGTAAGGAGCAGATGAGTG-3'	95°C for 30 seconds,
	R: 5'-GTGGCTGGAAACTGGTGGA-3'	95°C for 5 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 40 cycles
β-actin	F: 5'-CCTCTCCCAAGTCCACACAG-3'	95°C for 30 seconds,
	R: 5'-GGGCACGAAGGCTCATCATT-3'	95°C for 5 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 40 cycles

Table I Primer sequences and amplification conditions for RT-PCR

Results

Differential expression of mRNAs based on a microarray analysis

An optimized microarray platform was used to analyze and compare gene expression patterns between irradiated and control cells. In total, 504 mRNAs exhibited significantly different expression levels among the four groups ($P \le 0.05$), including 88 upregulated and 416 downregulated genes (irradiated group vs control group). As shown in Table 2, the differentially expressed genes at 24 hours post-irradiation increased as the dose increased; upregulated genes gradually decreased, while downregulated genes increased. Further analysis of the differentially expressed genes indicated that the expression of some genes was dose-dependent, as shown in Tables 3 and 4.

GO and KEGG pathway analysis

In the GO analysis, we found that in the 0.1 Gy dose group, the differentially expressed genes included a total of 580 altered genes that were involved in 185 biological process (BP) classifications, mainly including cell growth and development, cell differentiation, ion transport, cell adhesion, nervous system growth, and development. A total of 782 differentially expressed genes of the 0.5 Gy group were involved in 103 BP classifications, including transmembrane transport, ion transport, nervous system growth and development, positive correlation regulation of cell proliferation, prominent transmission. There were 802 differentially expressed genes in the 2.0 Gy group involved in 95 BP classifications, which were associated with regulation of DNA replication, signal transduction, protein phosphorylation, intracellular signaling, regulation of small GTPase signaling, the initiation of apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, and DNA damage-stimulated responses. Then we compared the GO results for differentially expressed genes with a dose-dependent relationship to ¹²C ion beam

Table 2 Differentially expressed genes in human lymphocyte cell line irradiated by ^{+2}C ions

Dose	Upregulated	Downregulated	Differentially
			expressed
0.1 Gy	1,113	853	1,966
0.5 Gy	1,095	1,220	2,315
2.0 Gy	1,055	1,356	2,411
Common	88	416	504

exposure. The results indicated that the major functions of upregulated genes with a dose-dependent relationship were transport, protein phosphorylation, and negative regulation of transcription chloride transport. The primary functions of downregulated genes were cell differentiation, ATP catabolic process, neuron–neuron synaptic transmission, and anterograde axon cargo transport, as shown in Figure 1.

The KEGG pathway analysis revealed that 83 differentially expressed genes exposed to 0.1 Gy ¹²C ion beams were associated with nine pathways, 99 differentially expressed genes at 0.5 Gy were associated with eight pathways, and 119 differentially expressed genes at 2.0 Gy were associated with ten pathways, as shown in Table 5. Table 6 shows five enrichment pathways for genes with dose-dependent relationships and the genes involved in the pathways.

Validation by real-time quantitative PCR

To confirm the microarray results, qRT-PCR was performed for three randomly selected differentially expressed genes: *ABCG1*, *APOL6*, and *LY6G5C*. The qRT-PCR results were consistent with those of the microarray analysis; the three genes were differentially expressed with the same trends (upregulated or downregulated), as shown in Table 7.

Discussion

Radiation damage is complex, involving interactions among multiple genes. Many regulatory genes are not independent

GenBank Gene name		Description		Fold change		
Accession			0.1 Gy	0.5 Gy	2.0 Gy	
NM_025262	LY6G5C	Homo sapiens lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus G5C (LY6G5C)	-5.66	-4.49	-3.96	
NM_020354	ENTPD7	Homo sapiens ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase 7	-5.47	-3.54	-3.37	
NM_175868	MAGEA6	Homo sapiens melanoma antigen family A, 6 (MAGEA6)	-3.54	-2.92	-2.57	
XR_132464	LOC401357	Homo sapiens hypothetical	-3.22	-2.97	-2.75	
NM_006141	DYNCI LI2	Homo sapiens dynein, cytoplasmic 1, light intermediate chain 2	-2.98	-3.19	-4.08	
NM_020219	CEACAM19	Homo sapiens carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 19	-2.86	-2.72	-2.34	
NM_001130518	CSGALNACT I	Homo sapiens chondroitin sulfate N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase I	-2.71	-3.16	-4.00	
NM_130847	AMOTLI	Homo sapiens angiomotin like I	-2.71	-2.48	-2.43	
BE795749	UNKNOWN	Homo sapiens cDNA clone IMAGE:3944777	-2.70	-2.12	-2.09	
NM_001769	CD9	Homo sapiens CD9 molecule (CD9),	-2.61	-2.60	-2.19	
NM_006979	SLC39A7	Homo sapiens solute carrier family 39 (zinc transporter), member 7	-2.43	-2.91	-2.97	
NM_001170406	CDKI	Homo sapiens cyclin-dependent kinase I	-2.18	-2.26	-3.00	
XR_108844	LOC100505951	Predicted: Homo sapiens hypothetical	-2.07	-2.43	-2.78	
NM_001221	CAMK2D	Homo sapiens calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II delta	-2.01	-2.17	-2.72	
NM_016603	FAM13B	Homo sapiens family with sequence similarity 13, member B (FAM13B),	-2.01	-2.26	-2.69	
NM_175055	HIST3H2BB	Homo sapiens histone cluster 3, H2bb	-2.01	-2.15	-2.23	

Table 3 Downregulated genes with dose-dependent expression

Table 4 Upregulated genes with dose-dependent expression

GenBank	Bank Gene Description		Fold change			
Accession	name			0.5 Gy	2.0 Gy	
NM_002536	TBCID25	Homo sapiens TBC1 domain family, member 25	2.02	2.43	5.38	
NM_001294	CLPTM I	Homo sapiens cleft lip and palate associated transmembrane protein 1 (CLPTM1)	2.02	2.09	3.55	
NM_021603	FXYD2	Homo sapiens FXYD domain containing ion transport regulator 2 (FXYD2)	2.03	2.15	5.35	
NM_002999	SDC4	Homo sapiens syndecan 4 (SDC4)	2.04	2.17	5.93	
NM_001080494	TTC39A	Homo sapiens tetratricopeptide repeat domain 39A (TTC39A)	2.09	2.37	5.38	
NM_001002880	CBYI	Homo sapiens chibby homolog I (Drosophila)		2.15	2.73	
NM_015447	CAMSAPI	Homo sapiens calmodulin regulated spectrin-associated protein I (CAMSAPI)	2.14	2.44	3.11	
NM_018416	FOXJ2	Homo sapiens forkhead box J2 (FOXJ2)	2.24	2.43	3.05	
NM_002608	PDGFB	Homo sapiens platelet-derived growth factor beta polypeptide (PDGFB), transcript variant I		2.82	2.90	
NM_006103	WFDC2	Homo sapiens WAP four-disulfide core domain 2 (WFDC2)	2.45	2.89	3.15	
NM_207627	ABCG1	Homo sapiens ATP-binding cassette, sub-family G (WHITE), member I (ABCGI)		3.54	5.29	
NM_030641	APOL6	Homo sapiens apolipoprotein L, 6		3.37	2.68	

factors, but are involved in networks of interacting genes. Microarray assay can be used to understand the role of radiation-induced genes in related functions, such as regulation of cell cycle, apoptosis and DNA repair. In our study, at a lower radiation dose (0.1 Gy), differential genes are mainly involved in cell growth and development, and cell differentiation. At 0.5 Gy, differential genes primarily include transmembrane transport, ion transport, nervous system growth and development, and positive correlation regulation of cell proliferation. There were additional differential genes associated with cell cycle and apoptosis in the 2.0 Gy dose group, which was not evident in the 0.1 Gy and 0.5 Gy dose groups. Moreover, the pathways associated with differentially expressed genes differed among dose groups. Pathways in the low-dose irradiation group were mainly inflammatory

response-related regulatory and immunoregulation pathways, Notably, in the high-dose group we observed the enrichment of cancer regulation, lupus erythematosus, apoptosis, and p53 signaling pathways. These results provided convincing evidence that the degree of repair of heavy ion damage was dose-related.

In Long Xianhui's study,⁷ human lymphocytoblast cells were irradiated with γ rays at 2 Gy and 10 Gy, transcriptional profiles were evaluated using gene expression microarray at 4 hours after irradiation. The differential genes associated with cell cycle, apoptosis, and DNA damage that were consistent with our results. Haruo Fujinag⁸ compared the biological characteristics of gene expression features provided by proton and X-ray irradiation on the PC cell line, MIAPaCa-2. The results showed there were similar cytocidal and tumoricidal



Figure I GO analysis of differentially expressed genes with dose-dependent relationships

Notes: (A) GO analysis of upregulated genes with dose-dependent relationships. (B) GO analysis of downregulated genes with dose-dependent relationships. Abbreviations: GO, Gene Ontology.

Dose	Pathway	Gene number	<i>P</i> -value
0.I Gy	Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction	20	<0.001
	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	18	<0.05
	Glutamatergic synapse	10	<0.05
	Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)	10	<0.05
	Taste transduction	8	<0.001
	Vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption	5	<0.05
	Maturity onset diabetes of the young	5	<0.001
	Primary immunodeficiency	4	<0.05
	Pantothenate and CoA biosynthesis	3	<0.05
0.5 Gy	Systemic lupus erythematosus	23	<0.001
	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	23	<0.05
	Amoebiasis	11	<0.05
	ECM-receptor interaction	9	<0.05
	Complement and coagulation cascades	9	<0.05
	Taste transduction	9	<0.001
	Arachidonic acid metabolism	7	<0.05
	Prion diseases	5	<0.05
2.0 Gy	Systemic lupus erythematosus	23	<0.001
	MAPK signaling pathway	21	<0.05
	Glutamatergic synapse	13	<0.05
	Vascular smooth muscle contraction	11	<0.05
	p53 signaling pathway	10	<0.001
	Pancreatic secretion	10	<0.05
	Apoptosis	9	<0.05
	Complement and coagulation cascades	8	<0.05
	Taste transduction	8	<0.05
	Notch signaling pathway	6	<0.05

Table 5 Pathways of differentially expressed genes after irradiation by ¹²C ions

Regulation	Pathway	Gene name	P-value
Upregulated	Focal adhesion	LAMB3, PDGFB	0.034
	ECM-receptor interaction	LAMB3, SDC4	0.006
	Proximal tubule bicarbonate reclamation	FXYD2	0.034
Downregulated	Systemic lupus erythematosus	H2BB, H2BF	0.021
	Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis – chondroitin sulfate	CSGalNAcT I	0.036

Table 6 Pathways of genes with dose-dependent relationships

Table	7	Validation	of	microarray	results	for	three	randomly
chosen	ge	enes by qRT	-P(CR				

Amplified gene	Irradiation group	Relative expression by PCR	Microarray fold change
ABCGI	0.1 Gy	1.35±0.01ª	2.62
	0.5 Gy	1.58±0.03ª	3.54
	2.0 Gy	3.45±0.06ª	
			5.29
APOL6	0.1 Gy	3.36±0.44ª	4.23
	0.5 Gy	3.00±0.25ª	3.37
	2.0 Gy	I.79±0.IIª	
			2.68
LY6G5C	0.1 Gy	0.45±0.02ª	-5.66
	0.5 Gy	0.56±0.01ª	-4.49
	2.0 Gy	0.59±0.07ª	-3.96

Note: ^aP<0.05, statistically significant.

effects, but the altered gene expression profile of irradiated MIAPaCa-2 cells was different.

In the current study, we identified various genes with dose-dependent expression that were closely associated with the occurrence, development, and treatment of cancer. The downregulated gene CSGalNAcT1 not only functions in cell recognition but is also involved in chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, heparin, and proteoglycan biosynthetic processes. These materials can increase the biosynthesis of mRNA and DNA, promote metabolism, have anti-inflammatory activity, accelerate wound healing, and exhibit antineoplastic effects. CSGalNAcT1 is deleted on chromosome eight in oral squamous cell carcinoma.9 In addition, Hunter et al¹⁰ showed that CSGalNAcT1 is associated with tumor prognosis and malignant plasma cells. CSGalNAcT1 is involved in the regulation of metabolism with antineoplastic function. Our data showed that the downregulation of CSGalNAcT1 in cells irradiated by ¹²C ions increased as the dose increased. The role of CSGalNAcT1 downregulation in response to radiotherapy should be evaluated in future research.

We also identified two upregulated genes with dosedependent expression that were related to cancer. *ABCG1* is a member of the superfamily of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, which are putative drug transporters.¹¹ Tumor cells acquire resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs by the expression of various multidrug resistance genes, and cancer stem cells can effectively increase drug resistance by the upregulation of drug efflux transporter genes.^{12–14} Patients with tumors with high expression of various ABC transporter pumps usually do not respond to chemotherapy because the ABC transporters located on the cytoplasmic side of resistant cells will efflux chemotherapeutic drugs out of tumor cells.15 Additionally, recent reports have established that the upregulation of key ABC transporter genes (ABCG1 and ABCB1) imparts resistance to 5-FU in U87-MCSF cells.¹⁶ Our data showed that the expression level of ABCG1 in cells irradiated by 12C ions increased as the dose increased. Since the increased expression of various ABC transporters may lead to chemo-resistance, the expression levels of ABC transporters should be detected before treatment with both chemotherapy and heavy ion radiotherapy.

Another upregulated gene, APOL6, belongs to a family of programmed cell death genes encoding proteins that can initiate host apoptosis or autophagic death. Each APOL gene putatively contains a BH3 protein domain; BH3-only proteins function as upstream activators of programmed cell death, responding to various stimuli, such as cell detachment, cytokine withdrawal, or DNA damage, before initiating cell death.¹⁷ Cancer cells frequently possess defects in the genetic and biochemical pathways of apoptosis. The full-length cDNA of ApoL6 has been identified, cloned, and functionally expressed in p53-null colorectal cancer cells (DLD-1). Furthermore, adenovirus harboring the full-length cDNA of ApoL6 induces marked apoptosis in a variety of cancer cell types.¹⁸ It is unclear whether the increased expression of ApoL6 is related to the effect of heavy ion radiotherapy. It is reasonable to speculate that the dysregulation of ApoL6 derails apoptosis and causes tumorigenesis.¹⁹

Ionizing radiation can induce nuclear DNA damage, which in turn results in changes in transcription, translation, and other processes, thereby affecting gene expression. Understanding the gene expression changes in response to heavy ion radiation has broad practical applications. More importantly, it can clarify the tumor responses to therapy at the molecular level, enabling the development of individualized treatment plans for patients.

Conclusion

The results of our study indicated that ¹²C ion beams could repress a number of genes in a dose-dependent manner, which might lead to the failure of multiple cellular biological functions. In the future, we aim to verify the functions of these genes for cancer patients, to observe the effect of treatment and the level of damage.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Hamada N, Imaoka T, Masunaga S, et al. Recent advances in the biology of heavy-ion cancer therapy. *J Radiat Res.* 2010;51(4):365–383.
- Durante M, Orecchia R, Loeffler JS. Charged-particle therapy in cancer: clinical uses and future perspectives. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2017;14(8):483–495.
- Fokas E, Kraft G, An H. Engenhart-Cabillic R ion beam radiobiology and cancer: time to update ourselves. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2009;1796(2):216–229.
- Hellwig CE, Spitta LF, Koch K, et al. The role of the nuclear factor κB pathway in the cellular response to low and high linear energy transfer radiation. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;19(8):1–43.
- Shu-Zheng L. The effect of ionizing radiation on chromosomes. In: Medical Radiobiology. Beijing: Atomic Energy Press; 2006:98.
- Noda A. Radiation-induced unrepairable DSBs: their role in the late effects of radiation and possible applications to biodosimetry. *J Radiat Res.* 2018;59(suppl_2):ii114–ii120.

- Long XH, Xu QZ, He XP, et al. Comparison of transcription profiles between 2 Gy and 10 Gy irradiated human lymphoblastoid cells. *China J Radiol Med Prot.* 2006;26(2):110–113.
- Fujinaga H, Sakai Y, Yamashita T, et al. Biological characteristics of gene expression features in pancreatic cancer cells induced by proton and X-ray irradiation. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 2018:1–44.
- 9. Yong ZW, Zaini ZM, Kallarakkal TG, et al. Genetic alterations of chromosome 8 genes in oral cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2014;4:1–9.
- Hunter AM, Leuchter AF, Power RA, et al. A genome-wide association study of a sustained pattern of antidepressant response. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2013;47(9):1157–1165.
- Gillet JP, Efferth T, Remacle J. Chemotherapy-induced resistance by ATP-binding cassette transporter genes. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2007;1775(2):237–262.
- Dean M, Fojo T, Bates S. Tumour stem cells and drug resistance. Nat Rev Cancer. 2005;5(4):275–284.
- 13. Hussein I, Waheed S, Ahmad KA, et al. *Scutellaria baicalensis* targets the hypoxia-inducible factor- 1α and enhances cisplatin efficacy in ovarian cancer. *J Cell Biochem.* 2018;119(9):1–10.
- da Costa KM, Valente RC, Salustiano EJ, et al. Functional characterization of ABCC proteins from *Trypanosoma cruzi* and their involvement with thiol transport. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;9:1–19.
- Wu Q, Yang Z, Xia L, et al. Methylation of miR-129-5p CpG island modulates multi-drug resistance in gastric cancer by targeting ABC transporters. *Oncotarget*. 2014;5(22):1–12.
- Chockalingam S, Ghosh SS. Amelioration of cancer stem cells in macrophage colony stimulating factor-expressing U87MG-human glioblastoma upon 5-fluorouracil therapy. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(12):e83877.
- Smith EE, Malik HS. The apolipoprotein L family of programmed cell death and immunity genes rapidly evolved in primates at discrete sites of host-pathogen interactions. *Genome Res.* 2009;19(5):850–858.
- Liu Z, Lu H, Jiang Z, Pastuszyn A, Hu CA. Apolipoprotein L6, a novel proapoptotic Bcl-2 homology 3-only protein, induces mitochondriamediated apoptosis in cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2005;3:21–31.
- Aryee DN, Niedan S, Ban J, et al. Variability in functional p53 reactivation by PRIMA-1(Met)/APR-246 in Ewing sarcoma. *Br J Cancer*. 2013;109(10):2696–2704.

Cancer Management and Research

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal

Dovepress