Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) as a causal agent of disease in swine and a proposal of PCV-3 associated disease case definition

Viviane Saporiti¹ | Giovanni Franzo² | Marina Sibila^{1,3} | Joaquim Segalés^{3,4,5}

¹ IRTA, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA, IRTA-UAB), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

² Department of Animal Medicine, Production and Health (MAPS), University of Padua, Padua, Italy

³ OIE Collaborating Centre for the Research and Control of Emerging and Re-emerging Swine Diseases in Europe (IRTA-CReSA), Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

⁴ UAB, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA, IRTA-UAB), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

⁵ Departament de Sanitat i Anatomia Animals, Facultat de Veterinària, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence

Joaquim Segalés, OIE Collaborating Centre for the Research and Control of Emerging and Re-emerging Swine Diseases in Europe (IRTA-CReSA), 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain.

Email: joaquim.segales@irta.cat

Authors Marina Sibila and Joaquim Segalés contributed equally.

Abstract

Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) was discovered in 2015 using next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods. Since then, the virus has been detected worldwide in pigs displaying several clinical-pathological outcomes as well as in healthy animals. The objective of this review is to critically discuss the evidence existing so far regarding PCV-3 as a swine pathogen. In fact, a significant number of publications claim PCV-3 as a disease causal infectious agent, but very few of them have shown strong evidence of such potential causality. The most convincing proofs of disease association are those that demonstrate a clinical picture linked to multisystemic lymphoplasmacytic to lymphohistiocytic perivascular inflammation and presence of viral nucleic acid within these lesions. Based on these evidence, individual case definitions for PCV-3reproductive disease and PCV-3-systemic disease are proposed to standardize diagnostic criteria for PCV-3-associated diseases. However, the real frequency of these clinical-pathological conditions linked to the novel virus is unknown, and the most frequent outcome of PCV-3 infection is likely subclinical based on its worlwide distribution.

Transboundary and Emerging Diseases

KEYWORDS

case definition, disease causality, porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3), reproductive disease, systemic disease

1 | INTRODUCTION

Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) was discovered in the United States (2015) by next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods in swine affected by respiratory and neurological signs, cardiac and multi-systemic inflammation, reproductive failure and a porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome (PDNS)-like condition (Palinski et al., 2017; Phan et al., 2016). Since then, the virus has been detected in *Suidae* species (domestic swine and wild boar) from many countries all over the world as well as occasionally in some non-Suidae species (Czyżewska-Dors et al., 2020; Franzo et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Wang, Sun, et al., 2019).

Following the first descriptions, PCV-3 has been detected in pigs displaying several clinical-pathological outcomes, such as respiratory disease (Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Lumyai et al., 2018; Qi et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2018; Zhai et al., 2017), digestive disorders (Qi et al., 2019; Zhai et al., 2017), congenital tremors (Chen et al., 2017), rectal prolapse (Phan et al., 2016), reproductive problems (Arruda et al., 2019; Deim et al., 2019; Faccini et al., 2017) and multisystemic inflammation (Arruda et al., 2019; Phan et al., 2019; Phan et al., 2017), and several et al., 2019; Phan et al., 2019; Phan et al., 2019; Phan et al., 2019; Phan et al., 2017), and multisystemic inflammation (Arruda et al., 2019; Phan et

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2021 The Authors. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* published by Wiley-VCH GmbH 2016). Additionally, this novel virus has been detected in healthy animals of different ages and countries (Franzo, Legnardi, et al., 2018; Klaumann et al., 2019; Klaumann, Correa-Fiz, et al., 2018; Klaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018; Saporiti, Huerta, et al., 2020; Stadejek et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2018; Zhai et al., 2017).

After those initial and further studies on PCV-3 detection in pigs with different pathological outcomes (Klaumann et al., 2018), it was rapidly accepted as a potential swine pathogen worldwide by the veterinary community. This is in sharp contrast with the history of another porcine circovirus, PCV-2, whose causal association with a deadly condition (the so-called post-weaning multisystemic wasting syndrome, PMWS) was debated for a long time (Segalés et al., 2013). In fact, only the advent of PCV-2 vaccines almost a decade after PMWS description served to close the debate; vaccination was extremely efficient in counteracting PMWS, afterwards called PCV-2-systemic disease (Segalés, 2015). It is tempting to speculate that the previous experience with PCV-2 as a pathogen favoured the acceptance of PCV-3 as a such.

There are several parallelisms between PCV-2 and PCV-3, since both are very similar from a molecular organization point of view (Franzo et al., 2018) and they have been detected retrospectively many years before their first identification/report in potential association with disease (Jacobsen et al., 2009; Rodrigues et al., 2020). In turn, significant differences also apply, since PCV-2 evolves much more rapidly than PCV-3 (Franzo, Segalés, et al., 2018), a remarkably higher genomic variability has been detected in PCV-2 compared to PCV-3 (Franzo et al., 2020) and PCV-2 was discovered in the context of a new disease with epidemic proportions worldwide, while that has not been the case with PCV-3 (Opriessnig et al., 2020). Morover, with regards the aminoacid (aa) similarity, PCV-3 is far distant from PCV-2, with an identity of Cap and Rep proteins around 26%–37% and 48%, respectively (Palinski et al., 2017; Phan et al., 2016).

Therefore, the objective of this review is to critically discuss the evidence existing so far regarding PCV-3 as a swine pathogen and to propose a disease case definition for these conditions that show a rather strong causal relationship.

2 | DISEASE ASSOCIATION

2.1 | Naturally occurring PCV-3 infection

Presence of PCV-3 genome in a sick animal does not entail that this virus is the cause of the clinical signs or lesions. Moreover, most of the studies on PCV-3 detection lack of proper negative controls (agematched, healthy pigs) to compare with, further complicating the interpretation of the causal association between viral infection and disease.

Literature linking PCV-3 with different disorders is extensive already, mainly regarding respiratory, digestive, reproductive and neurological signs. However, most of these studies do not provide information on viral genome detection in healthy pigs from the same farms; a number of them only offer PCV-3 DNA detection by means of molecular methods, without showing evidence of viral presence in the lesions of affected animals. Only few reports combine the detection of PCV-3 within the lesions, using mainly in situ hybridization (ISH) (Arruda et al., 2019; Kim, Park, et al., 2018; Phan et al., 2016; Saporiti et al., 2021; Vargas-Bermúdez et al., 2021; Williamson et al., 2021). In these studies, myocarditis, periarteritis and/or encephalitis were the most significant lesions associated with the presence of PCV-3 nucleic acid in fetuses, stillborn and weak-born piglets, while myocarditis, systemic periarteritis and/or dermatopathy associated to necrotizing vasculitis were observed in weaned pigs, respectively. Also, one study pointed out that PCV-3 genome was found in a case resembling proliferative necrotizing pneumonia, but pictures offered in the publication are not indicative of this pathological condition (Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Lumyai et al., 2018).

Tables 1–5 summarize current studies, performed in different countries, that have detected PCV-3 DNA in tissues from different clinicalpathological conditions in domestic swine, indicating the production phase of affected pigs, tested samples, proportion of PCV-3 PCR detection in sick animals and in healthy control animals (when available). Besides, Table 6 includes the studies that detected PCV-3 genome in healthy animals.

2.2 | PCV-3 in co-infection with other pathogens

Being a worldwide spread virus, PCV-3 has been found in many studies in co-infection with other pathogens such the ones listed in Table 7. The existence of such mixed infections in diseased animals emphasizes the need to study the differential pathogenicity of PCV-3 alone or in coinfection . PCV-2 co-infection with other pathogens has been proven to lead to more severe disease presentation under field (Opriessnig & Halbur, 2012) and experimental (Tomás et al., 2008) conditions. The impact of PCV-3 co-infection with other agents is currently unknown and a potential and vast field of further research.

2.3 Experimental infections with PCV-3

The pathogenesis of PCV-3 infection is still a mystery. Several limitations account for such paucity of knowledge, including the very scarce availability of virus isolates (Mora-Díaz et al., 2020; Oh & Chae, 2020), the lack of serologically and virologically free pigs and the widespread nature of the virus, that make very difficult to get suitable tools, animals and conditions to perform experimental infections. Some laboratory reagents have been generated for intra-laboratory use only (Li et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019), but they have not been apparently validated by other research groups.

In consequence, only three experimental infections have been published in the literature to date, all of them using nursery aged pigs (4to 8-week-old). Two of them were done by the same research group in the United States by means of a cell culture propagated virus (1 mL intranasal [IN] and 1 mL intramuscular [IM] of 6.6×10^{10} genomic copies/mL) (Mora-Díaz et al., 2020) or tissue homogenate containing

ers
ord
dis
tory
ira
resp
rom
ğ
erii
μĘ
S S
-jii
.=
tior
tec
det
PCR
ς-'
Ŋ
÷
щ
ВГ
۲
- m

			% (and proportion) of PC	R positivity		
ns/lesions	Production phase	Tested samples	Diseased animals	Healthy animals	Country	Reference
ry disease with dyspnea / diffuse te lymphohistiocytic interstitial ania and acute bronchitis	Suckling/Nursery	Tissues [*]	100.0% (3/3)**	z	USA	Phan et al., 2016
ry disease	NA	Lung homogenate/oral fluid/nasal swab	12.5% (34/271)	Z	USA	Palinski et al., 2017
	Suckling	Lung tissues	26.6% (25/94)	0.0% (0/42)***	China	Qi et al., 2019
spiratory disease	Nursery	Sera	63.7% (51/80)	1.85% (4/216)	China	Zhai et al., 2017
iratory disease	Nursery	Sera	13.1% (23/175)	1.85% (4/216)	China	
al breathing/lung swelling and tion	Nursery	Tissues/sera	NA****	Z	China	Shen et al., 2018
ory disease/interstitial pneumonia, ative bron- chopneumonia, pleuritis rinous-necrotizing pneumonia	Nursery/growing	Sera	6.2% (8/129)	6.6% (4/60)	Spain	Saporiti, Cruz, et al., 2020
respiratory disease complex related	Growing	Sera	60.0% (15/25)	28.0% (7/25)	Thailand	Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Lumyai, et al., 2018
espiratory disease ex/bronchointerstitial pneumonia	Growing	Lung and lymph node tissues	62.5% (5/8)	Z	Thailand	
ory distress/bronchointerstitial onia and infiltrating lymphocytes	Growing	Tissues	100% (2/2)	ĪZ	South Korea	Kim, Park, et al., 2018

NI: not included in the published manuscript; NA: non-available information in the published manuscript.

*Not specified. **NGS results.

***The type of samples analyzed in control animals (feces) was different from the ones used in diseased pigs (lung tissues).
****Number of tested samples not included in the published manuscript.

Transboundary and Emerging Diseases

TABLE 2 PCV-3 PCR detection in pigs suffering from enteric disorders

			% (and proportion positivity) of PCR		
Clinical signs/lesions	Production phase	Tested samples	Diseased animals	Healthy animals	Country	Reference
Diarrhea	Nursery	Fecal samples	17.14% (6/35)	2.86% (1/35)	China	Zhai et al., 2017
Diarrhea/vomiting	Suckling	Intestinal tissues/ fecal samples	10.4% (50/480)	0.0% (0/42)*	China	Qi et al., 2019
Digestive disorders/catarrhal en- teritis with or without villi atrophy and fusion, and catarrhal colitis	Nursery/growing	Sera	5.5% (7/126)	6.6% (4/60)	Spain	Saporiti, Cruz, et al., 2020

*The type of samples analyzed in control animals (feces) was different from the ones used in diseased pigs (intestinal tissues).

high PCV-3 load (2 mL IN of 3.38×10^{12} genomic copies/mL and 2 mL IM of 1.04×10^{11} genomic copies/mL and re-inoculated after 7 days through the same routes and with the same doses), with or without keyhole limpet hemocyanin emulsified in incomplete Freund's adjuvant (KLH/ICFA) (Temeeyasen et al., 2021). The third one was performed by a Chinese research group, using a PCV-3 infectious clone (2 mL IN of $10^{6.53}$ TCID50/mL), with or without KLH/ICFA (Jiang et al., 2018). The group inoculated with KLH/ICFA also received a infectious booster after 4 days (Jiang et al., 2018).

The first two studies used caesarean-derived, colostrum-deprived piglets and no clinical signs upon inoculation occurred. However, mild-to-moderate lesions consisting of multisystemic inflammation and perivasculitis were observed, associated with a low to moderate amount of PCV-3 genome detected by ISH within the lesions (Mora-Díaz et al., 2020; Temeeyasen et al., 2021). These data mirror the limited number of studies on PCV-3 naturally infection cases (A; Arruda et al., 2019; Phan et al., 2016; Saporiti et al., 2021), in which myocarditis and periarteritis were the dominant histological findings. Importantly, the experimental inoculation of the virus caused a detectable antibody response around 7–10 days post-challenge (DPC) in both studies, but with different profiles; IgM response dominated in one study (Mora-Díaz et al., 2020) and IgG in the other (Temeeyasen et al., 2021). The virus was detected in serum as soon as 3 days after inoculation until the end of the experiment at 42 DPC (Temeeyasen et al., 2021).

The Chinese study used specific pathogen-free animals inoculated with a PCV-3 infectious clone together or not with KLH/ICFA (Jiang et al., 2018). In contrast to previous studies, fever was observed in the challenged pigs, which showed anorexia, coughing, sneezing, diarrhoea and respiratory signs; also, skin lesions consisting of multifocal papules were observed by 15 DPC until the end of the experiment (28 DPC). Although the authors indicated that PDNS was reproduced (Jiang et al., 2018), the reported kidney histopathological lesions were not compatible with systemic necrotizing vasculitis and fibrino-necrotizing glomerulonephritis, the well-known microscopic lesions of PDNS (Segalés, 2012). Therefore, based on current evidence, it is not possible to claim that PDNS has been reproduced by means of a PCV-3 experimental inoculation. Importantly, detection of

this virus in tissues was attempted by immunohistochemistry, but published images (Jiang et al., 2018) are difficult to be interpreted.

2.4 | Proposal of case definition for PCV-3 associated diseases

The sole detection of an endemic virus in tissues or other biological samples is not enough to establish a causal association or to establish disease diagnoses (Arruda et al., 2019). Most studies so far published on PCV-3 detection in diseased animals have been based on molecular methods, with very few of them reporting macro- or microscopic lesions and even less using methods detecting the genome of the virus in the observed lesions (Arruda et al., 2019; Kim, Park, et al., 2018; Phan et al., 2016; Saporiti et al., 2021). Therefore, the latter studies associating the presence of the virus in association with pathological conditions.

The establishment of disease diagnosis criteria for widespread pathogens is not an easy task. A good example of such a scenario would be a relative of PCV-3, PCV-2. Three major criteria were proposed to establish the diagnosis of PCV-2-systemic disease (Segalés & Domingo, 1999; Sorden, 2000): (1) presence of compatible clinical signs, mainly wasting, (2) observation of moderate-to-severe histological lesions in lymphoid tissues (lymphocytic infiltration and histiocytic infiltration) and (3) detection of moderate to high amount of PCV-2 within such lesions. Such criteria were crucial to provide an ordered, concise and systematic approach for diagnosing a disease that was considered new by the end of the 1990s and early 2000s. Based on the general reluctance to accept that PCV-2 was truly pathogenic for swine at that time (Segalés et al., 2013), such demanding case definition guaranteed the necessary strictness and was found acceptable for most members of veterinary and scientific communities. The description of a novel PCV (PCV-3) almost 20 years after the report of PMWS was taken with more caution, and despite the lack of an associated severe and globally distributed disease, veterinarians and scientists have been more openminded to accept its potential disease causality.

WILEV

			% (and proportion) o	f PCR positivity		
Clinical signs/lesions	Production phase	Tested samples	Diseased animals	Healthy animals	Country	Reference
Reproductive failure	Gestation	Sera from sows	45.9% (39/85)	21.9% (23/105)	China	Zou et al., 2018
		Pool of tissues from aborted fetuses/Pool of tissues from stillborn piglets	100.0% (2/2)	100.0% (2/2)	Italy	Faccini et al., 2017
		Tissues from mummified fetuses	97.0% (270/276)	ĪZ	Brazil	Dal Santo et al., 2020
Sow mortality and reproductive failure (aborted mummified fetuses)		Sow tissues/fetal tissues	NA	Ī	USA	Palinski et al., 2017
Sows delivering stillbirth piglets		Pool of sera from sows	100.0% (2/2)	0.0% (0/2)	Brazil	Tochetto et al., 2018
		Sera sows	67.4% (31/46)	60.5% (26/43)	Brazil	Tochetto et al., 2020
Acute losses in neonatal piglets/ increased rate of stillborn/sow mortality		Stillborn/tissues/ semen/sera	34.7% (77/222)	Ī	China	Ku et al., 2017
Reproductive losses/abortion and stillborn piglets		Pool of tissues from aborted fetuses or stillborn piglets	33.9% (18/53)	Ī	Spain	Saporiti et al., 2021
Reproductive losses/abortion and stillborn piglets		Sera from sows and thoracic/abdominal fluid from fetuses and spleen	10% (sow sera) 100% (fluid samples) 70% (spleen)	Ī	Russia	Yuzhakov et al., 2018
Abortion/death of suckling piglets	Gestation/suckling	Tissues from aborted fetuses/weak suckling piglets	36.4% (8/22)	Ī	South Korea	Kim, Nazki, et al., 2018
Acute loss of neonatal piglets		Tissues from aborted fetuses/stillborn/ weak-born piglets	89.0% (49/55)	Ī	Hungary	Deim et al., 2019
Reproductive failure/weak-born neonatal piglets/myocarditis/ encephalitis		Tissues from fetuses/suckling/weaning	100.0% (25/25)	Z	NSA	Arruda et al., 2019

NA: non-available information in the published manuscript; NI: not included in the published manuscript.

Transboundary and Emerging Diseases

TABLE 4PCV-3 PCR detection in pigs suffering from neurological disorders

			% (and proportion) of	of PCR positivity		
Clinical signs/lesions	Production phase	Tested samples	Diseased animals	Healthy animals	Country	Reference
Neurological signs	Suckling	Tissue pool	$100.0\% (1/1)^{*}$	NI	USA	Phan et al., 2016
Congenital tremors	Suckling	Brain	100.0% (7/7)	NI	China	Chen et al., 2017
Congenital tremors, neurological signs in piglets after birth and multisystemic inflammation/ non-suppurative encephalomyelitis	Suckling	Brain, other tissues	100.0% (3/3)	NI	UK	Williamson et al., 2021
Tremors, weak-born neonatal piglets/myocarditis, encephalitis, gliosis and lymphocytic perivascular cuffing	Suckling	Brain, other tissues	100.0% (2/2)	NI	USA	Arruda et al., 2019

*NGS results.

NA: non-available information in the published manuscript; NI: not included in the published manuscript.

TABLE 5 PCV-3 PCR detection in pigs suffering from other conditions not listed in previous tables

			% (and proportion) o	of PCR positivity		
Clinical signs/lesions	Production phase	Tested samples	Diseased animals	Healthy animals	Country	Reference
Myocarditis/periarteritis	Suckling/nursery/ fattening	Several tissues	100.0% (3/3)*	NI	USA	Phan et al., 2016
PDNS	NA	Several tissues	93.8% (45/48)	NI	USA	Palinski et al., 2017
PDNS	Sows	Pooled tissues	NA*	NI	USA	Palinski et al., 2017
PDNS/acute deaths/ myocarditis/arteritis/ periarteritis	Nursery	Several tissues	100.0% (11/11)	NI	USA	Arruda et al., 2019
PDNS/systemic inflammation	Nursery and fattening	Kidney and spleen	40–50% (depending on tested tissue)	NI	Russia	Yuzhakov et al., 2018
Myocarditis/arteritis/ periarteritis	Nursery	Several tissues	100% (4/4)	100% (2/2)**	Portugal	Alomar et al., 2021
Arthrogryposis	Stillborn piglets	Several tissues	100.0% (4/4)	NI	UK	Williamson et al., 2021

*NGS results. ** Viral load was higher in sick animals; by in situ hybridization, only diseased animals were positive.

NA: non-available information in the published manuscript; NI: not included in the published manuscript.

In any case, and following the path paved by PCV-2 diagnostic approach (Segalés, 2012), the existence of PCV-3 associated disease (PCV-3-AD) diagnostic criteria would help in placing the novel virus into the general context of swine disorders. Therefore, based on existing information that provide clinical, pathological and virological assessments of PCV-3 infection cases (Arruda et al., 2019; Kim, Park, et al., 2018; Phan et al., 2016; Saporiti et al., 2021), the authors would like to propose two major disease outcomes related with PCV-3 infection: PCV-3-reproductive disease (PCV-3-RD) in sows and fetuses/neonatal piglets and PCV-3-systemic disease (PCV-3-SD) in pre- and post-weaning pigs (Table 8, Figure 1). The authors consider that PDNS, which has been linked with PCV-3 infection by some studies (Jiang et al., 2018; Palinski et al., 2017; Yuzhakov et al., 2018), does not fulfil so far specific criteria demonstrating a putative etiological association with PCV-3 based on clinical, pathological, virological and epidemiological facts.

3 DISCUSSION

Traditionally, swine veterinarians have dealt with overt diseases, with the main task of counteracting them and improving the profitability of farms. Several decades ago, the most important diseases affecting pigs were considered mostly 'unifactorial', in which the unique presence 294

TABLE 6 PCV-3 PCR detection in healthy pigs

AsymptomaticWaning/growing/ finishingOral fluids434% (142/327)South KorealKwonetal.2017AsymptomaticSows/fetusesTissues55% (132/221)ChiaaZheng et al.2017AsymptomaticSows (In lactation)SeraJ.3% (18/38)ThalialdKedkovid, Woonway, sargerstum, sargerstu	Clinical signs/lesions	Production phase	Tested samples	% (and proportion) of PCR positivity	Country	Reference
AsymptomaticSows/fetusesTissues59.5% (132/222)ChinaZheng et al., 2017AsymptomaticSows (in lactation)Sera47.3% (18/38)ThailandKedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Singpratum, 	Asymptomatic	Weaning/growing/ finishing	Oral fluids	43.4% (142/327)	South Korea	Kwon et al., 2017
Asymptomatic AsymptomaticSows (in lactation) Sows (in lactation)Sera47.3% (18/38) Arunorat, syniferenewan, Sangpratum, 	Asymptomatic	Sows/fetuses	Tissues	59.5% (132/222)	China	Zheng et al., 2017
AsymptomaticSowsSera15.7% (19/121)SpainSaporiti, Martorell, et al, 2020AsymptomaticSows and fetusesTissues (brain and lung)33.7% (86/255)Penmarket al, 2020AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesTissues and sera56.4% (44/78)DenmarkFranzo, Legnardi, et al, 2018AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesTissues, sera and nasal swabs37.4% (37/99)Italy2018AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesPol of sera15.0% (14/94)SpainYeet al, 2018AsymptomaticNon-availableLymph node tissuesNASwedenYeet al, 2018AsymptomaticGrowingTissues, serum and nasal swabsSpainStadejek et al, 2017AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.4% (7/110)SpainStadejek et al, 2018AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10% (7/73)SpainKlaumann, Franzo, et al, 2018AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10% (7/73)SpainSaporiti, Huerta, et al., 2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishing	Asymptomatic	Sows (in lactation)	Sera	47.3% (18/38)	Thailand	Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Kesdangsakonwut, et al., 2018
AsymptomaticSows and fetusesTissues (brain and lung)33.7% (86/255)et al., 2020AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesTissues and sera56.4% (44/78)DenmarkFranzo, Legnardi, et al., 2018AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesTissues, sera and nasal swabs37.4% (37/99)Italy2018AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesPool of sera15.0% (14/94)SpainAsymptomaticDifferent production phasesPool of sera15.0% (14/94)SwedenYe et al., 2018AsymptomaticNon-availableLymph node tissuesNASwedenYe et al., 2017AsymptomaticGrowingTissues, serum and nasal swabs5.9% (5/90)PolandStadejek et al., 2017AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10% (7/73)SpainKlaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.4% (7/110)SpainSaporiti, Huerta, et al., 2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera	Asymptomatic	Sows	Sera	15.7% (19/121)	Spain	Saporiti, Martorell,
AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesTissues and sera56.4% (44/78)DenmarkFranzo, Legnardi, et al., 2018AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesTissues, sera and nasal swabs37.4% (37/99)Italy2018AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesPool of sera15.0% (14/94)SpainAsymptomaticNon-availableLymph node tissues, serum and nasal swabsNASwedenYe et al., 2018AsymptomaticGrowingTissues, serum and nasal swabs5.9% (5/90)PolandStadejek et al., 2017AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera1.0% (7/73)SpainKlaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera1.0% (7/73)SpainSaporiti, Huerta, et al., 2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera1.0.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera1.0.4% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera1.0.4% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera1.0.4% (7/50)	Asymptomatic	Sows and fetuses	Tissues (brain and lung)	33.7% (86/255)		et al., 2020
AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesTissues, sera and nasal swabs37.4% (37/99)ItalyAsymptomaticDifferent production phasesPool of sera15.0% (14/94)SpainAsymptomaticNon-availableLymph node 	Asymptomatic	Different production phases	Tissues and sera	56.4% (44/78)	Denmark	Franzo, Legnardi, et al., 2018
AsymptomaticDifferent production phasesPool of sera15.0% (14/94)SpainAsymptomaticNon-availableLymph node tissuesNASwedenYe et al., 2018AsymptomaticGrowingTissues, serum and nasal swabs5.9% (5/90)PolandStadejek et al., 2017AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10% (7/73)SpainKlauman, Franzo, 	Asymptomatic	Different production phases	Tissues, sera and nasal swabs	37.4% (37/99)	Italy	
AsymptomaticNon-availableLymph node tissuesNASwedenYe et al., 2018AsymptomaticGrowingTissues, serum and nasal swabs5.9% (5/90)PolandStadejek et al., 2017AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10% (7/73)SpainKlaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.4% (7/110)SpainSaporiti, Huerta, et al., 	Asymptomatic	Different production phases	Pool of sera	15.0% (14/94)	Spain	
AsymptomaticGrowingTissues, serum and nasal swabs5,9% (5/90)PolandStadejek et al., 2017AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10% (7/73)SpainKlaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.4% (7/110)SpainSaporiti, Huerta, et al., 2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera13.0% (13/100)Belgium2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera5.9% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Non-available	Lymph node tissues	NA	Sweden	Ye et al., 2018
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10% (7/73)SpainKlaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.4% (7/110)SpainSaporiti, Huerta, et al., 2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera13.0% (13/100)Belgium2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.5% (3/67)ItalyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Growing	Tissues, serum and nasal swabs	5.9% (5/90)	Poland	Stadejek et al., 2017
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.4% (7/110)SpainSaporiti, Huerta, et al., 2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera13.0% (13/100)Belgium2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	10% (7/73)	Spain	Klaumann, Franzo, et al., <mark>2018</mark>
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera13.0% (13/100)Belgium2020AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.5% (3/67)ItalyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	6.4% (7/110)	Spain	Saporiti, Huerta, et al.,
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera10.4% (7/67)FranceAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.5% (3/67)ItalyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	13.0% (13/100)	Belgium	2020
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)GermanyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.5% (3/67)ItalyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	10.4% (7/67)	France	
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.5% (3/67)ItalyAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	6.3% (5/80)	Germany	
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera6.3% (5/80)DenmarkAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	4.5% (3/67)	Italy	
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera14.0% (7/50)The NetherlandsAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	6.3% (5/80)	Denmark	
AsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera4.0% (2/50)IrelandAsymptomaticNursery/finishingSera15.0% (3/20)Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	14.0% (7/50)	The Netherlands	
Asymptomatic Nursery/finishing Sera 15.0% (3/20) Sweden	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	4.0% (2/50)	Ireland	
	Asymptomatic	Nursery/finishing	Sera	15.0% (3/20)	Sweden	

of the infectious agent was sufficient to cause disease or production losses. However, the current worldwide swine disease scenario is dominated by disorders that are considered of 'multifactorial' nature, since the mere presence of the agent is not sufficient to induce the disease (Segalés, 2013). Moreover, most of the new swine pathogens discovered in the last 20 years are infectious agents that (1) had been circulating in pigs for extended periods but remained undetected until recently or (2) infectious agents that had newly emerged in swine because of host species jump and further evolution (Fournié et al., 2015). Detection of these novel pathogens has been driven by advances in diagnostic methods such as broad-range PCR and NGS methods (Blomström, 2011), as well as increased surveillance efforts and particular research interests (Fournié et al., 2015). PCV-3 is an excellent example of a virus discovered through NGS that has been circulating for an extended period before its first detection (Rodrigues et al., 2020) and for which the surveillance efforts, mainly linked to research, have remarkably increased in the last 5 years (Opriessnig et al., 2020).

In contrast with PCV-2, PCV-3 was not discovered because of the emergence/identification of a new disease with severe impact on swine production, but as an extra-diagnostic effort on cases with different clinical outcomes and lack of etiologic diagnosis (Palinski et al., 2017; Phan et al., 2016). This starting point prompted the search for PCV-3 employing molecular methods, which was soon demonstrated to be a widespread virus in the swine population (Klaumann, Correa-Fiz et al., 2018). Unlike PCV-2, isolation of PCV-3 in cell culture was unsuccessful (Faccini et al., 2017; Palinski et al., 2017) until recently (Mora-Díaz et al., 2020; Oh & Chae, 2020), and the availability of virus isolates

Transboundary and Emerging Diseases

TABLE 7 List of pathogens found concomitantly with the presence of PCV-3 in domestic swine

Pathogen	Country	% (and proportion) of PCR positivity for PCV-3	Reference
PCV-2	China	15.8% (35/222)	Ku et al., 2017
		39.4% (52/132)	Zheng et al., 2017
		30.0% (3/10)	Sun et al., 2018
		70.0% (28/40)	Zhao et al., 2018
		1.9% (3/159)	Chen et al., 2019
		6.78% (32/472)	Xia et al., 2019
	South Korea	28.3% (13/46)	Kim et al., 2017
		19.3% (11/57)	Kim, Nazki et al., <mark>2018</mark>
	Thailand	20.0% (1/5)	Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Lumyai, et al., 2018
	Poland	4.8% (8/166)	Woźniak et al., 2019
	USA	5.4% (115/2125)	Wang, Noll et al., 2019
	European countries	2.6% (16/624)	Saporiti, Huerta et al., 2020
	Brazil	78.3% (216/276)	Dal Santo et al., 2020
	Colombia	24.0% (12/50)	Vargas-Bermúdez et al., 2021
	Spain	1.9% (1/53)	Saporiti et al., 2021
Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV)	Thailand	20.0% (1/5)	Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Lumyai, et al., 2018
	South Korea	100.0% (2/2)	Kim, Park et al., 2018
		43.8% (25/57)	Kim, Nazki, et al., 2018
	China	0.6% (1/159)	Chen et al., 2019
	Spain	3.8% (2/53)	Saporiti et al., 2021
Porcine parvovirus (PPV)	China	20.0% (8/40)	Zhao et al., 2018
	Brazil	58.7% (162/276)	Dal Santo et al., 2020
Classical swine fever virus (CSFV)	China	90.0% (9/10)	Sun et al., 2018
		2.5% (1/40)	Zhao et al., 2018
Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV)	China	NA	Chen et al., 2017
Atypical porcine pestivirus (APPV)	China	NA	Chen et al., 2017
	UK	42.8.% (3/7)	Williamson et al., 2021
Porcine kobuvirus (PKV)	China	NA	Chen et al., 2017
Porcine pseudorabies virus (PRV)	China	NA	Chen et al., 2017
		5.0% (2/40)	Zhao et al., 2018
Porcine sapelovirus (PSV)	China	NA	Chen et al., 2017
Porcine bocavirus (PBoV)	China	NA	Chen et al., 2017
Torque teno sus virus (TTSuV1 and 2)	China	50% (66/132)	Zheng et al., 2018
Streptococcus spp	USA	NA	Phan et al., 2016
	Thailand	20.0% (1/5)	Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Lumyai, et al., 2018
	South Korea	100.0% (2/2)	Kim, Park, et al., 2018

(Continues)

TABLE7 (Continued)

Pathogen	Country	% (and proportion) of PCR positivity for PCV-3	Reference
Glaeserella parasuis	USA	NA	Phan et al., 2016
Mycoplasma hyorhinis	USA	NA	Phan et al., 2016
Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae	South Korea	100.0% (2/2)	Kim, Park, et al., 2018
Pasteurella multocida	Thailand	ΝΑ	Kedkovid, Woonwong, Arunorat, Sirisereewan, Sangpratum, Lumyai, et al., 2018
Leptospira spp	Brazil	9.4% (26/276)	Dal Santo et al., 2020

NA: non-available information in the published manuscript.

TABLE 8	Proposed diagnostic criteria	for the individual case definition	n of PCV-3 associated diseases (PCV-3-AD
---------	------------------------------	------------------------------------	--

PCV-3-AD proposed name (acronym)	Main clinical sign	Individual diagnostic criteria
PCV-3-reproductive disease (PCV-3-RD)	Late abortion, malformations, mummified fetuses, stillborn fetuses, weak-born piglets	 Late reproductive problems and higher perinatal mortality Multisystemic lymphoplasmacytic to lymphohistiocytic perivascular inflammation Moderate to high amount of PCV-3 genome in damaged tissues
PCV-3-systemic disease (PCV-3-SD)	Wasting, weight loss, ill thrift or poor-doers, neurological signs	 Weight loss, rough hair, neurological signs Multisystemic lymphoplasmacytic to lymphohisticcytic perivascular inflammation Moderate to high amount of PCV-3 genome in damaged tissues

or other reagents is extremely restricted still today. Therefore, the progress made on PCV-3 pathogenesis knowledge, immunity and diagnostic technique development is still very limited.

Nevertheless, evidence of PCV-3 involvement in certain pathological conditions is expanding. The presence of a particular infectious agent within certain histopathological lesions of animals showing overt disease, when consistently detected, is probably the strongest evidence of potential disease causality. In such regards, a laboratory technique such as ISH has ultimately allowed detecting PCV-3 nucleic acid within lesions of diseased animals. More specifically, the viral genome has been detected at moderate/high amounts in fetuses and stillborn/weak-born piglets from cases of reproductive disorders as well as in pre- and post-weaning pigs with wasting, sudden death or neurological signs showing multisystemic inflammatory infiltrates, mainly at perivascular level (Arruda et al., 2019; Kim, Park, et al., 2018; Phan et al., 2016; Saporiti et al., 2021; Williamson et al., 2021). Therefore, the existing combination of clinical, pathological and virological data provides a potential diagnostic framework for PCV-3-AD case definition.

In summary, compiled data on PCV-3 knowledge so far points it out as a virus with pathogenic potential, implying the need to standardize diagnostic criteria for at least reproductive and pre-/post-weaning disorders. Such proposal is independent of the frequency, geographic distribution or economic impact of PCV-3-AD, which are rather unknown at present. While the PCV-3-SD in pre- and post-weaning pigs has been scarcely diagnosed at a global level to date (Arruda et al., 2019; Williamson et al., 2021), PCV-3-RD (Arruda et al., 2019; Saporiti et al., 2021; Williamson et al., 2021) seems to occur more often. So far, however, the most frequent presentation of this viral infection is likely subclinical, and its potential health and economic impact on the swine industry worldwide is to be determined.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the funding by E-RTA2017-00007-00-00 INIA Project from the *Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnologia Agraria y Alimentaria* (Spanish Government) and the CERCA Programme/ *Generalitat de Catalunya*.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None of the contributing authors has any conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data used in this review is available in the different journals referenced.



FIGURE 1 Proposed diagnostic criteria for the individual case definition of PCV-3-associated reproductive (A, B, C) and systemic disease (D, E, F). PCV-3-reproductive disease: (A) stillborn piglet from a litter with a late reproductive problem characterized by increased percentage of stillborn and weak-born piglets, (B) mild-to-moderate mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates in the arterial wall of the fetal spleen and (C) moderate to high amount of PCV-3 nucleic acid in the damaged arterial area. PCV-3-systemic disease: (D) clinical picture of a pig showing wasting, (E) moderate-to-severe non-suppurative arteritis in the heart and (F) high amount of PCV-3 genome in the damaged artery

ETHICAL STATEMENT

Non-applicable; this study did not include sample collection or questionnaires from animals or humans.

ORCID

Giovanni Franzo D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2991-217X Joaquim Segalés b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1539-7261

REFERENCES

- Alomar, J., Saporiti, V., Pérez, M., Gonçalves, D., Sibila, M., & Segalés, J. (2021). Porcine circovirus 3 associated wasting in postweaning pigs. Unpublished manuscript.
- Arruda, B., Piñeyro, P., Derscheid, R., Hause, B., Byers, E., Dion, K., Long, D., Sievers, C., Tangen, J., Williams, T., & Schwartz, K. (2019). PCV3associated disease in the United States swine herd. Emerging Microbes and Infections, 8(1), 684-698. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2019. 1613176

- Blomström, A. L. (2011). Viral metagenomics as an emerging and powerful tool in veterinary medicine. *Veterinary Quarterly*, 31(3), 107–114. https: //doi.org/10.1080/01652176.2011.604971
- Chen, G. H., Mai, K. J., Zhou, L., Wu, R. T., Tang, X. Y., Wu, J. L., He, L. L., Lan, T., Xie, Q. M., Sun, Y., & Ma, J. Y. (2017). Detection and genome sequencing of porcine circovirus 3 in neonatal pigs with congenital tremors in South China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 64(6), 1650–1654. https:// doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12702
- Chen, N., Huang, Y., Ye, M., Li, S., Xiao, Y., Cui, B., & Zhu, J. (2019). Coinfection status of classical swine fever virus (CSFV), porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) and porcine circoviruses (PCV2 and PCV3) in eight regions of China from 2016 to 2018. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 68(November 2018), 127–135. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.12.011
- Czyżewska-Dors, E., Núñez, J. I., Saporiti, V., Huerta, E., Riutord, C., Cabezón, O., Segalés, J., & Sibila, M. (2020). Detection of porcine circovirus 3 in wildlife species in Spain. *Pathogens*, 9(5), 7–12. https://doi.org/10.3390/ pathogens9050341
- Dal Santo, A. C., Cezario, K. C., Bennemann, P. E., Machado, S. A., & Martins, M. (2020). Full-genome sequences of porcine circovirus 3 (PCV3) and high prevalence in mummified fetuses from commercial farms in Brazil. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 141, 104027. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath. 2020.104027
- Deim, Z., Dencso, L., Erdélyi, I., Valappil, S. K., Varga, C., Pósa, A., Makrai, L., & Rákhely, G. (2019). Porcine circovirus type 3 detection in a Hungarian pig farm experiencing reproductive failures. *Veterinary Record*, 185(3), 84. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.104784
- Faccini, S., Barbieri, I., Gilioli, A., Sala, G., Gibelli, L. R., Moreno, A., Sacchi, C., Rosignoli, C., Franzini, G., & Nigrelli, A. (2017). Detection and genetic characterization of porcine circovirus type 3 in Italy. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 64(6), 1661–1664. https://doi.org/10.1111/ tbed.12714
- Fournié, G., Kearsley-Fleet, L., Otte, J., & Pfeiffer, D. U. (2015). Spatiotemporal trends in the discovery of new swine infectious agents. *Veterinary Research*, 46(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-015-0226-8
- Franzo, G., Legnardi, M., Hjulsager, C. K., Klaumann, F., Larsen, L. E., Segales, J., & Drigo, M. (2018). Full-genome sequencing of porcine circovirus 3 field strains from Denmark, Italy and Spain demonstrates a high within-Europe genetic heterogeneity. *Transboundary and Emerging Dis*eases, 65(3), 602–606. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12836
- Franzo, G., Delwart, E., Fux, R., Hause, B., Su, S., Zhou, J. Y., & Segalés, J. (2020). Genotyping porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) nowadays: Does it make sense? Viruses, 12(3), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12030265
- Franzo, G., Grassi, L., Tucciarone, C. M., Drigo, M., Martini, M., Pasotto, D., Mondin, A., & Menandro, M. L. (2019). A wild Circ-ulation: High presence of Porcine circovirus 3 in different mammalian wild hosts and ticks. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 66(4), 1548–1557. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/tbed.13180
- Franzo, G., Segales, J., Tucciarone, C. M., Cecchinato, M., & Drigo, M. (2018). The analysis of genome composition and codon bias reveals distinctive patterns between avian and mammalian circoviruses which suggest a potential recombinant origin for porcine circovirus 3. *PLoS ONE*, 13(6), e0199950. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199950
- Jacobsen, B., Krueger, L., Seeliger, F., Bruegmann, M., Segalés, J., & Baumgaertner, W. (2009). Retrospective study on the occurrence of porcine circovirus 2 infection and associated entities in Northern Germany. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 138(1–2), 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic. 2009.02.005
- Jiang, H., Wang, D., Wang, J., Zhu, S., She, R., Ren, X., Tian, J., Quan, R., Hou, L., Li, Z., Chu, J., Guo, Y., Xi, Y., Song, H., Yuan, F., Wei, L., & Liu, J. (2018). Induction of porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome in piglets by infection with porcine circovirus type 3. *Journal of Virology*, 93(4), e02045-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02045-18
- Jiang, S., Zhou, N., Li, Y., An, J., & Chang, T. (2019). Detection and sequencing of porcine circovirus 3 in commercially sourced laboratory mice.

Veterinary Medicine and Science, 5(2), 176–181. https://doi.org/10.1002/ vms3.144

- Kedkovid, R., Woonwong, Y., Arunorat, J., Sirisereewan, C., Sangpratum, N., Kesdangsakonwut, S., Tummaruk, P., Teankum, K., Assavacheep, P., Jittimanee, S., & Thanawongnuwech, R. (2018). Porcine circovirus type 3 (PCV3) shedding in sow colostrum. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 220(April), 12–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.04.032
- Kedkovid, R., Woonwong, Y., Arunorat, J., Sirisereewan, C., Sangpratum, N., Lumyai, M., Kesdangsakonwut, S., Teankum, K., Jittimanee, S., & Thanawongnuwech, R. (2018). Porcine circovirus type 3 (PCV3) infection in grower pigs from a Thai farm suffering from porcine respiratory disease complex (PRDC). *Veterinary Microbiology*, 215, 71–76. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.vetmic.2018.01.004
- Kim, H. R., Park, Y. R., Lim, D. R., Park, M. J., Park, J. Y., Kim, S. H., Lee, K. K., Lyoo, Y. S., & Park, C. K. (2017). Multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction for the differential detection of porcine circovirus 2 and 3. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 250, 11–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jviromet.2017.09.021
- Kim, S. C., Nazki, S., Kwon, S., Juhng, J. H., Mun, K. H., Jeon, D. Y., Jeong, C. G., Khatun, A., Kang, S. J., & Kim, W. II. (2018). The prevalence and genetic characteristics of porcine circovirus type 2 and 3 in Korea. BMC Veterinary Research, 14(1), 294. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-018-1614-x
- Kim, S. H., Park, J. Y., Jung, J. Y., Kim, H. Y., Park, Y. R., Lee, K. K., Lyoo, Y. S., Yeo, S. G., & Park, C. K. (2018). Detection and genetic characterization of porcine circovirus 3 from aborted fetuses and pigs with respiratory disease in Korea. *Journal of Veterinary Science*, 19(5), 721–724. https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2018.19.5.721
- Klaumann, F., Franzo, G., Sohrmann, M., Correa-Fiz, F., Drigo, M., Núñez, J. I., Sibila, M., & Segalés, J. (2018). Retrospective detection of porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) in pig serum samples from Spain. *Transboundary* and Emerging Diseases, 65(5), 1290–1296. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed. 12876
- Klaumann, F., Correa-Fiz, F., Franzo, G., Sibila, M., Núñez, J. I., & Segalés, J. (2018). Current knowledge on porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3): A novel virus with a yet unknown impact on the swine industry. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 5, 315. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2018.00315
- Klaumann, F., Correa-Fiz, F., Sibila, M., Núñez, J. I., & Segalés, J. (2019). Infection dynamics of porcine circovirus type 3 in longitudinally sampled pigs from four Spanish farms. *Veterinary Record*, 184(20), 619. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/vr.105219
- Ku, X., Chen, F., Li, P., Wang, Y., Yu, X., Fan, S., Qian, P., Wu, M., & He, Q. (2017). Identification and genetic characterization of porcine circovirus type 3 in China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 64(3), 703–708. https://doi. org/10.1111/tbed.12638
- Kwon, T., Yoo, S. J., Park, C. K., & Lyoo, Y. S. (2017). Prevalence of novel porcine circovirus 3 in Korean pig populations. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 207, 178–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2017.06.013
- Li, X., Bai, Y., Zhang, H., Zheng, D., Wang, T., Wang, Y., Deng, J., Sun, Z., & Tian, K. (2018). Production of a monoclonal antibody against porcine circovirus type 3 cap protein. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 261, 10–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2018.07.014
- Mora-Díaz, J., Piñeyro, P., Shen, H., Schwartz, K., Vannucci, F., Li, G., Arruda, B., & Giménez-Lirola, L. (2020). Isolation of PCV3 from perinatal and reproductive cases of PCV3-associated disease and in vivo characterization of PCV3 replication in CD/CD growing pigs. Viruses, 12(2), 219. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12020219
- Oh, T., & Chae, C. (2020). First isolation and genetic characterization of porcine circovirus type 3 using primary porcine kidney cells. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 241, 108576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2020. 108576
- Opriessnig, T., & Halbur, P. G. (2012). Concurrent infections are important for expression of porcine circovirus associated disease. *Virus Research*, 164(1–2), 20–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2011.09.014
- Opriessnig, T., Karuppannan, A. K., Castro, A. M. M. G., & Xiao, C. T. (2020). Porcine circoviruses: Current status, knowledge gaps and

challenges. Virus Research, 286, 198044. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. virusres.2020.198044

- Palinski, R., Piñeyro, P., Shang, P., Yuan, F., Guo, R., Fang, Y., Byers, E., & Hause, B. M. (2017). A novel porcine circovirus distantly related to known circoviruses is associated with porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome and reproductive failure. *Journal of Virology*, 91(1), e01879-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01879-16
- Phan, T. G., Giannitti, F., Rossow, S., Marthaler, D., Knutson, T., Li, L., Deng, X., Resende, T., Vannucci, F., & Delwart, E. (2016). Detection of a novel circovirus PCV3 in pigs with cardiac and multi-systemic inflammation. *Virol*ogy Journal, 13(1), 184. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-016-0642-z
- Qi, S., Su, M., Guo, D., Li, C., Wei, S., Feng, L., & Sun, D. (2019). Molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of porcine circovirus type 3 in 21 provinces of China during 2015–2017. *Transboundary and Emerging Dis*eases, 66(2), 1004–1015. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13125
- Rodrigues, I. L. F., Cruz, A. C. M., Souza, A. E., Knackfuss, F. B., Costa, C. H. C., Silveira, R. L., & Castro, T. X. (2020). Retrospective study of porcine circovirus 3 (PCV3) in swine tissue from Brazil (1967–2018). Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 51(3), 1391–1397. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42770-020-00281-6
- Saporiti, V., Cruz, T. F., Correa-Fiz, F., Núñez, J. I., Sibila, M., & Segalés, J. (2020). Similar frequency of Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) detection in serum samples of pigs affected by digestive or respiratory disorders and age-matched clinically healthy pigs. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 67(1), 199–205. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13341
- Saporiti, V., Huerta, E., Correa-Fiz, F., Grosse Liesner, B., Duran, O., Segalés, J., & Sibila, M. (2020). Detection and genotyping of Porcine circovirus 2 (PCV-2) and detection of Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) in sera from fattening pigs of different European countries. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 67(6), 2521–2531. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13596
- Saporiti, V. Martorell, S., Cruz, T. F., Klaumann, F., Correa-Fiz, F., Balasch, M., Sibila, M., & Segalés, J. (2020). Frequency of detection and phylogenetic analysis of porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) in healthy primiparous and multiparous sows and their mummified fetuses and stillborn. *Pathogens*, 9(7), 533. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9070533
- Saporiti, V., Valls, L., Maldonado, J., Perez, M., Correa-Fiz, F., Segalés, J., & Sibila, M. (2021). Porcine circovirus 3 detection in aborted fetuses and stillborn piglets from swine reproductive failure cases. *Viruses*, 13(2), 264. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020264
- Segalés, J. & Domingo, M. (1999). Clinical and pathological findings of PMWS cases in Europe. In Proceedings of the 26th Annual Allen D. Leman Swine Conference, Brooklyn Park, Minnesota, USA.
- Segalés, J. (2013). The threat of emerging and re-emerging diseases in pigs. Pig Journal, 69, 7–16
- Segalés, J. (2012). Porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2) infections: Clinical signs, pathology and laboratory diagnosis. *Virus Research*, 164(1–2), 10–19 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2011.10.007
- Segalés, J. (2015). Best practice and future challenges for vaccination against porcine circovirus type 2. Expert Review of Vaccines, 14(3), 473– 487. https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.2015.983084
- Segalés, J., Kekarainen, T., & Cortey, M. (2013). The natural history of porcine circovirus type 2: From an inoffensive virus to a devastating swine disease? *Veterinary Microbiology*, 165(1–2), 13–20. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.12.033
- Shen, H., Liu, X., Zhang, P., Wang, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Liang, P., & Song, C. (2018). Genome characterization of a porcine circovirus type 3 in South China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 65(1), 264–266. https://doi. org/10.1111/tbed.12639
- Sorden, S. (2000). Update on porcine circovirus and postweaning multisystemic wasting syndrome (PMWS). Swine Health Production, 8(3), 133– 136
- Stadejek, T., Woźniak, A., Miłek, D., & Biernacka, K. (2017). First detection of porcine circovirus type 3 on commercial pig farms in Poland. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 64(5), 1350–1353. https://doi.org/10.1111/ tbed.12672

- Sun, J., Wei, L., Lu, Z., Mi, S., Bao, F., Guo, H., Tu, C., Zhu, Y., & Gong, W. (2018). Retrospective study of porcine circovirus 3 infection in China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 65(3), 607–613. https://doi.org/10. 1111/tbed.12853
- Sun, W., Wang, W., Xin, J., Cao, L., Zhuang, X., Zhang, C., Zhu, Y., Zhang, H., Qin, Y., Du, Q., Han, Z., Lu, H., Zheng, M., & Jin, N. (2019). An epidemiological investigation of porcine circovirus 3 infection in dogs in the Guangxi Province from 2015 to 2017, China. Virus Research, 270, 197663. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2019.197663
- Temeeyasen, G., Lierman, S., Arruda, B. L., Main, R., Vannucci, F., & Gimenez-, L. G. (2021). Pathogenicity and immune response against porcine circovirus type 3 infection in caesarean-deprived pigs. *Joural of General Virology*, 102(1). https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.001502
- Tochetto, C., Lima, D. A., Varela, A. P. M., Loiko, M. R., Paim, W. P., Scheffer, C. M., Herpich, J. I., Cerva, C., Schmitd, C., Cibulski, S. P., Santos, A. C., Mayer, F. Q., & Roehe, P. M. (2018). Full-genome sequence of porcine circovirus type 3 recovered from serum of sows with stillbirths in Brazil. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 65(1), 5–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12735
- Tochetto, Caroline, de Lima, D. A., Varela, A. P. M., Ortiz, L. C., Loiko, M. R., Scheffer, C. M., Paim, W. P., Cibulski, S. P., Cerva, C., Herpich, J., Schmidt, C., Franco, A. C., Mayer, F. Q., & Roehe, P. M. (2020). Investigation on porcine circovirus type 3 in serum of farrowing sows with stillbirths. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 149, 104316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath. 2020.104316
- Tomás, A., Fernandes, L. T., Valero, O., & Segalés, J. (2008). A meta-analysis on experimental infections with porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2). Veterinary Microbiology, 132(3–4), 260–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic. 2008.05.023
- Vargas-Bermúdez, D. S., Vargas-pinto, M. A., Mogollón, J. D., & Jaime, J. (2021). Field infection of a gilt and its litter demonstrates vertical transmission and effect on reproductive failure caused by porcine circovirus type 3 (PCV3). BMC Veterinary Research, 17(1), 150. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12917-021-02862-5
- Wang, W., Sun, W., Cao, L., Zheng, M., Zhu, Y., Li, W., Liu, C., Zhuang, X., Xing, J., Lu, H., Luo, T., & Jin, N. (2019). An epidemiological investigation of porcine circovirus 3 infection in cattle in Shandong province, China. BMC Veterinary Research, 15(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12917-019-1793-0
- Wang, Y., Noll, L., Lu, N., Porter, E., Stoy, C., Zheng, W., Liu, X., Peddireddi, L., Niederwerder, M., & Bai, J. (2019). Genetic diversity and prevalence of porcine circovirus type 3 (PCV3) and type 2 (PCV2) in the Midwest of the USA during 2016–2018. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 67(3), 1284–1294. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13467
- Williamson, S., Wilson, L., Collins, R., Floyd, T., Dastjerdi, A., Saporiti, V., Sibila, M., & Segalés, J. (2021). Stillbirths, arthrogryposis and preweaned nervous disease: Evidence for Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV- 3) involvement. In Proceedings of 12th European Symposium of Porcine Health Management. VVD-PP-56, 253.
- Woźniak, A., Miłek, D., Bąska, P., & Stadejek, T. (2019). Does porcine circovirus type 3 (PCV3) interfere with porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2) vaccine efficacy? *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 66(4), 1454– 1461. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13221
- Xia, D., Huang, L., Xie, Y., Zhang, X., Wei, Y., Liu, D., Zhu, H., Bian, H., Feng, L., & Liu, C. (2019). The prevalence and genetic diversity of porcine circovirus types 2 and 3 in Northeast China from 2015 to 2018. Archives of Virology, 164(10), 2435–2449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-019-04336-4
- Ye, X., Berg, M., Fossum, C., Wallgren, P., & Blomström, A. L. (2018). Detection and genetic characterisation of porcine circovirus 3 from pigs in Sweden. Virus Genes, 54(3), 466–469. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11262-018-1553-4
- Yuzhakov, A.G., Raev, S.A., Alekseevm K.P., Grebennikova, T.V., Verkhovsky, O.A., Zaberezhny, A.D. & Aliper, T.I. (2018) First detection and full genome sequence of porcine circovirus type 3 in Russia. *Virus Genes*, 54, 608–611. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-018-1582-z

- Zhai, S. L., Zhou, X., Zhang, H., Hause, B. M., Lin, T., Liu, R., Chen, Q. L., Wei, W. K., Lv, D. H., Wen, X. H., Li, F., & Wang, D. (2017). Comparative epidemiology of porcine circovirus type 3 in pigs with different clinical presentations. *Virology Journal*, 14(1), 222. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12985-017-0892-4
- Zhang, S., Wang, D., Jiang, Y., Li, Z., Zou, Y., Li, M., Yu, H., Huang, K., Yang, Y., & Wang, N. (2019). Development and application of a baculovirusexpressed capsid protein-based indirect ELISA for detection of porcine circovirus 3 IgG antibodies. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 15(1), 79. https: //doi.org/10.1186/s12917-019-1810-3
- Zhao, D., Wang, X., Gao, Q., Huan, C., Wang, W., Gao, S., & Liu, X. (2018). Retrospective survey and phylogenetic analysis of porcine circovirus type 3 in Jiangsu province, China, 2008 to 2017. Archives of Virology, 163(9), 2531–2538. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3870-2
- Zheng, S., Shi, J., Wu, X., Peng, Z., Xin, C., Zhang, L., Liu, Y., Gao, M., Xu, S., Han, H., Yu, J., Sun, W., Cong, X., Li, J., & Wang, J. (2018). Presence of Torque teno sus virus 1 and 2 in porcine circovirus 3-positive pigs. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 65(2), 327–330. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed. 12792

- Zheng, S., Wu, X., Zhang, L., Xin, C., Liu, Y., Shi, J., Peng, Z., Xu, S., Fu, F., Yu, J., Sun, W., Xu, S., Li, J., & Wang, J. (2017). The occurrence of porcine circovirus 3 without clinical infection signs in Shandong Province. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 64(5), 1337–1341. https://doi.org/10. 1111/tbed.12667
- Zou, Y., Zhang, N., Zhang, J., Zhang, S., Jiang, Y., Wang, D., Tan, Q., Yang, Y., & Wang, N. (2018). Molecular detection and sequence analysis of porcine circovirus type 3 in sow sera from farms with prolonged histories of reproductive problems in Hunan, China. Archives of Virology, 163(10), 2841–2847. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3914-7

How to cite this article: Saporiti, V., Franzo, G., Sibila, M., & Segalés, J. (2021). Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV-3) as a causal agent of disease in swine and a proposal of PCV-3 associated disease case definition. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis*, 68, 2936–2948. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.14204