Revised: 30 April 2019

WILEY

miR-22 enhances the radiosensitivity of small-cell lung cancer by targeting the *WRNIP1*

Wenhua Jiang¹ | Xuemei Han² | Jingrui Wang³ | Lin Wang¹ | Zanmei Xu³ | Qiao Wei¹ | Wenpan Zhang³ | Haitao Wang¹

¹Department of Radiotherapy, Tianjin Medical University Second Hospital, Tianjin, China

²Department of Respiration, Tianjin Medical University Second Hospital, Tianjin, China

³Tianjin Marvelbio Technology Co, Ltd, Tianjin, China

Correspondence

Haitao Wang, Department of Radiotherapy, Tianjin Medical University Second Hospital, 23 Pingjiang Rd, 300211 Tianjin, China. Email: marveljwh@163.com

Funding information

Tianjin Municipal Health Bureau Science and Technology Foundation, Grant/ Award Number: 2014KZ102; Programs of National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 81702098

Abstract

Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is an aggressive malignancy characterized by high cellular proliferation and early distant metastasis. Our study aimed to explore the effect of *miR-22-3p* (*miR-22*, for short) on SCLC radiosensitivity and its molecular mechanisms. The expression level of *miR-22* was evaluated in a human normal lung epithelial cell line and a human SCLC cell line, and cell apoptosis and migration were detected. The expression of the *miR-22* direct target *WRNIP1* mRNA and protein were explored. Five differentially expressed genes were detected. The *miR-22* expression in NCI-H446 was significantly decreased, and *miR-22* overexpression significantly promoted cell apoptosis. *miR-22* overexpression could significantly inhibit the cell migration of SCLC cells, and *miR-22* had a negative regulatory effect on *WRNIP1* mRNA and protein levels. *KLK8* was downregulated, and the messenger RNA (mRNA) of four other genes (*PC, SCUBE1, STC1,* and *GPM6A*) was upregulated mRNA in cells overexpressing *miR-22*, which was in accordance with the bioinformatics analysis. *miR-22* could enhance the radiosensitivity of SCLC by targeting *WRNIP1*.

KEYWORDS

DEGs, miR-22, radiosensitivity, SCLC, WRNIP1

1 | INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer (LC) has the highest morbidity and mortality in the world, which seriously threatens human life and health.¹ Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is the main type of LC, which has the characteristics of short multiplication, rapid growth, and early-onset metastasis.² With the rapid development of stereotactic radiosurgery and radiotherapy technology, radiotherapy provides an effective strategy for SCLC treatment. A growing number of studies have revealed that SCLC is sensitive to radiotherapy. SCLC is prone to relapse, resulting in radioresistance in the late stage of radiotherapy; thus the effect of radiotherapy is regrettably reduced.³ Therefore, there is an urgent need to fully elucidate the therapy-induced radioresistance mechanisms to improve the radiotherapy effect and prolong the survival of patients with SCLC.⁴

Ionizing radiation (IR) is one of the major modalities of SCLC treatment.⁵ It causes DNA damage by producing intermediate ions and oxygen free radicals, leading to tumor cells apoptosis.⁶ Although the DNA damage

© 2019 The Authors. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Wenhua Jiang and Xuemei Han contributed equally to this study and should be considered as co-first authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

pathway plays an important role in radiation sensitivity, cell cycle checkpoint, and apoptosis pathways also play important roles in the susceptibility of SCLC to radiotherapy. Recently, scientists have devoted themselves to discover how IR attacks SCLC cells.⁷ Disappointingly, the relevant mechanisms remain obscure. SCLC radiotherapy is limited and ineffective due to SCLC radioresistance.⁸ Therefore, to explore effective and specific methods to enhance the radiosensitivity of SCLC is helpful to reduce radioresistance and side effects.^{9,10}

An increasing number of studies show that micro-RNAs (miRNAs) act as gene expression regulators in tumor initiation and procession, which cause translation inhibition by messenger RNA (mRNA) inactivation and degradation.^{11,12} As negative regulators, miRNAs can act on essential signaling pathways, including cell response to IR.¹³ Therefore, regulating the expression of miRNAs has a significant impact on the clinical radiation response, as it enhances cell susceptibility.¹⁴ Although no miRNAs have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration as drugs, greater progress is being made in registering them as therapeutic agents.⁶ Moreover, the latest research has demonstrated that the efficacy of miR-NA-based therapeutic agents in preclinical models.¹⁵

Recently, miR-22-3p (miR-22, for short), which is a 22 nucleotide noncoding RNA located on chromosome 17, has been found to regulate tumor-related gene expression in different cancer models.¹⁶ However, whether miR-22 controls tumor radioresistance in vivo and can be used as a tumor radiosensitizer remains unclear. In this study, we find the miR-22 has significant effects on SCLC cell proliferation, migration, and apoptosis in an IR dosedependent manner. We further show that WRNIP1 is a direct target of miR-22, and the related molecular mechanism of miR-22 regulation of SCLC radiosensitivity is preliminarily explained. More importantly, our findings demonstrate the therapeutic utility of miR-22 as a potential tumor radiosensitizer in a SCLC model. These results suggest that the *miR-22* cargo combined with radiotherapy may represent a new strategy for SCLC treatment.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture

Cell lines were used in this paper. Human normal lung epithelial cell line BEAS-2B, human SCLC cell line NCI-H446 and human embryonic kidney cell line HEK293T were purchased from the Cell Bank of Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology, CAS. The cells were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium and Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (Gibco, UK) supplemented Journal of Cellular Biochemistry –WILEY

with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and 1% penicillin (100 U/mL)-streptomycin (100 μ g/mL; Gibco) and were maintained in a 37°C incubator with a humidified, 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

2.2 | Cell transfection

Cells were transfected with vector controls and miRNA compounds by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's protocol. After 24 to 48 hours of transfection, cell samples were collected and subjected to transfection-efficiency testing.

2.3 | *miR-22* mimics/nc and inhibitors/ nc oligonucleotides

Four individual products (GenePharma, China) were synthesized (Table 1). Cells were transfected with 100 nM of the indicated oligonucleotide separately using Lipofectamine 2000. Then, 24 to 48 hours after transfection, the resultant cells were used for functional assays, and the remaining cells were harvested for quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis.

2.4 | Overexpression cell construct

The *miR-22* oligos were cloned into the pLKO.1 vector according to the manufacturer's instructions (Figure 1). The cells were transfected with the pLKO.1 control and *miR-22*-pLKO.1 plasmids, followed by drug screening and qPCR analysis. The primer sequences are shown in Table 2.

2.5 | RNA extraction and real-time PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted using the RNAiso Plus Kit (TaKaRa, Japan). For complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis, total RNA was involved in the cDNA amplification by the HiScriptII Reverse Kit (Vazyme, China), and for qPCR analysis, the AceQ real-time (RT)qPCR Kit (Vazyme) was used, all according to the manufacturer's instructions. The mRNA levels were

TABLE 1 The oligo sequences of miR-22 mimics and inhibitors

Name	Sequence (5'-3')
miR-22-mimics	AAGCUGCCAGUUGAAGAACUGU
	AGUUCUUCAACUGGCAGCUUUU
miR-22-mimics nc	UUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGUTT
	ACGUGACACGUUCGGAGAATT
miR-22-inhibitors	ACAGUUCUUCAACUGGCAGCUU
miR-22-inhibitors nc	CAGUACUUUUGUGUAGUACAA

WILEY- Journal of Cellular Biochemistry



FIGURE 1 The map of pLKO.1 vector

normalized by *U6* and β -actin. The primer sequences are shown in Table 3.

2.6 | Lentivirus preparation

The cells were inoculated into six-well plates at a density of 2×10^6 cells per well and were cultured overnight in an incubator at 37°C. The cells were infected with pLKO.1 vector control or *miR-22*, carrying lentiviral particles for 48 hours. After selection with $10 \,\mu$ g/mL puromycin, overexpression efficiency was verified by qPCR analysis.

2.7 | Western blotting

Cells were collected and resuspended in 0.5 mL ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer (Solarbio, China). Cell lysates were lysed by vortexing with acidwashed glass beads for 2 minutes, then placed on ice for 2 minutes; this cycle was repeated 10 times. After centrifugation at 12 000 rpm for 30 minutes, the sample protein concentration was determined using Nanotrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Lysate samples (50 μ g) were electrophoresed on 8% or 10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. The samples were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in Tris buffered saline solution containing 0.1% to 0.2% Tween-20 at room temperature for 2 hours and then probed with primary TABLE 3 qPCR primer sequences

Name	Sequence (5'-3')
<i>miR-22</i> -F	AGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCA
<i>miR-22</i> -R	AGCGAAGCTGCCAGTTGAAG
<i>U6</i> -F	CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACA
<i>U6</i> -R	AACGCTTCACGAATTTGCGT
WRNIP1-F	ATTGATGAGATTCATCGGTTCAA
WRNIP1-R	GGCTAGAGTCTAGGACGTGGATTC
β -Actin-F	CTGGACTTCGAGCAAGAGAT
β-Actin-R	GATGTCCACGTCACACTTCA
GPM6A-F	GTTTATTGTGGCACTTGCTGGA
<i>GPM6A</i> -R	TGGCAGACAGAACCATAAGGTAGTG
STC1-F	AAATGCATCGCCAACGGG
STC1-R	TTCATCACATTCCAGCAGGCTT
KLK8-F	GAAGTGTGAGGATGCTTACCCG
KLK8-R	ATGTGATGCCCTGGAGTGC
PC-F	CTGCGGTCCATCTTGGTCAA
PC-R	CCATGGGTGAGGTCACCAC
SCUBE1-F	AACTCATAGAGGACATCGTGCG
SCUBE1-R	CGCTCCCCCGGTTATTT

Abbreviations: F, forward; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; R, reverse.

antibodies (anti-WRNIP1, 1:1000 and anti- β -actin, 1:1000; Santa Cruz), as indicated, overnight at 4°C; the samples were then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti-mouse, 1:2000; Santa Cruz). The bands were visualized using an ECL chemiluminescence detection kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Multiplication of the intensity and area of protein bands indicated the relative levels of protein expression.

2.8 | γ -Ray treatment

The prepared cells were divided into three parts and sent to the Institute of Radiological Medicine of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences for γ -irradiation with doses of 0, 2, and 4 Gy, respectively.

2.9 | *miR-22* expression levels

qRT-PCR was performed to detect the *miR-22* expression levels in cells after γ -irradiation. The experimental method was the same as in section 2.5.

TABLE 2miRNAs stem-loop primer sequences

Name	Sequence (5'-3')
miR-22 stem-loop primer	GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACACAGTT
U6 stem-loop primer	GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACAAAATA
Abbrariation: miDNAa miaraDNAa	

Abbreviation: miRNAs, .microRNAs

2.10 | 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)- 5-(3carboxymethoxyphenyl)- 2-(4sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium assay

The transfected cells were detected by using the CellTiter 96 AQ MTS Reagent Powder kit (Promega), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)- 2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt (MTS) activity was determined by measuring absorbance at 490 nm.

2.11 | Colony formation assay

In general, the concentration of 1×10^3 cells was inoculated into six-well plates and gently shaken in the dish in a cross direction to disperse the cells evenly. The cells were cultured in a 37°C incubator with a humidified, 5% CO₂ condition for 7 to 10 days. The cells were stained with Giemsa for 10 to 15 minutes and images were obtained. Colonies consisting of 100 or more cells were counted. The survival fraction was calculated as the mean number of colonies/(cells seeded × plating efficiency).

2.12 | Flow cytometry analysis

Cell apoptosis was detected using an Annexin V/ propidium iodide (PI) staining kit (Biolegend), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cell proliferation was detected using a PE Mouse Anti-Human Ki-67 Set kit (BD Biosciences), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cell cycle was detected using PI ($50 \mu g/mL$; Sangon Biotech, China) staining. The cells were predisposed to ethanol fixation and RNase A treatment.

2.13 | Site-directed mutation

The cDNA templates were changed to the locus of *miR-22* and *WRINP1-*3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR), according to TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org) and PICTAR (http://www.pictar.mdc-berlin.de) predictions (Figure 2). The *WRINP1-*3'UTR sequence is CTGGCAGCT, which binds to *miR-22*. The mutation sequence is CTGCCTGGT, according to the mutation sites; primers were designed, as shown in Table 4, followed by *WRNIP1-3'*UTR-Mut-psiCHECK2 construction and DNA sequencing.

2.14 | Transcriptome sequencing

The *miR-22* overexpressing SCLC cell line NCI-H449 stably transfected *miR-22*-NCI-H449 and the empty control pLKO.1-NCI-H446 were sequenced. The cells were cultured to a concentration of 1×10^7 , which met

WRNIP1 3'UTR UTR'... CTTATGAAAATACCTGGCAGCTT ... miR-22 3' TGTCAAGTTGACCGTCGAA

FIGURE 2 Site-directed mutation of WRNIP1-3'-UTRpsiCHECK2 mutant recombinant plasmid. 3'-UTR, 3'-untranslated region

the sequencing concentration requirement. The confirmatory samples were sent to Novegene Biotechnology Co, Ltd for transcriptome sequencing. The sequencing data generated was 5 Gb per sample. Bioinformatics analysis was carried out according to the raw reads and clean reads.

2.15 | Luciferase assay

The cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 5×10^4 per well. Luciferase activity was detected using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System kit (Promega), according to the manufacturer's protocol. A microplate reader was used to determine relative luminescence.

2.16 | Bioinformatics analysis

The human genome and vector control were considered as the reference genome and control sequence, respectively. The expression abundance of the corresponding clean reads gene in the samples was obtained, and the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were found. Then, the obtained DEGs were analyzed by Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

2.17 | Statistical analysis

All results of this study were presented as the mean \pm SEM, and statistical significance was examined by unpaired two-tailed Student *t* test. The *P* < .05 was considered as statistically significant and indicated with *, *P* < .01 = **and *P* < .001 = ***.

2.18 | Data availability

The authors declare that all the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | The establishment of *miR-22* overexpression and knock down models

To investigate the possible function of miR-22 in the regulation of SCLC, we first examined the mRNA

Journal of Cellular Biochemistry

Journal of Cellular Biochemistry

Name	Sequence (5'-3')
WRNIP1-3'-UTR-mut-F	AAAATACCTGCCTGGTTTGTGCAATGAATTAATGT
WRNIP1-3'-UTR-mut-R	CAAACCAGGCAGGTATTTTCATAAGCATAACCG

Abbreviation: 3'-UTR, 3'-untranslated region.

II FY-

expression level of *miR-22* in cell lines BEAS-2B and NCI-H446 by qPCR. Interestingly, the *miR-22* expression was significantly decreased in the SCLC cell line (Figure 3A). Presumably, it is possible to associate *miR-22* expression with SCLC treatment.

To confirm our hypothesis, we established the *miR-22* overexpression and knockdown models. As a result, the transfected cells carrying *miR-22* mimics/nc and inhibitors/nc are considered ideal tools for *miR-22* research. As shown in Figure 3B, the *miR-22* expression is apparently higher than the vector control upon the transfection of mimics. Otherwise, once we transfected the *miR-22* inhibitors, the *miR-22* expression was expectedly lower than that in the other group, indicating that *miR-22* mimics and inhibitors have a remarkable effect on *miR-22* overexpression and knockdown. Meanwhile, the stable *miR-22* overexpressed cell line was successfully created by lentivirus infection and drug screening (Figure 3C).

3.2 | *miR-22* enhances radiosensitivity by targeting tumor development

Recently, cancer cells, which are defined operationally as tumor-initiating and tumor-inducing cells, have been found to enhance radioresistance during DNA damage response activation.¹⁷ Therefore, we suspected that this miR-22 may be negatively associated with radioresistance in SCLC. Then, we detected the effect of miR-22 expression levels and miR-22 on the cell proliferation of NCI-H446 under different doses of γ -irradiation. Indeed, with the increasing dose of γ -irradiation, the miR-22 expression was significantly increased in miR-22 mimictransfected cells (Figure 4A), accompanied by the inhibition of cell proliferation in the miR-22 overexpression group compared to the control. The proliferation level of miR-22 mimic-transfected cells was lower than in the NC group during 4 Gy irradiation. Conversely, cell proliferation in the miR-22-knockdown was notably promoted and the proliferation of miR-22 inhibitors was higher than that under the same condition. It was concluded that miR-22 expression may affect the sensitivity of SCLC cells to γ -rays and play an important role in the radiosensitivity of SCLC (Figure 4B).

In previous results, we found that *miR-22* caused significant inhibition of SCLC cell proliferation. Afterward,

we studied the effects of *miR-22* on cell growth and colony formation by colony-formation assay. The results revealed that the number of *miR-22* mimic-transfected cells was significantly lower than in the NC group. In addition, after transfecting *miR-22* inhibitors, the number of cells was significantly higher than in the inhibitors NC group, and this result was basically consistent with the MTS results. In addition, we found the cell colony in mimics transfection was significantly less than in the NC group; transfected inhibitors were the opposite (Figure 4C). Thus, *miR-22* inhibited colony formation in NCI-H446.

We further investigated the cell colony-forming ability under γ -irradiation conditions using the *miR-22* overexpressed cell line. Consistent with the previous analysis, the number of *miR-22* cells was lower than control cells in the condition of γ -ray irradiation, and the trend was enhanced with the increasing γ -ray irradiation dose (Figure 4D). These results showed that *miR-22* inhibited SCLC cell growth and increased the irradiation sensitivity to γ -rays.

Since miR-22 expression may affect the mitosis-related Ki-67 expression in SCLC cells,^{18,19} we further investigated Ki-67 expression after transfection with the miR-22 mimics. At first, we detected Ki-67 expression in transfected cells under nonirradiation conditions. The results are shown in Figure 5A. After mimics



FIGURE 3 The establishment of *miR-22* overexpression and knockdown models. A-C, Cells were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated times. Efficiency was determined by quantitative PCR and measured as the ratio of *miR-22* relative to the internal reference target *U6* gene. *,**,***Significant differences between the tested and the control strains, P < .05, P < .01, and P < .001, respectively. PCR, polymerase chain reaction

FIGURE 4 *miR-22* inhibits the SCLC cells proliferation under different doses of γ-irradiation. A, miR-22 expression in cells were detected by qPCR. B, Cells were stimulated with the indicated concentrations of MTS for the indicated conditions, cell viability was determined by microplate reader detection. CB-DC, Cells were cultured in 96-well plates for the indicated conditions, cell number was determined by Giemsa staining. *,**,***Significant differences between the tested and the control strains, P < .05, P < .01, and P < .001, respectively. MTS, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)- 5-(3carboxymethoxyphenyl)- 2-(4sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium; NC, negative contriol; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer



0 Gy 2 Gy 4 Gy

transfection, the positive rate of *Ki*-67 was 61.0%. The NC transfection group showed a significant decrease. However, there was no significant difference compared to the inhibitors NC group. It was primarily shown that *miR-22* overexpression could inhibit *Ki*-67 expression in SCLC samples.

We explored *Ki-67* expression in *miR-22* overexpressed cells under different doses of γ -irradiation. The data showed that, with an increasing dose of γ -ray irradiation, the expected decreasing *Ki-67* expression gradually increased in the *miR-22* overexpression group. During 4 Gy irradiation, the positive rate of *Ki-67* in *miR-22* overexpressed cells was 48.2%, which was lower than that in control. We inferred that *miR-22* could inhibit *Ki-67* expression in NCI-H446, leading to a boycott of cell proliferation, and this inhibition was more pronounced under high dose radiation conditions. Compared with the NC control, there was no significant difference in the proportion of the cell cycle after transfection with the *miR-22* mimics, indicating that the *miR-22* expression level may not affect the cell cycle of SCLC (Figure 5B). To explore the effect of *miR-22* on SCLC cell migration, we carried out the scratching trial. The results showed that the migration ability of *miR-22* overexpression obviously decreased compared to control, revealing that *miR-22* overexpression could significantly inhibit SCLC cell migration (Figure 6A).

miR-22, as a tumor suppressor, can cause cell apoptosis to some extent.²⁰ As a result, we next explored the effect of miR-22 on SCLC cell apoptosis by APC Annexin V/PI double staining. The results showed that the apoptosis rate of the miR-22 mimic transfection group was significantly higher than in the NC group (Figure 6B), illustrating that miR-22, as a potential tumor suppressor, could induce SCLC cell apoptosis.

3.3 | *miR-22* is a negative regulator of WRNIP1 expression

To further explore the mechanism of *miR-22* affecting SCLC radiosensitivity, we predicted the target genes of *miR-22* and preliminary screened the delineated genes by



FIGURE 5 *miR-22* affects the *Ki-67* expression upon γ -irradiation (A, B) Cells were cultured in 96-well plates for the indicated conditions, Ki-67 expression radio, and cell cycle was determined by flow cytometry analysis. *,**,***Significant differences between the tested and the control strains, *P* < .05, *P* < .01, and *P* < .001, respectively. NC, negative control



FIGURE 6 *miR-22* can inhibit the cell migration and promote the cell apoptosis in SCLC (A, B) Cells were cultured in 96-well plates for the indicated conditions, wound healing rate was determined by measurement, apoptosis was determined by flow cytometry analysis. *,**,***Significant differences between the tested and the control strains, P < .05, P < .01, and P < .001, respectively. SCLC, small-cell lung cancer

bioinformatics analysis. The results showed that the TargetScan database predicted 611 target genes and the PICTAR database predicted 285 ones. From Figure 7A, we can see 112 common target genes were predicted by both of the above databases. Through NCBI and a literature search, *WRNIP1*, as a candidate target gene, was found to be associated with DNA damage repair, which is helpful in elucidating the mechanism of *miR-22* in SCLC cell radiosensitivity. Therefore, we selected *WRNIP1* for further research.

Therefore, we determined whether miR-22 negatively regulated *WRNIP1* during γ -ray irradiation. Thus, we utilized the miR-22 mimics and inhibitors and compared these with the NC control in regard to transcription and translation levels. Collectively, these results indicated that miR-22 inhibits *WRNIP1* expression by qPCR and Western blotting (Figure 7B,C). It can be seen there may be a negative regulation relationship between miR-22 and *WRNIP1*, and *WRNIP1* may be a downstream target gene of miR-22.

To confirm our conclusion, we next detected the luciferase activity in each group. The luciferase activity was significantly lower than in the NC group in *miR-22*

mimics and *WRNIP1-3'-*UTR-psiCHECK2 double-transfection. The luciferase activity in cells with the *miR-22* mimics and *WRNIP1-3'-*UTR-mut-psiCHECK2 showed almost no significant difference from the NC group (Figure 7D), elucidating that *miR-22* could be bound to the *WRNIP1-3'*UTR. We eventually confirmed that *WRNIP1* is the direct downstream target gene of *miR-22*. Furthermore, it was speculated that *miR-22* was likely to enhance SCLC cell radiosensitivity by inhibiting *WRNIP1* expression.

Journal of Cellular Biochemistry

Moreover, we screened the high-throughput DEGs *KLK8*, *PC*, *STC1*, *GPM6A*, and *SCUBE1*, which are related to cell proliferation, migration, and apoptosis, to detect mRNA levels (Figure 8A). qPCR was performed using the *miR-22* overexpression transfected cell line and its blank control cDNA as template. The results showed that *KLK8* was significantly downregulated, and the other four genes were significantly upregulated upon over-expressing *miR-22* (Figure 8B).

Under nonirradiation conditions, these five genes showed significant differences in transcription level, but their expression changed after γ -irradiation. Only the changes of *PC* and *SCUBE1* showed consistency in



FIGURE 7 *miR-22* can negatively regulate the *WRNIP1* expression in SCLC. A, Samples were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. Orange represents screening for the targeted genes by TargetScan, blue represents screening for the targeted genes by PICTAR, red represents screening for the overlapped genes by two databases. B, Cells were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. mRNA level was determined by quantitative PCR and measured as the ratio of *WRNIP1* relative to the internal reference target *β*-actin gene. C, Cells were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. Protein level was determined by western blotting, and measured as the ratio of *WRNIP1* relative to the internal reference target *β*-actin protein. D, Cells were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. Luciferase ratio was determined by microplate reader detection. *, **, ***Significant differences between the tested and the control strains, *P* < .05, *P* < .01, and *P* < .001, respectively. mRNA, messenger RNA; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer

-WILEY



Fold_Enrichment

FIGURE 8 miR-22 changes the tumor-related genes expression in SCLC after γ -irradiation. A, Samples were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. P value represents the clustering DEGs analysis. Cells were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. mRNA level was determined by quantitative PCR and measured as the ratio of PC and SCUBE1 relative to the internal reference target β -actin gene. B, Cells were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. mRNA level was determined by quantitative PCR and measured as the ratio of PC, STC1, KLK8, GPM6A, and SCUBE1 relative to the internal reference target β -actin gene. C, Cells were cultured in the corresponding medium for the indicated conditions. mRNA level was determined by quantitative PCR and measured as the ratio of PC and SCUBE1 relative to the internal reference target β -actin gene. *, **, ***Significant differences between the tested and the control strains, P < .05, P < .01, and P < .001, respectively. DEG, differentially expressed genes; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinases; mRNA, messenger RNA; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; P13K, phosphoinositide 3-kinases; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer

the nonirradiation and γ -irradiation conditions, although the increasing trend was weakened (Figure 8C). In summary, the expression of these five genes are related to γ -ray irradiation.

In conclusion, miRNAs are key participants and regulators in cancer treatment. miR-22 can regulate tumor-related gene expression, and it has a significant impact on LC diagnosis and treatment. Compared with the other common types of cancer, LC has a lower survival rate, which makes it the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide. Tumor suppressors and carcinogenic factors miRNA are closely related to LC cell growth, development, and metastasis by changing the expression level. Otherwise, miRNAs are essential to the radioresistance and chemoresistance in LC. Furthermore, miRNAs also play an important role in cancer regulation. As a result, miRNAs may be used in LC clinical diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis in the future. With regard to miRNAs and cancer research, more samples and trials are needed to reveal the function of miRNAs in cancer research. Scientists have to further study the relationship between miRNAs and tumor radiosensitivity. As a result, miRNAs will become a promising tool for tumor prevention and treatment.

4 DISCUSSION

РС

4Gy

Radiotherapy is one of the main treatments for tumors.²¹ The efficacy of radiotherapy is affected by the sensitivity of tumor cells to radiotherapy.²² The radiosensitivity of different individual tumors is significantly different.²³ Recently, with the in-depth study of miRNAs, radiation conditions can induce changes in the expression of miRNAs, and some miRNAs participate in the regulation of tumor radiosensitivity by regulating the expression of target genes and vital signaling pathways.²⁴ Shi et al⁷ reported that miR-200c enhances the sensitization of LC cells A549 to radiation by targeting the VEGF-VEGFR2 pathway. Hu et al⁴ performed qPCR detection for the expression of miRNAs in 102 patients with cervical cancer undergoing standardized treatments. They identified five miRNAs, miR-9, miR-21, miR-200a, miR-218,

and *miR-203*, that may be associated with cervical cancer radiosensitivity.

In the present study, we showed that the *miR-22* expression in NCI-H446 was significantly lower than that in BEAS-2B. It has previously been reported that *miR-22* plays an important role in multiple types of cancer development.²⁵ Ling et al¹⁵ reported that *miR-22* expression in human LC tissues was significantly decreased compared to normal control tissues. Compared with the normal ovarian tissue, Wyman et al¹⁷ found that the *miR-22* expression was downregulated in ovarian cancer. Reports on gastric cancer also showed that *miR-22* expression was rare in gastric cancer samples.²⁶ However, there are few studies on *miR-22* in SCLC cells.

In our study, we studied the biological function of miR-22 in an SCLC model for tumor progression and metastasis. Combined with the results of MTS and colony-forming assays, we infer that miR-22 has a significant inhibitory effect on tumor growth and proliferation in SCLC cells. According to previous research, researchers found that miR-22 acted as a tumor suppressor, which had an inhibitory effect on the development of tumors.^{27,28} Yang et al¹⁹ reported that miR-22 can significantly inhibit the cell proliferation, migration, and invasiveness of esophageal squamous cancer cells. Others found that miR-22 overexpression in ovarian granulosa cells could aggravate apoptosis and inhibit cancer cell growth.²⁹

Ki-67 is an antigen expressed in proliferating cells and a common marker of cell proliferation; thus, it has been used as the most reliable indicator of tumor cell proliferation.³⁰ We detected *Ki-67* expression in *miR-22* mimic- and inhibitor-transfected cells and then explored the effect of *miR-22* on apoptosis in SCLC by APC Annexin V/PI staining. These results indicated that *miR-22*, as a tumor suppressor, could change cell proliferation and promote apoptosis in SCLC cells, and the results of our study were consistent with the previous outcome. In subsequent studies, we also need to detect the effects of *miR-22* on the expression of apoptosisrelated proteins (*Bcl-2, Bax,* and *Caspase-3*)¹⁵ to further explain the specific molecular mechanism of *miR-22* promoting cell apoptosis in SCLC.

Leuzzi et al⁹ revealed that *WRNIP1* can act on intracellular arrested replication forks and cooperate with *RAD51* to protect the integrity of replication forks. In the experiment, *WRNIP1*-deficient cells exhibited significant DNA damage and chromosomal aberrations. Therefore, *WRNIP1* is considered as a protector of replication forks. Another publication suggests that *WRNIP1* can recruit DNA polymerase to DNA damage, which plays an important role in DNA damage repair and genome stability maintenance.²² Radiotherapy can cause severe, irreparable DNA damage and cell cycle arrest, leading to tumor death and cell apoptosis.¹⁹ It is speculated that *miR-22* is likely to increase the radiosensitivity of SCLC cells by inhibiting *WRNIP1* expression.

The psiCHECK2 vector utilizes Renilla luciferase as a reporter gene, and the target gene is cloned into a multiple cloning site, which is downstream of the Renilla luciferase translation termination codon. Additionally, the psiCHECK2 vector contains a second reporter gene, firefly luciferase, which was designed for end-point cleavage assays to normalize Renilla luciferase expression, resulting in robust and reproducible data.^{11,15} Since the psiCHECK2 vector contains two kinds of luciferases, it can reduce the internal reference luciferase plasmid introduction during the experiment, thereby avoiding the multiplasmid cotransfection systems emergence and providing convenience for cell research.¹³ In addition, the Renilla luciferase assay is more sensitive, more convenient, and more rapid in quantification.²¹ The experimental results show that miR-22 can inhibit the reporter gene-vector luciferase activity, indicating that WRNIP1 is a direct target gene downstream of miR-22.

In this study, to elucidate the mechanism of *miR-22* affecting the radiosensitivity of SCLC cells, we analyzed the transcriptome using the *miR-22* overexpression and control samples. Bioinformatics analysis highlighted five DEGs (*KLK8, PC, SCUBE1, STC1,* and *GPM6A*) in *miR-22* overexpression and vector control cells, which were selected for quantitative detection.

STC1 is a glycoprotein found in the endocrine glands of the fish kidney, and it is considered a tumor cell apoptosis-inducing factor.¹⁷ *GPM6A* is a transmembrane protein widely distributed on the neuronal cells surface in the central nervous system; it is believed that *GPM6A* is one of the pathogenic genes of human lymphatic leukemia and is closely related to apoptosis.¹³ Therefore, we chose *STC1* and *GPM6A* for RT-qPCR analysis. The results showed that *STC1* and *GPM6A* expression in the *miR-22* overexpression cell line was significantly higher than that in the control group, which indicated that *STC1* and *GPM6A* could be the apoptosis-inducing factors in SCLC cells. Therefore, *miR-22* is likely to promote SCLC cell apoptosis by elevating the expression of apoptosisinducing factors *STC1* and *GPM6A* in tumors.

The kallikrein-related peptidase enzyme (KLK) family, located on human chromosome 19q13.4, is a serine subfamily. *KLK8* is a member of this family, and abnormalities in *KLK8* transcription or translation products may lead to the development of uterine, ovarian, and other cancers.²⁵ Therefore, it is speculated that *KLK8* might be a cancer-promoting factor. In this study, we found that *KLK8* expression in *miR-22* overexpression cells was significantly lower than another group, indicating that *KLK8* may be a cancer-promoting factor and negatively regulated by *miR-22*. Therefore, we further speculate that *miR-22* inhibition of SCLC cell proliferation and migration may be related to the inhibition of *KLK8* expression.

SCUBE1 is a glycoprotein secreted by platelet endothelium. Tokuda et al²⁷ found that *SCUBE1* had an inhibitory effect on tumor development to some extent.²⁸ Our results show that *SCUBE1* expression in *miR-22* overexpression samples is higher than in the control, indicating that the inhibitory effect of *miR-22* on tumor metastasis may be related to the upregulation of *SCUBE1* expression.

Pyruvate carboxylase (PC) is a kind of nonsteroidal enzyme, which is important to the tricarboxylic acid cycle.⁶ In addition, there is evidence that *PC* is associated with tumor invasiveness and metastasis.¹⁴ In our study, we found that *PC* was significantly increased in *miR-22* overexpression cells, indicating that *miR-22* could inhibit SCLC cell migration by regulating *PC* expression.

Because miRNAs can negatively regulate target genes, the results show that *STC1*, *GPM6A*, *PC*, and *SCUBE1* are also overexpressed in *miR-22* overexpression cells, so it is presumed that these genes are not the direct target genes of *miR-22*. In addition to the changes in the expression of the five DEGs, it is important to detect the expression of *STC1*, *GPM6A*, *PC*, *KLK8*, and *SCUBE1* in *miR-22* overexpression cells under γ -irradiation conditions. The results showed that only the changes in *PC* and *SCUBE1* were consistent, but the trend was weakening. Therefore, this study preliminarily explains the mechanism of *miR-22* effects on the radiosensitivity of SCLC cells, and the follow-up needs more in-depth study.

5 | CONCLUSION

At present, although independent studies have shown that miRNAs can be used to evaluate the radiosensitivity of tumors, which can guide the development of clinical radiotherapy, this approach is seldom applied clinically. Therefore, in the follow-up study, we also need to study the expression of *miR-22* in patients with SCLC undergoing radiotherapy and further explain the mechanism of *miR-22* regulation of radiosensitivity in SCLC cells. Thus, improving the curative effect of radiotherapy, reducing radiation injury and minimizing the side effects in patients will be possible. Summarily, *miR-22* may be widely used in the future as a means to evaluate tumor radiosensitivity and as a prognostic biomarker for patients receiving clinical treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank all the members of our research group for their enthusiastic participation in this study. This work was supported by the Programs of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81702098) and Tianjin Municipal Health Bureau Science and Technology Foundation (No.2014KZ102).

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

ORCID

Haitao Wang b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9288-0081

REFERENCES

- 1. Du YZ, Gu XH, Cheng SF, et al. The oncogenetic role of stanniocalcin 1 in lung adenocarcinoma: a promising serum candidate biomarker for tracking lung adenocarcinoma progression. *Tumor Biol.* 2016;37(4):5633-5644.
- He L, Hannon GJ. MicroRNAs: small RNAs with a big role in gene regulation. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2004;5(7):522-531.
- Kasinski AL, Slack FJ. Epigenetics and genetics. MicroRNAs en route to the clinic: progress in validating and targeting microRNAs for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2011;11: 849-864.
- Hu X, Schwarz JK, Lewis JS, Jr, et al. A microRNA expression signature for cervical cancer prognosis. *Cancer Res.* 2010; 70(4):1441-1448.
- Hermeking H. MicroRNAs in the p53 network: micromanagement of tumour suppression. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12:613-626.
- Gu X, Fu C, Lin L, et al. miR-124 and miR-9 mediated downregulation of HDAC5 promotes neurite development through activating MEF2C-GPM6A pathway. *J Cell Physiol.* 2018;233(1):673-687.
- Shi Y, Zhang X, Tang X, Wang P, Wang H, Wang Y. miR-21 is continually elevated long-term in the brain after exposure to ionizing radiation. *Radiat Res.* 2012;177(1):124-128.
- 8. Chun-Zhi Z, Lei H, An-Ling Z, et al. MicroRNA-221 and microRNA-222 regulate gastric carcinoma cell proliferation and radioresistance by targeting PTEN. *BMC Cancer*. 2010;10(1): 1-10.
- Leuzzi G, Marabitti V, Pichierri P, Franchitto A. WRNIP1 protects stalled forks from degradation and promotes fork restart after replication stress. *EMBO J.* 2016;35(13):1437-1451.
- Li B, Song Y, Liu TJ, et al. miRNA-22 suppresses colon cancer cell migration and invasion by inhibiting the expression of Tcell lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1 and matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9. *Oncol Rep.* 2013;29(5):1932-1938.
- Schepeler T, Reinert JT, Ostenfeld MS, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic microRNAs in stage II colon cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68(15):6416-6424.
- 12. Wang X, Zhang Z, Wang Y, et al. Increased miRNA-22 expression sensitizes esophageal squamous cell carcinoma to irradiation. *J Radiat Res.* 2012;54(3):401-408.

Journal of Cellular Biochemistry

- Xin M, Qiao Z, Li J, et al. miR-22 inhibits tumor growth and metastasis by targeting ATP citrate lyase: evidence in osteosarcoma, prostate cancer, cervical cancer and lung cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(28):44252-44265.
- Zhou J, Liu J, Cheng CJ, et al. Biodegradable poly (amine-coester) terpolymers for targeted gene delivery. *Nat Mater.* 2015; 11(1):82-90.
- Ling B, Wang GX, Long G, Qiu JH, Hu ZL. Tumor suppressor miR-22 suppresses lung cancer cell progression through posttranscriptional regulation of ErbB3. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2012;138(8):1355-1361.
- Planque C, Choi YH, Guyetant S, Heuze-Vourc'h N, Briollais L, Courty Y. Alternative splicing variant of kallikrein-related peptidase 8 as an independent predictor of unfavorable prognosis in lung cancer. *Clin Chem.* 2010;56(6):987-997.
- Wyman SK, Parkin RK, Mitchell PS, et al. Repertoire of microRNAs in epithelial ovarian cancer as determined by next generation sequencing of small RNA cDNA libraries. *PLOS One*. 2009;4(4):e5311.
- Zhang X, Wan G, Berger FG, He X, Lu X. The ATM kinase induces microRNA biogenesis in the DNA damage response. *Mol Cell*. 2011;41(4):371-383.
- 19. Yang C, Ning S, Li Z, Qin X, Xu W. miR-22 is down-regulated in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and inhibits cell migration and invasion. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2014;14(1):138.
- Templin T, Paul S, Amundson SA, et al. Radiation-induced micro-RNA expression changes in peripheral blood cells of radiotherapy patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2011; 80(2):549-557.
- Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S. miRbase: integrating microRNA annotation and deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011;39(Database issue):D152-D157.
- 22. Johnson SM, Grosshans H, Shingara J, et al. RAS is regulated by the let-7 microRNA family. *Cell*. 2005;120(5):635-647.
- 23. Phannasil P, Thuwajit C, Warnnissorn W, Wallace JC, MacDonald MJ, Jitrapakdee S. Pyruvate carboxylase is up-

regulated in breast cancer and essential to support growth and invasion of mda-mb-231 cells. *PLOS One.* 2015;10(6): e0129848.

- 24. Yoshimura A, Seki M, Enomoto T. The role of WRNIP1 in genome maintenance. *Cell Cycle*. 2017;16(6):515-521.
- Lee SY, Jeon HM, Ju MK, et al. Wnt/snail signaling regulates cytochrome c oxidase and glucose metabolism. *Cancer Res.* 2012;72(14):3607-3617.
- Zhang Y, Li H, Liu Y. The function and mechanism of miRNA in tumor radiosensitivity. J Modern Oncol. 2016; 24(2):314-318.
- 27. Tokuda E, Horimoto Y, Arakawa A, et al. Differences in ki67 expressions between pre- and post-neoadjuvant chemotherapy specimens might predict early recurrence of breast cancer. *Hum Pathol.* 2017;63:40-45.
- Babar IA, Cheng CJ, Booth CJ, et al. Nanoparticle-based therapy in an in vivo microRNA-155 (miR-155)-dependent mouse model of lymphoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012; 109(26):10140-10141.
- 29. Girardi C, De Pitta C, Casara S, et al. Analysis of miRNA and mRNA expression profiles highlights alterations in ionizing radiation response of human lymphocytes under modeled microgravity. *PLOS One.* 2012;7(2):723-727.
- Babar IA, Czochor J, Steinmetz A, Weidhaas JB, Glazer PM, Slack FJ. Inhibition of hypoxia-induced miR-155 radiosensitizes hypoxic lung cancer cells. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2011;12 (10):908-914.

How to cite this article: Jiang W, Han X, Wang J, et al. *miR-22* enhances the radiosensitivity of small-cell lung cancer by targeting the *WRNIP1. J Cell Biochem.* 2019;120:17650-17661. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.29032

17661

WILEY