Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Genomics Data



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gdata

Data in Brief Transcriptome profiling of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666 in response to agmatine



Beatriz del Rio^a, Begoña Redruello^a, M. Cruz Martin^a, Maria Fernandez^a, Anne de Jong^b, Oscar P. Kuipers^b, Victor Ladero^{a,*}, Miguel A. Alvarez^a

^a Instituto de Productos Lácteos de Asturias, IPLA-CSIC, Paseo Rio Linares s/n, 33300 Villaviciosa, Spain

^b Department of Molecular Genetics, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 7, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 November 2015 Accepted 15 December 2015 Available online 17 December 2015

Keywords: Lactococcus lactis Biogenic amines Putrescine Agmatine deiminase Agmatine

ABSTRACT

The dairy strain *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666 (formerly GE2-14) synthesizes the biogenic amine putrescine from agmatine via the agmatine deiminase (AGDI) pathway [1]. The AGDI cluster of *L. lactis* is composed by five genes *aguR*, *aguB*, *aguD*, *aguA* and *aguC*. The last four genes are co-transcribed as a single policistronic mRNA forming the catabolic operon *aguBDAC*, which encodes the proteins necessary for agmatine uptake and its conversion into putrescine [1,2]. The first gene of the cluster, *aguR*, encodes a transmembrane protein that functions as a one-component signal transduction system that senses the agmatine concentration of the medium and accordingly regulates the transcription of *aguBDAC* [2]. The catabolic operon *aguBDAC* is transcriptionally activated by agmatine [2] and transcriptionally regulated by carbon catabolite repression (CCR) via glucose, but not by other sugars such as lactose or galactose [1,3]. On the contrary, the transcription of the *aguR* regulatory gene is not subject to CCR regulation [1,3] nor is regulated by agmatine [2]. In this study we report the transcriptional profiling of *L. lactis* subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666 grown in M17 medium with galactose (GalM17) as carbon source and supplemented with agmatine, compared to that of the strain grown in the same culture medium without agmatine. The transcriptional profiling data of agmatine-regulated genes were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under Accession no. GSE74808.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Specifications	
Organism/cell line/tissue Sex Sequencer or array type Data format	<i>L. lactis</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i> CECT 8666 (formerly GE2-14) N/A Oligo-based DNA microarray Raw and normalized
Experimental factors	L. lactis subsp. cremoris CECT 8666 grown in GalM17 + 20 mM agmatine (test) versus L. lactis subsp. cremoris CECT 8666 grown in GalM17 (reference)
Experimental features	Microarray comparison was preformed to identify genes differentially expressed in <i>L. lactis</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i> CECT 8666 grown in GalM17 medium supplemented with 20 mM agmatine compared to <i>L. lactis</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i> CECT 8666 grown in GalM17 medium without agmatine
Consent Sample source location	N/A Villaviciosa, Spain

* Corresponding author.

1. Direct link to deposited data

Microarray data are accessible in the following link: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE74808.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Design of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris CECT 8666 DNA microarrays

L. lactis subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666 DNA microarrays (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) were designed using the Agilent eArray (v5.0) program according to the manufacturer's recommendations as described in [2]. Each microarray ($8 \times 15K$) was designed to contain spots of two different 60-mer oligonucleotide probes (in duplicate) specific for each of the 2635 coding DNA sequences (CDSs) representing the protein-coding genes of the *L. lactis* subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666 genome (GenBank accession no. AZSI00000000.1) [4].

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gdata.2015.12.003

2213-5960/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



2.2. Bacterial strains and growth conditions

L. lactis subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666 a putrescine producing strain [4] that was originally isolated from a traditional cheese [5] was used in this study. *L. lactis* CECT 8666 was grown in replicates (10 ml each) in M17 medium (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) supplemented with 1% galactose (w/v) (GalM17), with or without 20 mM agmatine (Sigma-Aldrich, Barcelona, Spain) for 6 h at 30 °C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8000 × *g* for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were removed and cell pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

2.3. RNA extraction

RNA extraction was performed as previously described [6]. Cell pellets were thaw on ice and resuspended in 500 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) and transferred to screw-capped tubes containing 50 µl of 10% SDS, 500 µl of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) (Sigma-Aldrich), 500 mg of glass beads (75–150 µm) (Sigma-Aldrich), and 175 µl of Macaloid suspension (Bentone MA, Rheox Inc., Scotland, United Kingdom). Cells were mechanically disrupted in a bead beater at 4 °C. The samples were shaken two times for 45 s. During the shaking intervals the cells were kept on ice for 1 min. The samples were then centrifuged at $8000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C. The upper phase was transferred to fresh tubes containing 500 µl chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and centrifuged for 5 min at 4 °C. 500 µl of the upper phase was transferred to fresh tubes containing 1 ml of lysis/binding buffer of the High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). All subsequent steps including the DNasel treatment were performed following the instructions provided by the manufacturer. The concentration and quality of the RNA were checked on a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands).

2.4. Synthesis of cDNA

The synthesis of cDNA was performed using 20 µg of total RNA and the SuperScript® III Reverse Transcriptase kit (Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, Netherlands), as described in [6]. After the cDNA was synthesized, the mRNA of the reverse transcription mixture was denaturalized by adding 3 µl of 2.5 mM NaOH for 15 min at 37 °C. The NaOH was neutralized by adding 15 µl of 2 M HEPES free acid. The cDNA was purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nager, Landsmeer, The Netherlands). Briefly, 200 µl of NTC buffer were mixed with the unpurified cDNA, added to a column and centrifuged for 1 min at 11,000 \times g. The column was washed first with 600 μ l of buffer NT3 and then with 500 µl 80% ethanol. The residual ethanol was completely removed by centrifugation for 2 min at $11,000 \times g$. To elute the cDNA, 60 µl of 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate pH 9.0 was added to the column and incubated for 1 min at room temperature. Purified cDNA was collected by centrifugation for 1 min at $11,000 \times g$ and was immediately labeled.

2.5. Labeling of cDNA

DyLight 550 NHS ester and DyLight 650 NHS ester (Thermo Scientific) were used to label the cDNAs. Dyes were dissolved in 200 μ l of DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) (Sigma-Aldrich). 60 μ l of purified cDNA (in 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate pH 9.0, see above) were labeled with 5 μ l DyLight 550 or DyLight 650 in the dark for 90 min at room temperature. Labeled cDNA was purified using NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up columns as previously described, with the exception that cDNA was eluted with 50 μ l of elution buffer NE of the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit.

2.6. Hybridization and washing

Nine hundred nanograms of DyLight 550- and DyLight 650-labeled cDNA was mixed and hybridized for 17 h at 60 °C in the *L. lactis* subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666 DNA microarray using the In situ Hybridization Kit Plus, the Hybridization Gasket Slide and the Agilent G2534A Microarray Hybridization Chamber (Agilent Technologies). After hybridization, slides were washed using appropriate washing buffers as recommended by the manufacturer.

2.7. Microarray data analysis

Slides were scanned using a GenePix 4200A Microarray Scanner (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and the images analyzed using GenePix Pro v.6.0 software. Background subtraction and LOWESS (locally weighted scatterplot smoothing) normalization were performed using the standard routines provided by GENOME2D software available at http://server.molgenrug.nl/index.php/analysis-pipeline. DNA microarray data were obtained from three independent biological replicates and two technical replicates (including a dye swap). Expression ratios were calculated from the comparison of four spots per gene per microarray (total of 20 measurements per gene). A gene was considered differentially expressed when a p value of at least <0.05 was obtained and the expression fold-change was at least >|0.5|. The microarray data were deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under the Accession no. GSE74808.

3. Results and discussion

In this study, we determined the effect of agmatine on the transcriptomic profile of L. lactis subsp. cremoris CECT 8666 grown in GalM17. The genes aguB, aguD, aguA and aguC coding for the proteins needed for the biosynthesis of putrescine through the AGDI pathway were highly upregulated in the L. lactis CECT 8666 strain grown with agmatine. This result corroborates the activator effect of agmatine on the transcription of the catalytic genes of the AGDI cluster, which was recently assessed by reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis [2]. The microarray analysis also revealed that the expression of the transcription regulator gene *aguR* was not affected by agmatine, as we previously demonstrated by RT-qPCR analysis [2]. In addition, other 85 genes were downregulated and 179 upregulated in the L. lactis CECT 8666 strain grown in GalM17 medium supplemented with agmatine compared to the strain grown without agmatine. A further analysis should be performed to identify key regulatory factors that may affect putrescine production and ultimately lead to adopt measures to reduce the presence in dairy products of this undesirable biogenic amine.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Spanish National Research Council (I-LINK 0380), the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (AGL2013-45431-R) and by the GRUPIN14-137 project, which is cofinanced by the Plan for Science, Technology and Innovation of the Principality of Asturias 2013–2017 and the European Regional Development Funds.

References

- [1] D.M. Linares, B. del Rio, V. Ladero, B. Redruello, M.C. Martin, M. Fernandez, M.A. Alvarez, The putrescine biosynthesis pathway in *Lactococcus lactis* is transcriptionally regulated by carbon catabolic repression, mediated by CcpA. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 165 (1) (2013) 43–50 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.04.021).
- [2] D.M. Linares, B. del Rio, B. Redruello, V. Ladero, M.C. Martin, A. de Jong, O.P. Kuipers, M. Fernandez, M.A. Alvarez, AguR, a transmembrane transcription activator of the putrescine biosynthesis operon in *Lactococcus lactis*, acts in response to the agmatine concentration. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81 (18) (2015) 6145–6157 (http://dx.doi. org/10.1128/AEM.00959-15).

- [3] B. del Rio, V. Ladero, B. Redruello, D.M. Linares, M. Fernandez, M.C. Martin, M.A. Alvarez, Lactose-mediated carbon catabolite repression of putrescine production in dairy *Lactococcus lactis* is strain dependent. Food Microbiol. 48 (2015) 163–170 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.11.018).
 [4] V. Ladero, B. del Rio, D.M. Linares, M. Fernandez, B. Mayo, M.C. Martin, M.A. Alvarez,
- [4] V. Ladero, B. del Rio, D.M. Linares, M. Fernandez, B. Mayo, M.C. Martin, M.A. Alvarez, Genome Sequence Analysis of the Biogenic Amine-Producing Strain *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. cremoris CECT 8666 (Formerly GE2-14). Genome Announce 2 (5) (2014) e01088-14, (http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01088-14).
- [5] V. Ladero, F.P. Rattray, B. Mayo, M.C. Martin, M. Fernandez, M.A. Alvarez, Sequencing and transcriptional analysis of the biosynthesis gene cluster of putrescine-producing *Lactococcus lactis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77 (18) (2011) 6409–6418 (http://dx.doi. org/10.1128/AEM.05507-11).
 [6] B. del Rio, D.M. Linares, B. Redruello, M.C. Martin, M. Fernandez, A. de Jong, O.P.
- [6] B. del Rio, D.M. Linares, B. Redruello, M.C. Martin, M. Fernandez, A. de Jong, O.P. Kuipers, V. Ladero, M.A. Alvarez MA, Transcriptomic profile of *aguR* deletion mutant of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* CECT 8666. Genomics Data 6 (2015) 228–230 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gdata.2015.10.002).