



### A Nanobody-Based Toolset to Monitor and Modify the Mitochondrial GTPase Miro1

Funmilayo O. Fagbadebo<sup>1</sup>, Philipp D. Kaiser<sup>2</sup>, Katharina Zittlau<sup>3</sup>, Natascha Bartlick<sup>4</sup>, Teresa R. Wagner<sup>1,2</sup>, Theresa Froehlich<sup>1</sup>, Grace Jarjour<sup>1</sup>, Stefan Nueske<sup>5</sup>, Armin Scholz<sup>5</sup>, Bjoern Traenkle<sup>2</sup>, Boris Macek<sup>3</sup> and Ulrich Rothbauer<sup>1,2\*†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, <sup>2</sup>NMI Natural and Medical Sciences Institute at the University of Tübingen, Reutlingen, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Quantitative Proteomics, Department of Biology, Interfaculty Institute of Cell Biology, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Interfaculty Institute of Biochemistry, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, <sup>5</sup>Livestock Center of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, Oberschleissheim, Germany

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

May Khanna, University of Arizona, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Matthias Truttmann, University of Michigan, United States Massimo D'Agostino, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

\*Correspondence: Ulrich Rothbauer ulrich.rothbauer@uni-tuebingen.de

<sup>†</sup>ORCID: Ulrich Rothbauer orcid.org/0000-0001-5923-8986

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cellular Biochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences

Received: 14 December 2021 Accepted: 08 February 2022 Published: 10 March 2022

#### Citation:

Fagbadebo FO, Kaiser PD, Zittlau K, Bartlick N, Wagner TR, Froehlich T, Jarjour G, Nueske S, Scholz A, Traenkle B, Macek B and Rothbauer U (2022) A Nanobody-Based Toolset to Monitor and Modify the Mitochondrial GTPase Miro1. Front. Mol. Biosci. 9:835302. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.835302 The mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM)-anchored GTPase Miro1, is a central player in mitochondrial transport and homeostasis. The dysregulation of Miro1 in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and Parkinson's disease (PD) suggests that Miro1 may be a potential biomarker or drug target in neuronal disorders. However, the molecular functionality of Miro1 under (patho-) physiological conditions is poorly known. For a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular functions of Miro1, we have developed Miro1-specific nanobodies (Nbs) as novel research tools. We identified seven Nbs that bind either the Nor C-terminal GTPase domain of Miro1 and demonstrate their application as research tools for proteomic and imaging approaches. To visualize the dynamics of Miro1 in real time, we selected intracellularly functional Nbs, which we reformatted into chromobodies (Cbs) for time-lapse imaging of Miro1. By genetic fusion to an Fbox domain, these Nbs were further converted into Miro1-specific degrons and applied for targeted degradation of Miro1 in live cells. In summary, this study presents a collection of novel Nbs that serve as a toolkit for advanced biochemical and intracellular studies and modulations of Miro1, thereby contributing to the understanding of the functional role of Miro1 in disease-derived model systems.

#### Keywords: Miro1, nanobodies, imaging, proteomics, degron

#### INTRODUCTION

Neurodegenerative disorders including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease (PD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) pose a major public health challenge especially in societies with a rapidly aging population. Due to the fundamental role of mitochondria in energy production, calcium homeostasis, reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation, and initiation of apoptosis (Duchen, 2004), pathological mitochondrial morphologies and a dysfunctional quality control are among the main drivers in the development and progression of neurodegeneration (Wang et al., 2019; Monzio Compagnoni et al., 2020). Within cells, mitochondria are continuously transported along actin- and microtubule-based cytoskeletal pathways to areas in demand of ATP supply or calcium buffering (Rube and Van Der Bliek, 2004; Reis et al., 2009). Microtubule-based transport is effected by the motor proteins kinesins and dyneins in anterograde and retrograde directions, respectively (Boldogh

1

and Pon, 2007). Mirol, a member of the Rho GTPase family, which is mainly located on the surface of the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM) (Boureux et al., 2007; Yamaoka and Hara-Nishimura, 2014; Kay et al., 2018), acts as an adaptor to tether motor complexes to mitochondria. Structurally, Miro1 is composed of two distinct N- and C-terminally located GTPase domains flanking a pair of calcium ion (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) binding EF-hands and a C-terminal transmembrane domain anchored in the MOM (Fransson et al., 2003; Fransson et al., 2006). By its cytoplasmic domains, Miro1 interacts with the adaptor proteins TRAK1 and TRAK2 (Fransson et al., 2006), which recruit the motor proteins kinesin-1 (KIF5B) and dynein/dynactin to facilitate mitochondrial transport along microtubules (MacAskill et al., 2009a; van Spronsen et al., 2013). This motor/adaptor complex is regulated by Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels. At high concentrations, Ca<sup>2+</sup> arrests mitochondrial transport by binding to the EF hand domains of Miro1, causing the motor complex to detach from the organelle (Saotome et al., 2008; MacAskill et al., 2009b; Wang and Schwarz, 2009; Schwarz, 2013). Similarly, Miro1 interacts with Cenp-F for cell cycle-dependent distribution of mitochondria during cytokinesis (Kanfer et al., 2015; Okumoto et al., 2018), and overexpression of Miro1 was shown to enhance intercellular transfer of mitochondria from mesenchymal stem cells to stressed epithelial cells (Ahmad et al., 2014; Babenko et al., 2018). Besides mitochondria, Miro1 was also shown to play an important role in peroxisomal transport (Castro et al., 2018; Okumoto et al., 2018). Additionally, Miro1 is a known substrate of the mitophagy-associated PINK1/Parkin quality control system (Wang et al., 2011). Impaired Miro1 ubiquitination has been recently linked to Parkin mutants found in Parkinson's disease (PD) patients and in fibroblasts from an at-risk cohort (Hsieh et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2021). This was further confirmed by the identification of mutations in the Miro1 gene RHOT1 causing an altered mitophagy response (Grossmann et al., 2019; Grossmann et al., 2020). Although not yet demonstrated at the molecular level, dysregulated cellular levels of Miro1 have been described in ALS animal models and in patients (Zhang et al., 2015; Moller et al., 2017). These results, in combination with recent data describing aberrant peroxisomal metabolism in PD patients (Dragonas et al., 2009; Grossmann et al., 2020), strongly suggest a multifactorial link between Miro1 and neurological diseases. Consequently, Miro1 is considered as an emerging biomarker and potential drug target in neuropathology (Hsieh et al., 2019; Nguyen et al., 2021).

Despite *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies already performed on Miro1, detailed information on its structure-related function and cellular dynamics is still lacking, which may be due to the limitation of currently available research tools for Miro1. Here, the use of single-domain antibody fragments, also known as nanobodies (Nbs) derived from heavy chain-only antibodies found in camelids or sharks (Hamers-Casterman et al., 1993; Greenberg et al., 1995), could be advantageous due to their unique binding properties and versatile formats. During the last decade Nbs have been established as attractive alternatives to conventional antibodies for a multitude of biochemical assays (Rothbauer et al., 2008; Cheloha et al., 2020; He et al., 2021; Wagner et al., 2021) and advanced imaging applications

reviewed in (Traenkle and Rothbauer, 2017; Hrynchak et al., 2021; Naidoo and Chuturgoon, 2021)]. Additionally, their small size, high solubility and stability qualify Nbs for intracellular expression [reviewed in (Helma et al., 2015; Wagner and Rothbauer, 2020)]. Intracellularly functional Nbs genetically fused to fluorescent proteins, designated as chromobodies (Cbs), have been successfully applied for tracing their target antigens in different cellular compartments as well as in whole organisms [reviewed in (Traenkle and Rothbauer, 2017; Wagner and Rothbauer, 2021)]. For the generation of advanced research tools to study Miro1, we have developed specific Nbs derived from a Nb gene library of an immunized animal. Following an in depth characterization of their binding properties, we selected candidates applicable as capture and detection reagents in biochemical assays, immunofluorescence staining and live-cell imaging. Additionally, we designed specific degrons for selective degradation of Miro1 within living cells. Based on our results, we propose that the presented Nb-based toolkit opens new opportunities for more comprehensive studies of Miro1 and helps to elucidate its multifaceted roles for mitochondrial malfunction in neurological disorders.

#### RESULTS

#### Identification of Miro1-Specific Nbs

To generate Nbs specific for human Miro1 (Miro1), we immunized an alpaca (Vicugna pacos) with recombinant Mirol adopting a 91-day immunization protocol. After confirmation of a successful immune response in a serum ELISA (Supplementary Figure S1), we generated a phagemid library of  $\sim 1.5 \times 10^7$  clones from peripheral B lymphocytes of the immunized animal, representing the diverse repertoire of variable domains (VHHs or Nbs) of the heavy chain-only antibodies. For the selection of Miro1-specific Nbs, we performed phage display using either bacterially expressed Miro1 passively adsorbed to immunotubes or site-directed immobilized GFP-Miro1 from HEK293 cells, which was captured in multiwell plates precoated with the GFP-Nb (Supplementary Figure S2A). After two and three rounds of biopanning against Miro1 or GFP-Miro1, we analysed a total of 200 individual clones by phage ELISA and identified 42 positive binders (Supplementary Figures S2B,S2C). Sequence analysis revealed seven unique Nbs with highly diverse complementarity determining regions (CDR) 3 (Figure 1A, Supplementary Table S2). For further analysis, Miro1-Nbs were produced with a C-terminal His<sub>6</sub> tag in Escherichia coli (E.coli) and purified via immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) followed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (Figure 1B). Binding affinities were assessed by biolayer interferometry (BLI) for which we immobilized biotinylated Nbs on streptavidin (SA) biosensors and measured their binding kinetics by loading different concentrations of Miro1. Our results showed that all seven Nbs bind Miro1 with high affinities in the low nanomolar range with K<sub>D</sub> values ranging from 1.9 to 29.5 nM (Figure 1C; Table 1, Supplementary Figures S3A-S3F).



expression and purification of Nbs using immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) and size exclusion chromatography (SEC). (**C**) For biolayer interferometry based affinity measurements, Miro1-Nbs were biotinylated and immobilized on streptavidin sensors. Kinetic measurements were performed by using four concentrations of purified Miro1 As an example, the sensogram of Miro1 at concentrations 7.8, 15.6, 31.2, and 62.5 nM on immobilized M41-Nb (illustrated with increasingly darker shades from low to high concentration) is shown and global 1:1 fits are illustrated as dashed line (upper panel).

 $\label{eq:KOFF} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{TABLE 1} & \text{Summary of affinities (K_D), association (k_{ON}) and dissociation constants} \\ (k_{OFF}) & \text{and the coefficient of determination (R}^2) & \text{determined for Miro1-Nbs} \\ \text{by BLI.} \end{array}$ 

| Nanobody | <i>К</i> <sub>D</sub> (nM) | k <sub>on</sub> (10 <sup>5</sup> M <sup>−1</sup> s <sup>−1</sup> ) | k <sub>OFF</sub> (10 <sup>−4</sup> s <sup>−1</sup> ) | R <sup>2</sup> |
|----------|----------------------------|--|--|----------------|
| M11      | 3.34                       | 2.55 ± 0.02  | 8.54 ± 0.03  | 0.97           |
| M25      | 29.50                      | $1.36 \pm 0.04$  | $0.40 \pm 0.28$                                      | 0.80           |
| M41      | 1.96                       | $3.01 \pm 0.01$  | $5.89 \pm 0.02$                                      | 0.99           |
| M85      | 7.75                       | $1.00 \pm 0.01$  | 7.79 ± 0.02  | 0.99           |
| M114     | 4.61                       | 0.88 ± 0.01  | 4.04 ± 0.01  | 0.99           |
| M119     | 2.80                       | 2.25 ± 0.01  | 6.31 ± 0.02  | 0.99           |
| M189     | 2.99                       | $1.70 \pm 0.01$  | $5.07 \pm 0.02$                                      | 0.98           |
|          |                            |  |  |                |

### Immobilized Miro1-Nbs Specifically Precipitate Miro1

Considering that a variety of Nbs covalently immobilized to solid matrices such as agarose particles have been applied as pulldown reagents to capture their antigens (Rothbauer et al., 2008; Maier et al., 2015; Braun et al., 2016), we used this approach to investigate the functionality of Miro1-Nb candidates for immunoprecipitation (IP). We chemically coupled Miro1-Nbs to N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-activated agarose particles thereby generating Miro1nanotraps. First, these nanotraps were incubated with the soluble fraction of cell lysates derived from HEK293 cells expressing GFP-Miro1 or GFP as control. Immunoblot analysis of input and bound fractions revealed that all Miro1-nanotraps except M11 and M85 efficiently precipitated GFP-Miro1, with M114 and M119 exhibiting the highest pulldown efficiencies comparable to the commercially available high-affinity GFP nanotrap (Rothbauer et al., 2008) (ChromoTek) (Figure 2A). Notably, none of the tested nanotraps showed unspecific binding to GFP or GAPDH used as an endogenous control (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure S4). Next, we investigated the potential of the nanotraps to precipitate also endogenous Miro1. Quantitative immunoblot analysis revealed Miro1 in the bound fractions of M41, M114, M119, and M189. The levels of precipitated Miro1 were comparable or slightly higher to those obtained with a conventional anti-Miro1 antibody, while no unspecific binding of Miro1 to a nanotrap displaying a non-related Nb was detected (Figure 2B). These findings showed, that at least four of our selected Miro1-Nbs are able to bind their epitopes within endogenous Miro1 under the tested lysis conditions. However, since we observed rather low levels of endogenous Miro1 in the input and in the bound fractions, we tested different cell types and different lysis conditions (Supplementary Figures S5A-S5C). Results from these experiments showed that even upon lysis with the partially denaturing RIPA buffer only minor fractions of endogenous Miro1 are transferred to the soluble protein fraction, making it difficult to study Miro1 regardless of the capturing reagent used.

## Immunofluorescence Studies With Miro1-Nbs

For a second functional testing, we examined the performance of Miro1-Nbs in immunofluorescence (IF). Therefore we applied



Immunofluorescence (IF) detection of GFP-Miro1 in fixed and permeabilized HeLa cells after staining with Miro1-Nbs as primary labelling probes. Representative confocal laser scanning (CLSM) images are shown of each individual Nb detected with anti-VHH antibody labelled with Cy5 (middle row). As positive control, transfected cells were stained with anti-Miro1 antibody followed by detection with a Cy5-labelled secondary antibody. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar 20 µm.

the Nbs as primary binding molecules in combination with fluorescently labelled anti-VHH antibodies in fixed and permeabilized HeLa cells transiently expressing GFP-Mirol. Interestingly, Nbs M11 and M25, which did not capture Mirol by immunoprecipitation showed a clear co-localization with GFP-Mirol at mitochondrial structures. It is possible that these Nbs recognize epitopes that were not accessible or structurally altered under the lysis conditions used for immunoprecipitation. However, with the M41-Nb, we identified one candidate that showed functionality in both assays (**Figure 2C**). When we tested the Miro1-Nbs for immunofluorescence staining of endogenous Miro1, we did not detect clear colocalization with mitochondria as observed with an anti-Miro1 antibody (data not shown). At this point, we can only speculate about the causes. It is possible that the epitopes of endogenous Miro1 are blocked by binding partners or that structural changes occurred due to the permeabilization and fixation procedures. However, it is also conceivable that the affinities of the Miro1-Nbs are not sufficient to act as primary binding molecules in immunofluorescence.

# Selected Miro1-Nbs Bind Different Domains of Miro1

To generate well-characterized binders, detailed knowledge of their recognized epitopes or domains is mandatory. Considering that Nbs preferentially bind conformational epitopes (De Genst et al., 2006; Pardon et al., 2014), we performed domain mapping to identify the binding regions recognized by our Miro1-Nbs. Therefore, we generated a series of Miro1 domain deletion



constructs fused C-terminally to GFP (**Figure 3A**) and performed pulldown studies upon expression of these deletion constructs in HEK293 cells as described above. Analysis of the bound fractions revealed that M11, M41, M85, M114, and M189 specifically recognize epitopes within the C-terminal GTPase domain while M25 addresses an epitope spanning the N-terminal GTPase in combination with the EF-hand domains. For M119, we observed interactions involving regions of the EF hands as well as the C-terminal GTPase domains (**Figure 3B**, **Supplementary Figure S6**).

## Optimized, Bivalent Nbs Show Improved Capture and Detection of Miro1

With M41- and M114-Nb we identified two candidates that have high affinities, are devoid of additional disulfide bonds and tested positive in IP and/or IF detection of Miro1. In the monovalent format, however, both Nbs bind only small amounts of Miro1. To improve their binding performance, we genetically fused the coding sequences of two M41- or two M114-Nbs head-to-tail, inserting a flexible Gly-Ser linker  $[(G_4S)_4]$  of 20 amino acids and generated a bivalent M41-Nb ( $_{biv}$ M41) and a bivalent M114-Nb ( $_{biv}$ M114). Following production and purification from mammalian cells (**Supplementary Figure S7A**), we analyzed their binding kinetics by BLI measurements showing similar or slightly improved affinities (**Supplementary Figure S7B**). To avoid potential reduction in binding due to nonspecific modification of lysine residues by NHS-based coupling, we changed to a site-specific functionalization strategy. Thus, we introduced an azide-modified peptide at the C-terminus of the bivalent Nbs via chemoenzymatic sortagging (Popp and Ploegh, 2011; Virant et al., 2018) followed by click-chemistry addition of a dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO) derivate. This enabled us to flexibly conjugate either agarose particles or fluorescent dyes specifically to the C-terminus of the bivalent Nbs (Jewett and Bertozzi, 2010). With this approach, we converted <sub>biv</sub>M41 and <sub>biv</sub>M114 into fluorescently-labelled bivalent imaging probes and nanotraps, which we further tested in their respective applications.

First, we performed IF staining of HeLa cells with either the monovalent Nbs, which were chemically coupled to AlexaFluor (AF) 647 (M41<sub>647 NHS</sub>; M114<sub>647 NHS</sub>) or the bivalent formats, which were C-terminally conjugated to AF647 (bivM41647 sort; bivM114<sub>647 sort</sub>). For bivM41<sub>647 sort</sub>, image analysis showed a significantly improved staining and a crisp overlap of the GFP-Miro1 and Nb signal at mitochondrial structures compared to the monovalent version. Notably, when tested for detection of endogenous Miro1 in HeLa cells, bivM114<sub>647</sub> sort also shows a strong Miro1 staining at mitochondrial structures (Figure 4). Next, we tested the different nanotraps to pull down endogenous Miro1. Comparative immunoprecipitation of endogenous Miro1 from lysates of HEK293 cells using either the chemically immobilized monovalent nanotraps (M41<sub>NHS</sub>; M114<sub>NHS</sub>) or the site-directed modified versions (bivM41sort; bivM114sort) revealed a considerably increased accumulation of endogenous Miro1 after pulldown with bivM41sort and also slightly higher enrichment for bivM114sort. In both cases the amount of precipitated Miro1 was higher compared with the conventional Miro1 antibody used as a positive control (Figure 5A). For a more detailed analysis, we continued and performed an in-depth comparison of the monoand bivalent nanotraps (M41<sub>NHS</sub>; M114<sub>NHS</sub> and <sub>biv</sub>M41<sub>sort</sub>; bivM114sort) using mass-spectrometry analysis to evaluate their potential use in protein interaction studies. In total, three technical replicates for each nanotrap were performed, with equal cell number as input material, which allowed us to apply label-free quantification. Initially, we validated the reproducibility between replicates, which showed a Spearman rank correlation close to one (Supplementary Figure S8A). Correlation was lower between the different monovalent or bivalent nanotraps, suggesting a difference in their performance. These findings were further supported by principal component analysis (PCA), for which we observed that ~66% of the sample variance in the first component can be explained by the two different nanotrap formats used (Supplementary Figure S8B). Next, we analysed the efficiency of each nanotrap to capture endogenous Miro1. Sequence alignment analysis of the eight annotated Miro1 isoforms showed a high level of sequence identity between isoforms (data not shown). In total, 31 "razor" peptides were identified for Miro1 isoform 3 and 30 peptides, including one unique peptide, for isoform 2 (Supplementary Figure S8C). Both bivalent nanotraps, as well



FIGURE 4 Comparison of monovalent and site specifically conjugated bivalent M41- and M114-Nbs in immunofluorescence. For comparable IF analysis HeLa cells transiently expressing GFP-Miro1 (left panel) or wildtype (wt) HeLa cells (right panel) were fixed and stained with the mono- or bivalent Nbs conjugated either chemically (M41<sub>647\_NHS</sub>, M114<sub>647\_NHS</sub>) or site-specifically *via* sortagging (bivM41<sub>647\_sort</sub>, bivM114<sub>647\_sort</sub>) to AlexaFluor 647 (647). As positive control respective cells were stained with an anti-Miro1 IgG followed by detection with a secondary antibody conjugated to AlexaFluor 647 (bottom row). Representative fluorescence images are shown from three independent biological replicates. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar 20 µm.

as the M114<sub>NHS</sub> nanotrap, were able to capture isoform 2 in addition to isoform 3. Notably, bivalent nanotraps allowed for the identification of more Miro1 peptides compared to their monovalent formats, which is also reflected by the higher Mirol sequence coverage (Supplementary Figure S8D). We validated the enrichment efficiency of Miro1 capture based intensity based absolute quantification (iBAQ) on (Figure 5B). Overall, we detected a higher background for bivalent nanotraps of up to 1,760 proteins, which is comparable to the controls. Despite the high background, the highest level of Miro1 isoform 2 and 3 was detected for both bivalent nanotraps. While the M41<sub>NHS</sub> nanotrap showed comparable levels of Miro1 as the controls, M114<sub>NHS</sub> as well as the bivalent nanotraps all showed an increased Miro1 capture. Notably, our MS analysis revealed no enrichment of structurally related proteins of the Ras GTPase family (Supplementary Table S3). Finally, we classified known Mirol interactors (based on STRING database annotation), by their direct or indirect interaction with Miro1 in combination with the confidence score. While all nanotraps showed a clear enrichment of Miro1, in comparison to the control nanotraps, only the bivM114sort

allowed for the enrichment of class 1 interactors such as MFN2 or FKBP8 (Figure 5C).

In summary, these results demonstrate how the performance of Nbs as capture and detection tools can be improved by generating bivalent binding molecules in combination with site-specific functionalization. From our data we concluded that the bivalent Miro1-Nbs have a high potential as detection probes to visualize even low levels of endogenous Miro1. Similarly, the bivalent nanotraps showed an improved performance in capturing Miro1. Especially the site-specifically modified <sub>biv</sub>M114-Nb might be a suitable capture reagent to be applied in future interactome studies of Miro1.

## Characterization of Intracellular Binding of Miro1-Cbs

The advantage of Cbs, defined as chimeric expression constructs comprising a Nb genetically fused to a fluorescent protein, is that they can visualize dynamic redistribution and expression levels of endogenous antigens within living cells with spatial and temporal resolution [reviewed in (Wagner and Rothbauer, 2021)]. To analyse the functionality of selected Miro1-Nbs for



**FIGURE 5** Proteomic analysis of Miro1 capture. (**A**) For comparable immunoprecipitation soluble protein fraction of HEK293 cells were incubated either with monovalent Nbs either chemically coupled to NHS sepharose (M41<sub>NHS</sub>, M114<sub>NHS</sub>) or the bivalent formats, which were site specifically conjugated to agarose particles by sortagging and click chemistry (bivM41<sub>sort</sub>, bivM114<sub>sort</sub>). Input and bound fractions were analysed with an anti-Miro1 antibody. As positive control anti-Miro1 IgG immobilized on Protein A/G sepharose and as negative control a non-specific bivalent and site specifically conjugated nanotrap (bivNC<sub>sort</sub>) was used. Shown is a representative immunoblot stained with an anti-Miro1 antibody. For densitometric evaluation immunoblot signals of endogenous Miro1 in the corresponding bound fractions were normalized to the Miro1 signal in the input, which was set to 1. Shown are the mean signals from three independent biological replicates ±SD. (**B**) Capture efficiency by mono- and bivalent nanotraps. Averaged iBAQ (intensity based absolute quantification) values for Miro1 isoform 2 (white circles) and isoform 3 (black circles) of three biological replicates are shown. (**C**) Classification of Miro1 interactor based on STRING database. Class 1: direct interactor, confidence score >0.9; Class 2: direct interactor, confidence score >0.9.

intracellular targeting and tracing of Miro1 in living cells, we converted them into Cbs by fusing the Nb-coding sequences via a flexible GS linker to TagRFP. The Cb constructs were transiently expressed either alone or in combination with GFP-Miro1 in HeLa cells followed by live-cell fluorescence imaging. For M41-, M85- and M114-Cb, we observed a clear relocalization of the Cb signal to mitochondrial networks in the presence of GFP-Miro1 (Figure 6A), whereas all other Miro1-Cbs did not bind GFP-Miro1 (Supplementary Figure S9). Additionally, we examined whether the intracellular functional M41-, M85- and M114-Cb could recognize the previously identified domains of Miro1 within living cells. Thus, we expressed the Miro1 domain deletion constructs described above (Figure 3A) along with the Cb constructs in HeLa cells. Subsequent cellular imaging showed that ectopic expression of the C-terminal GTPase domain of Miro1 resulted in specific relocalization of M41-, M85- and M114-Cb to the mitochondrial network, confirming our results from pulldown domain mapping for these Cbs (Supplementary Figures S10A-S10C). However, when we examined Cb binding to endogenous Miro1, we observed a rather diffuse cellular

distribution of the Cb signal and could not detect characteristic mitochondrial structures as seen with an anti-Miro1 antibody staining of Cb expressing cells (Supplementary Figure S10D).

### Visualization of Compound-Induced Mitochondrial Dynamics in Living Cells

Our Cb-based imaging results indicated that the M114-Cb shows a slightly better intracellular binding compared to M41- and M85-Cb. Thus, we continued and investigated the utility of this Cb as an intracellular biosensor to track changes in mitochondrial morphology in living cells. For real-time analysis, U2OS cells transiently co-expressing the M114-Cb or a mito-mKate2 construct and GFP-Miro1 were treated with Sorafenib or DMSO as control. Sorafenib has been shown to induce mitochondrial fragmentation and apoptosis in a timedependent manner (Zhao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017). By time-lapse imaging, we visualized changes in mitochondrial morphology within single cells over a 2 h period with an



imaging interval of 30 min (**Figure 6B**, **Supplementary Figure S11**). Following 30 min of Sorafenib treatment, images revealed the collapse of the mitochondrial network reflected by gradual disappearance of elongated mitochondria. After 90 min, condensed mitochondrial networks were visible in the majority of treated cells. Both, the Sorafenib induced mitochondrial morphological transitions as well as normal shape changes observable in the DMSO control were successfully visualized by the M114-Cb.

However, although demonstrating that the Miro1-Cbs have the capability to bind and visualize overexpressed, mitochondrial localized Miro1, the experiments shown do not provide an explanation why they failed to visualize endogenous Miro1. Possibly, this is due to a lower intracellular affinity, partial blockade of the detected epitopes by endogenous binding factors or an overall too low cellular expression of Miro1 in the investigated cell types.

# Selective Degradation of Miro1 in Living Cells

Previously, it was reported that depletion of Miro1 by pharmacological intervention or genetic silencing rescues mitophagy activation (Hsieh et al., 2019). To test whether our Nbs could also be used to induce targeted degradation of Miro1 in living cells, we engineered genetically encoded Miro1-specific degrons. To this end, we fused the intracellularly functional Nbs, M41 and M114, or the GFP-Nb (GBP) as control, N-terminally to the mammalian Fbox domain to generate a specific loading platform at Miro1 for components of the mammalian E3 ligase complex, namely SKP1 and Cul1 (**Figure 7A**). Notably, similar approaches using other Nbs were successfully applied to induce selective protein knockdown within cells or organisms (Caussinus et al., 2011; Kuo et al., 2011; Baudisch et al., 2018; Bery et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2021). These Fbox-Nb constructs were cloned into mammalian expression vectors containing an independently transcribed nuclear TagRFP (TagRFP-NLS) to facilitate the identification of transfected cells in cellular imaging analysis. First, we examined whether Fbox-GBP, Fbox-M41, and Fbox-M114 are functional binders and can bind transiently co-expressed GFP-Miro1 in HeLa cells. A clear overlap of the Fbox-Nb signal with GFP-Miro1 after IF staining using an anti-VHH antibody showed that N-terminal fusion of the Fbox domain did not affect intracellular binding of the Nbs (Supplementary Figure S12A). Furthermore, quantification of fluorescence intensity in cells coexpressing the Fbox-Nb constructs and GFP-Miro1 revealed a ~80% reduction of the GFP signal in cells expressing Fbox-M114, ~50% in cells expressing Fbox-M41, and ~50% in cells expressing Fbox-GBP, respectively. Co-expression of a nonspecific Nb-Fbox construct (Fbox-NR) results only in a non-significant reduction in GFP-Miro1 (Supplementary Figures S12B,S12C). To confirm our findings, we further performed immunoblot analysis to validate our findings. Although the degrons show different expression levels we observed a decrease of the GFP-Miro1 in cells expressing the Miro1- or GFP-specific degrons but not the Fbox-NR (Supplementary Figures S12D,S12E).

Although we could not detect a clear relocalization of intracellularly expressed Nbs to endogenous Miro1 in the Cb format, we continued and investigated the Fbox fusions with respect to their potential to degrade endogenous Miro1. To that



end, HeLa cells were transfected with Fbox-Nbs, and cellular levels of Miro1 following 24 h of expression were determined by quantitative IF imaging using an anti-Miro1 antibody in cells displaying a nuclear TagRFP signal (**Supplementary Figure S13**). While characteristic mitochondrial structures were still observable (**Figure 7B**), quantification of the antibody signals in a statistically relevant number of cells (n > 500) showed a ~16 and ~30% decrease in the IF signal upon expression of Fbox-M41 and Fbox-M114, respectively. Notably, expression of the nonspecific Fbox-NR resulted only in a minor reduction of less than ~4% of the Miro1 signal (**Figure 7C**). From these results we concluded that both specific Fbox-Nb fusion constructs can address endogenous Miro1 in live cells and induce targeted degradation of their antigen.

#### DISCUSSION

The emerging role of Miro1 in the development and progression of diseases, particularly neurological disorders, underscores the need for new methods and tools to study this protein in detail at the molecular level (Fatiga et al., 2021; Kassab et al., 2021; Kontou et al., 2021; López-Doménech et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2021). Currently, most studies rely on ectopic expression of genetically modified (tagged) Miro1 (Kanfer et al., 2015; Castro et al., 2018; Nemani et al., 2018; Fatiga et al., 2021) which previously has been shown to affect mitochondrial morphology and transport in living cells (Fransson et al., 2006). To expand the ability to study Miro1 in different experimental settings, we developed a collection of Nbs, which were screened for their performance as 1) affinity capture tools, 2) labelling probes for fluorescence imaging, 3) intrabodies for visualization and monitoring of Miro1 in live cells, and 4) as Miro1-specific degrons. In total, we selected seven specific binding molecules, which recognize distinct domains of Miro1 with affinities down to the low nanomolar range. These Nbs can be easily produced in high yields in bacteria and four of them were successfully functionalized by simple chemical conjugation as capture molecules to precipitate Miro1 from soluble cell lysates in the monovalent format.

After determining that the identified Nbs in their monovalent format were not suitable as primary probes for visualization of endogenous Miro1, we decided to convert the most promising candidates, M41 and M114, to a bivalent format. In combination with an advanced labeling strategy using site-specific functionalization via sortase tagging in combination with click chemistry, we were able to generate highly functional capture reagents. Our mass spectrometry data indicate that these modified bivalent Miro1 nanotraps are well suited to capture different isoforms of Miro1 and thus have high potential for future interactome studies. Interestingly, only the site-directed modified bivalent M114-Nb also allowed detection of imaging. endogenous Miro1 by immunofluorescence Consistent with previous findings, this confirms the critical impact of Nb formatting and functionalization for the generation of efficient binding molecules that enable one-step detection of their antigens (Pleiner et al., 2018; Virant et al., 2018; Götzke et al., 2019). A directly labelled bivalent nanobody can bring the fluorophore closer to the target structure compared to conventional staining with a secondary antibody. We previously developed and used such Nb-based imaging probes for the detection of endogenous vimentin using stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) (Traenkle and Rothbauer, 2017; Virant et al., 2018). We anticipate that the bivM114 can be similarly adapted for such advanced imaging techniques on more relevant cells including primary neurons or neurons derived from induced pluripotent stem cells of PD patients.

Besides their application as recombinant capturing and detection tools, numerous studies reported how Nbs can be functionally expressed in the reducing milieu of living cells to visualize subcellular antigen location or to modulate their target structure and function (Rothbauer et al., 2006; Kirchhofer et al., 2010; Maier et al., 2015; Keller et al., 2018; Wagner and Rothbauer, 2020). Accordingly, with M41, M85 and M114, we identified three Nbs, which we converted into intracellularly functional Cbs to visualize Miro1 in living cells. Although, we were not able to detect endogenous Miro1 with Cbs probably due to low levels and a disperse localization of different endogenous isoforms of Miro1, these Cbs could visualize dynamic changes of exogenous Miro1 in time-lapse imaging series. From our treatment studies with Sorafenib we concluded that the Cbs are unaffected by changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential and stably bind their antigen after fixation. This might be advantageous compared to other fluorescent dyes, e.g., the MitoTracker series, which bind to thiol groups within mitochondria and have been shown to be sensitive to changes in the membrane potential (Chazotte, 2011).

To mimic Parkin-mediated Mirol degradation in living cells, we decided for the application of an artificial nanobody-coupled ubiquitin ligase system. Therefore, we used the M41-Nb and M114-Nb as specific substrate recognition modules for the SKP1-Cul1-Fbox complex to mediate the selective degradation of endogenous and exogenous Mirol. It has to be noted that expression of these degrons did not result in complete depletion of endogenous Mirol. It is possible that the efficacy is due to insufficient binding of endogenous Mirol yet, which could be further investigated, e.g., by FRAP (fluorescent recovery after photobleaching) experiments (Maier et al., 2015; Panza et al., 2015). Alternatively, it can be speculated whether other known degron entities, such as fusion with TRIM21 (Clift et al., 2017) or the auxin-dependent degradation system (Daniel et al., 2018), might be better suited for depletion of Miro1 using the target-specific Nbs identified here. Although expression of the degron did not completely deplete endogenous Miro1, the effect was replicable and not observed in the absence of the Fbox protein or in the presence of an unrelated Nb-based degron. For functional studies, CRISPR and RNAi approaches are currently employed to knock down endogenous Miro1 in lossof-function studies. However, apart from the inherent limitations of each approach, both methods lead to complete loss of Miro1 disrupting mitochondrial homeostasis (López-Doménech et al., 2016; López-Doménech et al., 2021). For this reason, the Nbbased degrons holds great benefit as an option for targeted Miro1 depletion. With the ongoing development of an inducible system applied in PD neuronal models, Fbox-Nb-mediated Miro1 degradation could expand the possibilities to study PD-related mitophagy impairment.

In summary, this study introduces for the first time, an adaptable and flexible toolset of specific Nbs for the multifaceted study of the mitochondrial GTPase, Miro1. In the nanotrap format, the identified Nbs are promising capture tools for the proteomic characterization of Miro1 domaindependent interactions. Conjugated to fluorophores, Nbs have a distinct potential to be applied as labelling probes for one step detection, e.g., in high-resolution imaging of Miro1 at mitochondria. Formatted into Cbs, formidable intracellular biosensors of Miro1 dynamics within live cells were generated. As intracellular Miro1 binding agents, the Nbs can be easily fused with different protein domains for the targeted modulation of Miro1 in live cells. The versatile applicability of our Nb set underscores its substantial potential as Miro1-specific research toolkit, and we propose that its scope will soon expand to decipher novel and/or further confirm proposed functions of Miro1 in pathophysiological relevant states.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Nanobody Library Construction**

Alpaca immunization with purified Miro1 and Nb library construction were carried out as previously described (Rothbauer et al., 2006). Animal immunization was approved by the government of Upper Bavaria (Permit number: 55.2-1-54-2532.0-80-14). In brief, one alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*) was immunized with recombinant human Miro1 expressed in *E. coli.* After an initial priming dose of 1 mg, the animal received booster injections of 0.5 mg after the third, fourth, seventhth and 12th week. 20 ml serum samples collected after the 9th and 13th week were analysed for seroconversion. 13 weeks after the initial immunization, 100 ml of blood was collected and lymphocytes were isolated by Ficoll gradient centrifugation using the Lymphocyte Separation Medium (PAA Laboratories GmbH). Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Life Technologies) and

mRNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using a First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (GE Healthcare). The Nb repertoire was isolated in 3 nested PCR reactions using following primer combinations: 1) *CALL001* and *CALL002*, 2) forward primer set *FR1-1*, *FR1-2*, *FR1-3*, *FR1-4*, and reverse primer *CALL002*, and 3) forward primer *FR1-ext1* and *FR1-ext2* and reverse primer set *FR4-1*, *FR4-2*, *FR4-3*, *FR4-4*, *FR4-5*, and *FR4-6* introducing *SfiI* and *NotI* restriction sites. The sequences of all primers used in this study are shown in **Supplementary Table S1**. The Nb library was subcloned into the *SfiI/NotI* sites of the pHEN4 phagemid vector (Arbabi Ghahroudi et al., 1997).

#### Nanobody Screening

For the selection of Miro1-specific Nbs, two and three consecutive phage enrichment rounds were performed either with recombinant Miro1 immobilized on Nunc<sup>TM</sup> Immuno<sup>TM</sup> MaxiSorp<sup>TM</sup> tubes (Thermo Scientific) or HEK293-expressed GFP-Miro1 immobilized on ChromoTek GFP-Trap<sup>®</sup> Multiwell plate (Proteintech). To generate Nb-presenting phages, *E. coli* TG1 cells comprising the Miro1-Nb library in pHEN4 vector were infected with the M13K07 helper phage.  $1 \times 10^{11}$  phages, prepared from culture supernatant by PEG precipitation, were used for each panning process. Extensive blocking of antigen and phages was performed in each selection round with 5% milk or BSA in PBST (PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 7.4) (Pardon et al., 2014).

For the selection process using recombinant Miro1, phages were first applied on immunotubes coated with GFP (10 µg/ml) to deplete non-specific binders and then transferred to immunotubes either coated with Miro1 (10 µg/ml) or GFP (10 µg/ml) as non-related antigen. Incubation steps were performed at RT for 2 h. Washing stringency was increased for each selection round. Bound phages were eluted in 100 mM triethylamine (pH 12.0), followed by immediate neutralization with 1 M Tris/HCl pH 7.4. For the panning process using GFP-Miro1,  $2 \times 10^7$  HEK293 cells transiently expressing GFP-Miro1 or GFP were harvested and lysed as previously described (Traenkle et al., 2020). GFP-Miro1 and GFP were immobilized respectively on GFP-Trap Multiwell plates according to manufacturer's protocol. To deplete GFP-specific binding molecules, phages were first applied into wells displaying GFP and then transferred into wells with immobilized GFP-Miro1. All incubation steps of three consecutive selection rounds were performed at 4°C for 2 h under constant mixing. Washing and elution steps were carried out equally as described above. After each panning round exponentially growing E. coli TG1 cells were infected with eluted phages and spread on selection plates to rescue phagemids. Antigen-specific enrichment for each round was monitored by counting colony forming unit (CFUs). Following panning, 100 individual clones from both panning strategies were screened by phage ELISA procedures using immobilized Miro1 or GFP-Miro1 as antigen and GFP as control. Bound phages were detected using a horseradish peroxidase-labelled anti-M13 monoclonal antibody (GE Healthcare) and Thermo Scientific™ 1-Step<sup>™</sup> Ultra TMB solution.

#### **Expression Plasmids**

For the bacterial expression, Nb sequences were cloned into the pHEN6C vector (Rothbauer et al., 2008), thereby adding a

C-terminal sortase tag (LPETG) followed by a His<sub>6</sub> tag for IMAC purification as described previously (Virant et al., 2018). For mammalian expression, the coding sequence for bivM41 was produced by gene synthesis (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cloned into the pCDNA3.4 expression vector downstream of an N-terminal signal peptide (MGWTLVFLFLLSVTAGVHS) for secretion using restriction enzymes XbaI and AgeI sites. bivM114 was generated by insertion of two coding sequences of M114 into the pCDNA3.4 expression vector in three steps: first, M114 sequence was amplified in two separate PCRs using primer sets bivM114GA-for, nterm1273 rev and bivM114GA2 for, downEcoRI\_rev; second, both amplicons were then fused by overlap-extension PCR with additional use of primers bivM114FPCR for and bivM114FPCR rev; third, the resulting sequence was cloned into Esp3I- and EcoRI- digested pCDNA3.4 expression vector by Gibson assembly according to the manufacturer's protocol. To generate Miro1-Cbs, Nb sequences were genetically fused with TagRFP by ligation into BglII- and BstEII-sites of the plasmid previously described as PCNA-chromobody (Panza et al., 2015). The expression construct for GFP-Miro1 was generated by Gibson assembly cloning (Gibson et al., 2009) of three fragments: the pEGFP-N1 vector (Takara Bio) backbone, amplified with the primer set vectorGA\_for and vectorGA\_rev and the cDNA of human Miro1 isoform 1 (UniProtKB Q8IXI2-1), amplified in two fragments from the Miro1-V5-HisA plasmid, a gift from Julia Fitzgerald (Grossmann et al., 2019) using the primer set MirolfragA\_for and MirolfragA\_rev and primer set MirolfragB\_for and *Miro1fragB\_rev* respectively. Miro1 domain deletion constructs for mammalian expression were cloned from the GFP-Miro1 expression plasmid generated in this study. Respective domains and vector backbone were amplified by PCR using the following primer sets: *nGTP\_for* and *nGTP\_rev* for eGFP-nGTPase-TMD; nGTP\_for and nGTPEF2\_rev for eGFP-nGTPase-EF1-EF2-TMD,  $\Delta nGTP_{for}$  and  $\Delta nGTP_{rev}$  for eGFP-EF1-EF2-TMD. Amplicons comprising additional terminal KpnI recognition purified, sites were digested with KpnI/DpnI and intramolecular re-ligated according to standard protocols. The eGFP-cGTPase-TMD expression plasmid was generated by site directed mutagenesis with the primers, cGTP\_for and cGTP\_rev using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The mammalian expression construct for GFP was previously described (Braun et al., 2016). For molecular cloning of the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3\_Fbox-Nb-IRES-tRFP-NLS, DNA assembly of the following three fragments was performed. Fragment 1-the complete sequence of plasmid pcDNA3\_NSlmb-vhhGFP4, a gift from Markus Affolter (Addgene plasmid #35579) (Caussinus et al., 2011) amplified by PCR using primers NM95\_for and NM95\_rev; fragment 2- the IRES sequence, amplified by PCR from a pcDNA3.1 vector variant, pcDNA3.1 (+)IRES-GFP, a gift from Kathleen\_L Collins (Addgene plasmid #51406) with primers frag2IRES for and *frag2IRES\_rev and* fragment 3, generated in two steps. First, an NLS sequence was inserted downstream of the TagRFP sequence in the Cb expression vector described above with

primers *nls-insert\_for* and *nls-insert\_rev* using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) and the resulting plasmid was used as a template to subsequently amplify the TagRFP-NLS sequence using the primers *frag3-tRFP-nls\_for* and *frag3-tRFPnls\_rev*. Fragment assembly was carried out using NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs) according to manufacturer's protocol. In the resulting pcDNA3\_Fbox-Nb-IRES-tRFP-NLS plasmid the GFP-Nb (vhhGFP4) was replaced by Miro1-Nbs using *BamHI* and *BstEII* restriction sites. All generated expression constructs were sequence analyzed after cloning. For fluorescent labeling of mitochondria in living cells, the mammalian expression vector pmKate2-mito (Evrogen plasmid #FP187) was used.

#### **Protein Expression and Purification**

Miro1-Nbs were expressed and purified as previously described (Maier et al., 2015; Wagner and Rothbauer, 2021). Bivalent Nbs were expressed using the ExpiCHO<sup>™</sup> system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For quality control, all purified proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE according to standard procedures. Protein samples were denatured (5 min, 95°C) in 2x SDS-sample buffer containing 60 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8; 2% (w/v) SDS; 5% (v/v) 2mercaptoethanol, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.02% bromphenol blue prior to analysis. All proteins were visualized by InstantBlue Coomassie (Expedeon) staining. For immunoblotting, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare) and detection was performed using anti-His primary antibody (Penta-His Antibody, #34660, Qiagen) followed by donkey-anti-mouse secondary antibody labelled with AlexaFluor647 (Invitrogen). A Typhoon Trio scanner (GE-Healthcare, excitation 633 nm, emission filter settings 670 nm BP 30) was used for the readout of fluorescence signals.

## Affinity Measurements by Biolayer Interferometry

Analysis of binding kinetics of Miro1-specific Nbs was performed using the Octet RED96e system (Sartorius) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. In brief, 2–10 µg/ml solution of biotinylated Miro1-Nbs diluted in Octet buffer (HEPES, 0.1% BSA) was used for 40 s to immobilize the Nb on streptavidin coated biosensor tips (SA, Sartorius). In the association step, a dilution series of Miro1 ranging from 3.9 nM to 1 µM were reacted for 300 s followed by dissociation in Octet buffer for 720 s. Every run was normalized to a reference run using Octet buffer for association. Data were analyzed using the Octet Data Analysis HT 12.0 software applying the 1:1 ligand-binding model and global fitting.

## Cell Culture, Transfections and Compound Treatment

HEK293 and U2OS cells were obtained from ATCC (CRL3216, HTB-96), HeLa Kyoto cell line (Cellosaurus no. CVCL\_1922) was obtained from S. Narumiya (Kyoto University, Japan) and HAP1 cells were obtained from Horizon Discovery (United Kingdom, catalog number C631). The cell lines were tested for mycoplasma using the PCR mycoplasma kit Venor GeM Classic (Minerva Biolabs, Berlin, Germany) and the Taq DNA polymerase (Minerva Biolabs, Berlin, Germany). Since this study does not include cell line-specific analysis, cell lines were used without additional authentication. Cell lines were cultured according to standard protocols. Briefly, growth media containing DMEM (high glucose, pyruvate, with GlutaMAX<sup>™</sup>, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) and 1% (v/v) penicillin/ streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for cultivation. Cells were routinely passaged using 0.05% trypsin-EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) and were cultivated at 37°C in a humidified chamber with a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Transient transfection of U2OS and HeLa Kyoto cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was carried out according to manufacturer's instruction. HEK293 cells were transfected with Polyethyleneimine (PEI, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MI, United States) as previously described (Zolghadr et al., 2008; Braun et al., 2016). Compound treatment was done with 10 µM Sorafenib tosylate (Sellekchem) for up to 2 h.

## Nanobody Immobilization on NHS-Sepharose Matrix

2 ml of purified Miro1-Nbs at 1 mg/ml concentration in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were immobilized on 1 ml NHS-Sepharose (GE-Healthcare) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

#### Sortase Labelling of Nanobodies

Sortase A pentamutant (eSrtA) in pET29 expression vector, a gift from David Liu (Addgene plasmid # 75,144) was expressed and purified as described (Chen et al., 2011). The substrate peptide H-Gly-Gly-Glypropyl-azide (sortase substrate) was custom synthesized by Intavis AG. For sortase labelling, 50 µM Nb, 250 µM sortase substrate peptide dissolved in sortase buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl) and 10 µM sortase were mixed in coupling buffer (sortase buffer with 10 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>) and incubated for 4 h at 4°C. Uncoupled Nb and sortase were depleted by IMAC. Unbound excess of unreacted peptide was removed using Zeba Spin Desalting Columns (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Azide-coupled Nbs were then labelled by SPAAC (strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition) click chemistry reaction with 2-fold molar excess of Alexa-Fluor conjugated dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO-AF647, Jena Bioscience) for 2 h at 25°C. For generation of the bivalent nanotraps, 1 mg of azide coupled bivalent Nb was incubated with 0.5 ml DBCO-Agarose (Jena Bioscience) slurry for 4 h at 25°C. The beads were centrifuged at  $2700 \times g$  for 2 min and the supernatant was removed. Samples of the input and flow-through fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE according to standard protocol. The Nb-coupled beads were washed thrice with 2.5 ml PBS and stored in 1 ml PBS.

### Mammalian Cell Lysis and Protein Extraction

 $3 \times 10^{6}$  HeLa, U2OS, HEK293 and HAP1 cells were each seeded in 100 mm culture dishes (Corning) and cultivated for 24 h. For the

analysis of endogenous Miro1 levels in different cell lines, the cells were harvested after 24 h. Subsequently, cells were washed in PBS (pH 7.4) and harvested, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and thawed on ice. Cell pellets were resuspended in 200 µL of RIPA lysis buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium desoxycholate) or 200 µL lysis buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml DNaseI, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 x protease inhibitor cocktail (Serva)] containing either 0.5% NP40 or 1% Triton X-100. Homogenization was achieved by passing the lysate through needles of decreasing gauge and intermittent vortexing for 60 min on ice. Lysates were centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. Protein concentrations of the soluble fractions were determined using Pierce<sup>TM</sup> BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer's protocol.

#### Immunoprecipitation

 $3 \times 10^{6}$  HEK 293 cells were seeded in 100 mm culture dishes (Corning) and cultivated for 24 h. For the pulldown of endogenous Miro1, the cells were harvested and lysed after 24 h. For the pulldown of GFP-Miro1 or GFP, the cells were subjected to plasmid DNA transfection with equal amounts of expression vectors and cultivated for 24 h. Subsequently, cells were washed in PBS (pH 7.4) and harvested, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at -20°C or thawed for immediate use. Cell pellets were homogenized in 200 µL lysis buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml DNaseI, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 x protease inhibitor cocktail (Serva)] by passing through needles of decreasing gauge and intermittent vortexing for 60 min on ice. Lysates were clarified by subsequent centrifugation at  $18,000 \times g$  for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was adjusted with dilution buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF) to 500 µL.  $5\,\mu\text{L}$  (1%) was added to 2 × SDS-containing sample buffer (60 mM Tris/HCl, 2% (w/v) SDS, 5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.02% bromphenol blue; referred to as input). For immunoprecipitation, 40-80 µL of sepharose-coupled Miro1 Nbs (nanotraps) were added to the protein solution and incubated for 16 h on an end over end rotor at 4°C. As a positive control, 2.5 µg of rabbit anti-Miro1 antibody (# PA5-42646, ThermoFisher) was added to the protein solution and incubated under the same conditions. For pulldown of immunocomplexes, 40 µL of an equilibrated mixture of protein A/G-Sepharose (Amersham Biosciences, Amersham, United Kingdom) were added, and incubation continued for 4 h. As a negative control, a non-related Nb (PepNb) immobilized on sepharose beads was used. After centrifugation  $(2 \min, 2700 \times g, 4^{\circ}C)$  supernatant was removed and the bead pellet was washed three times in 0.5 ml dilution buffer. On the third wash, the beads were transferred to a pre-cooled 1.5 ml tube (Eppendorf), resuspended in  $2 \times$  SDS-containing sample buffer and boiled for 10 min at 95°C. Samples (1% input, 20% bound) were analysed by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting according to standard procedures. Immunoblots were probed with the following primary antibodies: anti-Miro1 (clone 4H4, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-GFP (clone 3H9, ChromoTek), antiGAPDH (Santa Cruz) antibody as a negative control to detect unspecific binding to the nanotraps or anti-Vimentin antibody (clone V9, Sigma-Aldrich) for normalization. Immunoblots were scanned on the Typhoon-Trio laser scanner (GE Healthcare) as described and quantitatively analysed using Image Quant TL 8.1 (GE Healthcare). Full scans of western blots from all immunoprecipitation experiments are included in **Supplementary Figure S14**.

#### Immunofluorescence

HeLa cells were seeded at  $1 \times 10^4$  per well in µClear 96 well plates (Greiner). Next day, the cells were transfected with plasmids coding for GFP-Miro1 or a GFP-tagged non-related protein. 24 h post transfection, the cells were washed twice with PBS and fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 10 min at RT and blocked with 5% BSA in TBST for 30 min at RT. Incubation with purified Miro1 Nbs or AF647 conjugated Nbs (100-200 nM in 5% BSA in TBST) or rabbit anti-Miro1 antibody (# NBP1-89011, Novus Biologicals) was performed overnight at 4°C. Unbound nanobodies were removed by three washing steps with TBST. Unlabelled Miro1 Nbs were detected by addition of a Cy5-conjugated Goat Anti-Alpaca IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch) according to manufacturer's guidelines. For Miro1 antibody detection, an AF647 conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Invitrogen) was used. Nuclei were subsequently stained with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma-Aldrich) and images were acquired immediately afterwards with an ImageXpress<sup>™</sup> Micro Confocal High Content Screening system (Molecular Devices) at 40x magnification.

#### Liquid Chromatography-MS Analysis

Miro1 pull-down samples, by application of the mono- and bivalent M41 and M114 nanotraps, were compared to control nanotraps in three technical replicates. Proteins were purified by SDS- PAGE [4-12% NuPAGE tris gel (Invitrogen)] for 7 min at 200 V. Coomassie stained protein gel pieces were excised and applied to tryptic digestion as described previously (Shevchenko et al., 2006). Samples were measured on an Exploris480 mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) online-coupled to an Easy-nLC 1200 UHPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were separated on an in-house packed [ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9 µm silica beads (Dr Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch, Germany)], 20 cm analytical HPLC column [75 µm ID PicoTip fused silica emitter (New Objective, Berks, United Kingdom)]. Peptides were eluted with a 36 min gradient, generated by solvent A (0.1% formic acid) and solvent B (80% Acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 200 nL/min at 40°C. Nanospray ionization at 2.3 kV together with a capillary temperature of 275°C was applied for peptide ionization. Full MS spectra were acquired at resolution 60 k within a scan range of 300-1,750 m/z and tandem MS (MS/MS) spectra were acquired at 15 k resolution. Maximum Injection Time Mode and automated control target were set to Auto and Standard respectively for full MS and MS/MS scans. The 20 most intense peptides with multiple charge were selected for MS/MS sequencing by higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) with a dynamic exclusion of 30 s. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (Perez-Riverol et al., 2022) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD031218.

#### Mass Spectrometry Data Analysis

Raw data files were processed using the MaxQuant software suit (version 2.0.3.0) (Cox and Mann, 2008). Spectra were searched against Uniprot Homo sapiens database (released 11.12.2019, 96,817 entries), Vicugna pacos specific nanotraps and commonly observed contaminants. Peptide mass tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm for MS and to 20 ppm for MS/MS. Peptide and protein false discovery rate was set to 1%. Methionine oxidation and protein N-terminus acetylation were selected as variable modification, while carbamidomethylation on Cysteine was defined as fixed modification. A maximum of two missed cleavages were accepted for specific trypsin digestion mode. For label-free quantification a minimum number of two ratio count was requested. Intensity based absolute quantification was enabled. Statistical analysis was performed with the Perseus software suit (version 1.6.15.0). First, contaminants, reversed and proteins only identified by site proteins were filtered out. Only proteins present in two out of three replicates of each nanotrap were allowed for downstream significance testing. Significantly enriched proteins were determined by t-test as Class A with S0 set to 0.1 and FDR threshold ≤0.01 or Class B with S0 set to 0.1 and FDR threshold ≤0.05. Additional graphical visualization were performed in the R environment (version 4.1.1). For Miro1 interactome analysis the top 50 protein interactors were classified based on the direct or indirect interaction with Miro1 and the confidence score derived from STRING database. As class 1 interactors, proteins were assigned that are direct interactors with Miro1, and had a confidence score greater than 0.9. Proteins with a direct interaction with Miro1 and a confidence score smaller than 0.9 were annotated as class 2 interactors. Class 3 interactors were annotated based on indirect Miro1 interaction and a confidence score greater than 0.9.

#### Microscopy and Time Lapse Imaging

8 × 10<sup>3</sup> U2OS or 1 × 10<sup>4</sup> HeLa cells/well were plated in a black µclear 96-well plate (Greiner). 24 h after plating, cells were transiently co-transfected with plasmids coding for GFP-Miro1 and M41-Cb, M85-Cb or M114-Cb. The next day, the medium was replaced by live-cell visualization medium DMEM<sup>gfp-2</sup> (Evrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine. For the time-lapse acquisition, U2OS cells transiently expressing GFP-Miro1 and M114-CB or mito-mKate2 were either treated with 10 µM Sorafenib tosylate or DMSO in live-cell visualization medium and imaged every 15 min for up to 2 h. Images were acquired under standard conditions with the ImageXpress<sup>™</sup> Micro Confocal High Content Screening system (Molecular Devices) at 40x magnification.

#### **Image Segmentation and Analysis**

For the targeted Mirol degradation experiments,  $8 \times 10^3 - 1 \times 10^4$  wildtype HeLa cells or HeLa cells transiently expressing Fbox-Nb-IRES-TagRFP-NLS constructs were fixed and permeabilized in a black µclear 96-well plate (Greiner). Immunofluorescence staining of Mirol was performed as previously described and images were acquired with ImageXpress<sup>™</sup> Micro Confocal High Content Screening system (Molecular Devices) at 40x magnification. Image analysis was performed with MetaXpress software (64 bit, 6.2.3.733, Molecular Devices) of a statistically relevant number of cells (n > 500 cells) for each construct. Using the Custom Module Editor (version 2.5.13.3) of the MetaXpress software, we established image segmentation algorithms to define regions of interest for fluorescence quantification. Based the parameters of size, shape, and fluorescence intensity above local background for DAPI and TagRFPstained nuclei and for Miro1-stained cytoplasm, we defined whole-cell areas (Supplementary Figure S13). The average Miro1 fluorescence intensities in whole cells were determined for each image followed by subtraction of background fluorescence. The resulting values from the transfected cells were normalized to the non-transfected control. Standard errors were calculated for three independent replicates and student's t test was used for statistical analysis.

#### **Analyses and Statistics**

Graph preparation and statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism Software (Version 9.0.0 or higher).

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

FF and UR conceived the study and analyzed the data. FF, PK, TW, BT, TF, and GJ performed all cellular and biochemical experiments including imaging studies. NB provided recombinant Miro1. SN and AS immunized the alpaca. KZ and BM performed and analyzed mass spectrometry experiments. FF and UR wrote the manuscript with the help of all authors.

### FUNDING

This research was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) through RTG 2364 "MOMbrane" to FF, TF, KZ, BM and UR. We acknowlegde support by Open Access Publishing Fund of University of Tuebingen.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2022.835302/full#supplementary-material

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmad, T., Mukherjee, S., Pattnaik, B., Kumar, M., Singh, S., Kumar, M., et al. (2014). Miro1 Regulates Intercellular Mitochondrial Transport & Enhances Mesenchymal Stem Cell rescue Efficacy. *Embo J.* 33 (9), 994–1010. doi:10.1002/ EMBJ.201386030
- Arbabi Ghahroudi, M., Desmyter, A., Wyns, L., Hamers, R., and Muyldermans, S. (1997). Selection and Identification of Single Domain Antibody Fragments from Camel Heavy-Chain Antibodies. *FEBS Lett.* 414 (3), 521–526. doi:10. 1016/S0014-5793(97)01062-4
- Babenko, V., Silachev, D., Popkov, V., Zorova, L., Pevzner, I., Plotnikov, E., et al. (2018). Miro1 Enhances Mitochondria Transfer from Multipotent Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MMSC) to Neural Cells and Improves the Efficacy of Cell Recovery. *Molecules* 23 (3), 687. doi:10.3390/ molecules23030687
- Baudisch, B., Pfort, I., Sorge, E., and Conrad, U. (2018). Nanobody-Directed Specific Degradation of Proteins by the 26S-Proteasome in Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 130. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00130
- Bery, N., Keller, L., Soulié, M., Gence, R., Iscache, A.-L., Cherier, J., et al. (2019). A Targeted Protein Degradation Cell-Based Screening for Nanobodies Selective toward the Cellular RHOB GTP-Bound Conformation. *Cel Chem. Biol.* 26 (11), 1544–1558. doi:10.1016/J.CHEMBIOL.2019.08.009
- Boldogh, I. R., and Pon, L. A. (2007). Mitochondria on the Move. *Trends Cel Biol.* 17 (10), 502–510. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2007.07.008
- Boureux, A., Vignal, E., Faure, S., and Fort, P. (2007). Evolution of the Rho Family of Ras-Like GTPases in Eukaryotes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 24 (1), 203–216. doi:10. 1093/molbev/msl145
- Braun, M. B., Traenkle, B., Koch, P. A., Emele, F., Weiss, F., Poetz, O., et al. (2016). Peptides in Headlock - a Novel High-Affinity and Versatile Peptide-Binding Nanobody for Proteomics and Microscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 19211. doi:10.1038/ srep19211
- Castro, I. G., Richards, D. M., Metz, J., Costello, J. L., Passmore, J. B., Schrader, T. A., et al. (2018). A Role for Mitochondrial Rho GTPase 1 (MIRO1) in Motility and Membrane Dynamics of Peroxisomes. *Traffic* 19 (3), 229–242. doi:10.1111/ TRA.12549
- Caussinus, E., Kanca, O., and Affolter, M. (2011). Fluorescent Fusion Protein Knockout Mediated by Anti-GFP Nanobody. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 19 (1), 117–121. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2180
- Chazotte, B. (2011). Labeling Mitochondria with MitoTracker Dyes. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2011 (8), 990–992. doi:10.1101/PDB.PROT5648
- Cheloha, R. W., Harmand, T. J., Wijne, C., Schwartz, T. U., and Ploegh, H. L. (2020). Exploring Cellular Biochemistry with Nanobodies. J. Biol. Chem. 295 (45), 15307–15327. doi:10.1074/JBC.REV120.012960
- Chen, G., Kong, Y., Li, Y., Huang, A., Wang, C., Zhou, S., et al. (2021). A Promising Intracellular Protein-Degradation Strategy: TRIMbody-Away Technique Based on Nanobody Fragment. *Biomolecules* 11 (10), 1512. doi:10.3390/ biom11101512
- Chen, I., Dorr, B. M., and Liu, D. R. (2011). A General Strategy for the Evolution of Bond-Forming Enzymes Using Yeast Display. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108 (28), 11399–11404. doi:10.1073/pnas.1101046108
- Clift, D., McEwan, W. A., Labzin, L. I., Konieczny, V., Mogessie, B., James, L. C., et al. (2017). A Method for the Acute and Rapid Degradation of Endogenous Proteins. *Cell* 171 (7), 1692–1706. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.033
- Cox, J., and Mann, M. (2008). MaxQuant Enables High Peptide Identification Rates, Individualized p.p.b.-Range Mass Accuracies and Proteome-Wide Protein Quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26 (12), 1367–1372. doi:10.1038/nbt.1511
- Daniel, K., Icha, J., Horenburg, C., Müller, D., Norden, C., and Mansfeld, J. (2018). Conditional Control of Fluorescent Protein Degradation by an Auxin-Dependent Nanobody. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 3297. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05855-5
- De Genst, E., Silence, K., Decanniere, K., Conrath, K., Loris, R., Kinne, J., et al. (2006). Molecular Basis for the Preferential Cleft Recognition by Dromedary Heavy-Chain Antibodies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103 (12), 4586–4591. doi:10. 1073/pnas.0505379103
- Dragonas, C., Bertsch, T., Sieber, C. C., and Brosche, T. (2009). Plasmalogens as a Marker of Elevated Systemic Oxidative Stress in Parkinson's Disease. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* 47 (7), 894–897. doi:10.1515/CCLM.2009.205

- Duchen, M. R. (2004). Roles of Mitochondria in Health and Disease. *Diabetes* 53 (Suppl. 1), S96–S102. doi:10.2337/diabetes.53.2007.S96
- Fatiga, F. F., Wang, L. J., Hsu, T., Capuno, J. I., and Fu, C. Y. (2021). Miro1 Functions as an Inhibitory Regulator of MFN at Elevated Mitochondrial Ca 2+ Levels. J. Cell. Biochem. 122, 1848–1862. doi:10.1002/jcb.30138
- Fransson, Å., Ruusala, A., and Aspenström, P. (2003). Atypical Rho GTPases Have Roles in Mitochondrial Homeostasis and Apoptosis. J. Biol. Chem. 278 (8), 6495–6502. doi:10.1074/jbc.M208609200
- Fransson, Å., Ruusala, A., and Aspenström, P. (2006). The Atypical Rho GTPases Miro-1 and Miro-2 Have Essential Roles in Mitochondrial Trafficking. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 344 (2), 500–510. doi:10.1016/J.BBRC. 2006.03.163
- Gibson, D. G., Young, L., Chuang, R.-Y., Venter, J. C., Hutchison, C. A., and Smith, H. O. (2009). Enzymatic Assembly of DNA Molecules up to Several Hundred Kilobases. *Nat. Methods* 6 (5), 343–345. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1318
- Götzke, H., Kilisch, M., Martínez-Carranza, M. A.-O., Sograte-Idrissi, S. A.-O., Rajavel, A. A.-O., Schlichthaerle, T., et al. (2019). The ALFA-Tag Is a Highly Versatile Tool for Nanobody-Based Bioscience Applications. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 4403. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12301-7
- Greenberg, A. S., Avila, D., Hughes, M., Hughes, A., McKinney, E. C., and Flajnik, M. F. (1995). A New Antigen Receptor Gene Family that Undergoes Rearrangement and Extensive Somatic Diversification in Sharks. *Nature* 374 (6518), 168–173. doi:10.1038/374168a0
- Grossmann, D., Berenguer-Escuder, C., Bellet, M. E., Scheibner, D., Bohler, J., Massart, F., et al. (2019). Mutations in RHOT1 Disrupt Endoplasmic Reticulum-Mitochondria Contact Sites Interfering with Calcium Homeostasis and Mitochondrial Dynamics in Parkinson's Disease. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* 31 (16), 1213–1234. doi:10.1089/ars.2018.7718
- Grossmann, D., Berenguer-Escuder, C., Chemla, A., Krüger, R., and Arena, G. (2020). The Emerging Role of RHOT1/Miro1 in the Pathogenesis of Parkinson's Disease. *Front. Neurol.* 11, 587. Available at: www.frontiersin. org. doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.00587
- Hamers-Casterman, C., Atarhouch, T., Muyldermans, S., Robinson, G., Hammers, C., Songa, E. B., et al. (1993). Naturally Occurring Antibodies Devoid of Light Chains. *Nature* 363 (6428), 446–448. doi:10.1038/363446a0
- He, J., Zhu, M., Chen, X., Shi, S., Tang, F., and Gu, S. (2021). Multivalent Nanobody-Biotin Amplified Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay for the Environmental Detection of 3-Phenoxybenzoic Acid. *Anal. Methods* 13 (43), 5247–5253. doi:10.1039/D1AY01491A
- Helma, J., Cardoso, M. C., Muyldermans, S., and Leonhardt, H. (2015). Nanobodies and Recombinant Binders in Cell Biology. J. Cel Biol 209 (5), 633–644. doi:10. 1083/jcb.201409074
- Hrynchak, I., Santos, L., Falcão, A., Gomes, C. M., and Abrunhosa, A. J. (2021). Nanobody-Based Theranostic Agents for HER2-Positive Breast Cancer: Radiolabeling Strategies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (19), 10745. doi:10.3390/ijms221910745
- Hsieh, C.-H., Li, L., Vanhauwaert, R., Nguyen, K. T., Davis, M. D., Bu, G., et al. (2019). Miro1 Marks Parkinson's Disease Subset and Miro1 Reducer Rescues Neuron Loss in Parkinson's Models. *Cel Metab.* 30 (6), 1131–1140. doi:10.1016/ j.cmet.2019.08.023
- Hsieh, C.-H., Shaltouki, A., Gonzalez, A. E., Bettencourt da Cruz, A., Burbulla, L. F., St. Lawrence, E., et al. (2016). Functional Impairment in Miro Degradation and Mitophagy Is a Shared Feature in Familial and Sporadic Parkinson's Disease. *Cell Stem Cell* 19 (6), 709–724. doi:10.1016/J.STEM.2016.08.002
- Jewett, J. C., and Bertozzi, C. R. (2010). Cu-Free Click Cycloaddition Reactions in Chemical Biology. Chem. Soc. Rev. 39 (4), 1272–1279. doi:10.1039/B901970G
- Kanfer, G., Courthéoux, T., Peterka, M., Meier, S., Soste, M., Melnik, A., et al. (2015). Mitotic Redistribution of the Mitochondrial Network by Miro and Cenp-F. Nat. Commun. 6, 8015. doi:10.1038/ncomms9015
- Kassab, S., Albalawi, Z., Daghistani, H., and Kitmitto, A. (2021). Mitochondrial Arrest on the Microtubule Highway-A Feature of Heart Failure and Diabetic Cardiomyopathy? *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 8, 689101. doi:10.3389/fcvm.2021. 689101
- Kay, L., Pienaar, I. S., Cooray, R., Black, G., and Soundararajan, M. (2018). Understanding Miro GTPases: Implications in the Treatment of Neurodegenerative Disorders. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 55 (9), 7352–7365. doi:10. 1007/s12035-018-0927-x
- Keller, B.-M., Maier, J., Secker, K.-A., Egetemaier, S.-M., Parfyonova, Y., Rothbauer, U., et al. (2018). Chromobodies to Quantify Changes of

Endogenous Protein Concentration in Living Cells. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 17 (12), 2518–2533. doi:10.1074/mcp.TIR118.000914

- Kirchhofer, A., Helma, J., Schmidthals, K., Frauer, C., Cui, S., Karcher, A., et al. (2010). Modulation of Protein Properties in Living Cells Using Nanobodies. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17 (1), 133–138. doi:10.1038/nsmb.1727
- Kontou, G., Antonoudiou, P., Podpolny, M., Szulc, B. R., Arancibia-Carcamo, I. L., Higgs, N. F., et al. (2021). Miro1-Dependent Mitochondrial Dynamics in Parvalbumin Interneurons. *Elife* 10, e65215. doi:10.7554/eLife.65215
- Kuo, C.-L., Oyler, G. A., and Shoemaker, C. B. (2011). Accelerated Neuronal Cell Recovery from Botulinum Neurotoxin Intoxication by Targeted Ubiquitination. *PLoS ONE* 6, e20352. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020352
- López Doménech, G., Howden, J. H., Covill-Cooke, C., Morfill, C., Patel, J. V., Bürli, R., et al. (2021). Loss of Neuronal Mirol Disrupts Mitophagy and Induces Hyperactivation of the Integrated Stress Response. *Embo j* 40 (14), e100715. doi:10.15252/embj.2018100715
- López-Doménech, G., Higgs, N. F., Vaccaro, V., Roš, H., Arancibia-Cárcamo, I. L., MacAskill, A. F., et al. (2016). Loss of Dendritic Complexity Precedes Neurodegeneration in a Mouse Model with Disrupted Mitochondrial Distribution in Mature Dendrites. *Cel Rep.* 17 (2), 317–327. doi:10.1016/j. celrep.2016.09.004
- MacAskill, A. F., Brickley, K., Stephenson, F. A., and Kittler, J. T. (2009). GTPase Dependent Recruitment of Grif-1 by Miro1 Regulates Mitochondrial Trafficking in Hippocampal Neurons. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 40 (3), 301–312. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2008.10.016
- MacAskill, A. F., Rinholm, J. E., Twelvetrees, A. E., Arancibia-Carcamo, I. L., Muir, J., Fransson, A., et al. (2009). Mirol Is a Calcium Sensor for Glutamate Receptor-Dependent Localization of Mitochondria at Synapses. *Neuron* 61 (4), 541–555. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.01.030
- Maier, J., Traenkle, B., and Rothbauer, U. (2015). Real-Time Analysis of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Using Fluorescent Single-Domain Antibodies. Sci. Rep. 5 (1), 13402. doi:10.1038/srep13402
- Moller, A., Bauer, C. S., Cohen, R. N., Webster, C. P., and De Vos, K. J. (2017). Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis-Associated Mutant SOD1 Inhibits Anterograde Axonal Transport of Mitochondria by Reducing Miro1 Levels. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 26 (23), 4668–4679. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx348
- Monzio Compagnoni, G., Di Fonzo, A., Corti, S., Comi, G. P., Bresolin, N., and Masliah, E. (2020). The Role of Mitochondria in Neurodegenerative Diseases: The Lesson from Alzheimer's Disease and Parkinson's Disease. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 57 (7), 2959–2980. doi:10.1007/s12035-020-01926-1
- Naidoo, D. B., and Chuturgoon, A. A. (2021). Nanobodies Enhancing Cancer Visualization, Diagnosis and Therapeutics. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (18), 9778. doi:10. 3390/ijms22189778
- Nemani, N., Carvalho, E., Tomar, D., Dong, Z., Ketschek, A., Breves, S. L., et al. (2018). MIRO-1 Determines Mitochondrial Shape Transition upon GPCR Activation and Ca2+ Stress. *Cel Rep.* 23 (4), 1005–1019. doi:10.1016/j.celrep. 2018.03.098
- Nguyen, D., Bharat, V., Conradson, D. M., Nandakishore, P., and Wang, X. (2021). Mirol Impairment in a Parkinson's At-Risk Cohort. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 14, 734273. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2021.734273
- Okumoto, K., Ono, T., Toyama, R., Shimomura, A., Nagata, A., and Fujiki, Y. (2018). New Splicing Variants of Mitochondrial Rho GTPase-1 (Miro1) Transport Peroxisomes. *J. Cel. Biol.* 217 (2), 619–633. doi:10.1083/jcb. 201708122
- Panza, P., Maier, J., Schmees, C., Rothbauer, U., and Söllner, C. (2015). Live Imaging of Endogenous Protein Dynamics in Zebrafish Using Chromobodies. *Development* 142 (10), 1879–1884. doi:10.1242/dev.118943
- Pardon, E., Laeremans, T., Triest, S., Rasmussen, S. G. F., Wohlkönig, A., Ruf, A., et al. (2014). A General Protocol for the Generation of Nanobodies for Structural Biology. *Nat. Protoc.* 9 (3), 674–693. doi:10. 1038/nprot.2014.039
- Perez-Riverol, Y., Bai, J., Bandla, C., García-Seisdedos, D., Hewapathirana, S., Kamatchinathan, S., et al. (2022). The PRIDE Database Resources in 2022: A Hub for Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomics Evidences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50 (D1), D543–D552. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1038
- Pleiner, T., Bates, M., and Görlich, D. (2018). A Toolbox of Anti-Mouse and Anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Nanobodies. J. Cel Biol 217 (3), 1143–1154. doi:10.1083/ jcb.201709115

- Popp, M. W.-L., and Ploegh, H. L. (2011). Making and Breaking Peptide Bonds: Protein Engineering Using Sortase. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50 (22), 5024–5032. doi:10.1002/anie.201008267
- Reis, K., Fransson, Å., and Aspenström, P. (2009). The Miro GTPases: At the Heart of the Mitochondrial Transport Machinery. *FEBS Lett.* 583 (9), 1391–1398. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2009.04.015
- Rothbauer, U., Zolghadr, K., Muyldermans, S., Schepers, A., Cardoso, M. C., and Leonhardt, H. (2008). A Versatile Nanotrap for Biochemical and Functional Studies with Fluorescent Fusion Proteins. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 7 (2), 282–289. doi:10.1074/mcp.M700342-MCP200
- Rothbauer, U., Zolghadr, K., Tillib, S., Nowak, D., Schermelleh, L., Gahl, A., et al. (2006). Targeting and Tracing Antigens in Live Cells with Fluorescent Nanobodies. *Nat. Methods* 3 (11), 887–889. doi:10.1038/nmeth953
- Rube, D. A., and Van Der Bliek, A. M. (2004). Mitochondrial Morphology Is Dynamic and Varied. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 256-257 (1-2), 331–339. doi:10.1023/ b:mcbi.0000009879.01256.f6
- Saotome, M., Szabadkai, G., Safiulina, D., Das, S., Fransson, A., Aspenstrom, P., et al. (2008). Bidirectional Ca2+-Dependent Control of Mitochondrial Dynamics by the Miro GTPase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 105, 20728–20733. doi:10.1073/pnas.0808953105
- Schwarz, T. L. (2013). Mitochondrial Trafficking in Neurons. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol. 5 (6), a011304. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a011304
- Shevchenko, A., Tomas, H., Havli, J., Olsen, J. V., and Mann, M. (2006). In-gel Digestion for Mass Spectrometric Characterization of Proteins and Proteomes. *Nat. Protoc.* 1 (6), 2856–2860. doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.468
- Traenkle, B., Segan, S., Fagbadebo, F. O., Kaiser, P. D., and Rothbauer, U. (2020). A Novel Epitope Tagging System to Visualize and Monitor Antigens in Live Cells with Chromobodies. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 14267. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-71091-x
- Traenkle, B., and Rothbauer, U. (2017). Under the Microscope: Single-Domain Antibodies for Live-Cell Imaging and Super-Resolution Microscopy. Front. Immunol. 8, 1030. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.01030
- van Spronsen, M., Mikhaylova, M., Lipka, J., Schlager, M. A., van den Heuvel, D. J., Kuijpers, M., et al. (2013). TRAK/Milton Motor-Adaptor Proteins Steer Mitochondrial Trafficking to Axons and Dendrites. *Neuron* 77 (3), 485–502. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.11.027
- Virant, D., Traenkle, B., Maier, J., Kaiser, P. D., Bodenhöfer, M., Schmees, C., et al. (2018). A Peptide Tag-Specific Nanobody Enables High-Quality Labeling for dSTORM Imaging. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 930. doi:10.1038/ s41467-018-03191-2
- Wagner, T. R., Ostertag, E., Kaiser, P. D., Gramlich, M., Ruetalo, N., Junker, D., et al. (2021). NeutrobodyPlex-Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Immune Responses Using Nanobodies. *EMBO Rep.* 22 (5), e52325. doi:10.15252/embr. 202052325
- Wagner, T. R., and Rothbauer, U. (2021). Nanobodies Little Helpers Unravelling Intracellular Signaling. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 176, 46–61. doi:10.1016/j. freeradbiomed.2021.09.005
- Wagner, T. R., and Rothbauer, U. (2020). Nanobodies Right in the Middle: Intrabodies as Toolbox to Visualize and Modulate Antigens in the Living Cell. *Biomolecules* 10 (12), 1701. doi:10.3390/biom10121701
- Wang, X., and Schwarz, T. L. (2009). The Mechanism of Ca2+-Dependent Regulation of Kinesin-Mediated Mitochondrial Motility. *Cell* 136 (1), 163–174. doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2008.11.046
- Wang, X., Winter, D., Ashrafi, G., Schlehe, J., Wong, Y. L., Selkoe, D., et al. (2011). PINK1 and Parkin Target Miro for Phosphorylation and Degradation to Arrest Mitochondrial Motility. *Cell* 147 (4), 893–906. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.018
- Wang, Y., Xu, E., Musich, P. R., and Lin, F. (2019). Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Neurodegenerative Diseases and the Potential Countermeasure. CNS Neurosci. Ther. 25 (7), 816–824. doi:10.1111/cns.13116
- Yamaoka, S., and Hara-Nishimura, I. (2014). The Mitochondrial Ras-Related GTPase Miro: Views from inside and outside the Metazoan Kingdom. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5, 350. doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00350
- Zhang, C., Liu, Z., Bunker, E., Ramirez, A., Lee, S., Peng, Y., et al. (2017). Sorafenib Targets the Mitochondrial Electron Transport Chain Complexes and ATP Synthase to Activate the PINK1-Parkin Pathway and Modulate Cellular Drug Response. J. Biol. Chem. 292 (36), 15105–15120. doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.783175

- Zhang, F., Wang, W., Siedlak, S. L., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Jiang, K., et al. (2015). Miro1 Deficiency in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 7, 100. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2015.00100
- Zhao, X., Tian, C., Puszyk, W. M., Ogunwobi, O. O., Cao, M., Wang, T., et al. (2013). OPA1 Downregulation Is Involved in Sorafenib-Induced Apoptosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Lab. Invest.* 93 (1), 8–19. doi:10.1038/labinvest.2012.144
- Zolghadr, K., Mortusewicz, O., Rothbauer, U., Kleinhans, R., Goehler, H., Wanker, E. E., et al. (2008). A Fluorescent Two-Hybrid Assay for Direct Visualization of Protein Interactions in Living Cells. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 7 (11), 2279–2287. doi:10.1074/mcp.m700548-mcp200

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Fagbadebo, Kaiser, Zittlau, Bartlick, Wagner, Froehlich, Jarjour, Nueske, Scholz, Traenkle, Macek and Rothbauer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.