



Preliminary study of time maximum intensity projection computed tomography imaging for the detection of early ischemic change in patient with acute ischemic stroke

Kazuhiro Murayama, MD, PhD^{a,*}, Shigetaka Suzuki, MD, PhD^a, Ryo Matsukiyo, MD^a, Akinori Takenaka, MD^a, Motoharu Hayakawa, MD, PhD^b, Takashi Tsutsumi, RT^c, Kenji Fujii, RT^c, Kazuhiro Katada, MD, PhD^d, Hiroshi Toyama, MD, PhD^a

Abstract

Noncontrast computed tomography (NCCT) has been used for the detection of early ischemic change (EIC); however, correct interpretation of NCCT findings requires much clinical experience. This study aimed to assess the accuracy of time maximum intensity projection computed tomography technique (tMIP), which reflects the maximum value for the time phase direction from the dynamic volume data for each projected plane, for detection of EIC, against that of NCCT.

Retrospective review of NCCT, cerebral blood volume in CT perfusion (CTP-CBV), and tMIP of 186 lesions from 280 regions evaluated by Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) in 14 patients with acute middle cerebral artery stroke who had undergone whole-brain CTP using 320-row area detector CT was performed. Four radiologists reviewed EIC on NCCT, CTP-CBV, and tMIP in each ASPECTS region at onset using the continuous certainty factor method. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was performed to compare the relative performance for detection of EIC. The correlations were evaluated.

tMIP-color showed the best discriminative value for detection of EIC. There were significant differences in the area under the curve for NCCT and tMIP-color, CTP-CBV (P < .05). Scatter plots of ASPECTS showed a positive significant correlation between NCCT, tMIP-gray, tMIP-color, and the follow-up study (NCCT, r=0.32, P=.0166; tMIP-gray, r=0.44, P=.0007; tMIP-color, r=0.34, P=.0104).

Because tMIP provides a high contrast parenchymal image with anatomical and vascular information in 1 sequential scan, it showed greater accuracy for detection of EIC and predicted the final infarct extent more accurately than NCCT based on ASPECTS.

Abbreviations: ASPECTS = Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score, AUC = area under the curve, CBV = cerebral blood volume, CTA-SI = CT angiography source image, CTP = CT perfusion, CTV = CT venography, DWI = diffusion weighted image, EIC = early ischemic change, FPF = false positive fraction, ICA = internal carotid artery, MCA = middle cerebral artery, NCCT = noncontrast computed tomography, ROC = receiver operating characteristic, SNR = signal-to-noise ratio, SVD = singular value decomposition, tMIP = time maximum intensity projection CT technique.

Keywords: CT angiography source image, early ischemic change, time maximum intensity projection CT technique

1. Introduction

Evaluation of early ischemic change (EIC) on noncontrast computed tomography (NCCT) in patients with acute ischemic stroke is important to determine the need for tissue plasminogen

Medicine (2018) 97:9(e9906)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000009906

activator therapy.^[1-3] However, there is a considerable lack of agreement on the recognition and quantification of early changes during CT examination.^[4] Therefore, more experience is required for correct evaluation of EIC. CT angiography source image (CTA-SI),^[5-9] CT perfusion source image (CTP-SI),^[10] and cerebral blood volume on CTP (CTP-CBV)^[11] may facilitate the evaluation of EIC. CTA-SI provides a measure of cerebral blood flow,^[12] and by delineation of avascular areas, it can predict the final infarct extent more accurately than NCCT.^[5,7,8] CTP-CBV was found more useful than CTA-SI for detection of middle cerebral artery (MCA) acute stroke in a retrospective cohort of patients who were imaged within 3h from stroke onset.^[11] Because the decrease in area of CTP-CBV corresponds to ischemic core, CTP-CBV is useful in the detection of EICs and to guide treatment decision making in patients with acute ischemic stroke.^[11] Based on the evidence from large-scale studies, CTP-CBV has been frequently used in recent years to assess the ischemic core and to assess the need for thrombolytic therapy.^[13]

Dynamic volume data by the area detector CT were used as the time averaging data in the only arterial or venous phase.^[14] Time maximum intensity projection computed tomography imaging (tMIP) reflects the maximum value image in every projected plane from the time-phase direction on all timepoints from CTP

Editor: Peipeng Liang.

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Department of Radiology, Fujita Health University, ^b Department of Neurosurgery, Fujita Health University, Toyoake, ^c Clinical Application Research Center, Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation, Otawara, ^d Joint Research Laboratory of Advanced Medical Imaging, Fujita Health University, Toyoake, Japan.

^{*} Correspondence: Kazuhiro Murayama, Department of Radiology, Fujita Health University, 1-98 Dengakugakubo, Kutsukake-cho Toyoake, Aichi, 470-1101, Japan (e-mail: kmura@fujita-hu.ac.jp).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 26 July 2017 / Received in final form: 7 December 2017 / Accepted: 25 January 2018

acquisition. Because we can expect improvement in the signal-tonoise ratio (SNR) in tMIP as compared to that with conventional time averaging, it is expected to increase the contrast between brain gray matter and white matter. In addition, detection of the ischemic area in tMIP is more convenient than that with NCCT or CTA-SI individually, as the CTA-SI and the CT venography (CTV) source images are added to the brain parenchyma in tMIP. Sakai et al^[15] demonstrated that maximum intensity projection (MIP) images provide more precise information on the distribution of micronodules in lung diseases and may help radiologists in training to differentiate between lung diseases. Gruden et al^[16] also reported that MIP processing reduced the number of overlooked small lung nodules. Based on the advantages of MIP images in lung diseases and the advantages of CTA-SI mentioned above, we hypothesized that the increased SNR of gray matter and white matter in tMIP, which is a combination of MIP images and CTA-SI, may be superior to NCCT for detection of EIC. However, robust evidence of the advantages of tMIP over CTA-SI, CTP-CBV, and NCCT is yet to be obtained.

In this study, we evaluated the performance of tMIP for detection of EIC in patients with acute cerebrovascular disease. The objective was to assess accuracy of tMIP technique for detection of EIC with that of CTP-CBV and NCCT.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

Our institutional review board approved this opt-out research design. Opt-out opportunities were provided to all participants included in this retrospective study. NCCT findings of 24 consecutive patients with acute MCA stroke within 24h after the onset of symptoms between October 2013 and September 2014 were included. Images from 10 patients were excluded either because of the time interval between NCCT and CTP, motion artifact, development of hemorrhagic complication, small white matter lacunar infarcts, or because the scanning parameters were different from that defined in the protocol. Therefore, 14 patients (7 men and 7 women; age range, 66–93 years; mean age, 75.2 years) who underwent whole-brain CTP using 320-row area detector CT within 24h of the NCCT scan at admission and NCCT or diffusion weighted image (DWI) as the follow-up study during 0 to 13 days (mean: 4 days) were selected (Table 1). Of the 280 regions evaluated by Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS),^[17,18] 186 lesions were detected.

2.2. CT and MRI protocol

Dynamic volume data for CTP were acquired using a 320-row area detector CT scanner (Aquilion ONE; Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation, Otawara, Japan) with a scan range of 160 mm (320 slices \times 0.5 mm), tube voltage of 80 kV, tube current of 70 mA in the arterial phase and 30 mA in the venous phase, and a gantry rotation speed of 1 s/rotation. A tube voltage of 120 kV was used only for 2 patients. Dynamic volume data were acquired by intermittent scanning (1s in the arterial phase and 5 s in the venous phase). Contrast medium volume was calculated based on the patient's body weight, and 250 mg I/kg of Iopamidol (Iopamiron 370; Bayer, Osaka, Japan) was injected intravenously as a bolus at a fixed 10 s, followed by an intravenous bolus injection of 30 mL of physiological saline solution at the same rate as that of the contrast medium.

DWI was performed using 1.5T magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner (Achieva 1.5T Nova Dual with an 8-channel brain

Table 1

Clinical characteristics of 14 patients with acute middle cerebral artery stroke.

Characteristics	Values		
Age, y	Mean: 75.2, range: 66–93		
Gender	7 men, 7 women		
Level of occlusion/stenosis (case)			
Proximal MCA	7		
Distal MCA	1		
ICA	5		
Normal	1		
Right-side involvement (case)	9		
Infarct extension in individual regions (case) (some case	s were overlapped)		
Frontal, temporal, parietal, occipital	1		
Frontal, temporal, parietal	6		
Frontal, parietal	4		
Temporal, parietal	1		
Frontal	2		
Basal ganglia	9		
Thalamus	1		
Time interval between tMIP and follow-up study, d	Mean: 4, range: 0–13		

ICA = internal carotid artery, MCA = middle cerebral artery, tMIP = time maximum intensity projection computed tomography technique.

coil; Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands), 3T MRI scanner (Ingenia 3.0T with dS Head coil; Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands), or 3T MRI scanner (Vantage Titan 3T with a 16or 32-channel coil; Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation, Otawara, Japan). The following pulse sequence parameters were used: echo planar imaging spin echo, time to repeat/echo time = 4000 ms/73 ms, matrix = 128×153 , echo planar imaging factor = 67, bandwidth = 16.9 Hz, sensitivity encoding parallel imaging = 2.4, slice thickness = 5 mm, slice gap = 1 mm, and scan time = 52.0 s.

NCCT was acquired using 80- or 320-row CT scanner (Aquilion Prime, Aquilion ONE; Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation) with a scan range of $160 \text{ mm} (320 \text{ slices} \times 0.5 \text{ mm})$, tube voltage 120 kV, auto exposure control=standard deviation 3.7, pitch factor 0.64, and a gantry rotation speed of 0.75 s/ rotation.

2.3. Image postprocessing

We calculated the CTP-CBV axial maps of 5 mm thickness using the analysis software installed in the CT console (Aquilion ONE, 4D Perfusion; Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation). The CTP analysis algorithm used was the reformulated singular value decomposition (SVD+) method based on block-circulant SVD (bSVD), which is unaffected by tracer delay effects.^[19,20] The arterial input function was set in the internal carotid artery on the unaffected side.

The dynamic data using the area detector CT were treated using the time average imaging procedure to add the data for the phase along temporal axes at any consecutive phases so far.^[14] Conversely, tMIP image reflected the maximum value of each matrix in the dynamic data for all time phases (Fig. 1). We calculated the tMIP images using the analysis software installed in the CT console. The tMIP images were generated from the entire dynamic data acquired for CTP. tMIP gray scale (tMIPgray) and tMIP color scale (tMIP-color) were made by a 5 mm thickness. Brain perfusion color scale equipped with CT device console was used.

Time Averaging Method (Current)



Tracking HU value through Time phase

Time MIP Method



Tracking HU value through Time phase

Figure 1. The difference of time-averaging method and time maximum intensity projection method. Average of each voxel data in the entire volume data in current time-averaging method are reflected, whereas maximum values are reflected in time maximum intensity projection method. HU = hounsfield unit, MIP = maximum intensity projection.

2.4. Data analysis

Presence or absence of EIC in each ASPECTS region was determined on NCCT, CTP-CBV, tMIP-gray, and tMIP-color performed at the time of onset using the continuous certainty factor method. Two board-certified radiologists (KM, 12 years of experience; SS, 12 years of experience) and 2 resident radiologists (AT: 3 years of experience; RM: 3 years of experience) participated as observers. They were blinded to the number of positive images and performed ASPECTS evaluation independently at random with patient's clinical information such as chief complaints. The final infarct cores were determined on DWI or on follow-up NCCT.

Table 2

Receiver-operating characteristics curve analysis of NCCT, CTP-CBV, tMIP-gray, and tMIP-color for detection of early ischemic change.

Parameters	AUC	95% CI	Р	SEN	SPE	Accuracy
NCCT	0.745	0.705-0.786	Reference	62.1	75.5	66.6
CTP-CBV	0.801	0.763-0.839	<.05	72.6	79.3	74.8
tMIP-gray	0.79	0.751-0.829	NS	68	84	73.3
tMIP-color	0.811	0.773-0.848	<.05	71.5	81.4	74.8

AUC = area under the curve, CI = confidence interval, CTP-CBV = computed tomography perfusioncerebral blood volume, NCCT = noncontrast computed tomography, NS = not significant, SEN = sensitivity, SPE = specificity, tMIP = time maximum intensity projection.



Figure 2. Receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis for evaluation of early ischemic changes. (A) NCCT; (B) CTP-CBV; (C) tMIP-gray; and (D) tMIP-color. tMIP-color showed the best discriminative value in the evaluation of early ischemic changes. CBV = cerebral blood volume, CTP = CT perfusion, FPF= false positive fraction, NCCT = noncontrast computed tomography, tMIP = time maximum intensity projection CT technique, TPF=true positive fraction.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to calculate the sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy of onset NCCT, CTP-CBV, tMIP-gray, tMIP-color, and DWI (or follow-up NCCT). Correlation between onset NCCT, CTP-CBV, tMIP-gray, tMIP-color and DWI (or follow-up NCCT) was evaluated for each ASPECT region.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Area under the curve (AUC) from ROC analysis was compared between NCCT and others for determining the best parameter with the highest AUC. Chi-squared test was used to calculate *P* values for comparisons of AUC. For all statistical analyses, *P* values of $\leq .05$ were considered indicative of a statistically significant between-group difference. Spearman rank correlation analysis was used to calculate correlation coefficients and *P* values for comparisons of findings of NCCT, CTP-CBV, tMIP, and follow-up DWI or NCCT for each ASPECT region. Statistical analysis was performed using commercially available statistical software (Graph Pad PRISM, version 6, San Diego, CA; BellCurve for Excel, version 2.11, Social Survey Research Information Co, Ltd. Tokyo, Japan).

Table 3

Comparison of AUC values between board-certified radiologists and resident radiologists.

	Area under the curve		
Parameters	Board-certified radiologists	Resident radiologists	Р
NCCT	0.7382	0.7562	.6363
CTP-CBV	0.8019	0.8018	.9961
tMIP-gray	0.7930	0.7829	.7562
tMIP-color	0.8339	0.7851	.0775

AUC=area under the curve, CTP-CBV=computed tomography perfusion-cerebral blood volume, NCCT=noncontrast computed tomography, tMIP=time maximum intensity projection.

3. Results

The results of the ROC analysis are summarized in Table 2 and Fig. 2. tMIP-color had the best discriminative value for detection of EIC (AUC, 0.811; sensitivity, 71.5%; specificity, 81.4%) compared with that of CTP-CBV (AUC, 0.801; sensitivity, 72.6%; specificity, 79.3%), tMIP-gray (AUC, 0.790; sensitivity, 68.0%; specificity, 84.0%), and NCCT (AUC, 0.745; sensitivity, 62.1%; specificity, 75.5%). There were significant



Figure 3. Comparison of ASPECTS based on NCCT, CTP-CBV, tMIP-gray, and tMIP-color. All patients were included in all 4 comparisons (all 56 results for 14 patients, 4 observers were plotted; some scores were overlapped on the graph). Scatter plots of ASPECTS showed a positive significant correlation between NCCT, tMIP-gray, tMIP-color, and the follow-up study (P < .05). Scatter plots of ASPECTS showed a positive correlation between CTP-CBV and the follow-up study, but the difference was not statistically significant (P > .05). "The follow-up study of ASPECTS" was defined as the ASPECTS scored by NCCT or diffusion weighted image performed as the follow-up study during 0 to 13 days (mean: 4 days) after the onset. ASPECTS = Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score, CBV = cerebral blood volume, CTP=CT perfusion, NCCT=noncontrast computed tomography, tMIP=time maximum intensity projection CT technique.



Figure 4. Onset NCCT (A, B), tMIP-gray (C, D), tMIP-color (E, F), CTP-CBV (G, H), and follow-up DWI (I, J) in representative patients with acute cerebral infarction caused by left ICA occlusion. This NCCT image is almost normal. However, the tMIP-gray and tMIP-color images clearly show low attenuation and avascular area in the left frontal-parietal lobe and basal ganglia, which correspond to low CTP-CBV areas. Follow-up DWI showed low-intensity area in the same location in which the onset NCCT shows EIC. CBV = cerebral blood volume, CTP=CT perfusion, DWI = diffusion weighted image, EIC = early ischemic change, ICA = internal carotid artery, NCCT=noncontrast computed tomography, tMIP=time maximum intensity projection CT technique.

differences in the AUC between NCCT and tMIP-color, CTP-CBV (P < .05). On the other hand, there was no significant difference in the AUC between NCCT and tMIP-gray. There was no significant difference with respect to assessment of the tMIP-color scale between the 2 board-certified radiologists and the 2 resident radiologists; however, the AUC based on the assessment of board-certified radiologists (0.8339) tended to be greater (P=.0775) than the AUC based on the assessment of the resident radiologists (0.7851). There was no significant difference in the assessment of NCCT (AUC: 0.7382 vs. 0.7562, P=.6363), CTP-CBV (AUC: 0.8019 vs. 0.8018, P=.9961), and tMIP-gray (AUC: 0.7930 vs. 0.7829, P=.7562) between board-certified radiologists and resident radiologists, respectively (Table 3).

Scatter plots of ASPECTS showed a positive significant correlation between NCCT, tMIP-gray, tMIP-color, and the follow-up study (NCCT; r=0.32, P=.0166; tMIP-gray; r=0.44, P=.0007; tMIP-color; r=0.34, P=.0104) (Fig. 3). Scatter plots of ASPECTS showed a positive correlation between CTP-CBV and the follow-up study, but the difference was not statistically significant (r=0.24, P=.0738) (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

The tMIP technique not only enhances the gray matter/white matter contrast but also delineates any ischemic area due to the enhancement of avascular area. Therefore, tMIP is more useful than NCCT for the detection of EIC. tMIP provides anatomical information, and ASPECTS evaluation with tMIP can be performed more precisely than with CTP-CBV.

The tMIP-color showed a significantly larger AUC than NCCT in ROC analysis. Color scale of TMI images improves diagnostic accuracy on visual evaluation; therefore, tMIP-color was found to be more useful for detection of EIC than NCCT in this study (Fig. 4). Camargo et al^[5] reported that CT angiographic source image is more sensitive in detection of early irreversible ischemia and more accurate for prediction of the final infarct volume. tMIP is a new technique that incorporates both CTA-SI (for detection of ischemic penumbra) and NCCT (for detection of the ischemic core) and makes it easier to identify ischemic area. In a study aimed at identification of infarct core and penumbra in acute stroke using CTP-SIs, Wang et al^[10] reported that CTP source imaging (CTP-SI) during the arterial phase and venous phase mismatch model could possibly be applied to penumbra and infarct core. Therefore, tMIP reflects the maximum value of all arteriovenous data and shows ischemic penumbra, including the ischemic core.

Our findings regarding the usefulness of CTP-CBV show good agreement with those of previous studies^[21] in which reduction in CBV was shown to indicate ischemic core as measured by using ASPECTS. CTP-CBV also showed a significantly larger AUC than NCCT in ROC analysis. However, CTP-CBV did not show a significant positive relationship with follow-up DWI or NCCT as compared with that shown by tMIP. This was because CTP-CBV did not provide more anatomical information than tMIP. tMIP images provide anatomical information, and tMIP can predict the final infarct extent more precisely than NCCT and CTP-CBV when assessed using ASPECTS criteria. Concomitant use of both tMIP and CTP-CBV does not provide any additional leverage in the detection of EIC. In addition, Pulli et al^[8] reported that infarct core estimation on CTA-SIs depends on CTA parameters such as scan speed, acquisition direction (from C1 vertebra to vertex or from vertex to aortic arch) due to the use of helical scans. However, this is not necessarily a problem of these scan protocols,^[8,22] and the evaluation range can cover whole brain because 320-row area detector CT that we used for tMIP does not require helical scan. In addition, because tMIP was not

CTP, which needs a complicated analysis algorithm, it was unaffected by differences among commercial software for analysis,^[23] and analysis error of the basic principles of SVD method.^[24] The tMIP appears to be a much simpler method than CTP for the detection of EIC.

MIP method was claimed to be useful for the education of residents in chest imaging.^[15] In our study, there was no significant difference in the AUC for tMIP and other imaging modalities between board-certified radiologists and resident radiologists; however, in the case of residents, the AUC for tMIP tended to be greater than that for NCCT. Therefore, use of tMIP may improve the ability of beginners, including that of the resident radiologists, to detect lesions.

This study had several limitations. First, variability in color scales between different models may affect the tMIP images. Because tMIP is not a cerebral blood flow map, it is not clear as to which reference table should be used. Further, standardization of the color scale may be required as in the CTP map. Second, the number of subjects was small in this retrospective analysis. Future prospective studies should include more subjects. Third, the motion correction technique is not employed in tMIP. Fourth, the effects of streak artifacts in areas such as the middle cranial fossa and posterior cranial fossa are likely to be more severe in tMIP images. Fifth, use of 2 different kinds of voltage for scanning may affect the image appearance.

In conclusion, the tMIP technique is superior for detection of the EIC due to the enhanced contrast between gray matter and white matter. The defect of the enhancement effect of CTP-SI is equivalent to EIC. Because tMIP provides high-contrast parenchymal image as well as vascular and anatomical information in 1 sequential scan, it showed greater accuracy than that of NCCT for detection of EIC and predicted the final infarct extent more precisely, when assessed based on ASPECTS criteria.

References

- von Kummer R, Allen KL, Holle R, et al. Acute stroke: usefulness of early CT findings before thrombolytic therapy. Radiology 1997;205: 327–33.
- [2] Hacke W, Kaste M, Fieschi C, et al. Randomised double-blind placebocontrolled trial of thrombolytic therapy with intravenous alteplase in acute ischaemic stroke (ECASS II). Second European-Australasian Acute Stroke Study Investigators. Lancet 1998;352:1245–51.
- [3] Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HPJr, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2013;44:870–947.
- [4] Grotta JC, Chiu D, Lu M, et al. Agreement and variability in the interpretation of early CT changes in stroke patients qualifying for intravenous rtPA therapy. Stroke 1999;30:1528–33.
- [5] Camargo EC, Furie KL, Singhal AB, et al. Acute brain infarct: detection and delineation with CT angiographic source images versus nonenhanced CT scans. Radiology 2007;244:541–8.
- [6] Coutts SB, Lev MH, Eliasziw M, et al. ASPECTS on CTA source images versus unenhanced CT: added value in predicting final infarct extent and clinical outcome. Stroke 2004;35:2472–6.

- [7] Puetz V, Sylaja PN, Hill MD, et al. CT angiography source images predict final infarct extent in patients with basilar artery occlusion. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2009;30:1877–83.
- [8] Pulli B, Schaefer PW, Hakimelahi R, et al. Acute ischemic stroke: infarct core estimation on CT angiography source images depends on CT angiography protocol. Radiology 2012;262:593–604.
- [9] Mukherjee A, Muthusami P, Mohimen A, et al. Noncontrast computed tomography versus computed tomography angiography source images for predicting final infarct size in anterior circulation acute ischemic stroke: a prospective cohort study. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2017; 26:339–46.
- [10] Wang XC, Gao PY, Xue J, et al. Identification of infarct core and penumbra in acute stroke using CT perfusion source images. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2010;31:34–9.
- [11] Lin K, Rapalino O, Law M, et al. Accuracy of the Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score during the first 3 hours of middle cerebral artery stroke: comparison of noncontrast CT, CT angiography source images, and CT perfusion. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008;29:931–6.
- [12] Sharma M, Fox AJ, Symons S, et al. CT angiographic source images: flow- or volume-weighted? AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011;32:359–64.
- [13] Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, et al. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1009–18.
- [14] Murayama K, Katada K, Nakane M, et al. Whole-brain perfusion CT performed with a prototype 256-detector row CT system: initial experience. Radiology 2009;250:202–11.
- [15] Sakai M, Murayama S, Gibo M, et al. Can maximum intensity projection images with multidetector-row computed tomography help to differentiate between the micronodular distribution of focal and diffuse infiltrative lung diseases? J Comput Assist Tomogr 2005;29:588–91.
- [16] Gruden JF, Ouanounou S, Tigges S, et al. Incremental benefit of maximum-intensity-projection images on observer detection of small pulmonary nodules revealed by multidetector CT. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002;179:149–57.
- [17] Pexman JH, Barber PA, Hill MD, et al. Use of the Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) for assessing CT scans in patients with acute stroke. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2001;22:1534–42.
- [18] Barber PA, Demchuk AM, Zhang J, et al. Validity and reliability of a quantitative computed tomography score in predicting outcome of hyperacute stroke before thrombolytic therapy. ASPECTS Study Group. Alberta Stroke Programme Early CT Score. Lancet 2000;355:1670–4.
- [19] Kudo K, Sasaki M, Ogasawara K, et al. Difference in tracer delayinduced effect among deconvolution algorithms in CT perfusion analysis: quantitative evaluation with digital phantoms. Radiology 2009;251: 241–9.
- [20] Kudo K, Christensen S, Sasaki M, et al. Accuracy and reliability assessment of CT and MR perfusion analysis software using a digital phantom. Radiology 2013;267:201–11.
- [21] Wintermark M, Flanders AE, Velthuis B, et al. Perfusion-CT assessment of infarct core and penumbra: receiver operating characteristic curve analysis in 130 patients suspected of acute hemispheric stroke. Stroke 2006;37:979–85.
- [22] Yoo AJ, Hu R, Hakimelahi R, et al. CT angiography source images acquired with a fast-acquisition protocol overestimate infarct core on diffusion weighted images in acute ischemic stroke. J Neuroimaging 2012;22:329–35.
- [23] Kudo K, Sasaki M, Yamada K, et al. Differences in CT perfusion maps generated by different commercial software: quantitative analysis by using identical source data of acute stroke patients. Radiology 2010; 254:200–9.
- [24] Murayama K, Katada K, Hayakawa M, et al. Shortened mean transit time in CT perfusion with singular value decomposition analysis in acute cerebral infarction: quantitative evaluation and comparison with various CT perfusion parameters. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2017;41:173–80.