Original Article

Isolation and Purification of an Antibacterial Protein from Immune Induced Haemolymph of American Cockroach, *Periplaneta americana*

*Hamid Reza Basseri¹, Amir Dadi-Khoeni², Ronak Bakhtiari³, Mandan Abolhassani¹, Reza Hajihosseini-Baghdadabadi²

¹Department of Medical Entomology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Biology, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Photobiology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran,

Iran

(Received 21 Jan 2014; accepted 14 Apr 2015)

Abstract

Background: Antimicrobial peptides play a role as effectors substances in the immunity of vertebrate and invertebrate hosts. In the current study, antimicrobial peptide was isolated from the haemolymph of the American cockroach, *Periplaneta americana*.

Methods: *Micrococcus luteus* as Gram-positive bacteria and *Escherichia coli* as Gram-negative bacteria were candidate for injection. Induction was done by injecting both bacteria into the abdominal cavity of two groups of cockroaches separately. The haemolymphs were collected 24 hours after post injection and initially tested against both bacteria. Subsequently, the immune induced haemolymph was purified by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to separate the proteins responsible for the antibacterial activity.

Results: The non-induced haemolymph did not show any activity against both bacteria whereas induced haemolymph exhibited high activity against *M. luteus* but did less against *E. coli*. Two fractions showed antibacterial activity against *M. luteus*. Finally the molecular weight of the isolated antibacterial proteins were determined as 72 kDa and 62 kDa using SDS-PAGE.

Conclusion: Induced haemolymph of American cockroaches has the ability to produce peptides to combat against Gram-positive bacteria when an immune challenge is mounted. Further work has to be done to sequence of the protein, which it would be advantageous.

Keywords: American cockroach, Antibacterial protein, Isolation, Micrococcus luteus, Escherichia coli

Introduction

Insects exhibit an amazing evolutionary success that can be explained by a variety of reasons (Jarosz 1996, Lazzaro 2008, Gao and Zhu 2012), among which the fact that their potent immunity play a major role in defense against bacteria (Hoffmann et al. 1996, Wilson et al. 1999, Lamberty et al. 2001, Lazzaro 2008). Based on habitat of cockroaches, they are always exposed to potentially pathogenic microorganisms and parasites, but only a few encounters result in infection (Gillespie and Kanost 1997). Antimicrobial peptides play an essential role in fighting against invading pathogens in insects, especially those that lack an adaptive immunity (Toke 2005). Normally due to microbial infection, antimicrobial peptides are synthesized in fat body or certain haemolymph cells of insects or body injury, and then rapidly released into haemolymph to kill microorganisms (Brivio et al. 2006, Yu et al. 2010, Yakovlev 2011). However, insects count on cellular and humoral mechanisms to fight against pathogens and subse-

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr Hamid Reza Basseri, 519 E-mail: basserih@tums.ac.ir

quently, innate immunity, which is being dominant in the final category.

Insects are remarkably resistant to bacterial infections by detecting of bacteria, a complex genetic cascade is activated, which eventuates in the production of a series of antibacterial peptides and is released into the haemolymph (Eleftherianos et al. 2006, Eleftherianos et al. 2007). These antimicrobial peptides are mostly small, amphipathic, cationic molecules (Gao and Zhu 2014). They have an effect on membrane of microbial cell changing permeability or by breakdown bacteria membrane (Toke 2005, Dai et al. 2008, Huang et al. 2008). In addition, insect peptides may affect the synthesizing of DNA or protein as well as the protein folding of the bacteria (Otvos 2000, Huang et al. 2008, Shen et al. 2010, Bang et al. 2012). Insects can synthesize some antimicrobial peptides such as cecropins, which exhibit anticancer activity (Ye et al. 2004). Some insects can synthesize inducible antibacterial peptides such as lysozyme which is also constitutive like lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-binding protein which was isolated from the haemolymph of the American cockroach (Periplaneta americana) (Ha Lee et al. 2007, Fiolka 2008). This protein acts as an opsonin (Jomori and Natori 1992, Hashimoto et al. 2009, Kim et al. 2010). Generally, five major groups of antibacterial peptides have been introduced (Hultmark 1993) including cecropins, insect defensins, attacin-like (glycine-rich) proteins, proline rich peptides and lysozymes. The mechanisms of some these peptides have been studied extensively (Sawa and Kurahashi 1999, Imler and Bulet 2005, Wang et al. 2009).

American cockroach spends most of its time in sewage, sewer pipe. These environments usually contain high density of bacteria. Therefore, it is likely to defend itself against invading pathogens by means of antimicrobial compounds. The purpose of the current study was to isolate and purify an antimicrobial protein from the haemolymph of American cockroach.

In this study, we isolated and purified an antimicrobial protein in immune induced haemolymph of *P. americana* which may open up new way of research to detect new antimicrobial pathogens.

Materials and Methods

Insect rearing and haemolymph collection

American cockroaches, P. americana, were maintained in an insectary at 25±2 °C with a 12h light/dark ratio, and fed on dried bred, date and water. To collect non-induced haemolymph, two groups each included 30 adults and final instars cockroaches were anaesthetized with CO2. The ventral surface of sternum of each insect was sterilized with 70% ethanol, and the coxal membranes of legs were punched with still needle. The exuded haemolymph from the wounds was immediately collected, centrifuged at 1800×g for 10 minutes. For collecting of induced haemolymph, 100 µl of M. luteus or E. coli (10^6 cells/ml) was injected into the abdominal cavity of each cockroach. Based on preliminary time optimization, the insects were anesthetized 20 hours after injection and the haemolymph was collected using sterile syringe, and then centrifuged. All collected samples were transferred into clean and chilled eppendorf tubes containing few crystals of phenyl thiourea in order to prevent melanization. Finally, the supernatants and pallets were kept in -20 °C until used. The protein concentration of all samples was analyzed by Bradford method before being used.

Bacterial strains

In order to screen the antibacterial activity of the heamolymph compounds on and based on evidences mentioned in previous studies (Jomori and Natori 1990, Serja et al. 2003), two strains of bacteria, one Gram positive and one Gram negative bacteria were chosen. The bacteria were collected from Persian type culture collection, Science and Industrial Research Organization of Iran. The bacteria strains used for screening antimicrobial peptides were nonpathogenic bacteria, ATCC 9341recently named as *Kocuria rhizophila* and *E. coli* ATCC25922 which is susceptible to all of the antibiotic, and *Microccus leteus* ATCC 9341 is resistance to all antibiotic except chloramphenicol, doxy cycline, hydramycinand tetracline.

Antibacterial assay

The antibacterial assays were done by diffusion disc method. Sterile Petri dishes received 20 ml of melted Luria Burtenii medium, pH 7.0. After solidification of the medium, the agar surface was inoculated with 0.1 ml (10^6 cells/ml) of the test bacterial strain and spread with the help of a glass rod. Sterile paper discs soaked with 20 µl of the haemolymph (at concentration of 1.21mg/ml) was places on the medium. As control, paper discs soaked with 20 µl of non-induced haemolymph (at concentration of 1.34 mg/ml) were used. The plate was incubated overnight at 37 °C, and the diameters of the clear zones were recorded. The assay was carried out three times.

Reverse Phase-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC)

The antibacterial compounds from haemolymph was purified by semi-preparative high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) (Knauer, Germany) under the following conditions: flow rate =1 ml min⁻¹, stationary phase = Spherisorb C18 column (Waters, USA, ODS2 Column 5 μ m, 250 mm×4.6mm), mobile phase = acetonitrile in water containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), detector wavelength at 230 nm. The fractions were eluted in an elution range of 20% to 80% acetonitrile in water for 40 min. The fractions were concentrated by freezedried, redissolved in 50 ml of apyrogenic water and then the antimicrobial activity of all fractions against both bacteria was tested using disc diffusion assay.

Separation of Antibacterial Agents by SDS-PAGE

The eluted protein was applied to SDS-PAGE as discussed by Laemmeli (1970). The protein was mixed with 5µl of 5x SDS-PAGE sample buffer under non-reducing conditions and then heated for 10 minutes at 100 °C. The sample (20 µl) was then centrifuged at 10000g for 5 min to remove debris before loading into the gel. The supernatant was applied to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis in a 10% polyacrylamide gel to analyse the eluted protein. Electrophoresis was performed at a constant voltage of 200v for ca, 45 min using the bio-Rad mini-protean II apparatus (Bio-Rad laboratories LTD, Hemel Hempstead, Hertforshire, UK). After separation, the gel was fixed by 30-min-long gentle shaking in 10% acetic acid, 50% methanol (v/v) and visualized by staining with Coomassie Brillant Blue R-250.

Results

Antibacterial assay

The whole non-induced haemolymph of cockroach did not show any antibacterial activity against *M. luteus* and *E. coli*. On contrary, the induced haemolymph showed high antibacterial activity against *M. luteus* and less activity against *E. coli* (Fig. 1A, B).

Purification of the antibacterial peptides

The haemolymph with antibacterial activity subjected to semi-preparative Reverse Phase-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC) for separation and purification of the peptides. Fifteen different peaks were obtained and the fractions collected (Fig. 2). All fractions were freezedried and redissolved in 50 ml of apyrogenic water and then separately subjected to antibacterial susceptibility test against both *M. luteus* and *E. coli*. Factions 2 and 3 showed high antibacterial activity against only *M. luteus* (Fig. 3). No activity was observed against *E. coli*. To remove any possible impurity, the active fractions were reloaded onto RP-HPLC, tested for antibacterial activity and then subjected to SDS-PAGE. Two protein bands were observed at 60 kDa and 72 kDa on the polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 4).



Fig. 1. Antibacterial activity of whole haemolymph against *Micrococcus luteus* (A) and *Escherichia coli* (B) where 'S' indicates treatment and 'B' control (non-induced haemolymph). The clear zone around the discs indicates antibacterial activity



Fig. 2. RP-HPLC chromatogram of *Periplaneta americana* heamolymph induced with *Micrococcus luteus*. The absorbance was measured at 230 nm. The antibacterial activity of all fractions was separately tested against *M. luteus*. Only fractions 2 and 3 showed antibacterial activity. Fractions 2 and 3 were concentrated and reloaded onto RP-HPLC to remove any possible impurity



Fig. 3. Antibacterial activity of fraction 2 (A) and 3 (B) against *Micrococcus luteus* (A). The fractions were concentrated by freeze-dried, redissolved in 50 ml of apyrogenic water

http://jad.tums.ac.ir Published Online: October 04, 2016



Fig. 4. SDS-PAGE of fractions 2 and 3 L2 shows molecular mass of whole heamolymph proteins and L1 and L3 show molecular weight of fraction 2 and 3 respectively

Discussion

Insects have many systems that work together to limit the spread of bacteria and other pathogens. They can synthesis antimicrobial peptides within their body. They have pattern recognition proteins that can bind to the external surface of bacteria or other pathogens (Vilcinskas 2013). In this study the non-induced haemolymph and the induced haemolymph of American cockroach were screened for the antimicrobial activity against two Gram negative and Gram-positive bacterial strains. The non-induced haemolymph did not show inhibitory activity against any of the tested bacterial strains. It does not indicate that peptides are absent but they may be present in lesser quantity so that no detectible action in vitro studies is seen. It has been stated that the adult American cockroach can generate an adaptive humoral immune response to pathogens (Karp 1985, George et al. 1987, Faulhaber and Karp 1992). In this scenario, defensive peptides or proteins play a main and crucial in insect humoral immune response against invading

microorganisms (Leclerc and Reichhart 2004, Levy et al. 2004, Mak et al. 2010).

Generally, each insect species may possess an individual set of antimicrobial peptides synthesized in response to non-self recognition (Engstrom 1999). For example, Drosophila melanogaster metchnikowins peptides have no activity against Gram-negative bacteria but they inhibit growth of M. luteus (Imler and Bulet 2005, Rahnamaeian et al. 2009, Rahnamaeian and Vilcinskas 2012). We found similar result by injecting Gramnegative bacteria, E. coli and Gram-positive bacteria, M. luteus. Although, whole haemolymph of immune cockroaches showed antimicrobial activity against E. coli (Fig. 1) but we could not find these activities in any fraction. It seems that they are not directly involved in killing E. coli, and some molecules may be involved in signaling mechanism to remove the bacteria. Another possibility is that the quantity of antimicrobial activity against E. coli may too less to see visible action.

Various insect species, which bacteria injected into the haemocoel, elicit the synthesis of a number of peptides and proteins, which are individually or cooperatively active against the foreign microorganisms (Cociancich et al. 1994, Vilcinskas 2013). Induction is a common process in many insect species (Cociancich et al. 1994). In the present study, induction of such peptide(s) was done by injecting E. coli or M. luteus into the abdominal cavity of American cockroaches. The immune induced peptides were active against tested bacterial strains and this result suggests that peptides are produced to combat bacterial infection. However, constitutive and inducible proteins may be present in haemolymph of some insects and may act as signaling molecules such as lysozyme (Royet and Dziarski 2007, Royet et al. 2011, Bosco-Drayon et al. 2012).

We found two proteins with antibacterial activity and then they were subjected to nonreducing SDS-PAGE to determine the size of proteins. The molecular weight of proteins was 60 and 72 kDa (Fig. 4) and these separated proteins allow us to observe antibacterial activity of them separately.

The purified proteins are predominantly active against the Gram-positive bacteria; it suggests that the antibacterial activity of the peptides is related to the cell wall of the bacteria. It may be assumed that the proteins identified in this study might play an important role in their self-defense against bacterial infection in American cockroaches individually or cooperatively.

However, further studies are needed to work out the combined effect of peptides. At this point, it is also important to remember the development of resistance to ordinary antibiotic like Gentamicin, penicillin and so on, by variety of infectious bacteria. It is also believed that antimicrobial peptides will be assumed in the near future as an alternative for the nowadays-classical antibiotics (Małgorzata et al. 2007). The advantages of antimicrobial peptides are many viz, selectivity, fast killing, broad antimicrobial spectra and lack of resistance development (Matsuzaki 1999, Papo and Shai 2005). However, the present result is preliminary and future study will be done in other methods to confirm the antimicrobial property of peptides.

Conclusion

The main idea of this research is to isolate new antimicrobial peptides from haemolymph of American cockroaches, *Periplaneta Americana* and to study its activity against these two a Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. We found that induced haemolymph of American cockroaches has the ability to produce peptides to combat against Gram-positive bacteria when an immune challenge is mounted. Before immune challenge, antimicrobial peptides were indistinguishable, whereas concentration increased tremendously in the haemolymph after induction peptide. Further work has to be done to improve purification steps of antibacterial proteins without damaging the proteins so that the peptides can act equally or higher than conventional antibiotics, and sequencing of the proteins would be advantageous.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr Hossaini, for the kind cooperation in insectary of department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Bang K, Park S, Yoo JY, Cho S (2012) Characterization and expression of attacin, an antibacterial protein-encoding gene, from the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner) (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Mol Biol Rep. 39: 5151–5159.
- Bosco-Drayon V, Poidevin M, Boneca IG, Narbonne-Reveau K, Royet J, Charroux B (2012) Peptidoglycan sensing by the receptor PGRP-LE in the Drosophila gut induces immune responses to infectious bacteria and tolerance to microbiota. Cell Host Microbe. 12: 153–165.
- Brivio M, F. Moro M, Mastore M (2006) Down-regulation of antibacterial peptide synthesis in an insect model induced by the body-surface of an entomoparasite (Steinernema feltiae). Dev Comp Immunol. 30: 627–638.
- Cociancich S, Bulet P, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA (1994) The inducible antibacterial peptides of insects. Parasitol Today. 10: 132–139.

- Dai H, Rayaprolu S, Gong Y, Huang R, Prakash O, Jiang H (2008) Solution structure, antibacterial activity, and expression profile of *Manduca sexta* moricin. J Pept Sci 14: 855–863.
- Eleftherianos I, Marokhazi J, Millichap PJ, Hodgkinson AJ, Sriboonlert A, ffrench-Constant RH, Reynolds SE (2006) Prior infection of *Manduca sexta* with non-pathogenic *Escherichia coli* elicits immunity to pathogenic Photorhabdus luminescens: roles of immune-related proteins shown by RNA interference. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 36: 517–525.
- Eleftherianos I, Gokcen F, Felfoldi G, Millichap PJ, Trenczek TE, ffrench-Constant RH, Reynolds SE (2007) The immunoglobulin family protein Hemolin mediates cellular immune responses to bacteria in the insect *Manduca sexta*. Cell Microbiol. 9: 1137–1147.
- Engstrom Y (1999) Induction and regulation of antimicrobial peptides in Drosophila. Dev Comp Immunol. 23: 345–358.
- Faulhaber LM, Karp RD (1992) A diphasic immune response against bacteria in the American cockroach. Immunology. 75: 378–381.
- Fiolka MJ (2008) Immunosuppressive effect of cyclosporin A on insect humoral immune response. J Invertebr Pathol. 98: 287–292.
- Gao B, Zhu S (2012) Alteration of the mode of antibacterial action of a defensin by the amino-terminal loop substitution. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 426: 630–635.
- Gao B, Zhu S (2014) An insect defensinderived beta-hairpin peptide with enhanced antibacterial activity. ACS Chem Biol. 9(2): 405–413.
- George JF, Karp RD, Rellahan BL, Lessard JL (1987) Alteration of the protein composition in the haemolymph of American cockroaches immunized with soluble proteins. Immunology. 62: 505–509.

- Gillespie JP, Kanost MR, Trenczek T (1997) Biology mediators of insect immunity. Annu Rev Entomol. 42: 611–643.
- Ha Lee J, Hee Lee I, Noda H, Mita K, Taniai K (2007) Verification of elicitor efficacy of lipopolysaccharides and peptidoglycans on antibacterial peptide gene expression in *Bombyx mori*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 37: 1338–1347.
- Hashimoto Y, Tabuchi Y, Sakurai K, Kutsuna M, Kurokawa K, Awasaki T, Sekimizu K, Nakanishi Y, Shiratsuchi A (2009) Identification of lipoteichoic acid as a ligand for draper in the phagocytosis of *Staphylococcus aureus* by Drosophila hemocytes. J Immunol. 183: 7451–7460.
- Hoffmann JA, Reichhart JM, Hetru C (1996) Innate immunity in higher insects. Curr Opin Immunol. 8: 8–13.
- Huang Y, Lou H, Wu X, Chen Y (2008) Characterization of the BPI-like gene from a subtracted cDNA library of large yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*) and induced expression by formalininactivated Vibrio alginolyticus and *Nocardia seriolae* vaccine challenges. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 25: 740–750.
- Imler JL, Bulet P (2005) Antimicrobial peptides in Drosophila: structures, activities and gene regulation. Chem Immunol Allergy. 86: 1–21.
- Jarosz J (1996) Anti-infective defence strategies and methods of escape from entomologic pathogens under immunologic control of insects. Wiad Parazytol. 42: 3–27.
- Jomori T, Natori S (1992) Function of the lipopolysaccharide-binding protein of *Periplaneta americana* as an opsonin. FEBS Lett. 296: 283–286.
- Karp RD (1985) Preliminary characterization of the inducible humoral factor in the American cockroach (*Periplaneta americana*). Dev Comp Immunol. 9: 569–575.

- Kim CH, Shin YP, Noh MY, Jo YH, Han YS, Seong YS, Lee IH (2010) An insect multiligand recognition protein functions as an opsonin for the phagocytosis of microorganisms. J Biol Chem. 285: 25243–25250.
- Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of Structural Proteins during the Assembly of the Head of

Bacteriophage T4. Nature. 227: 680-685.

- Lamberty M, Zachary D, Lanot R, Bordereau C, Robert A, Hoffmann JA, Bulet P (2001) Insect immunity. Constitutive expression of a cysteine-rich antifungal and a linear antibacterial peptide in a termite insect. J Biol Chem. 276: 4085–4092.
- Lazzaro BP (2008) Natural selection on the Drosophila antimicrobial immune system. Curr Opin Microbiol. 11: 284–289.
- Leclerc V, Reichhart JM (2004) The immune response of Drosophila melanogaster. Immunol Rev. 198: 59–71.
- Levy F, Rabel D, Charlet M, Bulet P, Hoffmann JA, Ehret-Sabatier L (2004) Peptidomic and proteomic analyses of the systemic immune response of Drosophila. Biochimie. 86: 607–616.
- Mak P, Zdybicka-Barabas A, Cytrynska M (2010) A different repertoire of *Galleria mellonella* antimicrobial peptides in larvae challenged with bacteria and fungi. Dev Comp Immunol. 34: 1129–1136.
- Rahnamaeian M, Vilcinskas A (2012) Defense gene expression is potentiated in transgenic barley expressing antifungal peptide Metchnikowin throughout powdery mildew challenge. J Plant Res. 125: 115–124.
- Rahnamaeian M, Langen G, Imani J, Khalifa W, Altincicek B, von Wettstein D, Kogel KH, Vilcinskas A (2009) Insect peptide metchnikowin confers on barley a selective capacity for resistance to fungal ascomycetes pathogens. J Exp

Bot. 60: 4105–4114.

- Royet J, Dziarski R (2007) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins: pleiotropic sensors and effectors of antimicrobial defences. Nat Rev Microbiol. 5: 264–277.
- Royet J, Gupta D, Dziarski R (2011) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins: modulators of the microbiome and inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol. 11: 837–851.
- Sawa T, Kurahashi K (1999) Antimicrobial peptides/proteins-application to the therapy of sepsis. Masui. 48: 1186–1193.
- Shen X, Ye G, Cheng X, Yu C, Altosaar I, Hu C (2010) Characterization of an abaecin-like antimicrobial peptide identified from a Pteromalus puparum cDNA clone. J Invertebr Pathol. 105: 24–29.
- Toke O (2005) Antimicrobial peptides: new candidates in the fight against bacterial infections. Biopolymers. 80: 717–735.
- Vilcinskas A (2013) Evolutionary plasticity of insect immunity. J Insect Physiol. 59: 123–129.
- Wade JD, Lin F, Condie BA, Hanrieder J, Hoffmann R (2005) Designer antibacterial peptides kill fluoroquinoloneresistant clinical isolates. J Med Chem. 48: 5349–5359.
- Wang Y, Jin X, Zhu J, Zeng A, Chu F, Yang X, Ma Y (2009) Expression pattern of antibacterial genes in the Musca domestica. Sci China C Life Sci. 52: 823–830.
- Wilson R, Chen C, Ratcliffe NA (1999) Innate immunity in insects: the role of multiple, endogenous serum lectins in the recognition of foreign invaders in the cockroach, *Blaberus discoidalis*. J Immunol. 162: 1590–1596.
- Yakovlev AY (2011) Induction of antimicrobial peptide synthesis by the fat body cells of maggots of *Calliphora vicina*R.-D. (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Zh Evol Biokhim Fiziol. 47(6): 461–468.
- Ye JS, Zheng XJ, Leung KW, Chen HM, Sheu FS (2004) Induction of transient ion channel-like pores in a cancer cell

by antibiotic peptide. J Biochem. 136: 255–259.

Yu Y, Park JW, Kwon HM, Hwang HO, Jang IH, Masuda A, Kurokawa K, Nakayama H, Lee WJ, Dohmae N, Zhang J, Lee BL (2010) Diversity of innate immune recognition mechanism for bacterial polymeric meso-diaminopimelic acidtype peptidoglycan in insects. J Biol Chem. 285: 32937–32945.