

## Research Article

# Microencapsulated *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis* ATCC 15697 Favorably Modulates Gut Microbiota and Reduces Circulating Endotoxins in F344 Rats

Laetitia Rodes,<sup>1</sup> Shyamali Saha,<sup>1,2</sup> Catherine Tomaro-Duchesneau,<sup>1</sup> and Satya Prakash<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Biomedical Technology and Cell Therapy Research Laboratory, Department of Biomedical Engineering and Artificial Cells and Organs Research Centre, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, 3775 University Street, Montreal, QC, Canada H3A 2B4

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Dentistry, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada H3A 2B2

Correspondence should be addressed to Satya Prakash; [satya.prakash@mcgill.ca](mailto:satya.prakash@mcgill.ca)

Received 18 February 2014; Accepted 5 April 2014; Published 22 May 2014

Academic Editor: Atsushi Sakuraba

Copyright © 2014 Laetitia Rodes et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The gut microbiota is a bacterial bioreactor whose composition is an asset for human health. However, circulating gut microbiota derived endotoxins cause metabolic endotoxemia, promoting metabolic and liver diseases. This study investigates the potential of orally delivered microencapsulated *Bifidobacterium infantis* ATCC 15697 to modulate the gut microbiota and reduce endotoxemia in F344 rats. The rats were gavaged daily with saline or microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697. Following 38 days of supplementation, the treated rats showed a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in fecal *Bifidobacteria* ( $4.34 \pm 0.46$  versus  $2.45 \pm 0.25\%$  of total) and *B. infantis* ( $0.28 \pm 0.21$  versus  $0.52 \pm 0.12\%$  of total) and a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) decrease in fecal Enterobacteriaceae ( $0.80 \pm 0.45$  versus  $2.83 \pm 0.63\%$  of total) compared to the saline control. In addition, supplementation with the probiotic formulation reduced fecal ( $10.52 \pm 0.18$  versus  $11.29 \pm 0.16$  EU/mg;  $P = 0.01$ ) and serum ( $0.33 \pm 0.015$  versus  $0.30 \pm 0.015$  EU/mL;  $P = 0.25$ ) endotoxins. Thus, microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 modulates the gut microbiota and reduces colonic and serum endotoxins. Future preclinical studies should investigate the potential of the novel probiotic formulation in metabolic and liver diseases.

## 1. Introduction

The human gut microbiota forms a large ecosystem consisting of approximately  $10^{14}$  bacterial cells, a number 10 times greater than the number of human body cells [1]. The microbiome, which represents the collective genomes of the gut microbiota, is approximately 150 times larger than the human gene complement, with an estimated set of 3.3 million microbial genes [2]. The majority of the intestinal bacteria reside in the colon and belong to the Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria phyla [2]. It is now well established that the gut microbiota is engaged in a dynamic interaction with the host, exerting essential protective, functional, and metabolic functions [3]. However, an imbalance in the composition of the gut microbiota, a

state called gut dysbiosis, can disrupt the functions of the gut microbiota and impair human health [3].

Endotoxins are immunogenic molecules derived from the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria that are produced in large quantities by the human gut microbiota [4]. Gut-derived endotoxins can enter the bloodstream, causing metabolic endotoxemia, a phenomenon characterized by low levels of circulating endotoxins [5–7]. Metabolic endotoxemia causes a mild and continuous induction of proinflammatory mediators, resulting in low-grade systemic inflammation [5–7]. This inflammatory state contributes to the progression of many human diseases, including obesity, type 2 diabetes, and liver, cardiovascular, and inflammatory bowel diseases [5–7]. Although the true incidence and prevalence of metabolic endotoxemia remain unknown, recent data suggests that

metabolic endotoxemia occurs all over the globe, regardless of ethnicity [8]. Currently, there is no available intervention to reduce metabolic endotoxemia. Although many strategies have been developed to combat endotoxemia (e.g., antimicrobial therapies, endotoxins-binding proteins, and extracorporeal endotoxins absorbers), none is available for use in metabolic endotoxemia [9–11]. Thus, there is an urgent need for a novel intervention to reduce metabolic endotoxemia. Since the gut microbiota is the major source of endotoxins in metabolic endotoxemia, it may be a promising therapeutic target to reduce the condition.

Due to the inherent plasticity of the gut microbiota, probiotic biotherapeutics can promote human health by modulating the gut microbiota composition towards health-promoting bacterial populations [12]. Probiotics are “live microorganisms, which, when consumed in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host” [12]. *Bifidobacterium* spp. are common probiotic bacteria that are natural inhabitants of the human gastrointestinal tract and are present in many fermented dairy products [2, 12]. Sugar metabolism in *Bifidobacteria* produces high amounts of organic acids such as acetic and lactic acids [13]. In the colonic environment, acetic and lactic acids either can exert antimicrobial activities or be used in *de novo* fatty acid synthesis by other bacterial populations, providing multiple pathways that can modulate the gut microbiota composition [14–18]. Usually, the effect of probiotics formulations on the human gut microbiota composition is investigated primarily *in vitro* in human colonic models and *in vivo* in conventional or gnotobiotic rodents before any testing in humans [3]. Previous *in vitro* studies performed by our group have already demonstrated the potential of *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis* (*B. infantis*) ATCC 15697 to modulate simulated human gut microbiota towards reduced colonic endotoxins concentrations [19]. The present study investigates the use of orally delivered alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate (APA) microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 to modulate the gut microbiota composition and reduce endotoxemia in F344 conventional rats.

## 2. Materials and Methods

**2.1. Animals, Experimental Design, and Treatment.** Twelve F344 male rats were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA, USA) at five weeks of age (86–100 g). Rats were housed two per cage in a room with controlled temperature (22–24°C) and humidity. The rats were fed a standard diet and had free access to water throughout the trial. Following one-week acclimatization period, rats were randomly assigned, based on body mass values, into 2 groups ( $n = 6$  per group): (1) control rats were administered 2 mL of 0.85% (w/v) NaCl and (2) treated rats were administered 2 mL of APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 at  $5.5 \times 10^9$  CFU/g dissolved in 0.85% (w/v) NaCl. Dosage was performed by intragastric gavage once a day. The treatment period lasted for 38 days. Animal mass was measured weekly. Fresh feces were collected weekly and stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until analysis. Serum from rats that had been fasted for 16 h

was collected biweekly by the lateral saphenous vein into Microtainer serum separator tubes from Becton Dickinson (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Serum was obtained by allowing the blood to clot for a minimum of 30 min and centrifugation for 5 min at 10000 g. Serum samples were stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until analysis. The rats were euthanized by  $\text{CO}_2$  asphyxiation and blood was withdrawn by cardiac puncture. Animal maintenance and experimental procedures complied with the Animal Care Committee of McGill University.

**2.2. Bacterial Strain and Culture Conditions.** *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 was purchased from Cedarlane Laboratories (Burlington, ON, Canada). The bacterial strain was stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS, Fisher Scientific, Ottawa, Canada) broth containing 20% (v/v) glycerol. An MRS agar plate was streaked from the frozen stock and incubated at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  under anaerobic conditions for 24 h. One colony from the MRS agar plate was propagated into MRS broth and incubated at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h. A 1% (v/v) inoculum was further passaged daily in MRS broth at  $37^\circ\text{C}$ . Bacterial cell viability was determined on MRS agar triplicate plates. Incubation was performed in anaerobic jars with anaerobe atmosphere-generating bags (Oxoid, Hampshire, United Kingdom) for 72 h at  $37^\circ\text{C}$ .

**2.3. Microencapsulation Procedure.** Microencapsulation of *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 was performed according to the standard protocol [20]. Briefly, the microcapsules were formed using an Inotech Encapsulator IER-20 (Inotech Biosystems International, Rockville, MD, USA) with a nozzle of  $300 \mu\text{m}$  in diameter under sterile conditions, as previously described [21]. Bacterial cells were released from the microcapsules by homogenizing capsules in 0.1 M sodium citrate.

**2.4. Quantification of Fecal Bacterial Populations.** Frozen feces were thawed and homogenized at a ratio of 0.1% (w/v) of feces in the ASL buffer provided with the QIAamp DNA stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Toronto, ON, Canada). DNA was further extracted following the manufacturer’s kit instructions and stored at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$ . The quantification of bacterial populations was carried out by Real-Time- (RT-) PCR using the Eco Real-Time PCR System (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and the ROX RT-PCR Master Mix (2X) (Fisher Scientific), as previously described [21]. Enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae, *Escherichia coli*, Bacteroidetes, *Bacteroides* sp.-*Prevotella* sp., Actinobacteria, *Bifidobacterium* sp., *B. infantis*, Firmicutes, and *Lactobacillus* sp. was performed using specific RT-PCR primer sequences (Table 1) [22–27]. RT-PCR signals specific to a bacterial group were normalized to the RT-PCR signals of total bacteria. The abundance of *Bifidobacteria* other than *B. infantis* was calculated as the difference between the abundance of total *Bifidobacteria* and that of *B. infantis*. A nontemplate control was included in each assay to confirm that the Ct value generated by the lowest DNA concentration was not an artifact. To determine the specificity of the DNA amplification reactions, a melt curve analysis was carried out after amplification.

TABLE 1: Primers used for the quantification of fecal bacterial populations.

Target phylum or group	Primer	Sequence (5' to 3')	Reference
All bacteria	Bact-1369-F	CGGTGAATACGTTCCCGG	[22]
	Bact-1492-R	TACGGCTACCTTGTACGACTT	
Phylum Firmicutes	Firm-928-F	TGAAACTCAAAGGAATTGACG	[23]
	Firm-1040-R	ACCATGCACCACCTGTC	
Genus <i>Lactobacillus</i>	Lact-05-F	AGCAGTAGGGAATCTTCCA	[24]
	Lact-04-R	CGCCACTGGTGTTCTCCATATA	
Phylum Actinobacteria	Act-920-F3	TACGGCCGCAAGGCTA	[25]
	Act-1200-R	TRCTCCCCACCTTCCTCCG	
Genus <i>Bifidobacterium</i>	Bif-164-F	GGGTGGTAATGCCGGATG	[26]
	Bif-662-R	CCACCGTTACACCGGGAA	
Species <i>Bifidobacterium infantis</i>	F_inf_IS	CGCGAGCAAAACAATGGT T	[27]
	R_inf_IS	AACGATCGAAACGAACAATAGAGTT	
Phylum Bacteroidetes	CBF-798-F	CRAACAGGATTAGATACCCT	[23]
	CBF-967-R	GGTAAGGTTCCCTCGCGTAT	
Genus <i>Bacteroides-Prevotella</i>	Bacter-II-F	CCTACGATGGATAGGGGTT	[22]
	Bacter-08-R	CACGCTACTTGGCTGGTTCAG	
Family Enterobacteriaceae	Eco1457-F	CATTGACGTTACCCGCAGAAGAAGC	[24]
	Eco1652-R	CTCTACGAGACTCAAGCT TGC	
Species <i>Escherichia coli</i>	E. coli-F	CATGCCGCGTGTATGAAGAA	[22]
	E. coli-R	CGGGTAACGTCAATGAGCAA	

**2.5. Endotoxins Quantification.** Fecal and serum endotoxin concentrations were measured using the ToxinSensor Chromogenic *Limulus* amoebocyte lysate (LAL) Endotoxin Assay Kit from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA) under sterile conditions. For colonic analysis, fecal samples were diluted at a ratio of 15% (w/v) in endotoxin-free water. The samples were then vortexed for 1 min and the homogenate was centrifuged at 10000 g for 10 min. The endotoxins-containing supernatant was further stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until endotoxins quantification. For serum analysis, serum was diluted at 1:10 (v/v) in endotoxin-free water. Samples were assayed at different dilutions and plotted against a standard curve of endotoxins concentrations (0.0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, and 1.0 EU/mL), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**2.6. Quantification of Fecal Organic Acids.** Fecal butyric, acetic, and lactic acids concentrations were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Varian 335 model (Agilent, Fort Worth, TX, USA). Fecal samples were diluted at a ratio of 15% (w/v) in sterile distilled water. Then, the samples were vortexed for 1 min and the homogenate was centrifuged at 10000 g for 10 min. The organic acids-containing supernatant was stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until HPLC analysis. The analysis was performed on a HPLC ion-exclusion column: Rezex ROA-Organic Acid H+ (8%),  $25 \times 0.46$  cm, set up with SecurityGuard guard Cartridges (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The HPLC system consisted of a ProStar 335 diode array detector set at 210 nm and a ProStar 410 autosampler monitored using the Varian Star 6

Chromatography Workstation (ProStar Version 6.0). Degassed 5 mM  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. The injection volume was 10  $\mu\text{L}$  and the analysis was carried out at room temperature. Before analysis, samples were thawed, mixed at a ratio of 4:5 (v/v) with an internal standard of 50 mM 2-ethylbutyric acid, filtered through a 0.20  $\mu\text{m}$  PROgene nylon membrane (Ultident, St. Laurent, QC, Canada) directly into HPLC vials, and immediately sealed and analyzed. Calibration curves were generated using seven different concentrations of standards: 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100 mM for acetic acid (ACP, St Leonard, QC, Canada) and 0.6, 3, 6, 15, 30, 45, and 60 mM for lactic and butyric acids (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The organic acids were identified by comparing each peak's retention time with those of standards.

**2.7. Statistical Analysis.** The experimental results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) ( $n = 6$ ). D'Agostino and Pearson normality test was performed to assess Gaussian distribution of the data. Bartlett's test was performed to assess homogeneity of variances. Statistical difference between the treatment groups (saline versus APA microencapsulated *B. infantis*) was analyzed at endpoint (day 38) using unpaired Student's *t*-test for parametric data or the Mann-Whitney test for nonparametric data. Correlations were performed using Pearson's correlation in the saline and APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* treatment groups at endpoint (day 38). Statistical significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .




FIGURE 1: Effect of alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate (APA) microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 supplementation on the abundance of fecal bacteria at endpoint (day 38): (a) bacteria that do not produce endotoxins and (b) potential endotoxins-producing bacteria. F344 rats were gavaged daily with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 or saline during 38 days. Data represent the means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 6$ ) of the abundance of each bacterial group (mean percentage of total bacteria) at endpoint (day 38). Statistical analysis was performed using unpaired Student's *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney test. \* Indicates statistical significance between treatment groups ( $P < 0.05$ ).

All analyses were performed using the Prism software (Prism, Version 5.0, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

### 3. Results

**3.1. Effect of APA Microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 on Fecal Bacterial Populations.** The effect of orally administered APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 on fecal bacteria was investigated after 38 days of daily supplementation (Figure 1). Results showed that APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 significantly increased the abundance of bacterial populations that do not produce endotoxins. There was a significant increase in Gram-positive Bifidobacteria ( $4.34 \pm 0.46$  versus  $2.45 \pm 0.25\%$  of total;  $P = 0.001$ ) and *B. infantis* ( $0.28 \pm 0.21$  versus  $0.52 \pm 0.12\%$  of total;  $P = 0.002$ ), as compared to the saline control. In addition, oral administration of APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 significantly reduced the levels of potential endotoxins-producing bacteria including Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae ( $0.80 \pm 0.45$  versus  $2.83 \pm 0.63\%$  of total;  $P = 0.026$ ) and *E. coli* ( $0.01 \pm 0.01$  versus  $0.06 \pm 0.02\%$  of total;  $P = 0.026$ ). Furthermore, there was a nonsignificant increase in the abundance of total Gram-positive bacteria ( $89.11 \pm 14.27$  versus  $72.74 \pm 14.69\%$  of total;  $P = 0.497$ ) and a nonsignificant decrease in total Gram-negative bacteria ( $7.84 \pm 2.22$  versus  $13.75 \pm 1.92\%$  of total;  $P = 0.074$ )

associated with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 supplementation.

**3.2. Effect of APA Microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 on the Concentration of Fecal Organic Acids.** The effect of orally administered APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 on the levels of fecal organic acids was determined after 38 days of daily supplementation (Figure 2). Results showed that butyric ( $12.14 \pm 1.34$  versus  $8.26 \pm 1.34 \mu\text{M}$ ;  $P = 0.025$ ) and lactic ( $7.11 \pm 0.75$  versus  $5.09 \pm 0.49 \mu\text{M}$ ;  $P = 0.025$ ) acids were significantly increased following supplementation with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697, as compared to the saline control. The increase in acetic acid following supplementation with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 was nonsignificant ( $22.46 \pm 2.40$  versus  $19.23 \pm 2.41 \mu\text{M}$ ;  $P = 0.365$ ).

**3.3. Effect of APA Microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 on Fecal and Serum Endotoxins Concentrations.** The effect of orally administered APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 on fecal and serum endotoxins was determined after 38 days of daily supplementation. Results showed that the probiotic formulation significantly reduced fecal endotoxins concentrations at endpoint (38 days) compared to the saline control, with a change averaging 73.4% ( $10.52 \pm 0.18$






FIGURE 2: Effect of alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate (APA) microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 supplementation on fecal organic acids concentrations at endpoint (day 38). F344 rats were gavaged daily with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 or saline during 38 days. Data represent the means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 6$ ) of the concentration of organic acids per gram of wet feces at endpoint (day 38). Statistical analysis was performed using unpaired Student's *t*-test. \*Indicates statistical significance between treatment groups ( $P < 0.05$ ).

versus  $11.29 \pm 0.16$  EU/mg;  $P = 0.011$ ; Figure 3(a)). Also, APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 supplementation decreased serum endotoxins concentrations with a change averaging 8.73%, but the effect was nonsignificant ( $0.33 \pm 0.015$  versus  $0.30 \pm 0.015$  EU/mL;  $P = 0.252$ ; Figure 3(b)).

**3.4. Correlations between the Levels of Fecal Endotoxins and Bacterial Populations.** To investigate the putative relationship between the levels of fecal endotoxins and bacteria that do not produce endotoxins (Figure 4) and potential endotoxin-producing bacteria (Figure 5), correlation analyses were performed. Results showed a significant negative correlation between fecal endotoxins concentrations and the abundance of Gram-positive *Bifidobacteria* ( $r = -0.587$ ,  $P = 0.045$ ) and *B. infantis* ( $r = -0.670$ ,  $P = 0.017$ ). Furthermore, there was a positive significant correlation between the levels of fecal endotoxins and Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae ( $r = 0.585$ ,  $P = 0.046$ ).

**3.5. Multicorrelation Analysis between the Levels of Fecal Organic Acids and Fecal/Serum Endotoxins and Fecal Bacterial Populations.** Multicorrelation analysis was performed to investigate the putative relationship between the levels of fecal organic acids and fecal/serum endotoxins (Figure 6) and fecal bacterial populations (Table 2). Results showed that there was no significant correlation between the levels of fecal endotoxins and fecal butyric ( $P = 0.474$ ), acetic ( $P = 0.077$ ), and lactic ( $P = 0.174$ ) acids. In addition, the level of serum endotoxins was significantly negatively correlated with fecal acetic acid concentration ( $r = -0.747$ ;  $P = 0.005$ ), while there was no significant correlation with fecal butyric ( $P = 0.087$ ) and lactic ( $P = 0.334$ ) acids concentrations. Furthermore, there was a significant negative correlation between the levels

of fecal acetic acid and Enterobacteriaceae ( $r = -0.596$ ;  $P = 0.041$ ). There was also a significant positive correlation between the levels of fecal *B. infantis* and fecal butyric ( $r = 0.752$ ;  $P = 0.005$ ) and lactic ( $r = 0.696$ ;  $P = 0.012$ ) acids. Furthermore, the concentration of fecal lactic acid was significantly positively correlated with the abundance of *Lactobacilli* ( $r = 0.659$ ;  $P = 0.020$ ).

## 4. Discussion

It has been suggested to administer live probiotic bacterial cells in high doses in the colon to modulate the gut microbiota composition to promote human health [28]. As the cell viability of bacteria is hindered by the harsh conditions of the gastrointestinal tract (e.g., gastric acid and bile salts in the small intestine), microencapsulation has been extensively used to provide probiotic bacterial cells with a physical barrier to protect and deliver viable cells to the colon [21, 29]. Alginate microparticle systems have been used in particular because they are nontoxic, bioavailable, and cost-effective [21, 29]. Previous research has established the efficacy of APA microencapsulation as an effective delivery system to maintain the cell viability of *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 in the colon [21]. In addition, *in vitro* studies have demonstrated that *B. infantis* administration to the gut microbiota modulated gut bacterial populations towards reduced colonic endotoxins concentrations [19]. Endotoxins are potent immunomodulatory components derived from the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria that can enter the blood circulation and cause metabolic endotoxemia [5–7]. The present study investigates the potential of orally delivered APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 to modulate the gut microbiota and lower endotoxemia in F344 rats.

The study shows that oral supplementation with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 for 38 days significantly increases the levels of fecal *B. infantis* and *Bifidobacteria*. Although fecal bacteria do not exactly reproduce the gut microbiota composition [30], they represent a good indicator of the changes arising in the colon [3, 31]. In addition, APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 significantly reduced fecal Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae and *E. coli* compared to the saline treatment, in agreement with previous studies [32]. Furthermore, supplementation with the probiotic bacterial formulation nonsignificantly reduced fecal Gram-negative bacteria and increased Gram-positive bacteria. The lack of statistical significance may be due to underestimated cell counts of Gram-negative and -positive bacteria, calculated based on the cell counts of Gram-negative Bacteroidetes and Enterobacteriaceae, and Gram-positive Firmicutes and Actinobacteria, respectively.

In addition, this study shows for the first time that supplementation with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 significantly reduced fecal endotoxins concentrations *in vivo* compared to the saline treatment. Moreover, there was a significant negative correlation between fecal endotoxins concentrations and the abundance of *Bifidobacteria* and *B. infantis*, as observed by others [33, 34]. In addition, there was a significant positive correlation between the fecal levels of




FIGURE 3: Effect of alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate (APA) microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 on (a) fecal and (b) serum endotoxins concentrations. F344 rats were gavaged daily with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 or saline during 38 days. Data represent the means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 6$ ) of the concentration of endotoxins at endpoint (day 38). Statistical analysis was performed using unpaired Student's *t*-test. \* indicates statistical significance between treatment groups ( $P < 0.05$ ).

TABLE 2: Correlations between the levels of fecal organic acids and bacterial populations.

Bacteria (% of total)	Acetic acid ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	Butyric acid ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	Lactic acid ( $\mu\text{M}$ )
Gram-positive	$r = 0.193$ ; $P = 0.570$	$r = 0.136$ ; $P = 0.690$	$r = -0.084$ ; $P = 0.631$
Phylum Actinobacteria	$r = 0.458$ ; $P = 0.134$	$r = 0.176$ ; $P = 0.585$	$r = 0.019$ ; $P = 0.952$
Genus <i>Bifidobacterium</i>	$r = 0.511$ ; $P = 0.089$	$r = 0.436$ ; $P = 0.156$	$r = 0.345$ ; $P = 0.273$
Species <i>B. infantis</i>	$r = 0.509$ ; $P = 0.091$	<b><math>r = 0.752</math>; <math>P = 0.005^*</math></b>	<b><math>r = 0.696</math>; <math>P = 0.012^*</math></b>
Other <i>Bifidobacterium</i> sp.	$r = 0.341$ ; $P = 0.278$	$r = -0.058$ ; $P = 0.857$	$r = -0.157$ ; $P = 0.625$
Phylum Firmicutes	$r = 0.012$ ; $P = 0.973$	$r = 0.046$ ; $P = 0.892$	$r = -0.143$ ; $P = 0.675$
Genus <i>Lactobacillus</i>	$r = 0.363$ ; $P = 0.246$	$r = 0.286$ ; $P = 0.368$	<b><math>r = 0.659</math>; <math>P = 0.020^*</math></b>
Gram-negative	$r = -0.157$ ; $P = 0.627$	$r = 0.054$ ; $P = 0.867$	$r = -0.155$ ; $P = 0.631$
Phylum Bacteroidetes	$r = -0.005$ ; $P = 0.989$	$r = 0.076$ ; $P = 0.825$	$r = 0.074$ ; $P = 0.829$
Genus <i>Bacteroides-Prevotella</i>	$r = -0.083$ ; $P = 0.809$	$r = 0.427$ ; $P = 0.190$	$r = 0.404$ ; $P = 0.218$
Family Enterobacteriaceae	<b><math>r = -0.596</math>; <math>P = 0.041^*</math></b>	$r = -0.259$ ; $P = 0.416$	$r = -0.148$ ; $P = 0.647$
Species <i>Escherichia coli</i>	$r = -0.269$ ; $P = 0.389$	$r = -0.474$ ; $P = 0.119$	$r = -0.501$ ; $P = 0.097$

F344 rats were gavaged daily with alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate (APA) microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 or saline during 38 days ( $n = 6$ ). Correlations were performed at endpoint (day 38) using Pearson's correlation in the saline and APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 treatment groups. \* Indicates statistical significance of the correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ).

endotoxins and Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae, suggesting that the decrease in Enterobacteriaceae might account for the endotoxins reduction, consistent with the findings of others [35, 36]. Furthermore, APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 nonsignificantly reduced serum endotoxins compared to the saline treatment, with a change averaging 8.73%. Endotoxins concentrations were determined using the chromogenic LAL assay, the most preferred method to quantify endotoxins in biological fluids. Although LAL assay can lead to erroneous endotoxins values due to variations in LAL preparations, cross-reactions, and low detection limits [37], our data is consistent with previous publications [32, 38].

The low number of animals included in our study ( $n = 6$ ) may explain the nonsignificant statistical decrease in circulating endotoxins. Importantly, this serum endotoxins reduction may be of great importance physiologically, as a 10% change in serum endotoxins concentrations has been shown to induce significant consequences on systemic inflammation and human health during metabolic endotoxemia [39, 40]. It is also important to point out that the present study was performed in a healthy animal model, providing the proof of concept in a rat model. F344 rats are conventional and inexpensive rats that present a low level of circulating endotoxins. Future preclinical studies should confirm the




FIGURE 4: Correlations between the levels of fecal endotoxins and bacteria that do not produce endotoxins in F344 rats: (a) total Gram-positive bacteria, (b) phylum Actinobacteria, (c) genus *Bifidobacterium*, (d) species *B. infantis*, (e) other species of *Bifidobacterium*, (f) phylum Firmicutes, and (g) genus *Lactobacillus*. F344 rats were gavaged daily with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 or saline during 38 days ( $n = 6$ ). Correlations were performed at endpoint (day 38) using Pearson's correlation in the saline and APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 treatment groups. \* Indicates statistical significance of the correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ).

potential of the probiotic bacterial formulation to lower circulating endotoxins in an animal model with metabolic endotoxemia, as observed in high-fat, diet-induced, obesity/type 2 diabetes/steatosis, ob/ob mice, and fatty Zucker (diabetic) rats.

It is well documented that probiotic bacteria such as *B. infantis* produce organic acids that can affect the gut microbiota composition [41, 42]. This study showed that APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 significantly increased fecal lactic, butyric, and acetic acids concentrations,




FIGURE 5: Correlations between the concentrations of fecal endotoxins and potential endotoxins-producing bacteria in F344 rats: (a) total Gram-negative bacteria, (b) family Enterobacteriaceae, (c) species *Escherichia coli*, (d) phylum Bacteroidetes, and (e) genus *Bacteroides-Prevotella*. F344 rats were gavaged daily with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 or saline during 38 days ( $n = 6$ ). Correlations were performed at endpoint (day 38) using Pearson's correlation in the saline and APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 treatment groups. \*Indicates statistical significance of the correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ).

as observed by others [43, 44]. In addition, there was a positive correlation between the fecal levels of *B. infantis* and organic acids, while the correlation between acetic acid and Enterobacteriaceae and serum endotoxins was negative. To date, there is no published data on the effect of acetic acid on the viability of Enterobacteriaceae, neither on gut endotoxins release and translocation. Nevertheless, previous studies have reported a negative relationship between the gut levels of Enterobacteriaceae and acetic acid [45, 46]. Altogether, this study suggests that oral supplementation with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 increases the

production of colonic organic acids, impeding the growth of endotoxins-producing bacteria such as Enterobacteriaceae. Future studies should investigate other mechanisms, including the production of exopolysaccharides and bacteriocins [47, 48].

## 5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that supplementation with APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 reduces the levels






FIGURE 6: Correlations between the levels of fecal/serum endotoxins and fecal organic acids. F344 rats were gavaged daily with alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate (APA) microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 or saline during 38 days ( $n = 6$ ). Correlations were performed at endpoint (day 38) using Pearson's correlation in the saline and APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 treatment groups. \* Indicates statistical significance of the correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ).

of plasma endotoxins through a change in the gut microbiota characterized by reduced levels of endotoxins and Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae and increased concentrations of Gram-positive *Bifidobacteria*. These changes may be mediated partly by the increased production of lactic, butyric, and acetic acids induced by the colonic delivery of *B. infantis* ATCC 15697. Thus, APA microencapsulated *B. infantis* ATCC 15697 is a promising probiotic bacterium to modulate favorably the gut microbiota and lower endotoxemia for use in metabolic endotoxemia. Further studies should confirm the present findings using an animal model with metabolic endotoxemia and underline the potential mechanism(s) of action.

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

### Authors' Contribution

The coauthors have contributed equally to this work.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a research Grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) MOP no. 64308 to S. Prakash. L. Rodes acknowledges the Doctoral Training Award from the Fonds de Recherche Santé Québec (FRSQ). C. Tomaro-Duchesneau acknowledges the Alexander Graham Bell Canada Graduate Scholarship from NSERC.

### References

- [1] D. C. Savage, "Microbial ecology of the gastrointestinal tract," *Annual Review of Microbiology*, vol. 31, pp. 107–133, 1977.
- [2] J. Qin, R. Li, J. Raes et al., "A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing," *Nature*, vol. 464, no. 7285, pp. 59–65, 2010.
- [3] S. Prakash, L. Rodes, M. Coussa-Charley et al., "Gut microbiota: next frontier in understanding human health and development of biotherapeutics," *Biologics*, vol. 5, pp. 71–86, 2011.
- [4] M. Manco, L. Putignani, and G. F. Bottazzo, "Gut microbiota, lipopolysaccharides, and innate immunity in the pathogenesis of obesity and cardiovascular risk," *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 817–844, 2010.
- [5] T. G. Glaros, S. Chang, E. A. Gilliam et al., "Causes and consequences of low grade endotoxemia and inflammatory diseases," *Frontiers in Bioscience*, vol. 5, pp. 754–765, 2013.
- [6] M. K. Piya, A. L. Harte, and P. G. McTernan, "Metabolic endotoxaemia: is it more than just a gut feeling?" *Current Opinion in Lipidology*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 78–85, 2013.
- [7] S. Chang and L. Li, "Metabolic endotoxemia: a novel concept in chronic disease pathology," *Journal of Medical Sciences*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 191–209, 2011.
- [8] M. A. Miller, P. G. McTernan, A. L. Harte et al., "Ethnic and sex differences in circulating endotoxin levels: a novel marker of atherosclerotic and cardiovascular risk in a British multi-ethnic population," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 494–502, 2009.
- [9] R. Nahra and R. P. Dellinger, "Targeting the lipopolysaccharides: still a matter of debate?" *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 98–104, 2008.
- [10] J.-S. Rachoïn, C. A. Schorr, and R. P. Dellinger, "Targeting endotoxin in the treatment of sepsis," *Sub-Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 53, pp. 323–338, 2010.
- [11] G. Kelmer, "Update on treatments for endotoxemia," *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Equine Practice*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 259–270, 2009.
- [12] FAO/WHO, *Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Evaluation of Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food Including Powder Milk With Live Lactic Acid Bacteria*, FAO/WHO, Cordoba, Argentina, 2001.
- [13] A. N. Payne, C. Chassard, M. Zimmermann et al., "The metabolic activity of gut microbiota in obese children is increased compared with normal-weight children and exhibits more exhaustive substrate utilization," *Nutrition and Diabetes*, vol. 1, no. 7, article e12, 2011.
- [14] F. S. Dias, C. L. da Silva Ávila, and R. F. Schwan, "In situ inhibition of *Escherichia coli* isolated from fresh pork sausage by organic acids," *Journal of Food Science*, vol. 76, no. 9, pp. M605–M610, 2011.
- [15] R. C. Baskett and D. J. Hentges, "Shigella flexneri inhibition by acetic acid," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 91–97, 1973.
- [16] C. B. Huang, Y. Alimova, T. M. Myers, and J. L. Ebersole, "Short- and medium-chain fatty acids exhibit antimicrobial activity for oral microorganisms," *Archives of Oral Biology*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 650–654, 2011.
- [17] S. Fushinobu, "Unique sugar metabolic pathways of bifidobacteria," *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, vol. 74, no. 12, pp. 2374–2384, 2010.
- [18] P. Louis, S. H. Duncan, S. I. McCrae, J. Millar, M. S. Jackson, and H. J. Flint, "Restricted distribution of the butyrate kinase pathway among butyrate-producing bacteria from the human colon," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 186, no. 7, pp. 2099–2106, 2004.
- [19] L. Rodes, A. Khan, A. Paul et al., "Effect of probiotics Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium on gut-derived lipopolysaccharides and inflammatory cytokines: an in vitro study using a human colonic microbiota model," *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 518–526, 2013.
- [20] T. M. S. Chang and S. Prakash, "Procedures for microencapsulation of enzymes, cells and genetically engineered microorganisms," *Molecular Biotechnology*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 249–260, 2001.
- [21] L. Rodes, C. Tomaro-Duchesneau, S. Saha et al., "Enrichment of Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis ATCC, 15697 within the human gut microbiota using alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate microencapsulation oral delivery system: an in vitro analysis using a computer-controlled dynamic human gastrointestinal model," *Journal of Microencapsulation*, vol. 2013, 2013.
- [22] J.-P. Furet, O. Firmesse, M. Gourmelon et al., "Comparative assessment of human and farm animal faecal microbiota using real-time quantitative PCR," *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 351–362, 2009.
- [23] T. Bacchetti De Gregoris, N. Aldred, A. S. Clare, and J. G. Burgess, "Improvement of phylum- and class-specific primers for real-time PCR quantification of bacterial taxa," *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 351–356, 2011.
- [24] A. N. Payne, C. Chassard, Y. Banz, and C. Lacroix, "The composition and metabolic activity of child gut microbiota demonstrate differential adaptation to varied nutrient loads in

- an in vitro model of colonic fermentation,” *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 608–623, 2012.
- [25] C. B. Blackwood, A. Oaks, and J. S. Buyer, “Phylum- and class-specific PCR primers for general microbial community analysis,” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 6193–6198, 2005.
- [26] R. M. Satokari, E. E. Vaughan, A. D. L. Akkermans, M. Saarela, and W. M. De Vos, “Bifidobacterial diversity in human feces detected by genus-specific PCR and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis,” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 504–513, 2001.
- [27] M. Haarman and J. Knol, “Quantitative real-time PCR assays to identify and quantify fecal Bifidobacterium species in infants receiving a prebiotic infant formula,” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 71, no. 5, pp. 2318–2324, 2005.
- [28] F. Guarner, T. Requena, and A. Marcos, “Consensus statements from the workshop ‘Probiotics and health: scientific evidence,’” *Nutrition Hospitalaria*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 700–704, 2010.
- [29] C. Tomaro-Duchesneau, S. Saha, M. Malhotra, I. Kahouli, and S. Prakash, “Microencapsulation for the therapeutic delivery of drugs, live mammalian and bacterial cells, and other biopharmaceuticals: current status and future directions,” *Journal of Pharmaceutics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 103527, 19 pages, 2013.
- [30] S. Fanaro, R. Chierici, P. Guerrini, and V. Vigi, “Intestinal microflora in early infancy: composition and development,” *Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics*, vol. 91, no. 441, pp. 48–55, 2003.
- [31] S. Prakash, C. Tomaro-Duchesneau, S. Saha et al., “Probiotics for the prevention and treatment of allergies, with an emphasis on mode of delivery and mechanism of action,” *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1025–1037, 2014.
- [32] W. Zhang, Y. Gu, Y. Chen et al., “Intestinal flora imbalance results in altered bacterial translocation and liver function in rats with experimental cirrhosis,” *European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1481–1486, 2010.
- [33] P. D. Cani, A. M. Neyrinck, F. Fava et al., “Selective increases of bifidobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-diet-induced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia,” *Diabetologia*, vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 2374–2383, 2007.
- [34] X. Ruan, H. Shi, G. Xia et al., “Encapsulated Bifidobacteria reduced bacterial translocation in rats following hemorrhagic shock and resuscitation,” *Nutrition*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 754–761, 2007.
- [35] E. A. Griffiths, L. C. Duffy, F. L. Schanbacher et al., “In vivo effects of bifidobacteria and lactoferrin on gut endotoxin concentration and mucosal immunity in Balb/c mice,” *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 579–589, 2004.
- [36] K. A. Kim, W. Gu, I. A. Lee et al., “High fat diet-induced gut microbiota exacerbates inflammation and obesity in mice via the TLR4 signaling pathway,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 10, Article ID e47713, 2012.
- [37] T. D. Bryans, C. Braithwaite, J. Broad et al., “Bacterial endotoxin testing: a report on the methods, background, data, and regulatory history of extraction recovery efficiency,” *Biomedical Instrumentation and Technology*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 73–78, 2004.
- [38] A. Keshavarzian, A. Farhadi, C. B. Forsyth et al., “Evidence that chronic alcohol exposure promotes intestinal oxidative stress, intestinal hyperpermeability and endotoxemia prior to development of alcoholic steatohepatitis in rats,” *Journal of Hepatology*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 538–547, 2009.
- [39] P. Dehghan, B. P. Gargari, M. A. Jafar-Abadi et al., “Inulin controls inflammation and metabolic endotoxemia in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized-controlled clinical trial,” *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 117–123, 2014.
- [40] H. Ghanim, C. L. Sia, M. Upadhyay et al., “Orange juice neutralizes the proinflammatory effect of a high-fat, high-carbohydrate meal and prevents endotoxin increase and toll-like receptor expression,” *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 940–949, 2010.
- [41] S. Perrin, M. Warchol, J. P. Grill, and F. Schneider, “Fermentations of fructo-oligosaccharides and their components by Bifidobacterium infantis ATCC 15697 on batch culture in semi-synthetic medium,” *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 90, no. 6, pp. 859–865, 2001.
- [42] R. González, A. Blancas, R. Santillana, A. Azaola, and C. Wachter, “Growth and final product formation by Bifidobacterium infantis in aerated fermentations,” *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 606–610, 2004.
- [43] X. Wang and G. R. Gibson, “Effects of the in vitro fermentation of oligofructose and inulin by bacteria growing in the human large intestine,” *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 373–380, 1993.
- [44] N. Osman, D. Adawi, G. Molin, S. Ahrne, A. Berggren, and B. Jeppsson, “Bifidobacterium infantis strains with and without a combination of Oligofructose and Inulin (OFI) attenuate inflammation in DSS-induced colitis in rats,” *BMC Gastroenterology*, vol. 6, article 31, 2006.
- [45] S. Ohigashi, K. Sudo, D. Kobayashi et al., “Significant changes in the intestinal environment after surgery in patients with colorectal cancer,” *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 1657–1664, 2013.
- [46] S. Ohigashi, K. Sudo, D. Kobayashi et al., “Changes of the intestinal microbiota, short chain fatty acids, and fecal pH in patients with colorectal cancer,” *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 1717–1726, 2013.
- [47] A. Cheikhoussef, N. Cheikhoussef, H. Chen et al., “Bifidin I—a new bacteriocin produced by Bifidobacterium infantis BCRC 14602: purification and partial amino acid sequence,” *Food Control*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 746–753, 2010.
- [48] N. Salazar, M. Gueimonde, A. M. Hernández-Barranco, P. Ruas-Madiedo, and C. G. De Los Reyes-Gavilán, “Exopolysaccharides produced by intestinal Bifidobacterium strains act as fermentable substrates for human intestinal bacteria,” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 74, no. 15, pp. 4737–4745, 2008.