RESEARCH ARTICLE



Epidemiological Comparison of Four COVID-19 Waves in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, March 2020–January 2022

John Otokoye Otshudiema¹ · Gervais Léon T. Folefack¹ · Justus M. Nsio² · Placide Mbala-Kingebeni³ · Cathy H. Kakema¹ · Joel B. Kosianza¹ · Antoine K. Mfumu¹ · Guy N. Saidi¹ · Patrice M. Kabongo¹ · Raphael Okum¹ · Tshibambe N. Tshimbombu⁴ · Steve Ahuka-Mundeke³ · Humphrey Cyprian Karamagi⁵ · Jean-Jacques T. Muyembe³ · Amédée Prosper Djiguimde¹

Received: 1 June 2022 / Accepted: 24 July 2022 / Published online: 3 August 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Purpose Nationwide analyses are required to optimise and tailor activities to control future COVID-19 waves of resurgence continent-wide. We compared epidemiological and clinical outcomes of the four COVID-19 waves in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC).

Methods This retrospective descriptive epidemiological analysis included data from the national line list of confirmed COVID-19 cases in all provinces for all waves between 9 March 2020 and 2 January 2022. Descriptive statistical measures (frequencies, percentages, case fatality rates [CFR], test positivity rates [TPR], and characteristics) were compared using chi-squared or the Fisher–Irwin test.

Results During the study period, 72,108/445,084 (16.2%) tests were positive, with 9,641/56,637 (17.0%), 16,643/66,560 (25.0%), 24,172/157,945 (15.3%), and 21,652/163,942 (13.2%) cases during the first, second, third, and fourth waves, respectively. TPR significantly decreased from 17.0% in the first wave to 13.2% in the fourth wave as did infection of frontline health workers (5.2% vs. 0.9%). CFR decreased from 5.1 to 0.9% from the first to fourth wave. No sex- or age-related differences in distributions across different waves were observed. The majority of cases were asymptomatic in the first (73.1%) and second (86.6%) waves, in contrast to that in the third (11.1%) and fourth (31.3%) waves.

Conclusion Despite fewer reported cases, the primary waves (first and second) of the COVID-19 pandemic in the DRC were more severe than the third and fourth waves, with each wave being associated with a new SARS-CoV-2 variant. Tailored public health and social measures, and resurgence monitoring are needed to control future waves of COVID-19.

Keywords COVID-19 · Democratic Republic of the Congo · Epidemiological comparison · Resurgence · Wave

John Otokoye Otshudiema, Gervais Léon T. Folefack and Justus M.	Abbreviations Ag-RDT CFR – CMR COVID-19	Antigen rapid diagnostic test Case fatality rate Comité Multisectoriel de la Riposte à la COVID-19 (in French) or Multi-
Humphrey Cyprian Karamagi, Jean-Jacques T. Muyembe and Amédée Prosper Djiguimde joint senior authors.	_	sectorial Committee of the COVID-19 Response
 John Otokoye Otshudiema Otshudiemaj@who.int; johnotokoye@gmail.com 	³ COVID-19 Response and Virology, Fac National Institute	onse, Department of Medical Microbiology culty of Medicine, University of Kinshasa, of Biomedical Research. Kinshasa.
¹ COVID-19 Incident Management System, Health	Democratic Repu	blic of the Congo
Emergencies Program, World Health Organization, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo	⁴ Dartmouth Geise	l School of Medicine, Hanover, NH, USA
² COVID-19 Response, Epidemiological Surveillance Directorate, Ministry of Health, Kinshasa, Directorate, Directorate, Directorate	⁵ COVID-19 Incide and Knowledge N Regional Office for	ent Management System, Data Analytics Janagement, World Health Organization or Africa, Brazzaville, Republic of Congo

Democratic Republic of the Congo

COVAX	COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
DRC	Democratic Republic of the Congo
EW	Epidemiological week
NPI	Non-pharmacological interventions
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome
	coronavirus 2
TPR	Test positivity rate
WHO	World Health Organization

1 Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease that is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The first cases were officially recorded on 31 December 2019 in the Chinese city Wuhan, China. The virus is spreading rapidly and outbreaks can grow exponentially [1, 2]. COVID-19 was officially categorized by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a public health emergency of international concern on 30 January 2020 and, on 11 March 2020, as a pandemic [3, 4]. By 3 July 2022, the number of the reported COVID-19-related deaths was past 6.3 million worldwide [5], with disease propagation occurring in waves of resurgence [6-8]. The first imported case of COVID-19 in Africa was reported from Egypt on 14 February 2020, and the pandemic has subsequently affected, to various degrees, all countries in the African continent. On 14 December 2021, the fourth wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, which was predominantly stimulated by the Omicron subtype, officially entered Africa [9–11]. Available eventbased aggregate data show variations in the characteristics of the first and second waves, with heterogeneity within the five regions and 55 countries [12].

In the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), the first COVID-19 case, involving an individual traveling from Europe was recorded on 10 March 2020. By 2 January 2022, there were 80,562 confirmed COVID-19 cases in the DRC. The DRC boosted their SARS-CoV-2 testing capacity by increasing the number of laboratories from 1 to 25 through decentralisation of coronavirus polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing as well as using SARS-CoV-2 antigen rapid diagnostic tests (Ag-RDT). The COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access (COVAX) initiative supplied the first batch of COVID-19 vaccines to the DRC in April 2021 [13]. However, as of 3 July 2022, only 4% of the total population had been fully vaccinated [14], which is one of the lowest coverage rates worldwide. The DRC is experiencing widespread community-based SARS-CoV-2 transmission and has already experienced four waves of resurgence.

Currently, there are several official epidemiological definitions of the COVID-19 waves. One of the main characteristics of a wave is an increasing number of registered cases, followed by a clear and identifiable peak and a subsequent decline [15–18]. However, more precise definitions are needed, as epidemiological and policy actions differ according to the state of the pandemic. In this study, we aimed to information obtained from the four described COVID-19 waves in the DRC to determine the epidemiological features and clinical outcomes of the COVID-19 waves in the DRC.

2 Methods

2.1 Design, Timeline, and Preparation

This retrospective descriptive study using epidemiological data from the national line listing of COVID-19 laboratoryconfirmed cases, analysed the data of cases reported in 26 provinces of the DRC that were registered between 9 March 2020 and 2 January 2022, and were distributed by epidemiological weeks (EW). The study period was stratified according to the EW of notification for each phase, to identify temporal trends in cases as well as waves of resurgence, as defined by the WHO. Additional data from laboratory and medical case management databases were analysed. Provincial databases were compiled at the national level by the National Multi-sectorial Committee of the COVID-19 Response (CMR COVID-19). Information on SARS-CoV-2 variants associated with a specific wave was obtained from DRC's National Institute of Biomedical Research. We used the available online climate data from *Climate-Data.org* [19] to compare the COVID-19 waves based on the seasonal dynamics.

2.2 Data Collection and Study Population Selection

The study population comprised those who had positive test results for SARS-CoV-2 infection and whose records had been entered into the national line listing by wave category at the time of study completion (2 January 2022). The inclusion of cases was rationalised primarily by defining the case category of a suspected COVID-19 case, which has been defined in the DRC in two ways: (1) any person who presents with one or more sign(s) or symptom(s) of acute respiratory infection, regardless of disease severity; and (2) any person who was in close contact (high-risk contact) with a confirmed case and who did or did not have one or more signs or symptoms of COVID-19. Furthermore, testing was performed for the population groups residing and working in high-risk environments containing infection sources, including those in close contact with a confirmed positive case, healthcare employees or frontline workers, community participants, and workers in closed spaces (e.g., prisoners),

participants of a mass gathering, and incoming or outgoing travellers, as part of mandatory screening at all points of entry and borders.

Each participant who underwent the test procedures received a special case-investigation questionnaire form to collect socio-demographic data as well as travel and clinical histories. The procedures for sample collection, packaging, and delivery to a laboratory setting followed the national COVID-19 response guidelines and protocols. At least one nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swab, based on synthetic fibres equipped with plastic shafts, had been obtained. COVID-19 confirmatory diagnostics included PCR as the gold standard, along with the Ag-RDT kit. The PCR specimens were triple-packed and transported in a sterile container, whilst using special viral tools and conditions for secure transportation and maintaining adequate and stable temperatures (2-4 °C). A confirmed case is any individual with a laboratory result (PCR or Ag-RDT) that confirms SARS-CoV-2 infection, regardless of overt or covert COVID-19.

2.3 Definition of a COVID-19 Resurgence and Wave

According to the WHO, the COVID-19 resurgence represents a visible growth in new COVID-19 cases that are registered following at least two consecutive weeks of low or no transmission, assuming optimal surveillance and testing activities [20]. The COVID-19 wave is a situation wherein a sudden increase beyond the expected number of COVID-19 cases is observed and is scientifically defined based on the shape of the epidemic curve, which requires mathematical calculations [21]. The two main actionable thresholds that define the start and end of a wave of COVID-19 transmission are the resurgence response and the 'under control' phase, respectively. The COVID-19 resurgence-response threshold is defined as an increase of at least 20% incident cases in the preceding 2 weeks (using a 7-day moving average) or a sudden increase in cases, which exceeds the previous peak by 30%. Consequently, SARS-CoV-2 transmission is assumed to be controlled when the increase in incident cases in terms of the 7-day moving average is less than 10% for two consecutive weeks, or a steady reduction or an epidemiological plateau over two consecutive weeks is registered. Additionally, the threshold of a resurgence alert is achieved when a 10-20% increase in the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases has been recorded (the 7-day moving average). For a geographical area to be classified as under control, none of the criteria for a resurgence alert or response should be present [20, 21]. Achieving the peak of the pandemic curve implies that the numbers of newly registered cases start to align gradually instead of moving upward on an abrupt trajectory.

2.4 Definition of Variables

In this study, the unit of analysis was the confirmed COVID-19 case reported for each wave. The description of the key characteristics for each wave included the duration of waves and transition periods, number of cases and deaths, epidemic peaks, variants, age, sex, symptomatic case status, travel history, and infection status of frontline health workers. In the DRC, the following parameters were compared between the four COVID-19 waves: case fatality rate (CFR), test positivity rate (TPR), percentage (%) of cases with travel history, and percentage (%) of infected frontline healthcare workers. The principal outcomes of this research project have been associated with CFR and TPR, which was the proportion (%) of all tests, completed that showed positive outcomes for SARS-CoV-2, as determined below:

$$x = \frac{a \times 100}{b}$$

where *x*, the TPR; *a*, the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases during the study period; and *b*, the overall number of COVID-19 tests completed during the study period.

The CFR is the proportion of individuals diagnosed with COVID-19 who died during the study period. The median age was determined for confirmed cases and deaths due to COVID-19. Depending on the travel history and provenance of cases among travellers, we classified the confirmed COVID-19 cases as local or imported cases (global travel history during the preceding 2 weeks). Individuals manifesting at least one symptom were marked as symptomatic, whereas individuals without any symptoms (such as fever, cough, headache, shortness of breath, anosmia, ageusia, and malaise) during tests were marked as asymptomatic. A new variant was identified in each wave. Furthermore, we compared seasonal parameters, including temperature and rainfall over time and the number of confirmed cases in the Kinshasa main hotspot.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R version 4.0.5 (The R Project for Statistical Computing 4.0.5. GNU GPL v2) [22]. Graphs were created using Microsoft Excel 2010. The results are presented in summary tables and epidemic curves using weekly cumulative cases to demonstrate the time trend. Epidemiological and clinical data of confirmed COVID-19 cases were compared between the different waves. Numbers (proportions) and median values (minimum and maximum) or mean values (standard deviation) are reported for categorical and continuous variables,

respectively. Participant characteristics were compared using descriptive statistical methods. For categorical data, the chi-squared or Fisher–Irwin tests and the significance level were used, as appropriate, for a comparison of proportions using the calculator of MedCalc Software Ltd Version 20.027 and applying the "N-1" chi-squared test following the recommendations from previous studies, such as Richardson (2011) and Campbell (2007) [23, 24]. A *P* value less than 0.05 was considered indicative of statistical importance.

3 Results

3.1 Defining COVID-19 Waves in the DRC

According to the WHO's definition of COVID-19 waves, from 9 March 2020 through 2 January 2022, the available EW-wise aggregated data facilitated the identification of eight phases of the epidemic, including four waves and four under-control and alert periods (Fig. 1):

- Phase I: 03/09/2020-04/12/2020 (EW11/2020-EW15/2020)
- Phase II: 04/13/2020-08/23/2020 (EW16/2020-EW34/2020) = first wave
- Phase III: 08/24/2020-11/15/2020 (EW35/2020-EW46/2020)
- Phase IV: 11/16/2020-04/04/2021 (EW47/2020-EW13/2021) = second wave
- Phase V: 04/05/2021-05/16/2021 (EW14/2021-EW19/2021)

- Phase VI: 05/17/2021-08/15/2021(EW20/2021-EW32/2021)=third wave
- Phase VII: 08/16/2021–11/07/2021 (EW33/2021– EW44/2021)
- Phase VIII: 11/08/2021-01/02/2022 (EW45/2021-EW52/2021) = fourth wave

3.2 Duration of Waves and Transition Periods

The first wave occurred 5 weeks after the first registered confirmed case and lasted for 19 weeks. The second wave occurred 12 weeks after the first (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The third wave lasted 13 weeks and occurred 6 weeks after the second wave, whereas the fourth wave occurred 12 weeks after the third wave and lasted only 8 weeks (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The mean duration of the COVID-19 waves was 14.7 (SD 5.3) weeks whereas the transition periods (under control and alerts) between the waves lasted 8.7 (SD 3.7) weeks.

Four peaks of transmission related to the four waves of COVID-19 resurgence in the DRC occurred from 9 March 2020 through 2 January 2022 (96-week period or approximately 22-month period; Table 1). The first and third waves occurred during the same period: 15–21 June 2020 and 21–27 June 2021, respectively. The second and fourth waves occurred in the same period: 11–17 January 2021 and 13–19 December 2021, respectively.

The most important peak occurred during the fourth wave, with 6,341 cases observed during the EW50, which corresponded to a 132% growth in the number of cases compared to the peak value during the third wave (Table 1) that was characterised by an increase in the number of new cases that were associated with the circulation of the Delta variant,



Fig. 1 Epidemic curve of confirmed COVID-19 cases reported by epidemiological week (EW) and by defined wave with related epidemiological characteristics in the DRC from EW11-2020 to EW52-2021

	First wave	Second wave	Third wave	Fourth wave
Wave, EW ^a	EW16-EW34/2020	EW47/2020-EW13/2021	EW20-EW32/ 2021	EW45-EW52/2021
Wave, period	13 Apr-23 Aug 2020	16 Nov 2020–04 Apr 2021	17 May-15 Aug 2021	08 Nov 2021–02 Jan 2022
Peak, EW ^a	EW25	EW02	EW25	EW50
Peak, period	15-21 Jun 2020	11–17 Jan 2021	21–27 Jun 2021	13-19 Dec 2021
# of cases during the peak's EW ^a	1128	1563	2734	6341
% of increase		39% (1 vs. 2)	75% (2 vs. 3)	132% (3 vs. 4)

Table 1 Characteristics of peak transmission during the four waves of COVID-19 in the DRC, March 2020–January 2022

^aEW, epidemiological week

which was officially detected in the DRC in June 2021. The fourth wave was associated with the Omicron variant, which was officially confirmed in the DRC on 13 December 2021.

3.3 Numbers of Cases and Deaths During the Four Waves of COVID-19 in the DRC

During the first wave (13 April 2020–23 August 2020), 56,637 screening tests confirmed 9,641 documented cases (TPR: 17.0%), and 496 deaths were recorded (CFR: 5.1%; Table 2). During the second wave (16 November 2020–04 April 2021), the number of screening tests increased to 66,560 cases, and confirmed 16,643 cases (TPR: 25.0%) with nearly 400 recorded deaths (CFR: 2.4%; Table 2). The third wave (17 May 2021–15 August 2021) was characterised by a higher number of screening tests (157,945), incident COVID-19 cases (24,172), and deaths (570); the TPR was 15.3% (CFR: 2.1%). During the fourth wave (08 November 2021–02 January 2022), 163,942 screening tests confirmed 21,652 cases (TPR: 13.2%) and there were 204 recorded deaths (CFR: 0.9%; Table 2).

The number of screening tests increased from 56,637 during the first wave of coronavirus to 66,560 in the second wave. During the third wave, the numbers were 157,945, followed by 163,942 in the fourth wave, representing an 18% (first vs. second wave), 137% (second vs. third wave), and 4% (third vs. fourth wave) increase, respectively (Table 2). A statistically relevant (P < 0.001) growth in the proportion of screening TPR and asymptomatic status during the four waves (Table 2), was identified.

3.4 Social and Demographic Parameters

The social and demographic parameters of the confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths, distributed by wave categories, are summarised in Table 2. The median (min-max) age of confirmed cases, approximately 40 (1,107) years, was lower than that of deaths, approximately 63 (1, 100) years (Table 2). The median age of cases and deaths was similar in the four waves. The proportion of women—approximately

37%—was similar in the four waves. The proportion of infected frontline healthcare workers decreased over time from 5.2% (497/9641) in the first wave to 0.9% (190/21,652) in the fourth wave (Table 2). However, the differences between these proportions were not statistically significant. The proportion of individuals with positive testing outcomes at the point of entry among travellers and/or those who had records of travelling in a 2-week period (imported cases) was similar in the four waves (Table 2).

3.5 Symptoms

The proportion of confirmed cases characterised as asymptomatic was higher (86.6%) during the second wave in contrast to the first (73.1%), the third (11.1%), and the fourth (31.3%) waves. This decrease, from the second to the third and fourth waves, was statistically significant (Table 2).

3.6 Main Hotspot

The Kinshasa Province and the capital of DRC were consistently the most-affected provinces in the four waves in terms of the proportion of confirmed cases: 79.5%, 68.9%, 51.6%, and 52.2%, respectively (Table 3).

3.7 Climate Characteristics of Kinshasa, the Main Hotspot

The DRC is characterised by diverse climates (equatorial, humid tropical, and tropical with a prolonged dry season, and coastal), which provide the country with abundant rainfall and sunshine. The difference in rainfall between the driest and wettest periods was 191 mm. The medium temperature throughout the year differed by 1.7 °C. December was identified as the month with the highest level of relative humidity (85.21%), whereas the month demonstrating the least relative humidity was August (65.26%). November had the largest number of rainy days (25.37 days), whereas July has the smallest number of rainy days (0.27 days). The lowest medium rainfall value of only 1 mm was recorded

Table 2 Comparison of epic	lemiological and clinical	outcomes during the four wa	ves of the COVID-19 pai	ndemic in the DRC, from	n 9 March 202	0 to 2 Janı	lary 2022			
Characteristics	First wave	Second wave	Third wave	Fourth wave	P value					
	(EW16 –EW34, 2020)	(EW47, 2020–EW13, 2021)	(EW20-EW32, 2021)	(EW45–EW52, 2021)	(1 vs. 2) (1 v	s. 3) (1 v	s. 4) (2 vs.	3) (2 vs.	.4) (3 1	/s. 4)
Epidemiological charac- teristics										
Epidemiological week	19	19	13	8						
Confirmatory tests per- formed	56,637	66,560	157, 945	163,942						
Confirmed cases	9641	16,643	24,172	21,652						
Test positivity rate	17.0%	25.0%	15.3%	13.2%	<0.0001 <0.	0001 <0.	0.0 < 0.0	001 < 0.	0001 <0.	0001
Deaths	496	399	570	204						
Case fatality rate	5.1%	2.4%	2.4%	0.9%	0.0381 0.	0192 0.	089 1.0	000 0.	2013 0.	1899
Age, years, median (min- max)										
Cases	41 (1–98)	40 (1-100)	40 (1–107)	38 (1–98)						
Deaths	60 (1–98)	65 (7-100)	65 (2-91)	60.5 (20-80)						
Sex, male, N (%)	6393~(66.3%)	10,181 (61.2%)	15,047 (62.2%)	13,112~(60.6%)	<0.0001 <0.	0001 <0.	0.1 0.1	088 0.	3520 0.	00559
Asymptomatic cases, $N(\%)$	2372/3246 (73.1%)	3963/4577 (86.6%)	146/1321 (11.1%)	309/987 (31.3%)	<0.0001 <0.	0001 <0.	001 <0.0	001 <0.	0001 < 0.	0001
Cases with travel history (imported cases), N (%)	52 (0.5%)	488 (2.9%)	1093 (4.5%)	284 (1.3%)	0.3078 0.	1658 0.	5233 0.1	341 0.	1539 0.	0124
Infected frontline health workers, $N(\%)$	497 (5.2%)	185 (1.1%)	189~(0.8%)	190 (0.9%)	0.0163 0.	0086 0.	0.7	(650 0.	8458 0.	9157
A P-value <0.0001 (bold) π	neans that the difference w	vas statistically significant or	· relevant							

/ 2022
/ 202
/ 20
2
~
- H
n
E
ੁਰ
L
2
0
Ę
0
2
2
- (1
Ę.
2
a
7
-
9
В
5
Ξ,
-
ເົ
~
Ξ
Ц
e
Ļ,
Ξ.
0
· Ħ
Ξ
ē
р
E
03
1
5
7
ρ
E
>
0
õ
-
g
th
ښي
0
ŝ
é
a,
ž
н
.õ
Ŧ
A)
U.
ţþ
the
ig the
ing the
aring the
during the
during the
es during the
nes during the
omes during the
comes during the
atcomes during the
outcomes during the
l outcomes during the
al outcomes during the
ical outcomes during the
nical outcomes during the
linical outcomes during the
clinical outcomes during the
d clinical outcomes during the
and clinical outcomes during the
and clinical outcomes during the
al and clinical outcomes during the
cal and clinical outcomes during the
gical and clinical outcomes during the
ogical and clinical outcomes during the
plogical and clinical outcomes during the
iological and clinical outcomes during the
niological and clinical outcomes during the
emiological and clinical outcomes during the
demiological and clinical outcomes during the
videmiological and clinical outcomes during the
epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
f epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
1 of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
on of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
son of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
rison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
arison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
parison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
mparison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
omparison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
Comparison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
Comparison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
2 Comparison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
e 2 Comparison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the
ble 2 Comparison of epidemiological and clinical outcomes during the

Table 3 Top three most-affected provinces or hotspots based on the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases in each wave in the DRC from EW11, 2020 to EW 52, 2021

in July. November had the highest annual rainfall, with an average of 192 mm [19].

The number of confirmed cases increased with low temperatures, with peaks occurring at periods of low temperatures and immediately after the peak rainfall periods (Fig. 2a and b). At least two peaks of transmission occurred during the year; the first peak was observed in mid-June and the second peak was observed between December and January of the following year (Fig. 2a and b).



Fig. 2 a Number of confirmed COVID-19 cases over time and temperature in Kinshasa. b Number of confirmed COVID-19 cases over time and rainfall in Kinshasa

4 Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to describe and compare the epidemiological and clinical outcomes of the four waves of the COVID-19 resurgence in the DRC, including nationwide data. The word "wave" is attributed to a phenomenon characterised by drastic peaks and gradual declines. This suggests that, even in times of slowdown, there is potential for disease-related waves in the future [16–18, 20]. Three drivers of a surge in COVID-19 cases that cause a wave of COVID-19 are usually described: (a) a new population that has not been infected in the past, (b) a new variant with a different level of infectiousness or (c) reinfections among people who were previously infected [20]. There were no significant differences in the demographics across the four waves. The sex-ratio remained constant, with the proportion of males averaging 61% across all waves. Males were more affected, and this corroborates with growing evidence suggesting that males are more susceptible to infection and have higher morbidity and mortality from COVID-19 than females [25-27]. The median age of the confirmed cases was 40 years from the first to the fourth waves. However, the median age at death was elevated (60 years), even though it was similar across all four waves. The higher mortality in this age group may be due to their advanced age and the possible existence of comorbidities which predispose them to more severe disease [28]. The vaccination coverage in the DRC seems very low to drastically decrease the community transmission. A study on the association between the intensity and duration of nonpharmacological interventions (NPI) and implementation of COVID-19 vaccination using data from 22 European countries reported no significant added effects of vaccination on the growth rate of COVID-19 cases when the vaccination coverage rate was low (0%, 10%, and 20%)[29]. However, when a low vaccine coverage rate was coupled with implementation of strict NPI, the results from the same study revealed a significant effect. However, a population-based online survey that was conducted in 22 provinces of the DRC between 23 April and 8 June 2020 found that despite the imposition of compulsory restrictions by the government, only approximately 50% of the respondents adhered to COVID-19 preventive measures [30].

The proportion of infected frontline workers significantly decreased from the first to the fourth wave. Increased availability of personal protective equipment in health facilities across the nation, increased awareness of the fatality of the disease, COVID-19 vaccination, and increasing levels of natural immunity following infection are possible reasons for this observation [15]. This pattern of reduction in TPR was statistically significant in the second wave (25.0%), in contrast to that of the third (15.3%)and 4th (13.2%) waves. This is similar to the results obtained by Akande et al. [15] in Nigeria on comparing their first and second waves. The pattern also occurred with reduction in the attack rate and lower test positivity rate in later waves. However, the height of the peak of each wave increased from the first to the fourth wave. This could be explained by the occurrence of variants with high transmissibility in the population, specifically with the Delta and Omicron variants that were first isolated just prior to the third and fourth waves, respectively. This situation could have also been exacerbated by the reduction in adherence to non-pharmacological public health measures by the population as the pandemic progressed, due to fatigue. The asymptomatic status was statistically relevant during the four waves.

Detailed SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome sequencing data from DRC's National Institute of Biomedical Research, showed that subsequent waves were associated with new variants. In some cases, some variants were more virulent, such as the Delta variant during the third wave, while a variant such as the Omicron variant during the fourth wave was less virulent but with a greater transmissibility due to its ability to evade immunity-whether naturally acquired or through vaccination [31]. The COVID-19 vaccine coverage after the second wave and different incriminating variants across the waves could explain why the third and fourth waves were shorter, lasting 13 and 8 weeks, respectively, compared to the first and second, with each lasting for 19 weeks. Another plausible theory is that the COVID-19 response improved over time in the DRC with an increase in the capacity of frontline responders, mobilisation of more resources and tools for intervention (including improved testing leading to early diagnosis and case management), coupled with a more informed and cooperative population following continuous sensitisation campaigns.

An 18% increase in the number of screening tests performed between the first and the second waves was observed, representing 137% (second vs. third wave) and 4% (third vs. fourth wave) increase, respectively. Moreover, the increased number of screening tests may be related to a lower test positivity rate in the later waves. This can be attributed to the increased testing capacity in the DRC, owing to the decentralisation of COVID-19 PCR laboratories and the use of Ag RDTs [31–33]. These testing capacity-building strategies are likely to have a more critical effect on the laboratory than the testing capacity itself. However, this testing capacity is not yet optimal, as we are still registering less than the recommended minimum of 10 tests per 10,000 inhabitants per week or 140 tests per day per million inhabitants [34].

The proportion of individuals with positive results and asymptomatic status, though not statistically significant,

was greater at diagnosis during the second wave than during the first wave. This finding is a reminder of the trend of a decreasing number of symptomatic cases during the second wave that was observed in Nigeria [15]. A Spanish study showed that the proportion of patients who experienced severe clinical symptoms (defined as fever, cough, headache, dyspnoea, anosmia, ageusia and/or malaise for \geq 4 days) was significantly lower during the second wave and, therefore, did not account for the proportion of individuals with minor symptoms that lasted ≤ 3 days [35]. We observed a significant decrease in CFR from 5.1% during the first wave to as low as 0.9% during the fourth wave. Immunity developed from natural immunity and vaccination, coupled with improved clinical care for COVID-19 patients, could explain this significant reduction. Omicron, which is strongly linked to the fourth wave in Congo, has been shown to cause less severe disease, lower hospitalisation rates, and significantly lower morbidity and mortality [32, 36].

The number of incident cases was greater during the waves of December and January compared to the waves in June, whilst the opposite was true for the number of deaths.

The COVID-19 pandemic might be contrasted with the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic, which demonstrated two specific waves throughout a calendar year. These influenza cases are still recorded during the seasons, including in outbreak forms [18]. The COVID-19 waves also appeared to follow this seasonal pattern, with the first and third wave happening about the same time-similarly with the second and fourth wave. This suggests the influence of ecological factors on the waves, in addition to the factors that have already been reported previously [18, 37]. Ecological factors are thought to influence the risk of exposure, and so modulate the effects on new populations, variants or reinfections. In addition, the seasonal patterns of infectious diseases and activity wave-forms are influenced by changes in social or prevention behaviours. The out-of-season outbreaks of the respiratory syncytial virus show a different trend compared with previous trends after the easing of COVID-19 physical distancing measures and were reported in France, Israel, Japan and Australia, among other countries [38–41].

From December to March, seasonal coronaviruses demonstrate a distinctly high point. Several factors affect the seasonality of specific diseases [18, 42–44]. This might include greater humidity associated with climate or patterns of social mixing. Some scientists project that COVID-19 will ultimately turn into a seasonal phenomenon similar to other types of coronaviruses [18, 45–47]. Additionally, seasonal patterns and wave formats of activity are heavily influenced by the quality of the immune response. The more immunity people develop towards a targeted pathogen, the lower the dynamics of transmission and general infection level, leading to a decline in spread and the emergence of new cases. Currently, the USA is far from developing collective immunity among most public groups. Nevertheless, a recent mathematical projection suggests that 43–60% of people should develop strong immunity against SARS-CoV-2 to gain the necessary positive effect [48].

The classical concept of herd immunity may not apply to COVID-19. Similar to the influenza virus, SARS-CoV-2 mutates continually into new variants that can escape immunity derived from infections and vaccines. Additionally, any level of herd protection against SARS-CoV-2 can be overcome by waning of infection- or vaccine-induced immunity. Thus, it is improbable that infection or vaccination will induce prolonged protection against SARS-CoV-2 [49]. However, a recent study suggested that by 31 December 2022, 43.5% of the DRC population would have had immunity (largely driven by natural immunity), following infections during the fourth wave, whereas only 0.1% of the population would have received a vaccine [50]. This is just within the proportion of the population needed for a positive effect. In 2022, the subsequent waves, together with increasing vaccination coverage, should increase this proportion further.

At present, the analysis that we have presented is based on reported data and may represent under-reporting. However, the information collated is crucial for building mathematical models to predict future patterns. The reductions in wave duration coupled with increased intensity in the presence of a new variant, together with the noticeable socio-ecological effects that influence the timing of waves, provide valuable information that can be incorporated into mathematical models to predict future patterns, after adjusting for the severity/infectiousness of different variants.

5 Study Limitations

A limitation of our study was the use of the national testing line list which had some missing data, and it is possible that not all people who were tested had their data entered into the line list, and this may impact generalisability. However, the large amount of data that was analysed reduced the likelihood of bias that may arise due to missing data. Additionally, the information was primarily based on reported data, which was influenced by the testing strategies and capacities. However, detailed analysis across the African region has shown that even after correcting for under-reporting on the reporting date, the patterns/waves persisted in the reported data [50].

6 Conclusion

This study provides a nationwide analysis of the epidemiological and clinical outcome characteristics of all four COVID-19 waves, which shows that increasing the SARS-CoV-2 testing capacity could lead to a significant decrease in the TPR and CFR. Each wave was associated with a particular SARS-CoV-2 variant and, when testing was coupled with continuous genomic surveillance, helped to keep the response system informed of the emergence or spread of any new variant of concern and its sublineages. Further analysis will help ascertain the major risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 transmission including importation and circulation of new variant of concern, morbidity including hospitalization and admission in intensive care units, and mortality in the DRC. Enhanced monitoring of COVID-19 waves of resurgence, increased testing capacity, sustained risk communication, improved clinical management capacity, genomic surveillance, and vaccination, especially of vulnerable populations, are measures that must be implemented and maintained to better control potential waves of COVID-19 resurgence in future outbreaks.

Acknowledgements We appreciate all Congolese (DRC) frontline health workers for their contribution to the collection of the data used in this study. We acknowledge the leadership and coordination provided by all incident managers, provincial health division officers, health zone chief officers, epidemiologists, laboratory technicians, and data managers from the Ministry of Health, the WHO, and partners. We are also grateful to our colleagues, including members of the COVID-19 Health Operations, Analysis Unit of the Emergency Operations Center, Epidemiological Surveillance Commission, and Directorate, who provided technical and administrative support for this manuscript. In addition, we acknowledge the National Institute of Biomedical Research, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo, for providing technical support for revising this manuscript. We thank the National Multisectorial Committee of the COVID-19 Response (CMR COVID-19) and its leaders. We are grateful for the editing and insightful comments of Professor Yap Boum and Doctor Karl Fai from Epicentre Yaoundé, Cameroon. Finally, we thank the WHO field epidemiologist colleagues for contributing to compiling data from the following provinces: Jean-Marie K. Tshilumbu, Moreau-Serge Umba-di-Masiala, Amos K. Ebondo, Jean-Jacques Mutonj, Serge Kalombo, Jad Kabeya, Taty K. Mawanda, Faustin N. Bile, Armand L. Mavungu, Gaby K. Kasereka, and Issa Diallo.

Author contributions JOO, GLTF, JMN, JBK, and AKM acts as the Guarantor of this study. All authors contributed in data collection and/ or analyses, revised the manuscript, and approved the final version of the article for publication.

Funding The authors did not receive financial support from any organization for the submitted work.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Public Health of the University of Kinshasa (N°ESP/CE/114/2020; 17 July 2020).

Patient consent for publication Not applicable. All records from the routine COVID-19 surveillance were fully anonymised before researchers accessed them.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- World Health Organization. Coronavirus situational reports. 2020. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situationreports/20200813-covid-19-sitrep-206.pdf?sfvrsn=bf38f66b_6. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- Grainger R, Machado PM, Robinson PC. Novel coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) in people with rheumatic disease: epidemiology and outcomes. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2021;35: 101657.
- World Health Organization. COVID-19 Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) Global research and innovation forum. WHO. 2020. https://www.who.int/publications/m/ item/covid-19-public-health-emergency-of-international-conce rn-(pheic)-global-research-and-innovation-forum. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. Acta Biomed. 2020;91:157–60.
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard. WHO Health Emergency Dashboard. WHO (COVID-19). 2022. https://covid19.who.int/. Accessed 3 Jul 2022.
- Saito S, Asai Y, Matsunaga N, Hayakawa K, Terada M, Ohtsu H, Tsuzuki S, Ohmagari N. First and second COVID-19 waves in Japan: a comparison of disease severity and characteristics. J Infect. 2021;82:84–123.
- Vahidy FS, Drews AL, Masud FN, Schwartz RL, Askary BB, Boom ML, Phillips RA. Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 patients during initial peak and resurgence in the Houston metropolitan area. JAMA. 2020;324:998–1000.
- Fan G, Yang Z, Lin Q, Zhao S, Yang L, He D. Decreased case fatality rate of COVID-19 in the second wave: a study in 53 countries or regions. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2021;68:213–5.

- Al Jazeera. Egypt confirms coronavirus case, the first in Africa. Coronavirus Pandemic News. 2020. https://www.aljazeera.com/ news/2020/2/14/egypt-confirms-coronavirus-case-the-first-inafrica. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- The Independent. Africa faces fourth wave of COVID-19 due to Omicron variant: WHO. 2021. https://www.independent.co.ug/ africa-faces-fourth-wave-of-covid-19-due-to-omicron-variantwho/. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- World Health Organization. Africa faces steepest COVID-19 surge yet. WHO Regionla Office for África. 2021. https://www.afro. who.int/news/africa-faces-steepest-covid-19-surge-yet. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- Salyer SJ, Maeda J, Sembuche S, Kebede Y, Tshangela A, Moussif M, Ihekweazu C, Mayet N, Abate E, Ouma AO, Nkengasong J. The first and second waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in Africa: a cross-sectional study. Lancet. 2021;397:1265–75.
- Rodrigue Forku. DR Congo launches COVID-19 vaccination campaign. 2021. https://www.aa.com.tr/en/africa/dr-congo-launc hes-covid-19-vaccination-campaign-/2214064. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- World Health Organization. WHO Global Tracker. 2022. https:// covid19.who.int/who-data/vaccination-data.csv. Accessed 03 Jul 2022.
- 15. Akande OW, Elimian KO, Igumbor E, Dunkwu L, Kaduru C, Olopha OO, Ohanu DO, Nwozor L, Agogo E, Aruna O, Balogun MS, Aderinola O, Ahumibe A, Arinze C, Badaru SO, Nwachukwu W, Dada AO, Erameh C, Hamza K, Mohammed TB, Ndodo N, Obiekea C, Ofoegbunam C, Ogunbode O, Ohonsi C, Tobin EA, Yashe R, Adekaiyaoja A, Asuzu MC, Audu RA, Bello MB, Bello SO, Deeni YY, Disu Y, Joseph G, Ezeokafor C, Habib ZG, Ibeh C, Ike IF, Iwara E, Luka-Lawal RK, Namara G, Okwor T, Olajide L, Ilesanmi OO, Omonigho S, Oyiri F, Takpa K, Ugbogulu NU, Ibekwe P, Oladejo J, Ilori E, Ochu CL, Ihekweazu C. Epidemiological comparison of the first and second waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria, February 2020-April 2021. BMJ Glob Health. 2021;6: e007076.
- ABC News (Australian Broadcasting Corporation). South Korea says it has a second wave of coronavirus infections - but what does that really mean? ABC News. 2020. https://www.abc.net.au/news/ 2020-06-24/coronavirus-covid-19-countries-second-wave-expla iner-south-korea/12385882?nw=0. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- BBC News. Coronavirus: What is a second wave and is one coming? BBC News. 2020. https://www.bbc.com/news/health-53113 785. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- University of Michigan School of Public Health. What Makes a "Wave" of Disease? An Epidemiologist Explains. The Pursuit. 2020. https://sph.umich.edu/pursuit/2020posts/what-makes-awave-of-disease.html. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- Climate-Data.org. Climat Kinshasa (Congo Kinshasa). 2022. https://fr.climate-data.org/afrique/congo-kinshasa/kinshasa/kinshasa/kinshasa-408/. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- World Health Organization. Interim guidance for COVID-19 Resurgence in the WHO African Region. 2021. https://www. afro.who.int/sites/default/files/Covid-19/Techinical-documents/ Interimguidance-for-COVID-19-Resurgence-in-the-WHO-Afric an-Region-EN.pdf?msclkid=b499cae9b95711eca1765221c91247 58. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- Viral Facts Africa. What is a #COVID19 wave? @WHOAFRO... Viral Facts Africa. 2021. https://web.facebook.com/viralfacts/ videos/what-is-a-covid19-wave-whoafro-expert-dr-humphreykaramagi-explains-what-it-is-a/3151128975124101/?_rdc=1&_ rdr. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- 22. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2020. https://www.r-project.org/. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.

- 23. Campbell I. Chi-squared and Fisher-Irwin tests of two-bytwo tables with small sample recommendations. Stat Med. 2007;26:3661–75.
- 24. Richardson JT. The analysis of 2 × 2 contingency tables–yet again. Stat Med. 2011;30:890.
- 25. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DSC, Du B, Li LJ, Zeng G, Yuen KY, Chen RC, Tang CL, Wang T, Chen PY, Xiang J, Li SY, Wang JL, Liang ZJ, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ, Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY, Zhong NS, Zhong NS, Li LJ, Zeng G, Gao GF, Yuen KY. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1708–20.
- 26. Ali MR, Hasan MA, Rahman MS, Billah M, Karmakar S, Shimu AS, Hossain MF, Maruf MMH, Rahman MS, Saju MSR, Hasan MR, Acharjee UK, Hasan MF. Clinical manifestations and sociodemographic status of COVID-19 patients during the second-wave of pandemic: a Bangladeshi experience. J Infect Public Health. 2021;14:1367–74.
- 27. Peckham H, de Gruijter NM, Raine C, Radziszewska A, Ciurtin C, Wedderburn LR, Rosser EC, Webb K, Deakin CT. Male sex identified by global COVID-19 meta-analysis as a risk factor for death and ITU admission. Nat Commun. 2020;11:6317.
- Kang SJ, Jung SI. Age-related morbidity and mortality among patients with COVID-19. Infect Chemother. 2020;52:154–64.
- 29. Zhou F, Hu TJ, Zhang XY, Lai K, Chen JH, Zhou XH. The association of intensity and duration of non-pharmacological interventions and implementation of vaccination with COVID-19 infection, death, and excess mortality: natural experiment in 22 European countries. J Infect Public Health. 2022;15:499–507.
- 30. Ditekemena JD, Nkamba DM, Muhindo HM, Siewe JN, Luhata C, Van den Bergh R, Kitoto AT, Van Damme W, Muyembe JJ, Colebunders R. Factors associated with adherence to COVID-19 prevention measures in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC): results of an online survey. BMJ Open. 2021;11: e043356.
- Moghadas SM, Vilches TN, Zhang K, Wells CR, Shoukat A, Singer BH, Meyers LA, Neuzil KM, Langley JM, Fitzpatrick MC, Galvani AP. The impact of vaccination on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreaks in the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:2257–64.
- Kozlov M. How does Omicron spread so fast? A high viral load isn't the answer. Nature. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1038/ d41586-022-00129-z.
- 33. Boum Y, Fai KN, Nikolay B, Mboringong AB, Bebell LM, Ndifon M, Abbah A, Essaka R, Eteki L, Luquero F, Langendorf C, Mbarga NF, Essomba RG, Buri BD, Corine TM, Kameni BT, Mandeng N, Fanne M, Bisseck AZ, Ndongmo CB, Eyangoh S, Hamadou A, Ouamba JP, Koku MT, Njouom R, Claire OM, Esso L, Epée E, Mballa GAE. Performance and operational feasibility of antigen and antibody rapid diagnostic tests for COVID-19 in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients in Cameroon: a clinical, prospective, diagnostic accuracy study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021;21:1089–96.
- Gkseries. WHO suggests 140 tests per day, per million population. Gkseries. 2020. https://www.gkseries.com/blog/who-sugge sts-140-tests-per-day-per-million-population/. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- 35. Soriano V, Ganado-Pinilla P, Sanchez-Santos M, Gómez-Gallego F, Barreiro P, de Mendoza C, Corral O. Main differences between the first and second waves of COVID-19 in Madrid. Spain Int J Infect Dis. 2021;105:374–6.
- 36. Nyberg T, Ferguson NM, Nash SG, Webster HH, Flaxman S, Andrews N, Hinsley W, Bernal JL, Kall M, Bhatt S, Blomquist P, Zaidi A, Volz E, Aziz NA, Harman K, Funk S, Abbott S, Hope R, Charlett A, Chand M, Ghani AC, Seaman SR, Dabrera G, De

Angelis D, Presanis AM, Thelwall S, Nyberg T, Ferguson NM, Nash SG, Webster HH, Flaxman S, Andrews N, Hinsley W, Lopez Bernal J, Kall M, Bhatt S, Blomquist P, Zaidi A, Volz E, Abdul Aziz N, Harman K, Funk S, Abbott S, Hope R, Charlett A, Chand M, Ghani AC, Seaman SR, Dabrera G, De Angelis D, Presanis AM, Thelwall S. Comparative analysis of the risks of hospitalisation and death associated with SARS-CoV-2 omicron (B.1.1.529) and delta (B.1.617.2) variants in England: a cohort study. Lancet. 2022;399:1303–12.

- 37. Cabore JW, Karamagi HC, Kipruto H, Asamani JA, Droti B, Seydi AB, Titi-Ofei R, Impouma B, Yao M, Yoti Z, Zawaira F. The potential effects of widespread community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the World Health Organization African Region: a predictive model. BMJ Glob Health. 2020;5: e002647.
- Weinberger Opek M, Yeshayahu Y, Glatman-Freedman A, Kaufman Z, Sorek N, Brosh-Nissimov T. Delayed respiratory syncytial virus epidemic in children after relaxation of COVID-19 physical distancing measures, Ashdod, Israel, 2021. Euro Surveill. 2021;26:2017–21.
- Delestrain C, Danis K, Hau I, Behillil S, Billard MN, Krajten L, Cohen R, Bont L, Epaud R. Impact of COVID-19 social distancing on viral infection in France: a delayed outbreak of RSV. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2021;56:3669–73.
- Ujiie M, Tsuzuki S, Nakamoto T, Iwamoto N, Ujiie M. Resurgence of respiratory syncytial virus infections during covid-19 pandemic, Tokyo, Japan. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27:2969–70.
- 41. Foley DA, Yeoh DK, Minney-Smith CA, et al. The interseasonal resurgence of respiratory syncytial virus in Australian children following the reduction of coronavirus disease 2019-related public health measures. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:E2829–30.
- 42. Monto AS, DeJonge PM, Callear AP, Bazzi LA, Capriola SB, Malosh RE, Martin ET, Petrie JG. Coronavirus occurrence and transmission over 8 years in the HIVE Cohort of households in Michigan. J Infect Dis. 2020;222:9–16.

- 43. Chakhunashvili G, Wagner AL, Power LE, Janusz CB, Machablishvili A, Karseladze I, Tarkhan-Mouravi O, Zakhashvili K, Imnadze P, Gray GC, Anderson B, Boulton ML. Severe Acute Respiratory Infection (SARI) sentinel surveillance in the country of Georgia, 2015–2017. PLoS ONE. 2018;13: e0201497.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID-19: Human Coronavirus Types. CDC. 2020 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/types.html?msclkid=12175c18d12211ec813aeabd17ddbbef. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- Kim SW, Ramakrishnan MA, Raynor PC, Goyal SM. Effects of humidity and other factors on the generation and sampling of a coronavirus aerosol. Aerobiologia (Bologna). 2007;23:239–48.
- Sloan C, Moore ML, Hartert T. Impact of pollution, climate, and sociodemographic factors on spatiotemporal dynamics of seasonal respiratory viruses. Clin Transl Sci. 2011;4:48–54.
- 47. Sajadi MM, Habibzadeh P, Vintzileos A, Shokouhi S, Miralles-Wilhelm F, Amoroso A. Temperature, humidity, and latitude analysis to estimate potential spread and seasonality of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3: e2011834.
- The Conversation Africa. Herd immunity won't solve America's COVID-19 problem. 2020. https://theconversation.com/herdimmunity-wont-solve-americas-covid-19-problem-139724. Accessed 24 Mar 2022.
- Morens DM, Folkers GK, Fauci AS. The concept of classical herd immunity may not apply to COVID-19. J Infect Dis. 2022. https:// doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiac109.
- Cabore JW, Karamagi HC, Kipruto HK, Mungatu JK, Asamani JA, Droti B, Titi-Ofei R, Seydi ABW, Kidane SN, Balde T, Gueye AS, Makubalo L, Moeti MR. COVID-19 in the 47 countries of the WHO African region: a modelling analysis of past trends and future patterns. Lancet Glob Health. 2022. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S2214-109X(22)00233-9.