# Is Periodontitis a Risk Factor for Lung Cancer? A Meta-Analysis and Detailed Review of Mechanisms of Association

#### Abstract

**Background:** Numerous studies have explored the correlation of periodontal disease (PD) with the risk of lung cancers, but the findings were inconsistent. Therefore, we did a meta-analysis to ascertain the correlation of PD with the risk of incident lung cancer. **Methods:** The authors searched relevant studies in databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, and MEDLINE) till November 2020. We registered the study at the International database of Prospectively Registered Systemic Reviews under the CRD42020198119. The summary relative risk (RR) along with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated using fixed-effects models. **Results:** Twelve studies were included in the qualitative synthesis. The pooled analysis revealed that PD was significantly associated with an increased risk of lung cancer (RR 1.71; 95%CI 1.61–1.81; P < 0.01). Subgroup analysis was performed based on gender distribution, geographic location, and type of studies. **Conclusion:** From this current evidence, PD is a potential risk factor for the development of lung cancer. The risk for incidence of lung cancer is surged twice in the patients with PD, even though age and smoking are controlled in the studies.

Keywords: Lung cancer, meta-analysis, periodontitis, systemic review

## Introduction

The mouth is a mirror of the body. The most prevalent oral diseases, dental caries, and periodontal diseases (PD) are of microbial origin. More than 700 bacterial species in PD are accountable for different grades of pathogenesis toward the host. Aforesaid literature betrayed the association between PD and diabetes, respiratory diseases, cardiovascular diseases, mental disorders, and pregnancy complications.<sup>[1-5]</sup> Moreover, concerning evidence revealed an association between periodontitis and oral cancer, cancer of the head and neck, esophagus cancer, lung, pancreas, colorectal, breast, and hematopoietic cancer.[6-13] In the United States, the prevalence of periodontitis is 47.2% aged 30 years and older and 70.1% aged 65% and older.<sup>[12]</sup>

Lung cancer is a terminal illness, with a 5-year mortality rate of almost 89%, accounting for approximately 25% of all cancer deaths.<sup>[14]</sup> The American Cancer Society estimates that the risk of developing lung cancer in men is 1 in 15, whereas in women as 1 in 17 with an estimated incidence rate of 228,820 cancer cases and 135,720 deaths in 2020. Although tobacco is the foremost conviction, 53% of lung cancer cases in women worldwide are not ascribable to smoking, advocating that other factors may independently increase or modify the risk for lung cancer.[15,16] Many cohort and case-control studies were executed since the first association between PD and lung cancer was offered and suggested genetic, microbial, and inflammatory links of pathogenesis between these two. Such connections could be due to several mechanisms: (1). Systemic spread of oral bacteria causes damage to distant organ sites, (2). Increase in systemic inflammatory mediators that initiate and promote tumorigenesis, (3). A change in host immunity by autoimmune response produced during PD.[15-18] However, no study was able to explain the comprehensive mechanism of interrelation between PD and lung cancer.

Focusing on initial detection and anticipation plans to bring down cancer burden are condemnatory, and oral health seems like a field that may have the future to contribute to cancer deterrence. To assess the role of PD on cancer burden, it

**How to cite this article:** Kesharani P, Kansara P, Kansara T, Kini A, Bhat R, Shetty P, *et al.* Is periodontitis a risk factor for lung cancer? A meta-analysis and detailed review of mechanisms of association. Contemp Clin Dent 2022;13:297-306.

## Pooja Kesharani<sup>1</sup>, Payalben Kansara<sup>2</sup>, Tikal Kansara<sup>3</sup>, Arjun Kini<sup>2</sup>, Raksha Bhat<sup>4</sup>, Preethesh Shetty<sup>4</sup>, Bapanaiah Penugonda<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Dental Sciences & Research Centre, Ahmedabad, India, <sup>2</sup>New York University College of Dentistry, New York, USA, <sup>3</sup>Metropolitan Hospital Center, New York, NY, USA, <sup>4</sup>Nitte (Deemed to be University), AB Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences(ABSMIDS), Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

 Submitted : 17-Feb-2022

 Revised : 05-Jul-2022

 Accepted : 23-Aug-2022

 Published : 28-Nov-2022

Address for correspondence: Dr. Raksha Bhat, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Nitte (Deemed to be University), Ab Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India. E-mail: rkshabhat@gmail.com



This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

is essential to determine whether the association detected in population studies is casual. A meta-analysis performed by Zeng et al., including five cohort studies until 2014, concluded that individuals with PD were associated with a 1.24-fold increased risk of developing lung cancer.<sup>[9]</sup> Pooled results of the previous studies reinforced the hypothesis of an association between periodontitis and all types of cancer. However, the low methodological quality prohibited us from the punctual implementation of the judgment.<sup>[12,17,18]</sup> Even though positive relatedness was found, the validity of the result was limited by the inclusion of high-quality and low-quality studies in a single analysis since low-quality studies showed associations. If the corroboration is adequately strong, endorsements can be made to promote prevention through refinements in the dental coverage and enhance awareness of the risk. Therefore, after more recent studies, a more comprehensive meta-analysis is the lifeblood to provide solid authentication of the association.

## **Methods**

#### Literature and search strategy

The protocol was designed according to preferred reporting items for systemic review and meta-analysis for protocols and recommendations, and registered at the International database of Prospectively Registered Systemic Reviews under the CRD42020198119.<sup>[19]</sup>

The targeted review question was: Is PD positively associated with lung cancer? The emphasized question obeyed PECO criteria: The population (P) was patients of any age, the Exposure (E) was the presence of periodontitis, the Comparison (C) was an absence of PD, and the Outcome (O) was patients having lung cancer.

## Searched methods for the identification of studies

A comprehensive electronic search of the following databases was conducted: PubMed, MEDLINE database through Ovid interface, EMBASE database through Ovid interface, Scopus, and Web of Science between 1952 and 2020. The search was done using keywords along with Boolean operators "OR" and "AND." The search string from databases was: "Periodontitis" OR "Periodontal disease" OR "Tooth loss" AND "Lung Cancer." The reference list of all included studies was scanned for relevant review articles and editorial to identify additional pertinent studies. We coped all references by operating reference manager software (EndNote Basics, Clarivate Analytics). The search was completed on November 31, 2020.

## Eligibility criteria

The titles, abstracts, full texts, and appraised studies were independently screened based on the following inclusion criteria: (1). Studies on human subjects, (2). Retrospective and prospective cohorts, and nested case–control studies with available full-text articles, (3). Exposure point is PD regardless of the depth and severity of periodontitis, (4). Description of how confounders were controlled in the analysis, precisely age and smoking, (5). Results reported in terms of adjusted risk ratio (RR) and hazards ratio (HR) associated with a 95% confidence level. In the case of divergence in the article eligibility process, a blinded assessor solved the query.

#### **Data extraction**

The data were individualistically collected, and the results were compared. The following data were extracted from each arm of the study: Author's name and year of publication, study design and country of origin, population studied, the sample size in exposure and comparison group, outcome numbers in exposure and comparison group, age and gender, duration of follow-up, methods of determining exposure and outcome, RR or HR associated with 95% confidence interval (CI) and confounder factors controlled for the study.

#### **Outcome measures**

The primary outcome was lung cancer risk in patients with periodontitis which was the only outcome measured in the present analysis.

#### Assessment of methodological quality of studies

The quality of studies was evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.<sup>[20]</sup> This scale encompasses the assessment of three parts: selection (0-4 stars), compatibility (0-2 stars), and outcome (0-3 stars). The high-quality study consists of 3 or 4 stars in the selection, 1 or 2 in comparison, and 2 or 3 stars in the outcome domain.

## Statistical analysis

Relative risk (RR) with a 95% CI was used as a measure of association across the studies. The analysis was performed with the aid of R statistical software version 3.5.3 (Revolution Analytics). Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated by the I2 test (ratio of true heterogeneity to total observed variation) and s2 (among-study variance). I2 values higher than 50% indicate moderate heterogeneity. In the presence of heterogeneity, the effect estimates across studies by use of a random effect model were combined.

All 12 cohorts and case–control studies were included in the final analysis. Because of the increased heterogeneity obtained from the pooled data, separate meta-analyses were carried out, including only high-quality and low-quality trials. The potential heterogeneity was explored by subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by deleting each study at a time and calculating the summary effect size of the remaining research.

#### Results

#### Literature retrieved and study characteristics

Seven hundred and twenty-two citations were identified from the search in the selected databases. After removing duplicates, the articles were narrowed down to 151 articles. Based on the exclusion criteria, a full text of 41 articles was selected for detailed reading. Evaluating the reference lists in the chosen studies, two articles were included. Twelve studies in total met the eligibility criteria [Figure 1]. The variables with missing values for an adjusting variable were not included in the full model analysis.

Table 1 summarizes the detailed characteristics of included studies reporting risk estimates for the correlation between periodontitis and lung cancer.<sup>[21-32]</sup> Eight cohort studies and 1 case–control study of high quality have been reported [Tables 2 and 3]. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### **Overall estimates**

Pooled results showed that subjects with periodontitis have an increased risk of lung cancer by 1.71 times. (RR 1.71; 95%CI 1.61–1.81; P < 0.01) [Figure 2]. Estimates from the high-quality studies indicate the association becomes stronger than the pooled results from low-quality studies (high-quality studies analysis – RR 1.91; 95%CI 1.77–2.07; P < 0.01 vs. low-quality studies meta-analysis – RR1.45; 95%CI 1.33–1.57; P < 0.01) [Figure 3].

#### Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analysis according to study design [Figure 4] revealed a significant relation of periodontitis with increased risk of lung cancer in prospective cohorts (RR 2.08; 95%CI 1.92–2.24; P < 0.01) than in case–control studies (RR 1.26; 95% CI 1.16–1.37; P = 0.75).

Gender-specific analysis [Figure 5] showed that the association between periodontitis and risk of lung cancer was significant in male and female populations (RR 1.55; 95% CI 1.42–1.70; P < 0.01), women population alone (RR 1.70;95% CI 1.56–1.87; P = 0.02), and men population alone (RR 1.95; 95% CI 1.73–2.20; P < 0.01).

Seven studies were performed in America, two in Europe, and four in Asia. For subgroup analysis by geographic region [Figure 6], the association observed for the America (RR 2.00; 95% CI 1.86–2.15; P < 0.01) and the Asia (RR 1.29; 95% CI 1.17–1.42; P = 0.09) was significant, however for Europe (RR 1.15; 95% CI 0.82–1.61; P = 0.82), the association was not significant.

#### Sensitivity analysis

In sensitivity analysis using the leave-one-out approach, the result showed that no individual study altered the pooled RR for lung cancer demonstrating that meta-analysis results were robust.

## Survival plot analysis

Based on the results obtained by the survival plot analysis for patients with lung cancer with or without periodontitis included in the present analysis, it was found that patients with periodontitis have 1.31 times more chance of getting lung cancer as compared to patients without periodontitis [Figure 7].

## Discussion

The present meta-analysis of eight cohorts and four case– control studies revealed that individuals with PD are associated with a 1.71-fold increased risk for developing lung cancer. Furthermore, the separate meta-analysis of high-quality studies stipulated around 2-fold intensified in risk of developing lung cancer (RR 1.91; 95%CI 1.77– 2.07; P < 0.01). Of the twelve included studies, nine were



Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram, PRISMA: preferred reporting items for systemic review and meta-analysis

		Table 1	I: Characteristics	of studi	es on the associ	ation of per	riodontal dis	ease with lung cancer	
Author		Country	Study design		sample size	Event/	total	Assesment method	Population study
					<b>H</b>	Cxposure	No exposure		
Hujoel et al., 2003 <sup>[21]</sup>		USA	Prospective	_	1,328 1	132/4763	59/6562	Oral exam	NHANES I
			Cohort						
Hiraki <i>et al.</i> , 2008 <sup>[22]</sup>		JAPAN	Case control study	_	5,720	235/604	674/2123	Questionnaire	Hospital database
Michaud <i>et al.</i> , 2008 <sup>[23]</sup>	-	USA	Prospective		(1	236/7863	442/40,512	Oral examination	HPFS
		-	Cohort						
Arora <i>et al.</i> , $2010^{[24]}$	~1	SWEDEN '	Twin cohort study		5,333	14/908	175/12,592	Questionnaire	Swedish Twin registry
Wen et al., 2014 <sup>[25]</sup>	-	CHINA	Retrospective cohort	t study ]	48,172 24	43/51,791	353/96,375	Insurance Claims data	National health insurance
					~	Women 8/25,503	Women 137/45,583	ICD 9	program Taiwan
					-	Men 55/26.288	Men 216/47_522		
Mai et al., 2014 <sup>[26]</sup>	2	USA	Prospective Cohort	( -	7,485 28	87/19.942	467/56,789	Ouestionnaire	IHM
Michaud <i>et al.</i> , 2016 <sup>[27]</sup>	-	USA	Prospective Cohort	_	9,933	13/1945	101/17,988	Questionnaire	HPFS
Chrysanthakopoulos, 201	6 <sup>[28]</sup>	GREEK	Case control study	()	00	18/38	46/116	Health questionnaire	Private medical and dental office
Nwizu <i>et al.</i> , 2017 <sup>[29]</sup>	-	USA	Prospective Cohort	Ų	5,869 3:	34/17,103	521/48,766	Self-reporter questionnaire	WHI
Michaud et al., 2018 <sup>[30]</sup>	_	USA	Prospective Cohort	( -	7466 1	92/4923	34/2543	Oral examination	ARIC study
Tai et al., 2018 <sup>[31]</sup>		TAIWAN	Cohort study	_	4,284	46/7142	21/7142	Medical records	National health insurance
									databases
Yoon <i>et al.</i> , 2019 <sup>[32]</sup>	-	USA	Case control study	7	103	127/504	267/1476	Intervein questionnaire	SCCS
Author	Age	Gender	Time period F	ollow up	Exposure	Result (9:	5% CI)	Adjustment	
Hujoel et al., 2003 <sup>[21]</sup>	25-74	Male/femal	e 1971-1992	10	PD versus Gingivitis	HR 1.73 (	(1.01-2.97)	Smoking, alcohol con C intake, age, gender, and BMI	sumption, Vitamin A and Vitamin race, education poverty index
Hiraki <i>et al.</i> , 2008 <sup>[22]</sup>	20-80	Male/femal	e 2001-2005	ı		OR 1.54 (	(0.05-2.27)	Age, sex, smoking, ald fruit intake. regular ex	cohol drinking, vegetable and ercise. BMI
Michaud <i>et al.</i> , 2008 <sup>[23]</sup>	40-75	Male	1986-2004	17.7	PD versus no PD	HR 1.36 (	(1.15-1.60)	Race, BMI, physical a region, height, alcohol	ctivity, smoking history, diabetes, , Vitamin D and calcium intake
Arora <i>et al.</i> , 2009 <sup>[24]</sup>	38-77	Male/femal	e 1963-2004 2	27 years	PD versus No PL	) HR 1.41 (	(0.81-2.46)	Sex, age, education, si siblings, diabetes, BM	noking status, employment, I
Wen et al., 2014 <sup>[25]</sup>	>20	Male/femal	e 1997-2010	2	PD versus	HR 1.08 (	(0.91 - 1.27)	Gender, age, diabetes,	hypertension, hyperlipidemia
					gingivitis	Women H Men HR	IR 1.11 (0.85-1 1.05 (0.85-1.29	.45)	
Mai <i>et al.</i> , 2014 <sup>[26]</sup>	50-79	Female	1993	5	PD versus No PL	) HR 1.25 (	(1.06-1.48)	Education, race, BMI,	menopausal hormone therapy,
								recreational physical a aspirin use, alcohol	ctivity, region of residence,
Michaud <i>et al.</i> , 2016 <sup>[27]</sup>	40-75	Male	1986-2012	~	PD versus No PL	) HR 0.92 (	(0.49-1.71)	Age, race, alcohol use NSAIDs use	and physical activity, diabetes,
Chrysanthakopoulos, 2016 <sup>[28]</sup>	45-73	Male/femal	-		Periopocket versu CAL	us Pocket de 7.06)	pth OR 2.72 (1	.05- Socioeconomic level,	age, gender, smoking status

Contd...

					Tahla 1. Co	ntd	
Author	Age	Gender	Time neriod	Follow nn	Fxnosure	Recult (95% CD	Adiustment
Nwizu <i>et al.</i> , 2017 <sup>[29]</sup>	54-86	Female	1999-2003	8.32	PD versus no PD	HR 1.31 (1.14-1.51)	Age, race, education, region, family history of cancer,
							diabetes, physical activity, smoking, alconol intake, dietary of intake of energy, calcium, Vitamin D, BMI, postmenopausal hormone therapy
Michaud <i>et al.</i> , 2018 <sup>[30]</sup>	44-66	Male/female	1987-2012	15	PD versus no PD versus edentulism	Moderate versus mild HR 1.41 (0.90-2.21) Severe versus mild HR 2 33 (1 51-3 60)	Smoking, age, education, BMI, diabetes, alcohol drinking, sex
Tai <i>et al</i> ., 2019 <sup>[31]</sup>	Any age	e Female	2000-2013	13	PD versus gingivitis	HR 1.90 (1.08-3.35)	Urbanization level, socioeconomic status
Yoon <i>et al</i> ., 2019 <sup>[32]</sup>	40-79	Male/female	2015	ı	PD versus no PD versus tooth loss	OR 1.44 (1.09-1.91) Tooth loss >10 OR 1.64 (1.0-2.69)	BMI, education, household income, COPD, alcohol drinking status and smoking
NHANES I: National H Harvard University, ICE Communities, SCCS: Sc Anti-inflammatory drug <sup>c</sup>	alth and :: Interna uthern C	Nutrition Exami tional Cancer Di ommunity Coho.	ination Survey ] sease, BOP: Bld rt Study, COPL rt Study, COPL	l, PD: Perio eeding on pr O: Chronic o O: Chronic o	dontal disease, HR: robing, CAL: Clinic bstructive pulmonar	Hazard ratio, OR: Odds ratio, H al attachment Level, WHI: Wor ry disease, CI: Confidence interv results of the second sec	IPFS: Health Professional Follow up Study by nen's Health Initiative, ARIC: Atherosclerosis Risk in /al, BMI: Body mass index, NSAIDs: Non-Steroidal
		T.	able 2: Qualit	ty assessm	ent of cohort stud	dies using New Castle Otta	wa Scale

		Table 2: Qu	ality assessme	nt of cohort studies using N	Vew Castle Ottav	va Scale			
Author			Selection		Comparab	ility		Outcome	
	Representativeness	Selection	Ascertainment	<b>Demonstration that outcome</b>	The study	Study	Assessment	Was follow-up	Adequacy
	of the exposed	of the	of exposure	of interest was not present	controls for age,	controls	of outcome	long enough	of
	cohort	nonexposed		at start of study	sex and marital	for other		for outcomes	follow-up
		cohort			status	factors		to occur	of cohorts
Hujoel et al., 2003 <sup>[21]</sup>	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*
Michaud et al., 2008[23]	*	*	*	I	*	*	ı	*	*
Arora <i>et al.</i> , 2010 <sup>[24]</sup>	*	*	ı	I	*	*	*	*	*
Wen et al., 2014 <sup>[25]</sup>	*	*	*	I	*	*	*	*	·
Michaud <i>et al.</i> , 2016 <sup>[27]</sup>	*	*	*	I	*	*	ı	*	*
Nwizu <i>et al.</i> , 2017 <sup>[29]</sup>	*	*	ı	*	*	·	*	*	*
Michaud et al., 2018 <sup>[30]</sup>	*	*	*	I	*	*	I	*	*
Tai et al., 2019 <sup>[31]</sup>	*	ı	*	I	ı	*	*	*	*
*significant and -nonsig	gnificant								

First author		Select	iion		Compa	rability		Exposure	
	Case definition is adequate	Consecutive or obviously representative series of cases	Community controls	No history of disease (endpoint) in controls	Study controls for the most important factor	Study controls for any additional factor	Secure record/ structured interview blind to case/control status	Same method for ascertainment for cases and controls	Nonresponse rate same for both groups
Hiraki, 2008 <sup>[22]</sup>	1	*	1	*	*	*		*	- D
Mai, 2014 <sup>[26]</sup>	*	*	ı	I	I	*		*	ı
Chrysanthakopoulos, 2016 <sup>[28]</sup>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	·
Yoon, 2019 <sup>[32]</sup>		*	*	*	ı	*			*
*Significant and -nonsignifica	nt								

adjusted for smoking status, ten were adjusted for age, and nine were adjusted for alcohol drinking status, the critical risk factors for lung cancer incidence.

The proposed hypothesis of the mechanism of association between lung cancer and periodontitis is as follows: chronic inflammation is a risk factor in 25% of cancer. Bacteria produce inflammatory mediators and detrimental effects on fibroblasts, epithelium, endothelium, and extracellular matrix by activating immunologic and inflammatory reactions. Rybojad *et al.* identified microorganisms from the bronchial secretion in 30 lung cancer patients. The most frequently isolated microorganisms were *Actinomycosis spp., Peptostreptococcos spp.,* followed by *Eubacterium Lentum, Veillonella Parvula, Provetella spp., Bacteroides Spp., Lactobacillus.*<sup>[33]</sup>

Scannapieco proposed four mechanisms through which periodontal bacteria enter the lung and enhance infection and cancer; first, aspiration: periodontal bacteria can aspirate directly to the lower respiratory tract from salivary secretion. Lung abscesses contain the most type of facultative anaerobic bacteria, enhancing mucosal adhesion where the periodontal bacterial enzymes can modify the mucosal surface, enhance attachment and colonization, and then get aspirated into the lung. Second, poor oral hygiene leads to an increased risk of infection. Porphyromonas gingivalis and Spirochetes alter mucosal epithelium to increase adhesion and colonization of respiratory pathogens. They also secrete enzymes such as mannosidase, fructokinase, and hexosaminidase, which are responsible for increasing the adhesion of bacteria to the mucosa by exposing adhesin receptors on mucosa epithelium. Third, it can also inhibit bacterial clearance as the periodontal bacterial enzymes destroy salivary pellicles on bacteria and resist its clearance. Finally, the cytokines and pro-inflammatory mediators alter respiratory epithelium to enhance bacteria adhesion and decrease its removal.<sup>[34]</sup>

P. gingivalis causes the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines including interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, Il-8, tumor necrosis factor a, C-reactive protein (CRP), matrix metalloproteinases. Pine et al. evaluated the association between IL-6, IL-8, and CRP in 123 lung cancer patients using logistic regression models. High serum IL-8 level predicts the subsequent diagnosis of the disease, as it was present 5 years before the diagnosis.[35] IL-6 levels increased in patients who developed lung cancer. IL-6 and IL-8 directly act on lung epithelium via B1 (Nuclear Factor of Kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 1) pathways and induce tumorigenesis.<sup>[36]</sup> IL-6 plays an important role in tumor initiation and progression. IL-6 causes an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNI), thus capable of promoting tumor initiation by altering the epigenetics of certain genes. IL-6 activates tumorigenic-related transcription factors and causes tumor progression.<sup>[37]</sup>

	Exper	imental		Control				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight
Heisel 0000	400	4700	50	0500	1.1.2.2	0.00	10 07 4 401	0.00/
Hojoel_2003	132	4763	59	6362		3.08	[2.27, 4.18]	3.3%
Akio and Hiraki_2008_JAPAN	235	604	674	2123		1.23	[1.09; 1.38]	19.6%
Dominique Michaud_2008	236	7863	442	40512		2.75	[2.35; 3.22]	9.5%
Manish Arora_2009_SWEDEN	14	908	175	12592		1.11	[0.65; 1.90]	1.5%
Wen_2014_CHINA	243	51791	353	96375		1.28	[1.09; 1.51]	16.2%
Xiaodan Mai_2014	287	19942	467	56789	+	1.75	[1.51; 2.03]	16.0%
Dominique Michaud_2016	13	1945	101	17988		1.19	[0.67; 2.12]	1.3%
N.A Chry-Santhakopoulos_2016_GREEk	( 18	38	46	116	-++÷	1.19	[0.80; 1.79]	1.5%
Ngozi Nwizu_2017	334	17103	521	48766		1.83	[1.60; 2.09]	17.8%
Dominique Michaud_2018	192	4923	34	2543		- 2.92	[2.03; 4.19]	3.0%
Shan Hai Tai_2018_TAIWAN	46	7142	21	7142	<u>→</u> •	2.19	[1.31; 3.67]	1.4%
Hyung Suk Yoon_2019	127	504	267	1476	-=-;	1.39	[1.16; 1.68]	8.9%
Fixed effect model		117526		292984		1.71	[1.61; 1.81]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: I <sup>2</sup> = 90%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0.1001, p < 0.0	1							
					0.5 1 2			

Figure 2: Forest plot for periodontal disease associated with lung risk cancer

	Experi	imental		Control				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight
Quality.of.Studies = Good Qual	ity							
Hojoel 2003	132	4763	59	6562		3.08	[2.27; 4.18]	3.3%
Dominique Michaud 2008	236	7863	442	40512		2.75	[2.35; 3.22]	9.5%
Manish Arora_2009	14	908	175	12592		1.11	[0.65; 1.90]	1.5%
Wen 2014	243	51791	353	96375		1.28	[1.09; 1.51]	16.2%
Dominique Michaud 2016	13	1945	101	17988		1.19	[0.67; 2.12]	1.3%
N.A Chry-Santhakopoulos_2016	18	38	46	116		1.19	[0.80; 1.79]	1.5%
Ngozi Nwizu_2017	334	17103	521	48766		1.83	[1.60; 2.09]	17.8%
Dominique Michaud_2018	192	4923	34	2543		2.92	[2.03; 4.19]	3.0%
Shan Hai Tai_2018	46	7142	21	7142		2.19	[1.31; 3.67]	1.4%
Fixed effect model		96476		232596	\$	1.91	[1.77; 2.07]	55.4%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 89\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.1265$	, <i>p</i> < 0.01							
Quality.of.Studies = Low quality	/				_			
Akio and Hiraki_2008	235	604	674	2123		1.23	[1.09; 1.38]	19.6%
Xiaodan Mai_2014	287	19942	467	56789		1.75	[1.51; 2.03]	16.0%
Hyung Suk Yoon_2019	127	504	267	1476		1.39	[1.16; 1.68]	8.9%
Fixed effect model		21050		60388	*	1.45	[1.33; 1.57]	44.6%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 86\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.0347$	, p < 0.01							
		447500						400.00/
Fixed effect model		11/526		292984	×	1./1	[1.01; 1.81]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 90\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.1001$	, p < 0.01							
					0.5 1 2			

Figure 3: Forest plot of high-quality versus low-quality studies

	Experi	imental		Control				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight
Studies = Cohort Studies					1 3			
Hojoel 2003	132	4763	59	6562		3.08	[2.27: 4.18]	3.3%
Dominique Michaud 2008	236	7863	442	40512		2.75	[2.35: 3.22]	9.4%
Manish Arora 2009	14	908	175	12592		1.11	[0.65; 1.90]	1.5%
Xiaodan Mai 2014	287	19942	467	56789		1.75	[1.51; 2.03]	15.9%
Dominique Michaud 2016	13	1945	101	17988		1.19	[0.67: 2.12]	1.3%
Ngozi Nwizu 2017	334	17103	521	48766		1.83	[1.60; 2.09]	17.7%
Dominique Michaud 2018	192	4923	34	2543	· · · · ·	2.92	[2.03; 4.19]	2.9%
Shan Hai Tai 2018	46	7142	21	7142		2.19	[1.31; 3.67]	1.4%
Fixed effect model		64589		192894	\$	2.08	[1.92; 2.24]	53.5%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 82\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.065\%$	9, <i>p</i> < 0.01							
Studies = Case control Studies								
Akio and Hiraki_2008	235	604	674	2123	=	1.23	[1.09; 1.38]	19.6%
Wen_2014_Female	88	25503	137	45583		1.15	[0.88; 1.50]	6.4%
Wen_2014_Male	155	26288	216	47522		1.30	[1.06; 1.59]	10.1%
N.A Chry-Santhakopoulos_2016	18	38	46	116		1.19	[0.80; 1.79]	1.5%
Hyung Suk Yoon_2019	127	504	267	1476		1.39	[1.16; 1.68]	8.9%
Fixed effect model		52937		96820		1.26	[1.16; 1.37]	46.5%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , $p = 0$	0.75							
Fixed effect model		117526		289714	&	1.70	[1.60; 1.80]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.1013$	3, <i>p</i> < 0.01							
					0.5 1 2			



Periodontal bacteria cause an increase in fibronectin expression, especially in nonsmall-cell carcinoma, by increasing the adhesion of lung carcinoma cells to fibronectin and enhancing tumorigenesis.<sup>[38]</sup> Fibronectin also interacts with vertebrate androgen receptors by stimulating gonadal steroids and controlled the expression of cyclin D. Cyclin D is a factor in a cell cycle. Due to this mechanism, fibronectin may enhance tumor growth and its resistance to therapy.<sup>[39]</sup>

*Actinomycosis Actinomycetemocomitans* produced cytolethal toxins, which directly affect the G2M phase of the cell cycle. Bacterial toxins can disturb the cell cycle, resulting in altered cell growth, abnormal mitosis, and apoptosis.<sup>[40]</sup>

Kesharani, et al.: Associations between periodontitis and lung cancer

Study	Experimenta Events Tota	l I Events	Control Total	Risk Ratio	RR 95%-	-CI Weight
Gender = Male & Female Hojoel_2003 Akio and Hirakl_2008 Manish Arora_2009 N.A Chry-Santhakopoulos_2016 Dominique Michaud_2018 Hyung Suk Yoon_2019 Fixed effect model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.148$	132 4763 235 604 14 906 18 38 192 4923 127 504 11740 5, p < 0.01	3         59           4         674           3         175           3         46           3         34           4         267	6562 2123 12592 116 2543 1476 25412		3.08         [2.27; 4.           1.23         [1.09; 1.]           1.11         [0.65; 1.]           1.19         [0.80; 1.]           2.92         [2.03; 4.           1.39         [1.16; 1.]           1.55         [1.42; 1.]	18]         3.3%           38]         19.6%           90]         1.5%           79]         1.5%           19]         2.9%           68]         8.9%           70]         37.7%
Gender = Male Dominique Michaud_2008 Wen_2014_Male Dominique Michaud_2016 Fixed effect model Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 95%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0.261	236 7863 155 26288 13 1945 36090 5, p < 0.01	442 216 101	40512 47522 17988 106022		2.75 [2.35; 3. 1.30 [1.06; 1. 1.19 [0.67; 2. 1.95 [1.73; 2.	22] 9.4% 59] 10.1% 12] 1.3% 20] 20.8%
Gender = Female Wen_2014_Female Xiaodan Mai_2014 Ngozi Nwizu_2017 Shan Hai Tai_2018 Fixed effect model Heterogeneity: /² = 7.1%, -2° = 0.026	88 25503 287 19942 334 17103 46 7142 69690 3, p = 0.02	3 137 2 467 3 521 2 21	45583 56789 48766 7142 158280		1.15 [0.88; 1.3 1.75 [1.51; 2.0 1.83 [1.60; 2.0 2.19 [1.31; 3.0 1.70 [1.56; 1.3	50]         6.4%           03]         15.9%           09]         17.7%           57]         1.4%           37]         41.5%
Fixed effect model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.101$	<b>117526</b> 3, <i>p</i> < 0.01	5	289714	0.5 1 2	1.70 [1.60; 1.3	30] 100.0%

Figure 5: Forest plot of gender-based subgroup analysis

	Experi	mental	_	Control				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight
Dsitribution By Location = US	A				1 :			
Hojoel_2003	132	4763	59	6562		- 3.08	[2.27; 4.18]	3.3%
Dominique Michaud 2008	236	7863	442	40512		2.75	[2.35: 3.22]	9.5%
Xiaodan Mai 2014	287	19942	467	56789		1.75	[1.51: 2.03]	16.0%
Dominique Michaud 2016	13	1945	101	17988		1.19	10.67: 2.121	1.3%
Ngozi Nwizu 2017	334	17103	521	48766		1.83	[1.60; 2.09]	17.8%
Dominique Michaud 2018	192	4923	34	2543		- 2.92	[2.03; 4.19]	3.0%
Hyung Suk Yoon 2019	127	504	267	1476		1.39	[1.16: 1.68]	8.9%
Fixed effect model		57043		174636	•	2.00	[1.86: 2.15]	59.7%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.0764$	l, p < 0.01							
Dsitribution_By_Location = Asi	a							
Akio and Hiraki_2008	235	604	674	2123	<del></del> :	1.23	[1.09; 1.38]	19.6%
Wen_2014	243	51791	353	96375	-	1.28	[1.09; 1.51]	16.2%
Shan Hai Tai_2018	46	7142	21	7142		2.19	[1.31; 3.67]	1.4%
Fixed effect model		59537		105640	\$	1.29	[1.17; 1.42]	37.3%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 58\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.0130$	p = 0.09							
Dsitribution_By_Location = Eu	rope							
Manish Arora_2009	14	908	175	12592		1.11	[0.65; 1.90]	1.5%
N.A Chry-Santhakopoulos_2016	18	38	46	116		1.19	[0.80; 1.79]	1.5%
Fixed effect model		946		12708	$\sim$	1.15	[0.82; 1.61]	3.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , $p = 0$	.82							
Fixed effect model		117526		292984	*	1.71	[1.61: 1.81]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 90\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.1001$	, p < 0.01							
101					0.5 1 2			

Figure 6: Forest plot of location-based subgroup analysis

Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Experimental Total	Control Total	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Arora 2009	0.5481	0.2746	908	12592	2.0%	1.73 [1.01, 2.96]	
Hojoel 2003	0.5481	0.2746	4763	6562	2.0%	1.73 [1.01, 2.96]	
Mai 2014	0.2231	0.0841	19942	56789	21.2%	1.25 [1.06, 1.47]	-
Michaud 2008	0.3075	0.0856	604	2123	20.4%	1.36 [1.15, 1.61]	-
Michaud 2016	0.92	0.8334	1945	17988	0.2%	2.51 [0.49, 12.85]	
Michaud 2018	0.8459	0.2213	4923	2543	3.1%	2.33 [1.51, 3.60]	
Mwizu 2017	0.27	0.0709	17103	48766	29.8%	1.31 [1.14, 1.51]	-
Tai 2018	0.6419	0.2882	7142	7142	1.8%	1.90 [1.08, 3.34]	
Wen 2014	0.077	0.0874	51791	96375	19.6%	1.08 [0.91, 1.28]	<u>+</u>
Total (95% CI)			109121	250880	100.0%	1.31 [1.21, 1.41]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	16.47, df = 8 (P = 0.04	4); l <sup>2</sup> = 5 <sup>-</sup>	1%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.89 (P < 0.0000	1)				F	0.02 0.1 1 10 avours [experimental] Favours [contro

Figure 7: Survival plot analysis

*P. gingivalis* plays an important role in the development of lung cancer by acting on (Grainyhead-like 2 [GRHL2] transcription factor). GRHL2 maintains epithelial plasticity and stemness, including self-renewal capacity. The majority of GRHL2 roles are shown to be implicated with carcinogenesis action.<sup>[41]</sup> It can directly bind to the promoter region of (Ras homology Growth [RhoG] related) and plays an important role in proliferation and metastasis. RhoG is responsible for the regulation of cell shape, attachment, and mobility. GRHL2 enhances cell growth and colony formation by inhibiting cell migration and invasion.<sup>[42]</sup> GRHL2 causes regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and it suppresses EMT through inhibiting ZEB1 promoter transactivation. EMT is controlled by a complex network of the transcription factors ZEB1, and ZEB2. The event of cancer progression, metastasis, chemoresistance, and phenotypic plasticity is governed by EMT. Overexpression of GRHL2 causes a reduction of expression of ZEB1 and ZEB2, and increased expression of Octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (Oct-4), which was confirmed by Chen *et al.*, who showed that GRHL2 binds with miR-200 promoter and proximal region of Oct-4 gene promoter to regulate the expression of ZEB and the occurrence of EMT.<sup>[43]</sup>

During the inflammatory reactions, the cytokines and chemokines increase the expression of NADPH oxidase and nitric oxide synthase, leading to increase production of ROS and RNI. Increased expression of oxygen and nitrogen species identified in cancer.<sup>[44]</sup>

Treatment for periodontal infection can reduce markers of systemic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction within 2–6 months. Hwang *et al.* found that the anti-inflammation treatment of PD significantly reduces the risk of developing lung cancer (HR 0.45; 95%CI 0.38, 0.54 P < 0.05).<sup>[45,46]</sup>

The present meta-analysis has some possible limitations. First, although most included studies were adjusted for common covariates, including age, smoking, and alcohol consumption, other unmeasured confounding factors such as stress, socioeconomic status, and genetics might affect lung cancer and PD. Second, the result had a wide CI in three studies, which limited the power of analyses.[24,27,31] Third, moderate heterogeneity was detected in the pooled analysis. Thus, the subgroup results should be interpreted with caution. Assessment techniques of periodontitis were heterogeneous in included studies, and definitions for categories of PD severity were diverse between the studies. Three studies used an oral examination of periodontal tissue by dentists for assessment of PD, two studies used insurance data to evaluate PD patients, seven studies used health questionnaire forms, which might affect the heterogeneity and precision of our result.<sup>[21-32]</sup> Furthermore, in the literature search for the present analysis, no studies identified with safety outcomes related to lung cancer in periodontitis patients. However, this could be attributed to the focus of the analysis being only on the primary outcome measuring the lung cancer risk in patients with periodontitis.

However, the present meta-analysis has numerous critical strengths. The most significant strength is the large sample size of patients in exposure and control groups included in the cumulative analysis. Second, according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment score, most studies included in the analysis were high-quality studies that controlled for significant confounders such as age, sex, smoking, alcohol, diet, and other comorbidities. Six of the nine cohorts have more than 10 years of follow-up duration. Sufficiently long follow-up is necessary because most lung cancers have a long subclinical period. The results were separately investigated according to the methodological

quality of the studies. Third, the most fascinating remark is that association becomes stronger (1.91-fold) when the data were pooled separately from high-quality studies compared to the 1.4-fold increase in the development of lung cancer according to the data pooled from low-quality studies. This is in accordance with a large meta-epidemiological study, which distinctly endorses that systemic reviewers should present meta-analysis confined to studies at low risk of bias for each outcome.<sup>[46]</sup> One should be attentive when illustrating the data from low-quality studies. Fourth, even though the present meta-analyses employed a moderate heterogeneity, subgroup and sensitivity analysis disclosed coherent results for the connection between PD and lung cancer, which infer the robustness and consistency of the findings.

## Conclusion

From the current evidence, PD is a potential risk factor for the development of lung cancer. The risk for incidence of lung cancer is surged twice in the patients with PD, even though age and smoking are controlled in the studies. We impulse health professionals and the general community to be further aware of the potential association between these two. Furthermore, it is assured that the observation of the contemporary meta-analysis could help increase awareness and significance of oral health preservation, which may lead to a downgrade of the risk for developing lung malignancy.

#### **Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- 1. Taylor JJ, Preshaw PM, Lalla E. A review of the evidence for pathogenic mechanisms that may link periodontitis and diabetes. J Clin Periodontol 2013;40 Suppl 14:S113-34.
- 2. Saini R, Saini S, Sharma S. Periodontitis: A risk factor to respiratory diseases. Lung India 2010;27:189.
- Schulz S, Schlitt A, Hofmann B, Schaller HG, Reichert S. Periodontal pathogens and their role in cardiovascular outcome. J Clin Periodontol 2020;47:173-81.
- Dioguardi M, Crincoli V, Laino L, Alovisi M, Sovereto D, Mastrangelo F, *et al.* The role of periodontitis and periodontal bacteria in the onset and progression of Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review. J Clin Med 2020;9:495.
- Polyzos NP, Polyzos IP, Zavos A, Valachis A, Mauri D, Papanikolaou EG, *et al.* Obstetric outcomes after treatment of periodontal disease during pregnancy: Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;341:c7017.
- Yao QW, Zhou DS, Peng HJ, Ji P, Liu DS. Association of periodontal disease with oral cancer: A meta-analysis. Tumour Biol 2014;35:7073-7.
- 7. Wang RS, Hu XY, Gu WJ, Hu Z, Wei B. Tooth loss and risk of head and neck cancer: A meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013;8:e71122.
- 8. Chen H, Nie S, Zhu Y, Lu M. Teeth loss, teeth brushing and esophageal carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Sci Rep 2015;5:15203.

- Zeng XT, Xia LY, Zhang YG, Li S, Leng WD, Kwong JS. Periodontal disease and incident lung cancer risk: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. J Periodontol 2016;87:1158-64.
- Maisonneuve P, Amar S, Lowenfels AB. Periodontal disease, edentulism, and pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. Ann Oncol 2017;28:985-95.
- Ren HG, Luu HN, Cai H, Xiang YB, Steinwandel M, Gao YT, et al. Oral health and risk of colorectal cancer: Results from three cohort studies and a meta-analysis. Ann Oncol 2016;27:1329-36.
- Michaud DS, Fu Z, Shi J, Chung M. Periodontal disease, tooth loss, and cancer risk. Epidemiol Rev 2017;39:49-58.
- Wu Y, Shi X, Li Y, Shi X, Gu Y, Qian Q, *et al.* Hematopoietic and lymphatic cancers in patients with periodontitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2020;25:e21-8.
- Eke PI, Borgnakke WS, Genco RJ. Recent epidemiologic trends in periodontitis in the USA. Periodontol 2000 2020;82:257-67.
- Cancer Facts & Figures 2020. Available from: https://www. cancer.org/research/cancer-facts-statistics/all-cancer facts-figures/ cancer-facts-figures-2020.html. [Last accessed on 2021 Dec 23].
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:7-30.
- 17. Chung M, York BR, Michaud DS. Oral health and cancer. Curr Oral Health Rep 2019;6:130-7.
- Corbella S, Veronesi P, Galimberti V, Weinstein R, Del Fabbro M, Francetti L. Is periodontitis a risk indicator for cancer? A meta-analysis. PLoS One 2018;13:e0195683.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.
- Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for Assessing the Quality of Nonrandomised Studies in Meta-Analyses. 2013. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_ epidemiology/oxford.asp. [Last accessed on 2021 Dec 23].
- Hujoel PP, Drangsholt M, Spiekerman C, Weiss NS. An exploration of the periodontitis-cancer association. Ann Epidemiol 2003;13:312-6.
- 22. Hiraki A, Matsuo K, Suzuki T, Kawase T, Tajima K. Teeth loss and risk of cancer at 14 common sites in Japanese. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17:1222-7.
- Michaud DS, Liu Y, Meyer M, Giovannucci E, Joshipura K. Periodontal disease, tooth loss, and cancer risk in male health professionals: A prospective cohort study. Lancet Oncol 2008;9:550-8.
- Arora M, Weuve J, Fall K, Pedersen NL, Mucci LA. An exploration of shared genetic risk factors between periodontal disease and cancers: A prospective co-twin study. Am J Epidemiol 2010;171:253-9.
- Wen BW, Tsai CS, Lin CL, Chang YJ, Lee CF, Hsu CH, et al. Cancer risk among gingivitis and periodontitis patients: A nationwide cohort study. QJM 2014;107:283-90.
- Mai X, LaMonte MJ, Hovey KM, Nwizu N, Freudenheim JL, Tezal M, *et al.* History of periodontal disease diagnosis and lung cancer incidence in the women's health initiative observational study. Cancer Causes Control 2014;25:1045-53.
- 27. Michaud DS, Kelsey KT, Papathanasiou E, Genco CA, Giovannucci E. Periodontal disease and risk of all cancers among male never smokers: An updated analysis of the health professionals follow-up study. Ann Oncol 2016;27:941-7.
- 28. Chrysanthakopoulos NA. Correlation between periodontal

disease indices and lung cancer in Greek adults: A case-control study. Exp Oncol 2016;38:49-53.

- Nwizu NN, Marshall JR, Moysich K, Genco RJ, Hovey KM, Mai X, *et al.* Periodontal disease and incident cancer risk among postmenopausal women: Results from the women's health initiative observational cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2017;26:1255-65.
- Michaud DS, Lu J, Peacock-Villada AY, Barber JR, Joshu CE, Prizment AE, *et al.* Periodontal Disease Assessed using clinical dental measurements and cancer risk in the ARIC study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2018;110:843-54.
- Tai SH, Chen JP, Chang HS, Kuo HC. Periodontitis as a risk factor for lung cancer among women: A nationwide matched cohort study. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2019;35:123-4.
- 32. Yoon HS, Wen W, Long J, Zheng W, Blot WJ, Cai Q. Association of oral health with lung cancer risk in a low-income population of African Americans and European Americans in the Southeastern United States. Lung Cancer 2019;127:90-5.
- Rybojad P, Los R, Sawicki M, Tabarkiewicz J, Malm A. Anaerobic bacteria colonizing the lower airways in lung cancer patients. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 2011;49:263-6.
- Scannapieco FA. Role of oral bacteria in respiratory infection. J Periodontol 1999;70:793-802.
- Pine SR, Mechanic LE, Enewold L, Chaturvedi AK, Katki HA, Zheng YL, *et al.* Increased levels of circulating interleukin 6, interleukin 8, C-reactive protein, and risk of lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011;103:1112-22.
- Lin WW, Karin M. A cytokine-mediated link between innate immunity, inflammation, and cancer. J Clin Invest 2007;117:1175-83.
- Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell 2010;140:883-99.
- Stanley CM, Wang Y, Pal S, Klebe RJ, Harkless LB, Xu X, *et al.* Fibronectin fragmentation is a feature of periodontal disease sites and diabetic foot and leg wounds and modifies cell behavior. J Periodontol 2008;79:861-75.
- Kosmehl H, Berndt A, Strassburger S, Borsi L, Rousselle P, Mandel U, *et al.* Distribution of laminin and fibronectin isoforms in oral mucosa and oral squamous cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer 1999;81:1071-9.
- Nougayrède JP, Taieb F, De Rycke J, Oswald E. Cyclomodulins: Bacterial effectors that modulate the eukaryotic cell cycle. Trends Microbiol 2005;13:103-10.
- 41. Yan X, Yang M, Liu J, Gao R, Hu J, Li J, *et al.* Discovery and validation of potential bacterial biomarkers for lung cancer. Am J Cancer Res 2015;5:3111-22.
- 42. Nair J, Ohshima H, Nair UJ, Bartsch H. Endogenous formation of nitrosamines and oxidative DNA-damaging agents in tobacco users. Crit Rev Toxicol 1996;26:149-61.
- 43. Chen W, Yi JK, Shimane T, Mehrazarin S, Lin YL, Shin KH, *et al.* Grainyhead-like 2 regulates epithelial plasticity and stemness in oral cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 2016;37:500-10.
- 44. Piao JY, Lee HG, Kim SJ, Kim DH, Han HJ, Ngo HK, et al. Helicobacter pylori activates IL-6-STAT3 signaling in human gastric cancer cells: Potential roles for reactive oxygen species. Helicobacter 2016;21:405-16.
- Hwang IM, Sun LM, Lin CL, Lee CF, Kao CH. Periodontal disease with treatment reduces subsequent cancer risks. QJM 2014;107:805-12.
- 46. Wood L, Egger M, Gluud LL, Schulz KF, Jüni P, Altman DG, et al. Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: Meta-epidemiological study. BMJ 2008;336:601-5.