Oxygen Isotope Fractionation in U_3O_8 during Thermal Processing in Humid Atmospheres

Michael R. Klosterman, Erik J. Oerter, Michael J. Singleton, and Luther W. McDonald, IV*

Read Online

ACCESS | Metrics & More | Article Recommendations | Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The incorporation of oxygen isotopes from water into uranium oxides during industrial processing presents a pathway for determining a material's geographical origin. This study is founded on the hypothesis that oxygen isotopes from atmospheric water vapor will exchange with isotopes of oxygen in solid uranium oxides during thermal processing or calcination. Using a commonly encountered oxide, U_3O_8, the exchange kinetics and equilibrium fractionation with water vapor (in a concentration range of 50−55% relative humidity) were investigated using processing temperatures of 400, 600, and 800 °C. In an atmosphere containing only water vapor diluted in N_2, oxygen isotope equilibration in U_3O_8 occurred within 12 h at 400 °C and within 2 h at 600 and 800 °C. Fractionation factors ($1000\ln\alpha$, $U_3O_8−H_2O$) between the water and oxide were −12.1, −11.0, and −8.0 at 400, 600, and 800 °C, respectively. With both humidity and O_2 present in the calcining atmosphere, isotopic equilibration is attained within 2 h at and above 400 °C. In this mixed

atmosphere, which was designed to emulate Earth's troposphere, isotopes are incorporated preferentially from water vapor at 400 °C and from O_2 at 600 and 800 °C. Rapid and temperature/species-dependent isotope exchange also elucidated the impact of retrograde exchange in humid air, showing a shift from O_2-dependent to H_2O-dependent fractionation as U_3O_8 cooled from 800 °C. These results confirm that uranium oxides inherit oxygen isotopes from humidity during thermal processing, illuminating an important mechanism in the formation of this forensic signature.

INTRODUCTION

The ubiquitous presence of oxygen bound to uranium during processing on the front end of the nuclear fuel and weapons cycles establishes a forensic significance for the stable isotopes of oxygen. Indeed, oxygen isotopes in uranium oxides have been identified in recent studies to provide information relevant to geolocation and processing history.[1,2] In the precipitation of uranyl peroxide from water of a known oxygen isotope composition, the precipitate was shown to incorporate oxygen isotopes from the aqueous solution during mineralization with a consistent fractionation.[1] When this compound was then calcined in an atmosphere of dry air, the original signature from process water was lost, and oxygen isotopes from atmospheric oxygen, O_2, were rapidly incorporated. Another study showed that in the absence of an exchanging atmosphere (i.e., inert), the thermal decomposition of ammonium diuranate (ADU) between 400 and 800 °C was associated with a consistent loss of ^{16}O.[2] While these studies highlight the potential for the use of oxygen isotopes as a forensic signature of industrially processed uranium oxides, one important atmospheric component was absent during the calcining and thermal decomposition stages: water vapor (i.e., humidity).

Water vapor can be present during many thermal processing steps in uranium production. On the front end of the fuel and weapons cycle, natural air containing a component of water

vapor (at a natural concentration generally referenced by percent relative humidity, RH) may be circulated through furnaces during the calcination of yellowcakes to uranium oxides.[3] This procedure can be performed at a variety of temperatures, generally producing UO_x ($3 \leq x \leq 3.5$) below 600 °C and U_3O_8 above 600 °C.[4] The latter compound (U_3O_8) is preferred by many facilities because of its enhanced transportability and reduced reactivity.[5−7] As mentioned previously, laboratory-scale experiments following oxygen fractionation during calcination in dry air have been performed which showed a rapid incorporation of oxygen isotopes from atmospheric O_2 between 300 and 1000 °C.[1] The oxygen isotope compositions found in these lab-synthesized oxides were generally more enriched in ^{18}O than samples measured from industrial facilities.[8,9] Tropospheric oxygen has a known and globally stable isotope composition ($\delta^{18}O$ = +23.8 ± 0.3‰ vs VSMOW: Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water,

defined below), which is significantly enriched in ^{18}O when compared to meteoric water sources (generally measured with δ^{18}O values between −30 and 0‰).[10,11] Therefore, we hypothesize that the discrepancy in isotope ratios between laboratory and industrial oxides could be a result of the presence of humidity in industrial calcining atmospheres, which would exchange oxygen isotopes with uranium oxides at elevated temperatures causing decreased ^{18}O concentrations.

Water vapor in the form of steam is also widely utilized in fuel fabrication routes after uranium isotope enrichment of the gaseous hexafluoride (UF$_6$). Reconversion of the gas back into a solid oxide is performed using either a *wet* route, in which UF$_6$ is first dissolved into an aqueous solution prior to precipitation, or a *dry* route, in which UF$_6$ is hydrolyzed by steam to solid UO$_2$F$_2$. The removal of fluoride impurities from the precipitated or hydrolyzed products from either route is greatly enhanced by the addition of steam during thermal decomposition and final reduction to UO$_2$.[12] The presence of water vapor in these thermal processing steps again lends credence to the potential for exchange with the solid oxide, creating an oxygen isotope signature inherited from the process water. Because U$_3$O$_8$ is the intermediate product in the formation of UO$_2$, understanding the exchange kinetics and fractionation of the former compound with oxygen from water vapor is important.[13]

The purpose of this study was to determine first whether oxygen from water vapor (as humidity) is incorporated and exchanged with an industrially relevant uranium oxide—U$_3$O$_8$—during thermal processing or calcination. Equilibrium fractionation and exchange kinetics were investigated by calcining U$_3$O$_8$ samples in an atmosphere containing only water vapor (diluted in N$_2$) between 400 and 800 °C at various time intervals. Identical experiments were then conducted using a simulated industrial atmosphere, consisting of humidity with a known oxygen isotope composition diluted in dry air to determine whether a preferential or competitive fractionation occurs between water and O$_2$. With these new insights into the rates and extents of oxygen incorporation into uranium oxides from more practical atmospheres, a better understanding of the oxygen isotope signature in real-world nuclear materials can be formulated.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis. The precipitation and structural characterization of ADU have been previously reported.[2] Briefly, ammonia was introduced into a 0.1 mol·L^{-1} solution of uranyl nitrate (δ^{18}O$_{water}$ = −15.6‰) at 65 °C until a pH of 7.5 was attained. After a 30-min settling period, the precipitate was filtered and washed with deionized water. The ADU was dried at 80 °C for 16 h and then finely ground as a slurry with *n*-pentane using a zirconia mortar and pestle before storage in a vacuum desiccator.

Two separate U$_3$O$_8$ compounds were calcined from ADU which served as starting materials for aging experiments: U$_3$O$_8$_d was made by calcining ADU with vapor from H$_2$O_d diluted in N$_2$ (50−55% RH, discussed in further detail below) for 72 h at 800 °C; U$_3$O$_8$_e was made by calcining ADU with vapor from H$_2$O_e diluted in N$_2$ (50−55% RH) for 72 h at 800 °C. Phase purity of each U$_3$O$_8$ compound was confirmed via powder X-ray diffraction analysis using a Bruker D2 Phaser with a Cu Kα X-ray source (Figure S1). Scans were performed from 10 to 90° (2θ), at increments of 0.02 and 0.5 s per step.

Water Samples and Equipment. Two isotopically distinct water sources were used for vapor exchange experiments: H$_2$O_d (liquid) with δ^{18}O = −16.47 ± 0.04‰ (n = 3) and H$_2$O_e (liquid) with δ^{18}O = +1.0 ± 0.1‰ (n = 3). Humidity was introduced from either of these water sources using a setup similar to that employed by Oerter et al.[14] Depending on the type of exchange experiment, either ultrahigh purity (UHP) N$_2$ or dry compressed air (20% O$_2$ in N$_2$, δ^{18}O$_{O2}$ = +23.2 ± 0.2‰, measured previously and reported in Ref 1) was diverted through two separate gas flowmeters (Across International). One flowmeter directed the gas through a 20 L carboy at 22 °C within which a 36 cm length of water vapor permeable Accurrel PP V8/2HF polypropylene membrane tubing (8.65 mm outside diameter, 1.55 mm wall thickness, and 0.2 μm nominal porosity; Membrana GmbH, Wuppertal, Germany) was submerged. This *wet* gas line was then reconnected with a *dry* gas line emanating from the other flowmeter. The combined line was directed into a tube furnace (STF 1200, Across International). A hygrometer probe (± 5% RH accuracy; Linkstyle) was installed after the exit tubing of the furnace (setup shown in Figure 1). Gas flowrates were kept identical for all experiments,


Figure 1. Setup for calcination experiments. Water vapor diffuses through membrane tubing submerged in the reservoir and is subsequently diluted with a second stream of dry gas. The RH is controlled by flowmeters for each gas stream.

with a 120 mL·min^{-1} flow through the *wet* line diluted with a *dry* 80 mL·min^{-1} flow. This flowrate generated a consistent RH at 22 °C between 50 and 55% as measured with the outlet probe.

Calcination Experiments. Before each calcination experiment, the tube furnace was equilibrated in the designated atmosphere at the calcination temperature for at least 12 h with no samples present. The first set of experiments consisted of calcining U$_3$O$_8$_d in an atmosphere of H$_2$O_e carried by N$_2$ (50−55% RH). Each U$_3$O$_8$_d starting material was split into three fractions of approximately 30−50 mg and placed in platinum crucibles. The crucibles, supported by an alumina boat, were loaded into the tube furnace at room temperature. The atmosphere in the furnace was purged for at least 30 min with a *wet* flow set at 120 mL·min^{-1} and *dry* N$_2$ flow at 500 mL·min^{-1}. The *dry* flow was then set to 80 mL·min^{-1} for approximately 30 min to attain a RH between 50 and 55%. The furnace was then heated to 400, 600, or 800 °C at a ramp rate of 20 °C·min^{-1}. After each designated heating time interval, the *wet* flow was ceased, and the *dry* flow increased to 500 mL·min^{-1} N$_2$ while still at temperature. After a 10-min flushing, the furnace was shut off and rapidly cooled (cool-down rate illustrated in Figure S2) to room temperature. Each

sample replicate was weighed (to an accuracy of ± 0.1 mg) before and after each experiment to confirm that the U_3O_8 had not been oxidized or hydrated (i.e., no weight change).

The second set of experiments used $U_3O_8\_e$ as the starting oxide, which was calcined in an atmosphere of H_2O_d carried by air from the dry cylinder (50−55% RH). Triplicate samples were introduced into the furnace, and the atmosphere was equilibrated in the same manner as described above, with the use of air instead of N_2. After each calcination between 1 and 72 h at 400, 600, or 800 °C, the furnace atmosphere was switched over to N_2 at 500 mL·min^{-1} and flushed for 10 min prior to cooling. A set of retrograde exchange experiments were also performed by calcining $U_3O_8\_e$ in H_2O_d carried by cylinder air (50−55% RH) at 800 °C for 1 h. After the one-hour time period, the furnace was shut off and cooled either rapidly (by lifting the insulated lid) or slowly (keeping the lid closed), while the samples were still in an atmosphere of humid air (cooling rates shown in Figure S2).

Fluorination and Oxygen Isotope Measurements. Fluorination at the University of Utah was performed using a technique previously described.[1] Uranium oxide samples of 2−4 mg were loaded into Inconel reaction tubes and heated for 1 h at 150 °C to remove adsorbed gases. The tubes were then prefluorinated at room temperature with 10−20 torr BrF_5 for 3 min before loading with 80−130 torr of BrF_5 for a 16-h fluorination at 550 °C. Oxygen produced during fluorination was cryogenically separated from other reaction products and subsequently converted into CO_2 for offline isotope analysis. A silicate oxygen isotope standard (NBS-28, $\delta^{18}O$ = 9.6 ± 0.1‰) was fluorinated in conjunction with each set of uranium oxides for calibration.

Oxygen isotope measurements of fluorinated samples were performed within the Stable Isotope Ratio Facility for Environmental Research (SIRFER) lab at the University of Utah. Oxygen isotope ratios of CO_2 were measured using a Thermo Finnigan GasBench II device coupled to a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus XL. The carbonate internal lab reference materials were weighed using a Sartorius microbalance, loaded into 5.9 mL flat-bottomed borosilicate vials (Labco), and capped with Labco butyl rubber septa. The vials were then flushed for 6 min on a PAL autosampler, with UHP helium at a flow rate of 50 mL·min^{-1}. During flushing, the vials were kept in a heated (25 °C) aluminum block. The reference materials were reacted with 10 drops of 104% phosphoric acid (kept at 25 °C) to produce CO_2 gas. The reference materials were allowed to equilibrate for 24 h before analysis. During analysis using the PAL autosampler, CO_2 in 12 mL Labco gas vials (for both samples and reference materials) was collected using a sampling loop of 100 μL and then transported to the mass spectrometer. Five individual injections/analyses were made for each sample and reference material, and their average was taken as the final number. Three sets of internal reference materials were used to calibrate the system and for the normalization procedure to obtain isotope values for unknown samples. The reference materials were Carrara marble, LSVEC, and Marble-Std. Carrara and LSVEC were used as primary reference materials, and Marble-Std was used as a secondary reference material to cross-check the final number. Internal reference materials were calibrated against international standards NBS-18 and NBS-19.

Oxygen stable isotope values of liquid water were measured using a Picarro L2130-i laser water isotope analyzer at SIRFER, following the method demonstrated by Good et al.[15] Oxygen

isotope values of water vapor produced by diffusion through membrane tubing were measured also using a Picarro L2130-i connected to the tube furnace outlet via a jacketed gas line held at 40 °C to prevent condensation. Measurements were performed with water vapor concentrations of approximately 20,000 ppmV in N_2, with a *wet* flowrate identical to that employed during aging experiments (120 mL·min^{-1}) at 23 °C.

Oxygen stable isotope values are reported in δ-notation relative to VSMOW: $\delta = (R_{Sample}/R_{VSMOW} - 1) \times 1000‰$, where R_{Sample} and R_{VSMOW} are the respective $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratios of the sample and standard (R_{VSMOW} = 0.00200520),[16] and values are reported in per mille (parts per thousand, ‰). Fractionation in water between vapor and liquid is reported as $1000\ln\alpha$, which is a unitless factor calculated from the quotient of $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratios ($\alpha = R_{vap}/R_{liq}$). Fractionation between water vapor in the furnace atmosphere and U_3O_8 products is reported as either $1000\ln\alpha$ ($\alpha = R_{U3O8}/R_{H2O(v)}$) or $\Delta^{18}O$, representing the difference between $\delta^{18}O$ values (i.e., $\Delta^{18}O = \delta^{18}O_{U3O8} - \delta^{18}O_{H2O(v)}$). Oxygen stable isotope values of CO_2 samples were provided from SIRFER in δ-notation relative to Vienna Peedee Belemnite (VPDB) and subsequently converted relative to VSMOW using $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ = 1.03092 ($\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$) + 30.92.[17] Uncertainties for $\delta^{18}O$ values of CO_2 samples and standards measured at SIRFER were generally less than 0.1‰. The uncertainty reported for each sample (1σ) was propagated from each measurement uncertainty, the literature uncertainty of the fluorination standard (NBS-28 silica, $\delta^{18}O$ = 9.6 ± 0.1‰), and the standard deviation of replicate analyses. Average oxygen yields for fluorination of NBS-28 silica and U_3O_8 samples were 99 ± 5 and 100 ± 3%, respectively.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Liquid−Vapor Isotope Fractionation in Humidity. The two distinct water vapors used for the exchange experiments were produced by diffusion of H_2O_d and H_2O_e through membrane tubing into N_2 carrier gas. The vapors were measured at 23 °C after passing through the furnace to have $\delta^{18}O$ values very closely related to equilibrium values (Table 1). Fractionation (as $1000\ln\alpha$, unitless) for H_2O_d vapor and

Table 1. Measured vs Calculated $\delta^{18}O$ Values (‰) for Water Vapors Used in Exchange Experiments in This Study[a]

water	$\delta^{18}O_{liq}$	calc $\delta^{18}O_{vap}$	meas $\delta^{18}O_{vap}$	calc−meas $\delta^{18}O_{vap}$
H_2O_d	−16.47 ± 0.04	−26.01	−26.2 ± 0.3	0.23
H_2O_e	+1.0 ± 0.1	−8.50	−8.8 ± 0.2	0.27

[a]Fractionation equations from the study by Majoube were used for equilibrium calculations.[18]

H_2O_e vapors was −10.0 and −9.9, respectively, while calculated vapor−liquid fractionation at 23 °C is −9.49 based on the equation from Majoube et al.[18] The slightly larger fractionation observed among measured water vapors is likely attributable to a gas flow rate greater than the diffusion of water through membrane tubing, creating a slightly under-saturated *wet* gas stream with a higher concentration of ^{16}O.

The setup employed for water vapor production in this study was modeled after that of Oerter et al. (2019), with one exception being the length of membrane tubing submerged in the water reservoir.[14] The researchers noted that isotopic

equilibrium between vapor and liquid using the membrane tubing was not attained until a length of at least 200 cm was submerged. The minorly deviated fractionation in this case was expected, with a tube of only 36 cm. Nevertheless, both fractionation and water vapor concentration were consistent over experimental timeframes, with average standard deviations over 12 h of $\pm 0.27‰$ and ± 41 ppmV, respectively. The removal of water vapor from each reservoir during these aging experiments would be associated with Rayleigh-type fractionation or a gradual depletion of ^{16}O in the liquid over time because of faster diffusion of the lighter isotope through the membrane tubing and into the vapor phase. Oerter et al. measured the average enrichment in $\delta^{18}O$ of water from a 19 L reservoir using a 500 mL·min^{-1} gas flow rate to be 0.0163‰· day^{-1}. Given the lower flow rate used in this study (120 mL· min^{-1}), overall experimental timeframes (approx. 15 days total use per reservoir), and similar reservoir size (20 L), the change in $\delta^{18}O$ of the vapor in these experiments was assumed to be negligible.

ADU Calcination. ADU used to make U_3O_8 samples for aging experiments was previously determined to have a bulk $\delta^{18}O$ value of $-16 \pm 1‰$.[2] Calcination of this precipitate to make starting compounds for subsequent aging experiments was performed at 800 °C for 72 h in atmospheres containing either H_2O_d or H_2O_e diluted in N_2. The resulting $\delta^{18}O$ values were $-43.3 \pm 0.5‰$ and $-16.7 \pm 0.5‰$ for $U_3O_8\_d$ and $U_3O_8\_e$, respectively. The seemingly unchanged isotope composition of $U_3O_8\_e$ (with respect to ADU) is a coincidental fractionation with water vapor used in the calcination ($\delta^{18}O_{H2O(v)} = -8.8‰$). This is supported by previous work showing the calcination of the same ADU to result in a preferential loss of ^{16}O under an inert atmosphere using the same heating rate and ultimate temperature—in which case the $\delta^{18}O$ value of U_3O_8 was $-0.7‰$.[2]

Interestingly, fractionation factors between each of the resulting U_3O_8 compounds and the water vapor sources were different, with $U_3O_8\_d$ having $\Delta^{18}O = -17.1‰$ and $U_3O_8\_e$ having $\Delta^{18}O = -7.9‰$. A re-examination of the calcination procedures revealed a difference in methods; namely, termination of the calcination of $U_3O_8\_d$ was performed with water vapor still present using a slow cooling rate (see Figure S2) while the calcination of $U_3O_8\_e$ was ended by switching the atmosphere to dry N_2 prior to a fast cool-down. The difference in fractionation here was thus likely a result of retrograde isotope exchange during cooling of $U_3O_8\_d$, a phenomenon which will be discussed in more detail in the section "Retrograde Isotope Exchange".

Fractionation with Water Vapor. Water vapor exchange experiments utilized $U_3O_8\_d$ as a starting material, which was subsequently aged between 400 and 800 °C in an atmosphere containing H_2O_e in N_2 (50–55% RH). Oxygen isotope compositions of U_3O_8 after 72 h calcinations were taken as equilibrium values (Figure 2). Justification for equilibration within this timeframe came from a lack of statistically significant change in isotopic compositions when compared to products from shorter calcination times. At 400 °C, equilibration occurred between 2 and 12 h because $\delta^{18}O$ values at 12 and 72 h were identical (See Table S1 for $\delta^{18}O$ values). At and above 600 °C, isotope exchange with atmospheric humidity was fast enough that 2 h was a sufficient duration for equilibration. These results show a rapid exchange between oxygen isotopes in water vapor and U_3O_8 at the temperatures utilized here.


Figure 2. $U_3O_8\_d$ ($\delta^{18}O = -43.3‰$) was calcined in an atmosphere of vapor from H_2O_e ($\delta^{18}O_v = -8.8‰$) diluted to 50–55% RH in N_2. Samples heated at 600 and 800 °C appear to achieve equilibrium with water vapor within 2 h, while U_3O_8 calcined at 400 °C reaches equilibrium within 2–12 h.

As expected, higher temperature exposure led to smaller fractionation factors between U_3O_8 and water vapor. Theoretical fractionation factors for α-UO_3–H_2O and UO_2–H_2O from the study by Hattori and Halas and Zheng are smaller than those measured for U_3O_8-H_2O in this work (Figure 3).[19,20] Because U_3O_8 is a mixed-valence compound


Figure 3. Oxygen isotope fractionation factors between U_3O_8 and water vapor compared to calculated fractionation for other U-oxides from equations provided by Hattori and Halas and Zheng.[19,20]

containing both U^{V} and U^{VI}, the bonding with oxygen, and thus fractionation, may be expected to fall between the more oxidized UO_3 and more reduced UO_2.[21,22] The experimentally determined fractionation for U_3O_8 in this case falls well out of range of the calculations for both compounds. The greater depletion of ^{18}O in U_3O_8 here may suggest a more substantial "mass effect" (i.e., heavier elements tend to incorporate more

of the light isotope and vice-versa) in uranium oxides than previously postulated.[20]

Fractionation with Humid Air. The addition of oxygen at a tropospheric concentration (i.e., 20%) to the humid calcination gas resulted in starkly different isotope fractionations among U_3O_8 products than was observed in an atmosphere containing only water vapor. When $U_3O_8\_e$ ($\delta^{18}O = -16.7 \pm 0.5‰$) was calcined in an atmosphere containing ^{18}O-depleted water vapor ($\delta^{18}O = -26.2 \pm 0.3‰$) and ^{18}O-enriched O_2 ($\delta^{18}O = +23.2 \pm 0.2‰$), fractionation was driven in opposite directions as a function of temperature (Figure 4). At 400 °C, the $\delta^{18}O$ value of U_3O_8 dropped below


Figure 4. $U_3O_8\_e$ ($\delta^{18}O = -16.7‰$) was calcined in an atmosphere of vapor from H_2O_d ($\delta^{18}O_v = -26.2‰$) diluted to 50−55% RH in dry air ($\delta^{18}O_{O2} = +23.2‰$). Samples calcined at 400, 600, and 800 °C all appear to equilibrate within 2 h.

that of the water vapor and was at equilibrium within 2 h. In contrast, calcination at both 600 and 800 °C caused a significant increase in $\delta^{18}O$ values. Equilibration at higher temperatures also occurred within 2 h, as the $\delta^{18}O$ values from U_3O_8 products at this time interval were statistically indistinguishable from those aged for 72 h.

The different direction of fractionation observed between 400 °C and the higher temperatures suggests that different mechanisms of oxygen exchange may be occurring. Previous work pertaining to corrosion and diffusion rates of oxygen in uranium and uranium oxides has illustrated temperature-dependent mechanisms. The corrosion of uranium has been shown in multiple studies to occur at different rates in the presence of water vapor, dry air, and humid air.[23−25] Haschke found that the presence of water vapor enhanced oxidation rates of uranium, except above 500 °C, where it was suggested that the reaction rates of $U + H_2O$ and $U + O_2$ become indistinguishable.[24] Oxygen diffusion in uraninite has also been observed to be controlled by different mechanisms above and below 400 °C.[26]

Calcination of U_3O_8 in humid air also revealed distinct fractionations from those observed with water vapor alone or dry air (Figure 5). Although heating at 400 °C in humid air did show a water-driven isotope exchange, the $\delta^{18}O$ value was shifted more positive than the vapor-only fractionation because of the presence of O_2. Likewise, calcination at 600 and 800 °C


Figure 5. Fractionation between U_3O_8 and water vapor [top] and fractionation between U-oxides and atmospheric O_2 [bottom] during 72 hr calcining experiments. Data for fractionation between UOx and dry air (N_2/O_2, gray squares) are reproduced from Klosterman et al., with amorphous UO_3 at 400 °C and U_3O_8 at 600 and 800 °C and calcination times of 20 h.[1]

in humid air showed a negative shift in $\delta^{18}O$ values because of the presence of water vapor. These shifts in equilibrium $\delta^{18}O$ values in the mixed atmosphere support a mechanism in which both species (H_2O and O_2) are interacting with the uranium oxide. While studies involving oxygen diffusion and reactivity of U_3O_8 in humid air at the temperatures utilized here are limited in the literature, there have been a number of investigations into the catalytic ability of the compound that may provide useful insight. Heneghan et al. found U_3O_8 to be an extremely active catalyst for the oxidation of volatile organics between 478 and 604 °C, both in the presence and absence of O_2, suggesting a redox mechanism aided by the mixed oxidation states of uranium.[27] A follow on to this work by Taylor et al. showed that isotopically labeled O_2 from the atmosphere was incorporated into oxidation products at 596 °C, which revealed that O_2 would diffuse into or onto U_3O_8 and exchange isotopes with other gaseous compounds.[28] Harris et al. then added water vapor to these catalysis

experiments, which proved to be a very effective promoter for oxidation of organics by U_3O_8, making the compound active at temperatures as low as 250 °C in humid air as opposed to 350 °C in dry air.[29] Together, these studies support the interactivity between U_3O_8, H_2O, and O_2 at the temperatures used in this work, with an increasing contribution from O_2 as temperatures increase.

Water vapor concentration may play a significant role in the final signature of a calcined uranium oxide. In this study, the vapor concentration during calcination experiments was kept the same (50−55% RH) throughout, as was the mass of exposed U_3O_8 (90−150 mg). With the 200 mL·min^{-1} overall flowrate employed, the exposure concentration over time for the U_3O_8 would have been between 0.21 and 0.35 mol H_2O·mol U^{-1}·min^{-1}. Given industrial settings which would maximize the throughput of solids, this exposure is likely much greater than may be encountered in calcining operations. A re-evaluation of industrial U_3O_8 samples analyzed by Plaue and Dierick et al., which had $\delta^{18}O$ values between −6.2 and +12.4‰, suggests a lack of equilibration with both atmospheric O_2 and humidity.[8,9]

Retrograde Isotope Exchange. The combination of a temperature-dependent fractionating mechanism with rapid equilibration in the mixed atmosphere presented potential for an additional phenomenon known as retrograde exchange. If isotope exchange kinetics at temperatures lower than the aging temperature are sufficiently fast that they exceed cool-down rates, then ultimate $\delta^{18}O$ values and fractionation will be reflective of a lower temperature. This effect was avoided in the products of calcination experiments discussed above by flushing the atmosphere with inert gas while still at the designated temperature prior to cooling. However, the potential impact of retrograde exchange is vital for a more comprehensive interpretation of the formation of oxygen isotope signatures, so the effect was investigated in this work.

As shown in Figure 6, U_3O_8 calcined at 800 °C for 1 h in an atmosphere of ^{18}O-enriched O_2 and ^{18}O-depleted water vapor preferentially incorporated oxygen isotopes from O_2. When the

atmosphere was unchanged during cooling, the products were measured with much lower $\delta^{18}O$ values, indicating incorporation of oxygen isotopes from water vapor. Both a fast cool-down rate (800−100 °C in approx. 20 min) and a slow cool-down rate (800−100 °C in approx. 200 min) showed significant drops in $\delta^{18}O$ values in the final product (see Figure S2 for cool-down rates). The isotope composition of rapidly cooled U_3O_8 ($\delta^{18}O$ = −26.4 ± 1.8‰) fell directly on the water vapor line, showing a significant change from equilibrium at 800 °C ($\delta^{18}O$ = +14.1 ± 0.6‰). With a slower cooling rate, the $\delta^{18}O$ value drops below the water vapor line to −36.3 ± 0.1‰, revealing a fractionation ($\Delta^{18}O$) of −12.2‰.

As mentioned previously, $U_3O_8\_d$ was made by calcination in an atmosphere with H_2O_d and slowly cooled from 800 °C with the vapor present. This led to a highly ^{18}O-depleted product having a fractionation with the water vapor of −17.1‰. This fractionation is greater than that observed when U_3O_8 was cooled in humid air (water vapor and O_2 present), once again showing a difference in isotope incorporation and interactivity in different atmospheres. Fractionation between U_3O_8 and water vapor at 400 °C without retrograde exchange was −5.6‰ in humid air and −11.9‰ ($\Delta^{18}O$) in vapor alone; therefore, the closure temperature (i.e., temperature at which retrograde isotope exchange ceases) during this slow cooling is almost certainly lower than 400 °C.

CONCLUSIONS

Oxygen isotope fractionation between U_3O_8 and water vapor and between U_3O_8 and humid air was observed to occur rapidly between 400 and 800 °C, and with different mechanisms for each atmosphere. Isotope exchange with water alone led to oxides more depleted in ^{18}O than the vapor and also more depleted than theoretically calculated fractionation factors for UO_3 and UO_2. The addition of O_2 to the humid atmosphere caused a change in the mechanism of exchange between 400 and 600 °C, with a preferential incorporation of oxygen isotopes from water at lower temperatures and O_2 at higher temperatures. The speed of isotopic equilibration in humid air also revealed the dramatic effect of retrograde exchange during cooling. While U_3O_8 incorporated oxygen from atmospheric O_2 at 800 °C, if water was still present in the atmosphere during cooling, the oxygen isotope composition of the compound was quickly altered as a result of exchange with water. These results provide novel insight into oxygen isotope fractionation in uranium oxides and can help inform the interpretation of signatures encountered in industrial materials.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

ⓢ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c05838.

> Synthetic U_3O_8 p-XRD and reference patterns, bulk $\delta^{18}O$ calculations, equilibrium $\delta^{18}O$ values and fractionation factors, and cool-down rates for the tube furnace (PDF)

Figure 6. $\delta^{18}O$ values for U_3O_8 (initial $\delta^{18}O$ = −16.7‰) calcined for 1 h in a mixed atmosphere (^{18}O-depleted H_2O vapor + air with ^{18}O-enriched O_2). U_3O_8 was cooled from 800 °C in either an inert atmosphere or the mixed atmosphere at different rates.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Luther W. McDonald, IV − *Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Nuclear Engineering Program, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States;* ⦿ orcid.org/0000-0001-6735-5410; Phone: 1(801) 581-7768; Email: luther.mcdonald@utah.edu

Authors

Michael R. Klosterman − *Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Nuclear Engineering Program, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States*

Erik J. Oerter − *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, United States*

Michael J. Singleton − *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, United States*

Complete contact information is available at:
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c05838

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

■ REFERENCES

(1) Klosterman, M. R.; Oerter, E. J.; Chakraborty, S.; Singleton, M. J.; McDonald, L. W., IV Fractionation of Oxygen Isotopes in Uranium Oxides during Peroxide Precipitation and Dry Air Calcination. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2021**, *5*, 1622.

(2) Klosterman, M. R.; Oerter, E. J.; Deinhart, A. L.; Chakraborty, S.; Singleton, M. J.; McDonald, L. W., IV Oxygen Kinetic Isotope Effects in the Thermal Decomposition and Reduction of Ammonium Diuranate. *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6*, 30856−30864.

(3) IAEA. Production of yellowcake and uranium fluorides. In *Proceedings of an Advisory Group Meeting, Paris*; International Atomic Energy Agency: Paris, 1979.

(4) Grenthe, I.; Drożdżyńński, J.; Fujino, T.; Buck, E. C.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E.; Wolf, S. F. Uranium. In *The chemistry of the actinide and transactinide elements*; Springer: Dordrecht, 2008; pp. 253−698.

(5) Edwards, C. R.; Oliver, A. J. Uranium processing: a review of current methods and technology. *JOM* **2000**, *52*, 12−20.

(6) IAEA. *Nuclear Fuel Cycle Information System: A Directory of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facilities*; IAEA: Vienna, Austria, 2009.

(7) IAEA. *Uranium Extraction Technology*; 359; IAEA: Vienna, Austria, 1993.

(8) Plaue, J. *Forensic signatures of chemical process history in uranium oxides*; University of Nevada: Las Vegas, 2013.

(9) Dierick, M.; Pili, É.; Assayag, N.; Agrinier, P. Enhanced Oxygen Isotope Determination in Uranium Oxides Using BrF5 Fluorination. *Geostand. Geoanal. Res.* **2017**, *41*, 397−409.

(10) Coplen, T. B. *Compilation of minimum and maximum isotope ratios of selected elements in naturally occurring terrestrial materials and reagents*; U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey: 2002; Vol. *1*, p 98.

(11) IAEA. Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation: The GNIP Database. https://nucleus.iaea.org/wiser (accessed July 1), DOI: DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-87566-4.

(12) Song, Z. X.; Huang, X. W.; Xie, Z. F.; Ge, Q. R.; Wang, R. S. Defluorination behavior and mechanism of uranium dioxide. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1998**, *237*, 81−84.

(13) Pijolat, M.; Brun, C.; Valdivieso, F.; Soustelle, M. Reduction of uranium oxide U3O8 to UO2 by hydrogen. *Solid State Ionics* **1997**, *101-103*, 931−935.

(14) Oerter, E. J.; Singleton, M.; Thaw, M.; Davisson, M. L. Water vapor exposure chamber for constant humidity and hydrogen and oxygen stable isotope composition. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2019**, *33*, 89−96.

(15) Good, S. P.; Mallia, D. V.; Lin, J. C.; Bowen, G. J. Stable isotope analysis of precipitation samples obtained via crowdsourcing reveals the spatiotemporal evolution of Superstorm Sandy. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, No. e91117.

(16) Baertschi, P. Absolute18O content of standard mean ocean water. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **1976**, *31*, 341−344.

(17) Coplen, T. B. New guidelines for reporting stable hydrogen, carbon, and oxygen isotope-ratio data. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1996**, *60*, 3359−3360.

(18) Majoube, M. Fractionnement en oxygène 18 et en deutérium entre l'eau et sa vapeur. *J. Chim. Phys.* **1979**, *68*, 1423−1436.

(19) Hattori, K.; Halas, S. Calculation of oxygen isotope fractionation between uranium dioxide, uranium trioxide and water. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1982**, *46*, 1863−1868.

(20) Yong-fei, Z. Calculation of oxygen isotope fractionation in metal oxides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1991**, *55*, 2299−2307.

(21) Kvashnina, K. O.; Butorin, S. M.; Martin, P.; Glatzel, P. Chemical State of Complex Uranium Oxides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *111*, No. 253002.

(22) Sanyal, K.; Khooha, A.; Das, G.; Tiwari, M. K.; Misra, N. L. Direct determination of oxidation states of uranium in mixed-valent uranium oxides using total reflection X-ray fluorescence X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy. *Anal. Chem.* **2017**, *89*, 871−876.

(23) McGillivray, G. W.; Geeson, D. A.; Greenwood, R. C. Studies of the kinetics and mechanism of the oxidation of uranium by dry and moist air A model for determining the oxidation rate over a wide range of temperatures and water vapour pressures. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **1994**, *208*, 81−97.

(24) Haschke, J. M. Corrosion of uranium in air and water vapor: consequences for environmental dispersal. *J. Alloys Compd.* **1998**, *278*, 149−160.

(25) Colmenares, C. A. Oxidation mechanisms and catalytic properties of the actinides. *Prog. Solid State Chem.* **1984**, *15*, 257−364.

(26) Fayek, M.; Anovitz, L. M.; Cole, D. R.; Bostick, D. A. O and H diffusion in uraninite: Implications for fluid−uraninite interactions, nuclear waste disposal, and nuclear forensics. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2011**, *75*, 3677−3686.

(27) Heneghan, C. S.; Hutchings, G. J.; O'Leary, S. R.; Taylor, S. H.; Boyd, V. J.; Hudson, I. D. A temporal analysis of products study of the mechanism of VOC catalytic oxidation using uranium oxide catalysts. *Catal. Today* **1999**, *54*, 3−12.

(28) Taylor, S. H.; Heneghan, C. S.; Hutchings, G. J.; Hudson, I. D. The activity and mechanism of uranium oxide catalysts for the oxidative destruction of volatile organic compounds. *Catal. Today* **2000**, *59*, 249−259.

(29) Harris, R. H.; Boyd, V. J.; Hutchings, G. J.; Taylor, S. H. Water as a promoter of the complete oxidation of volatile organic compounds over uranium oxide catalysts. *Catal. Lett.* **2002**, *78*, 369−372.