# THEATION OF CHAR

#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

### S-layers: principles and applications

#### Uwe B. Sleytr<sup>1</sup>, Bernhard Schuster<sup>2</sup>, Eva-Maria Egelseer<sup>1</sup> & Dietmar Pum<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Biophysics, Department of Nanobiotechnology, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria; and <sup>2</sup>Institute of Synthetic Biology, Department of Nanobiotechnology, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria

**Correspondence:** Uwe B. Sleytr, Institute of Biophysics, Department of Nanobiotechnology, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Muthgasse 11, 1190 Vienna, Austria. Tel.: +43 1 47654 2201; fax: +43 1 4789112; e-mail: uwe. sleytr@boku.ac.at

Received 3 September 2013; revised 10 January 2014; accepted 13 January 2014. Final version published online 24 February 2014.

DOI: 10.1111/1574-6976.12063

Editor: Mecky Pohlschroder

#### Keywords

crystalline cell surface layers (S-layers); bacterial surface layers; self-assembly; nanobiotechnology; biomimetics; synthetic biology.

#### Introduction

With the exception of those prokaryotic organisms which have developed strategies to live under very specialized and frequently extreme environmental conditions in which monocultures are feasible, most organisms have to survive in highly competitive habitats in very complex microbiomes. Consequently, the diversity observed in the molecular architecture of bacterial and archaeal cell envelopes, particularly the structure of the outermost boundary layers, reflects evolutionary adaptations of the organism to specific environmental and ecological conditions.

Among the most commonly observed prokaryotic cell surface structures are two-dimensional arrays of proteinaceous subunits forming surface layers (termed S-layers) on prokaryotic cells (Sleytr, 1976; Sleytr *et al.*, 1988b; Table 1). Since the first 'macromolecular monolayer' described by Houwink and Le Poole (1952; Houwink, 1953) in the cell wall of a *Spirillum sp.*, S-layers have now been identified in hundreds of different species of almost every taxonomic group of walled *Bacteria* and are an almost universal feature of *Archaea* (Fig. 1; for compila-

#### Abstract

Monomolecular arrays of protein or glycoprotein subunits forming surface layers (S-layers) are one of the most commonly observed prokaryotic cell envelope components. S-layers are generally the most abundantly expressed proteins, have been observed in species of nearly every taxonomical group of walled bacteria, and represent an almost universal feature of archaeal envelopes. The isoporous lattices completely covering the cell surface provide organisms with various selection advantages including functioning as protective coats, molecular sieves and ion traps, as structures involved in surface recognition and cell adhesion, and as antifouling layers. S-layers are also identified to contribute to virulence when present as a structural component of pathogens. In *Archaea*, most of which possess S-layers as exclusive wall component, they are involved in determining cell shape and cell division. Studies on structure, chemistry, genetics, assembly, function, and evolutionary relationship of S-layers revealed considerable application potential in (nano)biotechnology, biomimetics, biomedicine, and synthetic biology.

tion see, Messner & Sleytr, 1992; Sleytr *et al.*, 1996a, 1999, 2002; Claus *et al.*, 2005; König *et al.*, 2010; Messner *et al.*, 2010; Albers & Meyer, 2011; Hynonen & Palva, 2013).

Because S-layer proteins account for approximately ten percent of cellular proteins in Archaea and Bacteria, they represent interesting model systems for studying the processes involved in the synthesis, secretion, and assembly of extracellular proteins. Moreover, as the biomass of prokaryotic organisms surpasses the biomass of eukaryotic organism (Whitman et al., 1998), S-layer proteins can be considered as one of the most abundant biopolymers on our planet. S-layers also represent the simplest biological protein or glycoprotein membranes developed during evolution (Sleytr, 1975). They are generally composed of a single molecular species endowed with the ability to assemble on the cell surface into closed regular arrays occupying a low free-energy arrangement. Studies on the in vivo morphogenesis of S-layers demonstrated that at high growth rates, approximately 500 subunits per second must be synthesized, translocated to the cell surface, and incorporated into the existing S-layer lattice (Sleytr & Messner, 1983; Sleytr & Beveridge, 1999). It is now evident that

FEMS Microbiol Rev **38** (2014) 823–864 © 2014 The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

[The copyright line for this article was changed on 7 October 2014 after original online publication]

Year	Milestone	Reference
1953	First evidence of a monomolecular array in a bacterial cell wall fragment	Houwink (1953)
1968	Evidence that coherent monomolecular arrays are located on the surface of the cell envelope of intact Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria using freeze-etching techniques	Remsen <i>et al.</i> (1968) and Sleytr <i>et al.</i> (1968)
1969	Description of in vitro assembly of S-layer proteins	Brinton <i>et al.</i> (1969)
1971	First evidence for a function: S-layer as protective coat against the bacterial parasite <i>Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus</i>	Buckmire (1971)
1975	Studies on the self-assembly and homologous and heterologous reattachment of S-layer proteins on cell envelopes of Gram-positive bacteria	Sleytr (1975)
1976	Evidence for glycosylation of archaeal S-layer proteins	Mescher & Strominger (1976)
1976	Evidence for glycosylation of bacterial S-layer proteins	Sleytr & Thorne (1976)
1986/1987	First nanobiotechnological application of S-layer proteins: Use of S-layer lattices for the production of ultrafiltration membranes with defined molecular sieving properties	Sleytr & Sára (1986), Sára & Sleytr (1987c) and Sleytr & Sára (1988)
1986/1991	S-layers involved in morphogenesis and cell division in archaea	Messner <i>et al.</i> (1986b) and Pum <i>et al.</i> (1991)
1986	First sequenced S-layer protein gen	Tsuboi <i>et al.</i> (1986)
1989/1991	S-layers as combined carrier/adjuvants for conjugated vaccines	Sleytr <i>et al.</i> (1989, 1991)
1994	Proposing S-layer-induced nanopatterned fluidity in lipid films (termed <i>semi-fluid lipid membrane model</i> )	Pum & Sleytr (1994)
1997/1998	First biomimetic approach copying the supramolecular building principle of archaeal cell envelopes to generate (functional) phospho- and ether lipid membranes	Schuster <i>et al.</i> (1997, 1998a, b)
2002	First monomeric and oligomeric functional S-layer fusion proteins capable to assemble into ordered arrays	Breitwieser <i>et al.</i> (2002) and Moll <i>et al.</i> (2002)
1999/2002	Surface display of foreign epitopes of SLH domain and whole S-layer protein	Mesnage <i>et al.</i> (1999a) and Avall-Jääskeläinen <i>et al.</i> (2002)
2002/2008	First atomistic structures of archaeal and bacterial S-layer protein domains obtained from X-ray studies (2.4 $\text{\AA}$ resolution)	Jing <i>et al.</i> (2002) and Pavkov <i>et al.</i> (2008)

#### Table 1. Selected milestones in basic and applied S-layer research

The terminology 'S-layer' (surface layer) was introduced 1976 (Sleytr, 1976) and generally accepted at the 'First International Workshop on Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Layers' in Vienna, Austria (August 1984). At the 'EMBO Workshop on Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Layers (S-layers)' (August 31 to September 2, 1987, Vienna, Austria), S-layers were defined as: 'Two-dimensional arrays of proteinaceous subunits forming surface layers on prokaryotic cells' (Sleytr *et al.*, 1988b).



S-layers as metabolic expensive products can provide organisms with an advantage of selection in quite diverse habitats. Although a considerable amount of knowledge has accumulated on the structure, assembly, chemistry, and genetics of S-layers, relatively little firm data are avail**Fig. 1.** TEM image of a freeze-etched and metal shadowed preparation of (a) an archaeal cell (from *Methanocorpusuculum sinense*), and (b) a bacterial cell (from *Desulfotomaculum nigrificans*). Bars, 200 nm.

able about their specific biological functions (Sleytr *et al.*, 2002, 2007b; Hynonen & Palva, 2013). It is now recognized that they can function as protective coats, molecular sieves, molecule and ion traps, promoters for cell adhesion, immunomodulators, surface recognition, antifouling coat-

ings, and virulence factors in pathogenic organisms. In those *Archaea* that possess S-layers as the exclusive envelope component external to the cytoplasmic membrane, the lattice is involved in the determination of cell shape and as a structure aiding in the cell division process.

The wealth of information accumulated on the general principles of S-layers led to a broad spectrum of applications. Most relevant for applied S-laver research is the capability of isolated S-layer (glyco)proteins to assemble in defined orientations into crystalline arrays in suspension or on suitable surfaces or interfaces (Sleytr et al., 1999, 2005; Pum et al., 2006, 2010). As S-layers are periodic structures, they exhibit repetitive identical physicochemical properties down to the subnanometer scale and possess pores identical in size and morphology. Most important, properties of S-layer proteins can be changed by chemical modifications and genetic engineering. It is now evident that S-layers also represent a unique structural basis and pattering element for generating complex supramolecular assemblies involving all relevant 'building blocks' such as proteins, lipids, glycans, and nucleic acids (Egelseer et al., 2008; Schuster & Sleytr, 2009b; Egelseer et al., 2010; Sleytr et al., 2010; Ilk et al., 2011a; Sleytr et al., 2011, 2013).

#### Occurrence, location, and structure

The location and ultrastructure of S-layers of a great number of Bacteria and Archaea have been studied by electron microscopy of thin-sectioned, freeze-etched, freeze-dried and shadowed, negatively stained or frozen hydrated preparations (Thornley et al., 1974; Sleytr & Glauert, 1975; Sleytr, 1978; Sleytr & Messner, 1983; Baumeister & Engelhardt, 1987; Sleytr et al., 1988a; Beveridge, 1994; Sleytr et al., 1996a; Pavkov-Keller et al., 2011). More recently, atomic force microscopy (AFM) has become an important method for characterizing S-layer lattices (Müller et al., 1996, 1999; Ebner et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2007; Moreno-Flores et al., 2008; Chung et al., 2010; López et al., 2011). In most Archaea, S-layers represent the only wall component outside the plasma membrane (Fig. 2a and b). Only a few Archaea possess a rigid wall layer (e.g. pseudomurein in methanogenic Archaea) as intermediate layer between the cytoplasmic membrane and the S-layer (Fig. 2c; Claus & König, 2010; Albers & Meyer, 2011). In Gram-positive Bacteria, S-layers are attached to the rigid peptidoglycan-containing layer (Fig. 2d), while in the more complex Gram-negative bacterial cell envelope, the S-layer adheres to the lipopolysaccharide of the outer membrane (Fig. 2e).

The most useful electron microscopy preparation technique for identifying S-layers on a particular organism is freeze-etching of intact cells (Fig. 1). S-layers completely cover the cell surface during all stages of cell growth and division (Sleytr & Glauert, 1975; Sleytr, 1978; Sleytr & Messner, 1989; Messner & Sleytr, 1991b; Pum *et al.*, 1991; Sleytr *et al.*, 1999; Rachel, 2010). For some organisms, two superimposed S-layer lattices composed of different proteins have been observed (Sleytr & Messner, 1983; Sleytr *et al.*, 1996b). Mono-molecular arrays of proteina-ceous subunits have also been observed in prokaryotic sheaths (Beveridge & Graham, 1991; Albers & Meyer,



**Fig. 2.** Schematic illustration of the supramolecular architecture of the major classes of prokaryotic cell envelopes containing surface (S) layers. S-layers in archaea with glycoprotein lattices as exclusive wall component are composed either of mushroom-like subunits with pillar-like, hydrophobic trans-membrane domains (a), or lipid-modified glycoprotein subunits (b). Individual S-layers can be composed of glycoproteins possessing both types of membrane anchoring mechanisms. Few archaea possess a rigid wall layer (e.g. pseudomurein in methanogenic organisms) as intermediate layer between the plasma membrane and the S-layer (c). In Gram-positive bacteria, (d) the S-layer (glyco)proteins are bound to the rigid peptidoglycan-containing layer via secondary cell wall polymers. In Gram-negative bacteria, (e) the S-layer is closely associated with the lipopolysaccharide of the outer membrane.

2011), spore coats (Holt & Leadbetter, 1969), on the surface of the cell wall of eukaryotic algae (Roberts *et al.*, 1985), fungal spores (Sleytr *et al.*, 1969; Linder, 2009; Penfold *et al.*, 2012), and gas vacuoles of prokaryotic organism (Cohen-Bazire *et al.*, 1969). The two-dimensional spatial organization of S-layers has been obtained primarily by electron crystallography (Baumeister & Engelhardt, 1987; Hovmöller *et al.*, 1988; Pavkov-Keller *et al.*, 2011) and more recently through scanning probe microscopy (Müller *et al.*, 1996, 1999; Ebner *et al.*, 2006; Tang *et al.*, 2007; Moreno-Flores *et al.*, 2008; Chung *et al.*, 2010; López *et al.*, 2011), and X-ray and neutron scattering techniques (Weygand *et al.*, 1999, 2000, 2002; Horejs *et al.*, 2010; Pavkov-Keller *et al.*, 2011; Baranova *et al.*, 2012).

S-layer lattices generally exhibit oblique (p1, p2), square (p4), or hexagonal (p3, p6) space group symmetry (Fig. 3) with center-to-center spacings of the morphological units of 4–35 nm (Beveridge, 1994; Sleytr & Beveridge, 1999; Sleytr *et al.*, 1999, 2002; Albers & Meyer, 2011; Pavkov-Keller *et al.*, 2011). Hexagonal symmetry is predominant among *Archaea* (Messner & Sleytr, 1992; Messner *et al.*, 2010; Albers & Meyer, 2011). Depending on the lattice type, the morphological units consist of one, two, three, four, or six monomers, respectively (Fig. 3). Bacterial S-layers are generally 5–10 nm thick, whereas archaeal



**Fig. 3.** Schematic drawing of the different S-layer lattice types, their base vectors, the unit cell (shaded in gray), and the corresponding symmetry axis. The proteins at one morphological unit are shown in red.

S-layers frequently exhibit a much thicker 'mushroom-like structure' with pillar-like domains anchored to the plasma membrane (Baumeister & Engelhardt, 1987; Albers & Meyer, 2011). Bacterial S-layers reveal a rather smooth outer and a more corrugated inner surface. S-layers represent highly porous protein lattices (30–70% porosity) with pores of uniform size and morphology in the 2–8 nm range. Many S-layers possess two or even more distinct classes of pores (Sleytr & Beveridge, 1999; Sleytr *et al.*, 1999, 2002; Albers & Meyer, 2011; Pavkov-Keller *et al.*, 2011).

#### **Isolation and chemistry**

In both Archaea and Bacteria, S-laver lattices differ considerably in their susceptibility to isolation from the supporting envelope structure and disruption into monomeric subunits. Generally, S-layers are isolated from cell wall fragments which were obtained by breaking up the cells and removing the content including the cytoplasmic membrane by addition of hydrogen bond-breaking agents [e.g. guanidine hydrochloride (GHCl) or urea] (Sleytr & Messner, 1983; Schuster et al., 2005; Schuster & Sleytr, 2013), trichloroacetic acid (Nußer et al., 1988), detergents, or cation substitution (e.g. Na<sup>+</sup> or Li<sup>+</sup>, replacing Ca<sup>2+</sup>; Koval & Murray, 1984; Lortal et al., 1992, 1993), and ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA; Cline et al., 1989). In certain cases, even washing cells with deionized water can lead to a dissociation of the S-layer lattice (Kosma et al., 1995). The various extraction and disintegration experiments revealed that the intersubunit bonds in the S-layer lattices are stronger than those binding the crystalline arrays to the supporting envelope layer (Sleytr & Glauert, 1976; Sleytr & Beveridge, 1999). This characteristic property is seen as a major requirement for continuous recrystallization of the lattice into a low free-energy arrangement during cell growth and division. Some archaeal S-layers have shown to be highly resistant to common denaturizing agents (Beveridge, 1994). Special isolation procedures are required for S-layers in Archaea where they are associated with the cytoplasmic membrane (Nußer et al., 1988; König et al., 2004; Rachel, 2010). With many solubilized S-layers, it has been demonstrated that isolated subunits reassemble into lattices identical to those observed on intact cells upon removal of the disrupting agent (see also section 'Assembly and morphogenesis').

Chemical and genetical analysis of many S-layers has revealed a similar overall composition. They are generally composed of a single protein or glycoprotein species with molecular masses ranging from 40 to 170 kDa (Sleytr *et al.*, 1993a, 2002; Avall-Jääskeläinen & Palva, 2005; Claus *et al.*, 2005; Messner *et al.*, 2010). Most S-layers of *Bacteria* are composed of weakly acidic proteins or glyco-

proteins, contain 40-60% hydrophobic amino acids, and possess few or no sulfur-containing amino acids. The pI values of the proteins range from 4 to 6. For some Archaea (e.g. Methanothermus fervidus) and in Lactobacilli, however, pIs of the S-layer proteins between 8 and 10 have been determined. Comparative studies on S-layer genes of organisms from different taxonomic affiliations revealed that homologies between nonrelated organisms are low despite the fact that their amino acid composition shows no significant difference. Nevertheless, it is quite obvious that common structural principles must exist in S-layer proteins (e.g. the ability to form intersubunit bonds and to self-assemble into monomolecular arrays, the formation of hydrophilic pores with low unspecific adsorption, and the interaction with underlying cell envelope components).

A few post-translational modifications are known to occur in S-layer proteins, including cleavage of carboxyor amino terminal fragments, protein phosphorylation, and protein glycosylation of amino acid residues. The latter is a remarkable characteristic of many archaeal and some bacterial S-layer proteins. In fact, S-layer proteins were the first glycoproteins detected in prokaryotes (Mescher & Strominger, 1976; Sleytr & Thorne, 1976) and still are among the best-studied examples of glycosylated prokaryotic proteins (Sára et al., 1989; Sumper et al., 1990; Konrad & Eichler, 2002; Sleytr et al., 2002; Eichler & Adams, 2005; Messner et al., 2008, 2009; Albers & Meyer, 2011; Eichler & Maupin-Furlow, 2013). The glycan chain and linkages of bacterial and archaeal glycoproteins are significantly different from those of eukaryotes (Sleytr et al., 2002; Schäffer & Messner, 2004; Messner et al., 2009). The Halobacterium salinarum S-layer glycoprotein was the first noneukaryotic protein shown to be N-glycosylated (Mescher & Strominger, 1976). Most archaeal S-layer glycoprotein glycans consist of only short heteropolysaccharides, usually not built of repeating units. Moreover, the predominant linkage types are N-glycosidic bonds where the glycan moieties are covalently linked to select asparagine residues of the target protein. Although most S-layer proteins are either N- or O-glycosylated, in few cases both modifications can be present on one protein at the same time (Albers & Meyer, 2011; Eichler & Maupin-Furlow, 2013). An example constitutes the well-characterized Halobacterium volcanii S-layer glycoprotein contains both N- and O-linked glycans (Sumper et al., 1990).

As mentioned before, at the same time when glycosylation on haloarchaea was reported for the first time, glycosylation of S-layer proteins from the *Bacteria Thermoanaerobacter thermohydrosulfuricus* and *Thermoanaerobacterium thermosaccharolyticum* was discovered (Sleytr & Thorne, 1976; Table 1). Since then, S-layer glycoproteins from several other bacteria have been extensively studied, leading to the awareness of the wide distribution of S-layer glycoproteins among *Bacteria*.

In *Bacteria*, N-glycosylation is considered to be a rare event and is represented mainly in *Campylobacter* spp., *Helicobacter* spp., and *Desulphovibrio* spp. (Stimson *et al.*, 1995).Thus, N-glycosylation apparently is far more common in archaea than in bacteria. The degree of glycosylation of bacterial S-layer proteins, that is, the covalent O-glycosidic linkage of glycan moieties to select serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues, varies generally between 2% and 10% (w/w), and the S-layer proteins are typically multiple glycosylated (Messner *et al.*, 2009, 2013).

Moreover, the lipid carrier molecules also differ between Archaea and Bacteria. In Archaea, isoprene-based lipids such as dolichol phosphate and dolichol pyrophosphate play essential roles in the N-glycosylation process by delivering their bound glycan cargo to selected protein targets. In Bacteria, however, undecaprenol pyrophosphate is recognized to play an essential role in S-layer protein O-glycosylation. To sum up, S-layer glycoproteins are among the best-studied examples of glycosylated prokaryotic proteins (Eichler & Adams, 2005; Messner et al., 2008, 2009; Albers & Meyer, 2011). Hence, a more detailed and comprehensive summary cannot be given and is out of the scope of this review. Nevertheless, at this point, we would like to refer to several recent reviews providing deeper information on the glycosylation process in general (Albers & Meyer, 2011; Eichler & Maupin-Furlow, 2013; Messner et al., 2013).

Recently, yet another post-translational modification of S-layer glycoproteins was reported. It could be demonstrated that a subset of secreted euryarchaeal proteins, including the S-layer glycoprotein, is processed and covalently linked to membrane-embedded lipids involving membrane-spanning enzymes referred to as archaeosortases (Szabo & Pohlschroder, 2012; Eichler & Maupin-Furlow, 2013). A distinctive subfamily of the archaeosortase/ exosortase superfamily is designated archaeosortase A (ArtA) because of its restriction to the Archaea and its remote homology to exosortase. To examine the role of ArtA from Haloferax volcanii for the first time in vivo, homologous recombination to construct deletion strains that lack the artA gene was performed (Abdul Halim et al., 2013). Comparison of wild-type and the *AartA* mutant strains resulted in multiple biological phenotypes including alteration in cell shape and the S-layer. These results clearly demonstrated in vivo that, as predicted by in silico work (Haft et al., 2012), the C-terminal hydrophobic transmembrane segment of H. volcanii S-layer glycoprotein is processed by the ArtA. Because the C-terminal tripartite structure consisting of a signature motif, a transmembrane alpha helix domain, and a cluster

of basic residues, which is recognized by archaeosortases, is highly conserved in a large number of euryarchaeal S-laver glycoproteins (all of which possess an archaeosortase), it is very likely that this proposed lipid-anchoring mechanism is a broadly conserved euryarchaeal surfaceanchoring mechanism. Most relevant for in vivo membrane function and for nanobiotechnological applications (see also section 'S-layer supported functional lipid membranes'), this mechanism provides a membrane anchor for S-layer glycoproteins without stuffing the lipid membrane with hydrophobic C-terminal transmembrane domains. Most recent studies even indicated that the S-layer lattice of H. volcanii is composed of two S-layer glycoprotein populations. One type of glycoprotein population is anchored to the membrane via the C-terminal hydrophobic transmembrane domain (Fig 2a), while the other one is lipid-modified for enabling membrane association in an EDTA-sensitive manner (Fig 2b; Kandiba et al., 2013). Presently, however, little is known of how these protein-processing events affect S-laver behavior or architecture. Nevertheless, considering that S-layer lattices assembled on lipid membranes (see section 'S-layer protein-lipid interaction') induce a nanopatterned fluidity of constituent membrane lipids, the supramolecular concept involving two types of S-layer membrane anchoring mechanisms should provide organisms with more flexibility to adapt to changes in environmental conditions (e.g. temperature dependent membrane properties).

#### Assembly and morphogenesis

#### Assembly in vivo

Numerous in vitro and in vivo studies have been performed to elucidate the dynamic process of the incorporation and reassembly of new subunits into (closed) S-layer lattices during cell growth. The only requirement for maintaining highly ordered monomolecular arrays with no gaps on a growing cell surface is a continuous synthesis of a surplus of subunits and their translocation to sites of lattice growth. In most organisms, the rate of synthesis of S-layer protein appears to be strictly controlled because only small amounts are detectable in the growth medium. On the other hand, studies on a variety of Bacillaceae have demonstrated that a pool of S-layer subunits, at least sufficient for generating one complete S-layer on the cell surface, may be present in the peptidoglycan-containing cell wall matrix (Sleytr & Glauert, 1975, 1976; Breitwieser et al., 1992).

Labeling experiments with fluorescent antibodies and colloidal gold/antibody marker methods showed that different strategies of S-layer lattice extensions exist for Gram-positive and Gram-negative *Bacteria* (Howard

et al., 1982; Smit & Todd, 1986; Gruber & Sleytr, 1988). In Gram-positive Bacteria, lattice growth occurs primarily by insertion of multiple bands of S-layers on the cylindrical part of the cell and at new cell poles. But the major area of S-layer incorporation is a band at the sites of an incipient cell division (Sleytr & Glauert, 1976; Gruber & Slevtr, 1988; Slevtr & Messner, 1989). This particular band splits and covers the new poles of each progeny cell. These pole areas resemble static lattice domains because little or no S-layer material is inserted at pre-existing S-layers at the poles. Further on, protein A/colloidal gold labeling showed for the hexagonal lattice on the cell surface of Geobacillus stearothermophilus PV72 that the new bands were helically arranged over the cylindrical surface of the cell at a pitch angle related to the orientation of the hexagonal lattice. In Gram-negative bacteria, however, S-layer lattices grow by insertion of new subunits at diffuse sites over the main cell body (Smit & Agabian, 1982). No indications of growth bands or zones were found. From the limited data available, it appears that at least in Gram-positive Bacteria, areas of lattice extensions superimpose areas of newly synthesized peptidoglycan.

Information concerning the development of coherent Slayer lattices on growing cell surfaces was gained by reconstitution experiments with isolated S-layer subunits on cell surfaces from which they had been removed (homologous reattachment) or on those of other organisms (heterologous reattachment; Sleytr, 1975, 1976; Sleytr & Glauert, 1976). For this purpose, two strains of taxonomical closely related hyperthermophilic Bacteria were used (Thermoanaerobacter thermosaccarolyticum and Thermoanaerobacter thermohydrosulfurium) showing square and hexagonal lattice symmetries, respectively. Upon dialysis of the disrupting agent (urea or GHCl, respectively), the isolated S-layer proteins of both organisms reassembled into regular arrays on the cell walls from which they had been removed. Contrary to the large regular arrays on intact cells, the crystalline patches were much smaller. An unexpected finding was that the S-layer proteins from one organism could attach to cell walls of the other one and form their patterns again. In addition, when a mixture of both S-layer protein species (with square and hexagonal lattice symmetry, respectively) was supplied, small arrays of both types were formed. These observations clearly demonstrated that the information for the dynamic lattice formation and orientation resides in the proteins themselves and is not affected by the support (Sleytr, 1975, 1976).

With the exception of selected methanogenic Archaea (e.g. *M. fervidus*) where the S-layer is located on the surface of a rigid pseudomurein sacculus (Nußer *et al.*, 1988; Fig. 2c) in most Archaea, S-layers assemble as exclusive

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

wall component in close association with the plasma membrane and consequently have been connected with a cell shape maintaining role (Mescher & Strominger, 1976; Messner et al., 1986b; Pum et al., 1991; Claus & König, 2010; Albers & Meyer, 2011). Analysis of cell morphology and lattice fault distribution provided strong evidence that the S-lavers lattice is not only involved in cell shape maintenance but must also be involved in cell fission (Harris & Scriven, 1970, 1971; Nabarro & Harris, 1971; Harris, 1975, 1978). Thermoproteus tenax, an extremely thermophilic archaeon, has a cylindrical shape with constant diameter, but is variable in length (Messner et al., 1986b; Wildhaber & Baumeister, 1987). While no dislocations could be observed on the hexagonal array covering the cylindrical part, six wedge disclinations could be visualized on each hemispherical cap. Thus, it was concluded that the elongation of the cylindrical part of the cell only requires insertion of S-layer subunits at these distinct lattice faults (Messner et al., 1986b). More detailed studies on the involvement of an S-layer in cell morphology and division has been reported for Methanocorpusculum sinense (Pum et al., 1991). The hexagonal S-layer of this highly lobed organism forms a porous but strongly interconnected network. In freeze-etched preparations of intact cells, numerous pentagons and heptagons could be detected in the hexagonal array. Complementary pairs of pentagons and heptagons were identified as the termination points of edge dislocations acting as sites for the incorporation of new morphological units into the lattice and as initiation points for the cell division process. In addition, the analysis of the number and distribution of lattice faults confirmed that the S-layer continuously recrystallizes during cell growth, maintaining an equilibrium of lowest free energy (Pum et al., 1991; Sleytr et al., 2005). The tension within the S-layer lattice is generated by the growth of the underlying protoplast and plasma membrane.

#### Assembly in vitro

The capability of isolated S-layer proteins to assemble into two-dimensional arrays *in vivo* and *in vitro* is one of their key properties exploited in basic and application-oriented research. It occurs upon dialysis of the disrupting agents as described before (Fig. 4). The formation of the self-assembled arrays is only determined by the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide chains, and consequently the tertiary structure of the S-layer protein species (Sleytr, 1975). As S-layer proteins have a high proportion of nonpolar amino acids, most likely, hydrophobic interactions are involved in the initial stage of the assembly process. Some S-layers are stabilized by divalent cations, such as  $Ca^{2+}$  (Pum & Sleytr, 1994,



**Fig. 4.** Schematic drawing of the reassembly of isolated S-layer (glyco)proteins in suspension, at the air–water interface, on solid supports, on lipid films, on liposomes, emulsomes, polyelectrolyte nanocapsules, and (magnetic) beads.

1995b; Norville et al., 2007; Baranova et al., 2012) and in the case of extremely halophiles by Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Mescher & Strominger, 1976; Cline et al., 1989; Eichler et al., 2010) interacting with acidic amino acids. Studies on the distribution of functional groups on the surface have shown that free carboxylic acid groups and amino groups are arranged in close proximity and thus contribute to the cohesion of the proteins by electrostatic interactions (Sára & Sleytr, 1987a; Sára et al., 1988a; Pum et al., 1989; Györvary et al., 2004). S-layer proteins are noncovalently linked to each other and, in the case of their adhesion to supporting structures (e.g. silicon, metal or polymeric solid surfaces, or lipid membranes) differing combinations of weak bonds (hydrophobic bonds, ionic bonds involving divalent cations or direct interaction of polar groups, and hydrogen bonds), are responsible for the structural integrity as well. Nevertheless, disintegration and reassembly experiments led to the conclusion that the bonds holding the S-layer proteins together must be much stronger than those binding them to the support (Slevtr, 1975, 1976, 1978). Once formed, S-laver proteins were never observed to leave the lattice, and thus, it was concluded that lattice growth is irreversible and no S-layer protein turnover occurs. The reason for this irreversibility may be that after the addition of the 'last' protein monomer to the (incomplete) morphological unit, this monomer is locked into place and now has a low probability of leaving (Chung et al., 2010; Comolli et al., 2013) because this final conformational arrangement in 'confinement' constitutes the state of lowest free energy (Chung et al., 2010).

#### **Reassembly in solution**

Self-assembly products are formed in solution during the dialysis of the disrupting agent against selected buffer solutions (ionic strength and pH). Monitoring the time course of self-assembly by light scattering yielded multiphasic kinetics with a rapid initial phase and slow consecutive processes of higher than second order (Jaenicke *et al.*, 1985). The rapid phase may be attributed to the formation of oligomeric precursors. Concentration-dependent light scattering measurements gave evidence for a 'critical concentration' of association, suggesting that patches of 12–16 proteins are formed and recrystal-lize into the final (native) S-layer structure.

Depending on the morphology and bonding properties of the S-layer proteins, either flat sheets or open-ended cylinders are formed (Messner et al., 1986a; Sleytr et al., 1999, 2005; Bobeth et al., 2011; Slevtr et al., 2011; Shin et al., 2013). The self-assembly products may be composed of mono- or double layers. In addition, it was also observed that closed vesicles may be formed by S-layer proteins recrystallizing in hexagonal lattice symmetry (Sleytr, 1976; Sleytr et al., 2005). In some cases, it was possible to control the self-assembly routes by changing the environmental parameters such as pH, or ionic content and strength of the subphase. In this context, one of the most detailed studied S-layer self-assembly systems is the one of G. stearothermophilus strain NRS 2004/3a (Messner et al., 1986a). This S-layer composed of glycoproteins exhibits oblique (p2) lattice symmetry and can be extracted from the peptidoglycan by high molar GHCl. Upon dialysis, the isolated proteins assembled into both flat and cylindrical mono- and double-layer self-assembly products. Depending on the salt concentration during dialysis and dialysis duration, different self-assembly structures were formed. Generally, the presence of low concentration of bivalent cations (e.g. Ca<sup>2+</sup>) led to the formation of a mixture of highly defined sheets and cylindrical self-assembly products.

#### **Reassembly at interfaces**

Crystal growth at interfaces (e.g. solid supports, airwater interface or lipid membranes) is initiated simultaneously at many randomly distributed nucleation points and proceeds in plane until the crystalline domains meet, thus leading to a closed, coherent mosaic of individual, several micro meters large S-layer domains (Pum & Sleytr, 1995a, b; Györvary *et al.*, 2003; Sleytr *et al.*, 2005). The growth of extended S-layers domains is favored at low monomer concentrations due to the corresponding low number of nucleation sites. The individual domains are mono crystalline and separated by grain boundaries.

In a recently carried out detailed study using *in situ* AFM, it was shown that the reassembly of SbpA S-layer proteins from *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* CCM2177 on mica does not necessarily follow the classical pathway of crystal growth. Instead, a kinetic trap keeping the system at a higher-energy, long-lived transient state may hinder the reassembly into extended matrices (Shin *et al.*, 2012). Over time, finally the trapped state transforms into a stable, low energy state. Careful analysis of the time and temperature dependence of formation and transformation yielded an energy difference by only 1.6 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (or 0.7 kT). But the energy barrier to transform into the final low energy state is 38 times higher (61 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>).

The formation of coherent crystalline domains depends on the S-layer protein species used, the environmental conditions of the subphase, such as ionic content and strength, but, in particular, on the surface properties of the interface. While the reassembly of S-layer proteins at the air-water interface and at planar lipid films is well defined (Pum et al., 1993; Pum & Sleytr, 1994; Weygand et al., 1999, 2000, 2002), the deliberate modification of the surface properties of a solid support allows to specifically control the reassembly process (Pum & Slevtr, 1995a; Sleytr et al., 1999; Györvary et al., 2003; Comolli et al., 2013). For example, the S-layer protein SbpA, which is currently one of the most detailed studied S-layer proteins for functionalizing solid supports, forms monolayers on hydrophobic and double layers on hydrophilic silicon supports (Györvary et al., 2003; Moreno-Flores et al., 2008). In addition, in comparison with hydrophilic surfaces, the layer formation is much faster on hydrophobic supports starting from many different nucleation sites and thus leading to a mosaic of small crystalline domains (2D powder). Along this line, the importance of the interplay between hydrophobic and hydrophilic regions was studied in detail by reassembling the S-laver protein SbpA on self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) on gold composed of disulfides with different end groups [hydroxyl (OH) vs. methyl (CH<sub>3</sub>) groups] and lengths of the individual methylene chains (Moreno-Flores et al., 2008). The formation of monolayers was observed when the hydrophobic end groups (CH<sub>3</sub>) surmounted the hydrophilic (OH) ones. On the contrary, double S-layers were formed when hydrophilic (OH) groups superseded the hydrophobic (CH<sub>3</sub>) end groups. The threshold for the transition between native and nonnative S-layer parameters was four methylene groups. Finally, it must be noted that different lattice constants were observed on the two surfaces.

SAMs were also used to study the influence of the introduced surface chemistry (López *et al.*, 2011). The SAMs carried CH<sub>3</sub>, OH, carboxylic acid (COOH), or mannose  $(C_6H_{12}O_6)$ , respectively, as terminating functional groups. It was confirmed that electrostatic interactions (COOH functional groups) induce a faster adsorption than hydrophobic (CH<sub>3</sub> groups) or hydrophilic (OH groups) interactions – as already shown for the reattachment on the bacterial cell (Sleytr, 1975; Mader *et al.*, 2004) and at liposomes (Küpcü *et al.*, 1995b; Mader *et al.*, 1999, 2000), polyelectrolyte nanocapsules (Toca-Herrera *et al.*, 2005), and emulsomes (Ücisik *et al.*, 2013b).

As required by technological demands, a great variety of supports, differing in their physico-chemical properties, are currently investigated. Silicon and metal surfaces are exploited for applications in nano-electronics, glasses in nano-optics, and polymeric surfaces, such as epoxy-based negative photoresists (e.g. SU-8), in microfluidics (Picher et al., 2013). For example, silanization with either aminopropyltriethoxysilane or octadecyltrichlorosilane is often used in such applications to render the properties of a glass surface hydrophobic (Lopez et al., 2010). AFM and Quartz Crystal Microbalance with Dissipation monitoring (QCM-D) studies demonstrated that the S-layer protein SbpA adsorbs on aminopropyltriethoxysilane- and octadecyltrichlorosilane-modified surfaces much faster than on the native silicon dioxide rendered hydrophilic by plasma treatment (Lopez et al., 2010). AFM measurements showed that the crystalline domains were much smaller on silanized substrates compared with hydrophilic silicon dioxide ones. The protein adsorption was diffusion controlled up to a threshold concentration of 0.05 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> SbpA for silanized substrates and 0.07 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> SbpA for silicon dioxide.

Finally, the reassembly of S-layer proteins at the air/ water interface and on lipid films, and the handling of such layers by standard Langmuir Blodgett (LB) techniques, opened a broad spectrum of applications in basic and applied membrane research (Schuster & Sleytr, 2000, 2009b) (see section 'S-layer supported functional lipid membranes'). However, with respect to the reassembly of S-layer proteins per se at such interfaces, a detailed study using the S-layer protein SbpA as model system had been carried out on solid-supported lipid bilayers (Chung et al., 2010). The reassembly of the square lattice followed a multistage, nonclassical pathway in which monomers, with extended conformation, first formed a mobile adsorbed phase from which they condensed into amorphous clusters. In a subsequent phase transition, the S-layer proteins folded into clusters of compact tetramers. In the following, crystal growth proceeded by the formation of new tetramers exclusively at cluster edges. Further studies will show how this information on S-layer recrystallization on 'model' supports

will help to understand the *in vivo* assembly process in detail.

#### Genetics, domains, and biosynthesis

In the mid-eighties, first reports on cloning and sequencing of S-layer genes were published. The first complete Slayer gene sequence was that for the outer wall protein (OWP) from *Bacillus brevis* 47 (Tsuboi *et al.*, 1986). To date, the search term 'S-layer protein' yields more than 4000 hits in the nucleotide database of NCBI because numerous S-layer genes from *Archaea* and *Bacteria* have been sequenced and cloned (Sleytr *et al.*, 1999; Akca *et al.*, 2002; Sleytr *et al.*, 2002; Avall-Jääskeläinen & Palva, 2005; Messner *et al.*, 2010).

With the accumulation of S-layer gene sequences, screening for putative sequence identities became possible. Although S-layer proteins show low homology on the sequence level, common structural organization principles have been identified. The elucidation of the structurefunction relationship of distinct segments of S-layer proteins started with the production of N- and C-terminally truncated forms which were used for recrystallization and binding studies (Jarosch et al., 2001; Ilk et al., 2002; Huber et al., 2005). Thereby, it turned out that S-laver proteins exhibit mostly two separated morphological regions: one responsible for cell wall binding and the other required for self-assembly. The position of the cell wall-anchoring region within the protein can vary between bacterial species. Studies on a great variety of Slayer proteins from Bacillaceae revealed the existence of specific binding domains on the N-terminal part for sugar polymers, so-called secondary cell wall polymers (SCWPs), which are covalently linked to the peptidoglycan of the cell wall (Egelseer et al., 2010).

This specific molecular interaction is often mediated by a recurring structural motif of approximately 55 amino acids, which is mostly found in triplicate at the N-terminus of S-layer proteins. These so-called S-layer homology (SLH) motifs are involved in cell wall anchoring of S-layer proteins by recognizing a distinct type of SCWP, which carries pyruvic acid residues (Ries et al., 1997; Lemaire et al., 1998; Chauvaux et al., 1999; Ilk et al., 1999; Mesnage et al., 1999b, 2000; Cava et al., 2004; Mader et al., 2004; Rünzler et al., 2004; Huber et al., 2005). The need for pyruvylation was confirmed by the construction of knock-out mutants in Bacillus anthracis and Thermus thermophilus (Mesnage et al., 2000; Cava et al., 2004) as well as by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy using the S-layer protein rSbsB of G. stearothermophilus PV72/p2 and the corresponding SCWP (Petersen et al., 2008) as binding partners (Mader et al., 2004). For SbsB, the exclusive and complete

responsibility of a functional domain formed by the three SLH motifs for SCWP recognition could be confirmed, whereas for SbpA, the S-layer protein of L. sphaericus CCM 2177, an additional 58-amino acid-long SLH-like motif is required (Mader et al., 2004; Huber et al., 2005). The strong correlation between the existence of SLH motifs and the presence of the gene for the pyruvyltransferase CsaB was demonstrated once again in a very recent study (Pleschberger et al., 2013). Sequencing of 8004 bp in the 5'-upstream region of the S-laver gene sbpA led to the identification of a novel gene cluster comprising five open reading frames (ORFs) which encode proteins involved in cell wall metabolism of L. sphaericus CCM 2177. The two ORFs encoding the autolysin rAbpA and the pyruvyl transferase rCsaB were cloned and expressed in Escherichia coli, and the recombinantly produced proteins were characterized regarding their secondary structure and their enzymatic activity (Pleschberger et al., 2013).

Recently, the role of the three SLH motifs in the glycosylated S-layer protein SpaA of Paenibacillus alvei CCM 2051T was analyzed by site-directed mutagenesis and visualization by in vivo studies using homologous expression as well as in vitro binding assays (Janesch et al., 2013). It was demonstrated that the SLH motifs of SpaA are sufficient for in vivo cell surface display of foreign proteins at the cell surface of P. alvei. Furthermore, it was shown that in P. alvei, SLH domains have a dual-recognition function, one for the SCWP and one for the peptidoglycan, and that cell wall anchoring of SpaA is not a prerequisite for glycosylation. The coexistence of two N-terminally located binding domains (for SCWP and peptidoglycan) was already described many years ago for the SLH domain carrying S-layer protein SbsB of G. stearothermophilus PV72/p2 (Sára et al., 1998).

In contrast, S-layer proteins devoid of SLH motifs are anchored to different types of SCWP via their N- or C-terminal regions. Using affinity studies and SPR spectroscopy, a further main type of binding mechanism was described for *G. stearothermophilus* wild-type strains which involves a nonpyruvylated SCWP containing 2,3-diacetamido-2,3-dideoxymannuronic acid as the negatively charged component and a highly conserved N-terminal region lacking an SLH domain (Egelseer *et al.*, 1998; Schäffer *et al.*, 1999; Jarosch *et al.*, 2000, 2001; Ferner-Ortner *et al.*, 2007).

Among the S-layer proteins from Lactobacilli, which are devoid of SLH motifs, the regions important for cell wall binding and self-assembly are quite different. In the S-layer proteins SlpA of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and CbsA *of Lactobacillus crispatus*, a putative carbohydratebinding repeat comprising approximately the last 130 C-terminal amino acids has been identified. This is

one-third of this S-layer protein and was suggested to be involved in cell wall binding (Smit et al., 2001; Antikainen et al., 2002). The thus far characterized cell wall ligands to which the Lactobacillus S-layer attaches include teichoic acids, lipoteichoic acids, and neutral polysaccharides (Avall-Jääskeläinen & Palva, 2005). In contrast, in SlpA of Lactobacillus brevis ATCC 8287, the domains responsible for self-assembly (C-terminal) and cell wall binding (N-terminal) are located in a reverse order compared to those in all other Lactobacillus S-layer proteins characterized so far (Avall-Jääskeläinen et al., 2008). However, contrary to the L. acidophilus-group organisms, the specific cell wall component interacting with the S-layer protein in L. brevis ATCC 8287 was shown to be different than (lipo)teichoic acid (Avall-Jääskeläinen et al., 2008).

In Gram-negative bacteria, no general S-layer-anchoring motif has been identified and the S-layer is attached with its N- or C-terminus to the lipopolysaccharide component of the outer membrane (Thomas et al., 1992; Doig et al., 1993; Bingle et al., 1997b). For the Caulobacter crescentus S-layer protein RsaA, recrystallization on lipid vesicles was obtained only when the vesicles contained the specific species of Caulobacter smooth lipopolysaccharide that previous studies implicated as a requirement for attaching the S-layer to the cell surface (Nomellini et al., 1997). The specific type of phospholipids did not appear critical; phospholipids rather different from those present in Caulobacter membranes or archaeal ether lipids worked equally well. However, the source of lipopolysaccharide was critical. Furthermore, efficient recrystallization and long range order could not be obtained with pure protein, although it was apparent that calcium was required for crystallization (Nomellini et al., 1997).

Using selected N- or C-terminally truncated S-layer protein forms as fusion partners for foreign proteins or domains, it turned out that S-layer proteins are able to assemble into geometrically highly defined layers while incorporating a segment that has never participated in lattice formation. To date, a great variety of functional, chimeric S-layer proteins is available (Fig. 5, Table 2 and section 'S-layers as matrix for functional molecules and nanoparticles').

Although considerable knowledge has already been experimentally accumulated on the structure, biochemistry, assembly characteristics, and genetics of S-layer proteins, no structural model at atomic resolution was available for quite a while. Therefore, neither their tertiary structure nor exact amino acid or domain allocations in the lattices were known. A first tertiary structure prediction for an S-layer protein (SbsB from *G. stearothermophilus* PV72/p2; Sára *et al.*, 1996b) was obtained by molecular



**Fig. 5.** Schematic drawings of mono- and oligomeric S-layer fusion proteins: (a) fusion protein with single function, (b) fusion protein acting as template for oligomeric assemblies, (c) functional domains bound via flexible linkers to S-layer proteins assemble on the surface of the S-layer lattice. (d) Cartoon illustrating self-assembled S-layer fusion proteins (see Table 2) carrying functional domains (represented as knights) in defined position and orientation (Sleytr *et al.*, 2007a, b).

dynamic simulations using the mean force method (Horejs *et al.*, 2008). For the simulation of the folding, SbsB was divided into eight structurally independent domains: three domains at the N-terminus and five domains at the C-terminus. The N-terminal domains consisted mainly of  $\alpha$ -helices and the C-terminal ones of  $\beta$ -sheets. The obtained tertiary structure of SbsB showed that the N-terminus of SbsB<sub>1</sub>–<sub>207</sub> consists of six  $\alpha$ -helices that are linked by turns and coils. According to secondary structure predictions and sequence similarity searches, SbsB has three SLH domains with every domain made up of two  $\alpha$ -helices, respectively. The C-terminus of SbsB accounts for the

main part of the protein. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations performed for 30 ns (in vacuum) finally led to three main domains at the C-terminal end. The first domain is linear  $(aa_{208}-aa_{486})$  and connects the N-terminus with the

L-shaped part of the C-terminus, which is made up of the other two domains  $(aa_{487}-aa_{755} and aa_{756}-aa_{920})$  which are fibronectin type III and Ig-like group 2 domains, respectively. Later on, the same theoretical approach was used to predict the 3D structure of SbpA from *L. sphaericus* CCM2177 (Horejs *et al.*, 2011b).

The first high-resolution structure of a domain of a bacterial S-layer protein was obtained from X-ray studies with an assembly-negative, water-soluble, truncated form of the S-layer protein SbsC of G. stearothermophilus ATCC 12980 (2.4 Å resolution; Pavkov et al., 2008). Despite the intrinsic property of S-layer proteins to reassemble solely in two dimensions, it turned out that this truncated form is well suited for 3D crystallization studies. The crystal structure of rSbsC<sub>31-844</sub> (P2<sub>1</sub> space group symmetry) revealed a novel fold, consisting of six separate domains, which are connected by short flexible linkers. Furthermore, SCWP binding induced considerable stabilization of the N-terminal domain (Pavkov et al., 2008) what was later on accordingly confirmed for SbsB by AFM-based single-molecule spectroscopy (Horejs et al., 2011a). To complete the structure of the full-length protein, additional soluble constructs containing the crucial domains for self-assembly were cloned, expressed, and purified (Dordic et al., 2012). Currently, rebuilding and refinement of the structure is in progress and upon completion will yield the complete structure of the fulllength SbsC protein.

Most recently, the full-length atomistic SbsB structure was solved by the use of nanobody-aided crystallization (Baranova *et al.*, 2012). According to this investigation, SbsB consists of seven domains formed by an amino-terminated cell wall attachment domain (SLH domain) and six consecutive immunoglobulin-like domains organized into a  $\phi$ -shaped disk-like monomeric unit stabilized by an interdomain Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion coordination. It is interesting to see that the choice of structurally meaningful parts and domain predictions used in the theoretical approach [described before (Horejs *et al.*, 2008)] are in very good agreement for the first four domains identified in this high-resolution X-ray work.

However, the first reported crystal structure of an S-layer protein from a bacterial pathogen was described for *Clostridium difficile* (Fagan *et al.*, 2009). This S-layer protein contains a low molecular weight protein (LMW) and a high molecular weight (HMW) partner. Both proteins form a tightly associated noncovalent complex, the H/L complex. The crystal structure of a

Recombinant S-layer protein	Functionality	Length of function	Application	References
SbpA SbsB	Core streptavidin	118 aa	Binding of biotinylated ligands (DNA, protein), Biochip development	Moll <i>et al.</i> (2002) and Huber <i>et al.</i> (2006b)
SbpA, SbsC	Major birch pollen allergen (Bet v1)	116 aa	Vaccine development, treatment for type 1 allergy	Breitwieser <i>et al.</i> (2002) and Ilk <i>et al.</i> (2002)
SbpA	Strep-tag II, Affinity tag for streptavidin	9 aa	Biochip development	llk et al. (2002)
SbpA	ZZ, IgG-binding domain of Protein A	116 aa	Extracorporeal blood purification	Völlenkle <i>et al.</i> (2004)
SbpA	Enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)	238 aa	Coating of liposomes, Development of drug and delivery systems	llk <i>et al.</i> (2004)
SbpA	cAb, Heavy chain camel antibody	117 aa	Diagnostic systems and sensing layer for label-free detection systems	Pleschberger <i>et al.</i> (2004)
SbpA	Hyperthermophilic enzyme laminarinase (LamA)	263 aa	Immobilized biocatalysts	Tschiggerl <i>et al.</i> (2008b)
SbpA	Cysteine mutants	Заа	Building of nanoparticle arrays	Badelt-Lichtblau et al. (2009)
SbpA, SbsB	Mimotope of an Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) epitope (F1)	20 aa	Vaccine development	Tschiggerl <i>et al.</i> (2008a)
SbpA, SbsB	Mycoplasma tuberculosis antigen (mpt64)	204 aa	Vaccine development	H. Tschiggerl (pers. commun.)
SbpA	IgG-Binding domain of Protein G	110 aa	Downstream processing	Nano-S Inc. (pers. commun.)
SgsE	Glucose-1-phosphate thymidylyltransferase (RmIA)	299 aa	Immobilized biocatalysts	Schäffer <i>et al.</i> (2007)
SgsE	Enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (ECFP) Enhanced green fluorescent	240 aa 240 aa	pH biosensors <i>in vivo</i> or <i>in vitro,</i> fluorescent markers for drug delivery systems	Kainz <i>et al.</i> (2010a, b)
	Yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) Monomeric red fluorescent	240 aa 225 aa		
SbsA	Haemophilus influenzae	200 aa	Vaccine development	Riedmann <i>et al.</i> (2003)
SIpA	Antigenic poliovirus epitope (VP1)	11 aa	Development of mucosal vaccines	Avall-Jääskeläinen <i>et al.</i> (2002)
	Human c-myc proto-oncogene	10 aa		
SLH-EA1, SLH-Sap SLH-EA1	Tetanus toxin fragment C of	473 aa 451 aa	Vaccine development Development of live veterinary	Mesnage <i>et al.</i> (1999a) Mesnage <i>et al.</i> (1999c)
RsaA	Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain K pilin	12 aa	Vaccine development	Bingle <i>et al.</i> (1997a)
RsaA	IHNV glycoprotein	184 aa	Development of vaccines against hematopoietic virus infection	Simon <i>et al.</i> (2001)
RsaA	Beta-1.4-glycanase (Cex)	485 aa	Immobilized biocatalysts	Duncan <i>et al.</i> (2005)
RsaA	IgG-binding domain of Protein G	GB1 <sub>xs</sub>	Development of immunoactive reagent	Nomellini <i>et al.</i> (2007)
RsaA	Domain 1 of HIV receptor CD4 MIP1α ligand for HIV coreceptor CCR5	81 aa 70 aa	Anti-HIV microbicide development	Nomellini <i>et al.</i> (2010)
RsaA	His-tag, Affinity tag	6 aa	Bioremediation of heavy metals (Cd) from aqueous systems, bioreactor	Patel <i>et al.</i> (2010)
RsaA	Protective coat	6 aa	Protection against antimicrobial peptide in <i>Caulobacter crescentus</i>	Patel <i>et al.</i> (2010) and de la Fuente-Núñez <i>et al.</i> (2012)

Table 2. Functional recombinant S-layer fusion proteins and their applications (modified after; Sleytr et al., 2011)

S-layer proteins: SbsB of Geobacillus stearothermophilus PV72/p2, SbpA of Lysinibacillus sphaericus CCM 2177, SbsC of Geobacillus stearothermophilus ATCC 12980, SgsE of Geobacillus stearothermophilus NRS 2004/3a, SbsA of Bacillus stearothermophilus PV72/p6, SlpA of Lactobacillus brevis ATCC 8287, SLH (SLH domain of EA1 or Sap) of Bacillus anthracis, RsaA of Caulobacter crescentus CB15A. truncated derivative of the LMW protein was resolved down to 2.4 Å resolution and showed two domains (Fagan *et al.*, 2009).

Furthermore, using X-ray crystallography, it was shown that the three SLH domains from *B. anthracis* SAP assume the shape of a three-prong spindle (Kern *et al.*, 2011).

The first high-resolution crystal structure of an archaeal S-layer protein (2.3 Å resolution) was obtained for *Methanosarcina* species (Jing *et al.*, 2002; Arbing *et al.*, 2012) being representative for the structure of a large family of homologous archaeal *Methanosarcinaceae* proteins. While the S-layer structure reveals a protective, porous barrier, it is interesting to see that  $\beta$ -sandwich folds are structurally homologous to eukaryotic virus envelope proteins, suggesting that *Archaea* and viruses have found a common solution for protective envelope structures.

S-layer glycoproteins, first described in the 1970s (Table 1), were found in both domains, Archaea and Bacteria (see section 'Isolation and chemistry'). Typically, bacterial S-layer glycans are O-glycosidically linked to serine, threonine, or tyrosine residues, and they rely on a much wider variety of constituents, linkage types, and structures than their eukaryotic counterparts (Schäffer & Messner, 2004; Eichler & Adams, 2005; Messner et al., 2008, 2009, 2010; Ristl et al., 2011; Eichler & Maupin-Furlow, 2013). In the past few years, substantial progress has been made in describing the archaeal N-glycosylation pathway, where the glycan is linked to asparagine. Interestingly, although Eukarya, Bacteria, and Archaea seem to share certain features in their N-glycosylation pathways, the archaeal pathway is a mosaic of the eukaryal and bacterial systems (Albers & Meyer, 2011). In Archaea and Bacteria, only a single gene product (AglB and PglB, respectively) is needed for the oligosaccharyltransferase reaction, whereas in Eukarya, the oligosaccharyltransferase complex is composed of nine membrane-bound protein subunits (Albers & Meyer, 2011; Eichler & Maupin-Furlow, 2013).

For many years, due to the lack of suitable molecular tools, the understanding of the genetic basis for S-layer protein glycosylation was lagging behind the structural analyses. An important milestone was reached with the identification of the first S-layer glycosylation (*slg*) gene cluster in the bacterium *G. stearothermophilus* NRS 2004/3a (Novotny *et al.*, 2004). Until now, about 15 different S-layer glycoprotein glycan structures have been fully or partially elucidated, and several *slg* gene clusters have been identified, sequenced, and characterized (Ristl *et al.*, 2011).

In a proof-of-concept study, the transfer of the *Campylobacter jejuni* heptasaccharide and the *E. coli* O7 polysaccharide onto the SgsE S-layer protein of

*G. stearothermophilus* NRS 2004/3a as well as the successful expression of the S-layer neoglycoproteins in *E. coli* could be demonstrated. The degree of glycosylation of the S-layer neoglycoproteins after purification from the periplasmic fraction reached completeness and electron microscopical investigations revealed that recombinant glycosylation is fully compatible with the S-layer protein self-assembly system (Steiner *et al.*, 2008).

Based on the fact that the two *Bacteroidales* species *Bacteroides fragilis* and *Tannerella forsythia* both have general *O*-glycosylation systems and share a common glycosylation sequon, a very recent study reports on the successful transfer of the *B. fragilis O*-glycan onto heterologously expressed *T. forsythia* proteins and vice versa (Posch *et al.*, 2013). The authors showed that 'cross-glycosylation' of proteins in *Bacteroidales* are feasible, allowing the design of novel glycoproteins. To conclude, the S-layer system is a promising strategy for multivalent glycan display approaches where strict nanometer-scale control over position and orientation of the glycan epitopes is desired.

#### **Functional aspects**

When other cell surface components (e.g. capsules, glycocalyces) are absent, S-layers as the outermost cell envelope component represent an important interface between the cell and its environment. As S-layer carrying *Bacteria* and *Archaea* are ubiquitous in the biosphere, the supramolecular concept of a closed, isoporous protein lattice represent specific adaptations to diverse environmental and ecological conditions. Most important, S-layers are generally part of complex envelope structures (Fig. 2) and consequently should not be considered as isolated layers. Several of the functions assigned to S-layers are still hypothetical and not based on firm experimental data.

#### **Cell shape determination**

Based on the fact that in most *Archaea*, the S-layer is the exclusive cell envelope component outside the cytoplasmic membrane (Albers & Meyer, 2011), it was concluded that S-layers must have a shape determining and maintaining function. This assumption was addressed in detail by studying the role of the S-layer in morphogenesis and cell division of the rod shaped *T. tenax* and *Thermoproteus neutrophilus* (Messner *et al.*, 1986b; Wildhaber & Baumeister, 1987) and the lobed archaeon *M. sinense* as described in section 'Assembly *in vivo*'; Pum *et al.*, 1991).

#### Surface properties and protective coats

Labeling with charged topographical markers and affinity studies revealed that S-layers from several *Bacillus* strains do not possess a net negative charge as demonstrated for the underlying peptidoglycan-containing layer or other bacterial surface structures (Sára & Sleytr, 1987a; Gruber & Sleytr, 1991; Sára *et al.*, 1992). In native S-layers, carboxyl groups are neutralized by an equal number of amino groups, leading to a charge neutral outer surface (Weigert & Sára, 1995).

For glycosylated S-layer proteins, the long carbohydrate chains were found to be exposed to the ambient environment (Sára et al., 1988a, 1989; Messner & Sleytr, 1991a). Adsorption studies using whole cells which are completely covered with glycosylated S-layers revealed that they can bind to hydrophilic, hydrophobic, positively, and negatively charged materials to a comparable extent (Sára et al., 1988a). In this context, data obtained on cell surface hydrophobicity of a collection of different Lactobacillus strains with and without S-layers have to be taken into account. Contact angle measurements and AFM revealed that cell surface hydrophobicity changed in response to changes in ionic strenghth offering this strains a versatile mechanism to adhere to hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces (Vadillo-Rodríguez et al., 2004). As Lactobacilli can protect the host against infection by invading pathogens in the upper gastrointestinal tract and vagina, this surface properties are of great interest (Hynonen & Palva, 2013).

Interestingly, cell adhesion of the S-layer carrying strain G. stearothermophilus PV72 was less influenced by the environmental conditions than that of the S-layerdeficient variant T5 (Gruber & Sleytr, 1991). For the latter, hydrophobic interaction chromatography revealed a more pronounced hydrophilic surface. In the case of the pathogenic organism Aeromonas salmonicida, it could be demonstrated that the presence of the S-layer makes the cell surface much more hydrophobic (Trust et al., 1983). S-layers are capable of interacting with particles and materials of different physiochemical properties, thereby favoring adherence of whole cells to solid surfaces. In contrast, S-layers from thermophilic Bacillaceae did not adsorb charged macromolecules on their surface or inside the pores because this would hinder the transport of nutrients and metabolites (Sára & Sleytr, 1987a; Weigert & Sára, 1995). Based on these results, S-layers can be considered as structures with excellent 'antifouling' properties.

Furthermore, S-layers have been suggested to fulfill a protective function for the living cells by excluding hostile lytic enzymes such as muramidases and proteases. However, this could only be confirmed for a few examples.

The S-layer from *Sporosarcina urea* was found to protect the murein sacculus from lysozyme attack, possibly due to the presence of pores smaller than the enzyme molecules (Beveridge, 1979). This is in contrast to S-layer carrying muramidase-resistant strains of mesophilic Bacillus species which were found to have a muramidase-resistant chemically modified peptidoglycan but did not possess pores significantly smaller than strains of thermophilic *Bacillaceae* which allowed free passage of differently sized muramidases (Sára *et al.*, 1990).

S-layers from Gram-negative *Bacteria* such as *A. sal-monicida*, *Campylobacter fetus*, *Aquaspirillum serpens*, and *C. crescentus* protect the cells from attack by the bacterial parasite *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* by masking the outer membrane components and receptors, but do not show a protective function against other predators, such as protozoa (Koval, 1993; Beveridge et al., 1997).

More recently experimental data were presented which indicate that the S-layer that covers the outer membrane of *C. crescentus* is involved in protection against antimicrobial peptides present in the environment (de la Fuente-Núñez *et al.*, 2012).

A quite interesting type of protective function was reported for the S-layer lattice of *Synechococcus* (Schultze-Lam *et al.*, 1992; Schultze-Lam & Beveridge, 1994b), a cyanobacterium capable of growing in lakes with exceptionally high calcium and sulfate ion concentrations. The hexagonally ordered S-layer lattice functions as a template for fine-grain mineralization and is continuously shed from the cell surface to get rid of mineral depositions thereby maintaining basic vital processes such as growth and division as well as nutrient transport.

#### S-Layers related to pathogenicity

S-layers can contribute to virulence when they are present as a structural component of the cell envelope of pathogens. Bacillus anthracis, the etiological agent of anthrax, is capable of lethality in both animals and humans and is a biothreat of great concern (Blendon et al., 2002). The surface of B. anthracis, the causative agent of anthrax, is unusually complex: an S-layer is present underneath a poly-y-D-glutamic acid capsule, and the two structures are independent (Mesnage et al., 1998). Two proteins, Sap (Surface array protein) and EA1 (Extractable Antigen 1), encoded by the clustered chromosomal genes sap and eag, are the S-layer components (Etienne-Toumelin et al., 1995; Mesnage et al., 1997). Both proteins have an N-terminal cell wall-anchoring domain consisting of three SLH motifs followed by a putative crystallization domain comprising 604 C-terminal amino acids (Mesnage et al., 1999b; Candela et al., 2005). During the exponential growth phase, B. anthracis cells are surrounded by the

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

Sap S-layer protein, which is replaced by the EA1 S-layer protein when the cells enter the stationary phase (Mignot *et al.*, 2002). *In vitro* translation of selected ORFs on the virulence plasmid pXO1, followed by analysis of the reactivity of the ORF products with hyperimmune anti-*B. anthracis* antisera, led to the identification of the two S-layer proteins, both carrying three SLH motifs (Ariel *et al.*, 2002). Immunoreactivity studies using a truncated S-layer protein form devoid of the SLH moiety indicated that the C-terminal segment contributes significantly to S-layer immunogenicity (Ariel *et al.*, 2002).

In a recent study, single domain antibodies (sdAbs) were isolated using a phage display library prepared from immunized llamas (Walper *et al.*, 2012). Interestingly, the protein target for all six sdAb families was determined to be the S-layer protein EA1, which is present in both vegetative cells and bacterial spores. This research demonstrates the capabilities of these sdAbs and their potential for integration into current and developing assays and biosensors (Walper *et al.*, 2012).

Also recently, evidence was provided that *B. anthracis* S-layer protein K (BslK), an SLH, and near iron transporter (NEAT) protein are surface localized and bind and transfer heme to iron-regulated surface determinant (Isd) proteins in a rapid, contact-dependent manner (Tarlovsky *et al.*, 2013). This finding suggests that the Isd system can receive heme from multiple inputs and may reflect an adaptation of *B. anthracis* to changing iron reservoirs during an infection. Understanding the mechanism of heme uptake in pathogenic *Bacteria* is important for the development of novel therapeutics to prevent and treat bacterial infections (Tarlovsky *et al.*, 2013).

Bacillus cereus G9241 is the causative agent of respiratory anthrax-like disease in humans, which is most frequently observed in welders (Callahan et al., 2008). In a very recent study, Wang et al. (2013) showed that B. cereus G9241 elaborates two S-layer proteins, Sap and EA1, which are conserved relative to the S-layer proteins of B. anthracis but not identical to them. The S-layer and S-layer-associated proteins (BslA and BslO) of B. cereus G9241 are retained in the bacterial envelope in a manner requiring csaB, a gene responsible for adding pyruvic acid residues to the SCWP, whose sequence is virtually identical to that of B. anthracis. The finding that B. cereus G9241 csaB mutants cannot retain S-layer proteins and display a concomitant decrease in virulence suggests that S-layer assembly is important for the pathogenesis of this anthrax-like disease and that S-layers and S-layer-associated proteins have many different functions during infection. One of these functions is the control of the chain length of vegetative forms which represents a mechanism for bacterial escape from opsonophagocytic killing. If bacillus chain length exceeds the size of macrophages or

granulocytes, *Bacteria* cannot be engulfed (Wang *et al.*, 2013).

Clostridium difficile is a frequent cause of severe, recurrent postantibiotic diarrhea and pseudomembranous colitis (Kelly & LaMont, 1998). The C. difficile S-layer is the predominant outer surface component which is involved in pathogen-host interactions critical to pathogenesis. S-layer proteins could mediate the binding to both the intestinal epithelial cells and some components of their extracellular matrix fibers, contributing to further tissue damage (Calabi et al., 2002; Cerquetti et al., 2002). Evidence was provided that the HMW subunit functions as an adhesin which mediates adherence of C. difficile to host cells (Calabi et al., 2002). Ausiello and coworkers demonstrated the ability of C. difficile Slayer proteins to modulate the function of human monocytes and dendritic cells (DC) and to induce inflammatory and regulatory cytokines (Ausiello et al., 2006). Thus, S-layer proteins may fine-tune the equilibrium of Th1/Th2 response and affect antibody responses. Host antibody response plays an important role in protection, in particular IgM anti-S-layer proteins have been associated with a reduced risk of recurrent C. difficileassociated diarrhea in humans (Drudy et al., 2004). In this context, a protective effect of anti-S-layer protein serum has also been observed in a lethal hamster challenge model. The potential mechanism of action of the antiserum was shown to be through enhancement of C. difficile phagocytosis (O'Brien et al., 2005). Therefore, the possible use of S-layer proteins in a multicomponent vaccine against C. difficile infections for high-risk patients can be envisaged.

It is now evident that *Lactobacilli* over a long evolutionary period have colonized the mucosa of the upper gastrointestinal tract and the vagina coexisting in mutualistic relationship with the host. With *L. acidophilus* NCFM, it was shown that the S-layer protein interacts with a major receptor on DC and that the bacterial cells regulate dendritic- and T-cell immune functions, suggesting that this probiotic bacterium could directly or indirectly interfere with pathogen-induced effects on the host immune system (Konstantinov *et al.*, 2008). As individual strains of immunomodulatory probiotic *Bacteria* (e.g. dairy Propionibacteria) possess S-layers (Lortal *et al.*, 1993), it will be interesting to study their importance in more detail (Foligné *et al.*, 2010).

*Campylobacter fetus*, a spiral Gram-negative bacterium, is a recognized pathogen of cattle and sheep that can also infect humans (Guerrant *et al.*, 1978; Smibert, 1978; Blaser, 1998; Thompson & Blaser, 2000). *Campylobacter fetus* may be either type A or type B based on serotype, lipopolysac-charide structure, and S-layer protein type (Dubreuil *et al.*, 1990; Blaser *et al.*, 1994; Dworkin *et al.*, 1995). The S-layer

proteins have been shown to play a critical role in *C. fetus* virulence by protecting the bacterium from phagocytosis and serum killing (Blaser *et al.*, 1988). Graham and coworkers showed that attachment to extracellular matrix components (EMC) was neither correlated with S-layer expression nor with cell surface hydrophobicity (Graham *et al.*, 2008). However, ligand immunoblots, identified the S-layer protein as a major site of fibronectin binding, and modified ECM binding assays revealed that soluble fibronectin significantly enhanced the attachment of S-layer-expressing *C. fetus* strains to other ECM components (Graham *et al.*, 2008). For further information on S-layers related to pathogenicity, see section 'S-layers for vaccine development'.

## S-Layers as molecular sieves and antifouling coating

To determine the size of pores in S-layer lattices of different Bacillaceae, permeability studies were performed according to the space technique (Scherrer & Gerhard, 1971). For this purpose, native and glutaraldehyde-treated S-layer containers were prepared that resembled the shape of whole bacterial cells (Sára & Sleytr, 1987b). Native S-layer containers were composed of three adjacent layers namely the S-layer, the peptidoglycan-containing layer, and an inner S-layer attached to the inner face of the peptidoglycan layer. The latter was formed upon removal of the plasma membrane out of the pool of Slayer subunits originally entrapped in the peptidoglycan layer (Breitwieser et al., 1992). To distinguish between the molecular sieving properties between the S-layer and the peptidoglycan layer, the peptidoglycan layer was digested with lysozyme. The solutions selected for the molecular sieving measurements were sugars, proteins, and dextrans of increasing molecular weights. It was clearly demonstrated that the S-layer lattices allow free passage for molecules with a molecular weight of up to 30 kD and showed sharp exclusion limits between molecular weights of 30 and 45 kD, suggesting a limiting pore diameter in the range of 3-4.5 nm which resembles the pore dimensions determined by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and AFM (Messner et al., 2010; Pavkov-Keller et al., 2011). Moreover, of great relevance in these studies was the observation that the peptidoglycan layer does not limit the passage of molecules capable of penetrating the S-layer. This information on the structure and function of different S-layers of Bacillaceae makes it unlikely that their S-layers have the potential to function as an effective barrier against lysogenic enzymes (Sára & Sleytr, 1987b). Most important a great variety of permeability studies on S-layers

from Bacillaceae demonstrated that the surface and pore areas of the protein meshwork have a very low tendency for unspecific adsorption of (macro)molecules (Slevtr et al., 1986; Sára & Slevtr, 1987c, 1988; Sára & Slevtr, 1993; Sára et al., 1993; Sára et al., 1996a). This characteristic of S-layers is seen essential for maintaining an unhindered exchange of nutrients and metabolites between the cell and its environment. In this context, it is also important to note that the S-layer in Gram-positive Bacteria masks the net negative charge of the peptidoglycan layer which significantly determines interactions between living cells and its environment. The information available even allows the assumption that the S-layer surface and the pore areas have excellent antifouling properties (Sára & Sleytr, 1987b; Picher et al., 2013). With S-layer carrying Lactobacillus strains, it could be demonstrated that variations in cell surface hydrophobicity and cell adhesion to surfaces may vary upon changes in pH and ionic strength of the environment (Vadillo-Rodríguez et al., 2004).

#### S-Layers as adhesion zone for exoenzymes

The bacterial cell wall plays a key role in the exchange of substrates between the bacterium and its surrounding environment. Because S-layer-carrying G. stearothermophilus strains produce large amounts of exoproteins with molecular weights above the exclusion limit of their S-layers, the role of these porous lattices with regard to exoprotein secretion and adhesion came under careful scrutiny. It was also suggested that S-layers from members of the family Bacillaceae could delineate a kind of periplasmic space in cell envelopes of Gram-positive organisms and consequently delay or control the release of exoenzymes (Graham et al., 1991; Breitwieser et al., 1992; Sturm et al., 1993). Binding to the cell surface has also been reported for exoenzymes and exoproteins including the outer layer proteins OlpA and OlpB of Clostridium thermocellum (Fujino et al., 1993; Salamitou et al., 1994a, b; Lemaire et al., 1995) and the extracellular enzymes xylanase XynA, pullulanase AmyB and polygalacturonate hydrolase PglA of Thermoanaerobacterium thermosulfurigenes EM1 (Brechtel & Bahl, 1999; May et al., 2006). For the xylanase XynA, evidence could be provided that the C-terminally located SLH motifs (Engelhardt & Peters, 1998) are necessary to anchor the extracellular enzyme to the cell surface and that accessory cell wall polymers and not peptidoglycan functions as the adhesion component in the cell wall (Brechtel & Bahl, 1999).

First studies concerning the importance of the S-layer lattice with regard to exoprotein secretion were carried

out with the exoamylase-producing strain G. stearothermophilus DSM 2358 which indicated the putative role of the S-layer as an adhesion site for a high molecular mass amylase (HMMA; Egelseer et al., 1995). Affinity experiments strongly suggested the presence of a specific recognition mechanism between the amylase molecules and S-layer protein domains either exposed on the outermost surface or inside the pores (Egelseer et al., 1995). For further comparative studies, the closely related S-layercarrying (S<sup>+</sup>) strain G. stearothermophilus ATCC 12980 which is completely covered by the S-layer protein SbsC and the S-layer-deficient (S<sup>-</sup>) variant thereof was selected as model system (Egelseer et al., 1996). On the genetic level, the S<sup>+</sup> and the S<sup>-</sup> strain showed similarity values of 100%, except that in the S<sup>-</sup> variant, expression of the sbsC gene was found to be inhibited by the insertion of the bacterial insertion sequence (IS) element ISBst12 (Egelseer et al., 2000). On starch medium, both strains of G. stearothermophilus ATCC 12980 secreted two smaller amylases and one HMMA into the culture fluid (Egelseer et al., 1996), but only the latter also remained cell-associated. Using heterologously produced N- or C-terminally truncated SbsC forms and the native HMMA for affinity studies, it turned out that the N-terminal part of SbsC must comprise the binding region for the exoenzyme (Jarosch et al., 2001). After elucidation of the hmma gene sequence, the full-length rHMMA, N- or C-terminal rHMMA truncations, as well as C-terminal rHMMA fragments were heterologously produced (Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann et al., 2009). The different rHMMA forms were used either for affinity studies with rSbsC, peptidoglycan-containing sacculi, and pure peptidoglycan devoid of SCWP, or for SPR studies using rSbsC31-443 (a truncated rSbsC form comprising the N-terminus) and isolated SCWP. On the basis of all available data, a specific binding region for each of the three cell wall components (rSbsC, SCWP, and peptidoglycan) could be identified in the C-terminal part of the rHMMA, representing the smallest regions necessary for interaction (Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann et al., 2009).

For *G. stearothermophilus* wild-type strains, changing environmental conditions led to S-layer variant formation (Sára & Sleytr, 1994; Sára *et al.*, 1996b; Egelseer *et al.*, 2000; Scholz *et al.*, 2000, 2001). During the oxygen-induced switch from the wild-type strain *G. stearothermophilus* PV72/p6 to the variant PV72/p2, not only the S-layer protein but also the type of SCWP was altered (Sára *et al.*, 1996b). However, in all variants investigated so far, the peptidoglycan-chemotype remained constant. In order to adapt to any change in the composition of the cell wall induced by altered environmental conditions and variant formation, the HMMA evolved a multifunctional binding mechanism that provides the enzyme with a great flexibility.

#### S-Layers as template for fine-grain mineralization and bioremediation

S-lavers are a very common surface structure in Bacteria including Cyanobacteria (Smarda et al., 2002). A unique ecological role could be demonstrated for the cyanobacterial S-layer of Synechococcus strain GL24. This bacterium was found to induce mineralization of fine-grain gypsum (CaSO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O) and calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) in a fresh water lake (Thompson & Ferris, 1990). The S-layer has a hexagonal monomer arrangement and provides regularly spaced, chemically identical nucleation sites for mineral growth (Schultze-Lam et al., 1992; Schultze-Lam & Beveridge, 1994a; Smarda et al., 2002). Mineral formation begins within the large holes of the array when Ca<sup>2+</sup> binds to negatively charged sites on the S-layer protein and is joined by  $SO_4^{2-}$ , initiating the formation of a mineral aggregate. Eventually, the S-layer becomes encrusted with mineral and is shed so that cells have a patchy appearance with respect to the location of mineralized portions of their surface. Shedding of S-layer material could be a common process of Bacteria to get rid of mineral depositions on their cell surface thereby maintaining basic vital processes such as growth and division as well as nutrient transport. The natural pH value of the lake (c. pH 7.9) promotes the formation of gypsum, but in the course of seasonal warming, further alkalization in the close microenvironment of each photosynthesizing cell pushes the solid mineral field toward the formation of stable calcite crystals in which the sulfate is replaced by carbonate. Although the involvement of cyanobacteria in the formation of calcium carbonate has been well established, microbial involvement in the formation of other carbonate minerals has not been extensively studied. In an experimental system, it could be demonstrated that Synechococcus mediates a similar sulfate-to-carbonate transformation when Sr<sup>2+</sup> is the major divalent cation present, forming celestite and strontianite which were considered to be formed by abiogenic mechanisms such as evaporation (Schultze-Lam & Beveridge, 1994b). Due to the difficulty of examining the process of calcite nucleation on natural matrices such as Synechococcus S-layers, a very recent review focused on studies of nucleation at carboxyl-terminated alkane thiol SAM surfaces on noble metal substrates. To some extent, these films provide a mimic of two key features of the Synechococcus S-layer because they are rich in carboxyl groups that can bind Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and they present an ordered array of such functional groups (De Yoreo et al., 2013). The ability to form fine-grain mineral sediments may be much more common among planktonic prokaryotes endowed with S-layers than can be imagined currently (Klingl et al., 2011). Because prokaryotes exist since approximately 3.5 billion

years, they could have had a major impact on global crust development.

Currently, there is much interest in the synthesis of inorganic materials using biomimetic approaches. Inspired by the process of biomineralization, the potential of S-layer proteins and their self-assembly products as catalysts, templates, and scaffolds for the generation of novel silica architectures was investigated (Göbel et al., 2010). For that purpose, the S-layer protein SbpA of L. sphaericus CCM 2177 was used as organic template for the generation of nanostructured silica. Using tetramethoxysilane (TMOS), TEM investigations showed the formation of a nanostructured silica network resembling the S-layer lattice. QCM-D measurements of silica adsorption demonstrated that a certain amount of negatively charged sites, such as phosphate molecules or activated carboxyl groups, significantly promote the deposition of silica on the S-layer (Göbel et al., 2010). Studying the formation of silicified S-layers may help to develop novel siliconbased materials with enhanced mechanical stability and optical properties (Schuster et al., 2013).

Another current approach considers the use of bacterial S-layers as a potential alternative for bioremediation processes of heavy metals in field. The S-layer of Bacillus sphaericus JG-A12, an isolate from a uranium mining waste pile in Germany, was shown to bind high amounts of toxic metals such as U, Cu, Pd(II), Pt(II), and Au(III) (Pollmann et al., 2006). Furthermore, Velásquez and coworkers determined the tolerance of different Colombian B. sphaericus native strains to different heavy metals and came to the conclusion that their S-layer proteins might have the ability to entrap metallic ions, either on living or dead cells (Velásquez & Dussan, 2009). In 2010, a recombinant bioremediation agent of high efficiency and low cost was developed by inserting a hexa-histidine peptide into a permissive site of the S-layer protein RsaA of the harmless, Gram-negative bacterium C. crescentus in order to remove cadmium from contaminated water samples (Patel et al., 2010). To summarize, these special capabilities of the bacterial cells and their S-layers are highly interesting for the clean-up of contaminated waste waters, for the recovery of precious metals from wastes of the electronic industry, as well as for the production of metal nanoclusters.

#### Applications

#### Isoporous ultrafiltration membranes

Information on either the mass distribution in S-layer lattices obtained by high-resolution electron microscopy or the 'functional pore' size derived from permeability studies led to the use of isoporous protein lattices for the production of ultrafiltration membranes with very accurate molecular weight cutoffs (Sleytr & Sára, 1986; Sára & Sleytr, 1987b, c; Sára *et al.*, 1988b). S-layer ultrafiltration membranes (SUMs) were produced by depositing S-layer fragments as a coherent layer on microfiltration membranes. The mechanical and chemical stability of their composite structure is subsequently obtained by interand intramolecular cross-linking. The chemical and thermal resistance of these membranes was shown to be comparable to polyamide membranes. The uniformity of functional groups on both the surface and within the pore area of the S-layer lattice could be used for very accurate chemical modifications in the subnanometer range.

SUMs produced with S-layers from Bacillus or Geobacillus strains showed a molecular weight cutoff in the range of 30 to 40 kDa (Sára et al., 1996a; Sleytr et al., 2001). The flux of SUMs ranges from 150 to 250 L  $m^{-2}$   $h^{-1}$ when measured at 0.2 MPa with water (Sára & Sleytr, 1988). Surface properties and molecular sieving as well as antifouling characteristics of SUMs were tuned by chemical modifications involving activation of carboxyl groups with carbodiimides and subsequently converting them with differently sized and/or charged nucleophiles (Küpcü et al., 1993; Weigert & Sára, 1995; Sleytr et al., 2001). In this way, depending on the specific separation processes, SUMs can be prepared with different net charges, hydrophilic or hydrophobic surface properties and separation characteristics. Most important for separation processes, in comparison with conventional ultrafiltration membranes produced by amorphous polymers, SUMs revealed an extremely low unspecific protein adsorption (membrane fouling) in buffer solutions. Because of their high stability under shear forces, SUMs have also a broad application potential as a matrix for immobilizing functional molecules (e.g. ligands, enzymes, antibodies and antigens; Weiner et al., 1994a, b; Sleytr et al., 2001, 2002; Sára et al., 2006a, b; Egelseer et al., 2010; Sleytr et al., 2011). More recently, SUMs have been used as supporting and stabilizing structures for functional lipid membranes (see section 'S-layer supported functional lipid membranes').

#### S-Layers as matrix for functional molecules and nanoparticles

Because S-layer lattices are composed of identical protein or glycoprotein species, functional sequences introduced either by chemical modification or genetic engineering must be aligned in exact positions and orientation down to the subnanometer scale (Sleytr *et al.*, 1999, 2001, 2005; Sára *et al.*, 2006a, b; Sleytr *et al.*, 2007a; Egelseer *et al.*, 2010; Sleytr *et al.*, 2011, 2013).

Chemical modification and labeling experiments revealed that S-layer lattices possess a high density of functional

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

groups on the outermost surface. As in bacterial S-layers, the subunits are linked to each other and to the underlying cell envelope layer by noncovalent interactions, a stable immobilization matrix can only be obtained by cross-linking with glutardialdehyde or other intra- and intermolecular cross-linkers (Sára & Sleytr, 1989; Sára et al., 1993, 1996a). For immobilization of foreign, functional molecules such as enzymes, ligands, antigens, or antibodies, free carboxylic acid groups in the S-layer protein were activated with water-soluble carbodiimide which could then react with free amino groups of the macromolecules leading to stable peptide bonds between the S-layer matrix and the immobilized protein (Sára & Sleytr, 1989; Sleytr et al., 1993b; Weiner et al., 1994a; Küpcü et al., 1995b; Breitwieser et al., 1996; Küpcü et al., 1996). Independent of the type of S-laver protein originating from different Bacillaceae, large enzymes such as invertase, glucose oxidase, glucuronidase, or β-galactosidase formed a monolayer on the outer surface of the S-layer lattice (Neubauer et al., 1993, 1994, 1996; Sára et al., 1996a; Slevtr et al., 2001). The activity of smaller enzymes retained upon immobilization strongly depended on the molecular size of the enzyme, the morphological properties of the S-layer lattice as well as the applied immobilization procedure (Küpcü et al., 1995a). For enzymes such as β-glucosidase with a molecular size slightly above the pore size in the S-layer lattice, an activity loss could be prevented by introducing spacer molecules which increased the distance between the immobilized enzyme and the S-layer lattice (Küpcü et al., 1995b).

Furthermore, a universal biospecific matrix for immunoassays and dipsticks could be generated by immobilizing monolayers of either protein A or streptavidin onto SUMs (Breitwieser et al., 1996). SUM-based dipsticks were used for diagnosis of type I allergies, as well as for quantification of tissue type plasminogen activator (t-PA) and interleukin 8 (IL8; Sleytr & Sára, 1997; Breitwieser et al., 1998; Sleytr et al., 2004). Furthermore, the S-layer lattice was exploited as an immobilization matrix for a dipstick assay developed for prion diagnosis (Völkel et al., 2003). SUMs were also chosen as matrix for an amperometric glucose sensor using glucose oxidase as the biologically active component (Neubauer et al., 1993), and S-layer microparticles obtained by mechanical disruption of whole cells were used for the fabrication of a multienzyme biosensor for sucrose (Neubauer et al., 1994).

Just recently, a lab-on-a-chip containing embedded amperometric sensors that are coated with crystalline monolayers formed by the S-layer protein SbpA of *L. sphaericus* CCM 2177 was developed to provide a continuous, stable, reliable, and accurate detection of blood glucose (Picher *et al.*, 2013). The key feature of this novel concept is the integration of a uniform bioactive S-layer with improved antifouling properties over conventional antifouling strategies that are capable of preventing blood coagulation along 'foreign' lab-on-a-chip surfaces such as glass, polydimethylsiloxane, and metal electrodes. This novel combination of biologically derived nanostructured surfaces with microchip technology constitutes a powerful new tool for multiplexed analysis of complex samples.

Several studies already demonstrated that preformed nanoparticles can be bound in regular distribution on native S-layers (Hall *et al.*, 2001; Bergkvist *et al.*, 2004; Györvary *et al.*, 2004). The pattern of bound molecules and nanoparticles reflected the size of the morphological units, the lattice symmetry, and the physicochemical properties of the array. Using electron microscopical methods, the distribution of net negatively charged domains on S-layers could be visualized after labeling with the positively charged topographical marker polycationic ferritin (Messner *et al.*, 1986b; Sleytr *et al.*, 2001).

Due to the promising results obtained with native S-layers as immobilization matrix, genetic engineering of S-layer proteins was envisaged. On the basis of recrystallization studies and surface accessibility screens with various genetically produced N- and/or C-terminally truncated forms, several bacterial S-layer proteins were selected as fusion partner for the construction of chimeric S-layer proteins. It turned out that S-layer proteins are capable of tolerating fusions with foreign proteins or domains that have never participated in lattice formation while retaining the ability to assemble into geometrically highly defined layers. The general applicability of the 'S-layer tag' to any fusion partner led to a high flexibility for variation of the functional groups. To date, a great variety of functional S-layer fusion proteins was cloned and heterologously expressed in E. coli or used for surface display after homologous expression (Egelseer et al., 2010; Ilk et al., 2011a; Sleytr et al., 2011; Table 2). Using TEM and AFM as well as functional tests, it could be demonstrated that the recrystallization properties conferred by the S-layer protein moiety as well as the functionalities of the fused peptide sequences were retained in all S-layer fusion proteins. Moreover, functional proteins maintain their functionality much better on the S-layer protein matrix in comparison when being directly attached (immobilized) to solid supports.

S-layer fusion proteins incorporating either the sequence of the hypervariable region of heavy chain camel antibodies recognizing lysozyme or the prostate-specific antigen (PSA; Pleschberger *et al.*, 2003, 2004), two copies of the Fc-binding Z-domain, a synthetic analogue of the B-domain of Protein A (Völlenkle *et al.*, 2004), the major birch pollen allergen (Bet v1; Breitwieser *et al.*, 2002; Ilk *et al.*, 2002), fluorescent proteins (Ilk *et al.*, 2004; Kainz *et al.*, 2010a, b), core streptavidin (Moll *et al.*, 2002; Huber *et al.*, 2006a, b), a C-terminally fused cysteine residue for patterning of nanoparticles (Badelt-Lichtblau *et al.*, 2009), or monomeric and multimeric enzymes from extremophiles (Schäffer *et al.*, 2007; Tschiggerl *et al.*, 2008b; Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann *et al.*, 2013) were successfully recrystallized on various solid supports (e.g. gold chips, silicon wafers, polystyrene or magnetic beads) or on liposomes (Table 2 and Figs 4 and 5).

Based on the demonstrated suitability of the S-laver protein self-assembly system for covalent enzyme immobilization, genetic approaches were pursued to construct fusion proteins comprising S-layer proteins of Bacillaceae and enzymes from extremophiles for the development of novel biocatalysts (Schäffer et al., 2007; Tschiggerl et al., 2008b; Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann et al., 2011). Significant advantages for enzyme immobilization by the S-layer selfassembly system over processes based on random immobilization of sole enzymes include the requirement of only a simple, one-step incubation process for site-directed immobilization without preceding surface activation of the support. Moreover, the provision of a cushion for the enzyme through the S-layer moiety of the fusion protein prevents denaturation and consequently loss of enzyme activity upon immobilization. In a recent study, for the first time, self-assembling biocatalysts, consisting of Slayer lattices exhibiting surface exposed active multimeric extremozymes, were produced (Fig. 5; Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann et al., 2013). The challenging step forward was to use enzymes of extremophiles which are active only in the multimeric state. For proof of concept, the tetrameric enzyme xylose isomerase and the trimeric enzyme carbonic anhydrase were selected and fused via a peptide linker to the C-terminal end of the S-layer protein SbpA of L. sphaericus CCM 2177. The study demonstrated that the outstanding robustness and high stability of multimeric extremozymes could be combined with the unique lattice forming capability and periodicity of bacterial S-layers, thereby providing a matrix for a most accurate spatial presentation of the multimeric enzymes (Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann et al., 2013).

The design of S-layer/extremozyme fusion proteins was based on a rather similar approach chosen for the construction of S-layer/streptavidin fusion proteins allowing to arrange any biotinylated target into the regular arrays formed by the S-layer (Moll *et al.*, 2002; Huber *et al.*, 2006a, b). Core streptavidin was either fused to N- or C-terminal positions of S-layer proteins. As biologically active streptavidin occurs as tetramer, functional heterotetramers consisting of one chain fusion protein and three chains of core streptavidin were prepared by applying a special refolding procedure (Moll *et al.*, 2002). The lattice formed by the S-layer/streptavidin fusion proteins displayed streptavidin in defined repetitive spacing, capable of binding biotinylated proteins, in particular ferritin (Fig. 5b; Moll *et al.*, 2002). In a different approach, functionalized monomolecular S-layer lattices formed by the S-layer fusion protein rSbpA/STII/Cys exhibiting highly accessible cysteine residues in a well-defined arrangement on the surface were utilized for the template-assisted patterning of gold nanoparticles (Badelt-Lichtblau *et al.*, 2009).

#### S-Layers for vaccine development

As surface components frequently mediate specific interactions of a pathogen with its host organism, especially S-layers of pathogenic strains are expected to have an important role in virulence (see also section 'S-layers related to pathogenicity'). For that purpose, S-layer proteins are prime candidates for vaccine development. To date, current experiments focus on the use of S-layer proteins as attenuated pathogens, as antigen/hapten carrier, as adjuvants, or as part of vaccination vesicles (Sleytr *et al.*, 1991; Messner *et al.*, 1996; Sleytr *et al.*, 2002).

Because a reproducible immobilization of peptide epitopes to common carriers which were used as monomers in solution or as dispersions of unstructured aggregates on aluminum salts could not be achieved (Brown *et al.*, 1993; Powell & Newman, 1995), the use of regularly structured S-layer self-assembly products as immobilization matrices was envisaged. Therefore, several conjugate vaccines with S-layer (glyco)proteins and carbohydrate antigens (poly- and oligosaccharides), haptens or the recombinant birch pollen allergen Bet v1 were produced which showed promising results in vaccination trials (Sleytr *et al.*, 1989; Messner *et al.*, 1992; Malcolm *et al.*, 1993a, b; Smith *et al.*, 1993; Jahn-Schmid *et al.*, 1996a, b; Messner *et al.*, 1996; Jahn-Schmid *et al.*, 1997).

In earlier studies, the crystalline surface-layer glycoproteins of *T. thermohydrosulfuricus* L111–69, *G. stearothermophilus* NRS 2004/3a and *P. alvei* CCM 2051 were used for immobilization of spacer-linked blood group A-trisaccharide and of the spacer-linked, tumor-associated T-disaccharide (Messner *et al.*, 1992). The haptens were immobilized to either the protein moiety or the glycan chains of the respective S-layer glycoproteins. The resulting conjugates were useful for assessing the application potential of haptenated surface-layer preparations as carrier/adjuvants for the induction of immunity to poorly immunogenic molecules (Messner *et al.*, 1992, 1996).

Immunization of mice with conjugates of oligosaccharide haptens and crystalline S-layers primed the animals for a strong, hapten-specific, delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response (Smith *et al.*, 1993). Most important, S-layer conjugates also elicited strong antihapten DTH responses when administered by an oral/nasal route. Apparently, the natural assembly of S-layer proteins into large, two-dimensional arrays endows them with intrinsic adjuvant properties (Smith *et al.*, 1993).

First studies concerning the applicability of S-layers as vaccine carrier for treatment of type I allergy were carried out using native or cross-linked S-layer self-assembly products and cell wall preparations from L. sphaericus CCM 2177 as well as T. thermohydrosulfuricus L111-69 and L110-69 for immobilization of recombinant major birch pollen allergen Bet v 1 (Jahn-Schmid et al., 1996b). Stimulation of human allergen-specific Th2 lymphocytes with S-layer-conjugated Bet v 1 led to a modulation of the cytokine production pattern from Th2 to Th0/Th1, indicating that S-layers may be suitable carriers for immunotherapeutical vaccines for type 1 hypersensitivity. In a subsequent study, the adjuvant effect of S-laver proteins mediated by IL-12 was demonstrated (Jahn-Schmid et al., 1997). In cultures of peripheral blood mononuclear cells, both S-layer protein and S-layer/Bet v 1 conjugate (but not rBet v 1) stimulated the production of high levels of IL-12, a pivotal mediator of Th1 responses (Jahn-Schmid et al., 1997).

In the following years, chemical coupling procedures were replaced by genetic fusion of the major birch pollen allergen Bet v1 to bacterial S-layer proteins resulting in recombinant fusion proteins exhibiting reduced allergenicity as well as immunomodulatory capacity (Breitwieser et al., 2002; Ilk et al., 2002; Bohle et al., 2004). This was exemplified by two S-layer/allergen fusion proteins, rSbpA/Bet v1 and rSbsC/Bet v1, carrying Bet v 1 at the C-terminus (Breitwieser et al., 2002; Ilk et al., 2002). Immunological studies showed that both fusion proteins displayed strongly reduced IgE binding capacity compared with free rBet v 1 and promoted the induction of allergen-specific Th0/1 cells and regulatory T cells (Bohle et al., 2004; Gerstmayr et al., 2007, 2009). For first studies, expression of the S-layer/allergen fusion proteins was carried out in the Gram-negative expression host E. coli which had to be followed by a very material and time consuming purification procedure to remove the associated endotoxin. In a more recent study, Bacillus subtilis 1012, a Gram-positive, nonpathogenic organism with naturally high secretory capacity, was chosen as host for expression of the pyrogen-free recombinant S-layer/allergen fusion protein rSbpA/Bet v 1 (Ilk et al., 2011b). Structural and immunological investigations of the obtained fusion protein revealed that rSbpA/Bet v 1 was endotoxin-free and showed excellent recrystallization properties and immune reactivity. To conclude, for the first time, a pyrogen-free recombinant S-layer/allergen fusion protein required for vaccine development was produced using a Gram-positive expression system based on B. subtilis 1012 (Ilk et al., 2011b).

To summarize, S-layer carrier conjugates are superior vaccine carriers because (1) they elicit DTH and immuno-

protective antibody responses without the use of extraneous adjuvants, (2) they can be administered by several different immunization routes (intramuscular, subcutaneous, nasal/oral), and they are immunological unique, which means that antibody and delayed-type hypersensitivity responses to each S-layer are specific and not cross-reactive (Malcolm *et al.*, 1993b).

The mechanical and thermal stability of S-laver-coated liposomes (Küpcü et al., 1998; Hianik et al., 1999; Mader et al., 1999) and the possibility for immobilization or entrapping biologically active molecules (Küpcü et al., 1995a; Mader et al., 2000; Krivanek et al., 2002) introduced a broad application potential, particularly as carrier and/or drug delivery and drug-targeting systems or in gene therapy. A very recent study described a novel nanocarrier system comprising lipidic emulsomes and S-layer (fusion) proteins as functionalizing tools coating the surface (Ucisik et al., 2013b). In vitro cell culture studies showed that S-layer coated emulsomes can be taken up by human liver carcinoma cells (HepG2) without any significant cytotoxicity. S-layer coating led to a change in the zeta potential of the emulsomes from positive to negative, thus protecting the cell from oxidative stress and cell membrane damage. By combining the high drug loading capacity of emulsomes with recombinant S-laver technology, new applications for these emulsomes in nanomedicine, especially for drug delivery and targeting, can be envisaged (Ucisik et al., 2013a, b).

Bacillus anthracis spores germinate to vegetative forms in host cells and produce fatal toxins. As previously updated (see section 'S-layers related to pathogenicity'), the S-layer of B. anthracis is composed of two proteins, EA1 and Sap which comprise 5-10% of total cellular protein (Etienne-Toumelin et al., 1995). In a former study, a recombinant B. anthracis strain was constructed by integrating into the chromosome a translational fusion harboring the DNA fragments encoding the SLH domain of EA1 and tetanus toxin fragment C (ToxC) of Clostridium tetani. The immune response to ToxC was sufficient to protect mice against tetanus toxin challenge and could be tested for the development of new live veterinary vaccines (Mesnage et al., 1999c). In a very recent study, the protective effect of EA1 against anthrax was investigated (Uchida et al., 2012). For that purpose, mice were intranasally immunized with recombinant EA1, followed by a lethal challenge of B. anthracis spores. It could be demonstrated that immunization with EA1 greatly reduced the number of bacteria in infected organs and protected the mice from lethal infection, thus suggesting that EA1 is a novel candidate for an anthrax vaccine.

The display of heterologous proteins on the cell surface of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) is an exciting and emerging research area that holds great promise for the developments of live vaccine delivery system. In this context, the development of live mucosal vaccines using Lactobacillus strains carrying S-layers composed of hybrid proteins on their surface is of great interest (Hynonen & Palva, 2013). Small model peptides have already been displayed in each monomer of the S-layer of L. brevis ATCC 8287 and L. acidophilus ATCC 4356 by chromosomal integration based on homologous recombination (Avall-Jääskeläinen et al., 2002; Smit et al., 2002). A recent study describes a novel characteristic of the S-layer of L. acidophilus ATCC 4365, a GRAS status protein, because it contributes to the pathogen exclusion reported for this probiotic strain (Martinez et al., 2012). The S-layer protein of this strain was shown to bind electrostatically to dendritic cell-specific ICAM-3-grabbing nonintegrin (DC-SIGN), a cell surface adhesion factor that enhances viral entry of several virus families including HIV type 1, hepatitis C virus, Ebola virus, cytomegalovirus, Dengue virus, and SARS coronavirus and may therefore be applied as novel antiviral agent (Martinez et al., 2012).

One of the greatest obstacles in developing an effective vaccine against *Aeromonas hydrophila*, an important fish pathogen in aquaculture systems, is its high heterogeneity in nature (Poobalane *et al.*, 2010). The results of the study suggested that the recombinant S-layer protein of *A. hydrophila* could be useful as a vaccine antigen to protect fish against different isolates of this pathogenic bacterium.

The S-layer proteins of C. difficile have been shown to be involved in gut colonization and in the adhesion process to the intestinal mucosa. Some years ago, O'Brien and coworkers showed that a passive immunization using anti-S-layer protein antibodies significantly delayed the progress of C. difficile infection in a lethal hamster challenge model (O'Brien et al., 2005). In a subsequent study, C. difficile S-layer proteins were tested as a vaccine component in a series of immunization and challenge experiments with hamsters. However, none of the regimes tested conferred complete protection of animals and antibody stimulation was variable and generally modest or poor (Ni Eidhin et al., 2008). In a recent, novel approach, the C. difficile protease Cwp84, found to be associated with the S-layer proteins, was evaluated as a vaccine antigen. Hamster immunization studies demonstrated that Cwp84 is an attractive component for inclusion in a vaccine to reduce C. difficile intestinal colonization in humans, which in turn may diminish the risk of C. difficile infection (Pechine et al., 2011).

#### S-layer supported functional lipid membranes

The building principle of S-layer supported lipid membranes (SsLMs) is copied from the supramolecular cell

envelope structure of Archaea (Fig. 2a and b). It is assumed that the cell envelope structure of Archaea is a key prerequisite for these organisms to be able to dwell under extreme environmental conditions such as temperatures up to 120 °C, pH down to 0, high hydrostatic pressure, or high salt concentrations (De Rosa, 1996; Stetter, 1999; Hanford & Peeples, 2002; Albers & Meyer, 2011). Hence, S-layers must therefore integrate the basic functions of mechanical and osmotic cell stabilization (Engelhardt, 2007). As suitable methods for disintegration of archaeal S-layer protein lattices and their reassembly into monomolecular arrays on lipid films are not yet available, S-layer proteins from Gram-positive Bacteria are used for the generation of SsLMs (Schuster et al., 2008; Schuster & Sleytr, 2009b; Schuster et al., 2010; Sleytr et al., 2011). Moreover, S-layer proteins or glycoproteins can be utilized as biofunctional surfaces (Küpcü et al., 1995a; Schuster et al., 2008; Schuster & Sleytr, 2009b; Sleytr & Messner, 2009; Sleytr et al., 2010, 2011; Ücisik et al., 2013b). Disregarding emulsomes, these model lipid membranes consist either of an artificial phospholipid bilayer or a tetraetherlipid monolayer which replaces the cytoplasmic membrane and a closely associated bacterial S-layer lattice (Fig. 6). In addition, a second S-layer acting as protective molecular sieve and further stabilizing scaffold and antifouling layer can be recrystallized on the top of the previously generated SsLM (Fig. 6). These features make S-layer lattices to unique supporting architectures resulting in lipid membranes with nanopatterned fluidity and considerably extended longevity (Schuster & Sleytr, 2000; Gufler et al., 2004; Schuster et al., 2004; Schuster & Sleytr, 2006;



**Fig. 6.** Schematic drawing of a lipid membrane on S-layer (yellow) covered solid (black; left) or porous (black/white; right) supports. Some head groups of the lipid molecules within the membrane (gray) interact electrostatically with certain domains on the S-layer lattice. A further (glyco)protein S-layer lattice can be recrystallized on the outer leaflet of the lipid membrane (left). In analogy, some head groups of the lipid molecules within the membrane (gray) interact electrostatically with certain domains of the S-layer proteins. The lipid molecules on the left side depict schematically phospholipids, whereas the lipid molecules on the right side indicate ether lipids.

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

Schuster et al., 2008; Schuster & Sleytr, 2009b; Schuster et al., 2010).

SsLMs have attracted lively interest because of three main reasons: First, they constitute a versatile biomimetic model to study the characteristics of the archaeal cell envelope by a broad arsenal of surface-sensitive techniques and sophisticated microscopical methods. Second, with SsLMs, surfaces with new properties such as an antifouling characteristics for application in material science and nanomedicine can be generated. Third, SsLMs provide an amphiphilic matrix for reconstitution of (trans) membrane proteins (MPs). Hence, SsLMs may be utilized in basic research to characterize MPs. This is of paramount importance as the results of genome mapping showed that approximately one-third of all genes of an organism encode for MPs (Gerstein & Hegyi, 1998; Galdiero et al., 2007, 2010) which are key factors in cell's metabolism and thus, in health and disease (Viviani et al., 2007). Moreover, MPs constitute preferred targets for pharmaceuticals (at present more than 60% of consumed drugs; Ellis & Smith, 2004). Thus, SsLMs received widespread recognition in drug discovery and protein-ligand screening. In the future, the increased knowledge on MPs might allow to rebuild sensory organs, for example an artificial nose, and are of high interest for the development of biosensors based on MPs (Reimhult & Kumar, 2008; Demarche et al., 2011; Srinivasan & Kumar, 2012; Tiefenauer & Demarche, 2012).

#### S-layer protein-lipid interaction

Formation of S-layer lattices covering the entire area of lipid films has been observed on zwitterionic phospholipids such as phosphatidyl cholines and in particular phosphatidyl ethanolamines, but not on negatively charged phospholipids (Fig. 4; Pum et al., 1993; Pum & Sleytr, 1994; Diederich et al., 1996; Wetzer et al., 1998). Electrostatic interaction has been figured out to exist between exposed carboxyl groups on the S-layer lattice and zwitterionic or positively charged lipid head groups (Küpcü et al., 1995a; Hirn et al., 1999; Schuster et al., 1999) At least two to three contact points between the S-layer protein and the attached lipid film have been identified (Fig. 6; Wetzer et al., 1998). Hence, < 5% of the lipid molecules of the adjacent monolayer are anchored to these contact points (protein domains) on the S-laver protein. The remaining  $\geq 95\%$  lipid molecules may diffuse freely within the membrane between the pillars consisting of anchored lipid molecules (Schuster, 2005; Schuster & Sleytr, 2005, 2006, 2009b). These nanopatterned lipid membranes are also referred to as 'semi-fluid membranes' (Pum & Sleytr, 1994) because of its widely retained fluid behavior (Györvary et al., 1999; Hirn et al.,

1999). Most important, although peptide side groups of the S-layer protein interpenetrate the phospholipid head group regions almost in its entire depth, no impact on the hydrophobic lipid alkyl chains has been observed (Schuster et al., 1998a, b; Weygand et al., 1999, 2000, 2002; Schuster et al., 2003a). To enhance the stability of the composite SsLMs, head groups of phospholipids have been covalently linked to the S-layer lattice (Schrems et al., 2011a, b). Interestingly, it became evident that in nature, archaeal S-layer proteins are targeted for posttranslational modifications such as the addition of a lipid (Kikuchi et al., 1999; Konrad & Eichler, 2002; Szabo & Pohlschroder, 2012; Abdul Halim et al., 2013). Hence, our approach to link lipids covalently to S-layer proteins is a biomimetic one as lipid modifications of S-laver glycoproteins are a general property of, for example, halophilic Archaea. Lipid modification of the S-layer glycoproteins takes place on the external cell surface that is following protein translocation across the membrane (Konrad & Eichler, 2002; Abdul Halim et al., 2013; Kandiba et al., 2013).

#### Planar lipid membranes

The mechanical properties of free-standing SsLMs were investigated by applying a hydrostatic pressure (Schuster & Sleytr, 2002a). SsLMs revealed a higher structural integrity when the pressure was applied from the S-layer faced side compared with plain bilayer lipid membranes (BLMs). This result supports the 'osmoprotecting effect', one putative biological function of S-layer lattices in *Archaea* (Engelhardt, 2007).

To increase the mechanical stability and longevity in particular with reconstituted peptides or MPs, the BLMs were attached to porous or solid supports to improve their practical applicability (Castellana & Cremer, 2006; Chan & Boxer, 2007; Knoll *et al.*, 2008; Reimhult & Kumar, 2008; Steinem & Janshoff, 2010).

At solid-supported lipid membranes, the task of the Slayer lattice is, beside to act as stabilizing scaffold, to provide a defined tether layer to decouple the BLM from the (inorganic) support and to generate an ionic reservoir necessary for electrochemical measurements (Schuster & Sleytr, 2000, 2009b). Moreover, the reservoir may be tailored using a mixture from full-length and truncated S-layer proteins (Schuster & Sleytr, 2009a) or by the selfassembly of a thiolated SCWP layer on the gold electrode prior S-layer protein recrystallization (Sleytr *et al.*, 2000, 2006; Schuster & Sleytr, 2009b). A very important feature of supported lipid membranes is to preserve a high degree of mobility of the lipid molecules within the membrane (fluidity) and at the same time exhibiting sound condition of the overall membrane structure (longevity). Györvary and coworkers compared the mobility of lipid molecules of SsLMs to silane- and dextran-supported phospholipid mono- and bilayers, respectively. Most probably due to the repetitive, nanopatterned local interactions of the S-layer lattice with the lipid head groups, the fluidity of lipids was highest in SsLMs (Györvary *et al.*, 1999). Moreover, the longevity of a tetraetherlipid monolayer sandwiched by an S-layer lattice on each side revealed in comparison with other approaches (e.g. tethered membranes, polymer cushion), an exceptional longterm robustness of approximately 1 week (Schuster, 2005; Schuster & Sleytr, 2005, 2006, 2009b). This finding reflects also the optimization of the archaeal cell envelope structure by nature over billions of years.

Lipid membranes generated on a porous support combine the advantage of easy manual handling, individual excess to both membrane surfaces, and possessing an essentially unlimited ionic reservoir on each side of the BLM. The surface properties of porous supports, such as roughness or great variations in pore size, have significantly impaired the stability of attached BLMs (Nikolelis et al., 1999). A straightforward approach is the use of SUMs (see section 'Isoporous ultrafiltration membranes') with the S-layer as stabilizing and smoothening biomimetic layer between the lipid membrane and the porous support (Schuster et al., 2001, 2003b; Gufler et al., 2004). Composite SUM-supported BLMs were found to be highly isolating structures with a life time of up to 17 h. The life time could be even significantly increased to approximately 1 day forming an S-layer-lipid membraneS-layer sandwich-like structure on SUMs (Fig. 6; Schuster *et al.*, 2001, 2003b; Gufler *et al.*, 2004). Hence, the nano-patterned anchoring of lipids is a promising strategy for generating stable and fluid supported lipid membranes.

The most challenging property of model lipid membranes is the feasibility to incorporate membrane-active (antimicrobial) peptides (AMPs; Hancock & Chapple, 1999; Wimley & Hristova, 2011) and more important, the reconstitution of (complex) integral MPs in a functional state (Demarche *et al.*, 2011; Tiefenauer & Demarche, 2012). Table 3 summarizes the functional incorporated AMPs in SsLMs resting on gold electrodes or SUMs (Schuster *et al.*, 1998a, 2003b; Gufler *et al.*, 2004; Schrems *et al.*, 2013).

A recent study showed that the S-layer lattice of C. crescentus hindered positively charged AMPs in reaching its outer membrane (de la Fuente-Núñez et al., 2012). Thus, the protection against APMs was proposed to be one biological function of S-layer lattices. The staphylococcal proteinaceous  $\alpha$ -hemolysin ( $\alpha$ HL;  $M_w = 33$  kDa) formed lytic pores when added to the lipid-exposed side of an SsLM. However, no pore formation was detected upon addition of aHL monomers to the S-laver-faced side of this SsLM. Therefore, the intrinsic molecular sieving properties of the S-layer lattice did not allow passage of  $\alpha$ HL monomers through the S-layer pores toward the lipid membrane which is of biological significance in competitive habitats (Schuster et al., 1998b). In addition, this result confirmed the existence of a closed S-laver lattice without any defects tightly attached to the BLM. Notably, even single pore recordings have been performed

Membrane-active peptide	Source	Remarks/References	Transmembrane protein	Source	Remarks/References
Gramicidin A (gA)	Bacillus brevis	Linear pentadeca peptide (Schuster <i>et al.</i> , 2003b)	α-Hemolysin (αHL)	Exotoxin from Staphylococcus aureus	Pore-forming; homoheptamer (Schuster <i>et al.</i> , 1998a, 2001; Schuster & Sleytr, 2002b)
Alamethicin (Ala)	Trichoderma viride	Linear, 20 amino acids (Gufler <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	Ryanodine receptor 1 (RyR1)	Skeletal muscle cells	Ca <sup>2+</sup> -release channel; homotetramer (Larisch, 2012)
Valinomycin (Val)	Several Streptomyces strains, for example <i>S. tsusimaensis</i> and <i>S. fulvissimus</i>	Cyclic dodecadepsi peptide (Schuster <i>et al.</i> , 1998b; Gufler <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR)	Plasma membranes of neurons; on postsynaptic side of the neuromuscular junction	Ligand gated ion channel; 5 subunits (Kepplinger, 2007; Kiene, 2011)
Peptidyl-glycine- leucine- carboxyamide (PGLa) analogue	Synthesized via protein chemistry	20 amino acid; analogue negatively charged (Schrems <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	Voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC)	Located on the outer mitochondrial membrane; produced by cell- free expression	Voltage gated; porin ion channel monomeric but can cluster (S. Damiati, pers. commun.)
			M2 segment from nAChR	Segment forms ion- conducting channel; see nAChR	lon-conducting channel (Keizer <i>et al.</i> , 2007, 2008)

Table 3. Summary of membrane-active peptides and transmembrane proteins reconstituted in S-layer supported lipid membranes

© 2014 The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

with αHL-reconstituted SsLMs (Schuster *et al.*, 2001; Schuster & Sleytr, 2002b).

Sulfolobicins, produced by several Sulfolobus strains, are an example for the interaction of proteinaceous toxins with S-layer proteins in nature. These toxins were found to be associated with cell-derived S-layer-coated vesicles resulting in no release of sulfolobicins in soluble form into the environment. These enzyme-containing vesicles of *Sulfolobus islandicus*, for example, were shown to kill cells of other strains of the same species (Prangishvili *et al.*, 2000; O'Connor & Shand, 2002).

Table 3 summarizes the pore-forming aHL (Schuster et al., 1998b, 2001; Schuster & Sleytr, 2002b) and channelforming MPs (Keizer et al., 2007; Kepplinger, 2007; Keizer et al., 2008; Kiene, 2011; Larisch, 2012) which have been functionally reconstituted in SsLMs resting on gold electrodes or SUMs. To sum up, the ability to act as biomimetic spacer and scaffold for composite lipid membranes with nonintrusive character on ion channel activity make S-layer proteins attractive for biosensor applications, especially those that enhance the stability of BLMs beyond the use of tethers or polymer supports (Bayley & Cremer, 2001; Sugawara & Hirano, 2005). In future, the ability to reconstitute integral membrane proteins in defined structures on, for example, sensor surfaces is one of the most important concerns in designing biomimetic sensing devices (Nikolelis et al., 1999; Trojanowicz, 2001; Demarche et al., 2011; Jackman et al., 2012; Tiefenauer & Demarche, 2012; Schuster & Sleytr, 2013; Sleytr et al., 2013).

#### S-layer coated liposomes and emulsomes

Unilamellar liposomes are artificially prepared spherical containers comprising of a phospholipid bilayer shell and an aqueous core (Bangham et al., 1965; Tien & Ottova-Leitmannova, 2000; Cui et al., 2006). In the latter, biologically active molecules such as hydrophilic drugs can be stored and transported, whereas the lipidic shell can be loaded with hydrophobic drugs. Emulsomes, however, are spherical systems with a solid fat core surrounded by phospholipid mono- and bilayer(s) (Fig. 4; Amselem et al., 1994; Vyas et al., 2006). Hence, emulsomes show a much higher loading capacity for lipophilic drug molecules such as curcumin for targeted drug delivery to fight against cancer and other diseases (Andresen et al., 2005; Vyas et al., 2006; Ucisik et al., 2013a, b). Furthermore, Slayer lattices as envelope structure covering the spherical containers (Fig. 4) constitute biomimetic 'artificial viruslike particles' enabling both stabilization of the nanocarriers and presenting addressor molecules in a highly defined orientation and special distribution (Sleytr et al., 2010, 2013).

When recrystallizing isolated S-layer subunits of Bacillaceae such as *G. stearothermophilus* PV72/p2 on positively charged liposomes, the S-layer is attached by its inner face (bearing a net negative charge) in an orientation identical to the lattice on intact cells (Küpcü *et al.*, 1995a; Mader *et al.*, 1999). Coating of positively charged liposomes or emulsomes with bacterial S-layer (fusion) proteins resulted in inversion of the zeta potential from an initially positive value to a negative one (Mader *et al.*, 1999; Ücisik *et al.*, 2013b). A similar behavior was observed for liposomes coated with S-layer proteins from Lactobacilli (Hollmann *et al.*, 2007).

Mader and coworkers demonstrated a much higher mechanical (shear forces, ultrasonication) and thermal stability for S-layer-coated liposomes compared with plain ones (Küpcü *et al.*, 1998; Mader *et al.*, 1999). This finding supports the notion of the high stability of archaeal cell envelope structures. Moreover, to enhance the stability, the S-layer protein on the liposome can be crosslinked (Schuster *et al.*, 2006). In addition, cross-linking can also be utilized for covalent attachment of biologically relevant macromolecules (Sleytr *et al.*, 2005, 2007a, 2010, 2013). In turn, a layer of intact liposomes can also be reversibly tethered via the specific nickel–His-tag linkage on an S-layer lattice (Kepplinger *et al.*, 2009).

S-layer-coated liposomes constitute a versatile matrix for the covalent binding of macromolecules (Küpcü *et al.*, 1995a). Biotinylation of S-layer-coated liposomes resulted in two accessible biotin residues per S-layer subunit for subsequent streptavidin binding (Mader *et al.*, 2000). By this approach, biotinylated ferritin and biotinylated anti-human IgG were attached via streptavidin to S-layer-coated liposomes. The biological activity of bound anti-human IgG was confirmed by ELISA (Mader *et al.*, 2000) and by measuring changes in ultrasound velocity (Krivanek *et al.*, 2002). Moreover, Slayer/streptavidin fusion proteins have been constructed in order to bind up to three biotinylated biomolecules per S-layer subunit in a highly defined orientation and position (Moll *et al.*, 2002).

An interesting approach is the recrystallization of the S-layer-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) fusion protein on liposomes (Ilk *et al.*, 2004). By this means, the uptake via endocytosis of S-layer/EGFP fusion protein coated liposomes into eukaryotic cells such as HeLa cells could be visualized by the intrinsic EGFP fluorescence. The most interesting advantage can be seen in co-recrystallization of, for example, S-layer/EGFP and S-layer/ streptavidin fusion proteins on the same liposome. The uptake of these specially coated liposomes by target cells and the functionality of transported drugs could be investigated simultaneously without the need of any additional labels.

Likewise on liposomes, several wild-type, recombinant, and S-layer fusion proteins formed a closed S-layer lattice covering the entire surface of emulsomes composed of a solid tripalmitin core and a phospholipid shell (Ücisik et al., 2013b). In vitro cell culture studies revealed that S-layer coated emulsomes can be up taken by HepG2 without showing any significant cytotoxicity. The utilization of S-layer fusion proteins equipped in a nanopatterned fashion by identical or diverse functions may lead to attractive nanobiotechnological and nanomedicinal applications, particularly as drug-targeting and delivery systems, as artificial virus envelopes in, for example, medicinal applications and in gene therapy (Mader et al., 2000; Pum et al., 2006; Schuster & Sleytr, 2009b; Ücisik et al., 2013b). Finally, these biomimetic approaches are exciting examples for synthetic biology mimicking structural and functional aspects of many bacterial and archaeal cell envelopes having an S-layer lattice as outermost cell wall component (Sleytr & Beveridge, 1999; Sleytr et al., 2002, 2013).

#### **Conclusions and perspectives**

Regular arrays of macromolecules were first observed about 60 years ago in electron micrographs of prokaryotic cell wall fragments and were viewed originally as a curiosity. S-layers are now recognized as one of the most common envelope surface structures in Archaea and Bacteria. The widespread occurrence and the high physiological expense of S-layers raise the question of what selection advantage S-layer carrying organisms would have in their natural and frequently highly competitive habitats. In this context, it is interesting to remember that under optimal growth conditions for Bacteria in continuous laboratory cultures, S-layer-deficient mutants, or variants possessing S-layers composed of (glyco)protein subunits with lower molecular mass, frequently outgrow wild-type strains (Gruber & Sleytr, 1991; Messner & Sleytr, 1992; Sára et al., 1996b; Sleytr & Beveridge, 1999; Egelseer et al., 2000). Moreover, if present, S-layers are also part of a more complex supramolecular envelope structure and consequently in functional terms must not be considered as isolated protein lattice. Defined domains of S-layer proteins have been identified as being involved in specific interactions with supporting cell envelope components. As S-layers are highly porous structures, some components of the supporting envelope layers such as side chains of lipopolysaccharides (in Gram-negative bacteria; Chart et al., 1984), or SCWPs (in Gram-positive bacteria), may protrude through the protein meshwork. The latter may explain the phenomenon that in Bacillaceae, the expression of a different S-layer protein on the cell surface is accompanied by a change in the chemical

composition of the S-layer-anchoring SCWP (Sára *et al.*, 1996b). In functional terms, such complete cell surface modifications could prevent either attachment of specific phages or delay host immune reactions in case of pathogenic organisms.

So far no general biological function has been found, and many of the functions assigned to S-layers still remain hypothetical. As S-layers cover the surface of the whole cell as coherent layers, it has been inferred that many biological functions for the layer may depend on both the completeness of the covering and the structural and physicochemical repetitive uniformity down to the subnanometer scale (Sleytr *et al.*, 2002).

A striking feature of many S-layers of Bacteria and Archaea is their excellent antifouling property. This unique characteristic was first observed in electron micrographs of freeze-etched preparations (Slevtr & Glauert, 1975; Sleytr, 1978; Sleytr & Messner, 1983; Pum et al., 1991) involving ultrafast (30 000 K s<sup>-1</sup>) vitrification of intact cells (Robards & Slevtr, 1985). Even when cells were harvested from complex environments or growth media containing a great variety of macromolecular components, the S-layer lattices were never masked by adsorbed molecules (Fig. 1). In this context, it has to be remembered that not only in fast frozen preparations but also in electron micrographs of negatively stained preparations the individual S-layer proteins show deviations from precise lattice positions so that high-resolution studies require digital image processing to correct the spatial distortions (Crowther & Sleytr, 1977; Saxton & Baumeister, 1982; Saxton et al., 1984; Henderson et al., 1986; Gil et al., 2006; Pavkov-Keller et al., 2011). Obviously, the different electron microscopical preparation techniques retain deviations from the ideal crystal structure created by thermal lattice vibrations. These specific S-layer properties may additionally influence interactions with molecules in close proximity and consequently the observed antifouling properties. More detailed studies on molecular interactions and permeability using isolated S-layers or S-layer ultrafiltration membranes (see section 'S-layers as molecular sieves and antifouling coatings' and 'Isoporous ultrafiltration membranes') confirmed that the surface of the lattice in Bacteria is charge neutral, preventing nonspecific binding of molecules and pore blocking. Moreover, in Bacillacaea, it was shown that S-layer lattices mask the net negative charge of the peptidoglycan-containing layer (Sára & Sleytr, 1987a; Gruber & Sleytr, 1991; Weigert & Sára, 1995). Data derived from antifouling zwitterionic polymer coatings on composite nanofiltration membranes can lead to the conclusion that likewise the ultra-low fouling properties of S-layers may be affected by their zwitterionic surface properties (Ji et al., 2012). In zwitterionic coatings developed for many applications

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

that require biofouling resistance, ions alternate perfectly in the subnanometer scale between positive and negative charges preventing adsorption of naturally occurring molecules, particularly proteins. Final proof for this hypothesis will depend on structural information of S-layer lattices at atomic resolution and detailed information on the impact of an S-layer surface on the molecular organization of the adjacent water boundary structure. Most recently, the unique antifouling properties of S-layers were successfully exploited for coating microfluidic channels in lab-on-a-chip devices (Picher *et al.*, 2013; Rothbauer *et al.*, 2013).

In addition to the unique physicochemical surface properties, the repetitive topographical characteristics of S-layers should be considered as relevant features affecting hydrodynamic surface properties of cells. It is tempting to speculate that the defined roughness of S-layer surfaces determines the flow resistance of cells in natural environments. Studies on friction flows of liquids at nanopatterned interfaces have shown that the slippage of fluids at channel boundaries is greatly increased using surfaces that are patterned on the nanometer scale (Cottin-Bizonne *et al.*, 2003). With prokaryotic organisms characterized by a large surface to volume ratio, such effects should be of particular importance.

Considering the combination of antifouling properties and increased slippage, the presence of S-layers may facilitate flagella-driven cell locomotion in natural habitats (e.g. soil, mud, body fluids), thus justifying the energy expense of S-layer protein synthesis. It will be interesting to see whether S-layer glycosylation even amplifies these important boundary conditions and surface properties. We presume that besides the more specific functions identified for S-layers of different organisms (e.g. specific interactions with molecules and cell surfaces as observed in pathogens), these features may turn out to be one of the most general ones among bacterial and archaeal S-layers. Thus, antifouling properties and reduced resistance in cell locomotion might be summed up in an S-layer specific topographical 'Nano-Lotus-effect', and we should be encouraged to use different methods in surface sciences for scrutinizing this hypothesis. Experimental approaches may imply established techniques for reassembly of Slayer proteins on solid supports at macroscopic dimensions (see section 'Reassembly at interfaces'). Biomimetic approaches copying these unique S-layer surface properties could be of great technological relevance.

In those *Archaea* possessing S-layers as exclusive wall component external to the plasma membrane, there is now strong evidence that the crystalline arrays have general potential as a membrane stabilizing framework determining a nanopatterned fluidity of the lipid components (see section 'S-layer protein–lipid interactions') and

consequently enabling many species of Archaea to dwell under most extreme environmental conditions including temperatures up to 120 °C. In this context, it is of particular relevance to remember that in H. volcanii S-layer lattices, two glycoprotein populations coexist regarding their association with the plasma membrane. The first presumably corresponds to S-layer glycoprotein anchored to the membrane via the C-terminal transmembrane domain, whereas the other glycoprotein population is lipid-modified (most presumably by archaetidic acid) and associated with the membrane (Kandiba et al., 2013). Variations in the relative distribution of both types of populations should enable rapid changes in the membrane 'nanopatterned fluidity' and consequently facilitate adaptation of the organism to environmental changes (see also section 'Isolation and chemistry'). Furthermore, S-layers as exclusive wall component in Archaea appear to be involved in maintaining of cell shape and in fission processes, but more detailed studies will be required to support this notion. Presumably, many recognized and predicted functions of bacterial S-layers, such as forming a barrier against predators, as molecular sieves that exclude hazardous components and retain useful molecules in the periplasmic space and as a promoter of very specific cell adhesion to surfaces and cells co-exist in different prokaryotic organisms. An important area of future S-layers research will concern their relevance in terms of pathogenicity, immunomodulatory capacity, health beneficial (probiotic) properties (Hynonen & Palva, 2013), and virulence of organisms.

Accumulated data on the structure, chemical composition, assembly, surface, and permeability properties have clearly shown that S-layers are the simplest biological (glyco)protein membranes which have developed during evolution. Most important, S-layer morphogenesis follows the theoretically simplest mechanism for a dynamic process of assembly of a closed container composed of monomolecular arrays of identical macromolecules. As there is no theoretical possibility of forming a closed protein membrane with less redundancy of information, it is also tempting to speculate that a simple protein membrane capable of dynamic growth could have initiated a barrier membrane in an early stage of biological evolution (Sleytr & Plohberger, 1980; Pum *et al.*, 1991; Sleytr & Beveridge, 1999; Sleytr *et al.*, 2002).

Moreover, S-layers have been shown to interact specifically with a great variety of amphiphilic molecules (e.g. phospho- and ether lipids) generating more complex supramolecular membrane structures with potential for high transmembrane selectivity. It is even probable that structure–function relationships between S-layer lattices and virus capsids or animal and human virus envelopes exist (Arbing *et al.*, 2012), and we cannot rule out the possibility that horizontal gene transfer was relevant during co-evolution of different systems. On the other hand, structures that look alike not necessarily have similar functions.

The characteristic properties of S-layers, particularly their structural and physicochemical uniformity and the spontaneous association of constituent subunits under equilibrium conditions, have led to an astonishing spectrum of applications in nano(bio)technology, synthetic biology, and biomimetics (Sleytr et al., 2001; Sára et al., 2006b; Egelseer et al., 2008; Pum & Sleytr, 2009; Schuster & Sleytr, 2009b; Egelseer et al., 2010; Göbel et al., 2010; Slevtr et al., 2010; Ilk et al., 2011a; Slevtr et al., 2011, 2013). In this context, S-layer-carrying Lactobacilli as food grade and potentially probiotic organisms will gain importance for health-related applications such as live oral vaccines. Furthermore, S-layer proteins of Lactobacilli are excellent candidates as carriers of antigens or other medically important molecules relevant for specific adhesion and immunomodulation (Hynonen & Palva, 2013).

S-layers are now recognized as versatile patterning elements for the generation of complex supramolecular structures involving other molecules such as lipids, proteins, glycans, and nucleic acids as well as inorganic materials (e.g. nanoparticles). An important line of future development concerns the combination of S-layers with planar lipid membranes, liposomes, and emulsomes (Schuster & Slevtr, 2009b; Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann et al., 2011; Ucisik et al., 2013b). This biomimetic approach, copying the supramolecular principle of cell envelopes of Archaea or envelopes of a great variety of viruses, allows stabilizing functional lipid membranes at the macroscopic scale. Most recently, it could be demonstrated that S-layer stabilized lipid membranes can be functionalized by incorporating membrane proteins exploiting cell-free protein synthesis regimes (E.K. Sinner, B. Schuster, S. Damiati, pers. commun.). This unique possibility of significantly improving stability and life time of functional lipid membranes can also be exploited for a broad spectrum of liposome and emulsome technologies as required for drug-targeting and delivery systems, immunotherapy, and gene therapy (see section 'S-layer coated liposomes and emulsomes') and eventually may even serve in the long term as supramolecular concept for generating 'artificial life' following bottom-up strategies in synthetic biology.

Many areas of applied S-layer research will particularly be promoted by the construction of S-layer fusion proteins comprising the intrinsic self-assembly domain and a fused functional sequence (Table 2). Another interesting application for S-layers concerns tailored S-layer neoglycoproteins utilizing the recrystallization capability of the S-layer protein for the controlled and periodic surface display of 'functional' glycosylation motifs. Applications for S-layer neoglycoproteins concern receptor mimics, vaccine design, diagnostics, and drug delivery exploiting specific carbohydrate recognition (Sleytr *et al.*, 2010). Although up to now the development of applied S-layer research has focused on life sciences, in future non-life science applications (e.g. molecular electronics, nonlinear optics) will gain importance (Shenton *et al.*, 1997; Mertig *et al.*, 1999; Vyalikh *et al.*, 2004; Maslyuk *et al.*, 2008; Pum & Sleytr, 2009; Queitsch *et al.*, 2009; Sleytr *et al.*, 2013).

#### Acknowledgements

The total number of relevant publications (currently more than 2500) on S-layers far surpasses the number of references that can be cited in this review. We therefore apologize to all those whose particular works were not cited here. Part of this work was funded by AFOSR Agreement Awards Nr. FA9550-09-0342 and FA9550-12-1-0274 (to D.P.), and FA9550-10-1-0223 (to U.B.S.), by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project P 20256-B11, and by the Erwin-Schrödinger Society for Nanosciences, Vienna.

#### References

- Abdul Halim MF, Pfeiffer F, Zou J *et al.* (2013) *Haloferax volcanii* archaeosortase is required for motility, mating, and C-terminal processing of the S-layer glycoprotein. *Mol Microbiol* **88**: 1164–1175.
- Akca E, Claus H, Schultz N, Karbach G, Schlott B, Debaerdemaeker T, Declercq JP & König H (2002) Genes and derived amino acid sequences of S-layer proteins from mesophilic, thermophilic, and extremely thermophilic methanococci. *Extremophiles* **6**: 351–358.
- Albers SV & Meyer BH (2011) The archaeal cell envelope. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **9**: 414–426.
- Amselem S, Yogev A, Zawoznik E & Friedman D (1994) Emulsomes, a novel drug delivery technology. *Proc Contr Rel Soc* 21: 668–669.
- Andresen TL, Jensen SS & Jørgensen K (2005) Advanced strategies in liposomal cancer therapy: problems and prospects of active and tumor specific drug release. *Prog Lipid Res* 44: 68–97.
- Antikainen J, Anton L, Sillanpaa J & Korhonen TK (2002) Domains in the S-layer protein CbsA of *Lactobacillus crispatus* involved in adherence to collagens, laminin and lipoteichoic acids and in self-assembly. *Mol Microbiol* 46: 381–394.
- Arbing MA, Chan S, Shin A, Phan T, Ahn CJ, Rohlin L & Gunsalus RP (2012) Structure of the surface layer of the methanogenic archaean *Methanosarcina acetivorans*. P Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 11812–11817.
- Ariel N, Zvi A, Grosfeld H, Gat O, Inbar Y, Velan B, Cohen S & Shafferman A (2002) Search for potential vaccine candidate open reading frames in the *Bacillus anthracis*

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

virulence plasmid pXO1: *in silico* and *in vitro* screening. *Infect Immun* **70**: 6817–6827.

Ausiello CM, Cerquetti M, Fedele G, Spensieri F, Palazzo R, Nasso M, Frezza S & Mastrantonio P (2006) Surface layer proteins from *Clostridium difficile* induce inflammatory and regulatory cytokines in human monocytes and dendritic cells. *Microbes Infect* 8: 2640–2646.

Avall-Jääskeläinen S & Palva A (2005) Lactobacillus surface layers and their applications. FEMS Microbiol Rev 29: 511– 529.

Avall-Jääskeläinen S, Kylä-Nikkilä K, Kahala M, Miikkulainen-Lahti T & Palva A (2002) Surface display of foreign epitopes on the *Lactobacillus brevis* S-layer. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68: 5943–5951.

Avall-Jääskeläinen S, Hynonen U, Ilk N, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Palva A (2008) Identification and characterization of domains responsible for self-assembly and cell wall binding of the surface layer protein of *Lactobacillus brevis* ATCC 8287. BMC Microbiol 8: 165.

Badelt-Lichtblau H, Kainz B, Völlenkle C, Egelseer EM, Sleytr UB, Pum D & Ilk N (2009) Genetic Engineering of the S-Layer Protein SbpA of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* CCM 2177 for the Generation of Functionalized Nanoarrays. *Bioconjug Chem* 20: 895–903.

Bangham AD, Standish MM & Watkins JC (1965) Diffusion of univalent ions across the lamellae of swollen phospholipids. J Mol Biol 13: 238–252.

Baranova E, Fronzes R, Garcia-Pino A, Van Gerven N, Papapostolou D, Pehau-Arnaudet G, Pardon E, Steyaert J, Howorka S & Remaut H (2012) SbsB structure and lattice reconstruction unveil Ca<sup>2+</sup> triggered S-layer assembly. *Nature* **487**: 119–122.

Baumeister W & Engelhardt H (1987) Three-Dimensional Structure of Bacterial Surface Layers, Vol. 6 (Harris JR & Horne RW, eds), pp. 109–154. Academic Press, Inc., London.

Bayley H & Cremer PS (2001) Stochastic sensors inspired by biology. *Nature* **413**: 226–230.

Bergkvist M, Mark SS, Yang X, Angert ER & Batt CA (2004) Bionanofabrication of ordered nanoparticle arrays: effect of particle properties and adsorption conditions. *J Phys Chem B* 108: 8241–8248.

Beveridge TJ (1979) Surface arrays on the wall of *Sporosarcina ureae*. *J Bacteriol* **139**: 1039–1048.

Beveridge TJ (1994) Bacterial S-layers. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **4**: 204–212.

Beveridge TJ & Graham LL (1991) Surface layers of bacteria. Microbiol Rev 55: 684–705.

Beveridge TJ, Pouwels PH, Sara M *et al.* (1997) Functions of S-layers. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **20**: 99–149.

Bingle WH, Nomellini JF & Smit J (1997a) Cell-surface display of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain K pilin peptide within the paracrystalline S-layer of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Mol Microbiol* **26**: 277–288.

Bingle WH, Nomellini JF & Smit J (1997b) Linker mutagenesis of the *Caulobacter crescentus* S-layer protein: toward a definition of an N-terminal anchoring region and a C-terminal secretion signal and the potential for heterologous protein secretion. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 601–611.

Blaser MJ (1998) Campylobacter fetus-emerging infection and model system for bacterial pathogenesis at mucosal surfaces. Clin Infect Dis 27: 256–258.

Blaser MJ, Smith PF, Repine JE & Joiner KA (1988) Pathogenesis of *Campylobacter fetus* infections. Failure of encapsulated *Campylobacter fetus* to bind C3b explains serum and phagocytosis resistance. *J Clin Invest* **81**: 1434– 1444.

Blaser MJ, Wang E, Tummuru MK, Washburn R, Fujimoto S & Labigne A (1994) High-frequency S-layer protein variation in *Campylobacter fetus* revealed by sapA mutagenesis. *Mol Microbiol* 14: 453–462.

Blendon RJ, Benson JM, DesRoches CM, Pollard WE, Parvanta C & Herrmann MJ (2002) The impact of anthrax attacks on the American public. *MedGenMed* **4**: 1.

Bobeth M, Blecha A, Blüher A, Mertig M, Korkmaz N, Ostermann K, Rödel G & Pompe W (2011) Formation of tubes during self-assembly of bacterial surface layers. *Langmuir* 27: 15102–15111.

Bohle B, Breitwieser A, Zwölfer B, Jahn-Schmid B, Sára M, Sleytr UB & Ebner C (2004) A novel approach to specific allergy treatment: the recombinant fusion protein of a bacterial cell surface (S-layer) protein and the major birch pollen allergen Bet v 1 (rSbsC-Bet v 1) combines reduced allergenicity with immunomodulating capacity. *J Immunol* **172**: 6642–6648.

Brechtel E & Bahl H (1999) In *Thermoanaerobacterium* thermosulfurigenes EM1 S-layer homology domains do not attach to peptidoglycan. J Bacteriol 181: 5017–5023.

Breitwieser A, Gruber K & Sleytr UB (1992) Evidence for an S-layer protein pool in the peptidoglycan of *Bacillus stearothermophilus*. *J Bacteriol* **174**: 8008–8015.

Breitwieser A, Küpcü S, Howorka S, Weigert S, Langer C, Hoffmann-Sommergruber K, Scheiner O, Sleytr UB & Sára M (1996) 2-D protein crystals as an immobilization matrix for producing reaction zones in dipstick-style immunoassays. *Biotechniques* 21: 918–925.

Breitwieser A, Mader C, Schocher I, Hoffmann-Sommergruber K, Aberer W, Scheiner O, Sleytr UB & Sára M (1998) A novel dipstick developed for rapid Bet v 1-specific IgE detection: recombinant allergen immobilized via a monoclonal antibody to crystalline bacterial cell-surface layers. *Allergy* **53**: 786–793.

Breitwieser A, Egelseer EM, Moll D, Ilk N, Hotzy C, Bohle B, Ebner C, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2002) A recombinant bacterial cell surface (S-layer)-major birch pollen allergen-fusion protein (rSbsC/Bet v1) maintains the ability to self- assemble into regularly structured monomolecular lattices and the functionality of the allergen. *Protein Eng* 15: 243–249.

Brinton CC, McNary JC & Carnaham J (1969) Purification and *in vitro* assembly of a curved network of identical protein subunits from the outer surface of a Bacillus. *Bact Proc* 48. Brown F, Dougan G, Hoey EM, Martin S, Rima BK & Trudgett A (1993) *Vaccine Design*. John Wiley & Sons, England, UK.

Buckmire FLA (1971) A protective role for a cell wall protein layer of *Spirillum serpens* against infection by *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. *Bact Proc* 43.

Calabi E, Calabi F, Phillips AD & Fairweather NF (2002) Binding of *Clostridium difficile* surface layer proteins to gastrointestinal tissues. *Infect Immun* **70**: 5770–5778.

Callahan C, Castanha ER, Fox KF & Fox A (2008) The *Bacillus cereus* containing sub-branch most closely related to *Bacillus anthracis*, have single amino acid substitutions in small acid-soluble proteins, while remaining sub-branches are more variable. *Mol Cell Probes* **22**: 207–211.

Candela T, Mignot T, Hagnerelle X, Haustant M & Fouet A (2005) Genetic analysis of *Bacillus anthracis* Sap S-layer protein crystallization domain. *Microbiology* **151**: 1485–1490.

Castellana ET & Cremer PS (2006) Solid supported lipid bilayers: from biophysical studies to sensor design. *Surf Sci Rep* **61**: 429–444.

Cava F, de Pedro MA, Schwarz H, Henne A & Berenguer J (2004) Binding to pyruvylated compounds as an ancestral mechanism to anchor the outer envelope in primitive bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* **52**: 677–690.

Cerquetti M, Serafino A, Sebastianelli A & Mastrantonio P (2002) Binding of *Clostridium difficile* to Caco-2 epithelial cell line and to extracellular matrix proteins. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **32**: 211–218.

Chan YH & Boxer SG (2007) Model membrane systems and their applications. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* **11**: 581–587.

Chart H, Shaw DH, Ishiguro EE & Trust TJ (1984) Structural and immunochemical homoGeneity of *Aeromonas salmonicida* lipopolysaccharide. *J Bacteriol* **158**: 16–22.

Chauvaux S, Matuschek M & Beguin P (1999) Distinct affinity of binding sites for S-layer homologous domains in *Clostridium thermocellum* and *Bacillus anthracis* cell envelopes. J Bacteriol **181**: 2455–2458.

Chung S, Shin SH, Bertozzi CR & De Yoreo JJ (2010) Self-catalyzed growth of S layers via an amorphous-to-crystalline transition limited by folding kinetics. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**: 16536–16541.

Claus H & König H (2010) Cell envelopes of methanogens. Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds – Structure and Biochemistry (König H, Claus H & Varma A, eds), pp. 231– 251. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Claus H, Akca E, Debaerdemaeker T, Evrard C, Declercq JP, Harris JR, Schlott B & König H (2005) Molecular organization of selected prokaryotic S-layer proteins. *Can J Microbiol* **51**: 731–743.

Cline SW, Lam WL, Charlebois RL, Schalkwyk LC & Doolittle WF (1989) Transformation methods for halophilic archaebacteria. *Can J Microbiol* **35**: 148–152.

Cohen-Bazire G, Kunisawa R & Pfennig N (1969) Comparative study of the structure of gas vacuoles. *J Bacteriol* **100**: 1049–1061.

Comolli LR, Siegerist CE, Shin SH, Bertozzi C, Regan W, Zettl A & De Yoreo J (2013) Conformational transitions at an S-layer growing boundary resolved by cryo-TEM. *Angew Chem Int Edit* **52**: 4829–4832.

Cottin-Bizonne C, Barrat J-L, Bocquet L & Charlaix E (2003) Low-friction flows of liquid at nanopatterned interfaces. *Nat Mater* 2: 237–240.

Crowther RA & Sleytr UB (1977) An analysis of the fine structure of the surface layers from two strains of Clostridia, including correction for distorted images. *J Ultrastruct Res* **58**: 41–49.

Cui HF, Ye JS, Leitmannova Liu A & Ti Tien H (2006) Lipid Microvesicles: on the Four Decades of Liposome Research. Advances in Planar Lipid Bilayers and Liposomes, Vol. 4 (Leitmannova Liu A & Ti Tien H, eds), pp. 1–48. Academic Press, London.

de la Fuente-Núñez C, Mertens J, Smit J & Hancock REW (2012) Bacterial surface layer protects against antimicrobial peptides. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **78**: 5452–5456.

De Rosa M (1996) Archaeal lipids: structural features and supramolecular organization. *Thin Solid Films* **284–285**: 13– 17.

De Yoreo JJ, Chung S & Nielsen MH (2013) The dynamics and energetics of matrix assembly and mineralization. *Calcif Tissue Int* **93**: 316–328.

Demarche S, Sugihara K, Zambelli T, Tiefenauer L & Vörös J (2011) Techniques for recording reconstituted ion channels. *Analyst* **136**: 1077–1089.

Diederich A, Sponer C, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Lösche M (1996) Reciprocal influence between the protein and lipid components of a lipid-protein membrane model. *Colloids Surf, B* **6**: 335–346.

Doig P, McCubbin WD, Kay CM & Trust TJ (1993) Distribution of surface-exposed and non-accessible amino acid sequences among the two major structural domains of the S-layer protein of *Aeromonas salmonicida*. J Mol Biol 233: 753–765.

Dordic A, Egelseer EM, Tesarz M, Sleytr UB, Keller W & Pavkov-Keller T (2012) Crystallization of domains involved in self-assembly of the S-layer protein SbsC. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun* **68**: 1511–1514.

Drudy D, Calabi E, Kyne L, Sougioultzis S, Kelly E, Fairweather N & Kelly CP (2004) Human antibody response to surface layer proteins in *Clostridium difficile* infection. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **41**: 237–242.

Dubreuil JD, Kostrzynska M, Austin JW & Trust TJ (1990) Antigenic differences among *Campylobacter fetus* S-layer proteins. J Bacteriol 172: 5035–5043.

Duncan G, Tarling CA, Bingle WH, Nomellini JF, Yamage M, Dorocicz IR, Withers SG & Smit J (2005) Evaluation of a new system for developing particulate enzymes based on the surface (S)-layer protein (RsaA) of *Caulobacter crescentus*: fusion with the beta-1,4-glycanase (Cex) from the cellulolytic bacterium Cellulomonas fimi yields a robust, catalytically active product. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 127: 95–110. Dworkin J, Tummuru MK & Blaser MJ (1995) Segmental conservation of sapA sequences in type B *Campylobacter fetus* cells. *J Biol Chem* **270**: 15093–15101.

Ebner A, Kienberger F, Huber C *et al.* (2006) Atomic-force-microscopy imaging and molecular-recognition-force microscopy of recrystallized heterotetramers comprising an S-layer-streptavidin fusion protein. *Chembiochem* **7**: 588–591.

Egelseer E, Schocher I, Sara M & Sleytr UB (1995) The S-layer from *Bacillus stearothermophilus* DSM 2358 functions as an adhesion site for a high-molecular-weight amylase. *J Bacteriol* 177: 1444–1451.

Egelseer EM, Schocher I, Sleytr UB & Sára M (1996) Evidence that an N-terminal S-layer protein fragment triggers the release of a cell-associated high-molecular-weight amylase in *Bacillus stearothermophilus* ATCC 12980. *J Bacteriol* **178**: 5602–5609.

Egelseer EM, Leitner K, Jarosch M, Hotzy C, Zayni S, Sleytr UB & Sára M (1998) The S-layer proteins of two *Bacillus stearothermophilus* wild-type strains are bound via their N-terminal region to a secondary cell wall polymer of identical chemical composition. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 1488–1495.

Egelseer EM, Idris R, Jarosch M, Danhorn T, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2000) ISBst12, a novel type of insertion-sequence element causing loss of S-layer-gene expression in *Bacillus stearothermophilus* ATCC 12980. *Microbiology* **146**(Pt 9): 2175–2183.

Egelseer EM, Sára M, Pum D, Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2008) Genetically engineered S-layer proteins and S-layer-specific heteropolysaccharides as components of a versatile molecular construction kit for applications in nanobiotechnology. *NanoBioTechnology* (Shoseyov O & Levy I, eds), pp. 55–86. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.

Egelseer EM, Ilk N, Pum D, Messner P, Schäffer C, Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2010) S-Layers, microbial, biotechnological applications. *The Encyclopedia of Industrial Biotechnology: Bioprocess, Bioseparation, and Cell Technology*, Vol. 7 (Flickinger MC, ed.), pp. 4424–4448. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ.

Eichler J & Adams MW (2005) Posttranslational protein modification in Archaea. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 69: 393–425.

Eichler J & Maupin-Furlow J (2013) Post-translation modification in Archaea: lessons from *Haloferax volcanii* and other haloarchaea. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 37: 583–606.

Eichler J, Abu-Qarn M, Konrad Z, Magidovich H, Plavner N & Yurist-Doutsch S (2010) The cell envelope of Haloarchaea: staying in shape in a world of salt. *Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds – Structure and Biochemistry* (König H, Claus H & Varma A, eds), pp. 253–270. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Ellis C & Smith A (2004) Highlighting the pitfalls and possibilities of drug research. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **3**: 238–278.

Engelhardt H (2007) Mechanism of osmoprotection by archaeal S-layers: a theoretical study. J Struct Biol 160: 190– 199.

Engelhardt H & Peters J (1998) Structural research on surface layers: a focus on stability, surface layer homology domains, and surface layer–cell wall interactions. *J Struct Biol* **124**: 276–302.

Etienne-Toumelin I, Sirard JC, Duflot E, Mock M & Fouet A (1995) Characterization of the *Bacillus anthracis* S-layer: cloning and sequencing of the structural gene. *J Bacteriol* **177**: 614–620.

Fagan RP, Albesa-Jové D, Qazi O, Svergun DI, Brown KA & Fairweather NF (2009) Structural insights into the molecular organization of the S-layer from *Clostridium difficile. Mol Microbiol* **71**: 1308–1322.

Ferner-Ortner J, Mader C, Ilk N, Sleytr UB & Egelseer EM (2007) High-affinity interaction between the S-layer protein SbsC and the secondary cell wall polymer of *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* ATCC 12980 determined by surface plasmon resonance technology. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 7154–7158.

Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann J, Huber-Gries C, Pavkov T, Keller W, Mader C, Ilk N, Sleytr UB & Egelseer EM (2009) The high-molecular-mass amylase (HMMA) of *Geobacillus* stearothermophilus ATCC 12980 interacts with the cell wall components by virtue of three specific binding regions. *Mol Microbiol* 72: 1448–1461.

Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann J, Schrems A, Ilk N, Egelseer EM, Sleytr UB & Schuster B (2011) Multitechnique study on a recombinantly produced *Bacillus halodurans* laccase and an S-layer/laccase fusion protein. *Biointerphases* **6**: 63.

Ferner-Ortner-Bleckmann J, Gelbmann N, Tesarz M, Egelseer EM & Sleytr UB (2013) Surface-layer lattices as patterning element for multimeric extremozymes. Small 9: 3887–3894.

Foligné B, Deutsch S-M, Breton J, Cousin FJ, Dewulf J, Samson M, Pot B & Jan G (2010) Promising immunomodulatory effects of selected strains of dairy propionibacteria as evidenced *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**: 8259–8264.

Fujino T, Beguin P & Aubert JP (1993) Organization of a *Clostridium thermocellum* gene cluster encoding the cellulosomal scaffolding protein CipA and a protein possibly involved in attachment of the cellulosome to the cell surface. J Bacteriol 175: 1891–1899.

Galdiero S, Galdiero M & Pedone C (2007) β-barrel membrane bacterial proteins: structure, function, assembly and interaction with lipids. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* **8**: 63– 82.

Galdiero S, Falanga A, Vitiello M, Raiola L, Russo L, Pedone C, Isernia C & Galdiero M (2010) The presence of a single N-terminal histidine residue enhances the fusogenic properties of a membranotropic peptide derived from herpes simplex virus type 1 glycoprotein H. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 17123–17136.

Gerstein M & Hegyi H (1998) Comparing genomes in terms of protein structure: surveys of a finite parts list. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 22: 277–304.

- Gerstmayr M, Ilk N, Schabussova I, Jahn-Schmid B, Egelseer EM, Sleytr UB, Ebner C & Bohle B (2007) A novel approach to specific allergy treatment: the recombinant allergen-S-layer fusion protein rSbsC-Bet v 1 matures dendritic cells that prime Th0/Th1 and IL-10-producing regulatory T cells. *J Immunol* **179**: 7270–7275.
- Gerstmayr M, Ilk N, Jahn-Schmid B, Sleytr UB & Bohle B (2009) Natural self-assembly of allergen-S-layer fusion proteins is no prerequisite for reduced allergenicity and T cell stimulatory capacity. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **149**: 231–238.
- Gil D, Carazo JM & Marabini R (2006) On the nature of 2D crystal unbending. *J Struct Biol* **156**: 546–555.

Göbel C, Schuster B, Baurecht D, Sleytr UB & Pum D (2010) S-layer templated bioinspired synthesis of silica. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* **75**: 565–572.

Graham LL, Beveridge TJ & Nanninga N (1991) Periplasmic space and the concept of the periplasm. *Trends Biochem Sci* **16**: 328–329.

Graham LL, Friel T & Woodman RL (2008) Fibronectin enhances *Campylobacter fetus* interaction with extracellular matrix components and INT 407 cells. *Can J Microbiol* 54: 37–47.

Gruber K & Sleytr UB (1988) Localized insertion of new S-layer during growth of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* strains. *Arch Microbiol* **149**: 485–491.

Gruber K & Sleytr UB (1991) Influence of an S-layer on surface properties of *Bacillus stearothermophilus*. Arch Microbiol **156**: 181–185.

Guerrant RL, Lahita RG, Winn WC Jr & Roberts RB (1978) Campylobacteriosis in man: pathogenic mechanisms and review of 91 bloodstream infections. *Am J Med* **65**: 584–592.

Gufler PC, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Schuster B (2004) Highly robust lipid membranes on crystalline S-layer supports investigated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1661**: 154–165.

Györvary E, Wetzer B, Sleytr UB, Sinner A, Offenhäusser A & Knoll W (1999) Lateral diffusion of lipids in silane-, dextran-, and S-layer-supported mono- and bilayers. *Langmuir* **15**: 1337–1347.

Györvary ES, Stein O, Pum D & Sleytr UB (2003) Self-assembly and recrystallization of bacterial S-layer proteins at silicon supports imaged in real time by atomic force microscopy. *J Microsc* **212**: 300–306.

Györvary E, Schroedter A, Talapin DV, Weller H, Pum D & Sleytr UB (2004) Formation of nanoparticle arrays on S-layer protein lattices. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* **4**: 115–120.

Haft DH, Payne SH & Selengut JD (2012) Archaeosortases and exosortases are widely distributed systems linking membrane transit with posttranslational modification. *J Bacteriol* **194**: 36–48.

Hall SR, Shenton W, Engelhardt H & Mann S (2001) Site-specific organization of gold nanoparticles by biomolecular templating. *Chemphyschem* **3**: 184–186.

Hancock REW & Chapple DS (1999) Peptide antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **43**: 1317–1323.

- Hanford MJ & Peeples TL (2002) Archaeal tetraether lipids: unique structures and applications. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* **97**: 45–62.
- Harris WF (1975) Negative wedge disclinations of rotation 2pi radians and topological changes of membraneous systems. *Philos Mag* **32**: 37–41.
- Harris WF (1978) Dislocations, disclinations and dispirations: distraction in very naughty crystals. *S Afr J Sci* **74**: 332–338.

Harris WF & Scriven LE (1970) Function of dislocations in cell walls and membranes. *Nature* **228**: 827–829.

Harris WF & Scriven LE (1971) Intrinsic disclinations as dislocation sources and sinks in surface crystals. J Appl Phys 42: 3309–3312.

Henderson R, Baldwin JM, Downing KH, Lepault J & Zemlin F (1986) Structure of purple membrane from *Halobacterium halobium:* recording, measurement and evaluation of electron micrographs at 3.5 Å resolution. *Ultramicroscopy* **19**: 147–178.

- Hianik T, Küpcü S, Sleytr UB, Rybar P, Krivanek R & Kaatze U (1999) Interaction of crystalline bacterial cell surface proteins with lipid bilayers in liposomes. *Coll Surf A* **147**: 331–339.
- Hirn R, Schuster B, Sleytr UB & Bayerl TM (1999) The effect of S-layer protein adsorption and crystallization on the collective motion of a planar lipid bilayer studied by dynamic light scattering. *Biophys J* **77**: 2066–2074.

Hollmann A, Delfederico L, Glikmann G, De Antoni G, Semorile L & Disalvo EA (2007) Characterization of liposomes coated with S-layer proteins from Lactobacilli. *Biochim Biophy Acta* 1768: 393–400.

Holt SC & Leadbetter ER (1969) Comparative ultrastructure of selected aerobic spore forming bacteria: a freeze-etching study. *Bacteriol Rev* 33: 346–378.

Horejs C, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Tscheliessnig R (2008) Structure prediction of an S-layer protein by the mean force method. J Chem Phys 128: 065106.

Horejs C, Pum D, Sleytr UB, Peterlik H, Jungbauer A & Tscheliessnig R (2010) Surface layer protein characterization by small angle x-ray scattering and a fractal mean force concept: from protein structure to nanodisk assemblies. *J Chem Phys* 133: 175102.

Horejs C, Ristl R, Tscheliessnig R, Sleytr UB & Pum D (2011a) Single-molecule force spectroscopy reveals the individual mechanical unfolding pathways of a surface layer protein. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 27416–27424.

Horejs C, Gollner H, Pum D, Sleytr UB, Peterlik H, Jungbauer A & Tscheliessnig R (2011b) Atomistic structure of monomolecular surface layer self-assemblies: toward functionalized nanostructures. ACS Nano 5: 2288–2297.

Houwink AL (1953) A macromolecular monolayer in the cell wall of Spirillum spec. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **10**: 360–366.

Houwink AL & Le Poole JB (1952) Eine Struktur in der Zellmembran einer Bakterie. *Physikalische Verhandlungen* **3**: 98.

Hovmöller S, Sjögren A & Wang DN (1988) The structure of crystalline bacterial surface layers. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 51: 131–163.

Howard LV, Dalton DD & McCoubrey JWK (1982) Expansion of the tetragonally arrayed cell wall protein layer during growth of *Bacillus sphaericus*. *J Bacteriol* **149**: 748–757.

Huber C, Ilk N, Rünzler D, Egelseer EM, Weigert S, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2005) The three S-layer-like homology motifs of the S-layer protein SbpA of *Bacillus sphaericus* CCM 2177 are not sufficient for binding to the pyruvylated secondary cell wall polymer. *Mol Microbiol* **55**: 197–205.

Huber C, Egelseer EM, Ilk N, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2006a) S-layer-streptavidin fusion proteins and S-layer-specific heteropolysaccharides as part of a biomolecular construction kit for application in nanobiotechnology. *Microelectron Eng* 83: 1589–1593.

Huber C, Liu J, Egelseer EM, Moll D, Knoll W, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2006b) Heterotetramers formed by an S-layer-streptavidin fusion protein and core-streptavidin as nanoarrayed template for biochip development. *Small* 2: 142–150.

Hynonen U & Palva A (2013) Lactobacillus surface layer proteins: structure, function and applications. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 97: 5225–5243.

Ilk N, Kosma P, Puchberger M, Egelseer EM, Mayer HF, Sleytr UB & Sára M (1999) Structural and functional analyses of the secondary cell wall polymer of *Bacillus sphaericus* CCM 2177 that serves as an S-layer-specific anchor. *J Bacteriol* 181: 7643–7646.

Ilk N, Völlenkle C, Egelseer EM, Breitwieser A, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2002) Molecular characterization of the S-layer gene, *sbpA*, of *Bacillus sphaericus* CCM 2177 and production of a functional S-layer fusion protein with the ability to recrystallize in a defined orientation while presenting the fused allergen. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68: 3251–3260.

Ilk N, Küpcü S, Moncayo G, Klimt S, Ecker RC, Hofer-Warbinek R, Egelseer EM, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2004) A functional chimaeric S-layer-enhanced green fluorescent protein to follow the uptake of S-layer-coated liposomes into eukaryotic cells. *Biochem J* 379: 441–448.

Ilk N, Egelseer EM & Sleytr UB (2011a) S-layer fusion proteins-construction principles and applications. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **22**: 824–831.

Ilk N, Schumi CT, Bohle B, Egelseer EM & Sleytr UB (2011b) Expression of an endotoxin-free S-layer/allergen fusion protein in gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis* 1012 for the potential application as vaccines for immunotherapy of atopic allergy. *Microb Cell Fact* **10**: 6.

Jackman JA, Knoll W & Cho N-J (2012) Biotechnology applications of tethered lipid bilayer membranes. *Materials* 5: 2637–2657.

Jaenicke R, Welsch R, Sára M & Sleytr UB (1985) Stability and self-assembly of the S-layer protein of the cell wall of *Bacillus stearothermophilus. Biol Chem Hoppe Seyler* **366**: 663–670.

Jahn-Schmid B, Messner P, Unger FM, Sleytr UB, Scheiner O & Kraft D (1996a) Toward selective elicitation of TH1-controlled vaccination responses: vaccine applications of bacterial surface layer proteins. *J Biotechnol* **44**: 225–231.

Jahn-Schmid B, Graninger M, Glozik M, Küpcü S, Ebner C, Unger FM, Sleytr UB & Messner P (1996b)
Immunoreactivity of allergen (Bet v 1) conjugated to crystalline bacterial cell surface layers (S-layers). *Immunotechnology* 2: 103–113.

Jahn-Schmid B, Siemann U, Zenker A, Bohle B, Messner P, Unger FM, Sleytr UB, Scheiner O, Kraft D & Ebner C (1997) Bet v 1, the major birch pollen allergen, conjugated to crystalline bacterial cell surface proteins, expands allergen-specific T cells of the Th1/Th0 phenotype *in vitro* by induction of IL-12. *Int Immunol* **9**: 1867–1874.

Janesch B, Messner P & Schäffer C (2013) Are the surface layer homology domains essential for cell surface display and glycosylation of the S-layer protein from *Paenibacillus alvei* CCM 2051T? *J Bacteriol* **195**: 565–575.

Jarosch M, Egelseer EM, Mattanovich D, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2000) S-layer gene *sbsC* of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* ATCC 12980: molecular characterization and heterologous expression in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiology* **146**(Pt 2): 273– 281.

Jarosch M, Egelseer EM, Huber C, Moll D, Mattanovich D, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2001) Analysis of the structure-function relationship of the S-layer protein SbsC of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* ATCC 12980 by producing truncated forms. *Microbiology* 147: 1353–1363.

Ji Y-L, An Q-F, Zhao Q, Sun W-D, Lee K-R, Chen H-L & Gao C-J (2012) Novel composite nanofiltration membranes containing zwitterions with high permeate flux and improved anti-fouling performance. *J Membr Sci* 390–391: 243–253.

Jing H, Takagi J, Liu JH, Lindgren S, Zhang RG, Joachimiak A, Wang JH & Springer TA (2002) Archaeal surface layer proteins contain beta propeller, PKD, and beta helix domains and are related to metazoan cell surface proteins. *Structure* **10**: 1453–1464.

Kainz B, Steiner K, Sleytr UB, Pum D & Toca-Herrera JL (2010a) Fluorescence energy transfer in the bi-fluorescent S-layer tandem fusion protein ECFP-SgsE-YFP. *J Struct Biol* 172: 276–283.

Kainz B, Steiner K, Moller M, Pum D, Schäffer C, Sleytr UB & Toca-Herrera JL (2010b) Absorption, steady-state fluorescence, fluorescence lifetime, and 2D self-assembly properties of engineered fluorescent S-layer fusion proteins of *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* NRS 2004/3a. *Biomacromolecules* 11: 207–214.

Kandiba L, Guan Z & Eichler J (2013) Lipid modification gives rise to two distinct *Haloferax volcanii* S-layer glycoprotein populations. *Biochim Biophy Acta* 1828: 938–943.

Keizer HM, Dorvel BR, Andersson M *et al.* (2007) Functional ion channels in tethered bilayer membranes – implications for biosensors. *ChemBioChem* 8: 1246–1250.

Keizer HM, Andersson M, Chase C, Laratta WP, Proemsey JB, Tabb J, Long JR & Duran RS (2008) Prolonged stochastic single ion channel recordings in S-layer protein stabilized lipid bilayer membranes. *Colloids Surf, B* **65**: 178–185.

Kelly CP & LaMont JT (1998) *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Annu Rev Med* **49**: 375–390.

Kepplinger C (2007) Anchoring of lipid assemblies to native and modified S-layer lattices - a nanobiotechnological study. Thesis, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria.

Kepplinger C, Ilk N, Sleytr UB & Schuster B (2009) Intact lipid vesicles reversibly tethered to a bacterial S-layer protein lattice. *Soft Matter* **5**: 325–333.

Kern J, Wilton R, Zhang R, Binkowski TA, Joachimiak A & Schneewind O (2011) Structure of surface layer homology (SLH) domains from *Bacillus anthracis* surface array protein. J Biol Chem 286: 26042–26049.

Kiene E (2011) Potential and limitations of S-layers as support for planar lipid bilayers. Thesis, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria.

Kikuchi A, Sagami H & Ogura K (1999) Evidence for covalent attachment of diphytanylglyceryl phosphate to the cell-surface glycoprotein of *Halobacterium halobium*. J Biol Chem **274**: 18011–18016.

Klingl A, Moissl-Eichinger C, Wanner G, Zweck J, Huber H, Thomm M & Rachel R (2011) Analysis of the surface proteins of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* strain SP5/1 and the new, pyrite-oxidizing *Acidithiobacillus* isolate HV2/2, and their possible involvement in pyrite oxidation. *Arch Microbiol* **193**: 867–882.

Knoll W, Naumann R, Friedrich M *et al.* (2008) Solid supported lipid membranes: new concepts for the biomimetic functionalization of solid surfaces. *Biointerphases* 3: FA125–FA135.

König H, Claus H & Akca E (2004) Cell wall structure of mesophilic, thermophilic and hyperthermophilic archaea. *Origins* (Seckbach J, ed.), pp. 283–298. Kluwer, Dordrecht.

König H, Claus H & Varma A (2010) Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds – Structure and Biochemistry. Springer, Berlin.

Konrad Z & Eichler J (2002) Lipid modification of proteins in Archaea: attachment of a mevalonic acid-based lipid moiety to the surface-layer glycoprotein of *Haloferax volcanii* follows protein translocation. *Biochem J* **366**: 959–964.

Konstantinov SR, Smidt H, de Vos WM *et al.* (2008) S layer protein A of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM regulates immature dendritic cell and T cell functions. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **105**: 19474–19479.

Kosma P, Wugeditsch T, Christian R, Zayni S & Messner P (1995) Glycan structure of a heptose-containing S-layer glycoprotein of *Bacillus thermoaerophilus*. *Glycobiology* **5**: 791–796.

Koval SF (1993) Predation on bacteria possessing S-layers.
Advances on Paracrystalline Bacterial Surface Layers, Vol. 252
(Beveridge TJ & Koval SF, eds), pp. 85–92. Plenum Press, New York.

Koval SF & Murray RG (1984) The isolation of surface array proteins from bacteria. *Can J Biochem Cell Biol* 62: 1181– 1189. Krivanek R, Rybar P, Küpcü S, Sleytr UB & Hianik T (2002) Affinity interactions on a liposome surface detected by ultrasound velocimetry. *Bioelectrochemistry* **55**: 57–59.

Küpcü S, Sára M & Sleytr UB (1993) Influence of covalent attachment of low molecular weight substances on the rejection and adsorption properties of crystalline proteinaceous ultrafiltration membranes. *Desalination* **90**: 65–76.

Küpcü S, Sára M & Sleytr UB (1995a) Liposomes coated with crystalline bacterial cells surface protein (S-layer) as immobilization structures for macromolecules. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1235**: 263–269.

Küpcü S, Mader C & Sára M (1995b) The crystalline cell surface layer of *Thermoanaerobacter thermohydrosulfuricus* L111-69 as an immobilization matrix: influence of the morphological properties and the pore size of the matrix on activity loss of covalently bound enzymes. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* 21: 275–286.

Küpcü S, Sleytr UB & Sara M (1996) Two-dimensional paracrystalline glycoprotein S-layers as a novel matrix for the immobilization of human IgG and their use as microparticles in immunoassays. *J Immunol Methods* **196**: 73–84.

Küpcü S, Lohner K, Mader C & Sleytr UB (1998) Microcalorimetric study on the phase behaviour of S-layer coated liposomes. *Mol Membr Biol* 15: 69–74.

Larisch V-D (2012) Characterization of the ryanodine receptor 1 in model lipid membranes. Master Thesis, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria.

Lemaire M, Ohayon H, Gounon P, Fujino T & Beguin P (1995) OlpB, a new outer layer protein of *Clostridium thermocellum*, and binding of its S-layer-like domains to components of the cell envelope. *J Bacteriol* 177: 2451–2459.

Lemaire M, Miras I, Gounon P & Beguin P (1998) Identification of a region responsible for binding to the cell wall within the S-layer protein of *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Microbiology* **144**: 211–217.

Linder MB (2009) Hydrophobins: proteins that self assemble at interfaces. *Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci* 14: 356–363.

Lopez AE, Moreno-Flores S, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Toca-Herrera JL (2010) Surface dependence of protein nanocrystal formation. *Small* 6: 396–403.

López AE, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Toca-Herrera JL (2011) Influence of surface chemistry and protein concentration on the adsorption rate and S-layer crystal formation. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* **13**: 11905–11913.

Lortal S, van Heijenoort J, Gruber K & Sleytr UB (1992) S-layer of *Lactobacillus helveticus* ATCC 12046: isolation, chemical characterization and re-formation after extraction with lithium chloride. *J Gen Microbiol* **138**: 611–618.

Lortal S, Rouault A, Cesselin B & Sleytr UB (1993)Paracrystalline surface layers of dairy propionibacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 59: 2369–2374.

Mader C, Küpcü S, Sára M & Sleytr UB (1999) Stabilizing effect of an S-layer on liposomes towards thermal or mechanical stress. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1418: 106–116.

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

Mader C, Küpcü S, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2000) S-layer-coated liposomes as a versatile system for entrapping and binding target molecules. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1463**: 142–150.

Mader C, Huber C, Moll D, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2004) Interaction of the crystalline bacterial cell surface layer protein SbsB and the secondary cell wall polymer of *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* PV72 assessed by real-time surface plasmon resonance biosensor technology. *J Bacteriol* **186**: 1758–1768.

Malcolm AJ, Messner P, Sleytr UB, Smith RH & Unger FM (1993a) Crystalline bacterial cell surface layers (S-layers) as combined carrier/adjuvants for conjugated vaccines. *Immobilized Macromolecules: Application Potentials* (Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M, eds), pp. 195–207. Springer-Verlag, London, UK.

Malcolm AJ, Best MW, Szarka RJ, Mosleh Z, Unger FM, Messner P & Sleytr UB (1993b) Surface layers of *Bacillus alvei* as a carrier for a *Streptococcus pneunoniae* conjugate vaccine. *Bacterial Paracrystalline Surface Layers*, Vol. 252 (Beveridge J & Koval SF, eds), pp. 219–233. Plenum Press, New York, NY.

Martinez MG, Prado Acosta M, Candurra NA & Ruzal SM (2012) S-layer proteins of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* inhibits JUNV infection. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **422**: 590–595.

Maslyuk VV, Mertig I, Bredow T, Mertig M, Vyalikh DV & Molodtsov SL (2008) Electronic structure of bacterial surface protein layers. *Phys Rev B* **77**: 45419.

May A, Pusztahelyi T, Hoffmann N, Fischer RJ & Bahl H (2006) Mutagenesis of conserved charged amino acids in SLH domains of *Thermoanaerobacterium thermosulfurigenes* EM1 affects attachment to cell wall sacculi. *Arch Microbiol* **185**: 263–269.

Mertig M, Kirsch R, Pompe W & Engelhardt H (1999) Fabrication of highly orineted nanocluster arrays by biomolecular templating. *Eur Phys J D* **D 9**: 45–48.

Mescher MF & Strominger JL (1976) Purification and characterization of a prokaryotic glucoprotein from the cell envelope of *Halobacterium salinarium*. J Biol Chem **251**: 2005–2014.

Mesnage S, Tosi-Couture E, Mock M, Gounon P & Fouet A (1997) Molecular characterization of the *Bacillus anthracis* main S-layer component: evidence that it is the major cell-associated antigen. *Mol Microbiol* **23**: 1147–1155.

Mesnage S, Tosi-Couture E, Gounon P, Mock M & Fouet A (1998) The capsule and S-layer: two independent and yet compatible macromolecular structures in *Bacillus anthracis*. *J Bacteriol* 180: 52–58.

Mesnage S, Tosi-Couture E & Fouet A (1999a) Production and cell surface anchoring of functional fusions between the SLH motifs of the *Bacillus anthracis* S-layer proteins and the *Bacillus subtilis* levansucrase. *Mol Microbiol* **31**: 927–936.

Mesnage S, Tosi-Couture E, Mock M & Fouet A (1999b) The S-layer homology domain as a means for anchoring heterologous proteins on the cell surface of *Bacillus anthracis. J Appl Microbiol* **87**: 256–260.

Mesnage S, Weber-Levy M, Haustant M, Mock M & Fouet A (1999c) Cell surface-exposed tetanus toxin fragment C produced by recombinant *Bacillus anthracis* protects against tetanus toxin. *Infect Immun* **67**: 4847–4850.

Mesnage S, Fontaine T, Mignot T, Delepierre M, Mock M & Fouet A (2000) Bacterial SLH domain proteins are non-covalently anchored to the cell surface via a conserved mechanism involving wall polysaccharide pyruvylation. *EMBO J* **19**: 4473–4484.

Messner P & Sleytr UB (1991a) Bacterial surface layer glycoproteins. *Glycobiology* 1: 545–551.

Messner P & Sleytr UB (1991b) The use of freeze-etching and freeze-drying to evaluate crystalline cell surface layers (S-layers). *Microbial Cell Surface Analysis Structural and Physicochemical Methods* (Mozes N, Handley PS, Busscher HJ & Rouxhet PG, eds), pp. 109–125. VCH Publishers, New York.

Messner P & Sleytr UB (1992) Crystalline bacterial cell-surface layers. *Adv Microb Physiol* **33**: 213–275.

Messner P, Pum D & Sleytr UB (1986a) Characterization of the ultrastructure and the self-assembly of the surface layer of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* strain NRS 2004/3a. J Ultrastruct Mol Struct Res **97**: 73–88.

Messner P, Pum D, Sára M, Stetter KO & Sleytr UB (1986b) Ultrastructure of the cell envelope of the archaebacteria *Thermoproteus tenax* and *Thermoproteus neutrophilus*. J Bacteriol **166**: 1046–1054.

Messner P, Mazid MA, Unger FM & Sleytr UB (1992) Artificial antigens. Synthetic carbohydrate haptens immobilized on crystalline bacterial surface layer glycoproteins. *Carbohydr Res* 233: 175–184.

Messner P, Unger FM & Sleytr UB (1996) Vaccine development based on S-layer technology. *Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Proteins* (Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M, eds), pp. 161–173. R.G. Landes, Academic Press, Austin, TX.

Messner P, Steiner K, Zarschler K & Schäffer C (2008) S-layer nanoglycobiology of bacteria. *Carbohydr Res* 343: 1934– 1951.

Messner P, Egelseer EM, Sleytr UB & Schäffer C (2009) Bacterial surface layer glycoproteins and 'non-classical' secondary cell wall polymers. *Microbial Glycobiology: Structures, Relevance and Applications* (Moran A, Holst O, Brennan PJ & von Itzstein M, eds), pp. 109–128. Elsevier, San Diego.

Messner P, Schäffer C, Egelseer EM & Sleytr UB (2010) Occurrence, structure, chemistry, genetics, morphogenesis, and function of S-layers. *Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds – Structure and Biochemistry*, Vol. Chapter 2 (König H, Claus H & Varma A, eds), pp. 53–109. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.

Messner P, Schäffer C & Kosma P (2013) Bacterial cell-envelope glycoconjugates. *Adv Carbohydr Chem Biochem* **69**: 209–272.

Mignot T, Mesnage S, Couture-Tosi E, Mock M & Fouet A (2002) Developmental switch of S-layer protein synthesis in *Bacillus anthracis. Mol Microbiol* **43**: 1615–1627.

Moll D, Huber C, Schlegel B, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2002) S-layer-streptavidin fusion proteins as template for nanopatterned molecular arrays. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 14646–14651.

Moreno-Flores S, Kasry A, Butt HJ, Vavilala C, Schmittel M, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Toca-Herrera JL (2008) From native to non-native two-dimensional protein lattices through underlying hydrophilic/hydrophobic nanoprotrusions. *Angew Chem Int Ed* **47**: 4707–4710.

Müller DJ, Baumeister W & Engel A (1996) Conformational change of the hexagonally packed intermediate layer of *Deinococcus radiodurans* monitored by atomic force microscopy. J Bacteriol 178: 3025–3030.

Müller DJ, Baumeister W & Engel A (1999) Controlled unzipping of a bacterial surface layer with atomic force microscopy. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**: 13170–13174.

Nabarro FRN & Harris WF (1971) Presence and function of disclinations in surface coats of unicellular organisms. *Nature* **232**: 423.

Neubauer A, Pum D & Sleytr UB (1993) An amperometric glucose sensor based on isoporous crystalline protein membranes as immobilization matrix. *Anal Lett* **26**: 1347–1360.

Neubauer A, Hödl C, Pum D & Sleytr UB (1994) A multistep enzyme sensor for sucrose based on S-layer microparticles as immobilization matrix. *Anal Lett* **27**: 849–865.

Neubauer A, Pum D & Sleytr UB (1996) Fibre-optic glucose biosensor using enzyme membranes with 2-D crystalline structure. *Biosens Bioelectron* **11**: 317–325.

Ni Eidhin DB, O'Brien JB, McCabe MS, Athie-Morales V & Kelleher DP (2008) Active immunization of hamsters against *Clostridium difficile* infection using surface-layer protein. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **52**: 207–218.

Nikolelis DP, Hianik T & Krull UJ (1999) Biosensors based on thin lipid films and liposomes. *Electroanalysis* 11: 7–15.

Nomellini JF, Küpcü S, Sleytr UB & Smit J (1997) Factors controlling *in vitro* recrystallization of the *Caulobacter crescentus* paracrystalline S-layer. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 6349– 6354.

Nomellini JF, Duncan G, Dorocicz IR & Smit J (2007) S-layer mediated display of the IgG-binding domain of streptococcal Protein G on the surface of *Caulobacter crescentus* – development of an immuno-active reagent. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**: 3245–3253.

Nomellini JF, Li C, Lavallee D, Shanina I, Cavacini LA, Horwitz MS & Smit J (2010) Development of an HIV-1 specific microbicide using *Caulobacter crescentus* S-layer mediated display of CD4 and MIP1alpha. *PLoS One* 5: e10366.

Norville JE, Kelly DF, Knight TF Jr, Belcher AM & Walz T (2007) 7A projection map of the S-layer protein sbpA obtained with trehalose-embedded monolayer crystals. *J Struct Biol* **160**: 313–323.

Novotny R, Pföstl A, Messner P & Schäffer C (2004) Genetic organization of chromosomal S-layer glycan biosynthesis loci of Bacillaceae. *Glycoconj J* **20**: 435–447. Nußer E, Hartmann E, Allmeier H, König H, Paul G & Stetter KO (1988) A glycoprotein surface layer covers the pseudomurein sacculus of the extreme thermophile *Methanothermus fervidus. Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Layers* (Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M, eds), pp. 21–25. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

O'Brien JB, McCabe MS, Athie-Morales V, McDonald GS, Ni Eidhin DB & Kelleher DP (2005) Passive immunisation of hamsters against *Clostridium difficile* infection using antibodies to surface layer proteins. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **246**: 199–205.

O'Connor E & Shand R (2002) Halocins and sulfolobicins: the emerging story of archaeal protein and peptide antibiotics. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* **28**: 23–31.

Patel J, Zhang Q, McKay RM, Vincent R & Xu Z (2010) Genetic engineering of *Caulobacter crescentus* for removal of cadmium from water. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 160: 232–243.

Pavkov T, Egelseer EM, Tesarz M, Svergun DI, Sleytr UB & Keller W (2008) The structure and binding behavior of the bacterial cell surface layer protein SbsC. *Structure* **16**: 1226–1237.

Pavkov-Keller T, Howorka S & Keller W (2011) The structure of bacterial S-layer proteins. *Molecular Assembly in Natural and Engineered Systems*, Vol. 103 (Howorka S, ed.), pp. 73– 130. Elsevier Academic Press Inc., Burlington.

Pechine S, Deneve C, Le Monnier A, Hoys S, Janoir C & Collignon A (2011) Immunization of hamsters against *Clostridium difficile* infection using the Cwp84 protease as an antigen. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **63**: 73–81.

Penfold J, Thomas RK & Shen HH (2012) Adsorption and self-assembly of biosurfactants studied by neutron reflectivity and small angle neutron scattering: glycolipids, lipopeptides and proteins. *Soft Matter* **8**: 578–591.

Petersen BO, Sára M, Mader C *et al.* (2008) Structural characterization of the acid-degraded secondary cell wall polymer of *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* PV72/p2. *Carbohydr Res* **343**: 1346–1358.

Picher MM, Küpcü S, Huang CJ, Dostalek J, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Ertl P (2013) Nanobiotechnology advanced antifouling surfaces for the continuous electrochemical monitoring of glucose in whole blood using a lab-on-a-chip. *Lab Chip* 13: 1780–1789.

Pleschberger M, Neubauer A, Egelseer EM, Weigert S, Lindner B, Sleytr UB, Muyldermans S & Sára M (2003) Generation of a functional monomolecular protein lattice consisting of an S-layer fusion protein comprising the variable domain of a camel heavy chain antibody. *Bioconjug Chem* 14: 440–448.

Pleschberger M, Saerens D, Weigert S, Sleytr UB, Muyldermans S, Sára M & Egelseer EM (2004) An S-layer heavy chain camel antibody fusion protein for generation of a nanopatterned sensing layer to detect the prostate-specific antigen by surface plasmon resonance technology. *Bioconjug Chem* 15: 664–671.

Pleschberger M, Hildner F, Rünzler D, Gelbmann N, Mayer HF, Sleytr UB & Egelseer EM (2013) Identification of a novel gene cluster in the upstream region of the S-layer gene *sbpA* involved in cell wall metabolism of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* CCM 2177 and characterization of the recombinantly produced autolysin and pyruvyl transferase. *Arch Microbiol* **195**: 323–337.

- Pollmann K, Raff J, Merroun M, Fahmy K & Selenska-Pobell S (2006) Metal binding by bacteria from uranium mining waste piles and its technological applications. *Biotechnol Adv* 24: 58–68.
- Poobalane S, Thompson KD, Ardo L, Verjan N, Han HJ, Jeney G, Hirono I, Aoki T & Adams A (2010) Production and efficacy of an *Aeromonas hydrophila* recombinant S-layer protein vaccine for fish. *Vaccine* 28: 3540–3547.
- Posch G, Andrukhov O, Vinogradov E, Lindner B, Messner P, Holst O & Schäffer C (2013) Structure and immunogenicity of the rough-type lipopolysaccharide from the periodontal pathogen *Tannerella forsythia*. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 20: 945–953.
- Powell MF & Newman MJ (1995) Vaccine Design: The Subunit and Adjuvant Approach. Plenum Press, New York, NY.
- Prangishvili D, Holz I, Stieger E, Nickell S, Kristjansson JK & Zillig W (2000) Sulfolobicins, specific proteinaceous toxins produced by strains of the extremely thermophilic archaeal genus Sulfolobus. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 2985–2988.
- Pum D & Sleytr UB (1994) Large-scale reconstruction of crystalline bacterial surface layer proteins at the air-water interface and on lipids. *Thin Solid Films* 244: 882–886.
- Pum D & Sleytr UB (1995a) Monomolecular reassembly of a crystalline bacterial cell surface layer (S layer) on untreated and modified silicon surfaces. *Supramol Sci* 2: 193–197.
- Pum D & Sleytr UB (1995b) Anisotropic crystal growth of the S-layer of *Bacillus sphaericus* CCM 2177 at the air/ water interface. *Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp* 102: 99–104.
- Pum D & Sleytr UB (2009) S-layer proteins for assembling ordered nanoparticle arrays. *Nanobioelectronics – For Electronics, Biology, and Medicine* (Offenhäuser A & Rinaldi R, eds), pp. 167–180. Springer, New York.
- Pum D, Sára M & Sleytr UB (1989) Structure, surface charge, and self-assembly of the S-layer lattice from *Bacillus coagulans* E38-66. J Bacteriol 171: 5296–5303.
- Pum D, Messner P & Sleytr UB (1991) Role of the S layer in morphogenesis and cell division of the archaebacterium *Methanocorpusculum sinense*. J Bacteriol 173: 6865–6873.
- Pum D, Weinhandl M, Hödl C & Sleytr UB (1993) Large-scale recrystallization of the S-layer of *Bacillus coagulans* E38-66 at the air/water interface and on lipid films. *J Bacteriol* 175: 2762–2766.
- Pum D, Sara M, Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2006) Bacterial surface layer proteins: a simple but versatile biological self-assembly system in Nature. *Nanotechnology: Science and Computation* (Chen J, Jonoska N & Rozenberg G, ed.), pp. 277–292. Springer, Berlin.
- Pum D, Tang J, Hinterdorfer P, Toca-Herrera JL & Sleytr UB (2010) S-layer protein lattices studied by scanning force microscopy. *Biomimetic and Bioinspired Nanomaterials*, Vol. 7 (Kumar C, ed.), pp. 459–510. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.

- Queitsch U, Hamann C, Schäffel F, Rellinghaus B, Schultz L, Blüher A & Mertig M (2009) Toward dense biotemplated magnetic nanoparticle arrays: probing the particle–template interaction. *J Phys Chem C* **113**: 10471–10476.
- Rachel R (2010) Cell envelopes of crenarchaeota and nanoarchaeota. Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds – Structure and Biochemistry, Vol. Chapter 9 (König H, Claus H & Varma A, eds), pp. 271–291. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Reimhult E & Kumar K (2008) Membrane biosensor platforms using nano- and microporous supports. *Trends Biotechnol* **26**: 82–89.
- Remsen CC, Watson SW, Waterbury JB & Trüper HG (1968) Fine structure of *Ectothiorhodospira mobilis* Pelsh. *J Bacteriol* **95**: 2374–2392.
- Riedmann EM, Kyd JM, Smith AM, Gomez-Gallego S, Jalava K, Cripps AW & Lubitz W (2003) Construction of recombinant S-layer proteins (rSbsA) and their expression in bacterial ghosts–a delivery system for the nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* antigen Omp26. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 37: 185–192.
- Ries W, Hotzy C, Schocher I, Sleytr UB & Sára M (1997) Evidence that the N-terminal part of the S-layer protein from *Bacillus stearothermophilus* PV72/p2 recognizes a secondary cell wall polymer. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 3892–3898.
- Ristl R, Steiner K, Zarschler K, Zayni S, Messner P & Schäffer C (2011) The S-layer glycome-adding to the sugar coat of bacteria. *Int J Microbiol* **2011**: 127870.
- Robards AW & Sleytr UB (1985) Freeze-fracture replication.
  Low Temperature Methods in Biological Electron Microscopy,
  Vol. 10 (Glauert AM, ed.), pp. 309–459. Elsevier,
  Amsterdam.
- Roberts K, Grief C, Hills GJ & Shaw PJ (1985) Cell wall glycoproteins: structure and function. *J Cell Sci* Suppl 2: 105–127.
- Rothbauer M, Kupcu S, Sticker D, Sleytr UB & Ertl P (2013) Exploitation of S-Layer Anisotropy: pH-Dependent Nanolayer Orientation for Cellular Micropatterning. *ACS Nano* 7: 8020–8030.
- Rünzler D, Huber C, Moll D, Köhler G & Sára M (2004) Biophysical characterization of the entire bacterial surface layer protein SbsB and its two distinct functional domains. J Biol Chem 279: 5207–5215.
- Salamitou S, Raynaud O, Lemaire M, Coughlan M, Beguin P & Aubert JP (1994a) Recognition specificity of the duplicated segments present in *Clostridium thermocellum* endoglucanase CelD and in the cellulosome-integrating protein CipA. J Bacteriol **176**: 2822–2827.
- Salamitou S, Lemaire M, Fujino T, Ohayon H, Gounon P, Beguin P & Aubert JP (1994b) Subcellular localization of *Clostridium thermocellum* ORF3p, a protein carrying a receptor for the docking sequence borne by the catalytic components of the cellulosome. *J Bacteriol* 176: 2828– 2834.
- Sára M & Sleytr UB (1987a) Charge distribution on the S layer of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* NRS 1536/3c and importance

of charged groups for morphogenesis and function. J Bacteriol 169: 2804-2809.

Sára M & Sleytr UB (1987b) Molecular sieving through S layers of Bacillus stearothermophilus strains. J Bacteriol 169: 4092-4098

Sára M & Sleytr UB (1987c) Production and characteristics of ultrafiltration membranes with uniform pores from two-dimensional arrays of proteins. J Membr Sci 33: 27 - 49

Sára M & Sleytr UB (1988) Membrane Biotechnology: two-dimensional Protein Crystals for Ultrafiltration Purposes. Biotechnology, Vol. 6b (Rehm HJ, ed.), pp. 615-636. VCH, Weinheim.

Sára M & Sleytr UB (1989) Use of regularly structured bacterial cell envelope layers as matrix for the immobilization of macromolecules. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 30: 184-189.

Sára M & Sleytr UB (1993) Relevance of charged groups for the integrity of the S-layer from Bacillus coagulans E38-66 and for molecular interactions. J Bacteriol 175: 2248-2254.

Sára M & Sleytr UB (1994) Comparative studies of S-layer proteins from Bacillus stearothermophilus strains expressed during growth in continuous culture under oxygen-limited and non-oxygen-limited conditions. J Bacteriol 176: 7182-7189.

Sára M, Kalsner I & Sleytr UB (1988a) Surface properties from the S-layer of Clostridium thermosaccharolyticum D120-70 and Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum L111-69. Arch Microbiol 149: 527-533.

Sára M, Manigley C, Wolf G & Sleytr UB (1988b) Isoporous ultrafiltration membranes from bacterial cell envelope layers. I Membr Sci 36: 179–186.

Sára M, Küpcü S & Sleytr UB (1989) Localization of the carbohydrate residue of the S-layer glycoprotein from Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum L111-69. Arch Microbiol 151: 416-420.

Sára M, Moser-Thier K, Kainz U & Sleytr UB (1990) Characterization of S-layers from mesophilic Bacillaceae and studies on their protective role towards muramidases. Arch Microbiol 153: 209-214.

Sára M, Pum D & Sleytr UB (1992) Permeability and charge-dependent adsorption properties of the S-layer lattice from Bacillus coagulans E38-66. J Bacteriol 174: 3487-3493.

Sára M, Küpcü S, Weiner C, Weigert S & Sleytr UB (1993) S-layers as immobilization and affinity matrices. Advances in Bacterial Paracrystalline Surface Layers, Vol. 252 (Beveridge TJ & Koval SF, eds), pp. 195-204. Plenum Press, New York & London.

Sára M, Küpcü S & Sleytr UB (1996a) Biotechnological applications of S-layers. Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Proteins (Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M, eds), pp. 133-159. R. G. Landes Company and Academic Press, Inc., Austin, TX.

Sára M, Kuen B, Mayer HF, Mandl F, Schuster KC & Sleytr UB (1996b) Dynamics in oxygen-induced changes in S-layer protein synthesis from Bacillus stearothermophilus PV72 and the S-layer-deficient variant T5 in continuous culture and studies of the cell wall composition. J Bacteriol 178: 2108-2117.

Sára M, Egelseer EM, Dekitsch C & Sleytr UB (1998) Identification of two binding domains, one for peptidoglycan and another for a secondary cell wall polymer, on the N-terminal part of the S-layer protein SbsB from Bacillus stearothermophilus PV72/p2. J Bacteriol 180: 6780-6783.

Sára M, Pum D, Huber C, Ilk N, Pleschberger M & Slevtr UB (2006a) Nanoscale patterning of S-layer proteins as a natural self-assembly system. Biological and Pharmaceutical Nanomaterials Nanotechnologies for the Life Sciences, Vol. 2 (Kumar C, ed.), pp. 219–252. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany

Sára M, Egelseer EM, Huber C, Ilk N, Pleschberger M, Pum D & Sleytr UB (2006b) S-layer proteins: potential application in nano(bio)technology. Microbial Bionanotechnology: Biological Self-Assembly Systems and Biopolymer-Based Nanostructures (Bernd Rehm MU, ed.), pp. 307-338. Horizon Bioscience, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Saxton WO & Baumeister W (1982) The correlation averaging of a regularly arranged bacterial cell envelope protein. J Microsc 127: 127-138.

Saxton WO, Baumeister W & Hahn M (1984) Three-dimensional reconstruction of imperfect two-dimensional crystals. Ultramicroscopy 13: 57-70.

Schäffer C & Messner P (2004) Surface-layer glycoproteins: an example for the diversity of bacterial glycosylation with promising impacts on nanobiotechnology. Glycobiology 14: 31R-42R.

Schäffer C, Kählig H, Christian R, Schulz G, Zayni S & Messner P (1999) The diacetamidodideoxyuronic-acid-containing glycan chain of Bacillus stearothermophilus NRS 2004/3a represents the secondary cell-wall polymer of wild-type

B. stearothermophilus strains. Microbiology 145: 1575–1583. Schäffer C, Novotny R, Küpcü S, Zayni S, Scheberl A, Friedmann J, Sleytr UB & Messner P (2007) Novel biocatalysts based on S-layer self-assembly of Geobacillus stearothermophilus NRS 2004/3a: a nanobiotechnological approach. Small 3: 1549-1559.

Scherrer R & Gerhard P (1971) Molecular sieving by the Bacillus megatherium cell wall and protoplast. J Bacteriol 107: 718-735.

Scholz H, Hummel S, Witte A, Lubitz W & Kuen B (2000) The transposable element IS4712 prevents S-layer gene (sbsA) expression in Bacillus stearothermophilus and also affects the synthesis of altered surface layer proteins. Arch Microbiol 174: 97-103.

Scholz HC, Riedmann E, Witte A, Lubitz W & Kuen B (2001) S-layer variation in Bacillus stearothermophilus PV72 is based on DNA rearrangements between the chromosome and the naturally occurring megaplasmids. J Bacteriol 183: 1672-1679.

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

Schrems A, Kibrom A, Küpcü S, Kiene E, Sleytr UB & Schuster B (2011a) Bilayer lipid membrane formation on a chemically modified S-layer lattice. *Langmuir* 27: 3731– 3738.

Schrems A, Larisch VD, Stanetty C, Dutter K, Damiati S, Sleytr UB & Schuster B (2011b) Liposome fusion on proteinaceous S-layer lattices triggered via b-diketone ligand–europium(III) complex formation. *Soft Matter* 7: 5514–5518.

Schrems A, Larisch VD, Sleytr UB, Hohenegger M, Lohner K & Schuster B (2013) Insertion of an anionic analogue of the antimicrobial peptide PGLa in lipid architectures including S-layer supported lipid bilayers. *Curr Nanosci* 9: 262–270.

Schultze-Lam S & Beveridge TJ (1994a) Physicochemical characteristics of the mineral-forming S-layer from the cyanobacterium Synechococcus strain GL24. *Can J Microbiol* 40: 216–233.

Schultze-Lam S & Beveridge TJ (1994b) Nucleation of celestite and strontianite on a cyanobacterial S-layer. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **60**: 447–453.

Schultze-Lam S, Harauz G & Beveridge TJ (1992) Participation of a cyanobacterial S-layer in fine-grain mineral formation. *J Bacteriol* **174**: 7971–7981.

Schuster B (2005) Biomimetic design of nano-patterned membranes. *NanoBiotechnology* 1: 153–164.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2000) S-layer-supported lipid membranes. J Biotechnol 74: 233–254.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2002a) The effect of hydrostatic pressure on S-layer-supported lipid membranes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1563: 29–34.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2002b) Single channel recordings of alpha-hemolysin reconstituted in S-layer-supported lipid bilayers. *Bioelectrochemistry* 55: 5–7.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2005) 2D-Protein Crystals (S-Layers) as Support for Lipid Membranes. *Advances in Planar Lipid Bilayers and Liposomes*, Vol. 1 (Tien TH & Ottova A, eds), pp. 247–293. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2006) Biomimetic S-layer supported lipid membranes. *Curr Nanosci* **2**: 143–152.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2009a) Tailor-made crystalline structures of truncated S-layer proteins on heteropolysaccharides. *Soft Matter* 5: 334–341.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2009b) Composite S-layer lipid structures. J Struct Biol 168: 207–216.

Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2013) Nanotechnology with S-Layer Proteins. Protein Nanotechnology: Protocols, Instrumentation and Applications, 2nd edn, Vol. 996 (Gerrard JA, ed.), pp. 153–175. Humana Press, Springer Science + Business Media, New York.

Schuster B, Pum D & Sleytr UB (1997) Planar supported lipid membranes. FEMS Microbiol Rev 20: 159–162.

Schuster B, Pum D & Sleytr UB (1998a) Voltage clamp studies on S-layer-supported tetraether lipid membranes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1369: 51–60. Schuster B, Pum D, Braha O, Bayley H & Sleytr UB (1998b) Self-assembled α-hemolysin pores in an S-layer-supported lipid bilayer. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1370**: 280–288.

Schuster B, Sleytr UB, Diederich A, Bahr G & Winterhalter M (1999) Probing the stability of S-layer-supported planar lipid membranes. *Eur Biophys J* 28: 583–590.

Schuster B, Pum D, Sára M, Braha O, Bayley H & Sleytr UB (2001) S-layer ultrafiltration membranes: a new support for stabilizing functionalized lipid membranes. *Langmuir* 17: 499–503.

Schuster B, Gufler PC, Pum D & Sleytr UB (2003a) Interplay of phospholipase A2 with S-layer-supported lipid monolayers. *Langmuir* **19**: 3393–3397.

Schuster B, Weigert S, Pum D, Sára M & Sleytr UB (2003b) New method for generating tetraether lipid membranes on porous supports. *Langmuir* **19**: 2392–2397.

Schuster B, Gufler PC, Pum D & Sleytr UB (2004) S-layer proteins as supporting scaffoldings for functional lipid membranes. *IEEE Trans Nanobioscience* **3**: 16–21.

Schuster B, Györvary E, Pum D & Sleytr UB (2005) Nanotechnology with S-layer proteins. *Methods Mol Biol* 300: 101–123.

Schuster B, Pum D, Sára M & Sleytr UB (2006) S-Layer proteins as key components of a versatile molecular construction kit for biomedical Nanotechnology. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 6: 909–920.

Schuster B, Pum D & Sleytr UB (2008) S-layer stabilized lipid membranes. *Biointerphases* 3: FA3–FA11.

Schuster B, Kepplinger C & Sleytr UB (2010) Biomimetic S-layer stabilized lipid membranes. *Biomimetics in Biophysics: Model Systems, Experimental Techniques and Computation* (Toca-Herrera JL, ed.), pp. 1–12. Research Signpost, Kerala, India.

Schuster D, Küpcü S, Belton DJ, Perry CC, Stöger-Pollach M, Sleytr UB & Pum D (2013) Construction of silica enhanced S-layer protein cages. Acta Biomater 9: 5689–5697.

Shenton W, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Mann S (1997) Synthesis of CdS superlattices using self-assembled bacterial S-layers. *Nature* 389: 585–587.

Shin SH, Chung S, Sanii B, Comolli LR, Bertozzi CR & De Yoreo JJ (2012) Direct observation of kinetic traps associated with structural transformations leading to multiple pathways of S-layer assembly. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**: 12968–12973.

Shin S-H, Comolli LR, Tscheliessnig R, Wang C, Nam KT, Hexemer A, Siegerist CE, De Yoreo JJ & Bertozzi CR (2013) Self-assembly of 'S-Bilayers', a step toward expanding the dimensionality of S-layer assemblies. ACS Nano 7: 4946–4953.

Simon B, Nomellini JF, Chiou P, Binale W, Thornton J, Smit J & Leong JA (2001) Recombinant vaccines against infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus: production line by the *Caulobacter crescentus* S-layer protein secretion system and evaluation in laboratory trials. *Dis Aquat Organ* **44**: 400–402.

Sleytr UB (1975) Heterologous reattachment of regular arrays of glycoproteins on bacterial surfaces. *Nature* **257**: 400–402.

- Sleytr UB (1976) Self-assembly of the hexagonally and tetragonally arranged subunits of bacterial surface layers and their reattachement to cell walls. *J Ultrastruct Res* **55**: 360–377.
- Sleytr UB (1978) Regular arrays of macromolecules on bacterial cell walls: structure, chemistry, assembly, and function. *Int Rev Cytol* **53**: 1–62.
- Sleytr UB & Beveridge TJ (1999) Bacterial S-layers. *Trends Microbiol* 7: 253–260.
- Sleytr UB & Glauert AM (1975) Analysis of regular arrays of subunits on bacterial surfaces: evidence for a dynamic process of assembly. *J Ultrastruct Res* **50**: 103–116.
- Sleytr UB & Glauert AM (1976) Ultrastructure of the cell walls of two closely related clostridia that possess different regular arrays of surface subunits. *J Bacteriol* **126**: 869–882.
- Sleytr UB & Messner P (1983) Crystalline surface layers on bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **37**: 311–339.
- Sleytr UB & Messner P (1989) Self-assembly of crystalline bacterial cell surface layers (S-layers). *Electron Microscopy of Subcellular Dynamics* (Plattner H, ed.), pp. 13–31. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Sleytr UB & Messner P (2009) Crystalline bacterial cell surface layers (S-layers). *Encyclopedia of Microbiology*, Vol. 1 (Schaechter M, ed.), pp. 89–98. Academic Press/Elsevier Science, San Diego.
- Sleytr UB & Plohberger R (1980) The dynamic process of assembly of two-dimensional arrays of macromolecules on bacterial cell walls. *Electron Microscopy at Molecular Dimensions* (Baumeister W & Vogell W, eds), pp. 36–47. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- Sleytr UB & Sára M (1986) Ultrafiltration membranes with uniform pores from crystalline bacterial cell envelope layers. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **25**: 83–90.
- Sleytr UB & Sára M (1988) Structure with membranes having continuous pores. US Patent Number 4,752,395.
- Sleytr UB & Sára M (1997) Bacterial and archaeal S-layer proteins: structure-function relationships and their biotechnological applications. *Trends Biotechnol* **15**: 20–26.
- Sleytr UB & Thorne KJ (1976) Chemical characterization of the regularly arranged surface layers of *Clostridium thermosaccharolyticum* and *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum*. J Bacteriol **126**: 377–383.
- Sleytr U, Adam H & Klaushofer H (1968) Die Feinstruktur der Zellwandoberfläche von zwei thermophilen Clostridienarten, dargestellt mit Hilfe der Gefrierätztechnik. *Mikroskopie* (*Wien*) 23: 1–10.
- Sleytr UB, Adams H & Klaushofer H (1969) Die Feinstruktur der Konidien von Aspergillus niger, V. Tiegh., dargestellt mit Hilfe der Gefrierätztechnik. *Mikroskopie* 25: 320–331.
- Sleytr UB, Sára M, Küpcü Z & Messner P (1986) Structural and chemical characterization of S-layers of selected strains of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* and *Desulfotomaculum nigrificans. Arch Microbiol* **146**: 19–24.
- Sleytr UB, Messner P & Pum D (1988a) Analysis of crystalline bacterial surface layers by freeze-etching, metal shadowing,

negative staining and ultrathin sectioning. *Electron Microsc in Microbiology*, Vol. 20 (Mayer F, ed.), pp. 29–60. Academic Press, Ltd., London.

- Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M (1988b) *Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Layers*, pp. 193. Springer, Berlin.
- Sleytr UB, Mundt W & Messner P (1989) Pharmazeutische Struktur mit an Proteinträgern gebundenen Wirkstoffen. EP O 306 473 B1.
- Sleytr UB, Mundt W & Messner P (1991) Immunogenic composition containing ordered carriers. US Patent Nr 5,043,158.
- Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M (1993a) Crystalline bacterial cell surface layers. *Mol Microbiol* 10: 911–916.
- Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M (1993b) *Immobilised Macromolecules: Application Potentials.* Springer-Verlag, London, UK.
- Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M (1996a) Crystalline surface layers on eubacteria and archaeobacteria. *Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Proteins* (Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M, eds), pp. 211–225. R. G. Landes Company and Academic Press, Inc., Austin, TX.
- Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M (1996b)
  Occurence, location, ultrastructure and morphogenesis of S layers. *Crystalline Bacterial Cell Surface Proteins (S Layers)* (Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M, eds), pp. 5–33. R. G. Landes Company and Academic Press, Inc., Austin, TX.
- Sleytr UB, Messner P, Pum D & Sára M (1999) Crystalline bacterial cell surface layers (S-layers): from supramolecular cell structure to biomimetics and nanotechnology. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 38: 1034–1054.
- Sleytr UB, Sara M, Mader C, Schuster B & Unger FM (2000) Use of secondary cell wall polymer of procaryotic microorganisms. EP 1285003A1.
- Sleytr UB, Pum D, Schuster B & Sára M (2001) Molecular nanotechnology and nanobiotechnology with two-dimensional protein crystals (S-layers). *Nano-Surface Chemistry* (Rosoff M, ed.), pp. 333–389. Marcel Dekker, New York, Basle.
- Sleytr UB, Sára M, Pum D, Schuster B, Messner P & Schäffer C (2002) Self-assembly protein systems: microbial S-layers. *Biopolymers Polyamides and Complex Proteinaceous Materials I*, Vol. 1st edn. (Steinbüchel A & Fahnestock SR, eds), pp. 285–338. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
- Sleytr UB, Pum D, Sára M & Schuster B (2004) Molecular nanotechnology and nanobiotechnology with 2-D protein crystals. *Encylopedia of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, Vol. 5 (Nalwa HS, ed.), pp. 693–702. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Sleytr UB, Sára M, Pum D & Schuster B (2005) Crystalline bacterial cell surface layers (S-layers): a versatile self-assembly system. *Supramolecular Polymers* (Ciferri A, ed.), pp. 583–612. Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton.
- Sleytr UB, Sara M, Mader C, Schuster B & Unger FM (2006) Use of secondary cell wall polymer of procaryotic microorganisms. US Patent Number 7,125,707 B2.

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. FEMS Microbiology Reviews

published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

Sleytr UB, Egelseer EM, Ilk N, Pum D & Schuster B (2007a) S-layers as a basic building block in a molecular construction kit. FEBS J 274: 323-334.

Sleytr UB, Huber C, Ilk N, Pum D, Schuster B & Egelseer EM (2007b) S-Layers as a tool kit for nanobiotechnological applications. FEMS Microbiol Lett 267: 131-144.

Sleytr UB, Egelseer EM, Ilk N, Messner P, Schäffer C, Pum D & Schuster B (2010) Nanobiotechnological applications of S-lavers. Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds - Structure and Biochemistry (König H, Claus H & Varma A, eds), pp. 459-481. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.

Slevtr UB, Schuster B, Egelseer EM, Pum D, Horeis CM, Tscheliessnig R & Ilk N (2011) Nanobiotechnology with S-layer proteins as building blocks. Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science, Molecular Assembly in Natural and Engineered Systems, Vol. 103 (Howorka S, ed.), pp. 277-352. Elsevier Academic Press Inc., Burlington.

Sleytr UB, Pum D, Egelseer EM, Ilk N & Schuster B (2013) S-layer proteins. Handbook of Biofunctional Surfaces (Knoll W, ed.), pp. 507-568. Pan Stanford Publishing, Singapore.

Smarda J, Smajs D, Komrska J & Krzyzanek V (2002) S-layers on cell walls of cyanobacteria. Micron 33: 257-277.

Smibert RM (1978) The genus Campylobacter. Annu Rev Microbiol 32: 673-709.

Smit J & Agabian N (1982) Cell surface patterning and morphoGenesis; bioGenesis of a periodic surface array during Caulobacter development. J Cell Biol 95: 41-49.

Smit J & Todd WJ (1986) Colloidal gold labels for immunocytochemical analysis of microbes. Ultrastructure Techniques for Microorganisms (Aldrich HC & Todd WJ, eds), pp. 469-519. Plenum Press, New York.

Smit E, Oling F, Demel R, Martinez B & Pouwels PH (2001) The S-layer protein of Lactobacillus acidophilus ATCC 4356: identification and characterisation of domains responsible for S-protein assembly and cell wall binding. J Mol Biol 305: 245-257.

Smit E, Jager D, Martinez B, Tielen FJ & Pouwels PH (2002) Structural and functional analysis of the S-layer protein crystallisation domain of Lactobacillus acidophilus ATCC 4356: evidence for protein-protein interaction of two subdomains. J Mol Biol 324: 953-964.

Smith RH, Messner P, Lamontagne LR, Sleytr UB & Unger FM (1993) Induction of T-cell immunity to oligosaccharide antigens immobilized on crystalline bacterial surface layers (S-layers). Vaccine 11: 919-924.

Srinivasan N & Kumar S (2012) Ordered and disordered proteins as nanomaterial building blocks. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol 4: 204-218.

Steinem C & Janshoff A (2010) Multicomponent membranes on solid substrates: interfaces for protein binding. Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci 15: 479-488.

Steiner K, Hanreich A, Kainz B, Hitchen PG, Dell A, Messner P & Schäffer C (2008) Recombinant glycans on an S-layer self-assembly protein: a new dimension for nanopatterned biomaterials. Small 4: 1728-1740.

Stetter KO (1999) Extremophiles and their adaptation to hot environments. FEBS Lett 452: 22-25.

Stimson E, Virji M, Makepeace K et al. (1995) Meningococcal pilin: a glycoprotein substituted with digalactosyl 2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6-trideoxyhexose. Mol Microbiol 17: 1201-1214.

Sturm E, Egelseer E, Sára M & Sleytr UB (1993) Can S-layers of Bacillaceae control the release of their own exoproteins? Advances in Bacterial Paracrystalline Surface Layers, Vol. 252 (Beveridge TJ & Koval SF, eds), pp. 297-301. Plenum Press, New York & London.

Sugawara M & Hirano A (2005) Design and application of planar bilayer lipid membranes containing biological ion channels for chemical sensing. Advances in Planar Lipid Bilayers and Liposomes, Vol. 1 (Ottova A & Tien TH, eds), pp. 221-245. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Sumper M, Berg E, Mengele R & Strobel I (1990) Primary structure and glycosylation of the S-layer protein of Haloferax volcanii. J Bacteriol 172: 7111-7118.

Szabo Z & Pohlschroder M (2012) Diversity and subcellular distribution of archaeal secreted proteins. Front Microbiol 3: 207.

Tang J, Ebner A, Huber C, Ilk N, Zhu R, Pastushenko V, Sara M & Hinterdorfer P (2007) High resolution atomic force microscopy imaging and single molecule force microscopy studies of S-layer-strep-tag I and S-layer-strep-tag II proteins. Biophys J Suppl S: 513A.

Tarlovsky Y, Fabian M, Solomaha E, Honsa E, Olson JS & Maresso AW (2013) A Bacillus anthracis S-layer homology protein that binds heme and mediates heme delivery to IsdC. J Bacteriol 192: 3503-3511.

Thomas S, Austin JW, McCubbin WD, Kay CM & Trust TJ (1992) Roles of structural domains in the morphology and surface anchoring of the tetragonal paracrystalline array of Aeromonas hydrophila. Biochemical characterization of the major structural domain. J Mol Biol 228: 652-661.

Thompson SA & Blaser MJ (2000) Pathogenesis of Campylobacter fetus infections. Campylobacter (Nachamkin I & Blaser MJ, eds), pp. 321-347. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.

Thompson JB & Ferris FG (1990) Cyanobacterial precipitation of gypsum, calcite, and magnesite from natural alkaline lake water. Geology 18: 995-998.

Thornley MJ, Glauert AM & Sleytr UB (1974) Structure and assembly of bacterial surface layers composed of regular arrays of subunits. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 268: 147-153.

Tiefenauer L & Demarche S (2012) Challenges in the development of functional assays of membrane proteins. Materials 5: 2205-2242.

Tien TH & Ottova-Leitmannova A (2000) Membrane Biophysics: As Viewed From Experimental Bilayer Lipid Membranes. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Toca-Herrera JL, Krastev R, Bosio V, Küpcü S, Pum D, Fery A, Sára M & Sleytr UB (2005) Recrystallization of bacterial S-layers on flat polyelectrolyte surfaces and hollow polyelectrolyte capsules. *Small* 1: 339–348.

Trojanowicz M (2001) Miniaturized biochemical sensing devices based on planar lipid membranes. *Fresenius J Anal Chem* **372:** 246–260.

Trust TJ, Kay WW & Ishiguro EE (1983) Cell surface hydrophobicity and macrophage association of *Aeromonas salmonicida*. *Curr Microbiol* **9**: 315–318.

Tschiggerl H, Casey JL, Parisi K, Foley M & Sleytr UB (2008a) Display of a peptide mimotope on a crystalline bacterial cell surface layer (S-layer) lattice for diagnosis of Epstein–Barr virus infection. *Bioconjug Chem* **19**: 860–865.

Tschiggerl H, Breitwieser A, de Roo G, Verwoerd T, Schäffer C & Sleytr UB (2008b) Exploitation of the S-layer self-assembly system for site directed immobilization of enzymes demonstrated for an extremophilic laminarinase from *Pyrococcus furiosus*. J Biotechnol **133**: 403–411.

Tsuboi A, Uchihi R, Tabata R, Takahashi Y, Hashiba H, Sasaki T, Yamagata H, Tsukagoshi N & Udaka S (1986) Characterization of the genes coding for two major cell wall proteins from protein-producing *Bacillus brevis* 47: complete nucleotide sequence of the outer wall protein gene. *J Bacteriol* **168**: 365–373.

Uchida M, Harada T, Enkhtuya J, Kusumoto A, Kobayashi Y, Chiba S, Shyaka A & Kawamoto K (2012) Protective effect of *Bacillus anthracis* surface protein EA1 against anthrax in mice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **421**: 323–328.

Ücisik MH, Kupcu S, Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2013a) Characterization of CurcuEmulsomes: nanoformulation for enhanced solubility and delivery of curcumin. *J Nanobiotechnology* **11**: 37.

Ücisik MH, Kupcu S, Debreczeny M, Schuster B & Sleytr UB (2013b) S-layer coated emulsomes as potential nanocarriers. *Small* **9**: 2895–2904.

Vadillo-Rodríguez V, Busscher HJ, Norde W, de Vries J & van der Mei HC (2004) Dynamic cell surface hydrophobicity of Lactobacillus strains with and without surface layer proteins. *J Bacteriol* **186**: 6647–6650.

Velásquez L & Dussan J (2009) Biosorption and bioaccumulation of heavy metals on dead and living biomass of *Bacillus sphaericus*. J Hazard Mater 167: 713–716.

Viviani B, Gardoni F & Marinovich M (2007) Cytokines and neuronal ion channels in health and disease. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 82: 247–263.

Völkel D, Zimmermann K, Breitwieser A, Pable S, Glatzel M, Scheiflinger F, Schwarz HP, Sára M, Sleytr UB & Dorner F (2003) Immunochemical detection of prion protein on dipsticks prepared with crystalline bacterial cell-surface layers. *Transfusion* 43: 1677–1682.

Völlenkle C, Weigert S, Ilk N, Egelseer E, Weber V, Loth F, Falkenhagen D, Sleytr UB & Sára M (2004) Construction of a functional S-layer fusion protein comprising an immunoglobulin G-binding domain for development of specific adsorbents for extracorporeal blood purification. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 1514–1521.

Vyalikh DV, Danzenbächer S, Mertig M, Kirchner A, Pompe W, Dedkov YS & Molodtsov SL (2004) Electronic structure of regular bacterial surface layers. *Phys Rev Lett* **93**: 238103.

Vyas SP, Subhedar R & Jain S (2006) Development and characterization of emulsomes for sustained and targeted delivery of an antiviral agent to liver. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **58**: 321–326.

Walper SA, Anderson GP, Brozozog Lee PA, Glaven RH, Liu JL, Bernstein RD, Zabetakis D, Johnson L, Czarnecki JM & Goldman ER (2012) Rugged single domain antibody detection elements for *Bacillus anthracis* spores and vegetative cells. *PLoS One* **7**: e32801.

Wang YT, Oh SY, Hendrickx AP, Lunderberg JM & Schneewind O (2013) *Bacillus cereus* G9241 S-layer assembly contributes to the pathogenesis of anthrax-like disease in mice. J Bacteriol 195: 596–605.

Weigert S & Sára M (1995) Surface modification of an ultrafiltration membrane with crystalline structure and studies on interactions with selected protein molecules. J Memb Sci 106: 147–159.

Weiner C, Sára M & Sleytr UB (1994a) Novel protein A affinity matrix prepared from two-dimensional protein crystals. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **43**: 321–330.

Weiner C, Sára M, Dasgupta G & Sleytr UB (1994b) Affinity cross-flow filtration: purification of IgG with a novel protein A affinity matrix prepared from two-dimensional protein crystals. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 44: 55–65.

Wetzer B, Pfandler A, Györvary E, Pum D, Lösche M & Sleytr UB (1998) S-Layer reconstitution at phospholipid monolayers. *Langmuir* 14: 6899–6906.

Weygand M, Wetzer B, Pum D, Sleytr UB, Cuvillier N, Kjaer K, Howes PB & Losche M (1999) Bacterial S-layer protein coupling to lipids: X-ray reflectivity and grazing incidence diffraction studies. *Biophys J* 76: 458–468.

Weygand M, Schalke M, Howes PB, Kjaer K, Friedmann J, Wetzer B, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Lösche M (2000) Coupling of protein sheet crystals (S-layers) to phospholipid monolayers. J Mater Chem 10: 141–148.

Weygand M, Kjaer K, Howes PB, Wetzer B, Pum D, Sleytr UB & Lösche M (2002) Structural reorganization of phospholipid headgroups upon recrystallization of an S-layer lattice. *J Phys Chem B* **106**: 5793–5799.

Whitman WB, Coleman DC & Wiebe WJ (1998) Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**: 6578–6583.

Wildhaber I & Baumeister W (1987) The cell envelope of *Thermoproteus tenax*: three-dimensional structure of the surface layer and its role in shape-maintainance. *EMBO J* 6: 1475–1480.

Wimley WC & Hristova K (2011) Antimicrobial Peptides; Successes, Challenges and Unanswered Questions. J Membr Biol 239: 27–34.