

Pharmacologic prevention of postoperative delirium after on-pump cardiac surgery A meta-analysis of randomized trials

Rui Tao, MD^{a,b}, Xiao-Wen Wang, MD, PhD^{c,*}, Liang-Jun Pang, MD^{a,b}, Jun Cheng, MD^{a,b}, Yong-Mei Wang, MD^{a,b,*}, Guo-Qing Gao, MD^a, Yu Liu, MD^a, Chao Wang, MD^{a,b}

Abstract

Background: Postoperative delirium is a prevalent and disabling mental disorder in patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery. There is some evidence that the use of pharmacological interventions may reduce the risk of developing of postoperative delirium. Therefore, the aim of this meta-analysis was to determine the effect of pharmacologic agents for the prevention postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery.

Methods: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were identified through a systematic literature search of electronic databases and article references up to October 2016. End points included incidence of postoperative delirium, severity of postoperative delirium, cognitive disturbances of postoperative delirium, duration of postoperative delirium, length of stay in intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital, and short-term mortality.

Results: A total of 14 RCTs with an aggregate of 14,139 patients were included. The results of the present meta-analysis show that pharmacologic agents significantly decrease postoperative delirium [relative risk (RR), 0.83; 95% confidence interval (95% Cl), 0.75– 0.91, P < .00001] and duration of postoperative delirium (RR = -0.37, 95% Cl = -0.47 to -0.27, P < .00001) after on-pump cardiac surgery. In addition, subgroup analysis shows that dexamethasone and dexamethasone were associated with a trend toward a reduction in postoperative delirium (RR, 0.45; 95% Cl, 0.30–0.66, P < .0001; RR, 0.80; 95% Cl, 0.68–0.93, P = .003, respectively). However, our results fail to support the assumption that pharmacologic prophylaxis is associated with a positively reduction in short-term mortality, length of ICU, or hospital stay.

Conclusion: This meta-analysis suggests that the perioperative use of pharmacologic agents can prevent postoperative delirium development in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. However, there remain important gaps in the evidence base on a few small studies with multiple limitations. Further large-scale, high-quality RCTs are needed in this area.

Abbreviations: CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting, CPB = cardiopulmonary bypass, RCTs = randomized controlled trials.

Keywords: cardiac surgery, cardiopulmonary bypass, delirium, postoperative delirium, prophylaxis

1. Introduction

Postoperative delirium is a common neuropsychological syndrome encountered after cardiac surgery and has important

Editor: Gaurav Jain.

Funding/support: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The authors of this work have nothing to disclose and have no conflicts of interest.

^a Department of Substance-Related Disorders, Division of Psychiatry, Hefei No.4 People's Hospital, Anhui Mental Health Center, ^b Mental Health Clinical College of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, ^c Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China.

* Correspondence: Xiao-Wen Wang, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, No. 001 YouYi Road, Chongqing 400016, China (e-mail: wxwsurgery@163.com); Yong-Mei Wang, Hefei No. 4 People's Hospital, Anhui Mental Health Center, Mental Health Clinical College of Anhui Medical University, Hefei 230022, China (e-mail: wangyongmei129@126.com).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

Medicine (2018) 97:43(e12771)

Received: 27 November 2017 / Accepted: 16 September 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000012771 clinical and economic implications. The incidence of postoperative delirium reported in previous studies range from 3% to 52% of patients following cardiac surgery, depending on methods of detection.^[1-6] The reported incidence of delirium in elderly patients (70 years and older) undergoing cardiac surgery is as high as 54.9%.^[7] Postoperative delirium is associated with increased mortality, prolonged hospital stay, costs, and increased risk of infection and stroke.^[8-11]

The pathogenesis of postoperative delirium is complex and multifactorial and is for the moment far from being fully understood. Multiple risk factors have been identified, including age, previous psychiatric conditions, cerebrovascular disease, pre-existent cognitive impairment, type of surgery, perioperative blood product transfusion, administration of risperidone, postoperative atrial fibrillation, mechanical ventilation time, postoperative oxygen saturation, and renal insufficiency.^[12] The most consistent predisposing risk factor of postoperative delirium is advanced age.^[7,12,13]

Although no pharmacologic intervention has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the treatment or prevention of delirium in any population, currently many studies have evaluated the effectiveness of pharmacologic interventions to prevent or decrease the high incidence of postoperative delirium in the cardiac surgical population.^[14,15] Pharmacological interventions, including cholinesterase inhibitors, antipsychotics, and analgesics, might potentially modify the multiple neurotransmitter pathways involved in the development of delirium.^[16] Therefore, we performed a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to assess the effectiveness of pharmacological interventions for preventing delirium after cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search strategy and study selection

Two investigators (LP and CJ) independently searched the literatures collected in PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Science Direct, ISI Web of Knowledge, and Cochrane databases up to October 30, 2016. Search terms included "delirium," "coronary artery bypass," "coronary artery bypass grafting," "heart surgery," "cardiac surgery," "heart valve," "cardiopulmonary bypass," "CPB." We also sought additional studies by reviewing the reference lists of included articles, conference abstracts, and the bibliographies of expert advisors. The searches were limited to English publications in humans. We did not include abstracts or meeting proceedings. This search strategy was performed iteratively until no new potential citations could be found on review of the reference lists of retrieved articles.

Studies were included if they met all of the following criteria: comparative studies of pharmacologic interventions (with or without placebo) to prevent delirium after on-pump cardiac surgery in adult patients; the study design was a prospective RCT; the study reported at least 1 clinical outcome. Exclusion criteria included studies of delirium treatment, duplicate publication, ongoing/unpublished study, studies published only as an abstract or in conference proceedings, and studies without availability of detailed data.

2.2. Data extraction and quality assessment

Two review authors (YL and GG) evaluated the quality of all included studies by examining 3 items: patient selection, comparability of interventions and control groups, and assessment of outcomes. All data were extracted from article texts, tables, and figures. Two individual investigators independently extracted data on patient and study characteristics, outcomes, and study quality for each trial using a standardized protocol and reporting form. Disagreements were resolved by consensus with a third reviewer (WX). The following study parameters were extracted: primary author, publication year, country of origin, intervention, diagnostic criteria, incidence of delirium, severity of delirium, duration of delirium, length of stay in ICU and hospital, short-term mortality, and adverse outcomes.

Methodological quality assessment was conducted by 2 authors independently. The quality of included trials was evaluated on the basis of Cochrane Risk of Bias Methods according to the following criteria as previously described^[17]: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and researchers, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias.

2.3. Study outcomes and statistical analysis

The primary end point of this meta-analysis was the incidence of delirium. Secondary outcomes included severity of delirium, duration of delirium, length of stay in ICU and hospital, short-term mortality, adverse outcomes, publication bias, and sensitivity analyses.

We used fixed-effects or random-effects models to produce across-study summary relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI). The pooled effects were calculated using fixedeffect model with the Mantel-Haenszel method when there was no significant heterogeneity or with DerSimonian-Laird weights for the random effects model when there was significant heterogeneity. The Chi-square test was used to study heterogeneity between trials, and the I^2 statistic was used to estimate the percentage of total variation across studies. I^2 value greater than 50% was considered as significant heterogeneity. Publication bias was explored through visual inspection of funnel plots and assessed by applying the Egger weighted regression statistic with a *P* value < .05 indicating significant publication bias among the included studies. Correction for publication bias was performed using trim-and-fill methods. A P value < .05 was regarded as significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager (version 5; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK).

3. Results

3.1. Study identification and characteristics

The flow diagram of literature search is presented in Fig. 1. A total of 54 studies were retrieved, and finally, 14 eligible





Table 1 Characteristics of included studies

Ref.	Year	Country	n	Age, y	Intervention	Dose	Control	Diagnostic criteria	Result
Prakanrattana et al ^[19]	2007	Thailand	126	61.3 ± 9.3	Risperidone	1 mg sublingually	Placebo	CAM-ICU	Beneficial effect
Maldonado et al ^[20]	2009	United States	118	55 (16)	Dexmedetomidine	0.4 μg/kg loading IV 0.2-0.7 μg /kg/h infusion	Propofol or midazolam	DSM	Beneficial effect
Hudetz et al ^[21]	2009	United States	58	60 ± 8	Ketamine	0.5 mg/kg IV bolus	Placebo	ICDSC	Beneficial effect
Shehabi et al ^[22]	2009	Australia	306	71.5 (66-76)	Dexmedetomidine	0.1–0.7 µg /kg/h infusion	Morphine	CAM-ICU	No effect
Gamberini et al ^[3]	2009	Switzerland	101	74.1 (5.2)	Rivastigmine	1.5 mg orally 3 times/d	Placebo	CAM	No effect
Rubino et al ^[23]	2010	Italy	30	63.9±8.9	Clonidine	0.5 μg/kg IV bolus (at the beginning of the weaning) 1–2 μg/kg/h infusion (all over weaning phase)	Placebo	DSM	Beneficial effect
Royse et al ^[24]	2011	Australia	180	63.8 (10.6)	Propofol	$1.5-3 \mu q/kq$ infusion	Desflurane	CAM	No effect
Hakim et al ^[25]	2012	Egypt	101	≥ 65	Risperidone	0.5 mg orally 2 times/day	Placebo	CAM-ICU	Beneficial effect
Dieleman et al ^[26]	2012	Netherlands	4494	66.2 (11.0)	Dexamethasone	1 mg/kg (max 100 mg) IV	Placebo	NG	Beneficial effect
Mardani et al ^[27]	2013	Iran	110	64.55 ± 11.10	Dexamethasone	8 mg IV before surgery 8 mg IV 3 times/day for 3 d	Placebo	DSM	Beneficial effect
Sauër et al ^[28]	2014	United States	737	67 (12)	Dexamethasone	1 mg/kg (maximum 100 mg) IV	Placebo	CAM-ICU	No effect
Balkanay et al ⁽³⁰⁾	2015	Turkey	88	60.5 ± 8.6	Dexamethasone	4 μg/cc concentration infusion 8 μg/cc concentration infusion	Placebo	NG	No effect
Whitlock et al ^[29]	2015	Canada	7507	67.5 (13.6)	Methylprednisolone	250 mg at anesthetic induction IV 250 mg at initiation of CPB IV	Placebo	NG	No effect
Djaiani et al ^[31]	2016	Canada	183	72.7 (6.4)	Dexmedetomidine	0.4 μg/kg bolus followed by 0.2-0.7 μg/kg/h infusion	Propofol	CAM-ICU	Beneficial effect

CAM = Confusion Assessment Method, CAM-ICU = Confusion Assessment Method for the ICU, DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, ICDSC = Intensive Care Delirium Screening checklist, NG = not given.

RCTs^[3,18-30] were included in this meta-analysis after abstract screening and full-text reviewing. The characteristics of the eligible RCTs in the meta-analysis are summarized in Table 1. Nine studies were placebo-controlled trials, and 4 studies evaluated a delirium prevention intervention against usual care. The years of publication of the 14 RCTs were between 2007 and 2016, and the sample sizes ranged from 30 to 7507. The surgery types included CABG, valve surgery, CABG and valve surgery, aortic surgery, and other procedures. Cardiopulmonary bypass was used in cardiac surgery in all of the trials. The average ages of the patients were all older than 60 years except 1 study by Maldonado et al.^[19] The pharmacologic agents assessed in these RCTs included Risperidone (n=2), ^[18,24] Dexmedetomidine (n=2)4), [19,21,29,30] Ketamine (n=1), [20] Rivastigmine (n=1), [3] Clonidine (n = 1),^[22] Propofol (n = 1),^[23] Dexamethasone (n = 3),^[25-27] and Methylprednisolone (n=1).^[28]

3.2. Incidence of postoperative delirium

Figure 2 shows the pooled results from the random effects model combining the RRs for mortality. Pooled analysis from all 14 RCTs with 14,139 patients indicated evidence of a reduction in the incidence of postoperative delirium after on-pump cardiac surgery for pharmacological interventions (RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.75-0.91, P=.0002), with a high level of heterogeneity among the studies $(I^2 = 62\%, P = .001)$. Subgroup analysis was performed according to the pharmacologic agent prevention. The subgroup of 4 studies in which patients received dexmedetomidine was associated with significantly lower rates of postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery (RR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.30–0.66, P < .0001). The test for heterogeneity was not significant ($I^2 = 38\%$, P = .18). Administration of dexamethasone was associated with a trend toward a reduction in postoperative delirium (RR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.68–0.93, P=.003). The test for heterogeneity was not significant ($I^2 = 44\%$, P = .17).

3.3. Severity of postoperative delirium

Although various instruments have been used to diagnose delirium, the diagnostic scores of some of these scales cannot be designed to assess the severity of delirium.^[31] Delirium severity

was reported as an outcome in only 1 RCT, which used the delirium detection score to measure the severity. Clonidine versus placebo was associated with less severe delirium (mean delirium detection score, 0.6 ± 0.7 vs 1.8 ± 0.8 , respectively; P < .001).^[22]

3.4. Cognitive disturbances of postoperative delirium

Disturbances in cognitive function are inherent to delirium. Three studies assessing cognitive function utilized the mini-mental status examination (MMSE) questionnaire in patients of delirium.^[3,19,26] A meta-analysis using a fixed-effects model revealed that prophylactic interventions was associated with higher MMSE scores on postoperative days than placebo group (RR=0.33, 95% CI=0.09–0.57, P=.006, Fig. 3).

Prophylactic dexamethasone compared with placebo resulted in higher MMSE scores during the first $(27.53 \pm 3.44 \text{ vs } 25.78 \pm 4.70, \text{respectively}, P=.04)$ and second postoperative days (28.12 $\pm 2.66 \text{ vs } 26.26 \pm 4.20$, respectively, P=.04).^[26] However, an RCT by Gamberini of rivastigmine versus placebo on postoperative MMSE failed to show a difference in median scores during the first 6 postoperative days [25 (12–30) vs 24 (10–29), P=.1].^[3]

3.5. Duration of postoperative delirium

The overall effect of pharmacological interventions on the duration of delirium was assessed from 5 trials. There was a significant reduction the duration of delirium in prophylactic pharmacologic agents group compared with control group (RR = -0.37, 95%) CI = -0.47 to -0.27, P < .00001, $I^2 = 98\%$; Fig. 4). We did subgroup analyses based on pharmacologic agent intervention; the subgroup analysis showed dexmedetomidine significantly reduced the duration of delirium after cardiac surgery compared with control interventions (RR = -1.63, 95% CI = -1.82 to -1.43, P < .00001, $I^2 = 98\%$; Fig. 4). In contrast, there were no significant differences with regard to the duration of delirium in group receiving rivastigmine [3 (1–6) vs 2.5 (1–5) days, P=.3] or risperidone [3 days (2-4) vs 3 days (3-4), P=.664 when compared with placebo.^[3,24] In addition, Sauer et al^[27] conducted a randomized, placebo-controlled evaluation of high-dose dexamethasone for prevention of delirium after cardiac surgery; the median duration of

	Interver	ntion	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Pharmacologic i	interventi	ons			and the second Property of		Sector Contraction and the sector secto
Gamberini 2009	18	56	17	57	2.2%	1.08 [0.62, 1.87]	
Hakim 2012	7	51	17	50	2.2%	0.40 [0.18, 0.89]	
Hudetz 2009	1	29	9	29	1.2%	0.11 [0.02, 0.82]	
Prakanrattana 2007	7	63	20	63	2.6%	0.35 [0.16, 0.77]	
Royse 2011	7	89	12	91	1.5%	0.60 [0.25, 1.45]	
Rubino 2010	6	15	5	15	0.6%	1.20 [0.47, 3.09]	
Whitlock 2015	295	3755	289	3752	37.5%	1.02 [0.87, 1.19]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		4058		4057	47.9%	0.92 [0.80, 1.06]	•
Total events	341		369				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	7.46, df =	6 (P = (0.008); l ²	= 66%			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.11 (F	P = 0.27)				
1.1.2 Dexmedetomidi	ne						
Balkanay 2015	0	20	1	28	0.2%	0 32 [0 01 7 59]	
Diajani 2016	16	01	20	02	3 7%	0.52 [0.01, 7.55]	
Maldonado 2009	1	30	15	30	1 0%	0.07 [0.01, 0.47]	←
Shehahi 2000	13	152	22	147	2 0%	0.57 [0.30, 1.00]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	15	302	22	297	8.8%	0.45 [0.30, 0.66]	•
Total events	30	002	67	201	0.070	0.40 [0.00, 0.00]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = A	185 df = 3	R = 0	18). 12 - 1	28%			
Test for overall effect: 7	$7 = 3.00 / 10^{-1}$	2 < 0.00	01)	00 /0			
	2 - 0.00 (1	0.00	01)				
1.1.3 Dexamethasone							
Dieleman 2012	205	2235	262	2247	33.9%	0.79 [0.66, 0.94]	-
Mardani 2013	7	43	19	50	2.3%	0.43 [0.20, 0.92]	
Sauër 2014	52	367	55	370	7.1%	0.95 [0.67, 1.35]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		2645		2667	43.3%	0.80 [0.68, 0.93]	•
Total events	264		336				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3	8.55, df = 2	2(P = 0.)	17); 2 = 4	14%			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.96 (F	P = 0.00	3)				
Total (95% CI)		7005		7021	100.0%	0.83 [0.75, 0.91]	•
Total events	635		772				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3	34.40, df =	13 (P =	0.001): 1	² = 62%	5		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 3.77 (F	P = 0.00	02)		86		0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for subaroup differ	rences: Ch	hi ² = 11.	99. df = 2	(P = 0	.002). 2 =	83.3%	Intervention Control

Figure 2. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of the incidence of postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery.

delirium was similar between the dexamethasone and placebo groups [2 (1–3) vs 2 (1–2) days, 95% CI, 0.83–1.17; P=.45).

3.6. Length of stay in ICU and hospital

When the results of 14 RCTs that evaluated mortality as one of the outcomes were statistically aggregated, pharmacologic prevention

strategies were associated with a trend toward a reduction in the length of ICU stay (RR = -0.07,95% CI = -0.13 to -0.00, P = .04, I^2 = 88%; Fig. 5). There was no subgroup meaningful difference in patients with received dexmedetomidine (RR = -0.10,95% CI = -0.30 to -0.09, P = $.29, I^2$ = 55%; Fig. 5) or dexamethasone (RR = 0.00, 95% CI = -0.08 to 0.08, P = $.94, I^2$ = 88%; Fig. 5).



Figure 3. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of cognitive disturbances of postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery.

	Inte	erventio	n	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean SD Tota			Mean SD T		Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% C	IV. Fixed, 95% C				
1.2.1 Pharmacologic	interver	ntions											
Gamberini 2009	3	1.25	57	2.5	1	56	5.4%	0.50 [0.08, 0.92]					
Hakim 2012	3	0.5	51	3	0.25	50	39.4%	0.00 [-0.15, 0.15]					
Sauër 2014	2	0.33	367	2	1.67	370	30.9%	0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]			•		
Subtotal (95% CI)			475			476	75.6%	0.04 [-0.08, 0.15]					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	5.13, df	= 2 (P =	0.08);	12 = 619	6								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.63	P = 0.	53)										
1.2.2 Dexmedetomid	ine												
Djaiani 2016	2.25	0.75	91	3	1	92	14.2%	-0.75 [-1.01, -0.49]			- +		
Maldonado 2009a	2	0.001	30	3	3.1	30	0.8%	-1.00 [-2.11, 0.11]					
Maldonado 2009b	2	0.001	30	5.4	6.6	30	0.2%	-3.40 [-5.76, -1.04]			-		
Shehabi 2009	2	1	152	5	1.7	147	9.2%	-3.00 [-3.32, -2.68]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			303			299	24.4%	-1.63 [-1.82, -1.43]					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	120.34,	df = 3 (F	< 0.0	0001); l ^a	= 98%	6							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 16.3	3 (P < (0.0000	1)									
Total (95% CI)			778			775	100.0%	-0.37 [-0.47, -0.27]					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	336.09,	df = 6 (F	< 0.0	0001); l ^a	= 98%	6			100	50		50	40
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.52	(P < 0.	00001)						-100	-50		50	10
Test for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi ² = 2	210.61.	df = 1(P < 0.0	00001)	$ ^2 = 99.5$	%	ravours	linterventi	onsj Fav	ours [contr	oij

Figure 4. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of duration of postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery.



Figure 5. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of duration of ICU length of stay.

	Inte	rventi	on	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	IV. Fixed, 95% CI
1.4.1 Pharmacologic	interve	ntions							
Gamberini 2009	13	6.99	56	13	6.97	57	0.0%	0.00 [-2.57, 2.57]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hakim 2012	6	1.48	51	6	2.22	50	0.4%	0.00 [-0.74, 0.74]	2 <u></u> 2
Hudetz 2009	8	4	29	7	3	29	0.1%	1.00 [-0.82, 2.82]	
Prakanrattana 2007	10.5	6.1	63	10.3	4.4	63	0.1%	0.20 [-1.66, 2.06]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Royse 2011	7	2.22	89	6	1.48	91	0.6%	1.00 [0.45, 1.55]	
Whitlock 2015	9	1	3755	9	1	3752	94.4%	0.00 [-0.05, 0.05]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			4043			4042	95.5%	0.01 [-0.04, 0.05]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	13.69, d	f = 5 (I	P = 0.02	2); $I^2 = 6$	3%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.32	? (P = 0	0.75)						
1.4.2 Dexmedetomidi	ine								
Balkanay 2015a	7.5	1.7	31	7.9	1.9	28	0.2%	-0.40 [-1.32, 0.52]	
Balkanay 2015b	8.4	1.6	31	7.9	1.9	28	0.2%	0.50 [-0.40, 1.40]	
Djaiani 2016	7	5.17	91	7	11.67	92	0.0%	0.00 [-2.61, 2.61]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Maldonado 2009a	7.1	1.9	30	8.9	4.7	30	0.1%	-1.80 [-3.61, 0.01]	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Maldonado 2009b	7.1	1.9	30	8.2	3.8	30	0.1%	-1.10 [-2.62, 0.42]	<
Shehabi 2009	8	2.96	152	8	2.96	147	0.4%	0.00 [-0.67, 0.67]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			365			355	1.1%	-0.16 [-0.59, 0.27]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	7.16, df	= 5 (P	= 0.21)	; l ² = 30	1%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.73	8 (P = 0	0.46)						
1.4.3 Dexamethasone	9								
Dieleman 2012	8	4.44	2235	9	4.44	2247	2.9%	-1.00 [-1.26, -0.74]	
Mardani 2013	12.93	1.03	43	13.64	1.75	50	0.6%	-0.71 [-1.28, -0.14]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2278			2297	3.4%	-0.95 [-1.19, -0.71]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.81, df	= 1 (P	= 0.37)	; l ² = 0%	6				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.87	(P < 0	0.00001))					
Total (95% CI)			6686			6694	100.0%	-0.03 [-0.07, 0.02]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	82.70, d	f = 13	(P < 0.0	00001);	12 = 84%	6			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.22	(P=0	0.22)						-Z -1 U 1 Z
Test for subaroup diffe	rences:	Chi ² =	61.04.	df = 2 (P < 0.0	0001).	² = 96.7%		ravours [intervention] ravours [control]
		Figur	e 6. Fo	rest plo	t for the	meta-a	analysis of	duration of hospital	length of stay.

Furthermore, the pooled results from these studies showed no statistically significant reduction in the length of hospitalization after treatment with pharmacological agents (RR = -0.03, 95% CI = -0.07 to 0.02, P = .22, Fig. 6). There was a high level of heterogeneity between studies (I^2 = 84%, P < .00001). However, subgroup analysis shows that dexamethasone was associated with a trend toward a reduction in the length of hospitalization

(RR=-0.95, 95% CI=-1.19 to -0.71, P < .00001, $I^2 = 0\%$; Fig. 6).

3.7. Short-term mortality

Seven trials reported mortality, and when these data were aggregated, the overall risk of hospital mortality was not different

	Interver	tion	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl			
Dieleman 2012	31	2235	34	2247	81.2%	0.92 [0.56, 1.49]		100000	-		
Djaiani 2016	1	91	0	92	1.2%	3.07 [0.12, 76.26]		-	· ·	_	_
Gamberini 2009	1	59	1	61	2.3%	1.03 [0.06, 16.93]					
Hakim 2012	2	51	1	50	2.4%	2.00 [0.18, 22.78]		-			
Maldonado 2009a	0	20	2	38	4.1%	0.36 [0.02, 7.78]				-	
Maldonado 2009b	0	20	0	40		Not estimable					
Royse 2011	0	89	0	91		Not estimable					
Shehabi 2009	2	125	4	147	8.8%	0.58 [0.10, 3.23]			_		
Whitlock 2015	154	3755	177	0		Not estimable					
Total (95% CI)		6445		2766	100.0%	0.92 [0.59, 1.43]		3	•		
Total events	191		219								
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.58, df = 5	5(P = 0.	.90); l ² = (0%			-		-	1	400
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.39 (F	P = 0.70)			F	avours	U.1 [Intervention] Favou	s [cont	trol]

Figure 7. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of duration of short-term mortality.

between pharmacologic prevention and control groups (RR= 0.92, 95% CI=0.59–1.43, P=.61, test for heterogeneity was not significant P=.91, I^2 =0%; Fig. 7).

3.8. Risk of bias in included studies

Graphical representations of the overall risk of bias in included studies are presented in Fig. 8. Risk of bias analysis showed that 1 study had a high risk of bias due to the rate of missing outcome data > 20%.^[3]

4. Discussion

Overall, this meta-analysis of RCT studies showed that pharmacologic prevention was associated with a lower risk of postoperative delirium and duration of postoperative delirium after on-pump cardiac surgery. However, our results fail to support the assumption that pharmacologic prophylaxis is associated with a positive reduction in short-term mortality and other outcomes, such as length of ICU stay and length of hospital stay. There was a huge heterogeneity of interventions among RCT trials.

Postoperative delirium is a common and dangerous complication of cardiac surgery, especially in older patients.^[32] Postoperative delirium is associated with higher morbidity and mortality, prolonged hospital stay, and increased health care costs.^[9,33] Currently, many risk factors for delirium have been identified, but its exact pathophysiologic mechanism remains poorly understood.^[34]

A number of trials have to detect the influence of perioperative dexmedetomidine on cardiac surgery patients. Given the results of these trials, dexmedetomidine may be beneficial for preventing postoperative delirium in cardiac surgical patients. Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective, shorter-acting alpha-2 receptor agonist binding to transmembrane G protein-binding adrenor-eceptors without any effect on the GABA receptor.^[35] The previous meta-analysis and a current RCT study of dexmedetomidine versus placebo or other sedatives showed that dexmedetomidine-based sedation regimen resulted in reduced incidence, delayed onset, and shortened duration of postoperative delirium.^[30] The results of our subgroup analysis indicated that dexamethasone was associated with a trend toward a reduction in postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery.

There are several important limitations in this study. First, clinical and statistical heterogeneity was prominently apparent in the meta-analyses; this makes interpretation and generalization across different cardiac surgery populations difficult. Second, the findings are far from robust due to most of the interventions being based on a small number of trials and small sample sizes. Third, the methodologies used for the diagnosis of delirium were different across included studies. Finally, the positive effects of the prophylactic use of pharmacologic agents may be an overestimate due to the publication bias when meta-analysis was based on previously published studies, in which positive results have more tendency to be published than negative results. Moreover, some studies suffered from methodological defects and were at a high risk of bias.

Given the prevalence and costs of postoperative delirium, there is a need for further controlled clinical trials in the treatment of this disorder. The differential efficacy and acceptability of different classes of medication, including newer agents potentially useful in this disorder, requires further study. Therefore, further large trials are required to determine the differential



Figure 8. Risk of bias assessment. (A) Authors' judgments about risk of bias graph for each included study. (B) Authors' judgments about risk of bias summary across all included studies.

efficacy and acceptability of different classes of medication, including newer agents potentially useful in this disorder, and optimal methods of prophylaxis of postoperative delirium following cardiac surgery. Furthermore, future investigations should focus on pathophysiologic mechanism of postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery may help determine optimal pharmacologic targets for prophylaxis.

5. Conclusion

Although various limitations exist, our present meta-analysis clearly demonstrates the use of pharmacologic agents for the prevention of postoperative delirium development in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. The limited evidence also shows that pharmacologic prevention might also reduce the duration of postoperative delirium. However, we found no beneficial effect of pharmacologic prevention, which was associated with a positive reduction in short-term mortality, length of ICU stay, and length of hospital stay.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Rui Tao, Xiao-Wen Wang, Yong-Mei Wang. Data curation: Xiao-Wen Wang, Liang-Jun Pang.

Methodology: Rui Tao, Xiao-Wen Wang, Liang-Jun Pang, Jun Cheng, Guo-Qing Gao, Yu Liu, Chao Wang.

Project administration: Yong-Mei Wang.

Software: Rui Tao, Jun Cheng.

Writing - original draft: Rui Tao.

Writing - review & editing: Xiao-Wen Wang, Yong-Mei Wang.

References

- Mariscalco G, Cottini M, Zanobini M, et al. Preoperative statin therapy is not associated with a decrease in the incidence of delirium after cardiac operations. Ann Thorac Surg 2012;93:1439–47.
- [2] Bakker RC, Osse RJ, Tulen JH, et al. Preoperative and operative predictors of delirium after cardiac surgery in elderly patients. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012;41:544–9.
- [3] Gamberini M, Bolliger D, Lurati Buse GA, et al. Rivastigmine for the prevention of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Crit Care Med 2009;37:1762–8.
- [4] Norkiene I, Ringaitiene D, Kuzminskaite V, et al. Incidence and risk factors of early delirium after cardiac surgery. BioMed Res Int 2013;2013:323491.
- [5] Koster S, Hensens AG, Schuurmans MJ, et al. Prediction of delirium after cardiac surgery and the use of a risk checklist. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2013;12:284–92.
- [6] Rudolph JL, Jones RN, Levkoff SE, et al. Derivation and validation of a preoperative prediction rule for delirium after cardiac surgery. Circulation 2009;119:229–36.
- [7] Smulter N, Lingehall HC, Gustafson Y, et al. Delirium after cardiac surgery: incidence and risk factors. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2013;17:790–6.
- [8] Schor JD, Levkoff SE, Lipsitz LA, et al. Risk factors for delirium in hospitalized elderly. JAMA 1992;267:827–31.
- [9] Milbrandt EB, Deppen S, Harrison PL, et al. Costs associated with delirium in mechanically ventilated patients. Crit Care Med 2004;32:955–62.
- [10] Martin BJ, Buth KJ, Arora RC, et al. Delirium as a predictor of sepsis in post-coronary artery bypass grafting patients: a retrospective cohort study. Crit Care 2010;14:R171.
- [11] Saczynski JS, Marcantonio ER, Quach L, et al. Cognitive trajectories after postoperative delirium. N Engl J Med 2012;367:30–9.
- [12] Gosselt AN, Slooter AJ, Boere PR, et al. Risk factors for delirium after onpump cardiac surgery: a systematic review. Crit Care 2015;19:346.

- [13] Tse L, Schwarz SK, Bowering JB, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for delirium after cardiac surgery at a quaternary care center: a retrospective cohort study. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2015;29:1472–9.
- [14] Barr J, Fraser GL, Puntillo K, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2013;41:263–306.
- [15] Khan BA, Gutteridge D, Campbell NL. Update on pharmacotherapy for prevention and treatment of post-operative delirium: a systematic evidence review. Curr Anesthesiol Rep 2015;5:57–64.
- [16] Siddiqi N, Harrison JK, Clegg A, et al. Interventions for preventing delirium in hospitalised non-ICU patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;3:Cd005563.
- [17] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.
- [18] Prakanrattana U, Prapaitrakool S. Efficacy of risperidone for prevention of postoperative delirium in cardiac surgery. Anaesth Intensive Care 2007;35:714–9.
- [19] Maldonado JR, Wysong A, van der Starre PJ, et al. Dexmedetomidine and the reduction of postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery. Psychosomatics 2009;50:206–17.
- [20] Hudetz JA, Patterson KM, Iqbal Z, et al. Ketamine attenuates delirium after cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2009;23:651–7.
- [21] Shehabi Y, Grant P, Wolfenden H, et al. Prevalence of delirium with dexmedetomidine compared with morphine based therapy after cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial (DEXmedetomidine COmpared to Morphine-DEXCOM Study). Anesthesiology 2009;111:1075–84.
- [22] Rubino AS, Onorati F, Caroleo S, et al. Impact of clonidine administration on delirium and related respiratory weaning after surgical correction of acute type-A aortic dissection: results of a pilot study. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2010;10:58–62.
- [23] Royse CF, Andrews DT, Newman SN, et al. The influence of propofol or desflurane on postoperative cognitive dysfunction in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. Anaesthesia 2011;66:455–64.
- [24] Hakim SM, Othman AI, Naoum DO. Early treatment with risperidone for subsyndromal delirium after on-pump cardiac surgery in the elderly: a randomized trial. Anesthesiology 2012;116:987–97.
- [25] Dieleman JM, Nierich AP, Rosseel PM, et al. Intraoperative high-dose dexamethasone for cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2012;308:1761–7.
- [26] Mardani D, Bigdelian H. Prophylaxis of dexamethasone protects patients from further post-operative delirium after cardiac surgery: a randomized trial. J Res Med Sci 2013;18:137–43.
- [27] Sauer AM, Slooter AJ, Veldhuijzen DS, et al. Intraoperative dexamethasone and delirium after cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. Anesth Analg 2014;119:1046–52.
- [28] Whitlock RP, Devereaux PJ, Teoh KH, et al. Methylprednisolone in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass (SIRS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2015;386:1243–53.
- [29] Balkanay OO, Goksedef D, Omeroglu SN, et al. The dose-related effects of dexmedetomidine on renal functions and serum neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin values after coronary artery bypass grafting: a randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled study. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2015;20:209–14.
- [30] Djaiani G, Silverton N, Fedorko L, et al. Dexmedetomidine versus propofol sedation reduces delirium after cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Anesthesiology 2016;124:362–8.
- [31] Grover S, Kate N. Assessment scales for delirium: a review. World J Psychiatry 2012;2:58–70.
- [32] Inouye SK. Delirium in older persons. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1157-65.
- [33] Ely EW, Shintani A, Truman B, et al. Delirium as a predictor of mortality in mechanically ventilated patients in the intensive care unit. JAMA 2004;291:1753–62.
- [34] Martin BJ, Arora RC. Delirium and cardiac surgery: progress: and more questions. Cri Care 2013;17:140.
- [35] Geng J, Qian J, Cheng H, et al. The influence of perioperative dexmedetomidine on patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a metaanalysis. PLoS One 2016;11:e0152829.