



The Two-Component System CpxRA Represses Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 2 by Directly Acting on the ssrAB Regulatory Operon

Nancy León-Montes,^{a,b} Jessica Nava-Galeana,^c Diana Rodríguez-Valverde,^{a,b} Jorge Soria-Bustos,^a ⁽¹⁰⁾ Roberto Rosales-Reyes,^d Sandra Rivera-Gutiérrez,^b ⁽¹⁰⁾ Hidetada Hirakawa,^e Miguel A. Ares,^{a,b} Víctor H. Bustamante,^c Miguel A. De la Cruz^a

^aUnidad de Investigación Médica en Enfermedades Infecciosas y Parasitarias, Hospital de Pediatría, Centro Médico Nacional Siglo XXI, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico City, Mexico

^bDepartamento de Microbiología, Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico City, Mexico

Departamento de Microbiología Molecular, Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca, Mexico

^dUnidad de Medicina Experimental, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico

eDepartment of Bacteriology, Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine, Maebashi, Japan

ABSTRACT The acquisition of Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2) conferred on Salmonella the ability to survive and replicate within host cells. The ssrAB bicistronic operon, located in SPI-2, encodes the SsrAB two-component system (TCS), which is the central positive regulator that induces the expression of SPI-2 genes as well as other genes located outside this island. On the other hand, CpxRA is a two-component system that regulates expression of virulence genes in many bacteria in response to different stimuli that perturb the cell envelope. We previously reported that the CpxRA system represses the expression of SPI-1 and SPI-2 genes under SPI-1-inducing conditions by decreasing the stability of the SPI-1 regulator HilD. Here, we show that under SPI-2inducing conditions, which mimic the intracellular environment, CpxRA represses the expression of SPI-2 genes by the direct action of phosphorylated CpxR (CpxR-P) on the ssrAB regulatory operon. CpxR-P recognized two sites located proximal and distal from the promoter located upstream of ssrA. Consistently, we found that CpxRA reduces the replication of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium inside murine macrophages. Therefore, our results reveal CpxRA as an additional regulator involved in the intracellular lifestyle of Salmonella, which in turn adds a new layer to the intricate regulatory network controlling the expression of Salmonella virulence genes.

IMPORTANCE SPI-2 encodes a type III secretion system (T3SS) that is a hallmark for the species *Salmonella enterica*, which is essential for the survival and replication within macrophages. Expression of SPI-2 genes is positively controlled by the two-component system SsrAB. Here, we determined a regulatory mechanism involved in controlling the overgrowth of *Salmonella* inside macrophages. In this mechanism, CpxRA, a two-component system that is activated by extracytoplasmic stress, directly represses expression of the *ssrAB* regulatory operon; as a consequence, expression of SsrAB target genes is decreased. Our findings reveal a novel mechanism involved in the intracellular lifestyle of *Salmonella*, which is expected to sense perturbations in the bacterial envelope that *Salmonella* faces inside host cells, as the synthesis of the T3SS-2 itself.

KEYWORDS CpxRA, SPI-2, ssrAB, Salmonella, cpxRA

S almonella is a common etiological agent of gastrointestinal disease transmitted by food or water (1, 2). The genus *Salmonella* is composed of two species: *Salmonella* enterica, which comprises six subspecies, and *Salmonella bongori*. So far, over 2,600

Editor Eric Cascales, Centre national de la recherche scientifique, Aix-Marseille Université Copyright © 2022 León-Montes et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Miguel A. De la Cruz, miguel_angel_81@live.com, or Víctor H. Bustamante, victor.bustamante@ibt.unam.mx. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 27 July 2022 Accepted 15 August 2022 Published 8 September 2022 different serotypes of *S. enterica* have been described, which can cause severe gastroenteritis and systemic infections in warm-blooded animals, including humans (3, 4). Pathogenesis of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S. Typhimurium*) is mostly due to its ability to invade and replicate within intestinal epithelial and phagocytic host cells (5). Major virulence factors of *Salmonella* are type III secretion systems 1 and 2 (T3SS-1 and T3SS-2) and related effector proteins, encoded in *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands 1 and 2 (SPI-1 and SPI-2), respectively, which were acquired by *Salmonella* by horizontal gene transfer events (6–8). SPI-1 and SPI-2 also encode different effector proteins, chaperones, and transcriptional regulators that are necessary for the infection by *Salmonella*; the effector proteins are translocated into the cytoplasm of host cells by the respective T3SS (5, 7–14).

The SPI-1 genes are expressed when *Salmonella* reaches the intestinal lumen, which is necessary for *Salmonella* invasion of epithelial cells (9–11). *In vitro*, the SPI-1 genes are expressed in the late exponential/early stationary phase of growth in nutrient-rich media such as LB, which is thought to somehow mimic the intestinal environment (SPI-1-inducing conditions) (15, 16). Once *Salmonella* is inside host cells, the expression of SPI-1 is repressed, whereas that of SPI-2 is activated (17–20). The SPI-2 genes are essential for the intracellular replication of *Salmonella*, in both phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells, in a membrane-bound niche termed the *Salmonella*-containing vacuole (SCV) (21). *In vitro*, the SPI-2 genes are expressed in the late stationary phase of growth in nutrient-rich media such as LB, as well as in minimal media like N-minimal medium; minimal media containing low concentrations of calcium, magnesium, and phosphate (SPI-2-inducing conditions) resemble the conditions encountered by *Salmonella* within host cells (15, 19, 20).

A myriad of regulators controls the expression of the SPI-1 and SPI-2 genes (1). HilD, encoded in SPI-1, is the apex of a complex regulatory cascade that activates the expression of the SPI-1 genes and other virulence genes located outside this island (22-25). On the other hand, the SsrAB two-component system (TCS) is the central positive regulator for the SPI-2 genes and other functionally related genes located outside SPI-2 (20, 26–28). The SsrAB system is encoded in the ssrAB operon, located in SPI-2; SsrA is the sensor kinase, and SsrB is the response regulator that directly controls the expression of target genes (1, 27). Interestingly, HilD directly induces the expression of the ssrAB operon, and thus the SPI-2 genes, in the late stationary phase of growth in LB (15, 29), whereas SsrB directly represses the expression of hilD and hilA, and thus the SPI-1 genes, when Salmonella is grown under SPI-2-inducing conditions or when it is inside host cells (30–32), which shows a bidirectional transcriptional communication between SPI-1 and SPI-2. In addition, the regulatory proteins SirA/BarA, HilE, and HilD form an incoherent feed-forward loop that controls the growth cost of virulence factor expression by S. Typhimurium (33). Additionally, the expression of ssrAB under SPI-2inducing conditions is controlled by several other regulators: positively by OmpR, SlyA, and PhoP and negatively by H-NS, YgdT, and Hha (1, 28, 34–38).

CpxRA is a TCS that controls expression of virulence genes in different pathogenic bacteria (39). CpxRA is activated by signals that induce stress in the cell envelope, including protein misfolding in the periplasm, defects in peptidoglycan, elevated pH, hyperosmolarity, alterations in inner membrane lipid composition, indole, copper, ethanol, and EDTA (40, 41). CpxA is the histidine kinase that, when activated, autophosphorylates and then transfers its phosphoryl group to the aspartate residue D51 of the response regulator CpxR; in the absence of activating signals, CpxA acts as a phosphatase of phosphorylated CpxR (CpxR-P) (40–42). CpxR-P regulates the expression of target genes encoding different cellular functions or proteins with distinct activities, such as antibiotic resistance, periplasmic protein folding and degrading factors, peptidogly-can metabolic enzymes, inner membrane proteins and regulators (40, 41). CpxR can also be activated independently of CpxA, when bacteria are grown in the presence of excess carbon, such as glucose or pyruvate. This occurs through the AckA-Pta metabolic pathway, which generates acetyl phosphate from acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA)

with the phosphotransacetylase (Pta) and acetate kinase (AckA) enzymes; the phosphoryl group from acetyl phosphate is transferred to CpxR (41, 42). CpxRA regulates the expression of virulence genes in enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC), uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC), enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC), avian-pathogenic *E. coli* (APEC), *Shigella* spp., *Legionella pneumophila*, *S*. Typhimurium, *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*, and *Haemophilus ducreyi* (43–51). In *S*. Typhimurium, the absence of CpxA leads to the phosphorylation of CpxR through the AckA-Pta pathway; CpxR-P represses the expression of the SPI-1 and SPI-2 genes in SPI-1-inducing conditions by affecting the stability of HilD (51). A previous study reported the positive regulation of *ssrB* (the second gene of the *ssrAB* operon) by CpxR, mediated by a CpxR-binding site located between positions +19 and +51 with respect to a transcriptional start site located upstream of *ssrA* remains unknown.

In this work, we determined that the TCS CpxRA represses the expression of SPI-2 genes under SPI-2-inducing conditions by the direct action of CpxR-P on the *ssrAB* regulatory operon, specifically on the promoter located upstream *ssrA*. Two CpxR-binding sites (proximal and distal from the promoter located upstream of *ssrA*) were required for the regulation/binding of CpxRA on *ssrAB*. Consistently, our results show that CpxRA reduces *S*. Typhimurium replication within RAW264.7 macrophages. Our findings further expand the knowledge about the regulatory mechanisms controlling the intracellular lifestyle of *Salmonella*.

RESULTS

CpxRA represses ssrAB and SsrAB target genes. In order to analyze whether CpxRA controls the expression of the SPI-2 genes in SPI-2-inducing conditions, we firstly quantified by RT-qPCR the expression of the ssrAB regulatory operon in the wildtype (WT) S. Typhimurium strain and its derivative $\Delta cpxR$, $\Delta cpxA$, and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants grown in N-minimal medium (N-MM). The expression of both ssrA and ssrB genes increased 4-fold in the $\Delta cpxR$ and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants compared to the WT strain (Fig. 1A), suggesting that CpxR negatively regulates expression of the ssrAB operon. In contrast, decreases of 2- and 3-fold in the expression of ssrA and ssrB, respectively, were detected in the $\Delta cpxA$ mutant compared to the WT strain (Fig. 1A). Several reports have demonstrated that both null and truncated mutants in the *cpxA* gene show high levels of CpxR-P, due to the phosphorylation of CpxR by acetyl-phosphate produced by the AckA and Pta enzymes and the absence of the CpxA phosphatase activity on CpxR-P (43-48, 51). Consistently, the expression of genes positively regulated by SsrAB (ssaB, sseA, ssaG, sifA, sseJ, and pipB) was affected in the $\Delta cpxA$, $\Delta cpxR$, and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants similarly to the expression of the ssrA and ssrB genes (Fig. 1B and C): ssaB, sseA, and ssaG are located in SPI-2, whereas pipB, sseJ, and sifA are located outside SPI-2 (14, 53). A cpxRA-cat transcriptional fusion was used for control of expression in N-MM. In the absence of the CpxA sensor kinase, cpxRA expression increased 2-fold compared to that in the WT (Fig. 1D). In contrast, *cpxRA* transcription was diminished in the $\Delta cpxR$ and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants, corroborating the notion that cpxRA is positively autoregulated (54-56). While transcription of *cpxRA* was not changed in the $\Delta(ackA-pta)$ mutant, the expression of cpxRA was downregulated in the Δ cpxA Δ (ackA-pta) double mutant to levels similar to those of the $\Delta cpxR$ and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants, showing that CpxR is constitutively phosphorylated by the AckA-Pta enzymes in a $\Delta cpxA$ background (Fig. 1D).

Overexpression of the outer membrane lipoprotein NIpE causes the activation of the TCS CpxRA (57, 58). Therefore, we evaluated by RT-qPCR the effect of NIpE-mediated activation of CpxRA on the expression of the SPI-2 genes in the WT S. Typhimurium strain and its $\Delta cpxRA$ mutant grown in N-MM. As shown in Fig. 2A, overexpression of NIpE decreased 4-fold the expression of both *ssrA* and *ssrB* in the WT strain but not in the $\Delta cpxRA$ mutant, corroborating that NIpE-mediated activation is CpxRA dependent (Fig. 2A). Similarly, NIpE overexpression also diminished the expression



FIG 1 CpxRA represses expression of SPI-2 genes. Fold change in expression (RT-qPCR) of the *ssrA*, and *ssrB* genes (A), the *ssaB*, *sseA*, and *ssaG* genes (B), and the *sifA*, *sse*, and *pipB* genes (C) in the WT 5. Typhimurium strain and its $\Delta cpxR$, $\Delta cpxA$, and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants. (D) Fold change in expression of the *cpxRA-cat* fusion in the WT 5. Typhimurium strain and its $\Delta cpxR$, $\Delta cpxA$, $\Delta cpxA$, and $\Delta cpxA$ mutants. (D) Fold change in expression of the *cpxRA-cat* fusion in the WT 5. Typhimurium strain and its $\Delta cpxR$, $\Delta cpxA$, $\Delta (ackA-pta)$, and $\Delta cpxA$ $\Delta (ackA-pta)$ mutants. Data are averages from at least 3 independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard deviations. ns, not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

of the genes activated by SsrAB in the WT strain but not in the $\Delta cpxRA$ mutant (Fig. 2B and C).

Together, these results show that the CpxRA TCS represses the expression of the *ssrAB* operon and, as a consequence, the expression of SsrAB target genes under SPI-2-inducing conditions.

cis-acting sequences required for the regulation of ssrAB by CpxRA. In order to determine the cis-acting sequences required for the regulation of ssrAB by CpxRA, the expression of a series of cat fusions carrying different segments of the regulatory region of ssrAB (Fig. 3A) was quantified in the WT S. Typhimurium strain and its derivative $\Delta cpxA$ mutant grown in N-MM. The ssrAB-cat-302/+478 fusion, containing the most extended regulatory sequence of ssrAB, from position -302 to position +478 with respect to the transcriptional start site, showed decreased expression levels in the $\Delta cpxA$ mutant compared to the WT strain (Fig. 3B), confirming the negative regulation of CpxR on ssrAB. A



FIG 2 Overexpression of NIpE represses expression of SPI-2 genes through CpxRA. Fold change in expression (qRT-PCR) of the *ssrA* and *ssrB* (A), *ssaB*, *sseA*, and *ssaG* (B), and *sifA*, *sseJ*, and *pipB* (C) genes when NIpE is overexpressed in the WT and $\Delta cpxRA$ strains. For the induction of NIpE (+), 50 μ M IPTG was added to the cultures grown in N-MM. Data are averages from at least 3 independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard deviations. ns, not significant; ***, P < 0.001.

similar expression pattern was also obtained for the *ssrAB-cat*-208, *ssrAB-cat*-106, and *ssrAB-cat*-55 fusions carrying 5' deletions, as well as for the *ssrAB-cat*+336 and *ssrAB-cat*+240 fusions carrying 3' deletions, their expression was decreased in the $\Delta cpxA$ mutant with respect to the WT strain (Fig. 3B and C). In contrast, the expression levels of the *ssrAB-cat*+119, *ssrAB-cat*+69, and *ssrAB-cat*+10 fusions carrying 3' deletions were not significantly different between the $\Delta cpxA$ mutant and the WT strain (Fig. 3C). The different effects of the absence of CpxA on the *ssrAB-cat*+240 and *ssrAB-cat*+119 fusions revealed that the sequence spanning the positions +119 to +240 is required for the repression of *ssrAB* by CpxRA.

CpxR-P binds to ssrAB. To determine whether CpxRA directly regulates *ssrAB*, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed with purified CpxR and the DNA region of *ssrAB* (fragment -302/+478) contained in the *ssrAB-cat-302/+478* fusion, which showed regulation by CpxRA (Fig. 3B). Binding reactions were performed with phosphorylated (CpxR-P) or with nonphosphorylated CpxR. As expected, CpxR-P, but not CpxR, bound the fragment -302/+478 at concentrations of 1.0 and 2.0 μ M (Fig. 4A). Additionally, CpxR-P bound the fragment carrying the upstream region of *cpxP* (positive control), a gene regulated by CpxRA (59), but it did not bind the fragment carrying the upstream region of the *ssaG* gene (negative control). Then, we analyzed binding regions of CpxR-P with different segments of the regulatory region of *ssrAB*. As shown in Fig. 4B, CpxR-P bound to a fragment spanning positions -55 to +240 of *ssrAB*. In contrast, CpxR-P did not bind fragments



FIG 3 *Cis*-acting sequences required for the repression of *ssrAB* by CpxR. (A) Schematic representation of the *ssrAB* region and the *ssrAB*-cat transcriptional fusions used in this work. All positions are indicated with respect to the transcriptional start site of *ssrAB* (+1). (B and C) Expression of the *ssrAB*-cat-302/+478, *ssrAB*-cat-208, *ssrAB*-cat-106, and *ssrAB*-cat-55 (B) and *ssrAB*-cat+336, *ssrAB*-cat+240, *ssrAB*-cat +119, and *ssrAB*-cat+69 *ssrAB*-cat+10 (C) transcriptional fusions was tested in the WT S. Typhimurium strain and its isogenic $\Delta cpxA$ mutant. CAT-specific activity was determined from samples collected from bacterial cultures grown in N-MM at 37°C for 16 h. Data are averages of results from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard deviations. ns, not significant; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

spanning positions -302 to -55, +1 to +119, and +240 to +478 of *ssrAB* (Fig. 4C). These results reveal that CpxR-P acts on the sequence between positions -55 and +240 of *ssrAB*. In agreement with the results from EMSAs, two putative CpxR-binding sites were manually found on *ssrAB* (boxes I and II) (Fig. 4D), according to the CpxR-binding consensus sequence [GTAAA(N)_{4.8}GTAAA] reported for *E. coli* (60, 61). CpxR box I (GAAAAATTATTTATAAA) and CpxR box II (GCAAACATCTTTAGTAAT) are located between positions -39 and -22 and positions +198 and +215, respectively, with respect to the transcriptional start site of *ssrA*. Interestingly, fragments containing only one of the putative CpxR-binding sites, fragment -55/+119 (carrying CpxR box I) and fragment +1/+240 (carrying CpxR box II), were not bound by CpxR-P (Fig. 4B), suggesting that both sites are necessary for binding of CpxR-P on *ssrAB*. In agreement with this conclusion, the expression of *ssrAB-cat* fusions carrying only the CpxR-I box (*ssrAB-cat*+119, *ssrAB-cat*+69, and *ssrAB-cat*+10) was not repressed by the absence of CpxA (Fig. 3C).

Thus, these results support that CpxR-P binds two sites located on the sequence spanning the positions -55 and +240 of *ssrAB*.

CpxRA negatively affects the intracellular replication of *Salmonella*. Since our results revealed that CpxRA represses the expression of SPI-2 genes under conditions



FIG 4 CpxR binds to the regulatory region of *ssrAB*. (A) EMSA of the DNA fragment -302/+478 with CpxR (unphosphorylated) and CpxR-P (phosphorylated) at different concentrations (0.0, 1.0, and 2.0 μ M). *ssaG* and *cpxP* regulatory regions were used as negative and positive controls. (B) (Left) Binding of CpxR-P (0.0 and 2.0 μ M) to sequences of the regulatory region of *ssrAB*: -302 to -55, +240 to +478, and +1 to +119. (Right) Binding of CpxR-P (0.0 and 2.0 μ M) to sequences of the regulatory region of *ssrAB*: -55 to +240, +1 to +240, and -55 to +119. (C) Sequences of CpxR-binding sites on *ssrAB* regulatory region and CpxR consensus sequence for *E. coli*. DNA-protein complexes were resolved on a nondenaturing 6% polyacrylamide gel and stained with ethidium bromide.

resembling the intracellular environment, we hypothesize that CpxRA negatively controls the intracellular replication of *Salmonella*. To investigate this, we analyzed the replication within RAW264.7 murine macrophages of the WT *S*. Typhimurium strain and its $\Delta cpxR$, $\Delta cpxA$, and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants. As shown in Fig. 5, the intracellular replication of the $\Delta cpxR$ and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants was ~25% higher, whereas the intracellular replication of the $\Delta cpxA$ mutant was ~63% lower, than that of the WT strain. Therefore, our results show that CpxR decreases the replication of *S*. Typhimurium inside macrophages, which can be explained, at least in part, by its negative control of the expression of the *ssrAB* regulatory operon.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that in *S*. Typhimurium, the TCS CpxRA represses the expression of SPI-2 and functionally related genes in conditions resembling the intracellular environment (SPI-2-inducing conditions). This regulation is mediated directly on the *ssrAB* operon, encoding the TCS SsrAB, the central positive regulator for the SPI-2 and functionally related genes. Our results support that CpxR-P binds two sites located on the sequence spanning positions -55 to +240 of *ssrAB*, where two putative CpxR-binding sites were identified, at positions -39 to -22 (GAAAA-N₈-TTAAA) and +198 to +215 (GCAAA-N₈-GTAAT). These sequences did not show a high identity with the GTAAA(N)₄₋₈GTAAA tandem repeat described for *E. coli* (60, 61). However, a perfect match of the consensus CpxR-binding-site on the DNA is not required, because it does



FIG 5 CpxRA decreases replication of *S*. Typhimurium within murine macrophages. RAW264.7 macrophages were infected with the WT *S*. Typhimurium strain and its $\Delta cpxR$, $\Delta cpxA$, and $\Delta cpxRA$ mutants. Macrophages were lysed at 2 h and 18 h to quantify intracellular bacteria. The values represent the fold increase (expressed as percentages) calculated with the ratio of intracellular bacteria between 2 h and 18 h and normalized to the value for the WT strain. Data are averages of results from three independent experiments. Bars represent standard deviations. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

not correlate with the strength of the transcription regulation (56). We determined that in the absence of the sequence between positions +119 and +240, carrying one of the identified CpxR-binding sites, the negative regulation of the *ssrAB* operon by CpxR is lost. Consistently, our results support that CpxR-P requires the two identified sites for binding on *ssrAB*. Interestingly, repression of *ssrAB* by the histone-like H-NS protein also requires the two sequences that mediates the repression of this operon by CpxR-P (29).

Subramaniam et al. reported that CpxR positively regulated *ssrB* (the second gene of the *ssrAB* operon) in the no-carbon-E (NCE) medium, finding a CpxR-binding site (<u>GTAAA-N₅-GGAAA</u>) located in the sequence between positions +19 and +51 with respect to a transcriptional start site located upstream of *ssrB* (52). It is important to note that this CpxR-binding site on *ssrB* was not tested in our study. Therefore, CpxR seems to regulate the expression of *ssrAB* by acting on multiple binding sites.

The TCS CpxRA has been shown to repress virulence genes in other bacteria, such as *Y. pseudotuberculosis, Shigella sonnei, S.* Typhimurium, and different pathotypes of *E. coli*: enteropathogenic, enterohemorrhagic, and avian pathogenic (43–51, 62). CpxR seems to follow two different mechanisms to repress gene expression. (i) CpxR-P recognizes one site on the regulatory sequence overlapping the -35 and -10 boxes and subsequently blocks binding of RNA polymerase to the promoter. This regulation mode has been reported for the *csgBAC, csgDEFG, motAB, cheAW, rpoE, tsr, ung, aer,* and *rovA* genes (60, 63–65). (ii) CpxR-P binds to two or more sites on the regulatory region, competing with activators by binding to sites on the promoter and also blocking binding of RNA polymerase. This mechanism has been described for *ompF* and *papBA* genes (66, 67). The repression model of CpxR-P on *ssrAB* would imply competition between CpxR and OmpR, similar to the observed for the porin gene *ompF*, which is supported by the overlapping of two DNA-binding sites of both regulators on the *ssrAB* promoter: OmpR, -83/+6 and +130/+146 (35), and CpxR, -55/+1 and +119/+240.

To control gene expression, CpxRA respond to signals that induce extracytoplasmic stress, such as protein misfolding in the periplasm, defects in peptidoglycan, elevated pH, hyperosmolarity, alterations in inner membrane lipid composition, indole, copper, ethanol, and EDTA (40, 41). Furthermore, CpxRA seems to act as a switch-off system for

TABLE I DACLEHAI SUAITS AND DIASITING USED IN UTIS SUUT
--

		Source or
Strain or plasmid	Genotype or description ^a	reference
S. Typhimurium		
14028	Wild type	ATCC
$\Delta cpxR$ mutant	14028 <i>∆cpxR</i> ::Km ^r	51
$\Delta cpxA$ mutant	14028 Δ <i>cpx</i> A::Km ^r	51
$\Delta cpxRA$ mutant	14028 <i>∆cpxRA</i> ::Km ^r	51
Δ (<i>ackA-pta</i>) mutant	14028 Δ (<i>ackA-pta</i>)::Km ^r	51
$\Delta cpxA \Delta (ackA-pta)$ mutant	14028 $\Delta cpxA$::FRT $\Delta (ackA-pta)$::Km ^r	51
E. coli		
$DH5\alpha$	<i>E. coli</i> K-12 laboratory strain	Invitrogen
BL21(DE3)	Strain for expression of recombinant proteins	Invitrogen
Plasmids		
pCA24N	High-copy-number cloning vector, <i>lac</i> promoter; <i>lacl</i> ^q Cm ^r	80
pCA-NIpE	pCA24N derivative expressing <i>E. coli</i> K-12 NIpE from the <i>lac</i> promoter	80
рКК232-8	pBR322 derivative containing a promoterless chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (<i>cat</i>) gene; Ap ^r	81
pcpxRA-cat	pKK232-8 derivative containing a <i>cpxRA-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -544 to $+57$	51
pssrAB-cat-302/+478	pKK232-8 derivative containing a <i>ssrAB-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -302 to $+478$	29
pssrAB-cat-208	<i>ssrAB-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -208 to $+478$	29
pssrAB-cat-106	<i>ssrAB-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -106 to $+478$	29
pssrAB-cat-55	<i>ssrAB-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -55 to $+478$	29
pssrAB-cat+336	<i>ssrAB-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -302 to $+336$	29
pssrAB-cat+240	<i>ssrAB-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -302 to $+240$	29
pssrAB-cat+119	ssrAB-cat transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -302 to $+119$	29
pssrAB-cat+69	ssrAB-cat transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -302 to $+69$	29
pssrAB-cat+10	<i>ssrAB-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -302 to $+10$	29
pssaG1-cat	pKK232-8 derivative containing an <i>ssaG-cat</i> transcriptional fusion from nucleotides -232 to $+361$	15
pQE80	Vector for expression of His-tagged protein; Apr	Qiagen
pQE80cpxR	N-terminal His ₆ -CpxR overexpression plasmid; Ap ^r	79

^aThe coordinates for the *cat* fusions are indicated with respect to the transcriptional start site for each gene. Ap^r, ampicillin resistance; Cm^r, chloramphenicol resistance; Km^r, kanamycin resistance.

the biogenesis of diverse structures localized between the inner and outer membranes, like the type III secretion systems present in many bacteria, such as *S. sonnei*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, enteropathogenic *E. coli*, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, and *S.* Typhimurium (T3SS-1 and T3SS-2) (44–47, 50, 51). Thus, the CpxRA system could be activated by misfolded structural proteins of T3SS-2 when *S.* Typhimurium resides within a late SCV.

Hha and YdgT have been described as negative regulators of SPI-2. These proteins do not bind to DNA; instead, they act as modulators of the transcription by direct interaction with H-NS (68, 69), which is the main repressor of SPI-2 under SPI-2-inducing conditions (27, 70, 71). EIIA^{Ntr}, a component of the nitrogen-metabolic phosphotrans-ferase system, also acts as a negative regulator of SPI-2; it interacts with SsrB and thus blocks DNA binding of SsrB on target genes (72). Therefore, CpxR is a repressor that directly interacts with the *ssrAB* regulatory region downregulating the promoter located upstream *ssrA*.

We found that CpxRA inhibits the replication of *S*. Typhimurium inside mouse macrophages. Interestingly, a previous study showed that the TCS PhoP/PhoQ prevents the overgrowth of *S*. Typhimurium inside nonphagocytic cells (73). Therefore, it is reasonable to think that CpxRA and PhoP/PhoQ, and possibly other regulators, such as H-NS (70, 71), ElIA^{Ntr} (72), Hha (68), and YdgT (74), coordinate to restrict intracellular overgrowth of *Salmonella* within host cells.

Our findings further expand the knowledge about the mechanisms mediating the intracellular lifestyle of *Salmonella*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, media, and culture conditions. Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Bacterial cultures were grown at 37° C in lysogeny broth (LB) at pH 7.5 or in N-minimal medium (N-MM) [5 mM KCl, 7.5 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.5 mM K₂SO₄, 1 mM KH₂PO₄, 100 mM Tris-HCl, 10 μ M MgCl₂, 0.5% glycerol,

TABLE 2 Primers used in this study

Use and primer	Sequence (5′–3′)		
qPCR			
rrsH-5′	AGGCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGT		
rrsH-3′	ATTCCGATTAACGCTTGCAC		
ssrA-5′	TGATGACGTCGGCTTTTTGC		
ssrA-3′	TTGTGCTGGTAAACGTGTGC		
ssrB-5′	AGCGGCATTGCAAACAGTAG		
ssrB-3′	TCGCGCAAAGTAAGCAGTTG		
ssaB-5′	TGTGACACTACTGCTTGCAG		
ssaB-3′	ACCGTTTAACCATCCCCCATC		
sseA-5′	TCAACAGCTTGCTGAAAGGG		
sseA-3′	TTAAATCCTTCTCGGCCTCCTG		
ssaG-5′	TGGATATGCTCTCCCACATGG		
ssaG-3′	CTGCTGTAAGGCAAATTGCG		
sifA-5′	TGGAAAGCGCAAGAAAAGGC		
sifA-3′	GGTGTAAAATGCGCGTTGTC		
sseJ-5′	AGGAACACGCCGATAAGTTG		
sseJ-3′	TGCAAGACCAAAAGCTTCCG		
pipB-5′	ACCGCTGCAATTCTATTCGG		
pipB-3′	CGGCTCCTGTTAATGCTTTCG		
EMSA			
cpxP-5′	CATGACGGCAGCGGTAACTA		
cpxP-3′	GTTTTGCTGTTGCTCGCTCC		
ssaG-5′	GTAGTTTGGGACTACAGCCTC		
ssaG-3′	CAATAACCGTTAGCGCTGG		
-302/-55-5'	TTCGGCCCTGATATCCTGAA		
-302/-55-3'	ATGTCAATGCTGAAAATGTAATTGTGA		
+240/+478-5'	ACAACAATAATTATTTGGCTGCTATCT		
+240/+478-3' CCGACAGATAGATGCCGGC			
+1/+119-5'	CATCGCCATCTTATTAAAAAGTAAT		
+1/+119-3'	CTATCGCAGTACATATAGTTTCA		
-55/+1-5'	TAAAAACTTACAATTTGAAAAATTAT		
-55/+1-3'	GTAAAAACATCGTAACAGTTTATT		
+119/+240-5'	GTGATCAAGTGCCAAAGATT		
+119/+240-3'	TTAATAAAAATAAAAAAGTTAGCC		

and 0.1% Casamino Acids] at pH 7.5. Flasks of 250 mL containing 30 mL of N-MM were inoculated with bacterial suspensions prepared from overnight cultures in LB, adjusted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.05, and then incubated at 37°C in a shaking incubator at 200 rpm to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8 to 1.0. When necessary, media were supplemented with ampicillin (200 μ g/mL), chloramphenicol (30 μ g/mL), or kanamycin (50 μ g/mL).

Quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from bacteria grown in N-MM using the hot-phenol method (75). The RNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 19,000 × *g* for 10 min at 4°C. Pellets were washed three times with cold 70% ethanol and centrifuged at 16,000 × *g* for 10 min at 4°C. The ethanol was removed, the pellets were air dried for 15 to 20 min in a centrifugal vacuum concentrator (5301; Eppendorf). The RNA was resuspended in diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water, DNA was removed with Turbo DNA-free (Ambion), and the quality of RNA was assessed using a NanoDrop One instrument (Thermo Scientific) and with a bleach denaturing 2% agarose gel, as previously described (76). cDNA was synthesized using 1 μ g of RNA, 5 pmol/ μ L of random hexamer primers, and 200 U/ μ L of RevertAid Moloney murine leukemia virus (M-MuLV) reverse transcriptase (RT) (Thermo Scientific). Specific primers were designed with Primer3Plus software and are listed in Table 2. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). The absence of contaminating DNA was tested by the lack of amplification products after 45 qPCR cycles using RNA as the template. Control reactions with no RNA template and with no reverse transcriptase enzyme were run in all experiments. The relative gene expression was calculated using the 2^{-ΔΔCT} method (77). These experiments were performed in triplicate three independent times.

CAT assays. Chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) assays were performed as described previously (78). **Overexpression and purification of His**₆-**CpxR.** The *E. coli* strain BL21 transformed with the pQE80cpxR plasmid (79) was used to express and purify His₆-CpxR. A flask containing 250 mL of LB with ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) was inoculated 1:100 with an overnight culture of *E. coli* BL21+pQE80cpxR and then incubated at 37°C with shaking to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 to 0.8 (~4 h). Subsequently, isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 1 mM, and the bacterial culture was grown for an additional 3 h at 37°C under the same conditions. Cells were then pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)–8 M urea, pH 8.0, and lysed by sonication. The lysate was centrifuged, and the soluble fraction was loaded in a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose column (Qiagen) pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. After 10 washes with buffer containing 50 mM imidazole (200 mL), His_6 -CpxR was eluted with 500 mM imidazole (10 mL). Purified protein was dialyzed over 2 h using a cellulose membrane (dialysis tubing, cellulose membrane; Sigma) and a buffer composed of Tris-HCl (20 mM) (pH 7.5), KCl (50 mM), dithiothreitol (1 mM), and 10% glycerol. Then, the His_6 -CpxR protein was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining, and its concentration was determined by the Bradford procedure; it was stored at -70° C.

EMSAs. EMSAs were performed with purified His₆-CpxR and the *ssrAB* fragments, which were amplified by PCR with primer pairs showed in Table 2. A DNA fragment containing the regulatory region of *ssaG*, used as negative control, was amplified by PCR using the primer pair ssaG-5'/ssaG-3' and the pssaG1-cat plasmid as the template. A DNA fragment carrying the *cpxP* regulatory region, used as a positive control (59), was amplified by PCR using the primer pair cpxP-5'/cpxP-3' and chromosomal DNA of *E. coli* MC4100 as the template. PCR products were purified using the QlAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Purified His₆-CpxR protein was phosphorylated with 50 mM acetyl phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich) in buffer containing 10 mM magnesium chloride for 1 h at 30°C. The PCR products were incubated with the CpxR-P protein (0 to 2 μ M) in a binding buffer containing Tris-HCl pH 7.5 (20 mM), KCl (50 mM), dithiothreitol (1 mM), and 5% glycerol, for 20 min at room temperature, and then were electrophoretically separated in 6% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels in 0.5% Tris-borate-EDTA buffer at 4°C. The DNA bands were stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light.

Intracellular replication assays. RAW264.7 (ATCC TIB-71) mouse macrophages were seeded at a density of 10⁶ cells per well in 24-well tissue culture plates for 24 h. Bacteria were obtained from cultures in N-MM and were opsonized with normal mouse serum in RPMI containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for 30 min on ice. Bacteria were added to cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100. Plates were centrifuged at 5,000 × *g* for 5 min at 4°C and incubated for 30 min at 37°C under a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Cells were washed three times with 1 × PBS and then were incubated in RPMI containing 100 μ g/mL gentamicin and 10% FBS over 1 h to eliminate extracellular bacteria. After this time, the gentamicin concentration was decreased to 10 μ g/mL, and cells were incubated for an additional 1 h. Infected macrophages were incubated at 37°C for 2 h and 18 h in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. For enumeration of intracellular bacteria, macrophages were washed three times with 1 × PBS and there times with 1 × PBS and there times with 1 × PBS and year and the set incubated for an additional 1 h. Infected macrophages were incubated at 37°C for 2 h and 18 h in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. For enumeration of intracellular bacteria, macrophages were washed three times with 1 × PBS and lysed with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min. Then, 10-fold serial dilutions were plated onto LB agar plates, which were incubated overnight at 37°C. CFU were counted in the plates. The experiment was performed three times in triplicate. The replication index was obtained by dividing the number of CFU per milliliter at 18 h by the number at 2 h.

Statistical analysis. All data are means from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 8.0 software (GraphPad, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's multiple-comparison test and unpaired Student's *t* test was performed. *P* values of \leq 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico de la UNAM/México (IN206321) and the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT)/México (254531) to V.H.B. N.L.-M. and D.R.-V. were supported by predoctoral fellowships from CONACYT (472849 and 734002, respectively). J.N.-G. was supported by master and predoctoral fellowships from CONACYT (429934 and 679158, respectively).

REFERENCES

- Fàbrega A, Vila J. 2013. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium skills to succeed in the host: virulence and regulation. Clin Microbiol Rev 26: 308–341. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00066-12.
- Herrero-Fresno A, Olsen JE. 2018. Salmonella Typhimurium metabolism affects virulence in the host—a mini-review. Food Microbiol 71:98–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.04.016.
- Bäumler AJ. 1997. The record of horizontal gene transfer in Salmonella. Trends Microbiol 5:318–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(97)01082-2.
- Lamas A, Miranda JM, Regal P, Vázquez B, Franco CM, Cepeda A. 2018. A comprehensive review of non-enterica subspecies of *Salmonella enterica*. Microbiol Res 206:60–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2017.09.010.
- Knuff-Janzen K, Tupin A, Yurist-Doutsch S, Rowland JL, Finlay BB. 2020. Multiple Salmonella-pathogenicity island 2 effectors are required to facilitate bacterial establishment of its intracellular niche and virulence. PLoS One 15:e0235020. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235020.
- Groisman EA, Ochman H. 1997. How Salmonella became a pathogen. Trends Microbiol 5:343–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(97)01099-8.
- Marcus SL, Brumell JH, Pfeifer CG, Finlay BB. 2000. Salmonella pathogenicity islands: big virulence in small packages. Microbes Infect 2:145–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(00)00273-2.

- Figueira R, Holden DW. 2012. Functions of the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2) type III secretion system effectors. Microbiology (Reading) 158:1147–1161. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.058115-0.
- Haraga A, Ohlson MB, Miller SI. 2008. Salmonellae interplay with host cells. Nat Rev Microbiol 6:53–66. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.058115-0.
- de Jong HK, Parry CM, van der Poll T, Wiersinga WJ. 2012. Host-pathogen interaction in invasive salmonellosis. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002933. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002933.
- Wang M, Qazi IH, Wang L, Zhou G, Han H. 2020. Salmonella virulence and immune escape. Microorganisms 8:407. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms8030407.
- Jennings E, Thurston TLM, Holden DW. 2017. Salmonella SPI-2 type III secretion system effectors: molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences. Cell Host Microbe 22:217–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom .2017.07.009.
- Lundberg U, Vinatzer U, Berdnik D, von Gabain A, Baccarini M. 1999. Growth phase-regulated induction of *Salmonella*-induced macrophage apoptosis correlates with transient expression of SPI-1 genes. J Bacteriol 181:3433–3437. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.11.3433-3437.1999.

- Miao EA, Miller SI. 2000. A conserved amino acid sequence directing intracellular type III secretion by *Salmonella* typhimurium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:7539–7544. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.13.7539.
- Bustamante VH, Martínez LC, Santana FJ, Knodler LA, Steele-Mortimer O, Puente JL. 2008. HilD-mediated transcriptional cross-talk between SPI-1 and SPI-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:14591–14596. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.0801205105.
- Ibarra JA, Knodler LA, Sturdevant DE, Virtaneva K, Carmody AB, Fischer ER, Porcella SF, Steele-Mortimer O. 2010. Induction of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 under different growth conditions can affect *Salmonella*host cell interactions *in vitro*. Microbiology (Reading) 156:1120–1133. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.032896-0.
- Eriksson S, Lucchini S, Thompson A, Rhen M, Hinton JC. 2003. Unravelling the biology of macrophage infection by gene expression profiling of intracellular Salmonella enterica. Mol Microbiol 47:103–118. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03313.x.
- Srikumar S, Kroger C, Hébrard M, Colgan A, Owen SV, Sivasankaran SK, Cameron ADS, Hokamp K, Hinton JCD. 2015. RNA-seq brings new insights to the intra-macrophage transcriptome of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005262. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005262.
- Deiwick J, Nikolaus T, Erdogan S, Hensel M. 1999. Environmental regulation of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 gene expression. Mol Microbiol 31:1759–1773. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01312.x.
- Kroger C, Colgan A, Srikumar S, Handler K, Sivasankaran SK, Hammarlof DL, Canals R, Grissom JE, Conway T, Hokamp K, Hinton JC. 2013. An infection-relevant transcriptomic compendium for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. Cell Host Microbe 14:683–695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .chom.2013.11.010.
- Steele-Mortimer O. 2008. The Salmonella-containing vacuole: moving with the times. Curr Opin Microbiol 11:38–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .mib.2008.01.002.
- 22. Altier C. 2005. Genetic and environmental control of *Salmonella* invasion. J Microbiol 43:85–92.
- 23. Jones BD. 2005. Salmonella invasion gene regulation: a story of environmental awareness. J Microbiol 43:110–117.
- 24. Rhen M, Dorman CJ. 2005. Hierarchical gene regulators adapt *Salmonella enterica* to its host milieus. Int J Med Microbiol 294:487–502. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2004.11.004.
- Ellermeier CD, Slauch JM. 2004. RtsA coordinately regulates DsbA and the Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 type III secretion system. J Bacteriol 186:68–79. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001025.
- Cirillo DM, Valdivia RH, Monack DM, Falkow S. 1998. Macrophage dependent induction of the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 type III secretion system and its role in intracellular survival. Mol Microbiol 30:175–188. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.01048.x.
- Walthers D, Carroll RK, Navarre WW, Libby SJ, Fang FC, Kenney LJ. 2007. The response regulator SsrB activates expression of diverse Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 promoters and counters silencing by the nucleoidassociated protein H-NS. Mol Microbiol 65:477–493. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05800.x.
- 28. Fass E, Groisman EA. 2009. Control of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island-2 gene expression. Curr Opin Microbiol 12:199–204. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.mib.2009.01.004.
- Martínez LC, Banda MM, Fernández-Mora M, Santana FJ, Bustamante VH. 2014. HilD induces expression of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 genes by displacing the global negative regulator H-NS from *ssrAB*. J Bacteriol 196:3746–3755. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01799-14.
- Brown NF, Rogers LD, Sanderson KL, Gouw JW, Hartland EL, Foster LJ. 2014. A horizontally acquired transcription factor coordinates Salmonella adaptations to host microenvironments. mBio 5:e01727-14–e01714. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01727-14.
- Colgan AM, Kröger C, Diard M, Hardt WD, Puente JL, Sivasankaran SK, Hokamp K, Hinton JCD. 2016. The impact of 18 ancestral and horizontally-acquired regulatory proteins upon the transcriptome and sRNA landscape of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. PLoS Genet 12: e1006258. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006258.
- Pérez-Morales D, Banda MM, Chau NYE, Salgado H, Martínez-Flores I, Ibarra JA, Ilyas B, Coombes BK, Bustamante VH. 2017. The transcriptional regulator SsrB is involved in a molecular switch controlling virulence lifestyles of *Salmonella*. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006497. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1006497.
- Pérez-Morales D, Nava-Galeana J, Rosales-Reyes R, Teehan P, Yakhnin H, Melchy-Pérez El, Rosenstein Y, De la Cruz MA, Babitzke P, Bustamante VH. 2021. An incoherent feedforward loop formed by SirA/BarA, HilE and HilD

- Lee AK, Detweiler CS, Falkow S. 2000. OmpR regulates the two-component system SsrA-SsrB in *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2. J Bacteriol 182: 771–781. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.182.3.771-781.2000.
- Feng X, Oropeza R, Kenney LJ. 2003. Dual regulation by phospho-OmpR of ssrA/B gene expression in Salmonella pathogenicity island 2. Mol Microbiol 48:1131–1143. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03502.x.
- Bijlsma JJE, Groisman EA. 2005. The PhoP/PhoQ system controls the intramacrophage type three secretion system of *Salmonella enterica*. Mol Microbiol 57:85–96. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03502.x.
- 37. Linehan SA, Rytkonen A, Yu XJ, Liu M, Holden DW. 2005. SlyA regulates function of Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2) and expression of SPI-2-associated genes. Infect Immun 73:4354–4362. https://doi.org/10 .1128/IAI.73.7.4354-4362.2005.
- Banda MM, Zavala-Alvarado C, Pérez-Morales D, Bustamante VH. 2019. SlyA and HilD counteract H-NS-mediated repression on the *ssrAB* virulence operon of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and thus promote its activation by OmpR. J Bacteriol 201:e00530-18. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/jb.00530-18.
- Raivio TL. 2014. Everything old is new again: an update on current research on the Cpx envelope stress response. Biochim Biophys Acta 1843:1529–1541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.10.018.
- Hunke S, Keller R, Müller VS. 2012. Signal integration by the Cpx-envelope stress system. FEMS Microbiol Lett 326:12–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1574-6968.2011.02436.x.
- 41. Vogt SL, Raivio TL. 2012. Just scratching the surface: an expanding view of the Cpx envelope stress response. FEMS Microbiol Lett 326:2–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02406.x.
- 42. Wolfe AJ, Parikh N, Lima BP, Zemaitaitis B. 2008. Signal integration by the two-component signal transduction response regulator CpxR. J Bacteriol 190:2314–2322. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01906-07.
- 43. Dbeibo L, van Rensburg JJ, Smith SN, Fortney KR, Gangaiah D, Gao H, Marzoa J, Liu Y, Mobley HLT, Spinola SM. 2018. Evaluation of CpxRA as a therapeutic target for uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* infections. Infect Immun 86:e00798-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00798-17.
- Mitobe J, Arakawa E, Watanabe H. 2005. A sensor of the two-component system CpxA affects expression of the type III secretion system through posttranscriptional processing of InvE. J Bacteriol 187:107–113. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.1.107-113.2005.
- Macritchie DM, Ward JD, Nevesinjac AZ, Raivio TL. 2008. Activation of the Cpx envelope stress response down-regulates expression of several locus of enterocyte effacement-encoded genes in enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Infect Immun 76:1465–1475. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01265-07.
- Liu J, Thanikkal EJ, Obi IR, Francis MS. 2012. Elevated CpxR~P levels repress the Ysc-Yop type III secretion system of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Res Microbiol 163:518–530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2012.07.010.
- 47. De la Cruz MA, Morgan JK, Ares MA, Yáñez-Santos JA, Riordan JT, Girón JA. 2016. The two-component system CpxRA negatively regulates the locus of enterocyte effacement of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* involving *σ*(32) and Lon protease. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 6:11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2016.00011.
- Matter LB, Ares MA, Abundes-Gallegos J, Cedillo ML, Yáñez JA, Martínez-Laguna Y, De la Cruz MA, Girón JA. 2018. The CpxRA stress response system regulates virulence features of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Environ Microbiol 20:3363–3377. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14368.
- Ares MA, Abundes-Gallegos J, Rodríguez-Valverde D, Panunzi LG, Jiménez-Galicia C, Jarillo-Quijada MD, Cedillo ML, Alcántar-Curiel MD, Torres J, Girón JA, De la Cruz MA. 2019. The coli surface antigen CS3 of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* is differentially regulated by H-NS, CRP, and CpxRA global regulators. Front Microbiol 10:1685. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fmicb.2019.01685.
- Fei K, Chao HJ, Hu Y, Francis MS, Chen S. 2021. CpxR regulates the Rcs phosphorelay system in controlling the Ysc-Yop type III secretion system in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Microbiology 167. https://doi.org/10.1099/ mic.0.000998.
- De la Cruz MA, Pérez-Morales D, Palacios IJ, Fernández-Mora M, Calva E, Bustamante VH. 2015. The two-component system CpxR/A represses the expression of *Salmonella* virulence genes by affecting the stability of the transcriptional regulator HilD. Front Microbiol 6:807. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fmicb.2015.00807.
- Subramaniam S, Müller VS, Hering NA, Mollenkopf H, Becker D, Heroven AK, Dersch P, Pohlmann A, Tedin K, Porwollik S, McClelland M, Meyer TF,

Hunke S. 2019. Contribution of the Cpx envelope stress system to metabolism and virulence regulation in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. PLoS One 14:e0211584. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211584.

- 53. Knodler LA, Celli J, Hardt WD, Vallance BA, Yip C, Finlay BB. 2002. Salmonella effectors within a single pathogenicity island are differentially expressed and translocated by separate type III secretion systems. Mol Microbiol 43: 1089–1103. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02820.x.
- De Wulf P, Kwon O, Lin EC. 1999. The CpxRA signal transduction system of *Escherichia coli*: growth-related autoactivation and control of unanticipated target operons. J Bacteriol 181:6772–6778. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.181.21.6772-6778.1999.
- Raivio TL, Popkin DL, Silhavy TJ. 1999. The Cpx envelope stress response is controlled by amplification and feedback inhibition. J Bacteriol 181: 5263–5272. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.17.5263-5272.1999.
- 56. Price NL, Raivio TL. 2009. Characterization of the Cpx regulon in *Escherichia coli* strain MC4100. J Bacteriol 191:1798–1815. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00798-08.
- 57. Danese PN, Snyder WB, Cosma CL, Davis LJB, Silhavy TJ. 1995. The Cpx two-component signal transduction pathway of *Escherichia coli* regulates transcription of the gene specifying the stress-inducible periplasmic protease, DegP. Genes Dev 9:387–398. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.9.4.387.
- Snyder WB, Davis LJ, Danese PN, Cosma CL, Silhavy TJ. 1995. Overproduction of NIpE, a new outer membrane lipoprotein, suppresses the toxicity of periplasmic LacZ by activation of the Cpx signal transduction pathway. J Bacteriol 177:4216–4223. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.177.15.4216-4223 .1995.
- Danese PN, Silhavy TJ. 1998. CpxP, a stress-combative member of the Cpx regulon. J Bacteriol 180:831–839. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.180.4.831 -839.1998.
- De Wulf P, McGuire AM, Liu X, Lin ECC. 2002. Genome-wide profiling of promoter recognition by the two-component response regulator CpxR-P in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem 277:26652–26661. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M203487200.
- Yamamoto K, Ishihama A. 2006. Characterization of copper-inducible promoters regulated by CpxA/CpxR in *Escherichia coli*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 70:1688–1695. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.60024.
- 62. Spinola SM, Fortney KR, Baker B, Janowicz DM, Zwickl B, Katz BP, Blick RJ, Munson RS. 2010. Activation of the CpxRA system by deletion of *cpxA* impairs the ability of *Haemophilus ducreyi* to infect humans. Infect Immun 78:3898–3904. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00432-10.
- 63. Ogasawara H, Teramoto J, Hirao K, Yamamoto K, Ishihama A, Utsumi R. 2004. Negative regulation of DNA repair gene (*ung*) expression by the CpxR/CpxA two-component system in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and induction of mutations by increased expression of CpxR. J Bacteriol 186:8317–8325. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.24.8317-8325.2004.
- Liu J, Obi IR, Thanikkal EJ, Kieselbach T, Francis MS. 2011. Phosphorylated CpxR restricts production of the RovA global regulator in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. PLoS One 6:e23314. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone .0023314.
- Prigent-Combaret C, Brombacher E, Vidal O, Ambert A, Lejeune P, Landini P, Dorel C. 2001. Complex regulatory network controls initial adhesion and biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* via regulation of the *csgD* gene. J Bacteriol 183:7213–7223. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.24.7213-7223.2001.
- 66. Hernday AD, Braaten BA, Broitman-Maduro G, Engelberts P, Low DA. 2004. Regulation of the *pap* epigenetic switch by CpxAR: phosphorylated CpxR inhibits transition to the phase ON state by competition with Lrp. Mol Cell 16:537–547. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2004.10.020.

- Batchelor E, Walthers D, Kenney LJ, Goulian M. 2005. The *Escherichia coli* CpxA-CpxR envelope stress response system regulates expression of the porins *ompF* and *ompC*. J Bacteriol 187:5723–5731. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.187.16.5723-5731.2005.
- Silphaduang U, Mascarenhas M, Karmali M, Coombes BK. 2007. Repression of intracellular virulence factors in *Salmonella* by the Hha and YdgT nucleoid-associated proteins. J Bacteriol 189:3669–3673. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00002-07.
- Ali SS, Whitney JC, Stevenson J, Robinson H, Howell PL, Navarre WW. 2013. Structural insights into the regulation of foreign genes in *Salmonella* by the Hha/H-NS complex. J Biol Chem 288:13356–13369. https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.455378.
- Lucchini S, Rowley G, Goldberg MD, Hurd D, Harrison M, Hinton JC. 2006. H-NS mediates the silencing of laterally acquired genes in bacteria. PLoS Pathog 2:e81. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0020081.
- 71. Navarre WW, Porwollik S, Wang Y, McClelland M, Rosen H, Libby SJ, Fang FC. 2006. Selective silencing of foreign DNA with low GC content by the H-NS protein in *Salmonella*. Science 313:236–238. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128794.
- Choi J, Shin D, Yoon H, Kim J, Lee CR, Kim M, Seok YJ, Ryu S. 2010. Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 expression negatively controlled by ElIANtr-SsrB interaction is required for Salmonella virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:20506–20511. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000759107.
- Núñez-Hernández C, Tierrez A, Ortega AD, Pucciarelli MG, Godoy M, Eisman B, Casadesús J, García-del Portillo F. 2013. Genome expression analysis of nonproliferating intracellular *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium unravels an acid pH-dependent PhoP-PhoQ response essential for dormancy. Infect Immun 81:154–165. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI .01080-12.
- Coombes BK, Wickham ME, Lowden MJ, Brown NF, Finlay BB. 2005. Negative regulation of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 is required for contextual control of virulence during typhoid. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:17460–17465. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0505401102.
- Jahn CE, Charkowski AO, Willis DK. 2008. Evaluation of isolation methods and RNA integrity for bacterial RNA quantitation. J Microbiol Methods 75: 318–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2008.07.004.
- Aranda PS, LaJoie DM, Jorcyk CL. 2012. Bleach gel: a simple agarose gel for analyzing RNA quality. Electrophoresis 33:366–369. https://doi.org/10 .1002/elps.201100335.
- 77. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT method. Methods 25: 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.
- Puente JL, Bieber D, Ramer SW, Murray W, Schoolnik GK. 1996. The bundle-forming pili of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*: transcriptional regulation by environmental signals. Mol Microbiol 20:87–100. https://doi .org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1996.tb02491.x.
- Kurabayashi K, Hirakawa Y, Tanimoto K, Tomita H, Hirakawa H. 2014. Role of the CpxAR two-component signal transduction system in control of fosfomycin resistance and carbon substrate uptake. J Bacteriol 196:248–256. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01151-13.
- Kitagawa M, Ara T, Arifuzzaman M, loka-Nakamichi T, Inamoto E, Toyonaga H, Mori H. 2005. Complete set of ORF clones of *Escherichia coli* ASKA library (a complete set of *E. coli* K-12 ORF archive): unique resources for biological research. DNA Res 12:291–299. https://doi.org/10.1093/ dnares/dsi012.
- 81. Brosius J. 1984. Plasmid vectors for the selection of promoters. Gene 27: 151–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(84)90136-7.