Hindawi BioMed Research International Volume 2022, Article ID 2712808, 6 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2712808

Research Article

Association of *TP53* rs1042522 C>G Polymorphism with Glioma Risk in Chinese Children

Fan Liao, 1,2 Li Yuan, 3 Jinhong Zhu 1,4 Wei Chen, 2 Yemu Zhao, 3 and Jing He 1,2

Correspondence should be addressed to Jing He; hejing198374@gmail.com

Fan Liao and Li Yuan contributed equally to this work.

Received 5 July 2022; Accepted 3 August 2022; Published 12 August 2022

Academic Editor: Quintino Giorgio D'alessandris

Copyright © 2022 Fan Liao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Glioma is the most common intracranial malignancy. TP53 is a crucial tumor suppressor gene that plays an essential regulatory role in cell growth, apoptosis, and DNA repair. The TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism has been reported to be strongly associated with various tumor risks. To assess the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism with glioma risk in Chinese children, we determined the genotypes of the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism in 171 glioma patients and 228 cancer-free controls by Taqman assay. We assessed the association of the polymorphism with glioma risk using odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) generated by logistic regression models. We also performed stratified analyses by age, gender, tumor subtypes, and clinical stages, but no significant association was detected between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and childhood glioma risk. These results suggest that the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism is not associated with glioma risk in Chinese children. Subsequent studies with a larger sample size are needed to validate our results.

1. Introduction

Glioma is a highly heterogeneous tumor and is the most commonly diagnosed primary brain tumor, accounting for 38%~52% of all neurological tumors and 80% of central nervous system (CNS) malignancies. The annual incidence of glioma ranges from 4.6 to 5.7 per 100,000 worldwide, and its incidence has been increasing significantly in recent years [1]. According to the latest cancer statistics report [2], approximately 23,890 brain and other neurological tumor cases were diagnosed in the United States in 2020, of which 18,020 patients have died. The primary treatment for glioma is surgical resection followed by radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Still, the available treatments are not effective against glioma. The prognosis is poor. For instance, the 2-

year survival rate for patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), the most malignant form of glioma, is approximately 26.5% with a median survival of only 14.6 months [3]. Glioma is a particularly devastating malignancy. Even though it is extensively studied, the etiology is poorly understood. No defined environmental or lifestyle factors are associated with glioma risk, except for very few cases of exposure to ionizing radiation [4].

Glioma is thought to mainly arise from genetic variants or mutations in critical genes, especially activation of oncogenes such as *EGFR* and inactivation of tumor suppressor genes (e.g., *TP53* and *P16*). Tumor suppressor gene *TP53* is a regulator of cell growth, apoptosis, and DNA repair, and activated *TP53* acts as a transcriptional factor. Its target genes include the cell cycle (*CDKN1A*), apoptosis (*PUMA*),

¹School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510006 Guangdong, China

²Department of Pediatric Surgery, Guangzhou Institute of Pediatrics, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Research in Structural Birth Defect Disease, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, 510623 Guangdong, China

³Department of Pathology, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, 510623 Guangdong, China

⁴Department of Clinical Laboratory, Biobank, Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital, Harbin, 150040 Heilongjiang, China

and DNA repair (14-3-3 σ and XPC) [5]. TP53 is located on chromosome 17p13 [6], with a mutation rate of approximately 25% to 40% in all human malignancies, making it one of the genes mutated most frequently in human cancers [5]. TP53 plays a vital role in the direct regulation of the tumor cell cycle, apoptosis, and DNA repair or induction of expression of downstream targets. Activation of TP53dependent pathways induced by internal or external cellular stress signals affects cancer cell genesis, progression, and metastasis and prevents the proliferation of damaged cells with oncogenic potential. In addition, as transcription factors, a variety of genes can be activated by TP53 to promote these specific processes associated with tumor suppression [7]. Significant mutations in TP53 are present in cells of several cancer types, such as ovarian plasmacytoid cystic adenocarcinoma (95%), small cell lung cancer (90%), carcinoma with characteristic lesions of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (84%), pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (80%), and lung squamous cell carcinoma (79%) [8-11]. Patients with TP53 mutations in some tumors were found to have a generally worse prognosis than those without TP53 mutations [12], and mutated TP53 is a recognized marker for poor prognosis and chemoresistant disease in some tumors [13]. Abnormal expression of TP53 accelerates the development and progression of various tumors, including glioblastoma. It has also been shown that TP53 is likely to be mutated across all glioma grades and that the mutation rate is significantly higher in high-grade gliomas compared to low-grade gliomas [14]. Recent genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have illustrated the genetic impact of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at multiple independent loci on glioma risk [15-18]. In a GWAS of over 12,000 glioma patients, 25 SNPs were strongly associated with the risk of glioma development in adults [19]. In another study containing more than 1600 glioma patients, these 25 SNPs were associated with related molecular subtypes such as IDH mutations, TERT promoter mutations, and 1p19q codeletions. Of these 25 SNPs, 11 were associated with the risk of glioblastoma, 19 with the risk of astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma, and 5 of these SNPs were associated with the risk of all three glioma types [20]. These data suggest the important contribution of SNPs to glioma susceptibility. Due to the importance of P53 in tumor suppression, SNPs that alter P53 function may affect cancer risk and progression. A polymorphism at codon 72 of the TP53 tumor suppressor gene (rs1042522 C>G) is associated with the risk of several human tumors [21]. However, its association with the risk of glioma in children has rarely been reported. Therefore, we analyzed the association of the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism with glioma risk in 171 glioma patients and 228 cancer-free controls.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects. We recruited 171 glioma patients and 228 cancer-free controls from China to participate in the study (Table S1) [22]. All patients were younger than 18 years old, had no history of any other tumor, and were diagnosed with glioma based on histopathological

examination. Controls were healthy children randomly selected among age and gender-matched children living in the same area as the patients. The Institutional Review Board approved the study of Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Centre, and all participants' parents or guardians signed an informed consent form.

2.2. Genotyping. DNA was extracted from the subjects' blood samples using the QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA). TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism was genotyped by Taqman real-time PCR on a 7900 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). A random selection of 10% of the completed samples was genotyped again to ensure accuracy of genotyping, and the results were 100% consistent with the original genotype.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. The two-sided χ^2 test was used to compare the differences in demographic variables and genotype distributions between the case and control groups. A goodness-of-fit test was used to detect deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for the control group's SNP genotype frequency distribution. To assess the association of the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism with glioma risk, we calculated relative odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using logistic regression models after adjusting for age and gender. Stratified analysis was performed by age, gender, tumor subtypes, and clinical stages. All statistical analyses were completed using SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). P < 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. TP53 rs1042522 C>G Polymorphism and Glioma Risk. We genotyped 171 glioma patients and 228 cancer-free controls successfully. The association between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk is shown in Table 1. The genotype frequency distribution in the control group was consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P = 0.613). Statistical analysis showed no significant differences in the genotype frequencies between the case and control groups. No correlation was observed between the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk, even after adjusting for age and gender.

3.2. Stratification Analysis. To further clarify the correlation between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk under different stratification conditions, we performed a stratification analysis according to age, gender, tumor subtypes, and clinical stages. The results are presented in Table 2. The results showed no significant association between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk.

4. Discussion

In this hospital-based case-control study, we explored the association between the *TP53* rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and the risk of childhood glioma. However, we did not detect any significant associations.

Table 1: Association between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk.

Genotype	Cases $(N = 171)$	Controls $(N = 228)$	P^{a}	Crude OR (95% CI)	P	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b	P^{b}		
rs1042522 (HWE = 0.613)									
CC	54 (31.58)	65 (28.51)		1.00		1.00			
CG	80 (46.78)	117 (51.32)		0.82 (0.52-1.30)	0.406	0.83 (0.52-1.32)	0.433		
GG	37 (21.64)	46 (20.18)		0.97 (0.55-1.70)	0.911	1.01 (0.57-1.78)	0.981		
Additive			0.822	0.97 (0.73-1.28)	0.822	0.99 (0.74-1.31)	0.922		
Dominant	117 (68.42)	163 (71.49)	0.507	0.86 (0.56-1.33)	0.507	0.88 (0.57-1.36)	0.564		
Recessive	134 (78.36)	182 (79.82)	0.722	1.09 (0.67-1.78)	0.721	1.13 (0.69-1.85)	0.630		

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. $^{a}\chi^{2}$ test for genotype distributions between glioma patients and cancer-free controls. $^{b}Adjusted$ for age and gender.

TABLE 2: Stratification analysis between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk.

Variables	rs1042522 (cases/ controls)		Crude OR	P	Adjusted OR ^a	P^{a}
	CC	CG/GG	(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Age, month						
<60	29/32	56/87	0.71 (0.39-1.30)	0.267	0.71 (0.39-1.30)	0.269
≥60	25/33	61/76	1.06 (0.57-1.97)	0.855	1.08 (0.58-2.01)	0.814
Gender						
Female	27/27	54/66	0.82 (0.43-1.56)	0.541	0.81 (0.42-1.56)	0.534
Male	27/38	63/97	0.91 (0.51-1.64)	0.764	0.93 (0.52-1.68)	0.815
Subtypes						
Astrocytic tumors	39/65	86/163	0.88 (0.55-1.41)	0.596	0.91 (0.56-1.48)	0.709
Ependymoma	9/65	16/163	0.71 (0.30-1.69)	0.436	0.69 (0.29-1.65)	0.405
Neuronal and mixed	3/65	11/163	1.46 (0.40-5.41)	0.569	1.37 (0.37-5.14)	0.638
Embryonal tumors	3/65	4/163	0.53 (0.12-2.44)	0.417	0.67 (0.12-3.69)	0.647
Clinical stages						
I	31/65	72/163	0.93 (0.56-1.54)	0.768	0.92 (0.55-1.55)	0.764
II	9/65	19/163	0.84 (0.36-1.96)	0.689	0.84 (0.36-1.96)	0.684
III	6/65	9/163	0.60 (0.21-1.75)	0.348	0.57 (0.19-1.67)	0.302
IV	8/65	17/163	0.85 (0.35-2.06)	0.715	1.21 (0.45-3.21)	0.706
I+II	40/65	91/163	0.91 (0.57-1.45)	0.685	0.91 (0.56-1.46)	0.684
III+IV	14/65	26/163	0.74 (0.36-1.51)	0.407	0.83 (0.40-1.73)	0.623

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. ^aAdjusted for age and gender: omitting the corresponding stratify factor.

The TP53 gene is a tumor-associated tumor suppressor gene that has received widespread attention. It is located on the short arm of human chromosome 17, and its mutations have been found in various tumors. Mutant TP53 gene affect tumor proliferation, migration, survival and invasion, and resistance to chemotherapeutic agents [23]. Under physiological conditions, exposure of cells to various stress signals activates the P53 signaling pathway, causing cells to start multiple transcriptional programs, including cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, senescence, and apoptosis, thereby inhibiting transform of cells [24]. In contrast, mutation-induced TP53 gene inactivation drives cell invasion, proliferation, and survival, thereby promoting cancer progression and metastasis [25]. Hundreds of polymorphisms in the TP53 gene are identified to be associated with cancer risk [26]. The rs1042522 C>G polymorphism, the most widely studied

polymorphism in *TP53*, has been reported to be related to the risk of various tumors, including breast, prostate, thyroid, bladder, hepatocellular, cervical, osteosarcoma, and neuroblastoma [27–34]. *TP53* rs1042522 C>G is a polymorphism located in exon 72 of exon 4 of the *TP53* gene. The SNP occurs in the structural domain of proline and is a nonsynonymous substitution of C>G. This alteration results in a switch in amino acids from arginine (*Arg*) to proline (*Pro*), which affects the *P53* function and has an impact on the apoptotic process [35]. Mutations in the *TP53* gene are one of the crucial factors contributing to glioma susceptibility [36]. The mutation rate of *TP53* has been reported to be 50-75% in astrocytomas and 10-34% in oligodendrogliomas [37, 38].

A study by Parhar et al. demonstrated that the *TP53* rs1042522 C>G polymorphism might be associated with

susceptibility to brain tumors, particularly in high-grade astrocytomas [21]. Malmer et al. revealed that individuals with a family history of cancer are at increased risk of developing brain tumors [39]. In addition, El Hallani et al. found that the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism was particularly critical for developing glioblastoma in young patients [40]. In a meta-analysis, the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism was found to be negatively associated with the risk of glioblastoma in the overall analysis, as well as stratified analyses by ethnic subgroups, control sources, and glioma subtypes [41]. Another meta-analysis of 2,645 glioma cases and 3,920 control subjects, excluding analysis of glioma subtypes, showed no significant association between the TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and risk of glioma [42]. These studies suggest that the effect of TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism on glioma risk is strongly associated with glioma subtype, genetic factors, and age. In separate analyses based on the association of TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism with glioma risk in different national populations, TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism was significantly associated with glioma risk in patients with gliomas in India and China [43-45]. In contrast, no association was found in patients from Portugal and Brazil [46, 47], and these studies mainly focused on predominantly adults. The discrepant results reported by independent research groups suggest that population origin and geography are also important factors influencing the association between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk. Therefore, it is essential to elucidate the impact of TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism on the risk of specific types of tumors in particular populations. In several existing studies, variations in TP53 have been significantly associated with susceptibility to various pediatric tumors. Of which, TP53 Pro/Pro genotype was associated with increased susceptibility to acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Caucasian children [48], TP53 rs1042522 CG/GG genotype had a significantly increased risk of Wilms tumor in children under 18 months of age [49], and TP53 rs1042522 CG/GG genotype was associated with reduced susceptibility to neuroblastoma [50]. Meanwhile, mutations in TP53 affect tumor treatment and prognosis. For example, loss-of-function TP53 mutations predispose children to acute lymphoblastic leukemia and have a significantly higher risk of poor treatment outcomes and even secondary malignancies [51]. In another study, TP53 codon 72 polymorphism predicted early tumor progression in pediatric hairy cell astrocytoma, guiding the need for aggressive surgical treatment [52]. Few studies have been reported on the association of TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism with glioma risk in childhood. Our present study mainly explores this area and fills the gap. The current study did not detect any association between TP53 rs1042522 C>G polymorphism and glioma risk in children, even after stratified study population with age, gender, tumor subtypes, and clinical stages. It should be noted that more studies are needed to confirm our observations due to multiple factors limiting our study. Firstly, our study's relatively small sample size and the low epistatic susceptibility of individual polymorphisms may weaken the statistical power. Secondly, the interaction between various genetic polymorphisms during tumorigenesis, and the fact that we focused on only one polymorphism, may lead to false-negative results. Thirdly, selection bias may be unavoidable because our study was hospital-based. Fourth, environmental factors and environmental-genetic interactions contributed to glioma, but these factors were not investigated in the risk model.

Despite these limitations, the present study is the most extensive study to date on the association of *TP53* rs1042522 C>G polymorphism with glioma risk in Chinese children, and further studies on the susceptibility of *TP53* rs1042522 C>G polymorphism may provide new insights into the etiology of glioma, which could be necessary for providing more appropriate treatment for specific populations.

5. Conclusions

In summary, the *TP53* rs1042522 C>G polymorphism may not be significantly associated with glioma risk in Chinese children. However, a more extensive sample size study should be conducted to validate our results.

Abbreviations

CNS: Central nervous system

GBM: Glioblastoma

GWAS: Genome-wide association study SNP: Single-nucleotide polymorphism

OR: Odds ratio

CI: Confidence interval

HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Data Availability

All the data were available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed significantly to this work. FL, LY, WC, YZ, and JH performed the research study and collected the samples and data; JH analyzed the data; JZ and JH designed the research study; FL, LY, JZ, and JH wrote the paper; FL and JH prepared all the Tables. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript to be published. Fan Liao and Li Yuan contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81802346), Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Research in Structural Birth Defect Disease (No. 2019B030301004), and Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Child Health (No. 2020B1111170001).

Supplementary Materials

Table S1: frequency distribution of selected variables in glioma patients and cancer-free controls. (Supplementary Materials)

References

- [1] Q. T. Ostrom, H. Gittleman, L. Stetson, S. Virk, and J. S. Barnholtz-Sloan, "Epidemiology of intracranial gliomas," *Progress in Neurological Surgery*, vol. 30, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- [2] R. L. Siegel, K. D. Miller, and A. Jemal, "Cancer statistics, 2020," CA: a Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 7–30, 2020.
- [3] H. F. Zhao, J. Wang, W. Shao et al., "Recent advances in the use of PI3K inhibitors for glioblastoma multiforme: current preclinical and clinical development," *Molecular Cancer*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 100, 2017.
- [4] Q. T. Ostrom, L. Bauchet, F. G. Davis et al., "The epidemiology of glioma in adults: a "state of the science" review," *Neuro-Oncology*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 896–913, 2014.
- [5] Y. Stein, V. Rotter, and R. Aloni-Grinstein, "Gain-of-function mutant p53: all the roads lead to tumorigenesis," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 20, no. 24, p. 6197, 2019.
- [6] M. Vieler and S. Sanyal, "p53 isoforms and their implications in cancer," *Cancers (Basel)*, vol. 10, no. 9, p. 288, 2018.
- [7] H. Zhu, H. Gao, Y. Ji et al., "Targeting p53-MDM2 interaction by small-molecule inhibitors: learning from MDM2 inhibitors in clinical trials," *Journal of Hematology & Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 91, 2022.
- [8] Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, "Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma," *Nature*, vol. 474, no. 7353, pp. 609–615, 2011.
- [9] M. Peifer, L. Fernandez-Cuesta, M. L. Sos et al., "Integrative genome analyses identify key somatic driver mutations of small- cell lung cancer," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1104–1110, 2012.
- [10] C. M. Rudin, S. Durinck, E. W. Stawiski et al., "Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies *SOX2* as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1111–1116, 2012.
- [11] Y. Song, L. Li, Y. Ou et al., "Identification of genomic alterations in oesophageal squamous cell cancer," *Nature*, vol. 509, no. 7498, pp. 91–95, 2014.
- [12] A. I. Robles and C. C. Harris, "Clinical outcomes and correlates of TP53 mutations and cancer," *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*, vol. 2, no. 3, article a001016, 2010.
- [13] J. Malcikova, S. Pavlova, B. Kunt Vonkova et al., "Low-burden TP53 mutations in CLL: clinical impact and clonal evolution within the context of different treatment options," *Blood*, vol. 138, no. 25, pp. 2670–2685, 2021.
- [14] S. W. Ham, H. Y. Jeon, X. Jin et al., "TP53 gain-of-function mutation promotes inflammation in glioblastoma," Cell Death and Differentiation, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 409–425, 2019.
- [15] R. B. Jenkins, Y. Xiao, H. Sicotte et al., "A low-frequency variant at 8q24.21 is strongly associated with risk of oligodendroglial tumors and astrocytomas with *IDH1* or *IDH2* mutation," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1122–1125, 2012.
- [16] M. Sanson, F. J. Hosking, S. Shete et al., "Chromosome 7p11.2 (EGFR) variation influences glioma risk," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 20, no. 14, pp. 2897–2904, 2011.

- [17] B. Kinnersley, M. Labussiere, A. Holroyd et al., "Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for glioma," *Nature Communications*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 8559, 2015.
- [18] M. Wrensch, R. B. Jenkins, J. S. Chang et al., "Variants in the CDKN2B and RTEL1 regions are associated with high-grade glioma susceptibility," Nature Genetics, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 905–908, 2009.
- [19] B. S. Melin, J. S. Barnholtz-Sloan, M. R. Wrensch et al., "Genome-wide association study of glioma subtypes identifies specific differences in genetic susceptibility to glioblastoma and non-glioblastoma tumors," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 789–794, 2017.
- [20] K. Labreche, B. Kinnersley, G. Berzero et al., "Diffuse gliomas classified by 1p/19q co-deletion, TERT promoter and IDH mutation status are associated with specific genetic risk loci," *Acta Neuropathologica*, vol. 135, no. 5, pp. 743–755, 2018.
- [21] P. Parhar, R. Ezer, Y. Shao, J. C. Allen, D. C. Miller, and E. W. Newcomb, "Possible association of p53 codon 72 polymorphism with susceptibility to adult and pediatric high-grade astrocytomas," *Brain Research. Molecular Brain Research*, vol. 137, no. 1-2, pp. 98–103, 2005.
- [22] J. He, L. Yuan, H. Lin et al., "Genetic variants in m⁶A modification core genes are associated with glioma risk in Chinese children," *Molecular Therapy-Oncolytics*, vol. 20, pp. 199–208, 2021.
- [23] N. Padmanabhan, T. Ushijima, and P. Tan, "How to stomach an epigenetic insult: the gastric cancer epigenome," *Nature Reviews. Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 467–478, 2017.
- [24] E. R. Kastenhuber and S. W. Lowe, "Putting p53 in context," Cell, vol. 170, no. 6, pp. 1062–1078, 2017.
- [25] L. D. Miller, J. Smeds, J. George et al., "An expression signature for p53 status in human breast cancer predicts mutation status, transcriptional effects, and patient survival," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 102, no. 38, pp. 13550–13555, 2005.
- [26] R. Hrstka, P. J. Coates, and B. Vojtesek, "Polymorphisms in p53 and the p53 pathway: roles in cancer susceptibility and response to treatment," *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 440–453, 2009.
- [27] A. Hossain, G. M. M. Murshid, M. N. H. Zilani et al., "TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and breast cancer risk in Bangladeshi population," *Breast Cancer*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 571–578, 2017.
- [28] F. Babaei, S. A. Ahmadi, R. Abiri et al., "The TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and risk of sporadic prostate cancer among Iranian patients," *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 453–459, 2014.
- [29] M. S. Khan, A. A. Pandith, S. R. Masoodi et al., "Significant association of TP53 Arg72Pro polymorphism in susceptibility to differentiated thyroid cancer," *Cancer Biomarkers*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 459–465, 2015.
- [30] H. Garde-García, E. Redondo-González, M. Maestro-de Las Casas, C. Fernández-Pérez, and J. Moreno-Sierra, "Biomarkers and intermediate-high risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: a multivariate analysis of three different cellular pathways with pronostic implications," Clinical & Translational Oncology, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 840–845, 2021.
- [31] C. Su, Y. Lin, J. Niu, and L. Cai, "Association between polymorphisms in tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a case-control study in an HCC epidemic area within the Han Chinese population," *Medical Oncology*, vol. 31, no. 12, p. 356, 2014.

[32] S. J. Klug, M. Ressing, J. Koenig et al., "TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and cervical cancer: a pooled analysis of individual data from 49 studies," The lancet oncology., vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 772–784, 2009.

- [33] J. Y. Ru, Y. Cong, W. B. Kang, L. Yu, T. Guo, and J. N. Zhao, "Polymorphisms in TP53 are associated with risk and survival of osteosarcoma in a Chinese population," *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 3198–3203, 2015.
- [34] J. Zhang, Y. Yang, W. Li et al., "TP53gene rs1042522 allele G decreases neuroblastoma risk: a two-centre case-control study," *Journal of Cancer*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 467–471, 2019.
- [35] G. H. Wei and X. Wang, "IncRNA MEG3 inhibit proliferation and metastasis of gastric cancer via p53 signaling pathway," *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 17, pp. 3850–3856, 2017.
- [36] N. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, "Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways," *Nature*, vol. 455, no. 7216, pp. 1061–1068, 2008.
- [37] E. Gillet, A. Alentorn, B. Doukoure et al., "TP53 and p53 statuses and their clinical impact in diffuse low grade gliomas," *Journal of Neuro-Oncology*, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 131–139, 2014.
- [38] Y. Okamoto, P. L. Di Patre, C. Burkhard et al., "Population-based study on incidence, survival rates, and genetic alterations of low-grade diffuse astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas," *Acta Neuropathologica*, vol. 108, no. 1, pp. 49–56, 2004.
- [39] B. Malmer, M. Feychting, S. Lonn, A. Ahlbom, and R. Henriksson, "p53 genotypes and risk of glioma and meningioma," *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 2220–2223, 2005.
- [40] S. El Hallani, F. Ducray, A. Idbaih et al., "TP53 codon 72 polymorphism is associated with age at onset of glioblastoma," *Neurology*, vol. 72, no. 4, pp. 332–336, 2009.
- [41] F. He, Y. Xia, H. Liu, J. Li, and C. Wang, "P53 codon 72 Arg/pro polymorphism and glioma risk: an updated meta-analysis," *Tumour Biology*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 3121–3130, 2013.
- [42] W. Zhu, L. Lu, Y. Li, J. Yao, and B. Xu, "The effects of p53 Arg72Pro polymorphism on glioma susceptibility: a metaanalysis," *Tumour Biology*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 3725–3730, 2014.
- [43] P. Jha, P. Jha, P. Pathak et al., "TP53 polymorphisms in gliomas from Indian patients: study of codon 72 genotype, rs1642785, rs1800370 and 16 base pair insertion in intron-3," *Experimental and Molecular Pathology*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 167–172, 2011.
- [44] J. Li, X. Liu, Y. Qiao et al., "Association between genetic variant in the promoter of Pri-miR-34b/c and risk of glioma," Frontiers in Oncology, vol. 8, p. 413, 2018.
- [45] T. Jin, J. Zhang, G. Li et al., "TP53 and RPA3 gene variations were associated with risk of glioma in a Chinese Han population," *Cancer Biotherapy & Radiopharmaceuticals*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 248–253, 2013.
- [46] G. R. Pinto, F. K. Yoshioka, R. L. Silva et al., "Prognostic value of TP53 Pro47Ser and Arg72Pro single nucleotide polymorphisms and the susceptibility to gliomas in individuals from Southeast Brazil," *Genetics and Molecular Research*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 207–216, 2008.
- [47] V. Lima-Ramos, L. Pacheco-Figueiredo, S. Costa et al., "TP53 codon 72 polymorphism in susceptibility, overall survival, and adjuvant therapy response of gliomas," Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics, vol. 180, no. 1, pp. 14–19, 2008.

[48] E. Kampouraki, M. Lourou, M. I. Zervou et al., "Role of *CXCL12*, *TP53* and *CYP1A1* gene polymorphisms in susceptibility to pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia," *Oncology Letters*, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 659, 2021.

- [49] W. Fu, Z. J. Zhuo, W. Jia et al., "Association between *TP53* gene Arg72Pro polymorphism and Wilms' tumor risk in a Chinese population," *Oncotargets and Therapy*, vol. Volume 10, pp. 1149–1154, 2017.
- [50] L. Li, J. Zhu, T. Lu et al., "Association of miR-34b/c rs4938723 and TP53 Arg72Pro polymorphisms with neuroblastoma susceptibility: evidence from seven centers," Translational Oncology, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 1282–1288, 2019.
- [51] M. Qian, X. Cao, M. Devidas et al., "TP53 germline variations influence the predisposition and prognosis of B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 591–599, 2018.
- [52] S. Mascelli, P. Nozza, D. T. Jones et al., "TP53 codon 72 polymorphism may predict early tumour progression in paediatric pilocytic astrocytoma," *Oncotarget*, vol. 7, no. 30, pp. 47918–47926, 2016.