



Viral Suppression of RIPK1-Mediated Signaling

Darshika J. Udawatte,^{a,b} 💿 Alan L. Rothman^{a,b}

^aInstitute for Immunology and Informatics, University of Rhode Island, Providence, Rhode Island, USA ^bDepartment of Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Rhode Island, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

ABSTRACT Receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 1 (RIPK1) has emerged as a key upstream regulator of cell death and inflammation. RIPK1-mediated signaling governs the outcome of signaling pathways initiated by tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1), Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3), TLR4, retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-I)/melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA-5), and Z-binding protein 1 (ZBP1) by signaling for NF- κ B activation, mitogen-associated protein kinase (MAPK) and interferon regulatory factor 3/7 (IRF3/7) phosphorylation, and cell death via apoptosis and necroptosis. Both cell death and inflammatory responses play a major role in controlling virus infections. Therefore, viruses have evolved multifaceted mechanisms to exploit host immune responses by targeting RIPK1. This review focuses on the current understanding of RIPK1-mediated inflammatory and cell death pathways and multiple mechanisms by which viruses manipulate these pathways by targeting RIPK1. We also discuss gaps in our knowledge regarding RIPK1-mediated signaling pathways and highlight potential avenues for future research.

KEYWORDS apoptosis, inflammation, innate immunity, necroptosis, receptor-interacting protein kinase, signal transduction, virus-host interactions

eceptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 1 (RIPK1) was first discovered Receptor-interacting semiler uncomme protein and a protein that interacts with the death receptor, Fas (1), in the signal transduction pathway leading to programmed cell death (2, 3). Since then, RIPK1 has emerged as a central molecule regulating multiple biological pathways leading to cell death and inflammation. Its role is of particular interest in immune regulation. The primary goal of the immune system is to protect the host from invading pathogens. For this purpose, the innate immune system has evolved multiple signaling networks that culminate in the production of cytokines, chemokines, and interferons. RIPK1 is located at the intersection of several of these signaling pathways and mediates signaling from both membrane-bound and intracellular receptors that are important in pathogen recognition. Consequently, pathogens, including viruses, target RIPK1 to evade host immune responses and thereby to establish effective infections. There is an unexpected diversity in the strategies exploited by viruses to target RIPK1. This review summarizes the current knowledge of RIPK1 signaling in innate immune regulation and the various mechanisms utilized by viruses to inhibit RIPK1 signaling, with the goal of understanding how these effects benefit viruses.

THE STRUCTURE OF RIPK1

RIPK1 is a member of the receptor-interacting serine/threonine family. RIPK1 harbors an N-terminal kinase domain, a RIP homotypic interaction motif (RHIM)-containing intermediate domain (ID), and a C-terminal death domain (DD) (1, 4, 5) (Fig. 1). The kinase activity and the ability of RIPK1 to interact with other DD-containing and RHIM-containing proteins make it a multifunctional protein involved in many cellular pathways (6, 7). RIPK1 mediates signaling downstream of tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1), Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3), TLR4, retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-I)/melanoma differentiationCitation Udawatte DJ, Rothman AL. 2021. Viral suppression of RIPK1-mediated signaling. mBio 12:e01723-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .01723-21.

Invited Editor John Hiscott, Istituto Pasteur-Fondazione Cenci Bolognetti Editor Vinayaka R. Prasad, Albert Einstein

College of Medicine

Copyright © 2021 Udawatte and Rothman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Alan L. Rothman, alan_rothman@uri.edu.

Published 10 August 2021



FIG 1 Domain structure of RIPK1. RIPK1 consists of an N-terminal kinase domain, an intermediate domain, and a C-terminal death domain.

associated protein 5 (MDA-5), and Z-binding protein 1 (ZBP1) (Fig. 2). These signaling events culminate in NF- κ B-, mitogen-associated protein kinase (MAPK)-, and IRF3/7-mediated cytokine, chemokine, and interferon production, which is vital for controlling infections. Furthermore, RIPK1 signals for cell death mechanisms, including apoptosis and necroptosis.

RIPK1 SIGNALING DOWNSTREAM OF TNFR1

Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) ligation with TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1) initiates signaling cascades leading to NF- κ B activation, MAPK activation, extrinsic apoptosis, and necroptosis (Fig. 2). RIPK1 acts as a critical signal transducer in the NF- κ B pathway downstream of TNFR1. NF- κ B signaling is vital for the regulation of the immune system. NF- κ B family members (p50, p52, RelA, c-Rel, and RelB) regulate the transcription



FIG 2 Signaling pathways known to be mediated by RIPK1. (A) RIG-I/MDA-5, ZBP1, TNFR1, TLR4, and TLR3 signal for assembly of TAK1 and TAB1/2 via RIPK1, which leads to NF-κB activation or MAPK signaling. (B) RIG-I/MDA-5 and TLR4 drive IRF3/IRF7-driven IFN production via RIPK1. (C) ZBP1, TNFR1, TLR4, and TLR3 signal for assembly of a complex consisting of RIPK1, FADD, and procaspase 8. This assembly results in maturation of procaspase 8 and initiation of extrinsic apoptosis. (D) Stimulation of TNFR1, TLR4, or TLR3 leads to interaction between RIPK1 and RIPK3, which results in the induction of necroptosis. ZBP1-RIPK3-driven necroptosis is antagonized by competitive binding of RIPK1 to ZBP1. Additional signaling pathways for these receptors that have not yet been proposed to involve RIPK1 are not shown.

of proinflammatory cytokines and antimicrobial effectors such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8, IL-10, cc chemokine ligand 5 (CCL5), TNF- α , and cc chemokine receptor type 5 (CCR5) (8). They also regulate genes involved in cellular proliferation, differentiation, and survival (9–12). Pathogens have evolved mechanisms to downregulate NF- κ B activation and evade these host responses (13). For example, human rotavirus and dengue virus (DENV) antagonize the NF- κ B pathway, leading to downregulated cytokine responses (14, 15).

The role of RIPK1 in TNF- α signaling is well studied. Upon TNF- α ligation, TNFR1associated death domain protein (TRADD) binds to the cytoplasmic death domain (DD) of TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1). TRADD recruits TNF-associated factors (TRAF2/TRAF5) along with RIPK1 and cIAP (cellular inhibitor of apoptosis) proteins. Ubiquitination of RIPK1 by TRAF2 and/or cIAPs creates a platform for recruiting transforming growth factor β -activated kinase 1 (TAK1), TAK binding proteins (TAB1 and TAB2), and the I κ B kinase (IKK) complex (NF- κ B essential modulator [NEMO], IKK α , IKK β) (16–18). This protein complex is known as complex I. The IKK complex phosphorylates $I\kappa B\alpha$ and promotes its proteasome-mediated degradation. This liberates NF- κ B from the inhibitor $I\kappa$ B α and allows NF- κ B to translocate into the nucleus, resulting in induction of antiviral genes. Studies done on mice with a genetic mutation in RIPK1 destroying its kinase activity demonstrated intact NF- κ B responses following TNF- α stimulation. Furthermore, treatment with necrostatin-1 (Nec-1), a specific inhibitor of RIPK1 kinase activity, did not affect TNF- α -induced NF- κ B responses in mice (19, 20). These data indicate that the kinase activity of RIPK1 is dispensable for NF- κ B activation. Therefore, the scaffolding function of RIPK1 may play a major role in driving signals upstream of NF- κ B. However, there is no conclusive evidence of the role of the RHIM domain of RIPK1 in protein-protein interactions in complex I.

MAPKs (mitogen-associated protein kinases) consist of three groups, Erk1/2 (extracellular signal-regulated kinases), JNKs (Jun N-terminal kinases), and p38 (21, 22). The MAPK pathway culminates in AP-1 transcription and induces the expression of genes important for host defense. AP-1 regulates the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as IL-2, interferon gamma (IFN- γ), TNF- α , IL-6, IL-4, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and C-X-C motif ligand 8 (CXCL8). Thereby, AP-1 regulates leukocyte activation and differentiation (23, 24). Numerous pathogens target the MAPK pathway to evade these host responses. For example, DENV did not activate Erk1/2 phosphorylation and even impaired the basal level of p-Erk1/2 in A549 cells (15). Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) protein Us3 suppressed Erk activity in HSV-1-infected cells (25).

RIPK1 is placed at a crucial point in MAPK signal transduction downstream of TNFR1. As noted above, RIPK1 ubiquitination in complex I recruits multiple additional proteins, including TAK1. TAK1 phosphorylates MAPKs such as p38, JNK, and Erk, leading to the expression of additional transcription factors, ATF2, JUN, and TCF, which translocate into the nucleus facilitating AP-1 transcription (26). One study found that the ID of RIPK1 was necessary and sufficient for the activation of MAPKs upon TNF- α stimulation (27). However, another study demonstrated (28) that RIPK1 interacted with MEKK3 (mitogenactivated protein kinase kinase kinase 3) via both the ID and the KD and mediated TNF- α -induced p38 MAPK activation by recruiting MEKK3 to TNFR1 (28). Additionally, a RIPK1-MEKK3 interaction was observed in cells expressing wild-type RIPK1 but not in cells expressing kinase-inactive RIPK1. These reports suggest that there may be more than one route of MAPK activation in complex I via RIPK1. Targeted disruption of the *rip1* gene (which codes for RIPK1) in mouse embryonic fibroblasts prevented p38 MAPK activation and IL-6 production in response to TNF- α (28), demonstrating the vital role of RIPK1 in regulating MAPK-AP-1 signaling downstream of TNFR1.

RIPK1 SIGNALING DOWNSTREAM OF TLR3 AND TLR4

Stimulation of toll like receptors (TLRs) can lead to potent innate immune responses via NF- κ B activation. TLR4, the sensor for bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS), triggers NF- κ B activation via either MyD88 (myeloid differentiation primary response gene

88)-dependent or TRIF (TIR domain-containing adapter inducing interferon β)-dependent signaling pathways (29, 30). TLR3 participates in recognition of pathogen-associated double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) and the synthetic analog of viral dsRNA, poly(I-C). Unlike TLR4, TLR3 induces NF-κB activation only via TRIF (31). In both TLR3 and TLR4 signaling, TRIF interacts with RIPK1 via a conserved four-amino acid motif (VQLG) in the C-terminal RHIM domain of TRIF (32). TRIF also recruits TRAF6 and TBK1 via its N terminus (31). These interactions result in IKK activation, $I\kappa B$ phosphorylation, and NF- κB nuclear translocation (33). TRIF-RIPK1 interaction results in recruitment of TAK1 leading to the activation of MAPKs and AP-1 activation leading to enhanced immune responses (34). Even though this tethering of signaling molecules by RIPK1 (scaffolding function) is sufficient for NF- κ B and MAPK activation, a RIPK1 kinase activity-dependent mechanism was recently reported (35). The kinase activities of RIPK1 and RIPK3 promoted sustained activation of Erk, cFos, and NF- κ B in LPS-stimulated macrophages, resulting in inflammatory gene expression. Even though the speculation is that these inflammatory responses initiated from a death signaling complex involving RIPK1, referred to as the necrosome, the detailed molecular mechanism remains to be elucidated. It is tempting to conjecture that similar RIPK1 kinase activity-dependent signaling could occur downstream of TLR3 as well.

RIPK3 has been shown to inhibit RIPK1-mediated NF- κ B activation by competitive binding to TRIF downstream of TLR3 and TLR4 (31). This RIPK3-TRIF interaction could potentially inhibit RIPK1-driven MAPK activation, although this has not been investigated so far. Therefore, it could be of interest to study the effect of RIPK3 on the TLR3/TLR4-MAPK pathway.

TLR3 and TLR4 activate signaling pathways through IRF3/IRF7 phosphorylation that culminate in the production of IFNs and IFN-inducible genes, which are central to antiviral innate immune responses (36). Even though RIPK1 is known to interact with TRIF, involvement of RIPK1 in TRIF-dependent IRF3/IRF7 signaling is less clear. Overexpression of the RHIM domain of RIPK1 alone was sufficient to induce TRIF-mediated NF- κ B activation as measured using a luciferase reporter. However, the activity of an IFN- β luciferase reporter was not induced with overexpression of RIPK1 (31). Interferon-sensitive response element (ISRE) reporter luciferase activity increased in HEK293 cells with overexpression of TRIF but not with overexpression of RIPK1 (37). This RIPK1-independent response in IRF3/7 phosphorylation could be due to the ability of TRIF to directly interact with both IRF3 and the IRF3-activating kinase, TBK1 (33). However, another study demonstrated that a RIPK1-RIPK3 signaling complex mediated TRIF-dependent IFN- β synthesis in response to LPS in mice (38).

RIPK1 SIGNALING DOWNSTREAM OF RIG-I AND MDA-5

The RNA helicases RIG-I (retinoic acid-inducible gene 1) and MDA-5 (melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5) are known to initiate signaling pathways culminating in the production of type I interferons (IFN- α , IFN- β , IFN- ε , IFN- κ) and proinflammatory cytokines during the early stages of viral infections (39). RIG-I most strongly recognizes short 5'-ppp dsRNA, while MDA-5 preferentially recognizes long dsRNA (40, 41). RIG-I most potently detects influenza viruses, filoviruses, and rhabdoviruses, while MDA-5 is essential for the recognition of picornaviruses, arteriviruses, and hepatitis D virus (42–46). These receptors are also involved in recognizing nonviral pathogens; MDA-5 has been reported to respond to malaria parasites (47). Nevertheless, viruses have evolved strategies to impair signaling downstream of RIG-I/MDA-5. For example, the DENV protease NS3 was shown to cleave mitochondrial-associated proteins MFN1 and MFN2, impairing efficient RLR (RIG-I like receptor) signaling (48). Influenza A H1N1 viral protein NS1 directly interacts with RIG-I and prevents its activation, inhibiting IFN- β production (49).

RIPK1 is involved in NF- κ B, MAPK, IRF3, and IRF7 activation downstream of RIG-I and MDA-5. RIG-I and MDA-5 signaling merge at the mitochondria through MAVS (mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein), which is located at the mitochondrial

membrane (50). TNFR1-associated death domain (TRADD) and Fas-associating protein with death domain (FADD) recruit RIPK1 to MAVS following RIG-I/MDA-5 stimulation (51). RIPK1 signaling to NF- κ B proceeds through the IKK complex, while signaling to IRF3/IRF7 proceeds through TBK1 and I κ B kinase- ε (IKK ϵ) (52, 53). Activation of MAPK downstream of RIG-I involves TRAF2, TAK1, and the kinase activity of RIPK1 (54). RIG-I and MDA-5 activate NF- κ B and p38 MAPK through a common upstream pathway involving RIPK1 and only diverge downstream of TAK1. Therefore, RIPK1 functions as an essential adaptor molecule in RIG-I and MDA-5 signal transduction by facilitating the association of other proteins (53). The kinase function of RIPK1 may not play a major role downstream of RIG-I/MDA-5. Evaluation of signaling downstream of RIG-I/ MDA-5 with genetic or pharmacological inhibition of RIPK1 kinase activity would be needed to test this hypothesis.

RIPK1 SIGNALING DOWNSTREAM OF ZBP1

ZBP1 (Z-binding protein 1), also known as DAI (DNA-dependent activator of IFN regulatory factors) or DLM1, was initially recognized as a sensor for double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) but later found its role as an RNA sensor during virus infections (55–58). Further studies revealed that ZBP1 can sense viral and endogenous Z-RNA (58, 59). ZBP1 acts as a vital innate immune sensor by regulating programmed cell death and inflammation during pathogen invasions. For example, ZBP1 has been shown to initiate signaling for cell death via either apoptosis, necroptosis, or pyroptosis during influenza A virus (IAV) infection (57, 60). ZBP1 regulates inflammatory responses by signaling for NF- κ B activation and IRF3-driven IFN and cytokine production (7, 55, 61).

ZBP1 harbors three RHIM-like domains, and two of them have been shown to facilitate interactions with other RHIM domain-containing proteins (7). RIPK1 has been shown to mediate NF- κ B activation by interacting with ZBP1 via its RHIM domains (7, 61). Consistent with this, secretion of IL-6 and TNF- α was suppressed in the supernatants of *RIPK1*^{-/-} and *ZBP1*^{-/-} cells relative to wild-type (WT) or *RIPK1*^{KD/KD} bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM) infected with IAV (62). However, unlike in TRIF signaling, RIPK3 also contributes to NF- κ B activation in ZBP1 signaling (7, 61). RIPK1 was detected as a critical component in relaying signals for IFN- β induction upon stimulation of ZBP1 with poly(dA:dT) (7).

Adding complexity to this system, an antiviral signaling mechanism involving ZBP1 and RIPK1 that is independent of cell death has also been reported. ZBP1 induced the expression of IRG1 via IRF1, and this was dependent on the expression of both RIPK1 and RIPK3. IRG1 acted as an antiviral effector, restricting Zika virus (ZIKV) replication in neurons by altering cellular metabolism. Mice expressing a kinase dead version of RIPK1 (*Ripk1KD/KD*) exhibited lower levels of *Irg1* mRNA and higher ZIKV titers in brain homogenates and mortality relative to wild-type controls (63). However, the precise mechanism, including the importance of RHIM interactions in relaying signaling in the ZBP1-RIPK1-IRG1 axis, is yet to be determined.

Overall, ZBP1-RIPK1 signaling has emerged as a crucial antiviral response by inducing NF-κB activation, proinflammatory cytokine secretion, and neuronal metabolic reprogramming. However, the importance of ZBP1-RIPK1 interactions in IFN induction via IRF3 remains unclear. Furthermore, ZBP1-RIPK1 signaling may exert a negative effect during viral infections by inhibiting RIPK3-dependent necroptosis. Future studies should address how RIPK1-ZBP1 interactions affect the scaffolding function of RIPK1 involving interactions with other RHIM-containing proteins such as TRIF and murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) M45.

RIPK1 SIGNALING IN CELL DEATH

RIPK1-mediated apoptosis. Viruses are obligate intracellular pathogens. Hence, cell death can be an important immune defense against infection. RIPK1 regulates cell death pathways known as "ripoptocide" involving both apoptosis and necroptosis (64). Apoptosis is a noninflammatory form of cell death having morphological changes such as

cell shrinkage, chromatin condensation, and plasma membrane blebbing (65). Apoptosis can be induced by extrinsic or intrinsic stimuli. Extrinsic apoptosis is mediated by death receptors such as TNFR1, whereas intrinsic apoptosis is driven by cellular stresses such as DNA damage, growth factor withdrawal, or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress leading to mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (65, 66). RIPK1 is involved in regulating extrinsic apoptosis downstream of TNFR1. Deubiquitination of RIPK1 leads to the formation of a complex with procaspase 8 and FADD in response to TNF- α stimulation, which is referred to as complex IIa (Fig. 1B) (67). Assembly of complex IIa leads to auto-processing and maturation of procaspase 8 into caspase 8, which initiates extrinsic apoptosis. A similar complex known as the ripoptosome assembles in response to TLR3 and TLR4 stimulation (68, 69). The core of the ripoptosome consists of RIPK1, FADD, and caspase 8 (69). The ripoptosome can also recruit additional proteins such as cFLIP (FLICE-like inhibitory protein) and RIPK3 depending on the cell type and stimulus (69). ZBP1 sensing of IAV RNA led to RIPK1-mediated apoptosis, suggesting a similar complex formation downstream of ZBP1 (57). As in complex IIa, the ripoptosome promotes caspase 8 activation. Mature caspase 8, in turn, activates downstream caspases such as caspase 3, resulting in apoptosis. Active caspase 8 also cleaves RIPK1 downstream of residue Asp324 in the ID. The C-terminal 38-kDa product generated from this cleavage is known to facilitate interactions between FADD and TRADD, further contributing to apoptosis. The expression of RIPK1 mutants resistant to caspase 8 cleavage protected cells against TNF- α -induced apoptosis, demonstrating the proapoptotic nature of cleaved RIPK1 (70). The induction of apoptosis by RIPK1 contributes to virus clearance by eliminating infected cells but does not cause inflammation.

RIPK1-mediated necroptosis. Necroptosis is considered an antiviral defense mechanism because it can initiate a strong proinflammatory response. Necroptosis is characterized morphologically by swelling of organelles followed by plasma membrane rupture (71–73). Necroptosis protects the host both by limiting pathogen replication in infected cells and by promoting inflammatory responses that stimulate host adaptive immunity (74). Necroptotic cell death is driven by a protein complex (necrosome) that includes RIPK1 and RIPK3. Necrosomes are formed downstream of TNFR1, TLR3, and TLR4 in the absence of caspase 8 activity (67, 75). RIPK1 and RIPK3 interact with and phosphorylate each other in the necrosome complex. Activated/phosphorylated RIPK3 recruits and phosphorylates MLKL (mixed-lineage domain-like protein). Phosphorylated MLKL forms tetramers, translocates to the cell membrane, and further polymerizes to form amyloidlike structures (76), resulting in cell membrane permeabilization and cell lysis (77).

Furthermore, ZBP1 is also known to trigger virus-induced necroptosis by activating RIPK3 (56, 78). RIPK1 has been shown to block RHIM-mediated interactions between ZBP1 and RIPK3 by competitively binding to ZBP1, thereby counteracting ZBP1-mediated necroptosis (79). Mice expressing a mutation in the RHIM domain of RIPK1 died at birth due to ZBP1-RIPK3-dependent necroptosis, indicating that the RHIM of RIPK1 is critical for inhibiting ZBP1-RIPK3 dependent necroptosis during development (80). However, neither the precise mechanism of RIPK1 inhibition of RIPK3-ZBP1 interaction nor the trigger that initiates ZBP1 activation during development has been elucidated.

Even though RIPK1 participates in extrinsic apoptosis and necroptosis, the loss of RIPK1 also sensitizes cells to TNF- α -induced apoptosis (81, 82), perhaps due to NF- κ B inhibition. Therefore, a goal of future studies should be to understand what factors regulate the final effects of RIPK1 leading to cell survival or cell death.

VIRAL STRATEGIES IN MODULATING RIPK1 SIGNALING

RIPK1 is placed at a crucial point controlling cell death and inflammation, governing the outcome of signaling pathways initiated by TNFR1, TLR3, TLR4, RIG-I/MDA-5, and ZBP1 and leading to NF- κ B activation, MAPK, and IRF3/7 phosphorylation. Activation of these pathways results in release of proinflammatory cytokines and interferons, setting an antiviral state, and in destruction of the replication niche of obligate intracellular pathogens via cell death. Therefore, RIPK1 is as an attractive target for inhibition by different virus families.

HERPESVIRUSES

Members of the *Herpesviridae* family have double-stranded DNA genomes. They are categorized into alpha-, beta-, and gammaherpesviruses based on their host range, genome, and replication strategies (83). Herpesviruses commonly establish a lifelong infection in the host. Human herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1), an alphaherpesvirus, is associated with genital herpes, herpes encephalitis, and ocular HSV causing blindness (84). Human cytomegalovirus (CMV) is a betaherpesvirus that causes a wide spectrum of pathological outcomes. Congenital CMV infections are associated with serious complications, including microcephaly and mental retardation. Immunocompromised patients can develop encephalitis, pneumonitis, and graft rejection as a result of CMV infections (85). Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a human gammaherpesvirus, is the primary cause of infectious mononucleosis. EBV infections are also associated with ~200,000 malignancies (e.g., lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and gastric adenocarcinoma) worldwide every year (86). Several members of the herpesvirus family have been shown to impair RIPK1-mediated signaling.

Herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1). HSV-1 ICP6 protein induces RIPK3-mediated necroptosis in murine cells, which restricts viral propagation (87, 88). In contrast, HSV-1 has evolved to escape necroptosis-mediated restriction in human cells by at least three mechanisms. In one mechanism, the human HSV-1 protein ICP6 promotes RIPK1 aggregation and then its degradation by the autophagosome, a process known as aggrephagy (89). A conserved motif in all the human herpesviruses known as the "induced protein aggregation motif" (IPAM) is needed for this aggregate formation. Expression of wild-type ICP6 alone was sufficient to induce aggregate formation, while expression of a mutant IPAM (Δ IPAM) ICP6 failed to do so (90). However, no experiments were done to indicate if HSV-1 IPAM was important for RIPK1 interaction. In a second mechanism, it was shown that HSV-1 ICP6 and HSV-2 ICP10 both harbor RHIM domains and disrupt the RIPK1-RIPK3 association by competing for RIPK1 binding (91). This effect allows HSV-1 to prevent necrosome formation. Recently, an additional mechanism of necroptosis inhibition by HSV-1 ICP6 via the RHIM domain was discovered. Wild-type (WT) HSV-1 inhibited translocation of necrosomes to detergent-resistant membranes compared with HSV-1 lacking ICP6 (Δ ICP6) or having a mutant ICP6 lacking the RHIM domain (ICP6- Δ RHIM) (92). Collectively, these data show numerous strategies exploited by HSV-1 to prolong cell survival by targeting RIPK1 in its natural host.

Cytomegalovirus (CMV). UL48 and UL45 of HCMV interacted with RIPK1 and suppressed RIPK1-mediated NF-kB activation. This was achieved by deubiquitylation of RIPK1 in complex I by UL48, which was enhanced by the expression of UL45 (93). The infection of mice with murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) is a well-established model for understanding human CMV (HCMV) infections (94). MCMV M45, the homolog of HCMV UL45, has emerged as a viral inhibitor of RIPK1-mediated signaling. Mutational studies have revealed a RHIM-like domain in the N terminus of MCMV M45 (amino acids [aa] 61 to 69). Overexpressed WT but not Δ RHIM M45 coimmunoprecipitated with RIPK1, indicating that M45 interacts with RIPK1 via its RHIM domain (95). The interaction between RIPK1 and M45 resulted in blocking of RIPK1 ubiquitination (19). TNF- α -induced NF- κ B activation and TNF- α -induced p38 phosphorylation were inhibited as a result of the RIPK1-M45 interaction (96). NF- κ B activation downstream of both TLR3 and ZBP1 has also been shown to be blocked by M45 (61, 96). Furthermore, M45 blocked caspase-independent cell death following TNF- α stimulation in a RHIM-dependent manner. Similarly, MCMV expressing WT M45 but not Δ RHIM M45 blocked TRIF-induced apoptosis by blocking the RIPK1-TRIF interaction (95). Interestingly, another study found an additional mechanism of RIPK1 degradation by M45; M45 physically interacted with RIPK1 via its IPAM motif and caused RIPK1 to aggregate (90). RIPK1 levels were higher in autophagy-deficient Atg5^{-/-} cells following MCMV infection, indicating that RIPK1 was subjected to degradation by autophagy. M45 promotion of RIPK1 degradation was blocked by inhibition of lysosome function by NH₄CI (90). In agreement with previous studies, the authors also detected inhibition of necroptosis due to low levels of RIPK1. Both IPAM and RHIM sequences were needed for cell survival, suggesting that these two sequences have independent roles. Infections with MCMV expressing Δ IPAM or Δ RHIM M45 resulted in less viral replication in mice relative to infections with WT MCMV. Therefore, M45 promoted viral replication *in vitro* and *in vivo* by inhibiting RIPK1-mediated cell death.

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV). EBV is known to manipulate the host cell's death signaling pathways to facilitate viral replication. EBV suppressed necroptosis by targeting RIPK1 (97). EBV latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) directly interacted with RIPK1 in an RHIM-independent manner and increased both K48 and K63 ubiquitination of RIPK1. K48 ubiquitination resulted in a decrease of the RIPK1 half-life. LMP1 increased the expression of TRAF2, which amplified RIPK1 K63 ubiquitination. Therefore, LMP1 inhibited TNFR1-stimulated necroptosis by redirecting RIPK1 signaling toward NF- κ B activation by promoting K63 ubiquitination. However, LMP1 is also known to upregulate TNFAIP3/A20 (TNF- α -induced protein 3), which could deubiquitinate RIPK1 (98, 99). Further studies of the effect of low levels of RIPK1 and LMP1-RIPK1 interaction on EBV replication and cell death could help to clarify which of these effects plays a dominant role.

FLAVIVIRUSES

Flaviviruses are single-stranded positive-sense RNA viruses with a genome size of ~11 kb. Flaviviruses are mainly transmitted to vertebrate hosts by infected mosquitos or ticks and cause a range of disease manifestations including nonspecific febrile illness, fever with rash, viral hemorrhagic fever, and encephalitis. Mosquito-borne flaviviruses include yellow fever virus, dengue virus, Japanese encephalitis virus, West Nile virus (WNV), and Zika virus (ZIKV). Tick-borne viruses include tick-borne encephalitis virus, Powassan virus, louping ill virus, and Omsk hemorrhagic fever virus (100). WNV is an encephalitic virus of global concern (101). In humans, ~20% of WNV infections cause rashes, arthralgia, and myalgia while <1% of infections cause cognitive and neurological impairments that can lead to death (102). ZIKV is an emerging flavivirus that is associated with fetal abnormalities and severe neurological injuries in adults (103, 104). Studies of WNV and ZIKV have exposed a protective role of RIPK1 for the host, while the role of RIPK1-mediated signaling remains to be elucidated for other flaviviruses.

West Nile virus (WNV). RIPK1 together with RIPK3 signals for chemokine expression and for recruitment of infiltrating antiviral leukocytes in the central nervous system during WNV infection. Mice expressing a kinase dead form of RIPK1 exhibited increased susceptibility to WNV infection (105). Even though the molecular mechanisms for this effect are not completely understood, these data indicate a protective role of RIPK1 against WNV (105). Studies of the levels of RIPK1 upon WNV infection could help determine if WNV impedes RIPK1-mediated signaling.

Zika virus (ZIKV). The fate of RIPK1 in ZIKV-infected cells has not been directly addressed. However, a protective role of RIPK1 against ZIKV was recently reported. RIPK1 together with RIPK3 diminished ZIKV infection by activating antiviral gene networks, including IRF1-dependent Irg1 expression (63). The full nature of this mechanism remains to be elucidated. However, mice with a genetic defect in RIPK1 kinase activity (RIPK1^{KD/KD}) had higher viral titers in whole-brain homogenates upon infection with ZIKV. Inhibition of kinase activity *in vivo* by treatment of wild-type mice with pharmacological inhibitors also increased brain viral burden. Furthermore, RIPK1^{KD/KD} neuronal cultures exhibited enhanced replication of ZIKV relative to wild-type controls. Interestingly, no differences were observed in ZIKV replication in primary BMDM or dendritic cells from wild-type versus RIPK1^{KD/KD} mice or with inhibition of the kinase activity of RIPK1, suggesting that these effects are cell-type specific (63).

RETROVIRUSES

Retroviruses are enveloped RNA viruses and are entirely restricted to vertebrates (106). Retroviruses reverse transcribe their RNA genomes into DNA that integrates into the host cell genome as a critical step in the virus life cycle. It is estimated that

approximately 8% of the human genome consists of endogenous retroviruses (107). Retroviruses are further categorized into lentiviruses, oncoretroviruses, and spumaviruses (108). Human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) is a lentivirus. HIV-1 causes AIDS. Approximately 37 million people were living with HIV-1 worldwide as of 2017 (109). Human T-cell lymphotropic virus-1 (HTLV-1) is an oncoretrovirus. Infection of T-lymphocytes by HTLV-1 can cause adult T-cell leukemia (ATL) or HTLV-1-associated myelopathy (HAM) (110, 111). Both HIV-1 and HTLV-1 have been shown to modulate RIPK1 signaling.

Human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1). The HIV-1 protease (PR) plays an important role in the virus life cycle by cleaving viral polypeptides Gag and Gag-Pol into mature proteins (112). One study examined the effect of HIV-PR expression on RIPK1-mediated signaling (113). HIV-1 PR was shown to decrease both endogenous and overexpressed RIPK1. A catalytically inactive PR and the PR inhibitor saquinavir (SQV) prevented this cleavage. Mass spectroscopy studies revealed that PR cleaved RIPK1 at the ID domain. RIPK1 cleavage was confirmed in HIV-1-infected T cell lines and primary CD4⁺ T cells. PR cleavage of RIPK1 disrupted NF- κ B activation as measured by luciferase reporter assays, and this activation was restored by the addition of SQV. Furthermore, PR overexpression impaired RIPK1-RIPK3 interaction as demonstrated by a yeast two-hybrid system. These data suggest that RIPK1 cleavage by PR can block necrosome formation inhibiting necroptosis. However, this study did not assess the effect of PR on RIPK1-mediated MAPK or IRF3/IRF7 activation. Collectively, these data indicate that HIV-1 PR can block multiple immune pathways by cleaving RIPK1. The effect of RIPK1 cleavage on HIV-1 replication remains to be studied.

Human T-cell lymphotropic virus-1. The HTLV-1-encoded Tax protein is a multifunctional protein that is crucial for T cell transformation by HTLV-1 (114). Tax inhibits innate immune signaling by multiple mechanisms involving RIPK1. Type I IFNs and interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) are capable of inhibiting HTLV-1 replication. Therefore, viral evasion of IFN production and signaling probably represents a survival strategy. Overexpression of HTLV-1 Tax resulted in greater replication of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), an IFN-sensitive paramyxovirus, in primary mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) and Jurkat cells, demonstrating that Tax can inhibit host antiviral immune responses (115). HTLV-1-infected cells produced less IFN- β than uninfected cells upon stimulation with poly(I-C). Yeast two-hybrid screens and mutational constructs revealed a Tax interaction with RIPK1 via the RHIM in the ID domain of RIPK1 (115). However, the authors did not test for the presence of a RHIM domain in Tax protein. The Tax-RIPK1 interaction prevented the RIPK1-IRF7 interaction and inhibited IRF7 phosphorylation, downregulating the production of IFN- α and IFN- β . In contrast, Tax did not prevent RIPK1-RIG-I/MDA-5 interactions, and NF- κ B signaling was unaffected as shown by luciferase assays (115). HTLV-1 is known to induce persistent activation of NF- κ B, which contributes to T cell transformation (116). It was shown that Tax prevented TLR3-induced IRF3 phosphorylation but not NF- κ B signaling by competitively binding to TRIF. However, this observation is inconsistent, since TRIF is located upstream of both IRF3 and NF-KB pathways. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that Tax might be inhibiting IRF3 phosphorylation at an additional step in TLR3 signaling.

OTHER VIRUSES

Human rhinovirus (HRV). HRV is the major causative agent of the common cold and asthma exacerbations (117). There are approximately 170 serologically distinct HRV types in circulation (118). HRV is a single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus. The HRV genome encodes three proteases (2A, 3CD, and 3C), which are important for viral polyprotein maturation (119). These proteases have also been shown to cleave host proteins, including RIPK1. The HRV16 3C protease restricted host cell apoptosis and prolonged cell survival by cleaving RIPK1 in HeLa cells (120). The addition of a 3C protease inhibitor, rupintrivir, decreased RIPK1 cleavage. 3C-mediated RIPK1 cleavage was increased upon caspase 8 activation by actinomycin D (ActoD) relative to untreated cells. However, this observation of increased RIPK1 cleavage with activation of caspase 8 was not reproduced in HRV16-infected cells. Even though apoptosis induced with ActoD treatment decreased upon HRV16 infection, no evidence was shown that this was related to RIPK1 cleavage. Additional studies may be required for elucidating the direct effect of RIPK1 cleavage on cell death as well as HRV16 replication. Finally, given that full-length RIPK1 is decreased during HRV16 infection, it would be interesting to learn if RIPK1-mediated innate immune signaling such as NF- κ B and MAPK pathways are disrupted during HRV infections.

Ebola virus (EBOV). EBOV is a negative-strand RNA virus belonging to the *Filoviridae* family (121). Ebola virus causes a viral hemorrhagic fever with a high fatality rate. Damage to host immune responses due to robust EBOV replication is considered to contribute to the disease severity. This excessive viral replication reflects the capability of EBOV to suppress innate immune mechanisms. For example, EBOV effectively blocks IFN production (122, 123). EBOV has been shown to disrupt RIPK1-mediated signaling by encoding an miRNA (miR-T2-3p) targeting RIPK1 (124). *In vitro* studies in HeLa cells demonstrated that a synthetic mimic of miR-T2-3p downregulated RIPK1 transcript levels. In light of this observation, it is tempting to hypothesize that the EBOV-encoded miRNA blocks RIPK1-mediated innate immune responses to enhance viral replication. RIPK1 inhibition could contribute to inhibition of IFN production by EBOV. Thus, it will be of interest to study the link between RIPK1 downregulation and IFN inhibition during EBOV infection. Also, the effect of RIPK1 inhibition on EBOV replication could be further explored.

Vaccinia virus (VACV). VACV belongs to the poxvirus family. It has a large linear dsDNA genome. VACV is the primary component of the smallpox vaccine but is mostly used as a tool in the research setting (125). RIPK1 has been shown to play a protective role in VACV infection by inducing necroptosis. Caspase 8 inhibition by VACV also promotes necroptosis in infected cells (126). Mice expressing a kinase-inactive RIPK1 mutant failed to control VACV replication *in vivo*. They had increased viral titers in the spleen and the liver due to lack of necroptosis (127). This study provides genetic evidence that the kinase activity of RIPK1 is essential for VACV-initiated necroptosis. However, further efforts are needed to understand RIPK1-mediated NF- κ B, MAPK activation, and cytokine production during VACV infection.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

As discussed in this review, RIPK1 plays a crucial role in cell death and inflammatory responses. RIPK1 is placed at an intersection of multiple pathways of signal transduction initiated from death receptors and pattern recognition receptors. Signaling initiated from these receptors is important for the induction of immune responses. Importantly, new properties of RIPK1 signaling are emerging. For example, it has long been postulated that the kinase activity of RIPK1 is dispensable for NF- κ B activation (128). Nevertheless, a RIPK1 kinase activity-dependent mechanism of NF- κ B activation and induction of inflammatory responses due to TLR4 stimulation was recently reported (35). RIPK1 is involved in driving signaling for extrinsic apoptosis in response to TNF- α stimulation. However, RIPK1 was also reported to be implicated in protecting hepatocytes from TNF- α -stimulated cell death, suggesting opposing roles of RIPK1 in the same pathway. Even though RIPK1 is involved in inducing necroptosis, it was shown to block ZBP1-mediated necroptosis (80). Furthermore, RIPK1 was shown to induce antiviral gene networks by undefined mechanisms (63, 105). These findings suggest that there is substantially more to be learned about the consequences of viral targeting of RIPK1.

Evidence demonstrating that RIPK1 is involved in suppressing cell death and inflammation while also promoting the same supports RIPK1 as a critical regulator in cell death and inflammation signaling networks. Under normal conditions, RIPK1 regulation of cell death and inflammation seems to be tightly controlled to allow homeostasis. However, in the setting of viral infection, this homeostasis appears to be disturbed. In most viral infections, RIPK1-mediated signaling protects the host by inducing either cell death or secretion of inflammatory cytokines and IFN, as illustrated by the studies of WNV, ZIKV, and VACV infection of RIPK1 kinase activity-deficient mice (63, 127). If the overall effect of RIPK1 is to inhibit infection, RIPK1 becomes an attractive target for

Virus	Affected RIPK1 signaling	Virulent factor	Mechanism	Reference(s)
HSV-1	Necroptosis	ICP6	RIPK1 aggregation and consequent	90–92
			degradation by the autophagosome	
			Blocks RIPK1 signaling and translocation of	
			necrosome components to the DRM vesicles	
			Disrupts RIPK1-RIPK3 interactions	
HSV-2	Necroptosis	ICP10	Disrupts RIPK1-RIPK3 interactions	91
HCMV	NF- <i>k</i> B activation	UL48, UL45	Deubiquitylation of RIPK1	93
MCMV	NF- <i>k</i> B activation, p38 phosphorylation	M45	M45-RIPK1 interaction	61, 90, 95, 96
	Extrinsic apoptosis, necroptosis		RIPK1 aggregation and consequent degradation by the autophagosome	
EBV	Necroptosis	LMP1	LMP1 interacts and ubiguitinates RIPK1	97
HIV-1	NF- <i>κ</i> B activation	PR	Cleavage of RIPK1	113
	Necroptosis		-	
HTLV-1	Type I IFN production	Тах	Tax-RIPK1 interaction	115
HRV16		3C protease	Cleavage of RIPK1	120
EBOV		miRNA (miR-T2-3p)	Inhibition of RIPK1 transcription	123

TABLE 1 List of virulence factors and mechanisms that manipulate RIPK1 signaling

inhibition by viruses, especially due to the fact that many signaling pathways can be blocked simultaneously. It is surprising yet fascinating to observe the wide spectrum of mechanisms utilized by viruses to inhibit RIPK1. As shown in Table 1, numerous viral proteins are implicated in inhibiting RIPK1-mediated signaling, and new virulence factors are emerging. For example, Nsp-12 of SARS-Cov-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2) was shown to interact with RIPK1, yet the implications of this interaction have yet to be explored (129).

Understanding the viral strategies used for evasion of RIPK1 signaling has revealed additional multifunctionality of viral proteins. This is exemplified by the identification of RHIM and IPAM domains in viral proteins. The IPAM motif is present in more than 70 viral R1 homologs of herpesviruses and baculoviruses, suggesting that its function of inducing protein aggregation might be widely conserved (90). Understanding viral strategies of RIPK1 inhibition could potentially point toward unexplored viral-host interactions. Seeing such a variety of strategies evolved in viruses indicates the possibility that RIPK1 inhibition is more important for viral survival than has been known.

Since RIPK1 controls the outcome of many signaling pathways, the sum of the diverse functions attributable to RIPK1 may cooperatively contribute to the outcome. Therefore, it may be helpful to investigate the outcome of all the possible arms of innate immune responses controlled by RIPK1 during pathogen invasions, and especially how the outcome of RIPK1 signaling affects viral replication. Physiologic extracellular signals that initiate RIPK1-mediated signaling could differ between *in vivo* and *in vitro* infection. For example, extracellular pH, oxidants, and Ca²⁺ are known to trigger necroptosis (130). Therefore, the outcomes of RIPK1 inhibition in these settings could be different. For example, even though RIPK1 kinase-deficient mice exhibited high viral titers following ZIKV infections, similar results were not observed in BMDM s or dendritic cells (DCs) after infection with ZIKV (63).

How RIPK1 inhibition might benefit the virus over the host should also be more fully addressed. Necroptosis and inflammatory signaling regulated by RIPK1 may control viral replication but could be deleterious to the host and contribute to disease pathogenesis. For example, even though necroptosis following IAV infection acts as a very effective antiviral strategy, it is speculated to contribute to pulmonary tissue damage and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (131, 132). At this point, however, there is still a limited understanding of the specific effects of RIPK1 inhibition in disease pathogenesis during viral infections.

In this review, we have discussed various strategies exploited by viruses to inhibit RIPK1-mediated signaling. Viral modulation of RIPK1-mediated signaling may inhibit NF- κ B responses, MAPK signaling, IFN induction, and cell death. It appears from the

literature cited above that most viruses benefit by inhibiting these pathways. Understanding the impact of inhibition of RIPK1 signaling on the host and the virus may facilitate the development of new immunotherapeutic strategies against virus infections.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by grant P01 Al034533 from the National Institutes of Health. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent the official views of the NIH. The figures were created with BioRender.com.

REFERENCES

- Stanger BZ, Leder P, Lee TH, Kim E, Seed B. 1995. RIP: a novel protein containing a death domain that interacts with Fas/APO-1 (CD95) in yeast and causes cell death. Cell 81:513–523. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092 -8674(95)90072-1.
- 2. Nagata S, Golstein P. 1995. The Fas death factor. Science 267:1449–1456. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7533326.
- Nagata S. 1997. Apoptosis by death factor. Cell 88:355–365. https://doi .org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81874-7.
- Ermolaeva MA, Michallet M-C, Papadopoulou N, Utermöhlen O, Kranidioti K, Kollias G, Tschopp J, Pasparakis M. 2008. Function of TRADD in tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 signaling and in TRIF-dependent inflammatory responses. Nat Immunol 9:1037–1046. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1638.
- Park YH, Jeong MS, Park HH, Jang SB. 2013. Formation of the death domain complex between FADD and RIP1 proteins in vitro. Biochim Biophys Acta 1834:292–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2012.08.013.
- Sun X, Yin J, Starovasnik MA, Fairbrother WJ, Dixit VM. 2002. Identification of a novel homotypic interaction motif required for the phosphorylation of receptor-interacting protein (RIP) by RIP3. J Biol Chem 277:9505–9511. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109488200.
- Kaiser WJ, Upton JW, Mocarski ES. 2008. Receptor-interacting protein homotypic interaction motif-dependent control of NF-κB activation via the DNA-dependent activator of IFN regulatory factors. J Immunol 181:6427–6434. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.181.9.6427.
- Gilmore TD. 2006. Introduction to NF-κB: players, pathways, perspectives. Oncogene 25:6680–6684. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1209954.
- Poligone B, Baldwin AS. 2001. Positive and negative regulation of NFkappaB by COX-2: roles of different prostaglandins. J Biol Chem 276:38658–38664. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M106599200.
- Catley MC, Chivers JE, Cambridge LM, Holden N, Slater DM, Staples KJ, Bergmann MW, Loser P, Barnes PJ, Newton R. 2003. IL-1beta-dependent activation of NF-kappaB mediates PGE2 release via the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 and microsomal prostaglandin E synthase. FEBS Lett 547:75–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(03)00672-0.
- Vincenti MP, Coon CI, Brinckerhoff CE. 1998. Nuclear factor kappaB/p50 activates an element in the distal matrix metalloproteinase 1 promoter in interleukin-1beta-stimulated synovial fibroblasts. Arthritis Rheum 41:1987–1994. https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(199811)41:11<1987:: AID-ART14>3.0.CO;2-8.
- Hayden MS, West AP, Ghosh S. 2006. NF-κB and the immune response. Oncogene 25:6758–6780. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1209943.
- Zhao J, He S, Minassian A, Li J, Feng P. 2015. Recent advances on viral manipulation of NF-κB signaling pathway. Curr Opin Virol 15:103–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2015.08.013.
- Morelli M, Dennis AF, Patton JT. 2015. Putative E3 ubiquitin ligase of human rotavirus inhibits NF-κB activation by using molecular mimicry to target β-TrCP. mBio 6:e02490-14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02490-14.
- Chang T-H, Chen S-R, Yu C-Y, Lin Y-S, Chen Y-S, Kubota T, Matsuoka M, Lin Y-L. 2012. Dengue virus serotype 2 blocks extracellular signal-regulated kinase and nuclear factor-*κ*B activation to downregulate cytokine production. PLoS One 7:e41635-11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0041635.
- Hsu H, Huang J, Shu HB, Baichwal V, Goeddel DV. 1996. TNF-dependent recruitment of the protein kinase RIP to the TNF receptor-1 signaling complex. Immunity 4:387–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80252-6.
- Micheau O, Tschopp J. 2003. Induction of TNF receptor I-mediated apoptosis via two sequential signaling complexes. Cell 114:181–190. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00521-x.
- Shu HB, Takeuchi M, Goeddel DV. 1996. The tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 signal transducers TRAF2 and c-IAP1 are components of the tumor

necrosis factor receptor 1 signaling complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:13973–13978. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.24.13973.

- Degterev A, Hitomi J, Germscheid M, Ch'en IL, Korkina O, Teng X, Abbott D, Cuny GD, Yuan C, Wagner G, Hedrick SM, Gerber SA, Lugovskoy A, Yuan J. 2008. Identification of RIP1 kinase as a specific cellular target of necrostatins. Nat Chem Biol 4:313–321. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.83.
- Holler N, Zaru R, Micheau O, Thome M, Attinger A, Valitutti S, Bodmer JL, Schneider P, Seed B, Tschopp J. 2000. Fas triggers an alternative, caspase-8-independent cell death pathway using the kinase RIP as effector molecule. Nat Immunol 1:489–495. https://doi.org/10.1038/82732.
- Matsuda S, Gotoh Y, Nishida E. 1994. Signaling pathways mediated by the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase kinase/MAP kinase cascade. J Leukoc Biol 56:548–553. https://doi.org/10.1002/jlb.56.5.548.
- 22. Kyriakis JM, Avruch J. 1996. Protein kinase cascades activated by stress and inflammatory cytokines. Bioessays 18:567–577. https://doi.org/10 .1002/bies.950180708.
- 23. Sabio G, Davis RJ. 2014. TNF and MAP kinase signalling pathways. Semin Immunol 26:237–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2014.02.009.
- Foletta VC, Segal DH, Cohen DR. 1998. Transcriptional regulation in the immune system: all roads lead to AP-1. J Leukoc Biol 63:139–152. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jlb.63.2.139.
- Chuluunbaatar U, Roller R, Mohr I. 2012. Suppression of extracellular signal-regulated kinase activity in herpes simplex virus 1-infected cells by the Us3 protein kinase. J Virol 86:7771–7776. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI .00622-12.
- Shi J, Sun S. 2018. Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor regulation of nuclear factor κ B and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways. Front Immunol 9:1849. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01849.
- 27. Yuasa T, Ohno S, Kehrl JH, Kyriakis JM. 1998. Tumor necrosis factor signaling to stress-activated protein kinase (SAPK)/Jun NH2-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38. Germinal center kinase couples TRAF2 to mitogen-activated protein kinase/ERK kinase kinase 1 and SAPK while receptor interacting protein associates with a mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase upstream of MKK6 and p38. J Biol Chem 273:22681–22692. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.35.22681.
- Lee TH, Huang Q, Oikemus S, Shank J, Ventura J-J, Cusson N, Vaillancourt RR, Su B, Davis RJ, Kelliher MA. 2003. The death domain kinase RIP1 is essential for tumor necrosis factor alpha signaling to p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase. Mol Cell Biol 23:8377–8385. https://doi.org/10 .1128/MCB.23.22.8377-8385.2003.
- Fitzgerald KA, Palsson-McDermott EM, Bowie AG, Jefferies CA, Mansell AS, Brady G, Brint E, Dunne A, Gray P, Harte MT, McMurray D, Smith DE, Sims JE, Bird TA, O'Neill LA. 2001. Mal (MyD88-adapter-like) is required for Toll-like receptor-4 signal transduction. Nature 413:78–83. https://doi .org/10.1038/35092578.
- Oshiumi H, Matsumoto M, Funami K, Akazawa T, Seya T. 2003. TICAM-1, an adaptor molecule that participates in Toll-like receptor 3-mediated interferon-beta induction. Nat Immunol 4:161–167. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ni886.
- Meylan E, Burns K, Hofmann K, Blancheteau V, Martinon F, Kelliher M, Tschopp J. 2004. RIP1 is an essential mediator of Toll-like receptor 3induced NF κB activation. Nat Immunol 5:503–507. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ni1061.
- Kaiser WJ, Offermann MK. 2005. Apoptosis induced by the Toll-like receptor adaptor TRIF is dependent on its receptor interacting protein homotypic interaction motif. J Immunol 174:4942–4952. https://doi.org/10.4049/ jimmunol.174.8.4942.
- Sato S, Sugiyama M, Yamamoto M, Watanabe Y, Kawai T, Takeda K, Akira S. 2003. Toll/IL-1 receptor domain-containing adaptor inducing IFN-beta (TRIF) associates with TNF receptor-associated factor 6 and TANK-

binding kinase 1, and activates two distinct transcription factors, NF-kappa B and IFN-regulatory factor-3, in the Toll-like receptor signaling. J Immunol 171:4304–4310. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.8.4304.

- Sen GC, Sarkar SN. 2005. Transcriptional signaling by double-stranded RNA: role of TLR3. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 16:1–14. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2005.01.006.
- 35. Najjar M, Saleh D, Zelic M, Nogusa S, Shah S, Tai A, Finger JN, Polykratis A, Gough PJ, Bertin J, Whalen M, Pasparakis M, Balachandran S, Kelliher M, Poltorak A, Degterev A. 2016. RIPK1 and RIPK3 kinases promote cell-death-independent inflammation by Toll-like receptor 4. Immunity 45:46–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.06.007.
- Doyle S, Vaidya S, O'Connell R, Dadgostar H, Dempsey P, Wu T, Rao G, Sun R, Haberland M, Modlin R, Cheng G. 2002. IRF3 mediates a TLR3/ TLR4-specific antiviral gene program. Immunity 17:251–263. https://doi .org/10.1016/S1074-7613(02)00390-4.
- Cusson-Hermance N, Khurana S, Lee TH, Fitzgerald KA, Kelliher MA. 2005. Rip1 mediates the trif-dependent Toll-like receptor 3- and 4induced NF-κB activation but does not contribute to interferon regulatory factor 3 activation. J Biol Chem 280:36560–36566. https://doi.org/10 .1074/jbc.M506831200.
- 38. Saleh D, Najjar M, Zelic M, Shah S, Nogusa S, Polykratis A, Paczosa MK, Gough PJ, Bertin J, Whalen M, Fitzgerald KA, Slavov N, Pasparakis M, Balachandran S, Kelliher M, Mecsas J, Degterev A. 2017. Kinase activities of RIPK1 and RIPK3 can direct IFN-β synthesis induced by lipopolysaccharide. J Immunol 198:4435–4447. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601717.
- Brisse M, Ly H. 2019. Comparative structure and function analysis of the RIG-I-like receptors. Front Immunol 10:1586. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fimmu.2019.01586.
- Yoneyama M, Kikuchi M, Natsukawa T, Shinobu N, Imaizumi T, Miyagishi M, Taira K, Akira S, Fujita T. 2004. The RNA helicase RIG-I has an essential function in double-stranded RNA-induced innate antiviral responses. Nat Immunol 5:730–737. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1087.
- Kato H, Takeuchi O, Mikamo-Satoh E, Hirai R, Kawai T, Matsushita K, Hiiragi A, Dermody TS, Fujita T, Akira S. 2008. Length-dependent recognition of double-stranded ribonucleic acids by retinoic acid-inducible gene-I and melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5. J Exp Med 205:1601–1610. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20080091.
- Kato H, Takeuchi O, Sato S, Yoneyama M, Yamamoto M, Matsui K, Uematsu S, Jung A, Kawai T, Ishii KJ, Yamaguchi O, Otsu K, Tsujimura T, Koh C-S, Reis e Sousa C, Matsuura Y, Fujita T, Akira S. 2006. Differential roles of MDA5 and RIG-I helicases in the recognition of RNA viruses. Nature 441:101–105. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04734.
- Furr SR, Moerdyk-Schauwecker M, Grdzelishvili VZ, Marriott I. 2010. RIG-I mediates nonsegmented negative-sense RNA virus-induced inflammatory immune responses of primary human astrocytes. Glia 58:1620–1629. https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.21034.
- Spiropoulou CF, Ranjan P, Pearce MB, Sealy TK, Albariño CG, Gangappa S, Fujita T, Rollin PE, Nichol ST, Ksiazek TG, Sambhara S. 2009. RIG-I activation inhibits ebolavirus replication. Virology 392:11–15. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.virol.2009.06.032.
- van Kasteren PB, Beugeling C, Ninaber DK, Frias-Staheli N, van Boheemen S, García-Sastre A, Snijder EJ, Kikkert M. 2012. Arterivirus and nairovirus ovarian tumor domain-containing deubiquitinases target activated RIG-I to control innate immune signaling. J Virol 86:773–785. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JVI.06277-11.
- 46. Zhang Z, Filzmayer C, Ni Y, Sültmann H, Mutz P, Hiet M-S, Vondran FWR, Bartenschlager R, Urban S. 2018. Hepatitis D virus replication is sensed by MDA5 and induces IFN-β/λ responses in hepatocytes. J Hepatol 69:25–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.02.021.
- 47. Ye W, Chew M, Hou J, Lai F, Leopold SJ, Loo HL, Ghose A, Dutta AK, Chen Q, Ooi EE, White NJ, Dondorp AM, Preiser P, Chen J. 2018. Microvesicles from malaria-infected red blood cells activate natural killer cells via MDA5 pathway. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007298. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat .1007298.
- Yu C-Y, Liang J-J, Li J-K, Lee Y-L, Chang B-L, Su C-I, Huang W-J, Lai MMC, Lin Y-L. 2015. Dengue virus impairs mitochondrial fusion by cleaving mitofusins. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005350. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .ppat.1005350.
- Mibayashi M, Martínez-Sobrido L, Loo Y-M, Cárdenas WB, Gale MJ, García-Sastre A. 2007. Inhibition of retinoic acid-inducible gene I-mediated induction of beta interferon by the NS1 protein of influenza A virus. J Virol 81:514–524. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01265-06.
- Kawai T, Takahashi K, Sato S, Coban C, Kumar H, Kato H, Ishii KJ, Takeuchi O, Akira S. 2005. IPS-1, an adaptor triggering RIG-I- and Mda5-mediated

type I interferon induction. Nat Immunol 6:981–988. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ni1243.

- Weinlich R, Green DR. 2014. The two faces of receptor interacting protein kinase-1. Mol Cell 56:469–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.11 .001.
- Balachandran S, Thomas E, Barber GN. 2004. A FADD-dependent innate immune mechanism in mammalian cells. Nature 432:401–405. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature03124.
- Rajput A, Kovalenko A, Bogdanov K, Yang S-H, Kang T-B, Kim J-C, Du J, Wallach D. 2011. RIG-I RNA helicase activation of irf3 transcription factor is negatively regulated by caspase-8-mediated cleavage of the RIP1 protein. Immunity 34:340–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.12.018.
- Mikkelsen SS, Jensen SB, Chiliveru S, Melchjorsen J, Julkunen I, Gaestel M, Arthur JSC, Flavell RA, Ghosh S, Paludan SR. 2009. RIG-I-mediated activation of p38 MAPK is essential for viral induction of interferon and activation of dendritic cells. Dependence on TRAF2 and TAK1. J Biol Chem 284:10774–10782. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M807272200.
- 55. Takaoka A, Wang Z, Choi MK, Yanai H, Negishi H, Ban T, Lu Y, Miyagishi M, Kodama T, Honda K, Ohba Y, Taniguchi T. 2007. DAI (DLM-1/ZBP1) is a cytosolic DNA sensor and an activator of innate immune response. Nature 448:501–505. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06013.
- Upton JW, Kaiser WJ, Mocarski ES. 2012. DAI/ZBP1/DLM-1 complexes with RIP3 to mediate virus-induced programmed necrosis that is targeted by murine cytomegalovirus vIRA. Cell Host Microbe 11:290–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2012.01.016.
- 57. Thapa RJ, Ingram JP, Ragan KB, Nogusa S, Boyd DF, Benitez AA, Sridharan H, Kosoff R, Shubina M, Landsteiner VJ, Andrake M, Vogel P, Sigal LJ, tenOever BR, Thomas PG, Upton JW, Balachandran S. 2016. DAI senses influenza A virus genomic RNA and activates RIPK3-dependent cell death. Cell Host Microbe 20:674–681. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom .2016.09.014.
- Maelfait J, Liverpool L, Bridgeman A, Ragan KB, Upton JW, Rehwinkel J. 2017. Sensing of viral and endogenous RNA by ZBP1/DAI induces necroptosis. EMBO J 36:2529–2543. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201796476.
- Zhang T, Yin C, Boyd DF, Quarato G, Ingram JP, Shubina M, Ragan KB, Ishizuka T, Crawford JC, Tummers B, Rodriguez DA, Xue J, Peri S, Kaiser WJ, López CB, Xu Y, Upton JW, Thomas PG, Green DR, Balachandran S. 2020. Influenza virus Z-RNAs induce ZBP1-mediated necroptosis. Cell 180:1115–1129.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.050.
- Clancy DM, Martin SJ. 2016. Getting a gRIP on flu by casting the DAI. Cell Host Microbe 20:552–554. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.10.013.
- Rebsamen M, Heinz LX, Meylan E, Michallet M-C, Schroder K, Hofmann K, Vazquez J, Benedict CA, Tschopp J. 2009. DAI/ZBP1 recruits RIP1 and RIP3 through RIP homotypic interaction motifs to activate NF-κB. EMBO Rep 10:916–922. https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2009.109.
- 62. Kuriakose T, Man SM, Malireddi RKS, Karki R, Kesavardhana S, Place DE, Neale G, Vogel P, Kanneganti T-D. 2016 Aug. ZBP1/DAI is an innate sensor of influenza virus triggering the NLRP3 inflammasome and programmed cell death pathways. Sci Immunol 1:aag2045. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciimmunol.aag2045.
- 63. Daniels BP, Kofman SB, Smith JR, Norris GT, Snyder AG, Kolb JP, Gao X, Locasale JW, Martinez J, Gale M, Loo Y-M, Oberst A. 2019. The nucleotide sensor ZBP1 and kinase RIPK3 induce the enzyme IRG1 to promote an antiviral metabolic state in neurons. Immunity 50:64–76.e4. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.11.017.
- 64. Ang RL, Chan M, Ting AT. 2019. Ripoptocide: a spark for inflammation. Front Cell Dev Biol 7:163. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00163.
- Jorgensen I, Rayamajhi M, Miao EA. 2017. Programmed cell death as a defence against infection. Nat Rev Immunol 17:151–164. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nri.2016.147.
- Orzalli MH, Kagan JC. 2017. Apoptosis and necroptosis as host defense strategies to prevent viral infection. Trends Cell Biol 27:800–809. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2017.05.007.
- 67. Ofengeim D, Yuan J. 2013. Regulation of RIP1 kinase signalling at the crossroads of inflammation and cell death. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 14:727–736. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3683.
- 68. Feoktistova M, Geserick P, Kellert B, Dimitrova DP, Langlais C, Hupe M, Cain K, MacFarlane M, Häcker G, Leverkus M. 2011. cIAPs block Ripoptosome formation, a RIP1/caspase-8 containing intracellular cell death complex differentially regulated by cFLIP isoforms. Mol Cell 43:449–463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2011.06.011.
- Tenev T, Bianchi K, Darding M, Broemer M, Langlais C, Wallberg F, Zachariou A, Lopez J, MacFarlane M, Cain K, Meier P. 2011. The Ripoptosome, a signaling platform that assembles in response to genotoxic

stress and loss of IAPs. Mol Cell 43:432–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .molcel.2011.06.006.

- Lin Y, Devin A, Rodriguez Y, Liu ZG. 1999. Cleavage of the death domain kinase RIP by Caspase-8 prompts TNF-induced apoptosis. Genes Dev 13:2514–2526. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.13.19.2514.
- Vandenabeele P, Galluzzi L, Vanden Berghe T, Kroemer G. 2010. Molecular mechanisms of necroptosis: an ordered cellular explosion. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11:700–714. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2970.
- Kaiser WJ, Sridharan H, Huang C, Mandal P, Upton JW, Gough PJ, Sehon CA, Marquis RW, Bertin J, Mocarski ES. 2013. Toll-like receptor 3-mediated necrosis via TRIF, RIP3, and MLKL. J Biol Chem 288:31268–31279. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.462341.
- Dhuriya YK, Sharma D. 2018. Necroptosis: a regulated inflammatory mode of cell death. J Neuroinflammation 15:199. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974 -018-1235-0.
- Cho YS, Challa S, Moquin D, Genga R, Ray TD, Guildford M, Chan FK-M. 2009. Phosphorylation-driven assembly of the RIP1-RIP3 complex regulates programmed necrosis and virus-induced inflammation. Cell 137:1112–1123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.05.037.
- He S, Liang Y, Shao F, Wang X. 2011. Toll-like receptors activate programmed necrosis in macrophages through a receptor-interacting kinase-3-mediated pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:20054–20059. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116302108.
- Johnston A, Wang Z. 2018. Necroptosis: MLKL polymerization. J Nat Sci 4:e513.
- 77. de Almagro MC, Goncharov T, Izrael-Tomasevic A, Duttler S, Kist M, Varfolomeev E, Wu X, Lee WP, Murray J, Webster JD, Yu K, Kirkpatrick DS, Newton K, Vucic D. 2017. Coordinated ubiquitination and phosphorylation of RIP1 regulates necroptotic cell death. Cell Death Differ 24:26–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2016.78.
- Guo H, Gilley RP, Fisher A, Lane R, Landsteiner VJ, Ragan KB, Dovey CM, Carette JE, Upton JW, Mocarski ES, Kaiser WJ. 2018. Species-independent contribution of ZBP1/DAI/DLM-1-triggered necroptosis in host defense against HSV1. Cell Death Dis 9:816. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018 -0868-3.
- Lin J, Kumari S, Kim C, Van T-M, Wachsmuth L, Polykratis A, Pasparakis M. 2016. RIPK1 counteracts ZBP1-mediated necroptosis to inhibit inflammation. Nature [Internet] 540:124–128. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20558.
- Newton K, Wickliffe KE, Maltzman A, Dugger DL, Strasser A, Pham VC, Lill JR, Roose-Girma M, Warming S, Solon M, Ngu H, Webster JD, Dixit VM. 2016. RIPK1 inhibits ZBP1-driven necroptosis during development. Nature 540:129–133. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20559.
- Suda J, Dara L, Yang L, Aghajan M, Song Y, Kaplowitz N, Liu Z-X. 2016. Knockdown of RIPK1 markedly exacerbates murine immune-mediated liver injury through massive apoptosis of hepatocytes, independent of necroptosis and inhibition of NF-κB. J Immunol 197:3120–3129. https:// doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600690.
- Farooq M, Filliol A, Simoes Eugénio M, Piquet-Pellorce C, Dion S, Raguenes-Nicol C, Jan A, Dimanche-Boitrel M-T, Le Seyec J, Samson M. 2019. Depletion of RIPK1 in hepatocytes exacerbates liver damage in fulminant viral hepatitis. Cell Death Dis 10:12. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41419-018-1277-3.
- Whitley RJ. 1996. Herpesviruses. *In* Baron S (ed), Medical microbiology, 4th ed. University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Galveston, TX. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK8157/.
- Gottlieb SL, Giersing BK, Hickling J, Jones R, Deal C, Kaslow DC, HSV Vaccine Expert Consultation Group. 2019. Meeting report: initial World Health Organization consultation on herpes simplex virus (HSV) vaccine preferred product characteristics, March 2017. Vaccine 37:7408–7418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.10.084.
- Rafailidis PI, Mourtzoukou EG, Varbobitis IC, Falagas ME. 2008. Severe cytomegalovirus infection in apparently immunocompetent patients: a systematic review. Virol J 5:47. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-5-47.
- Hjalgrim H, Askling J, Rostgaard K, Hamilton-Dutoit S, Frisch M, Zhang J-S, Madsen M, Rosdahl N, Konradsen HB, Storm HH, Melbye M. 2003. Characteristics of Hodgkin's lymphoma after infectious mononucleosis. N Engl J Med 349:1324–1332. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa023141.
- Huang Z, Wu S-Q, Liang Y, Zhou X, Chen W, Li L, Wu J, Zhuang Q, Chen C, Li J, Zhong C-Q, Xia W, Zhou R, Zheng C, Han J. 2015. RIP1/RIP3 binding to HSV-1 ICP6 initiates necroptosis to restrict virus propagation in mice. Cell Host Microbe 17:229–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.002.
- Wang X, Li Y, Liu S, Yu X, Li L, Shi C, He W, Li J, Xu L, Hu Z, Yu L, Yang Z, Chen Q, Ge L, Zhang Z, Zhou B, Jiang X, Chen S, He S. 2014. Direct activation of RIP3/MLKL-dependent necrosis by herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1)

- Lamark T, Johansen T. 2012. Aggrephagy: selective disposal of protein aggregates by macroautophagy. Int J Cell Biol 2012;736905. https://doi .org/10.1155/2012/736905.
- Muscolino E, Schmitz R, Loroch S, Caragliano E, Schneider C, Rizzato M, Kim Y-H, Krause E, Lisnić VJ, Sickmann A, Reimer R, Ostermann E, Brune W. 2020. Herpesviruses induce aggregation and selective autophagy of host signalling proteins NEMO and RIPK1 as an immune-evasion mechanism. Nat Microbiol 5:331–342. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0624-1.
- Guo H, Omoto S, Harris PA, Finger JN, Bertin J, Gough PJ, Kaiser WJ, Mocarski ES. 2015. Herpes simplex virus suppresses necroptosis in human cells. Cell Host Microbe 17:243–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015 .01.003.
- Ali M, Roback L, Mocarski ES, Samuel CE. 2019. Herpes simplex virus 1 ICP6 impedes TNF receptor 1-induced necrosome assembly during compartmentalization to detergent-resistant membrane vesicles. J Biol Chem 294:991–1004. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.004651.
- 93. Kwon KM, Oh SE, Kim YE, Han T-H, Ahn J-H. 2017. Cooperative inhibition of RIP1-mediated NF-κB signaling by cytomegalovirus-encoded deubiquitinase and inactive homolog of cellular ribonucleotide reductase large subunit. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006423. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .ppat.1006423.
- Krmpotic A, Bubic I, Polic B, Lucin P, Jonjic S. 2003. Pathogenesis of murine cytomegalovirus infection. Microbes Infect 5:1263–1277. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.micinf.2003.09.007.
- Upton JW, Kaiser WJ, Mocarski ES. 2008. Cytomegalovirus M45 cell death suppression requires receptor-interacting protein (RIP) homotypic interaction motif (RHIM)-dependent interaction with RIP1. J Biol Chem 283:16966–16970. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C800051200.
- 96. Mack C, Sickmann A, Lembo D, Brune W. 2008. Inhibition of proinflammatory and innate immune signaling pathways by a cytomegalovirus RIP1-interacting protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:3094–3099. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0800168105.
- 97. Liu X, Li Y, Peng S, Yu X, Li W, Shi F, Luo X, Tang M, Tan Z, Bode AM, Cao Y. 2018. Epstein-Barr virus encoded latent membrane protein 1 suppresses necroptosis through targeting RIPK1/3 ubiquitination. Cell Death Dis 9:53. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-017-0081-9.
- Laherty CD, Hu HM, Opipari AW, Wang F, Dixit VM. 1992. The Epstein-Barr virus LMP1 gene product induces A20 zinc finger protein expression by activating nuclear factor kappa B. J Biol Chem 267:24157–24160. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)35741-7.
- Wertz IE, O'Rourke KM, Zhou H, Eby M, Aravind L, Seshagiri S, Wu P, Wiesmann C, Baker R, Boone DL, Ma A, Koonin EV, Dixit VM. 2004. De-ubiquitination and ubiquitin ligase domains of A20 downregulate NF-kappaB signalling. Nature 430:694–699. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02794.
- Gould EA, Solomon T. 2008. Pathogenic flaviviruses. Lancet (London, England 371:500–509. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60238-X.
- 101. May FJ, Davis CT, Tesh RB, Barrett ADT. 2011. Phylogeography of West Nile virus: from the cradle of evolution in Africa to Eurasia, Australia, and the Americas. J Virol 85:2964–2974. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01963-10.
- 102. Sejvar JJ. 2014. Clinical manifestations and outcomes of West Nile virus infection. Viruses 6:606–623. https://doi.org/10.3390/v6020606.
- 103. Coyne CB, Lazear HM. 2016. Zika virus: reigniting the TORCH. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:707–715. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.125.
- 104. Hygino da Cruz LC, Nascimento OJM, Lopes FPPL, da Silva IRF. 2018. Neuroimaging findings of Zika virus-associated neurologic complications in adults. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 39:1967–1974. https://doi.org/10 .3174/ajnr.A5649.
- Daniels BP, Snyder AG, Olsen TM, Orozco S, Oguin TH, Tait SWG, Martinez J, Gale M, Loo Y-M, Oberst A. 2017. RIPK3 restricts viral pathogenesis via cell death-independent neuroinflammation. Cell 169:301–313.e11. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.011.
- Vogt VM. 1997. Retroviral virions and genomes. *In* Coffin JM, Hughes SH, Varmus HE (ed), Retroviruses. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK19454/.
- 107. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, Devon K, Dewar K, Doyle M, FitzHugh W, Funke R, Gage D, Harris K, Heaford A, Howland J, Kann L, Lehoczky J, LeVine R, McEwan P, McKernan K, Meldrim J, Mesirov JP, Miranda C, Morris W, Naylor J, Raymond C, Rosetti M, Santos R, Sheridan A, Sougnez C, Stange-Thomann Y, Stojanovic N, Subramanian A, Wyman D, Rogers J, Sulston J, Ainscough R, Beck S, Bentley D, Burton J, Clee C, Carter N, Coulson A, Deadman R, Deloukas P, Dunham A, Dunham I, Durbin R, French L, Grafham D, International

Human Genome Sequencing Consortium, et al. 2001. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature 409:860–921. https://doi .org/10.1038/35057062.

- 108. Weiss RA. 1996. Retrovirus classification and cell interactions. J Antimicrob Chemother 37(Suppl B):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/37.suppl_b.1.
- 109. UNAIDS. 2018. Global AIDS update. UNAIDS, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 110. Yoshida M, Miyoshi I, Hinuma Y. 1982. Isolation and characterization of retrovirus from cell lines of human adult T-cell leukemia and its implication in the disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 79:2031–2035. https://doi .org/10.1073/pnas.79.6.2031.
- 111. Nakayama D, Katamine S, Kanazawa H, Shibuya N, Kawase K, Moriuchi R, Miyamoto T, Hino S. 1990. Amplification of HTLV-1-related sequences among patients with neurological disorders in highly endemic Nagasaki: lack of evidence for association of HTLV-1 with multiple sclerosis. Jpn J Cancer Res 81:238–246. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.1990.tb02556.x.
- Ozen A, Haliloğlu T, Schiffer CA. 2011. Dynamics of preferential substrate recognition in HIV-1 protease: redefining the substrate envelope. J Mol Biol 410:726–744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2011.03.053.
- Wagner RN, Reed JC, Chanda SK. 2015. HIV-1 protease cleaves the serine-threonine kinases RIPK1 and RIPK2. Retrovirology 12:1–16. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12977-015-0200-6.
- 114. Tanaka A, Takahashi C, Yamaoka S, Nosaka T, Maki M, Hatanaka M. 1990. Oncogenic transformation by the tax gene of human T-cell leukemia virus type I in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87:1071–1075. https://doi .org/10.1073/pnas.87.3.1071.
- 115. Hyun J, Ramos JC, Toomey N, Balachandran S, Lavorgna A, Harhaj E, Barber GN. 2015. Oncogenic human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 tax suppression of primary innate immune signaling pathways. J Virol 89:4880–4893. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02493-14.
- Sun S-C, Yamaoka S. 2005. Activation of NF-kappaB by HTLV-I and implications for cell transformation. Oncogene 24:5952–5964. https://doi .org/10.1038/sj.onc.1208969.
- 117. Jacobs SE, Lamson DM, St George K, Walsh TJ. 2013. Human rhinoviruses. Clin Microbiol Rev 26:135–162. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00077-12.
- McIntyre CL, Knowles NJ, Simmonds P. 2013. Proposals for the classification of human rhinovirus species A, B and C into genotypically assigned types. J Gen Virol 94:1791–1806. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.053686-0.
- 119. Fuchs R, Blaas D. 2010. Uncoating of human rhinoviruses. Rev Med Virol 20:281–297. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.654.
- 120. Croft SN, Walker EJ, Ghildyal R. 2018. Human rhinovirus 3C protease cleaves RIPK1, concurrent with caspase 8 activation. Sci Rep 8:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19839-4.
- Regnery RL, Johnson KM, Kiley MP. 1980. Virion nucleic acid of Ebola virus. J Virol 36:465–469. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.36.2.465-469.1980.
- 122. Gupta M, Mahanty S, Ahmed R, Rollin PE. 2001. Monocyte-derived human macrophages and peripheral blood mononuclear cells infected

with Ebola virus secrete MIP-1alpha and TNF-alpha and inhibit poly-ICinduced IFN-alpha in vitro. Virology 284:20–25. https://doi.org/10.1006/

- viro.2001.0836.
 123. Harcourt BH, Sanchez A, Offermann MK. 1999. Ebola virus selectively inhibits responses to interferons, but not to interleukin-1beta, in endothelial cells. J Virol 73:3491–3496. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.4.3491 -3496.1999.
- 124. Teng Y, Wang Y, Zhang X, Liu W, Fan H, Yao H. 2015. Systematic genomewide screening and prediction of microRNAs in EBOV during the 2014 ebolavirus outbreak. Sci Rep 5:9912. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09912.
- 125. Jacobs BL, Langland JO, Kibler KV, Denzler KL, White SD, Holechek SA, Wong S, Huynh T, Baskin CR. 2009. Vaccinia virus vaccines: past, present and future. Antiviral Res 84:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2009 .06.006.
- 126. Li M, Beg AA. 2000. Induction of necrotic-like cell death by tumor necrosis factor alpha and caspase inhibitors: novel mechanism for killing virus-infected cells. J Virol 74:7470–7477. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.74.16 .7470-7477.2000.
- 127. Polykratis A, Hermance N, Zelic M, Roderick J, Kim C, Van T-M, Lee TH, Chan FKM, Pasparakis M, Kelliher MA. 2014. Cutting edge: RIPK1 kinase inactive mice are viable and protected from TNF-induced necroptosis in vivo. J Immunol 193:1539–1543. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400590.
- 128. Lee TH, Shank J, Cusson N, Kelliher MA. 2004. The kinase activity of Rip1 is not required for tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced IkappaB kinase or p38 MAP kinase activation or for the ubiquitination of Rip1 by Traf2. J Biol Chem 279:33185–33191. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M404206200.
- 129. Gordon DE, Jang GM, Bouhaddou M, Xu J, Obernier K, White KM, O'Meara MJ, Rezelj VV, Guo JZ, Swaney DL, Tummino TA, Hüttenhain R, Kaake RM, Richards AL, Tutuncuoglu B, Foussard H, Batra J, Haas K, Modak M, Kim M, Haas P, Polacco BJ, Braberg H, Fabius JM, Eckhardt M, Soucheray M, Bennett MJ, Cakir M, McGregor MJ, Li Q, Meyer B, Roesch F, Vallet T, Mac Kain A, Miorin L, Moreno E, Naing ZZC, Zhou Y, Peng S, Shi Y, Zhang Z, Shen W, Kirby IT, Melnyk JE, Chorba JS, Lou K, Dai SA, Barrio-Hernandez I, Memon D, Hernandez-Armenta C, et al. 2020. A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. Nature 583:459–468. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2286-9.
- Belizário J, Vieira-Cordeiro L, Enns S. 2015. Necroptotic cell death signaling and execution pathway: lessons from knockout mice. Mediators Inflamm 2015:128076. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/128076.
- 131. Shubina M, Tummers B, Boyd DF, Zhang T, Yin C, Gautam A, Guo XJ, Rodriguez DA, Kaiser WJ, Vogel P, Green DR, Thomas PG, Balachandran S. 2020. Necroptosis restricts influenza A virus as a stand-alone cell death mechanism. J Exp Med 217:459–468. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20191259.
- 132. Balachandran S, Rall F. 2020. Benefits and perils of necroptosis in influenza virus infection. J Virol 94:e01101-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI .01101-19.