© 2016 The Authors. Clinical & Experimental Allergy Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Mast cells are permissive for rhinovirus replication: potential implications for asthma exacerbations

C. Akoto¹, D. E. Davies^{1,2} and E. J. Swindle^{1,2}

¹Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, UK and ²NIHR Southampton Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, UK

Summary

Experimental Allergy

Clinical

Correspondence:

Emily J. Swindle, Rm LF73, MPT 810, South Academic Block, Level F, Academic Unit of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK. E-mail: e.j.swindle@soton.ac.uk *Cite this as:* C. Akoto, D. E. Davies and E. J. Swindle, *Clinical & Experimental Allergy*, 2017 (47) 351–360.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. *Background* Human rhinoviruses (HRVs) are a major trigger of asthma exacerbations, with the bronchial epithelium being the major site of HRV infection and replication. Mast cells (MCs) play a key role in asthma where their numbers are increased in the bronchial epithelium with increasing disease severity.

Objective In view of the emerging role of MCs in innate immunity and increased localization to the asthmatic bronchial epithelium, we investigated whether HRV infection of MCs generated innate immune responses which were protective against infection. *Methods* The LAD2 MC line or primary human cord blood-derived MCs (CBMCs) were infected with HRV or UV-irradiated HRV at increasing multiplicities of infection (MOI) without or with IFN-β or IFN-λ. After 24 h, innate immune responses were assessed by RT-qPCR and IFN protein release by ELISA. Viral replication was determined by RT-qPCR and virion release by TCID₅₀ assay.

Results HRV infection of LAD2 MCs induced expression of IFN- β , IFN- λ and IFN-stimulated genes. However, LAD2 MCs were permissive for HRV replication and release of infectious HRV particles. Similar findings were observed with CBMCs. Neutralization of the type I IFN receptor had minimal effects on viral shedding, suggesting that endogenous type I IFN signalling offered limited protection against HRV. However, augmentation of these responses by exogenous IFN- β , but not IFN- λ , protected MCs against HRV infection. *Conclusion and Clinical Relevance* MCs are permissive for the replication and release of HRV, which is prevented by exogenous IFN- β treatment. Taken together, these findings suggest a novel mechanism whereby MCs may contribute to HRV-induced asthma exacerbations.

Keywords asthma, interferon, innate immunity, mast cells, virus Submitted 2 September 2016; revised 28 October 2016; accepted 22 November 2016

Introduction

Asthma is a complex and heterogeneous chronic respiratory disease affecting over 300 million people worldwide [1]. It is characterized by airway inflammation and variable and reversible airway obstruction resulting in symptoms of wheeze, chest tightness and shortness of breath [2]. Human rhinoviruses (HRVs) are a major risk factor for asthma development in early life [3] and are the major cause of viral-induced exacerbations of asthma [4, 5]. There are over 100 serotypes of HRV which fall into three species (HRV-A, HRV-B and HRV-C) that use different receptors to enter their target cell [6]. The majority of HRV-A and all of HRV-B use ICAM-1 (major group), the remaining HRV-A use members of the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) family (minor group) [7], and the recently discovered HRV-C species uses cadherin-related family member 3 (CDHR3) [8]. A number of cell types are susceptible to HRV infection, including the airway epithelium, which is the principal site of HRV replication [9], and innate immune cells such as macrophages [10, 11] and dendritic cells [12]. These cells detect HRVs via a number of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which trigger immune responses including the expression of type I and III IFNs, cytokines and chemokines [13, 14]. IFNs induce a range of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) via which they mediate their antiviral activities [15]. Deficiencies in IFN production have been reported in bronchial epithelial cells and bronchoalveolar lavage macrophages from asthmatic subjects [16–18]; however, this may relate to severity of disease [19, 20].

Mast cells (MCs) are tissue-resident innate immune cells found predominantly in vascularized tissues, which interface with the external environment including the skin, gastrointestinal tract and the airways [21]. They are bone marrow-derived haematopoietic cells, which are classically associated with the early-phase allergic reaction in asthma [22]. In asthma, MC numbers are increased in the bronchial epithelium, submucosal glands and bronchial smooth muscle where they have an activated phenotype [23-25]. Recent studies have shown that MC location and phenotype change with increasing asthma severity and are closely related to Th2 biomarkers [26-29]. During experimental HRV infection, MC numbers are increased in the bronchial epithelium of asthmatics [30] putting them in close proximity to the major site of HRV replication where they may contribute to viral immunity during HRVinduced asthma exacerbations.

Aside from their well-established roles in allergic disorders, MCs are ideally placed within the airways to act as sentinels of the immune system and protect the body from invading pathogens. MCs express a range of PRRs including TLRs, retinoic acid-inducible gene (RIG)-I-like family receptors and NOD-like receptors and have roles in immunity to parasite and bacterial infections [31]. Following bacterial exposure, MCs release cytokines and chemokines that recruit and activate effector cells including neutrophils which clear the infection and dendritic cells which induce acquired immune responses [32]. While MCs play a key role in innate immunity towards parasites and bacteria, their role in viral immunity is less clear. In response to dengue virus, MCs release chemokines and cytokines, which recruit NK cells and cytotoxic T cells [33-35], and MCs release IFNs following TLR3 activation, influenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [36] and sendai virus infection (a murine virus used to model human parainfluenza virus infection) [37]. Following RSV infection, cord blood-derived MCs (CBMCs) increase type I IFN expression and release CXCL10 [interferon gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10)], CCL5 [regulated on activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES)] and CCL4 [macrophage inflammatory protein-1 β (MIP-1 β)], which are associated with NK cell, T cell and monocyte recruitment, respectively [38]. However in mouse models of influenza A virus (IAV) infection, MC-deficient mice are less susceptible to influenza-induced weight loss than MC knock-in mice, suggesting MC activity was detrimental during the infection [39]. Regarding HRV, there is only a single study, which has demonstrated the immature HMC-1

cell line can be infected with HRV14; however, this did not trigger any responses unless the cells were also challenged with other stimuli [40].

In view of the importance of HRV-induced asthma exacerbations and the localization of MCs within asthmatic airways [25], we have investigated the innate immune response of mature LAD2 MCs or primary CBMCs to major and minor group HRV exposure and determined their susceptibility to infection. Exposure of human MCs to HRV induced increases in type I IFNs, ISGs and inflammatory mediators. However, MCs were susceptible to HRV infection and were permissive for viral replication and production of infectious virus particles. Exogenous IFN- β treatment was protective against infection and this may have important consequences in moderate/severe asthma where epithelial IFN responses are impaired [16, 41].

Methods

Reagents

Human IFN- β and IFN- λ 1 were purchased from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC, Potters Bar, UK), and stem cell factor (SCF), IL-6 and IL-3 were purchased from Peprotech (London, UK). Mouse anti-human IFN- α/β R chain 2 antibody (anti-IFNAR2, IgG2a, clone MMHAR2) was purchased from PBL assay science (Piscataway, USA) and mouse IgG2a isotype control was purchased from R&D systems (Abingdon, UK). Unless otherwise stated, all other cell culture medium and reagents were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Inchinnan, UK).

Cell culture

The human MC line LAD2 [42] was maintained in StemPro[®]-34 serum-free medium supplemented with SCF (100 ng/mL), L-glutamine (2 mM), penicillin (100 U/mL) and streptomycin (100 μ g/mL). Culture medium was replenished weekly by hemidepletion. Cells were > 99% positive for CD117 and FccRI expression as determined by flow cytometry.

Human cord blood-derived MCs (CBMCs) were derived from CD34⁺ cord blood mononuclear cells (Stemcell Technologies, Grenoble, France). CD34⁺ cells were maintained in StemPro[®]-34 medium supplemented with IL-3 (30 ng/mL, 1st week only), IL-6 (100 ng/mL) and SCF (100 ng/mL) for a minimum of 8 weeks. CBMCs were > 99% pure by flow cytometric analysis of CD117 expression.

The human bronchial epithelial cell (BEC) line, 16HBE-14o- (16HBE) [43], was maintained in MEM-GlutaMaxTM supplemented with FBS (10% v/v), penicillin (100 U/mL) and streptomycin (100 μ g/mL) (16HBE medium) and seeded in six-well plates precoated with collagen (30 μ g/mL; Advanced BioMetrix, San Diego, USA) prior to use in experiments.

Human rhinovirus stocks

RV16 (major group) and RV1B (minor group) stocks were generated using HeLa cells as previously described [44]. Virus titres of cell-free supernatant stocks were determined by tissue culture infective dose 50% (TCID₅₀)/mL according to the Spearman–Karber method. Controls of UV-irradiated HRV (1200 mJ/cm² on ice for 50 min) were included in all experiments.

Human rhinovirus infection and treatment of cells

LAD2 MCs or CBMCs (0.5×10^6 cells/mL) were infected with RV16 or RV1B (multiplicity of infection (MOI) 0.3, 3 or 7.5) or UV-HRV (MOI 7.5) or treated with HRV infection medium [MEM-Glutamax[™]plus FBS (4% (v/v)), nonessential amino acids (1% (v/v)), penicillin (100 U/mL)/streptomycin (100 µg/mL), HEPES (16 mm), NaHCO₃ (0.12% (v/v)), tryptose (0.118% (v/v)) and MgCl₂ (0.3 mM)] (IM; mock infection) as a control for 1 h before washing with StemPro®-34 medium to remove excess virus. Cells were then incubated at 37°C and cellfree supernatants and cell pellets harvested at 24 h. Cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion. As a positive control, 16HBE cells were infected with RV16 (MOI 0.3, 3 or 7.5), UV-RV16 (MOI 7.5) or HRV infection medium following overnight starvation in 16HBE medium with 2% (v/v) FBS. In selected experiments, cells were treated with IFN- β (100 IU/mL) or IFN- λ 1 (100 IU/ mL) at the time of RV16 infection or pretreated with anti-IFNAR2 antibody (1 µg/mL) or IgG2a isotype control (1 μ g/mL) 1 h prior to RV16 infection.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated [Trizol reagent or Qiagen RNeasy mini kit (Manchester, UK)] and treated for genomic DNA contamination prior to quantification and reverse transcription to cDNA (Primerdesign, Southampton, UK). For each quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR), cDNA (12.5 ng) was mixed with PCR master mix containing primer/fluorogenic probes (IFNB1, IFNL1, interferon regulatory factor-7 (IRF7), MX dynamin-like GTPase 1 (MX1), melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5), CXCL10, CCL5, RV16, RV1B, cadherinrelated family member 3 (CDHR3) or the housekeeping genes (HKGs) GAPDH and ubiquitin C (UBC) for detection of specific amplification products or primer/SYBR green intercalating dye (2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthase 1 (OAS1) for detection of double-stranded amplification products as designed by the manufacturer [Primerdesign,

Southampton, UK, or Thermo Fisher Scientific (CDHR only)]. All reactions were performed in duplicate for 50 cycles and gene expression analysed using a real-time PCR iCycler (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, UK). For SYBR green detection-based reactions, melt curves were performed to ensure single PCR product formation. Gene expression was normalized to the geometric means of HKGs and fold changes calculated relative to UV-HRV controls according to the $^{\Delta\Delta}$ Ct method and expressed as $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. Viral RNA copy number was determined against a standard curve of known copies of RV16 or RV1B (Primerdesign, Southampton, UK).

ELISA

IFN-β and IFN-λ protein was quantified in concentrated cell-free supernatants by ELISA according to the manufacturer's instructions (IFN-λ 1/3; R&D systems, Abingdon, UK. IFN-β; MSD, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Supernatants were concentrated (4×) using 3000 nominal MW limit ultrafiltration units (Merck Millipore, Watford, UK).

Statistical analysis

Paired nonparametric data were analysed with Friedman repeated-measures one-way ANOVA by ranks with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons or Wilcoxon signed rank test for matched pair comparisons. Unpaired non-parametric data were analysed with Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons or Mann–Whitney ranked sum test for matched pair comparisons. Data are presented as box and whisker plots showing the median, interquartile range and minimum and maximum values or as floating bars showing median and range. Normalized data were analysed by Student's *t*-test and are presented as mean \pm SEM. All data were analysed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

The human mast cell line LAD2 mounts an innate immune response to human rhinovirus infection

To investigate the role of MCs in HRV immunity, LAD2 MCs were exposed to HRV or UV-HRV (as a control) and innate immune responses assessed by RT-qPCR after 24 h. Exposure to the major group virus, RV16, resulted in a significant MOI-dependent increase in mRNA expression of the type I and type III IFNs, *IFNB1* and *IFNL1*, respectively (Fig. 1a). There was also a trend for increased IFN- β and IFN- λ protein release, as detected by ELISA, but this failed to reach statistical





Fig. 1. RV16-induced IFN responses in LAD2 MCs. LAD2 MCs were exposed to RV16 MOI 0.3, 3 or 7.5 or UV-RV16 MOI 7.5 (control). Cell pellets and cell-free supernatants were harvested for gene and protein expression by RT-qPCR and ELISA, respectively. (a) *IFNB1* and *IFNL1* mRNA expression 24 h post-RV16 infection, n = 5. (b) IFN- β and IFN- λ protein expression 24 h post-RV16 infection, n = 5. Results are box and whisker plots showing the median, interquartile range and min and max values, * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$ vs. UV-RV16. MOI, multiplicity of infection. b.d., below limit of detection.

significance (Fig. 1b). There was minimal induction of IFN mRNA or protein with UV-HRV MOI 7.5 [median fold change, *IFNB1*, 1.3 (IQR 0.6–1.4), *IFNL1*, 1.1 (IQR 1.0–1.2)] or mock infection (median fold change, *IFNB1*, 1.2 (IQR 0.9–1.8), *IFNL1*, 2.3 (IQR 0.6–11), data not shown), indicating that virus replication was required to induce the observed responses. In control experiments, RV16 did not induce MC degranulation (Fig. S1). Similar results were obtained with RV1B (minor group virus; Fig. S2a).

In parallel with the upregulation of IFNs, we also observed significant upregulation of antiviral genes following exposure of LAD2 MCs to HRV. This included the MOI-dependent induction of *MDA5*, *MX1*, *IRF7* and *OAS1* following exposure to RV16 (Fig. 2a). Additionally mRNA transcripts for the inflammatory mediators

Fig. 2. RV16-induced innate immune responses in LAD2 MCs. LAD2 MCs were exposed to RV16 at MOI 0.3, 3 or 7.5 or UV-RV16 MOI 7.5 (control). Cell pellets were harvested for gene expression by RT-qPCR. (a) mRNA expression of interferon-stimulated genes (*MDA5*, *MX1*, *IRF7* and *OAS1*) and (b) chemokines (*CXCL10* and *CCL5*) 24 h post-RV16 infection. Results are box and whisker plots showing the median, interquartile range and min and max values, n = 5, $*P \le 0.05$, $**P \le 0.01$ vs. UV-RV16. MOI, multiplicity of infection.

CXCL10 and *CCL5* were also induced (Fig. 2b). In all cases, induction of ISG transcripts was dependent on viral replication as a lack of induction was observed with mock infection or UV-HRV (MOI 7.5) controls. Similar results were obtained with RV1B (Fig. S2b,c).

The human mast cell line LAD2 is permissive for human rhinovirus replication and releases infectious virus particles

Our data demonstrated that the innate immune responses of LAD2 MCs to HRV was dependent on viral replication as these responses were not observed using the replication deficient UV-HRV control or mock infection media. Therefore, we used RT-qPCR to assess viral copy number in MCs and compared this to HRVinfected BECs, which are the main target for HRV replication. RV16 exposure resulted in a significant MOI-dependent increase in viral RNA (vRNA) in LAD2 MCs compared with UV-HRV MOI 7.5 [median, 10 copies (IQR 0–103)] or mock infection (median, four copies (IQR 0–65); UV-HRV vs. MOI 3, P = 0.02, UV-HRV vs. MOI 7.5, P = 0.002) (Fig. 3a). Copies of RV16 RNA in LAD2 MCs exceeded those seen using BECs infected with RV16 in the same experiment. This permissiveness for viral replication prompted us to investigate whether LAD2 MCs, like BECs, had the potential to release infectious virus particles. TCID₅₀ assay revealed a significant MOI-dependent increase in the release of infectious RV16 virions from LAD2 MCs [18 TCID₅₀/mL for UV-HRV MOI 3 (P = 0.03) and 17 461 TCID₅₀/mL for



Fig. 3. Comparison of the replication and release of infectious RV16 from LAD2 MCs and bronchial epithelial cells (BECs). LAD2 MCs and BECs were exposed to RV16 MOI 0.3, 3 or 7.5 or UV-RV16 MOI 7.5 (control). Cell pellets and cell-free supernatants were harvested for viral RNA and infectious virus particles by RT-qPCR and TCID₅₀ assay, respectively. (a) RV16 copy number and (b) TCID₅₀/mL 24 h post-RV16 infection. Results are box and whisker plots showing the median, interquartile range and min and max values, n = 5 (LAD2), n = 3 (BECs), $*P \le 0.05$, $**P \le 0.01$ vs. UV-RV16. MOI, multiplicity of infection.

HRV MOI 7.5, (P = 0.002)] (Fig. 3b). Similar results were obtained with RV1B (Fig. S2d). Of note, there was no significant difference in cell viability for RV16- or RV1B-infected LAD2 MCs compared with mock-infected control cells (Fig. S3a,b).

Primary human mast cells mount an innate immune response to human rhinovirus infection and also release infectious virus particles

Having established the responses of LAD2 MCs to HRV infection, we next investigated the response of primary human CBMCs to RV16 exposure. CBMCs exposed to RV16 for 24 h upregulated the expression of IFNB1 and IFNL1 mRNA transcripts (Fig 4a), which was confirmed at the protein level for IFN- λ but not IFN- β (Fig. 4b). There was also a significant upregulation of the ISGs MX1 and OAS1 in RV16-infected CBMCs (Fig. 4c). The upregulation of IFNs and ISGs required replication competent virus as UV-irradiated HRV failed to induce mRNA transcripts (Fig. 4). Crucially, CBMCs were also susceptible for the replication and release of infectious RV16 as observed by a significant increase in vRNA transcripts and virion release (Fig. 4d). As with LAD2 MCs, cell viability was unaffected by RV16 infection (Fig. S3c).

Primary human mast cells are protected from RV16 infection by exogenous IFN- β

Despite the induction of IFNs and antiviral responses, HRV infection of CBMCs still resulted in release of infectious virus particles. We hypothesized that this was due to low levels of endogenous IFN-ß protein induced during HRV infection providing inadequate protection. Therefore, to test the extent of protection by endogenous type I IFNs, we pretreated CBMCs with a type I IFN receptor-blocking antibody (anti-IFNAR2) prior to HRV infection. Although this resulted in a significant reduction in HRV-dependent expression of IFN (IFNB1 and *IFNL1*) and ISG (*OAS1* and *MX1*, data not shown) mRNAs compared with control (Fig 5a), it had minimal effects on vRNA levels and there was only a trend for increased virion release (Fig. 5b). This implied a minimal protective effect of endogenous type I IFN signalling against HRV replication.

We therefore examined whether we could augment antiviral immune responses of CBMCs by the addition of IFNs to the cultures. CBMCs responded to exogenous IFN- β with significantly increased expression of *IFNB1* and *IFNL1* mRNA above that observed with HRV alone (Fig. 5c). In contrast, IFN- λ was without effect suggesting IFN- β is a key driver of type I and type III IFN responses in these cells; this was confirmed by showing up-regulation of IFN- λ protein by IFN- β (Fig. S4a).



Most importantly, exogenous IFN- β , but not IFN- λ , protected CBMCs from viral replication and release of infectious virus particles (Fig. 5d). The induction of

Fig. 4. Innate immune responses and release of infectious RV16 from CBMCs. CBMCs were exposed to RV16 at MOI 0.3, 3 or 7.5 or UV-RV16 MOI 7.5 (control). Twenty-four h post-infection cell pellets were harvested for gene expression and viral RNA by RT-qPCR and cell-free supernatants for protein expression by ELISA and infectious virus particles by TCID₅₀ assay. (a) *IFNB1* and *IFNL1* mRNA expression. (b) IFN-β and IFN- λ protein expression. (c) *OAS1* and *MX1* mRNA expression. (d) RV16 copy number and TCID₅₀/mL. Results are floating bars representing the median with min and max values, *n* = 2–4, **P* ≤ 0.05, ***P* ≤ 0.01, vs. UV-RV16 (*n* = 3–4). MOI, multiplicity of infection. b.d., below limit of detection.

IFNs and suppression of viral replication mediated by IFN- β was prevented in the presence of anti-IFNAR2 antibody (Fig. S4b,c) confirming the blocking antibody effectively suppressed type I IFN signalling.

Discussion

Viral infections caused by HRVs are a major cause of asthma pathogenesis and exacerbation [4, 5]. MCs localize to the bronchial epithelium in asthma according to disease severity [28] and are recruited to the bronchial epithelium following HRV infection [30]. While MCs are classically associated with early-phase allergic reactions in asthma, their role in viral immunity is unclear. Here, we demonstrate that human MCs exposed to either a major or minor group HRV mount innate immune responses including the induction of type I and III IFNs and ISGs. Despite this, MCs were permissive for viral replication and production of infectious virus particles, suggesting that the endogenous immune response was insufficient to limit HRV replication. Consistent with this, exogenous IFN- β , but not IFN- λ , was sufficient to prevent the release of infective virus particles and protect MCs against HRV infection. The failure of IFN- λ to exert an antiviral immune response suggests that MCs like other hematopoietic cells lack receptors for type III interferons [37] whose expression is mainly restricted to cells of epithelial origin [45].

Despite mounting innate immune responses to HRV infection, MCs were permissive for HRV replication and released infectious viral particles which increased with increasing MOI in both the human MC line and primary CBMCs and confirms a previous study using the immature HMC-1 cell line and HRV14 [40]. However, this study was limited to investigating a single RV14 infection titre (10^4 TCID₅₀ U/mL) of unknown MOI and focused on the modulation of PMA/ionomycin- or IgE/ anti-IgE-dependent histamine and cytokine release [40]. Our study has expanded the findings of Hosoda *et al.* by demonstrating an MOI-dependent effect on virion release and induction of antiviral and pro-inflammatory responses of the mature LAD2 cell line and primary



MCs following infection with both a major and minor group HRV. The release of infectious HRV virions by MCs is in contrast to infection of MCs by other

Fig. 5. Type I IFN receptor blockade and the effect of exogenous IFN treatment of CBMCs during RV16 infection. CBMCs were pretreated with anti-IFNAR2 (1 µg/mL) or IgG2a isotype (1 µg/mL) prior to RV16 MOI 7.5 or UV-RV16 MOI 7.5 exposure. Twenty-four h post-infection cell pellets were harvested for gene expression and viral RNA by RT-qPCR and cell-free supernatants were harvested for infectious virus particles by TCID₅₀ assay. (a) *IFNB1* and *IFNL1* mRNA expression. (b) RV16 copy number and TCID₅₀/mL. CBMCs were exposed to RV16 MOI 7.5 or UV-RV16 MOI 7.5 in the presence or absence of IFN-β (100 IU/mL) or IFN- λ (100 IU/mL). (c) *IFNB1* and *IFNL1* mRNA expression. (d) RV16 copy number and TCID₅₀/mL. Results are % of control (RV16 alone) means ± SEM, n = 2-3. * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$ vs. control (n = 3). Anti-IFNAR2, anti-IFN- α/β R 2 antibody; MOI, multiplicity of infection.

respiratory viruses including reovirus, RSV and IAV which are capable of infecting human MCs and inducing innate immune responses; however, there is little or no release of virus progeny [33, 38, 46]. For instance, plaque assays of RSV infected CBMC supernatants confirm a lack of productive RSV infection of CBMCs [38]. It has been shown previously that CBMCs can support replication of dengue virus with release of infectious virus particles; however, this process is antibody dependent [47]. HRV replication and release of infectious virus particles from epithelial cells typically result from lysis [14], but here we show that virus shedding from MCs is not associated with significant cell death. Nonlytic virus shedding has been reported for other picornaviruses including poliovirus [48-50] and may be a mechanism by which infective HRV particles are released from MCs.

As MCs accumulate in the bronchial epithelium in asthma, they have the potential to come into close proximity with HRV during infection of the bronchial epithelium. Our findings that MCs are permissive for HRV replication and that virus shedding was not associated with significant cell death suggest that MCs may act as reservoirs for HRV and this may potentiate HRV-induced asthma exacerbations. This mechanism seems unique among common respiratory viruses and may help to explain the high association of HRV infection and asthma exacerbations, with MCs playing a novel pathological role. This may be particularly relevant in HRVinduced asthma exacerbations of difficult-to-treat severe asthma patients where MC numbers [28] and MC-specific mediators [29] are both increased. During experimental HRV infection of adults, MCs have been demonstrated to accumulate in the bronchial mucosa [30]; however, it is not known whether MCs in vivo are susceptible to HRV infection. This question may be addressed by performing in situ hybridization on bronchial biopsies taken from subjects following experimental HRV infection to determine whether HRV particles localize within MCs. Alternatively, MCs may be isolated from these biopsies via

laser capture for the detection of vRNA. In children, HRV infection is implicated in the inception of childhood asthma where infection is a major cause of persistent wheeze in infants and is a major risk factor for asthma development in early life [3]. Furthermore, the number of mucosal MCs and reticular basement membrane thickness at age 1 year predicts respiratory morbidity and the use of inhaled corticosteroids at age 3 years [51]. This suggests an important interaction between viral infection and MCs leading to allergic inflammation and the development of asthma in young children. While we demonstrated human MCs are permissive for the replication of major and minor group HRVs, it is unlikely that they would be permissive for HRV-C infection as they do not express CDHR3 (Fig. S5), the cellular receptor for HRV-C [8].

Following HRV infection, MCs upregulated type I and type III IFNs (IFN- β and IFN- λ), PRRs (*MDA5*), ISGs (IRF7, OAS1, MX1) and chemokines (CXCL10 and CCL5). The induction of type I IFNs is likely to be a general response of MCs to virus exposure as these IFNs are also up-regulated with dengue virus [35], IAV [36], RSV [36] and sendai virus [37]. While type III IFN is generated following viral infection by many different cell types [17, 52, 53], reports of virus-dependent type III IFN expression and release by MCs are limited [37]. Although not able to respond to IFN- λ , the release of these antiviral proteins by MCs may help promote antiviral immunity in epithelial cells, which express IFN- λ receptors [54]. Despite the small amounts of IFN- β production, the suppression of *IFNB1* and *IFNL1*, as well as the ISGs OAS1 and MX1, following anti-IFNAR2 treatment of CBMCs, suggests an IFN driven ISG response. ISGs can also be induced via TLR activation [55] and viral dsRNA (including replication intermediates of ssRNA viruses) [56] has been shown to activate MCs via TLR3 [36]. Therefore, HRV-induced IFN as well as TLR activation may contribute to the observed ISG induction. Induction of viral sensors and antiviral genes such as MDA5, MX1, OAS1 has also been observed in human MCs infected with dengue virus [35] and vaccinia virus [54], but reports of upregulation of these genes following infection with respiratory viruses are limited to sendai virus infection, a murine virus used to model human parainfluenza virus infection [37]. Exposure of MCs to many different viruses including dengue virus, reovirus and RSV induces the release of cytokines and chemokines, which are speculated to recruit inflammatory cells to help clear the infection [33, 38, 57]. In response to reovirus, MCs release CXCL8 which recruits NK cells [33] and CCL3-5 which recruit a subsets of T cells [34] in vitro. Dengue virus infection of mice also results in MCdependent recruitment of NK and NKT cells, although the specific mediators involved were not investigated

[58]. Therefore, effector cells including T cells, NK cells, and DCs may be recruited via MC-derived chemokines including CXCL10 and CCL5 during HRV infection; however, whether inflammatory cell recruitment would result in viral clearance or contribute to asthma pathology requires further investigation.

Human MCs were highly permissive for HRV infection which appeared to be due to only low-level production of IFN-β. Although we did not measure other type I IFNs, we used a type I IFN receptor-blocking antibody to investigate whether endogenous IFN-β or IFN-α made a substantial contribution to defence against HRV. This showed minimal effects on viral replication and a trend for enhanced shedding of infectious HRV particles confirming limited protection by endogenous type I interferons. Therefore, we investigated whether exogenous IFNβ could boost IFN responses and found significant upregulation of IFNB1, IFNL1 and an associated suppression of viral replication and release. IFN also up-regulates the expression of RIG-I, MDA5 and TLR3 in MCs suggesting increased detection of HRV by MCs [37]. The demonstration that boosting IFN responses can protect human MCs from HRV infection is of significance, as bronchial epithelial IFN responses following HRV infection are impaired in moderate/severe asthma [16, 17, 19, 20]. In addition, the localization of MCs in the bronchial epithelium increases with asthma severity suggesting that in severe asthma, MCs are at an increased risk of HRV infection and viral shedding. Exogenous IFN-β protects asthmatic primary BECs from HRV infection [16, 59] and inhaled IFN- β has been shown to be particularly effective at reducing symptoms and improving lung function in difficult-to-treat asthmatics during naturally occurring viral respiratory infections [41]. It is currently unknown whether MCs from asthmatic patients have a more severe defect in their IFN response to HRV infection. Nonetheless, our findings suggest that, in addition to protecting the bronchial epithelium against HRV infection, an inhaled IFN-B therapy could protect MCs directly and further boost the innate immune response of the bronchial epithelium by the production of IFN- λ .

In summary, we have shown for the first time that mature LAD2 MCs and primary CBMCs are permissive for the replication and release of HRV, which implicates them in HRV-induced asthma exacerbation. Furthermore, exogenous IFN- β is protective against HRV infection and may be particularly relevant in targeting MCs in severe asthma.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Asthma, Allergy and Inflammation Research (AAIR) charity and the Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton who provided funds for Charlene Akoto's Studentship, the Faculty of

References

- 1 The global asthma report 2014 Auckland, New Zealand: Global Asthma Network; 2014. Available from: http:// www.globalasthmanetwork.org/publi cations/Global_Asthma_Report_2014. pdf
- 2 2015 gina report: Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention (GINA); 2015. Available from: http://gi nasthma.org/gina-report-global-strate gy-for-asthma-management-and-preve ntion/
- 3 Jackson DJ, Gangnon RE, Evans MD et al. Wheezing rhinovirus illnesses in early life predict asthma development in high-risk children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; **178:667–72**.
- 4 Nicholson KG, Kent J, Ireland DC. Respiratory viruses and exacerbations of asthma in adults. *Br Med J* 1993; 307:982–6.
- 5 Johnston SL, Pattemore PK, Sanderson G *et al.* Community study of role of viral infections in exacerbations of asthma in 9-11 year old children. *Br Med J* 1995; 310:1225–9.
- 6 Bochkov YA, Gern JE. Rhinoviruses and their receptors: implications for allergic disease. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2016; 16:30.
- 7 Hofer F, Gruenberger M, Kowalski H *et al.* Members of the low density lipoprotein receptor family mediate cell entry of a minor-group common cold virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994; **91**:1839–42.
- 8 Bochkov YA, Watters K, Ashraf S *et al.* Cadherin-related family member 3, a childhood asthma susceptibility gene product, mediates rhinovirus c binding and replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2015; 112:5485–90.
- 9 Kelly JT, Busse WW. Host immune responses to rhinovirus: mechanisms in asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008; 122:671–82.
- 10 Gern JE, Dick EC, Lee WM et al. Rhinovirus enters but does not replicate

Conflict of interest

Professor Donna Davies is a cofounder of Synairgen and is paid consultancy fees and also has a patent for the use of inhaled interferon beta therapy for virusinduced exacerbations of asthma and COPD with royalties paid.

inside monocytes and airway macrophages. *J Immunol* 1996; 156:621-7.

- 11 Laza-Stanca V, Stanciu LA, Message SD, Edwards MR, Gern JE, Johnston SL. Rhinovirus replication in human macrophages induces nf-κb-dependent tumor necrosis factor alpha production. J Virol 2006; 80:8248–58.
- 12 Schrauf C, Kirchberger S, Majdic O *et al.* The ssrna genome of human rhinovirus induces a type i ifn response but fails to induce maturation in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *J Immunol* 2009; **183**:4440–8.
- 13 Vareille M, Kieninger E, Edwards MR, Regamey N. The airway epithelium: soldier in the fight against respiratory viruses. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2011; 24:210–29.
- 14 Jacobs SE, Lamson DM, George KS, Walsh TJ. Human rhinoviruses. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2013; 26:135–62.
- 15 Durbin RK, Kotenko SV, Durbin JE. Interferon induction and function at the mucosal surface. *Immunol Rev* 2013; 255:25–39.
- 16 Wark PAB, Johnston SL, Bucchieri F *et al.* Asthmatic bronchial epithelial cells have a deficient innate immune response to infection with rhinovirus. *J Exp Med* 2005; 201:937–47.
- 17 Contoli M, Message SD, Laza-Stanca V *et al.* Role of deficient type iii interferon-lambda production in asthma exacerbations. *Nat Med* 2006; 12:1023–6.
- 18 Rupani H, Martinez-Nunez RT, Dennison P et al. Toll-like receptor 7 is reduced in severe asthma and linked to an altered microRNA profile. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2016; 194:26–37.
- 19 Sykes A, Macintyre J, Edwards MR *et al.* Rhinovirus-induced interferon production is not deficient in well controlled asthma. *Thorax* 2014; 69:240–6.
- 20 Edwards MR, Regamey N, Vareille M *et al.* Impaired innate interferon induction in severe therapy resistant atopic asthmatic children. *Mucosal Immunol* 2012; **6**:797–806.

21 Bradding P, Arthur G. Mast cells in asthma - state of the art. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2016; **46**:194–263.

- 22 Metcalfe DD, Baram D, Mekori YA. Mast cells. *Physiol Rev* 1997; 77:1033– 79.
- 23 Brightling CE, Bradding P, Symon FA, Holgate ST, Wardlaw AJ, Pavord ID. Mast-cell infiltration of airway smooth muscle in asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346:1699–705.
- 24 Carroll N, Mutavdzic S, James A. Increased mast cells and neutrophils in submucosal mucous glands and mucus plugging in patients with asthma. *Thorax* 2002; **57**:677–82.
- 25 Bradding P, Walls AF, Holgate ST. The role of the mast cell in the pathophysiology of asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; 117:1277–84.
- 26 Andersson CK, Bergqvist A, Mori M, Mauad T, Bjermer L, Erjefält JS. Mast cell–associated alveolar inflammation in patients with atopic uncontrolled asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011; 127:905–12.e7.
- 27 Dougherty RH, Sidhu SS, Raman K et al. Accumulation of intraepithelial mast cells with a unique protease phenotype in t(h)2-high asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010; 125:1046–53 e8.
- 28 Balzar S, Fajt ML, Comhair SA *et al.* Mast cell phenotype, location, and activation in severe asthma. Data from the severe asthma research program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011; 183:299–309.
- 29 Hinks TSC, Zhou X, Staples KJ *et al.* Innate and adaptive t cells in asthmatic patients: relationship to severity and disease mechanisms. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; **136**:323–33.
- 30 Zhu J, Message SD, Qiu Y *et al.* Airway inflammation and illness severity in response to experimental rhinovirus infection in asthma. *Chest* 2014; 145:1219–29.
- 31 Marshall JS. Mast-cell responses to pathogens. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2004; 4:787–99.

- 32 Chan CY, St. John AL, Abraham SN. Plasticity in mast cell responses during bacterial infections. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2012; 15:78–84.
- 33 Burke SM, Issekutz TB, Mohan K, Lee PWK, Shmulevitz M, Marshall JS. Human mast cell activation with virusassociated stimuli leads to the selective chemotaxis of natural killer cells by a cxcl8-dependent mechanism. *Blood* 2008; 111:5467–76.
- 34 McAlpine SM, Issekutz TB, Marshall JS. Virus stimulation of human mast cells results in the recruitment of cd56+ t cells by a mechanism dependent on ccr5 ligands. FASEB J 2012; 26:1280–9.
- 35 Brown MG, McAlpine SM, Huang YY et al. Rna sensors enable human mast cell anti-viral chemokine production and ifn-mediated protection in response to antibody-enhanced dengue virus infection. *PLoS ONE* 2012; 7: e34055.
- 36 Kulka M, Alexopoulou L, Flavell RA, Metcalfe DD. Activation of mast cells by double-stranded rna: evidence for activation through toll-like receptor 3. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 114:174–82.
- 37 Lappalainen J, Rintahaka J, Kovanen PT, Matikainen S, Eklund KK. Intracellular rna recognition pathway activates strong anti-viral response in human mast cells. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2013; 172:121–8.
- 38 Al-Afif A, Alyazidi R, Oldford SA et al. Respiratory syncytial virus infection of primary human mast cells induces the selective production of type I interferons, cxcl10, and ccl4. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015; 136:1346–54.
- 39 Graham AC, Hilmer KM, Zickovich JM, Obar JJ. Inflammatory response of mast cells during influenza a virus infection is mediated by active infection and rig-i signaling. J Immunol 2013; 190:4676–84.
- 40 Hosoda M, Yamaya M, Suzuki T *et al.* Effects of rhinovirus infection on histamine and cytokine production by cell

lines from human mast cells and basophils. *J Immunol* 2002; **169**:1482–91.

- 41 Djukanović R, Harrison T, Johnston SL et al. The effect of inhaled ifn-β on worsening of asthma symptoms caused by viral infections. A randomized trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014; 190:145–54.
- 42 Kirshenbaum AS, Akin C, Wu Y et al. Characterization of novel stem cell factor responsive human mast cell lines lad 1 and 2 established from a patient with mast cell sarcoma/leukemia; activation following aggregation of fccri or fcyri. Leuk Res 2003; 27:677–82.
- 43 Cozens AL, Yezzi MJ, Kunzelmann K et al. Cftr expression and chloride secretion in polarized immortal human bronchial epithelial cells. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1994; 10:38–47.
- 44 Papi A, Johnston SL. Rhinovirus infection induces expression of its own receptor intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (icam-1) via increased nf-κbmediated transcription. J Biol Chem 1999; 274:9707–20.
- 45 Donnelly RP, Kotenko SV. Interferonlambda: a new addition to an old family. J Interferon Cytokine Res 2010; 30:555–64.
- 46 Marcet C, St. Laurent C, Moon T, Singh N, Befus AD. Limited replication of influenza a virus in human mast cells. *Immunol Res* 2013; 56:32–43.
- 47 Brown MG, King CA, Sherren C, Marshall JS, Anderson R. A dominant role for fcγrii in antibody-enhanced dengue virus infection of human mast cells and associated ccl5 release. *J Leukoc Biol* 2006; 80:1242–50.
- 48 Bird SW, Maynard ND, Covert MW, Kirkegaard K. Nonlytic viral spread enhanced by autophagy components. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014; 111:13081–6.
- 49 Bird SW, Kirkegaard K. Escape of nonenveloped virus from intact cells. Virology 2015; 479–480:444–9.
- 50 Jackson WT, Giddings TH Jr, Taylor MP *et al.* Subversion of cellular

autophagosomal machinery by rna viruses. *PLoS Biol* 2005; 3:e156.

- 51 Malmstrom K, Pelkonen AS, Malmberg LP *et al.* Lung function, airway remodelling and inflammation in symptomatic infants: outcome at 3 years. *Thorax* 2011; **66**:157–62.
- 52 Okabayashi T, Kojima T, Masaki T *et al.* Type-iii interferon, not type-i, is the predominant interferon induced by respiratory viruses in nasal epithelial cells. *Virus Res* 2011; **160**:360–6.
- 53 Odendall C, Dixit E, Stavru F et al. Diverse intracellular pathogens activate type iii interferon expression from peroxisomes. Nat Immunol 2014; 15:717–26.
- 54 Sommereyns C, Paul S, Staeheli P, Michiels T. Ifn-lambda (ifn-lambda) is expressed in a tissue-dependent fashion and primarily acts on epithelial cells in vivo. *PLoS Pathog* 2008; 4: e1000017.
- 55 Akira S, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. *Cell* 2006; 124:783–801.
- 56 Weber F, Wagner V, Rasmussen SB, Hartmann R, Paludan SR. Doublestranded rna is produced by positivestrand rna viruses and DNA viruses but not in detectable amounts by negativestrand rna viruses. *J Virol* 2006; 80:5059–64.
- 57 King CA, Anderson R, Marshall JS. Dengue virus selectively induces human mast cell chemokine production. J Virol 2002; 76:8408–19.
- 58 St. John AL, Rathore APS, Yap H et al. Immune surveillance by mast cells during dengue infection promotes natural killer (nk) and nkt-cell recruitment and viral clearance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 2011; 108:9190–5.
- 59 Cakebread JA, Xu Y, Grainge C *et al.* Exogenous ifn-β has antiviral and anti-inflammatory properties in primary bronchial epithelial cells from asthmatic subjects exposed to rhinovirus. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011; 127:1148–54 e9.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

Figure S1. HRV does not induce MC degranulation. Figure S2. LAD2 MC response to RV1B exposure. Figure S3. Cell viability following rhinovirus infection of human mast cells.

Figure S4. IFN-b treatment and RV16 exposure of CBMCs and type I IFN receptor blockade.

Figure S5. CDHR3 expression following RV16 infection of LAD2 mast cells.