

Whole-Genome Sequences of Seven Listeria monocytogenes Strains from Different Stages of a Poultry Meat Production Chain

Victoria López-Alonso,^a Sagrario Ortiz,^b DJoaquín V. Martínez-Suárez^b

aUnidad de Biología Computacional, UFIEC, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain ^bDepartamento de Tecnología de Alimentos, Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA), Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT Here, we present the draft genome sequences of seven Listeria monocytogenes strains isolated during three independent studies carried out in three stages of a poultry meat production chain. The genome sequences of these strains obtained from different stages can help to understand the possible transmission of L. monocytogenes.

Listeriosis is a foodborne disease caused by the bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes*.
The disease has a high mortality rate, especially among newborns, the elderly, and immunocompromised adults [\(1\)](#page-2-0). L. monocytogenes is very common in food production environments, mainly food of animal origin, and usually colonizes chicken abattoirs and chicken processing plants [\(2\)](#page-2-1). Consequently, L. monocytogenes is also frequently found in raw chicken and other poultry meat in retail stores [\(3\)](#page-2-2). There are few studies on the transmission of L. monocytogenes strains along the chicken meat production and supply chain. Herein, this type of study is especially important to define the factors involved in such transmission.

The objective of this study was to characterize the genomes of a selected group of L. monocytogenes strains present during several stages of a chicken meat production and supply chain (abattoir, processing plant, and retail). The origin of these strains, as well as their molecular subtypes, were previously published in three independent articles [\(4](#page-2-3)[–](#page-2-4)[6\)](#page-2-5). Knowledge about the sources of contamination of chicken meat in retail would help to improve the risk assessment and implementation of preventive measures.

Four isolates corresponding to strains obtained from the abattoir (A3, A7, A10, and A13) were sequenced by whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Two additional isolates from the processing plant (P12 and P17), together with one isolate from the retail stage (R6), were also subjected to WGS for comparative purposes [\(Table 1\)](#page-1-0) [\(4](#page-2-3)[–](#page-2-4)[6;](#page-2-5) our unpublished data). The seven isolates were grown in tryptic soy-yeast extract broth at 37°C, and genomic DNA was extracted using a bacterial genomic DNA purification kit (Wizard; Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Library preparation was carried out using the TruSeq technology (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), and a 2 \times 250-nucleotide multiplexed paired-end sequencing run was performed using the MiSeq platform (Illumina). Prior to sequence assembly, the quality of the sequences was assessed by FastQC v 0.11.7 [\(https://www.bioinformatics](https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) [.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/\)](https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Trimming of the adapter sequences and low-quality bases (<Q20) from raw reads was performed using Trim Galore v 0.5.0 [\(https://www](https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) [.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/\)](https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/). The sequences were assembled separately using the de novo assembler SPAdes v 3.12.0 [\(7\)](#page-2-6) with activation of the "– careful" option, and raw assemblies were filtered for size larger than 500 bp and

Citation López-Alonso V, Ortiz S, Martínez-Suárez JV. 2019. Whole-genome sequences of seven Listeria monocytogenes strains from different stages of a poultry meat production chain. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e00088-19. [https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00088-19.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00088-19)

Editor J. Cameron Thrash, University of Southern California

Copyright © 2019 López-Alonso et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Victoria López-Alonso, [victorialopez@isciii.es,](mailto:victorialopez@isciii.es) or Joaquín V. Martínez-Suárez, [joaquin@inia.es.](mailto:joaquin@inia.es)

Received 24 January 2019 **Accepted** 11 February 2019 **Published** 14 March 2019

TABLE 1 Characteristics and accession numbers of genomes of the seven L. monocytogenes strains **TABLE 1** Characteristics and accession numbers of genomes of the seven L. monocytogenes strains

coverage of more than 25-fold. The NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v 4.7 was used for gene prediction [\(8\)](#page-2-7).

In silico multilocus sequence typing (MLST) analysis of the genome of each strain was conducted using MLST 2.0 [\(9\)](#page-2-8). The prophage sequences in each genome were identified using the PHAge Search Tool Enhanced Release (PHASTER) Web server (2016) [\(10\)](#page-2-9).

A description of the characteristics of the seven L. monocytogenes strains and their genomes is presented in [Table 1.](#page-1-0) The information obtained through WGS can be valuable for the identification of sequence differences that exist among these strains and help to understand why these strains have revealed such different transmission potentials.

Data availability. The draft genome sequences reported here have been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the BioProject number [PRJNA509563](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA509563) and under the individual accession numbers listed in [Table 1.](#page-1-0) The versions described in this paper are the first versions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by research project grant RTA2014-00045-C03 (INIA FEDER) from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities. The support of the company Nutreco is also acknowledged.

We thank the Unidad de Genómica (Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain) and Pilar López (Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Madrid, Spain) for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1. Buchanan RL, Gorris LGM, Hayman MM, Jackson TC, Whiting RC. 2017. A review of Listeria monocytogenes: an update on outbreaks, virulence, dose-response, ecology, and risk assessments. Food Control 75:1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.12.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.12.016)
- 2. Berrang ME, Meinersmann RJ, Frank JF, Ladely SR. 2010. Colonization of a newly constructed commercial chicken further processing plant with Listeria monocytogenes. J Food Prot 73:286 –291. [https://doi.org/10.4315/](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.2.286) [0362-028X-73.2.286.](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.2.286)
- 3. Gonçalves-Tenório A, Silva BN, Rodrigues V, Cadavez V, Gonzales-Barron U. 2018. Prevalence of pathogens in poultry meat: a meta-analysis of European published surveys. Foods 7:69. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods7050069) [foods7050069.](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods7050069)
- 4. López V, Ortiz S, Corujo A, López P, Navas J, Moreno R, Martínez-Suárez JV. 2007. Traceback identification of an ingredient (pork dewlap) as the possible source of Listeria monocytogenes serotype 4b contamination in raw chicken products. J Food Prot 70:1513–1517. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-70.6.1513) [.4315/0362-028X-70.6.1513.](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-70.6.1513)
- 5. López V, Ortiz S, Corujo A, López P, Poza D, Navas J, Moreno R, Martínez-Suárez SJ. 2008. Different contamination patterns of lineage I and II strains of Listeria monocytogenes in a Spanish broiler abattoir. Poult Sci 87:1874 –1882. [https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2007-00417.](https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2007-00417)
- 6. López V, Navas J, Martínez-Suárez JV. 2013. Low potential virulence

associated with mutations in the inlA and prfA genes in Listeria monocytogenes isolated from raw retail poultry meat. J Food Prot 76:129 –132. [https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-304.](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-304)

- 7. Nurk S, Bankevich A, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Korobeynikov A, Lapidus A, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin A, Sirotkin A, Sirotkin Y, Stepanauskas R, Clingenpeel SR, Woyke T, McLean JS, Lasken R, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2013. Assembling single-cell genomes and mini-metagenomes from chimeric MDA products. J Comput Biol 20:714 –737. [https://doi.org/10.1089/](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2013.0084) [cmb.2013.0084.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2013.0084)
- 8. Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Brover V, Chetvernin V, O'Neill K, Li W, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Zheng C, Thibaud-Nissen F, Geer LY, Marchler-Bauer A, Pruitt KD. 2018. RefSeq: an update on prokaryotic genome annotation and curation. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D851–D860. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1068.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1068)
- 9. Larsen MV, Cosentino S, Rasmussen S, Friis C, Hasman H, Marvig RL, Jelsbak L, Sicheritz-Pontén T, Ussery DW, Aarestrup FM, Lund O. 2012. Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced bacteria. J Clin Microbiol 50:1355–1361. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06094-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06094-11)
- 10. Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16 –W21. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw387.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw387)