Favorable and unfavorable health conditions within OECD countries: An exploratory study

SAGE Open Medicine Volume 6: 1–9 © The Author(s) 2018 DOI: 10.1177/2050312117753847 journals.sagepub.com/home/smo



Myung-Bae Park¹, Eun Woo Nam^{2,3}, Chun-Bae Kim^{4,5}, Hae Jong Lee², Kwang-Soo Lee² and Sang-Baek Koh^{4,5}

Abstract

Objectives: This study compared the physical, mental, and social health levels among Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development countries.

Methods: We sampled from 34 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development member countries and divided physical, mental, and social health into three domains based on World Health Organization health definitions.

Results: A multivariate hierarchical cluster analysis was conducted to group countries that were similar in terms of health. Regarding physical health, Japan, South Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, and ten more countries reported favorable health conditions. For mental health, Australia, Canada and eight more countries revealed favorable conditions. Finally, in terms of social health, Austria, Finland, Iceland, and seven more countries reported favorable conditions. Sweden and Switzerland reported the best health conditions aggregated across all three domains. Conversely, Estonia, Hungary, and Turkey reported comparatively poorer health across all three domains when compared with other Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development countries.

Conclusions: We suggested that mental health policy should be further strengthened in cases of Korea and Japan. In case of the Eastern Bloc countries, health policies should be established focusing on health equity for effective improvement of indicators.

Keywords

Physical health, mental health, social health, health conditions, cluster analysis

Date received: 18 September 2017; accepted: 21 December 2017

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines health as follows: "Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity."¹ Generally, physical health is defined as a good, observable physical condition, while mental health refers to a state of wellbeing wherein individuals recognize their own abilities, can cope with stress, and are capable of making a contribution to their community.² In short, mental health reflects stable emotional conditions, and, thus, is also termed emotional mental health. Social health assumes several meanings such as the ability to form and maintain smooth relationships, have an active social life,³ or practice equality and non-violence.⁴

People with good mental and emotional health tend to live longer,⁵ as subjective well-being has a positive effect on physical health.^{6,7} According to previous studies, social health is closely associated with physical health, and social care inequality is known to affect physical health parameters such as morbidity, mortality, and life expectancy.⁸ Furthermore, good social support is associated with positive mental health outcomes.^{9,10} Moreover,

²Department of Health Administration, College of Health Science, Yonsei University, Wonju, Republic of Korea

³Healthy City Research Center, Institute of Health and Welfare, Yonsei University, Wonju, Republic of Korea

⁴Department of Preventive Medicine, Wonju College of Medicine, Yonsei University, Wonju, Republic of Korea

⁵Institute for Poverty Alleviation and International Development, Yonsei University, Wonju-City, Gangwon-do, Republic of Korea

Corresponding author:

Eun Woo Nam, Department of Health Administration, College of Health Science, Yonsei University, Chang Jo Bds., #412 Heong Up Myun, Wonju 26493, Republic of Korea. Email: ewnam@yonsei.ac.kr

Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Department of Gerontal Health & Welfare, Pai Chai University, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

socioeconomic situations are related not only to mental but also physical health.¹¹ Therefore, we can deduce that physical, mental, and social aspects of health are all associated with one another.

When health levels are compared between countries, life expectancy at birth and mortality rate per 100,000 persons are the most widely used. In fact, the most traditional method is to rank countries according to values on these indices. These indices focus primarily on physical health, as it is difficult to accurately reflect on aspects of mental and social health in the aggregate. For instance, as Japan has the longest life expectancy in the world,¹² the level of observable physical health could be considered very high; however, the suicide rate in Japan is also very high,¹³ perhaps reflecting lower levels of mental health. In contrast, Turkey and Mexico have the shortest life expectancy but also the lowest suicide rates among all Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries.¹⁴ A clear reason for this has not yet been identified, given that socioeconomic and cultural environments differ within each country. Therefore, if one or two health parameters show a positive trend in a particular country, it does not necessarily mean that all aspects of physical, mental, and social health are adequate. Thus, the goal of this study was to compare physical, mental, and social health levels among OECD countries.

Methods

Study population and data

A total of 34 OECD member countries were surveyed as of April 2016. We utilized OECD statistics (http://stats.oecd. org/) supplemented with data provided by the WHO and Gallup World Poll. Data from 2012 were used as a baseline, and missing data were replaced with available data for the most recent year. As a result, we used data from 2009 to 2012 for analysis (see Table 2).

Theoretical model

To systematically measure health levels, we divided physical, mental, and social health into three domains following WHO definitions. Otherwise, we used eight indicators (Figure 1).

Physical health. Population-related health indicators, such as those involving the country, mortality, and morbidity, were used. With respect to morbidity, there were different types, and the deviation was very large due to environmental differences between countries. Because of these features, country classifications were unstable, depending on the variable type included in the analysis. Moreover, morbidity has rarely been used as an analytical index. The age-adjusted mortality rate is generally accepted as a measure of population health. Accordingly, we chose to use age-standardized



Figure 1. Theoretical model.

deaths per 100,000 persons, life expectancy at birth, and health-adjusted life expectancy (HALE) at birth (Table 1).¹⁵

Mental health. Previous studies have examined mental health using measurement tools to assess negative states such as depression, anxiety, and neurosis. However, since the late 20th century, measurement tools for positive states, such as subjective well-being, happiness, quality of life, and perceived health, have also been used.^{16,17} In this study, we assessed perceived health and well-being as indicators of mental health. Perceived health status (PHS) was represented by the percentage of the population falling under the category of "good" or "very good" with respect to current perceived health. The maximum score for well-being status (WBI) was 10. The higher the value for either index, the more positive the subjective state (Table 1).

Social health. Health activity at an individual level, including human relationships and interactions; indices concerning society without discrimination such as nationalism and racism;¹⁸ and indices of inequality have been used to examine social health.¹⁹ In this study, a Gini coefficient (which ranges from 0 to 1, where 0 and 1 represent the complete equality and complete inequality conditions, respectively) was used to measure social health. For example, the richest 30% having 80% of all income would have earned income Gini of at least 0.5. Otherwise, 1% of the world's population owns 60% of all wealth, implying a Gini of at least 0.59. Additionally, employment rates of the working age population, poverty rates, and the ratio of people (in a given age group) whose income falls below the poverty line were used to measure social health (Table 1).

Statistical analyses

Correlation analyses were performed to examine the associations between health variables. Additionally, a multivariate agglomerative hierarchical cluster analysis was conducted to group countries that were similar in terms of health. Entities with differences across and within groups can be grouped based on certain similarities in their properties.²⁰

 Table I. Indicators, definitions, and sources.

Domains	Variables	Definition	Sources
Physical health	Life expectancy at birth	How long, on average, a newborn can expect to live	OECD statistics
	Age-adjusted mortality rate	Age-standardized death rates per 100,000 persons for all causes	OECD statistics
	Health-adjusted life	Average number of years that a person can expect to live in	World Health
	expectancy	"full health" by taking into account years lived in less than full health due to disease and/or injury	Organization
Mental health	Perceived health status	Percentage of people reporting their health to be "good" or "very good"	OECD statistics
	Well-being index	0 represents the worst possible life and 10 the best possible life	Gallup World Poll
Social health	Gini index	It ranges between 0 in the case of perfect equality and 1 in the case of perfect inequality	OECD statistics
	Employment rate	Total percentage of working age population who are employed	OECD statistics
	Poverty rate	Poverty rate after taxes and transfers and poverty line 50%	OECD statistics

OECD statistics: https://data.oecd.org/ & http://stats.oecd.org/.

World Health Organization: http://www.who.int/gho/publications/world_health_statistics/2015/en/.

Gallup World Poll: http://www.well-beingindex.com/.

The levels of physical, mental, and social well-being are not necessarily consistent and are very different depending on the social or cultural context of each country. In such instances, it is difficult to observe the characteristics of each health such as physical, mental, and social if they are integrated en bloc according to score and rank. To overcome this limitation, a cluster analysis that can bind similar groups may be more useful. This method could be useful in healthrelated studies. It has been used in many studies, including Bae's study that suggested intervention by grouping Internetaddicted students,²¹ and other studies which involved comparison of dietary patterns of colorectal cancer risk patient,²² investigation of a pathogenesis of the condition, and treatment of the people with irritable bowel syndrome through the classification based on their symptom or behavior.²³

An agglomerative approach, in which the nearest countries were assigned to groups at each iteration step, was used during the grouping process, and the distance between groups was computed using the Ward method. Variables were standardized to a normalized mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 prior to any analysis due to discrepant measurement scales. All analyses were conducted using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) Version 9.4. Furthermore, the SAS PROC CLUSTER statement was used for the cluster analysis.

Results

Descriptive statistics

The average life expectancy at birth for the whole sample was 80.2 years. Japan had the highest life expectancy (83.2), followed by Iceland (83.0), Switzerland (82.8), and Spain (82.5). Mexico had the shortest life expectancy (74.4), and it was the only OECD nation with a life expectancy below 75. South Korea's life expectancy was about 1 year above the OECD

average (80.2) at 81.3 years. The average adjusted mortality rate was 813.2 per 100,000 people. Japan had the lowest mortality rate (632.8 per 100,000 people), followed by Australia (673.3 per 100,000 people), Switzerland (678.9 per 100,000 people), France (679.3 per 100,000 people), Spain (697.2 per 100,000 people), and Italy (699.2 per 100,000 people), all of which had respectable rates under 700 per 100,000 people. Conversely, the Slovak Republic (1,188.5 per 100,000 people) and Hungary (1,185.1 per 100,000 people) had the highest Mortality, with rates almost double those of the lowest countries. HALE was highest in Japan (75 years); Australia, Spain, Italy, and South Korea ranked second (73 years). The average HALE was 71 years and was shortest in Turkey and Hungary (65 years). PHS was highest in New Zealand (89.3%), followed by Canada (88.8%) and the United States (87.5%). PHS was lowest in South Korea (33.3%), which was less than half the OECD average (69.3%). On the WBI, Denmark (7.8) had the highest value, followed by Canada (7.7) and Norway (7.6). Hungary (4.7) had the lowest value, followed by Portugal (4.9) and Estonia (5.1). The average score on the Gini index was 0.32. Denmark, Slovenia, Norway, and Iceland had the lowest scores (0.25). Income inequality was most severe in Chile (0.50). The average employment rates was 66%, with Iceland scoring highest (80%), followed by Switzerland (79%), Norway (76%), and the Netherlands (75%). Turkey was the lowest (49%), followed by Greece (51%) and Spain (56%). The average poverty rate was 11.4%. Iceland and the Czech Republic had the lowest poverty rates (5.9%), and Israel (20.9%) and Mexico (20.4%) had the highest (Table 2).

Correlation analyses

There were strong correlations between the physical health indices, specifically life expectancy, mortality, and HALE. These three indices were also significantly related with WBI Country

Table 2. Health indicators among OECD countries.

at 0 (year)

Life expectancy

Age-adjusted

mortality rate

HALE (year)	PHS (%)	WBI (0 to 10)	Gini (0 to 1)	Employment rate (%)	Poverty rate (%)
71	69.3	6.7	0.32	66	11.4
75	89.3	7.8	0.50	80	20.9
65	30.0	4.7	0.25	49	5.9
73	85.4ª	7.4	0.32	72	14.4 ^b

Max 83.2 I 188.5 75 89.3 7.8 0.50 80 Min 74.4 632.8 65 30.0 4.7 0.25 49 Australia 82.1 673.3 73 85.4ª 7.4 0.32 72 Australia 81.0 763.1 71 70.0 7.3 0.26 ^b 62 Canada 81.5 689.8ª 72 88.8 7.7 0.32 ^b 72 Chile 78.9 823.9 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50 ^b 62 Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 62 Republic 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 67 Republic 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 67	20.9 5.9 4.4 ^b 9.6b 1.7 ^a 7.8 ^a 5.9 ^a 6.0 ^a
Min 74.4 632.8 65 30.0 4.7 0.25 49 Australia 82.1 673.3 73 85.4ª 7.4 0.32 72 Australia 81.0 763.1 71 70.0 7.3 0.28ª 73 Belgium 80.5 823.0 71 74.3 6.9 0.26b 62 Canada 81.5 689.8ª 72 88.8 7.7 0.32b 72 Chile 78.9 823.9 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50b 62 Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26b 67 Republic V V 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50b 62	5.9 4.4 ^b 9.0 ^a 9.6b 1.7 ^a 7.8 ^a 5.9 ^a 6.0 ^a
Australia 82.1 673.3 73 85.4ª 7.4 0.32 72 Austria 81.0 763.1 71 70.0 7.3 0.28ª 73 Belgium 80.5 823.0 71 74.3 6.9 0.26b 62 Canada 81.5 689.8ª 72 88.8 7.7 0.32b 72 Chile 78.9 823.9 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50b 62 Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26b 67 Republic	4.4 ^b 9.0 ^a 9.6b 1.7 ^a 7.8 ^a 5.9 ^a 6.0 ^a
Austria 81.0 763.1 71 70.0 7.3 0.28 ^a 73 Belgium 80.5 823.0 71 74.3 6.9 0.26 ^b 62 Canada 81.5 689.8 ^a 72 88.8 7.7 0.32 ^b 72 Chile 78.9 823.9 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50 ^b 62 Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 67 Republic Normark 857.9 70 70.8 7.8 0.35 ^b 73	9.0 ^a 9.6b 11.7 ^a 17.8 ^a 5.9 ^a 6.0 ^a
Belgium 80.5 823.0 71 74.3 6.9 0.26 ^b 62 Canada 81.5 689.8 ^a 72 88.8 7.7 0.32 ^b 72 Chile 78.9 823.9 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50 ^b 62 Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 67 Republic 70 70.8 7.8 0.35 ^b 73	9.6b 1.7ª 7.8ª 5.9ª 6.0ª
Canada 81.5 689.8 ^a 72 88.8 7.7 0.32 ^b 72 Chile 78.9 823.9 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50 ^b 62 Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 67 Republic 70 70.8 78.2 0.35 ^b 73	.7ª 7.8ª 5.9ª 6.0ª
Chile 78.9 823.9 70 59.1c 6.6 0.50 ^b 62 Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 67 Republic 70 70.8 78.2 0.25 ^b 73	17.8ª 5.9ª 6.0ª
Czech 78.2 1004.4 69 60.4 6.2 0.26 ^b 67 Republic 70 70.8 78 0.25 ^b 73	5.9ª 6.0ª
Republic	6.0ª
	6.0ª
Definitation 00.1 007.7 /0 /0.0 /.0 0.25° /3	
Estonia 76.5 1031.0 67 52.4 5.1 0.32 ^b 67	11.7ª
Finland 80.7 789.1 71 67.1 7.4 0.26 70	7.5ª
France 82.1 679.3 72 68.1 6.8 0.31b 64	8.0ª
Germany 81.0 786.8 71 65.3 6.7 0.29 ^b 73	8.7 ª
Greece 80.7 801.6 71 74.8 5.8 0.34 ^b 51	15.2ª
Hungary 75.2 1185.1 65 57.6 4.7 0.29 57	6.8 ^c
Iceland 83.0 749.3 72 76.9 6.9 0.25 ^b 80	5.9 ^a
Ireland 81.0 775.4 71 83.1 7.3 0.30 ^b 59	9.7 ª
Israel 81.8 707.7 72 83.5 7.4 0.38 ^b 67	20.9 ^a
Italy 82.3 699.2 73 68.4 6.4 0.32 ^b 58	12.6ª
Japan 83.2 632.8 75 30.0c 6.1 0.34 71	16.0°
South Korea 81.3 753.9 73 33.3 6.1 0.31 64	15.2
Luxembourg 81.5 755.1 72 73.8 7.1 0.28 ^b 66	8.1
Mexico 74.4 972.0 67 65.5 6.8 0.48 61	20.4 ^b
Netherlands 81.2 768.8 71 75.6 7.5 0.28 75	7.2 [⊾]
New Zealand 81.5 727.2 72 89.3 7.2 0.32 72	9.8 ª
Norway 81.5 762.3 71 78.8 7.6 0.25 ^b 76	7.7 ª
Poland 76.9 1020.3 67 57.7 5.8 0.30 ^b 60	11.2ª
Portugal 80.5 795.9 71 48.1 4.9 0.34 ^b 62	 .9 ª
Slovak 76.2 188.5 67 65.6 6.1 0.26 ^b 60	8.3ª
Republic	
Slovenia 80.2 851.7 69 63.1 6.1 0.25 ^b 64	8.9 ^a
Spain 82.5 697.2 73 74.3 6.2 0.34 ^b 56	15.1ª
Sweden 81.8 743.5 72 81.1 7.5 0.27 ^b 74	9.7 ª
Switzerland 82.8 678.9 72 81.9 7.5 0.29 ^b 79	10.3ª
Turkey 74.6 848.6 65 68.6 5.5 0.41 ^b 49	19.2 ª
United 81.0 790.6 71 74.7 7.0 0.34 ^b 71	9.5ª
Kingdom	
United States 78.7 822.8 ^a 69 87.5 7.2 0.39 ^b 67	17.1ª

HALE: health-adjusted life expectancy at birth; PHS: perceived health status; WBI: well-being index.

Data from 2012 were used as a baseline. Mortality rate was presented per 100,000 persons.

^cData from 2009.

and employment rates. The mental health indices, PHS and WBI, showed a strong correlation with each other, and WBI had a significant relationship with employment rates, as well as with life expectancy, mortality rate, and HALE. Furthermore, the relationships between the social health indices, Gini, employment rates, and poverty rates were all statistically significant (Table 3).

Multivariate hierarchical cluster analysis

The number of clusters was determined by considering the point at which the semi-partial R^2 (SR) value did not show a sharp increase when visualized via a tree procedure model (Supplementary Figures 1). In Figure 1, the SR value approaches 1 as the number of clusters is reduced, which

^aData from 2011.

^bData from 2010.

5

Table 3.	Correlations	between each	variable.

	Life expectancy at birth	Age-adjusted mortality rate	HALE	PHS	WBI	Gini index	Employment rate	Poverty rate
Life expectancy at birth	I							
Mortality rate	-0.859†	I						
HALE	0.952	-0.868†	I					
PHS	0.235	-0.276	0.111	I.				
WBI	0.523**	-0.543†	0.486**	0.666†	1			
Gini index	-0.344	-0.034	-0.177	-0.070	-0.158	1		
Employment rate	0.521**	-0.372*	0.441**	0.246	0.652†	-0.398*	I	
Poverty rate	-0.191	-0.178	-0.013	-0.095	-0.157	0.847†	-0.428**	I

HALE: health-adjusted life expectancy at birth; PHS: perceived health status; WBI: well-being index. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001.

implies a reduction in the homogeneity between clusters. Additionally, the pseudo T² statistic and cubic clustering criterion (CCC) were examined.

Physical health was classified according to life expectancy, mortality rate, and HALE. Group 1 consisted of 13 countries (including Sweden and Switzerland) and showed the best health performance with optimal values for life expectancy, mortality, and HALE (life expectancy=82.1 years; mortality rate=706.7 per 100,000 people; HALE=72.5 years). Group 2 consisted of the 14 countries (including the United Kingdom) that ranked second in terms of physical health (life expectancy=80.5 years; mortality rate=800.9 per 100,000 people; HALE=70.6 years). Group 3 consisted of five countries, including the Czech Republic (life expectancy=76.1 years; mortality rate=975.3 per 100,000 people; HALE=67.0 years), and the Slovak Republic and Hungary were categorized as Group 4 (life expectancy=75.7 years; mortality rate=1186.8 per 100,000 people; HALE=66.0 years).

Mental health was classified according to PHS and WBI. Group 1 comprised 10 countries (including Australia and Canada) with the best mental health (PHS=83.5%; WBI=7.0). Group 2 comprised 11 countries (including the United Kingdom) with second-tier mental health (PHS=69.6%; WBI=7.0). Eight countries (including Greece and Spain) were assigned to Group 3 (PHS=66.6%; WBI=6.0), and the five countries (including South Korea and Japan) with the lowest mental health scores (PHS=44.3%; WBI=5.1) were assigned to Group 4.

Social health was classified according to Gini, employment rates, and poverty rates. The 10 countries with the best social health (including Austria, Finland, and Switzerland) were assigned to Group 1 (Gini=0.268; employment rates=74%; poverty rates=7.8%). Group 4, with the worst social health, comprised seven countries, including Chile and Greece (Gini=0.406; employment rates=59%; poverty rates=17.9%). Groups 2 and 3 were intermediate, with Group 2 displaying better Gini and poverty rate scores than Group 3, while Group 3 had a comparative advantage in terms of employment rates. In all, 10 countries (including Ireland and France) were assigned to Group 2 (Gini=0.291; employment rates=61%; poverty rates=9.5%), and 7 countries (including Australia) were assigned to Group 3 (Gini=0.325; employment rates=70%; poverty rates=12.6%) (Table 4; Figure 2).

Discussion

On the whole, countries with good social security, such as Sweden, Switzerland, Iceland, the Netherlands, and Norway, had favorable health conditions. Furthermore, these countries were placed in the top tier in terms of gross domestic product (GDP) and total health expenditures (except for Iceland). Meanwhile, despite having one of the highest GDPs and total health expenditures, the United States was unable to gain a place in the upper group for physical and social health. While the United States managed a place in the top tier in terms of mental health, its placement in Group 2 for physical health could be considered a reflection of its inefficient healthcare system.^{24–26} Moreover, low levels of social health in the United States could be the result of its relative social inequality. The United States is the only OECD nation without a universal insurance system, though it has continually endeavored to improve its healthcare system.^{27,28} From a health sciences perspective, setting the US's top priority to "reducing and eliminating disparities and inequality" in Healthy People 2020 (in continuation to Healthy People 2010) and attempting to reduce health disparities seems to be appropriate.

Israel was placed in Group 1 in terms of physical and mental health but was included in Group 4 for social health. In the midst of the recent global financial crisis, Israel experienced several hardships. Israel faced severe income disparities, as low-income groups experienced a sharp decline in their earnings (one-fifth their pre-crisis level), whereas the high-income groups increased their earnings.²⁹ While this income disparity has gradually

Table 4. Grouping of physical, mental, and social health conditions.

Country	Grouping of physical health	Grouping of mental health	Grouping of social health
Sweden		1	1
Switzerland	1	1	I
Iceland	1	2	I
Netherlands	2	1	I
Norway	2	1	I
Australia	1	1	3
Austria	2	2	I
Canada	1	1	3
Denmark	2	2	1
Finland	2	2	1
France	1	2	2
Germany	2	2	I
Ireland	2	1	2
Luxembourg	1	2	2
New Zealand	I	1	3
Belgium	2	2	2
Israel	I	1	4
Italy	I	3	2
Czech Republic	3	3	1
Slovenia	2	3	2
United Kingdom	2	2	3
United States	2	1	4
Chile	2	2	4
Japan	I	4	3
South Korea	I	4	3
Poland	3	3	2
Portugal	2	4	2
Spain	I	3	4
Greece	2	3	4
Mexico	3	2	4
Slovak Republic	4	3	2
Estonia	3	4	3
Hungary	4	4	2
Turkey	3	3	4

Physical and mental health: health levels are highest in Group 1, followed by Groups 2, 3, and 4.

Social health: health level is highest in Group 1, while Groups 2 and 3 are both intermediate, as no group can be deemed better than the other. Health level is lowest in Group 4.

decreased recently, Israel's income inequality continues to be a serious issue compared to other OECD countries. The 2011 Israeli social justice protests are episodic representations of grievances regarding this issue.³⁰ Israel's high social inequality may also be due in large part to their high military expenditures, which limits any social welfare expenditures, leading to a relative shortage of means for counteracting social problems.³¹

Japan had the highest life expectancy and HALE values, and the lowest mortality, within the OECD, but Japan was placed in Group 4 for mental health. Japan's mortality rate was 20.9 per 100,000 people, with the third highest suicide mortality rate among OECD countries. Meanwhile, the country with the highest suicide mortality rate among OECD countries was South Korea (29.1), with a rate of 2.4 times the OECD average of 12.1.

Despite adequate physical health conditions, mental health in South Korea and Japan seems rather poor. For instance, PHS and WBI levels were extremely low, followed only by Eastern Bloc countries and Turkey. PHS is influenced by various environmental factors. Therefore, it would seem appropriate to develop various social support systems, such as community health programs, that allow individuals to cope well with their environments. South Korea and Japan, with its high suicide mortality rate, should strive to change its social structure and prioritize programs that allow individuals to appropriately cope with any mental health issue.



Figure 2. Health status according to physical, mental, and social health groupings.

Estonia, Hungary, the Slovak Republic, and Turkey generally reported poor health conditions. These countries comprised the lower tier for GDP and total health expenditures. These results could stem from these countries' relatively poor economic conditions and resultant social consequences. Estonia was part of the Soviet Union, sharing a Soviet influence with Hungary and the Slovak Republic. However, unlike these countries, Turkey is not part of the European Union (EU). While Turkey is one of the founding OECD members, it was under strong government-led industrial policies before 1990.

National health levels are positively related with economic power^{32,33} because resource abundance influences health determinants such as nutrition, lifestyle, medical services, and the environment. OECD nations are generally well-developed and have high health levels when compared to the global average.

Joumard et al.³⁴ categorized health levels into three groups using data from 2003: life expectancy at birth, life expectancy at 65 years, and infant mortality rate (IMR). Even at the time, Hungary and Turkey were part of the lowest group, and their health levels remained unchanged and below other OECD countries. In a study on 24 OECD countries conducted by the Conference Board of Canada (CBC), Japan had the highest score, with Sweden in second place, and South Korea, Norway, France, and Switzerland jointly assuming the third position.³⁵ In this study, Norway, France, and Switzerland had favorable physical, mental, and social health, thus confirming results of the CBC study. However, as South Korea and Japan had the lowest mental health levels and were mid-level in terms of social health, there are some differences between this study and CBC studies. This is mainly due to the CBC ranking index consisting mainly of physical health indices, namely, mortality and morbidity.

When putting together the study results, it is strongly suggested that mental health policy should be further strengthened in cases of Korea and Japan. Also, the overall health index is not good in the Eastern Bloc countries, which is closely related to economic indicators such as GDP and unemployment. Since it is difficult to approach these economic indicators from a health point of view, it is suggested that health policies should be established focusing on health equity for effective improvement of indicators. This study did not consider grouping overall health levels because a cluster analysis integrates homogeneous factors, and physical, mental, and social health are quite different across different countries. Otherwise, if indicators are homogeneous, they could be tied to rank order clustering. However, if the indicators are heterogeneous, then the factors cannot be ranked from good to poor and will have to be classified according to specific attributes.

Perceived health and well-being are general indicators of mental health, but since they are closely related to physical and social health,36,37 they have limitations in terms of difficulty in measuring them as indicators of mental health.³⁸ Well-being is an indicator of cultural influence and it is very difficult to compare nations considering their cultural differences. Therefore, subjective indicators can be influenced by socio-cultural factors that make comparison between countries difficult, and therefore, one must be very careful in doing so. Some of the missing indicators have been replaced by their indicators of the last year. Although there was a relatively small variation in numbers, the possibility that it may have affected the grouping cannot be excluded because there was a difference of up to 3 years based on the indicator. Finally, inter-relationships, social capital, and support factors were not considered, as not all countries provided indices for these variables.

Conclusion

The countries with the best overall health conditions, aggregated across physical, mental, and social indices, were Sweden and Switzerland. These countries were placed in the top tier in terms of GDP and total health expenditures. Estonia, Hungary, and Turkey were comparatively poorer across all three domains when compared to other OECD countries. These countries composed the lower tier for GDP and total health expenditures. Additionally, if even one or two health factors were adequate, this did not necessarily mean that other health factors would be satisfactory. Our results were different from those of previous studies, which primarily focused on physical health. The main strength of this study was the incorporation of mental and social health indicators in determining the health levels among OECD countries. Finally, we suggested that mental health policy should be further strengthened in cases of Korea and Japan. In case of the Eastern Bloc countries, health policies should be established focusing on health equity for effective improvement of indicators.

Acknowledgements

M.-B.P. led and designed the study. E.W.N. and C.-B.K. reviewed the literature and suggested the scope. H.J.L., K.-S.L., and S.-B.K. checked the statistical method and framework. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript. All authors have approved the manuscript to be published.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical approval

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals that were conducted by any of the authors.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study received the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2013S1A5B8A01055336). This work was also supported by the research grant of Pai Chai University in 2017.

ORCID iD

Myung-Bae Park (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1892-6632

Supplementary materials

All data can be used from "https://stats.oecd.org." If you need the processed data, please contact author for data requests.

References

- Grad FP. The preamble of the constitution of the World Health Organization. *Bull World Health Organ* 2002; 80: 981–984.
- Herrman H, Saxena S and Moodie R. Promoting mental health: concepts, emerging evidence, practice: a report of the World Health Organization, Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse in Collaboration with the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation and the University of Melbourne. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2005.
- Park Y-S. Effects of the social health of the aged on their quality of life. *J Korea Content Assoc* 2013; 13: 726–739.
- 4. wiseGEEK. *What is social health?* Sparks, NV: wiseGEEK, 2015.
- Diener E and Chan MY. Happy people live longer: subjective well-being contributes to health and longevity. *Appl Psychol: Hlth We* 2011; 3: 1–43.
- Ostir GV, Ottenbacher KJ and Markides KS. Onset of frailty in older adults and the protective role of positive affect. *Psychol Aging* 2004; 19: 402–408.
- Siahpush M, Spittal M and Singh GK. Happiness and life satisfaction prospectively predict self-rated health, physical health, and the presence of limiting, long-term health conditions. *Am J Health Promot* 2008; 23: 18–26.
- Bill S, Martin M and Dermott Q. *Health and social care inequalities monitoring system: second update bulletin.* Belfast: Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety, 2007.
- Artazcoz L, Benach J, Borrell C, et al. Unemployment and mental health: understanding the interactions among gender, family roles, and social class. *Am J Public Health* 2004; 94: 82–88.
- 10. Mossakowski KN. The influence of past unemployment duration on symptoms of depression among young women and

men in the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2009; 99: 1826–1832.

- Noelke C and Beckfield J. Recessions, job loss, and mortality among older US adults. *Am J Public Health* 2014; 104: e126–e134.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Global health observatory data repository—life expectancy data by country. Geneva: WHO, 2015.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Global health observatory data repository—suicide rates data by country. Geneva: WHO, 2015.
- 14. OECD. Health at a glance: Europe 2014. Paris: OECD, 2015.
- Labbe J. Health-adjusted life expectancy: concepts and estimates. In: Preedy VR and Watson RR (eds) *Handbook of disease burdens and quality of life measures*. Berlin: Springer, 2010, pp. 417–424.
- Hackney CH and Sanders GS. Religiosity and mental health: a meta–analysis of recent studies. *J Sci Study Relig* 2003; 42: 43–55.
- Yoo SK, Park E, Jeong KS, et al. Meta-analytic review on the relationship between meaning in life and mental health (mainly of domestic studies between 1996–2013). *Korean J Psychol* 2014; 33: 441–468.
- Larson JS. The World Health Organization's definition of health: social versus spiritual health. *Soc Indic Res* 1996; 38: 181–192.
- 19. Szaflarski M. The impact of inequality: how to make sick societies healthier. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2006; 3: A26.
- 20. IBM. *Hierarchical cluster analysis method*. New York: IBM, 2017.
- Joomi B, Youngmi C and Hyeyeon J. An analysis of the clusters of Internet-addicted elementary school students. *Stud Korean Youth* 2013; 24: 233–259.
- Reedy J, Wirfält E, Flood A, et al. Comparing 3 dietary pattern methods—cluster analysis, factor analysis, and index analysis—with colorectal cancer risk: the NIH–AARP diet and health study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009; 171: 479–487.
- 23. Guthrie E, Creed F, Fernandes L, et al. Cluster analysis of symptoms and health seeking behaviour differentiates subgroups of patients with severe irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 2003; 52: 1616–1622.

- 24. Starfield B. Is US health really the best in the world? *JAMA* 2000; 284: 483–485.
- Schoen C, Davis K, How SK, et al. US health system performance: a national scorecard. *Health Aff* 2006; 25: w457– w475.
- Murray CJ and Frenk J. Ranking 37th—measuring the performance of the US health care system. *New Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 98–99.
- 27. Berwick DM and Hackbarth AD. Eliminating waste in US health care. *JAMA* 2012; 307: 1513–1516.
- Hamel MB, Blumenthal D and Collins SR. Health care coverage under the affordable care act—a progress report. *New Engl J Med* 2014; 371: 275–281.
- 29. OECD. Society at a glance 2014. Paris: OECD, 2014.
- Wikipedia. 2011 Israeli social justice protests, https:// en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2011_Israeli_social_justice_protests
- 31. Ucal M, Karabulut G and Bilgin MH. *Military expenditures and inequality: empirical evidence from Israel.* Munich: Munich University Library, 2009.
- Ashraf QH, Lester A and Weil DN. When does improving health raise GDP? Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research, 2008.
- Mladenović I, Milovančević M, Mladenović SS, et al. Analyzing and management of health care expenditure and gross domestic product (GDP) growth rate by adaptive neurofuzzy technique. *Comput Hum Behav* 2016; 64: 524–530.
- Joumard I, André C, Nicq C, et al. Health status determinants: lifestyle, environment, health care resources and efficiency. OECD Economics Department Working Paper, OECD, Paris, 27 May 2010.
- Hamilton C, Swettenham J and Andresen M. *Healthy prov*inces, healthy Canadians: a provincial benchmarking report. Ottawa, ON, Canada: Conference Board of Canada, 2006.
- Al-Windi A. The relations between symptoms, somatic and psychiatric conditions, life satisfaction and perceived health. A primary care based study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2005; 3: 28.
- Penedo FJ and Dahn JR. Exercise and well-being: a review of mental and physical health benefits associated with physical activity. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2005; 18: 189–193.
- OECD. How's life? 2013 measuring well-being. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2014.