

# Exploiting Prophage-Mediated Lysis for Biotherapeutic Release by Lactobacillus reuteri

Laura M. Alexander,<sup>a</sup> Jee-Hwan Oh,<sup>a</sup> Donald S. Stapleton,<sup>b</sup> Kathryn L. Schueler,<sup>b</sup> Mark P. Keller,<sup>b</sup> Alan D. Attie,<sup>b</sup> Jan-Peter van Pijkeren<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Food Science, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Applied and Environmental

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY MICROBIOLOGY

**ABSTRACT** Lactobacillus reuteri has the potential to be developed as a microbial therapeutic delivery platform because of an established safety profile, health-promoting properties, and available genome editing tools. Here, we show that *L. reuteri* VPL1014 exhibits a low mutation rate compared to other Gram-positive bacteria, which we expect will contribute to the stability of genetically modified strains. VPL1014 encodes two biologically active prophages, which are induced during gastrointestinal transit. We hypothesized that intracellularly accumulated recombinant protein can be released following bacteriophage-mediated lysis. To test this, we engineered VPL1014 to accumulate leptin, our model protein, inside the cell. *In vitro* prophage induction of recombinant VPL1014 released leptin into the extracellular milieu, which corresponded to bacteriophage production. We also employed a plasmid system that does not require antibiotic in the growth medium for plasmid maintenance. Collectively, these data provide new avenues to exploit native prophages to deliver therapeutic molecules.

**IMPORTANCE** Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have been explored as potential biotherapeutic vehicles for the past 20 years. To secrete a therapeutic in the extracellular milieu, one typically relies on the bacterial secretion pathway, i.e., the Sec pathway. Overexpression of a secreted protein can overload the secretory pathway and impact the organism's fitness, and optimization of the signal peptide is also required to maximize the efficiency of the release of mature protein. Here, we describe a previously unexplored approach to release therapeutics from the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri*. We demonstrate that an intracellularly accumulated recombinant protein is released following prophage activation. Since we recently demonstrated that prophages are activated during gastrointestinal transit, we propose that this method will provide a straightforward and efficient approach to deliver therapeutics *in vivo*.

**KEYWORDS** *Lactobacillus reuteri*, bacteriophage, leptin, microbial delivery, probiotic, prophage, therapeutic

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are a diverse group of Gram-positive, non-spore-forming bacteria. Representative genera include *Lactococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Leuconostoc*, and *Pediococcus*. Lactic acid is the main end product of glucose fermentation, which in homofermentative LAB yields two molecules of lactic acid per molecule of glucose, whereas heterofermentative LAB convert glucose to a mixture of carbon dioxide, ethanol, and lactic acid (1). LAB can be found in various food-related ecosystems, including plant materials and traditional fermented foods (e.g., kimchi), and are of interest to the food industry, as several LAB strains produce antimicrobial molecules, i.e., bacteriocins (2). Some of these bacteriocins are effective in killing foodborne pathogenic bacteria, including *Listeria monocytogenes* (3). Due to the long history of safe consumption, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration deemed many LAB strains to

**Citation** Alexander LM, Oh J-H, Stapleton DS, Schueler KL, Keller MP, Attie AD, van Pijkeren J-P. 2019. Exploiting prophage-mediated lysis for biotherapeutic release by *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Appl Environ Microbiol 85:e02335-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02335-18.

Editor Eric V. Stabb, University of Georgia Copyright © 2019 Alexander et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Jan-Peter van Pijkeren, vanpijkeren@wisc.edu.

Received 3 October 2018 Accepted 18 January 2019

Accepted manuscript posted online 25 January 2019 Published 2 May 2019 be generally recognized as safe (GRAS) (4). The safety of the probiotic itself, combined with the fact that several strains have health-promoting properties, have put LAB in the spotlight to be genetically modified as factories for the production of recombinant, therapeutic proteins.

Members of the genera Lactococcus and Lactobacillus are excellent hosts for the production of enzymes, biofuels, prophylactics, and therapeutics (5-8). Due to the ability of LAB to survive gastrointestinal (GI) transit and interact with mucosal environments, the oral or intranasal LAB-mediated delivery of vaccines and therapeutics is an attractive alternative to intravenous or intramuscular administration of antigenic molecules (9). Recombinant LAB have demonstrated efficacy in animal models for the delivery of vaccines to target Clostridium difficile (10), Helicobacter pylori (11–13), human papillomavirus (14-16), and influenza viruses (17-21). Lactococcus lactis, a species commonly found in milk products, has been extensively explored as a delivery vehicle and has been engineered to produce a variety of therapeutics, including interleukin-10 (IL-10) (22, 23), leptin (24), and the HIV-1 virucide cyanovirin protein (25). Phase I clinical trials with recombinant L. lactis secreting IL-10 demonstrated that the treatment was safe, but no significant decrease in disease activity in patients suffering from Crohn's disease was observed (23). While further investigation is needed to better translate success in animal models to human applications, it is evident that L. lactis paved the way to develop LAB, including *Lactobacillus reuteri*, as therapeutic delivery vehicles.

*Lactobacillus reuteri* is a gut symbiont species found in the intestine of various vertebrates, including humans, pigs, cattle, rodents, sheep, and birds (26–31). The organism has evolved to thrive in the intestine, and select strains exhibit probiotic features, including modulation of inflammation (32–35), prevention of bone loss in menopausal females (36), and production of reuterin, an antimicrobial molecule that has activity against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes*, for example (37, 38). Genetic tools, such as single-stranded DNA recombineering (39), CRISPR-Cas genome editing (40), and a counterselection marker (41), have been developed for *L. reuteri* and provide the species the potential to be developed as a therapeutic delivery vehicle.

To secrete therapeutic molecules from bacteria, research groups have exclusively exploited the secretory pathway. The secretory pathway is an export machinery responsible for transporting a variety of proteins into and across the plasma membrane of bacteria (42). For biotherapeutic delivery, a signal peptide targets the therapeutic protein for secretion and is recognized by signal peptidase I (SPaseI), a transmembrane protein that facilitates translocation of the therapeutic fusion protein across the bacterial cell membrane and that cleaves the signal peptide (43). The mature protein either remains associated with the cell, is anchored to the cell surface, or is released into the extracellular space (43). However, exploiting the secretory pathway to secrete high levels of recombinant protein can impose a burden on the cell. Additionally, the design of the fusion protein comes with several challenges. For example, the amino acid composition of the signal peptide combined with the N-terminal sequence of the mature protein is critical for the optimal processing of SPasel (44). The SPasel efficiency in E. coli, Bacillus subtilis, or select lactobacilli does not always extend to other lactobacilli, and the extraordinary genetic diversity of members of the genus Lactobacillus likely contributes to this (45–49). Clearly, passage of recombinant proteins through the secretory pathway can be a bottleneck to efficiently deliver content and, thus, requires optimization to maximize efficiency (43, 44).

Another important consideration in the engineering of bacteria as biotherapeutic delivery vehicles is finding alternatives to antibiotic selection for recombinant plasmid maintenance. Antibiotic alternatives should eliminate both the need for antibiotics in the growth medium and concerns about spreading antibiotic resistance genes to the host microbiota. Engineered auxotrophy provides an elegant solution to this problem. By modifying the bacterium for auxotrophy to an essential amino acid, for example, the relevant gene can then be supplied in *trans* on the desired plasmid expression system.



**FIG 1** Assessment of potential biotherapeutic delivery vehicles. (a) Mutation rates of selected lactic acid bacteria determined by the FALCOR method (6). *L. reuteri* (Lre) exhibits a low mutation rate relative to other lactic acid bacteria, *L. salivarius* (Ls), *L. gasseri* (Lg), *L. fermentum* (Lf), *L. jensenii* (Lj), *L. casei* (Lc), *L. rhamnosus* (Lrh), *L. acidophilus* (La), *L. plantarum* (Lp), and *L. lactis* (L1). \*, P < 0.05; \*\*, P < 0.01 (relative to *L. reuteri*). The results shown are averages from three independent experiments ± standard error. (b) LAB survival following GI transit in a mouse. *L. reuteri* [LR::*rpoB*(H488R)], *L. rhamnosus*, and *L. plantarum* survived GI transit at least 10-fold better than *L. lactis* (P < 0.001, Tukey's HSD). Each dot represents a single mouse. Different letters indicate statistical differences between the respective treatment groups.

Examples of this include the use of triosephosphate isomerase in *E. coli* (50), threonine auxotrophy in *L. lactis* (51), and thymidine synthase in *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (52).

In this study, we explored the potential of *L. reuteri* VPL1014 to be a therapeutic delivery platform. Rather than using the secretion pathway to secrete proteins into the environment, we exploited native prophages of *L. reuteri* to lyse the bacterium and to release our model protein, leptin. Finally, we employed a thymidine synthase-based plasmid system that can be stably maintained in the cell without the need for antibiotic selection.

# RESULTS

L. reuteri VPL1014 has a low mutation rate and survives gastrointestinal transit in a mouse. One of the long-term goals of our research group is to develop lactic acid bacteria (LAB) as a platform to deliver therapeutics in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Potential strains for this purpose ideally (i) have a low mutation rate to preserve genetic integrity, (ii) can survive GI transit, and (iii) are genetically accessible. First, we performed a mutation rate analysis on 10 select LAB, including Lactococcus lactis, to determine the number of mutations acquired per cell per generation. We observed that L. reuteri VPL1014 exhibited the lowest mutation rate (8.7  $\times$  10<sup>-10</sup> mutations/cell/ generation), which was 9.4-fold lower than that of L. lactis (90.4  $\times$  10<sup>-10</sup> mutations/ cell/generation) (Fig. 1a). The mutation rate of L. reuteri VPL1014 was 3.4-fold lower than that of the strain with the second-lowest mutation rate, Lactobacillus salivarius  $(29.7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mutations/cell/generation})$ , with the mutation rate in the latter being comparable to the mutation rates of the seven remaining lactobacillus strains, ranging from  $42.9 \times 10^{-10}$  (Lactobacillus gasseri ATCC 33323) to  $60.6 \times 10^{-10}$  (Lactobacillus plantarum BAA-793) mutations/cell/generation. Thus, the mutation rate varies considerably within the genus Lactobacillus.

Next, we compared a subset of LAB—*L. reuteri* VPL1014, *L. plantarum* BAA-793, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 53103, and *L. lactis* NZ9000—for their ability to survive passage through the mouse GI tract. To identify and quantify the strains, we isolated rifampin-resistant derivatives either by selecting strains that have naturally acquired mutations to render rifampin resistance (*L. rhamnosus*, *L. plantarum*) or by mutating the *rpoB* gene to yield a rifampin-resistant phenotype, which we accomplished by single-stranded DNA recombineering [creating *L. lactis* LC::*rpoB*(H486N) and *L. reuteri* LR:: *rpoB*(H488R)] (39). We administered the bacteria to mice (n = 6/group) for two consecutive days at 10<sup>8</sup> CFU per day, and at 16 h after the final gavage we quantified the



**FIG 2** *L. reuteri*-mediated leptin production. (a) Western blotting results for intracellularly accumulated leptin indicated that leptin-3×FLAG is produced at the expected size, while the majority of secreted leptin is incorrectly cleaved. Lane I, LR/pLeptin-3×FLAG (19 kDa); lane SP, secreted leptin LR/pSP-Leptin-3×FLAG (23 kDa). (b) ELISA confirmed leptin production by LR/pLeptin. Ctl, LR/pCtl; nd, not detected. The results shown are averages from three independent experiments  $\pm$  standard error, normalized per 1 mg of cell pellet dry weight.

viable bacteria in the feces. *L. rhamnosus* and *L. plantarum* were the most robust in their ability to survive GI transit (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/100 mg feces), while *L. reuteri* and *L. lactis* were recovered at 10<sup>5</sup> and 10<sup>4</sup> CFU/100 mg feces, respectively (Fig. 1b). *L. lactis* MG1363— the precursor strain of NZ9000—has successfully been developed as a therapeutic delivery vehicle in clinical trials (23). We concluded that the intermediate survival capacity of *L. reuteri* VPL1014 is not a limiting factor in the development of this strain as a therapeutic delivery vehicle. The combination of the low mutation rate, the ability to survive passage through the GI tract at levels that exceed those of the established *L. lactis* delivery vehicle, and the extended genome editing toolbox that has been developed for use in *L. reuteri* (single-stranded DNA recombineering, CRISPR-Cas genome editing, and a recently developed counterselection marker [39–41]) led us to select *L. reuteri* VPL1014 for development as a therapeutic delivery vehicle. To evaluate *L. reuteri* VPL1014 as a delivery vehicle, we chose the hormone leptin as our model molecule.

Secreted leptin is inefficiently processed by Lactobacillus reuteri VPL1014. Leptin is produced by adipose tissue and modulates appetite in humans and mice by signaling satiety in the brain (53, 54). First, we engineered *L. reuteri* VPL1014 to produce  $3 \times$ FLAG-tagged murine leptin, codon optimized for expression in *L. reuteri*, from the multicopy plasmid pJP028 (LR/pSP-Leptin- $3 \times$ FLAG). By Western blot analysis, we demonstrated that recombinant leptin was produced by LR/pSP-Leptin- $3 \times$ FLAG. However, our results suggested that the signal peptide was not processed efficiently; the size of the majority of the recombinant protein corresponded to that of the unprocessed precursor, while a small fraction of protein yielded the expected size for mature leptin (Fig. 2a). These findings were substantiated after we engineered *L. reuteri* to express leptin lacking a signal peptide (LR/pLeptin- $3 \times$ FLAG): Western blot analysis demonstrated that the  $3 \times$ FLAG-tagged leptin was produced at the expected size (19 kDa; Fig. 2a). To circumvent the use of a signal peptide to release the recombinant protein, we decided to pursue the development of *L. reuteri* to accumulate leptin within the cell for subsequent delivery.

First, we determined to what extent recombinant protein can be accumulated in the cells. To quantify the amount of leptin that was accumulated intracellularly, we lysed a  $\sim$ 16-h culture of LR/pLeptin-3×FLAG by bead beating and subjected the cell-free supernatant to enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Our results showed that approximately 132 ± 27.8 ng of leptin per 1 mg of cells (by cell dry weight) was produced by recombinant *L. reuteri* (Fig. 2b). As expected, we did not detect leptin in the lysate derived from *L. reuteri* VPL1014 harboring the pJP028 vector control plasmid (pCtl). Once we had determined that leptin could be accumulated to nanogram-permilligram concentrations in culture medium, there was an opportunity to release recombinant protein following cell lysis. This approach would alleviate the concern of inefficient secretion and/or processing of the signal peptide and relieve the pressure on

the bacterial secretion system to secrete recombinant protein. Therefore, we explored the use of bacteriophages to release recombinant protein from *L. reuteri*.

Exploiting prophages to release the therapeutic molecule. We recently demonstrated that L. reuteri ATCC PTA 6475, a precursor of VPL1014, encodes two biologically active prophages, which are bacterial viruses that are integrated in the bacterial genome (55). During GI transit, the prophages are activated, leading to the production of bacteriophages and an approximately 8-fold reduction in L. reuteri survival (55). We reasoned that we could exploit bacteriophage-mediated lysis to release a therapeutic molecule. To establish a proof of concept, we used an untagged version of the same construct described above (LR/pLeptin) and performed a mitomycin C induction experiment. Mitomycin C is a DNA-damaging agent that induces the SOS response of bacteria, which in turn activates lysogenic phage to lead to phage-mediated cell lysis (56, 57). LR/pLeptin and the wild-type strain harboring an empty vector control (LR/pCtl) were induced with mitomycin C (0.5  $\mu$ g/ml) at an optical density at 600 nm  $(OD_{600})$  of 0.3. Before induction (time zero  $[T_0]$ ) and at 5 h after MitC induction  $(T_5)$ , we harvested culture supernatants to quantify leptin. We chose  $T_5$  as our endpoint in the analysis as no further reduction in optical density was observed compared to that at 6 h postinduction ( $T_6$ ) and beyond. At  $T_5$ , we recovered 18.8-fold more leptin in the supernatant of the induced culture than in that of the uninduced control culture (51.6 ng/ml for the induced culture versus 2.6 ng/ml for the uninduced culture; P < 0.001). This suggests that prophage activation promotes the release of intracellularly accumulated protein from LR/pLeptin.

Next, we examined the dynamics of phage-induced lysis and leptin release. We induced LR/pLeptin with mitomycin C and tracked the number of PFU per milliliter, leptin release, and growth (OD<sub>600</sub>) every hour postinduction. We report leptin release as the percentage of leptin detected in the supernatant compared to the total amount of leptin present in the supernatant and cells. As expected, induction of LR/pLeptin resulted in an increase in the amount of PFU over time (Fig. 3a). Compared to the amount at 2 h postinduction ( $T_2$ ), at 3 h postinduction ( $T_3$ ) we observed an exponential increase in the number of PFU per milliliter (3.8 log PFU/ml at  $T_2$  versus 6.9 log PFU/ml at  $T_3$ ; P < 0.02; Fig. 3a), which corresponded to a significant increase in the percentage of leptin released (1.21% at  $T_2$  versus 16.1% at  $T_3$ ; P < 0.05; Fig. 3b). At the following time points,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$ , the cell density was reduced (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.79 at  $T_3$  versus 0.66  $T_4$ and 0.63 at  $T_5$ ), signifying cell lysis (Fig. 3c). At 5 h postinduction, 38% of the leptin was released into the culture supernatant. In the uninduced LR/pLeptin control, the number of PFU increased slightly at 3 h postinduction (3.9 log PFU/ml at T<sub>2</sub> versus 4.7 log PFU/ml at  $T_3$ ; P < 0.05), while the percentage of released leptin remained steady (0.62%) at  $T_2$  versus 0.58% at  $T_3$ ; P > 0.05) (Fig. 3). Together, these data suggest that bacteriophage-mediated lysis contributes to leptin release. To further substantiate this, we expressed leptin in LR $\Delta\Phi$ 1 $\Delta\Phi$ 2, a derivative which lacks prophages (55). Mitomycin C induction of the LR $\Delta\Phi$ 1 $\Delta\Phi$ 2/pLeptin culture did not induce lysis, and leptin release was marginal, as we recovered only 1.9% and 3.82% leptin at  $T_3$  and  $T_5$ , respectively (Fig. 3). Collectively, we demonstrated that an exponential increase in phage production releases recombinant protein into the extracellular milieu, which provides a novel approach to deliver therapeutics. However, LR/pLeptin requires further optimization prior to in vivo studies. For example, as of now, we require antibiotics to maintain the recombinant plasmid in the cell. To overcome this, we focused next on an approach to eliminate the need for antibiotics in the growth medium.

**pMutL-ThyA stably maintains LR/pLeptin in the absence of antibiotic selection.** The gene *thyA* encodes thymidylate synthase, which converts dUMP to dTMP, also known as thymidine (52). Previously, it was demonstrated that plasmid expression of *thyA* in a genetic background that lacks *thyA* renders stable plasmid maintenance (52, 58, 59). To establish a proof of concept in *L. reuteri*, we first inactivated *thyA* by single-stranded DNA recombineering and confirmed that the resultant strain was auxotrophic for thymidine (data not shown). Next, we modified the leptin expression vector, which contains gene cassettes that encode resistance to chloramphenicol (Cm)



**FIG 3** Leptin release from recombinant VPL1014 following mitomycin C treatment. (a) Numbers of PFU derived from leptin-producing VPL1014 culture. No PFU were produced by induced or uninduced LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2/pLeptin$ . The results shown are averages from three independent experiments ± standard error. (b) ELISA data showing the percentage of total leptin (from the supernatant [SN] plus the cell lysate) released into the extracellular milieu. The results shown are averages from three independent experiments ± standard error. (c) Growth curves of uninduced LR/pLeptin, uninduced LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2/pLeptin$ , induced LR/pLeptin, and induced LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2/pLeptin$  are shown. Asterisks indicate statistical differences between respective induced and uninduced groups. \*, *P* < 0.05; \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*\*\*, *P* < 0.001 (Tukey's HSD). The results shown are averages of three independent experiments ± standard error.

and erythromycin (Em). We replaced the gene cassette encoding chloramphenicol resistance with *thyA* and transformed the resultant construct into LR $\Delta$ *thyA*::*rpoB*(H488R) to yield LRAthyA::rpoB(H488R)/pLeptin-ThyA. For this experiment, we purposely maintained the marker encoding erythromycin resistance, which allowed us to accurately determine plasmid stability within the population. As controls, we included an empty vector control (pCtl-ThyA) and the vector encoding leptin lacking thyA (pLeptin). To determine plasmid stability, we passaged the strains for  $\sim$ 100 generations in De Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) medium without antibiotic selection, after which we determined the total number of CFU and the number of CFU which were resistant to erythromycin. After  $\sim$ 100 generations, we confirmed that 12%  $\pm$  7.5% of the cells retained the empty vector control (pCtl-ThyA), while we did not recover any cells that retained the plasmid expressing leptin (pLeptin), whereas the plasmid expressing ThyA (pLeptin-ThyA) was present in 77%  $\pm$  12% of the cells in the population (Fig. 4). We also performed this experiment in modified MRS medium (mMRS) without antibiotic or thymidine and observed that after 50 generations, pCtl-ThyA and pLeptin-ThyA were retained at 62%  $\pm$  20% and 100%  $\pm$  11%, respectively, while pLeptin was lost after 25 generations (Fig. 4, inset). Thus, the combination of LRAthyA::rpoB(H488R) and in trans expression of ThyA increased plasmid stability compared to that in the controls. In conclusion,  $LR\Delta thyA:rpoB(H488R)/pLeptin-ThyA$  now constitutes a strain that does not require antibiotic in the growth medium for plasmid retention, which we will exploit in future in vivo studies.

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we explored the novel approach of exploiting the *L. reuteri* VPL1014 prophage for the release of an intracellularly accumulated biotherapeutic. Based on its genetic stability, *in vivo* survivability, and genetic accessibility, we pursued *L. reuteri* VPL1014 as a delivery platform. After engineering *L. reuteri* VPL1014 to produce leptin



**FIG 4** Plasmid stability of the pLeptin-ThyA construct in LR $\Delta$ thyA::rpoB(H488R). Plasmid stability is represented by the percentage of cells from plain MRS broth that retained pLeptin-ThyA, pCtl-ThyA, or pLeptin over the course of ~100 generations without antibiotic in the medium. (Inset) Plasmid stability of pLeptin-ThyA, pCtl-ThyA, or pLeptin from mMRS without thymidine (no beef extract) (P < 0.01, Tukey's HSD). The results shown are averages from three independent experiments  $\pm$  standard error. Different letters indicate statistical differences between the respective treatment groups.

within the cell, we demonstrated that we can exploit phage-mediated lysis to release leptin, while we also developed a plasmid system that does not require antibiotic selection.

An important criterion for the selection of L. reuteri VPL1014 for development as a biotherapeutic delivery platform was the low mutation rate of this strain. The underlying mechanisms that explain our findings remain speculative, but it is possible that differences in the activity of MutS, a conserved protein that repairs mismatches that occur during replication (60, 61), could contribute to the differences in the mutation rates of lactobacilli. We determined that L. reuteri ATCC PTA 6475-a precursor of VPL1014—and DSM20016<sup>T</sup> have similar mutation rates (data not shown), and our finding could provide a potential explanation of the clonal nature of human-derived L. reuteri strains ATCC PTA 6475, JCM1112, and DSM20016<sup>T</sup>, which were isolated from different continents yet which have nearly identical genomes (62). From a practical standpoint, our finding is interesting because the low mutation rate of L. reuteri VPL1014 results in a recombinant strain that acquires few single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) compared to other LAB strains, including L. lactis, which has a mutation rate that is nearly 10-fold higher than that of L. reuteri. Thus, we expect that the low mutation rate of L. reuteri VPL1014 contributes to the genetic stability of our engineered strains.

Although the mutation rate of *L. reuteri* VPL1014 was one of the main criteria for selection of this strain for further development as a therapeutic, we observed that the ability to survive gastrointestinal transit was intermediate compared to that of the other strains tested. In fact, *L. plantarum* BAA-793 and *L. rhamnosus* GG survived GI transit better than *L. reuteri* VPL1014. Logically, differences in the ability to survive exposure to acids, i.e., stomach and bile, may explain our observation. In addition, differences in prophage activation during GI transit could contribute to different efficiencies of survival of GI transit. Recently, we demonstrated that prophages in *L. reuteri* are activated during GI transit. A mutant lacking the prophage genomes was recovered at approximately 8-fold higher levels than *L. reuteri* isolates harboring prophages (55). At this point, we cannot compare our recent findings to those in the literature, as studies pertaining to prophage activation of gut symbionts during GI transit are in their infancy. However, the genomes of both *L. plantarum* and *L. rhamnosus* encode biologically active prophages, as demonstrated by mitomycin C induction experiments (data not shown), which means that prophages cannot be excluded as a factor contributing to

differences in GI survival. Less surprising was our finding that *L. lactis* was the least robust during GI transit. *L. lactis* is commonly found in milk products and has not evolved to thrive in the intestinal environment, unlike *L. reuteri*, for example (63, 64). Although *L. lactis* NZ9000 does not carry biologically active prophages that can contribute to reduced GI survival (65), it has been shown that *L. lactis* is more sensitive to bile acids (66), which could partially explain the organism's reduced ability to survive GI transit.

Induction of prophages in *L. reuteri* during GI transit, thereby causing cell lysis in the gut and the release of intracellular contents, can be used to deliver therapeutics. Recently published findings showed that the intracellular accumulation of interleukin-22 (IL-22) in *L. reuteri* leads to the release of IL-22 during gastrointestinal transit, as demonstrated by the induced expression of *reglll* $\gamma$ , a gene regulated by IL-22 (67). With a daily dosage of 10<sup>7</sup> CFU of recombinant *L. reuteri* for 7 days, Hendrikx et al. observed a decrease in liver damage in a model of murine alcohol-induced liver disease (67). Despite this exciting result, we do not currently have evidence that this method would result in the systemic delivery of a therapeutic, which would be necessary for leptin to acquire biological efficacy. Achieving systemic delivery may also depend on the properties of the biotherapeutic molecule itself, such as polarity and hydrophobicity. For any potential therapeutics, individual *in vivo* studies must be conducted to demonstrate delivery and efficacy.

There may be multiple advantages to using phage-mediated lysis as an approach. First, we have shown that diet can alter bacteriophage production in *L. reuteri* (55), which opens up the exciting opportunity to explore diet as a means to control the release of therapeutics. Second, prophage-mediated delivery of therapeutics could reduce the total number of viable recombinant bacteria, thereby contributing to biological containment. However, studies to maximize biological containment to fully eradicate recombinant *L. reuteri* remain needed: although *L. reuteri* has proven to be safe for consumption (68–74), strategies need to be in place to halt the release of recombinant protein to better control both dosage and a possible undesirable side effect. Third, using bacteriophages to release therapeutic molecules alleviates the need to identify the optimal signal peptide for secretion, which is known to be dependent on the sequence of the mature protein and the screening for which can be a time-consuming process (44). Also, we expect that we are imposing a lower burden on cell metabolism.

In future studies, we plan to address the biological containment of our genetically modified organism. We will insert the leptin gene into the *L. reuteri* chromosome under the control of the EF-Tu promoter and maximize the level of phage-mediated lysis by hijacking the phage regulatory proteins. We expect that this will result in a food-grade, antibiotic-free, biologically contained delivery platform. At a minimum, the concept of engineering *L. reuteri* for the intracellular accumulation of a product combined with phage-mediated lysis can be applied to studies to explore the local effect of molecules that would otherwise be difficult to deliver to the GI tract. The exploitation and study of probiotic prophages can both result in an effective, biologically contained therapeutic delivery vehicle and provide further insight into the role of phages in probiotic efficacy. In conclusion, our work presents a novel method to accomplish the delivery of therapeutics by exploiting phage-mediated lysis for therapeutic release by *L. reuteri* VPL1014.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and media.** The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* EC1000 was used as an intermediate cloning host and was cultured at  $37^{\circ}$ C in lysogeny broth (LB; Teknova). Competent cells of *E. coli* EC1000 were prepared as described previously (75). *Lactobacillus reuteri* isolates lacking prophages, LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2$  (VPL4121) and LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2\Delta attB1\Delta attB2$  (VPL4090, lytic host) were constructed previously (55). Construction of the rifampin-resistant strains used in the *in vivo* survival experiment was achieved through single-stranded DNA recombineering that targeted the *rpoB* gene [*L. lactis* (VPL4005) and LR::*rpoB*(H488R) (VPL4126)] as described previously (39) or by random mutant isolation (*L. rhamnosus* VPL4141 and *L. plantarum* VPL4142). Lactobacilli were

#### TABLE 1 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

		Source or
Strain or plasmid <sup>a</sup>	Characteristics <sup>b</sup>	reference
Strains		
E. coli EC1000	Derivative of E. coli MC1000 in which repA is integrated in the chromosome	85
L. reuteri		
VPL1014	Human breast milk isolate	Lab stock
VPL4090	Mutant lacking both active phages and <i>attB</i> sites, lytic host	55
VPL4121	Mutant lacking both active phages, restored <i>attB</i> sites, LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2$	55
VPL4224	Rif <sup>r</sup> mutant generated with oVPL236 for mutation in <i>rpoB</i> gene (H488R)	55
VPL4243	Derived from VPL4224, mutations introduced in <i>thyA</i> (Y38*, Q39S, M40L) with oVPL1670	This work
L. rhamnosus		
ATCC 53103	Human fecal isolate	ATCC
VPL4141	Rif <sup>r</sup> natural mutant isolated from MRS medium-Rif25 plate	This work
L. casei BFLM218	Human fecal isolate	35
L. fermentum ATCC 14931	Fermented beet isolate	ATCC
L. plantarum		
ATCC BAA-793	Human saliva isolate	ATCC
VPL4142	Rif <sup>r</sup> natural mutant isolated from MRS medium-Rif25 plate	This work
L. salivarius CCUG 47825	Human blood isolate	CCUG (86)
L. gasseri ATCC 33323	Human intestinal isolate	ATCC
Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris		
NZ9000	Dairy starter, derivative of MG1363, pepN::nisRK	87
VPL4005	Rif <sup>r</sup> mutant generated with oVPL234 for mutation in <i>rpoB</i> gene (H486N)	This work
L. jensenii ATCC 25258	Human vaginal isolate	ATCC
L. acidophilus ATCC 4356	Human isolate	ATCC
Plasmids		
pVPL2042	Em <sup>r</sup> , pNZ8048 derivative; the Cm <sup>r</sup> marker was replaced by an Em <sup>r</sup> marker	Lab stock
pVPL3583	pJP028 vector control (pCtl)	This work
pVPL3585	pJP028 derivative, pNZ-EFTu-SP-Leptin	This work
pVPL3752	pJP028 derivative, pJP-EFTu-SP-Leptin-3×FLAG	This work
pVPL3791	pJP028 derivative lacking the signal peptide, pNZ-EFTu-Leptin	This work
pVPL3795	pJP028 derivative, pJP-EFTu-Leptin-3×FLAG	This work
pVPL31131	pJP028 derivative, pJP-EFTu-Leptin-ThyA	This work
pVPL31134	pJP028 derivative, pCtl-ThyA	This work

aVPL, van Pijkeren Laboratory strain identification number; pVPL, van Pijkeren Laboratory plasmid identification number.

<sup>b</sup>*repA*, gene for replication initiation protein; *attB*, phage insertion site; Em<sup>r</sup>, erythromycin resistant; Cm<sup>r</sup>, chloramphenicol resistant; Rif<sup>r</sup>, rifampin resistant; Rif25, rifampin at 25 μg/ml; *rpoB*, gene encoding β subunit of RNA polymerase, homolog of LAR\_1402 in *L. reuteri* JCM1112; *thyA*, gene encoding thymidylate synthase, homolog of LAR\_0739 in *L. reuteri* JCM1112. An asterisk (\*) indicates a stop codon. The locus tags listed can be found at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov. cATCC, American Type Culture Collection.

grown in De Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) medium (Difco, BD Biosciences) under hypoxic conditions (5%  $CO_2$ , 2%  $O_2$ ) on agar plates at 37°C or in broth (static) at 37°C in a conventional aerated incubator. *L. reuteri* competent cells were prepared as described previously (40). *L. lactis* was grown in M17 broth (Difco) supplemented with 0.5% (wt/vol) glucose at 30°C. As needed, erythromycin was supplemented at 5  $\mu$ g/ml for the *L. reuteri* strains and 300  $\mu$ g/ml for *E. coli* EC1000. Chloramphenicol was added as needed at 5  $\mu$ g/ml or 20  $\mu$ g/ml for *L. reuteri* and *E. coli* EC1000, respectively. Rifampin was added as needed at 25  $\mu$ g/ml. To select for LRΔ*thyA::rpoB*(H488R) (VPL4143), we used modified MRS medium lacking beef extract (mMRS-BE), which has the following ingredients: peptone (10 g/liter; BD Biosciences), yeast extract (5 g/liter; BI Scientific), Tween 80 (1 ml/liter; Sigma-Aldrich), ammonium citrate dibasic (2 g/liter; Sigma-Aldrich), magnesium sulfate (0.1 g/liter; Fisher Scientific), magnese sulfate (0.05 g/liter; Sigma-Aldrich), and glucose (100 mM; Sigma-Aldrich). mMRS-BE was supplemented with trimethoprim (40  $\mu$ g/ml) and/or thymidine (50  $\mu$ g/ml) as needed.

**Mutation rate analysis.** Bacterial cultures were incubated for 16 h, subcultured to 10<sup>3</sup> CFU/ml, and subsequently split into 24 wells (1 ml/well) in a deep-well 96-well plate (Celltreat). Following 48 h of incubation, the total viability and the total number of rifampin-resistant cells were determined by spread plating. The mutation rate was calculated with the fluctuation analysis calculator (FALCOR) using the Ma-Sandri-Sarkar maximum likelihood estimator (MSS-MLE), as described previously (76).

**Ethics statement.** All mouse experiments were performed in accordance with NIH guidelines, Animal Welfare Act, and U.S. federal law and were approved by the Application Review for Research Oversight at Wisconsin (ARROW) committee and overseen by the Institutional Animal Care and USE Committee (IACUC) under protocol ID A005821-A03. All mice were housed in an animal research facility (Biochemistry B145) at the University of Wisconsin accredited by the Association of Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) International.

Bacterial survival following gastrointestinal transit. Twenty-four 6-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Prior to the start of the experiment, the

animals were allowed to adjust to the new environment for 1 week. The animals were individually housed in an environmentally controlled facility with a 12-h light and 12-h dark cycle. Food (standard chow; LabDiet, St. Louis, MO) and water were provided *ad libitum*. Mice (n = 6/group) were gavaged for two consecutive days with 100  $\mu$ l a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) suspension containing 10° CFU/ml of rifampin-resistant *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 6475, *L. lactis* NZ9000, *L. rhamnosus* GG, or *L. plantarum* BAA-793. Fecal samples were collected from the bedding at 16 h after the last oral administration and weighed. The fecal material was resuspended in PBS to 100 mg/ml and plated on MRS agar plates (or GM17 for *L. lactis*) containing 25  $\mu$ g/ml rifampin. Cell viability counts were normalized per 10<sup>8</sup> CFU.

**Heterologous expression of leptin: LR/pLeptin.** All oligonucleotides are listed in Table 2. To construct LR/pLeptin, we amplified the backbone of pJP028 (derived from pNZ8048) with primer pair oVPL1200-oVPL1286, followed by Dpnl treatment (Thermo Scientific). The sequence encoding murine leptin was obtained from NCBI (GenBank accession number ADM72802.1). We codon optimized the leptin sequence for expression in *L. reuteri* with the OPTIMIZER web server (77, 78), followed by synthesis with gBlock gene fragments (Integrated DNA Technologies) (Table 2) and amplification with oligonucleotide pair oVPL1348-oVPL1349. All amplicons were purified (GeneJET PCR purification kit; Thermo Fisher), quantified (Qubit fluorometric quantification; Life Technologies), and phosphorylated (T4 polynucleotide kinase; Thermo Fisher) and then subjected to the ligation cycle reaction (LCR), as described previously (79). To clone leptin, we used bridging oligonucleotides oVPL1350 and oVPL1351. The resulting LCR mixture was transformed into *E. coli* EC1000 (VPL3481), and cloning of the leptin gene into pJP028 was confirmed by PCR (*Taq* polymerase; Denville Scientific) using oligonucleotides oVPL329 and oVPL363. The resultant construct was named pNZ-SP-Leptin.

The purified pNZ-SP-Leptin plasmid was amplified with primer pair oVPL1199-oVPL1408 and was used as a template to construct pEFTu-SP-Leptin. A native constitutive promoter, EF-Tu, was amplified with primers oVPL1447 and oVPL1448 from pJG001 (a gift from Robert Britton) (41). Amplicons were subjected to LCR as described above with bridging oligonucleotides oVPL1409 and oVPL1410. The resulting plasmid, pNZ-EFTu-SP-Leptin, hereafter called pSP-Leptin, was transformed into *L. reuteri* VPL1014, resulting in LR/pSP-Leptin (VPL3585).

To generate a derivative lacking the signal peptide (pLeptin), we amplified the backbone of pSP-Leptin with oVPL1810 and oVPL1448, oligonucleotides that are located directly upstream and downstream of the sequence coding for the signal peptide, respectively. The resulting amplicon was fused by blunt-end ligation (T4 DNA ligase; Fisher Scientific) and transformed into *L. reuteri* VPL1014 and LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2$  to yield LR/pLeptin (VPL3791) and LR $\Delta\Phi1\Delta\Phi2$ /pLeptin (VPL31067), respectively. The control plasmid was prepared by amplifying the backbone of pSP-Leptin with oVPL1408 and oVPL1286, oligonucleotides that are located directly upstream of the signal peptide and downstream of the sequence coding for leptin, respectively, followed by self-ligation and transformation into *L. reuteri* to yield LR/pCtl (VPL3583).

For Western blot analysis purposes, we inserted the sequence encoding a 3×FLAG tag to the 3' proximal end of the leptin gene in plasmids pSP-Leptin and pLeptin. To accomplish this, we performed PCR using oligonucleotides oVPL2112 and oVPL2113, which are located on the 3' end of the leptin gene and just downstream of leptin on the plasmid backbone, respectively. A tag of 66 bp was included on the 5' end of each primer, which, following self-ligation, resulted in the DNA sequence coding for a 3×FLAG tag. The resulting plasmids were named pSP-Leptin-3×FLAG and pLeptin-3×FLAG, respectively, and established in *L. reuteri* VPL1014 to yield LR/pSP-Leptin-3×FLAG (VPL3752) and LR/pLeptin-3×FLAG (VPL3795), respectively.

**Construction of LR** $\Delta$ *thyA*. We inactivated *thyA* in a rifampin-resistant derivative of *L. reuteri* VPL1014 [LR::*rpoB*(H488R)] by single-stranded DNA recombineering as described previously (39). We previously engineered *L. reuteri* VPL1014 to be rifampin resistant to assess survival following GI transit [LR:: *rpoB*(H488R)] (VPL4126)] (55). LR::*rpoB*(H488R) expressing RecT was transformed with 100  $\mu$ g of oVPL1670 to generate an in-frame stop codon in *thyA*, using methods described previously (39). To identify cells in which *thyA* was inactivated, we used positive selection with trimethoprim. Trimethoprim is toxic to cells producing ThyA because it prevents the reduction of the by-product dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate, thus inhibiting bacterial DNA synthesis (80). The selection medium was also supplemented with thymidine to allow the *ΔthyA* mutants to grow. Therefore, the LR*ΔthyA*::*rpoB*(H488R) mutants were selected by plating serial dilutions onto mMRS-BE supplemented with trimethoprim (40  $\mu$ g/ml) and thymidine (50  $\mu$ g/ml). The genotype of the colonies was confirmed by a mismatch amplification mutation assay (MAMA) PCR (81, 82) with oVPL1671, oVPL1672, and oVPL1673, followed by Sanger sequencing.

**Construction of pLeptin-ThyA.** To develop an expression vector without the need for antibiotic in the growth medium, the gene conferring chloramphenicol resistance in the pJP028 backbone was replaced with *thyA* (52). We amplified pLeptin with primers oVPL2351 and oVPL2352 to generate a plasmid backbone lacking the chloramphenicol resistance gene. To complement *thyA* in *L. reuteri* lacking *thyA*, we then put the *thyA* gene under the control of the *L. reuteri* pMutL promoter, a promoter located upstream of the gene encoding MutL, which is involved in DNA repair (83). We amplified pMutL:ThyA with oVPL736 and oVPL1725 using pSIP411:pMutL-ThyA as the template, which we subsequently fused by blunt-end ligation (T4 DNA ligase) to the pLeptin backbone to generate pLeptin-ThyA. The resulting construct, pLeptin-ThyA, was transformed into *E. coli* EC1000 to yield VPL31131. The purified pLeptin-ThyA plasmid was transformed into LRΔ*thyA::rpoB*(H488R), resulting in LRΔ*thyA::rpoB*(H488R)/pLeptin-ThyA was then used for a plasmid stability experiment. A backbone control vector was prepared by amplifying pLeptin-ThyA, omitting leptin with oVPL1286. This

May 2019 Volume 85 Issue 10 e02335-18

Oligonucleotide		
name <sup>a</sup>	Sequence $(5'-3')^b$	Target/comment (reference) <sup>c</sup>
oVPL234	GAGATACCACCAGGTCCTAAGGCAGAGAAACGACGTTTGTTGCTAAGCTCAGACAAAGGA TTATGTTGGTCCTATAAATTGT	Recombineering oligonucleotide for L. lactis rpoB mutant
oVPL236	TCAARCCAGGGACCAGGGCTGAAGGACGACGCTTTCTGCTTAATTCACCTAATGGGTT GGTTTGATCCAGGAACAGGG	Recombineering oligonucleotide for L. reuteri rpoB mutant
oVPL329	ATTCCTTGGACTTCATTTACTGGGTTTAAC	Rev, for pJP028 insertion screening
oVPL362	TTGATATGCCTCCTAAATTTTTATCTAAAG	Rev, for pJP028 insertion screening
oVPL363	TAATATGAGATAATGCCGACTGTAC	Fwd, for pJP028 insertion screening
oVPL736	TGAATGAGTGAGTTG	Fwd, amplified pMutL of L. reuteri
oVPL1199	ATGTTATCGAAGAATAATCGAAAGG	Fwd, amplified signal peptide on pJP028
oVPL1200	TGTATTAGCAGAAGCATTCATCC	Rev, amplified signal peptide on pJP028
oVPL1286	TGATCTTTGAACCAAAATTAG	Fwd, amplified pJP028 backbone
oVPL1348	GTTCCAATTCAAAAAGTTCAAGATG	Fwd, amplified murine leptin from gBlock gene fragments, codon optimized
oVPL1349	ACATTCTGGACTAACATCTAATTG	Rev. amplified murine leptin from aBlock gene fragments, codon optimized
		for L. reuteri (77, 78)
oVPL1350	TTCATGGGGATGAATGCTTCTGCTAATACAGTTCCAAATTCAAAAAGTTCAAGATGATACT	LCR bridging oligonucleotide for leptin insertion for pJP028:SP:leptin
oVPL1351	TTACAACAATTAGATGTTAGTCCAGAATGTTGATCTTTGAACCAAAATTAGAAAACCAAG	LCR bridging oligonucleotide for leptin insertion for pJP028:SP:leptin
oVPL1408	AGAAAACCGACTGTAAAAAGTACAG	Rev, amplified backbone of pJP028 for promoter swap
oVPL1409	AGAAAACCGACTGTAAAAAGTACAGTCGGCTGAATGAGTGAG	LCR bridging oligonucleotide for swapping in EF-Tu promoter in pJP028
oVPL1410	GCAGCAGAAATTGAAATAAGGTGATATTTAATGTTATCGAAGAATAATCGAAAGGAACAA	LCR bridging oligonucleotide for swapping in EF-Tu promoter in pJP028
oVPL1447	CGAATTAATAGAAAAACATTAGTCAAATAC	Fwd, amplified EF-Tu promoter
oVPL1448	TAATGAAAACCTCCTGATAATTTACAAG	Rev, amplified EF-Tu promoter
oVPL1670	CGTTAAAATAGGAAAACCTTTGCTTAGGTCAAATCGCA <b>AGCTT</b> TATCCGAAAACAGATTTA	Recombineering oligonucleotide for the $\Delta thyA$ mutant, introducing Y38*,
	GTACCTGTTCCTGTCCGAT	Q39S, and M40L; bold nucleotides introduce 5 adjacent mismatches to the wild-trune seminence
oVPL1671	GCTATTTCTTAGATAAAGTGGCTGAC	Fwd, for screening of the $\Delta thvA$ mutant (Y38*, Q39S, and M40L)
oVPL1672	TTTGCTTAGGTCAAATCGCAAGCTT	Rev, for screening of the $\Delta thyA$ mutant (Y38*, Q395, and M40L)
oVPL1673	AAATTGGAACATGGTGTGACATGGA	Rev, for screening of the $\Delta thyA$ mutant (Y38 <sup>*</sup> , Q395, and M40L)
oVPI1725	TTAAACTGCTACGGGAGCCTTG	Rev, amplified pMutL-ThyA
oVPL1810	ATGGTTCCAATTCAAAAAGTTCA ATGGTTCCAATTCAAAAAGTTCA	Fwd, amplified leptin and added ATG start codon (bold)
oVPL2112	ATCTTTATAATCACCATCGTGATCTTTATAATCACATTCTGGACTAACATCTAATTG	Rev, amplified leptin and added $3 imes$ FLAG tag (bold) to CTD of leptin
oVPL2113	CACGATATTGATTATAAAGATGATGATGATAAATGATCTTTGAACCAAAATTAG	Fwd, amplified pJP028 backbone and added $3 \times$ FLAG (bold) to CTD of leptin
oVPL2351	TAATCTCGCTTTGATTGTTCTATCG	Rev, amplified pJP028 backbone omitting Cm <sup>r</sup> cassette
oVPL2352	AAGGAAGATAAATCCCATAAGGGCG	Fwd, amplified pJP028 backbone omitting Cm <sup>r</sup> cassette
<sup>a</sup> oVPL, van Pijkeren L <sup>b</sup> Boldface nucleotides	aboratory primer identification number. • were added to the primer (for example, as a start codon, stop codon, FLAG tag).	
<sup>c</sup> Fwd, forward primer	; Rev, reverse primer; <i>rpoB</i> , ß subunit of RNA polymerase, homolog of LAR_1402 in <i>L. reuteri</i> JCM1112; <i>thy4</i> , sind American Cent subarrandonatical societates. An activity (M) indicates a state activity the locaris task for	rymidylate synthase, homolog of LAR_0739 in <i>L. reuteri J</i> CM1112; LCR, ligation cycle
Leaction; כוס, כינכווי	וונומן מסנוומון; כנוון, כנווסנמווטוויבוורטו נבאואמוווי. אנו מאבנואג ( ) וווטורמנבא מ אנטט בטמטווי. דווב וטרמא נמא וואבת במ	De round at https://www.ncpi.nin.n.nu

amplicon was then treated as described above and self-ligated with T4 ligase before transformation into LR $\Delta$ thyA::rpoB(H488R), resulting in LR $\Delta$ thyA::rpoB(H488R)/pCtl-ThyA (VPL31134). For comparison in the plasmid stability experiment, pLeptin lacking thyA was transformed into LR $\Delta$ thyA::rpoB(H488R), resulting in LR $\Delta$ thyA::rpoB(H488R)/pLeptin (VPL31135).

Protein preparation, ELISA, and Western blotting. (i) Western blotting. Intracellularly accumulated leptin from LR/pLeptin-3×FLAG and secreted leptin from LR/pSP-Leptin-3×FLAG were analyzed by Western blotting. Protein samples were prepared from ~16-h cultures. LR/pLeptin-3×FLAG cells were harvested by centrifuging 1.5 ml of culture (1 min at  $21,130 \times g$ ), the cell dry weight was measured for normalization purposes, and the cell pellet was washed once in 1.5 ml distilled water and resuspended in 500 µl lysis buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100). Approximately 100  $\mu$ l of zirconia glass beads (BioSpec) was added to the suspension. Cells were vortexed six times for 30 s each time with 30-s intervals on ice. Lysates were harvested by transferring the supernatants into a fresh tube, adding 1 ml of lysis buffer, and centrifuging at 8,210  $\times$  g for 10 min. Samples were analyzed immediately or stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until use. LR/pSP-Leptin-3×FLAG samples were prepared by centrifuging 1.5 ml of culture (1 min at 21,130  $\times$  g), after which we collected the supernatant. Protein from LR/pSP-Leptin-3×FLAG supernatants was precipitated as previously described (84). Samples were loaded onto Bolt 4 to 12% bis-Tris Plus gels (Life Technologies) and transferred onto an iBlot nitrocellulose membrane (Thermo Scientific). The membrane was washed for 1 h in Tris-buffered saline plus Tween 20 (TBST) and 0.5% (wt/vol) milk (blocking buffer) and then hybridized at 4°C for  $\sim$ 16 h with rabbit anti-Flag antibody (catalog number PA1-984B; Thermo Scientific) diluted 1:500 in blocking buffer. Following three washes with TBST for 5 min each time, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (anti-rabbit immunoglobulin) was diluted 1:1,000 in blocking buffer and incubated with the membrane for 2 h. Following another three washes with TBST, Clarity Western enhanced chemiluminescence substrate (Bio-Rad) was added and the mixture was incubated with the membrane for 5 min before imaging for chemiluminescence (Bio-Rad Chemi-Doc Touch imaging system).

(ii) ELISA. Leptin ELISA (R&D Systems) was performed as suggested by the manufacturer. To measure the amount of intracellular leptin from an overnight cell culture, we processed samples from LR/pLeptin in a manner identical to that described above for preparing samples for Western blotting, while bacterial supernatant samples from the mitomycin C induction experiment were harvested by centrifugation (5 min, 21,130 × g), followed by filter sterilization with 0.22- $\mu$ m-pore-size filters (Millipore). For the mitomycin C induction experiment, total leptin was measured by combining the supernatant with the cell lysate sample. Percent leptin release was calculated by comparing the amount of leptin in the supernatant to the total amount. The final optical density was measured with a microplate reader (450 nm/ 570 nm; SpectraMax Plus 384; Molecular Devices) within 30 min of the completion of the ELISA. A standard curve was generated using JMP software to calculate leptin concentrations.

**Quantification of bacteria and bacteriophages.** For mitomycin C induction, overnight (~16-h) cultures were diluted to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.1, and at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.3, mitomycin C was added (0.5  $\mu$ g/ml; Sigma-Aldrich). Samples were harvested every hour postinduction for 5 h to determine the number of CFU and PFU per milliliter. For analysis of the number of PFU per milliliter, cells were centrifuged (21,130 × g for 1 min) and the supernatants were filter sterilized (pore size, 0.22  $\mu$ m; Millipore). As a lytic host, we used *L. reuteri* LRAΦ1ΔΦ2ΔattB1ΔattB2 (VPL4090) (55), which was prepared as follows: an ~16-h culture of lytic host was centrifuged at 3,200 × g for 5 min and washed once in an equal volume with phage diluent (16 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, in distilled H<sub>2</sub>O), followed by resuspension. We mixed an equal volume of the lytic host suspension and phage samples (200  $\mu$ l each) in a 15-ml conical tube and incubated the mixture at 37°C for 1 h. We added 3 ml of 0.2% (wt/vol) agarose harboring 10 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, followed by 15 h of incubation at 37°C.

**Plasmid stability assay.** Overnight (~16-h) cultures of LR $\Delta$ thyA::rpoB(H488R) harboring pLeptin-ThyA, pCtI-ThyA, or pLeptin were diluted to 0.1% in MRS medium without antibiotic. Following 8 h of incubation, serial dilutions from each culture were plated onto plain MRS medium and MRS medium plates harboring 5  $\mu$ g/ml erythromycin (MRS-Em) for cell viability counts. Cells were passaged twice a day in MRS medium with or without Em for ~100 generations. Plasmid stability was assessed approximately every 7 generations by calculating the ratio of the number of colonies recovered on MRS-Em plates and the total amount of viable cells recovered on MRS medium plates without antibiotic selection.

**Statistics.** Data representation was performed using DataGraph (version 4.3) software (Visual Data Tools, Inc., Chapel Hill, NC, USA). Statistical comparisons were performed using a paired *t* test, one-way analysis of variance, and Tukey's honestly significant difference test (HSD) (JMP Pro software, version 11.0.0). Three biological replicates were performed for all *in vitro* studies. All samples were included in the analyses, and experiments were performed without blinding.

Accession number(s). The codon-optimized sequence for leptin is available in GenBank under accession number MK297322.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank BioGaia AB (Stockholm, Sweden) for providing *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 6475. The van Pijkeren Laboratory is grateful for support from the UW—Madison Food Research Institute; the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health for award number 1R21AI121662; the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Hatch Project, for award number MSN1856150; and the Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program, through the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS), grant UL1TR002373. L.M.A. was supported by NIH National Research Service award T32 GM007215 and the Advanced Opportunity Fellowship through the SciMed Graduate Research Scholars Program at UW—Madison.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Keles G, Demirci U. 2011. The effect of homofermentative and heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria on conservation characteristics of baled triticale-Hungarian vetch silage and lamb performance. Anim Feed Sci Technol 164:21–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.11.017.
- Ayad EHE, Verheul A, Wouters JTM, Smit G. 2002. Antimicrobialproducing wild lactococci isolated from artisanal and non-dairy origins. Int Dairy J 12:145–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(01)00133-9.
- Vijayakumar PP, Muriana PM. 2017. Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* on ready-to-eat meats using bacteriocin mixtures based on mode-ofaction. Foods 6:22. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods6030022.
- Mattila-Sandholm T, Mättö J, Saarela M. 1999. Lactic acid bacteria with health claims—interactions and interference with gastrointestinal flora. Int Dairy J 9:25–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(99)00041-2.
- 5. Mercenier A, Müller-Alouf H, Grangette C. 2000. Lactic acid bacteria as live vaccines. Curr Issues Mol Biol 2:17–25.
- Brown L, Pingitore EV, Mozzi F, Saavedra L, Villegas JM, Hebert EM. 2017. Lactic acid bacteria as cell factories for the generation of bioactive peptides. Protein Pept Lett 24:146–155. https://doi.org/10 .2174/0929866524666161123111333.
- Bron PA, Kleerebezem M. 2018. Lactic acid bacteria for delivery of endogenous or engineered therapeutic molecules. Front Microbiol 9:1821. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01821.
- van Pijkeren J-P, Barrangou R. 2017. Genome editing of food-grade lactobacilli to develop therapeutic probiotics. Microbiol Spectr 5:BAD-0013-2016. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.BAD-0013-2016.
- Wang M, Gao Z, Zhang Y, Pan L. 2016. Lactic acid bacteria as mucosal delivery vehicles: a realistic therapeutic option. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100:5691–5701. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7557-x.
- Guo S, Yan W, McDonough SP, Lin N, Wu KJ, He H, Xiang H, Yang M, Moreira MAS, Chang Y-F. 2015. The recombinant *Lactococcus lactis* oral vaccine induces protection against *C. difficile* spore challenge in a mouse model. Vaccine 33:1586–1595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .vaccine.2015.02.006.
- Hongying F, Xianbo W, Fang Y, Yang B, Beiguo L. 2014. Oral immunization with recombinant *Lactobacillus acidophilus* expressing the adhesin Hp0410 of *Helicobacter pylori* induces mucosal and systemic immune responses. Clin Vaccine Immunol 21:126–132. https://doi.org/10.1128/ CVI.00434-13.
- Li X, Xing Y, Guo L, Lv X, Song H, Xi T. 2014. Oral immunization with recombinant *Lactococcus lactis* delivering a multi-epitope antigen CTB-UE attenuates *Helicobacter pylori* infection in mice. Pathog Dis 72:78–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/2049-632X.12173.
- Zhang H, Qiu Y, Zhao Y, Liu X, Liu M, Yu A. 2014. Immunogenicity of oral vaccination with *Lactococcus lactis* derived vaccine candidate antigen (UreB) of *Helicobacter pylori* fused with the human interleukin 2 as adjuvant. Mol Cell Probes 28:25–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2013 .08.003.
- Li Y, Li X, Liu H, Zhuang S, Yang J, Zhang F. 2014. Intranasal immunization with recombinant lactococci carrying human papillomavirus E7 protein and mouse interleukin-12 DNA induces E7-specific antitumor effects in C57BL/6 mice. Oncol Lett 7:576–582. https://doi.org/10.3892/ ol.2013.1743.
- 15. Kawana K, Adachi K, Kojima S, Taguchi A, Tomio K, Yamashita A, Nishida H, Nagasaka K, Arimoto T, Yokoyama T, Wada-Hiraike O, Oda K, Sewaki T, Osuga Y, Fujii T. 2014. Oral vaccination against HPV E7 for treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) elicits E7-specific mucosal immunity in the cervix of CIN3 patients. Vaccine 32:6233–6239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.09.020.
- Ribelles P, Benbouziane B, Langella P, Suárez JE, Bermúdez-Humarán LG. 2013. Protection against human papillomavirus type 16-induced tumors in mice using non-genetically modified lactic acid bacteria displaying E7 antigen at its surface. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97:1231–1239. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4575-1.

- Li R, Chowdhury MYE, Kim J-H, Kim T-H, Pathinayake P, Koo W-S, Park M-E, Yoon J-E, Roh J-B, Hong S-P, Sung M-H, Lee J-S, Kim C-J. 2015. Mucosally administered *Lactobacillus* surface-displayed influenza antigens (sM2 and HA2) with cholera toxin subunit A1 (CTA1) induce broadly protective immune responses against divergent influenza subtypes. Vet Microbiol 179:250–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2015 .07.020.
- Shi S-H, Yang W-T, Yang G-L, Cong Y-L, Huang H-B, Wang Q, Cai R-P, Ye L-P, Hu J-T, Zhou J-Y, Wang C-F, Li Y. 2014. Immunoprotection against influenza virus H9N2 by the oral administration of recombinant *Lactobacillus plantarum* NC8 expressing hemagglutinin in BALB/c mice. Virology 464-465:166–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.07.011.
- Waki N, Matsumoto M, Fukui Y, Suganuma H. 2014. Effects of probiotic Lactobacillus brevis KB290 on incidence of influenza infection among schoolchildren: an open-label pilot study. Lett Appl Microbiol 59: 565–571. https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12340.
- Lei H, Peng X, Zhao D, Ouyang J, Jiao H, Shu H, Ge X. 2015. *Lactococcus lactis* displayed neuraminidase confers cross protective immunity against influenza A viruses in mice. Virology 476:189–195. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.12.017.
- Chowdhury MYE, Li R, Kim J-H, Park M-E, Kim T-H, Pathinayake P, Weeratunga P, Song MK, Son H-Y, Hong S-P, Sung M-H, Lee J-S, Kim C-J. 2014. Mucosal vaccination with recombinant *Lactobacillus casei*displayed CTA1-conjugated consensus matrix protein-2 (sM2) induces broad protection against divergent influenza subtypes in BALB/c mice. PLoS One 9:e94051. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094051.
- Steidler L, Hans W, Schotte L, Neirynck S, Obermeier F, Falk W, Fiers W, Remaut E. 2000. Treatment of murine colitis by *Lactococcus lactis* secreting interleukin-10. Science 289:1352–1355. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.289.5483.1352.
- Braat H, Rottiers P, Hommes DW, Huyghebaert N, Remaut E, Remon J, van Deventer SJH, Neirynck S, Peppelenbosch MP, Steidler L. 2006. A phase I trial with transgenic bacteria expressing interleukin-10 in Crohn's disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 4:754–759. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cgh.2006.03.028.
- Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Nouaille S, Zilberfarb V, Corthier G, Gruss A, Langella P, Issad T. 2007. Effects of intranasal administration of a leptinsecreting *Lactococcus lactis* recombinant on food intake, body weight, and immune response of mice. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:5300–5307. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00295-07.
- Liu X, Lagenaur LA, Simpson DA, Essenmacher KP, Frazier-Parker CL, Liu Y, Tsai D, Rao SS, Hamer DH, Parks TP, Lee PP, Xu Q. 2006. Engineered vaginal *Lactobacillus* strain for mucosal delivery of the human immunodeficiency virus inhibitor cyanovirin-N. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:3250–3259. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00493-06.
- Abbas Hilmi HT, Surakka A, Apajalahti J, Saris PEJ. 2007. Identification of the most abundant *Lactobacillus* species in the crop of 1- and 5-weekold broiler chickens. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:7867–7873. https://doi .org/10.1128/AEM.01128-07.
- Dellaglio F, Arrizza S, Ledda A. 1981. Classification of citrate fermenting Lactobacilli isolated from lamb stomach, sheep milk and Pecorino Romano cheese. Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg C 2:349–356. https://doi .org/10.1016/S0721-9571(81)80028-2.
- Leser TD, Amenuvor JZ, Jensen TK, Lindecrona RH, Boye M, Møller K. 2002. Culture-independent analysis of gut bacteria: the pig gastrointestinal tract microbiota revisited. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:673–690. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.2.673-690.2002.
- Brooks SPJ, McAllister M, Sandoz M, Kalmokoff ML. 2003. Cultureindependent phylogenetic analysis of the faecal flora of the rat. Can J Microbiol 49:589–601. https://doi.org/10.1139/w03-075.
- Salzman NH, de Jong H, Paterson Y, Harmsen HJM, Welling GW, Bos NA. 2002. Analysis of 16S libraries of mouse gastrointestinal microflora

reveals a large new group of mouse intestinal bacteria. Microbiology 148(Pt 11):3651–3660. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-148-11-3651.

- Reuter G. 2001. The *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* microflora of the human intestine: composition and succession. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol 2:43–53.
- Liu Y, Fatheree NY, Mangalat N, Rhoads JM. 2010. Human-derived probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* strains differentially reduce intestinal inflammation. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 299:G1087–G1096. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00124.2010.
- Lin YP, Thibodeaux CH, Peña JA, Ferry GD, Versalovic J. 2008. Probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri suppress proinflammatory cytokines via c-Jun. Inflamm Bowel Dis 14:1068–1083. https://doi.org/10.1002/ibd.20448.
- McCabe LR, Irwin R, Schaefer L, Britton RA. 2013. Probiotic use decreases intestinal inflammation and increases bone density in healthy male but not female mice. J Cell Physiol 228:1793–1798. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jcp.24340.
- von Schillde M-A, Hörmannsperger G, Weiher M, Alpert C-A, Hahne H, Bäuerl C, van Huynegem K, Steidler L, Hrncir T, Pérez-Martínez G, Kuster B, Haller D. 2012. Lactocepin secreted by *Lactobacillus* exerts anti-inflammatory effects by selectively degrading proinflammatory chemokines. Cell Host Microbe 11:387–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.chom.2012.02.006.
- Britton RA, Irwin R, Quach D, Schaefer L, Zhang J, Lee T, Parameswaran N, McCabe LR. 2014. Probiotic *L. reuteri* treatment prevents bone loss in a menopausal ovariectomized mouse model. J Cell Physiol 229: 1822–1830. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.24636.
- El-Ziney MG, van den Tempel T, Debevere J, Jakobsen M. 1999. Application of reuterin produced by *Lactobacillus reuteri* 12002 for meat decontamination and preservation. J Food Prot 62:257–261. https://doi .org/10.4315/0362-028X-62.3.257.
- Talarico TL, Casas IA, Chung TC, Dobrogosz WJ. 1988. Production and isolation of reuterin, a growth inhibitor produced by *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 32:1854–1858. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.32.12.1854.
- van Pijkeren J-P, Britton RA. 2012. High efficiency recombineering in lactic acid bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res 40:e76. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gks147.
- Oh J-H, van Pijkeren J-P. 2014. CRISPR-Cas9-assisted recombineering in Lactobacillus reuteri. Nucleic Acids Res 42:e131. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gku623.
- Zhang S, Oh J-H, Alexander LM, Özçam M, van Pijkeren J-P. 2018. D-Alanyl-D-alanine ligase as a broad-host-range counterselection marker in vancomycin-resistant lactic acid bacteria. J Bacteriol 200:e00607-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00607-17.
- Tsirigotaki A, Geyter JD, Šoštarić N, Economou A, Karamanou S. 2017. Protein export through the bacterial Sec pathway. Nat Rev Microbiol 15:21–36. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.161.
- Ray P, Dev I, MacGregor C, Bassford P. 1986. Signal peptidases, p 75–102. In Wu HC, Tai PC (ed), Protein secretion and export in bacteria. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- 44. Nilsson I, von Heijne G. 1992. A signal peptide with a proline next to the cleavage site inhibits leader peptidase when present in a secindependent protein. FEBS Lett 299:243–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0014-5793(92)80124-Y.
- Canchaya C, Claesson MJ, Fitzgerald GF, van Sinderen D, O'Toole PW. 2006. Diversity of the genus *Lactobacillus* revealed by comparative genomics of five species. Microbiology 152:3185–3196. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/mic.0.29140-0.
- 46. Claesson MJ, van Sinderen D, O'Toole PW. 2007. The genus Lactobacillus—a genomic basis for understanding its diversity. FEMS Microbiol Lett 269:22–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00596.x.
- 47. Heilig HGHJ, Zoetendal EG, Vaughan EE, Marteau P, Akkermans ADL, de Vos WM. 2002. Molecular diversity of *Lactobacillus* spp. and other lactic acid bacteria in the human intestine as determined by specific amplification of 16S ribosomal DNA. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:114–123. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.1.114-123.2002.
- Siezen RJ, Tzeneva VA, Castioni A, Wels M, Phan HTK, Rademaker JLW, Starrenburg MJC, Kleerebezem M, Molenaar D, Vlieg JETVH. 2010. Phenotypic and genomic diversity of *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains isolated from various environmental niches. Environ Microbiol 12:758–773. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02119.x.
- Makarova K, Slesarev A, Wolf Y, Sorokin A, Mirkin B, Koonin E, Pavlov A, Pavlova N, Karamychev V, Polouchine N, Shakhova V, Grigoriev I, Lou Y, Rohksar D, Lucas S, Huang K, Goodstein DM, Hawkins T, Plengvidhya V,

Welker D, Hughes J, Goh Y, Benson A, Baldwin K, Lee J-H, Díaz-Muñiz I, Dosti B, Smeianov V, Wechter W, Barabote R, Lorca G, Altermann E, Barrangou R, Ganesan B, Xie Y, Rawsthorne H, Tamir D, Parker C, Breidt F, Broadbent J, Hutkins R, O'Sullivan D, Steele J, Unlu G, Saier M, Klaenhammer T, Richardson P, Kozyavkin S, Weimer B, Mills D. 2006. Comparative genomics of the lactic acid bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:15611–15616. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0607117103.

- Velur Selvamani RS, Telaar M, Friehs K, Flaschel E. 2014. Antibiotic-free segregational plasmid stabilization in *Escherichia coli* owing to the knockout of triosephosphate isomerase (*tpiA*). Microb Cell Fact 13:58. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-13-58.
- Glenting J, Madsen SM, Vrang A, Fomsgaard A, Israelsen H. 2002. A plasmid selection system in *Lactococcus lactis* and its use for gene expression in *L. lactis* and human kidney fibroblasts. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:5051–5056. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.10.5051-5056.2002.
- Fu X, Xu J-G. 2000. Development of a chromosome-plasmid balanced lethal system for *Lactobacillus acidophilus* with *thyA* gene as selective marker. Microbiol Immunol 44:551–556. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1348 -0421.2000.tb02533.x.
- Halaas JL, Gajiwala KS, Maffei M, Cohen SL, Chait BT, Rabinowitz D, Lallone RL, Burley SK, Friedman JM. 1995. Weight-reducing effects of the plasma protein encoded by the obese gene. Science 269:543–546. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7624777.
- Pelleymounter MA, Cullen MJ, Baker MB, Hecht R, Winters D, Boone T, Collins F. 1995. Effects of the obese gene product on body weight regulation in *ob/ob* mice. Science 269:540–543. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.7624776.
- 55. Oh J-H, Alexander LM, Pan M, Schueler KL, Keller MP, Attie AD, Walter J, van Pijkeren J-P. 2019. Dietary fructose and microbiota-derived short-chain fatty acids promote bacteriophage production in the gut symbiont *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Cell Host Microbe 25:273–284.e6. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.11.016.
- Ackermann HW. 1998. Tailed bacteriophages: the order Caudovirales. Adv Virus Res 51:135–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3527(08)60785-X.
- Heidelberger C, Chaudhuri NK, Danneberg P, Mooren D, Griesbach L, Duschinsky R, Schnitzer RJ, Pleven E, Scheiner J. 1957. Fluorinated pyrimidines, a new class of tumour-inhibitory compounds. Nature 179: 663–666. https://doi.org/10.1038/179663a0.
- Ross P, O'Gara F, Condon S. 1990. Thymidylate synthase gene from Lactococcus lactis as a genetic marker: an alternative to antibiotic resistance genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 56:2164–2169.
- Kim EB, Son JS, Zhang QK, Lee NK, Kim SH, Choi JH, Kang SK, Choi YJ. 2010. Generation and characterization of thymidine/D-alanine auxotrophic recombinant *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* IL1403 expressing BmpB. Curr Microbiol 61:29–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284 -009-9572-y.
- Nag N, Rao BJ, Krishnamoorthy G. 2007. Altered dynamics of DNA bases adjacent to a mismatch: a cue for mismatch recognition by MutS. J Mol Biol 374:39–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.08.065.
- Schofield MJ, Hsieh P. 2003. DNA mismatch repair: molecular mechanisms and biological function. Annu Rev Microbiol 57:579–608. https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.090847.
- Walter J, Britton RA, Roos S. 2011. Host-microbial symbiosis in the vertebrate gastrointestinal tract and the *Lactobacillus reuteri* paradigm. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108(Suppl 1):4645–4652. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1000099107.
- Kimoto H, Nomura M, Kobayashi M, Mizumachi K, Okamoto T. 2003. Survival of lactococci during passage through mouse digestive tract. Can J Microbiol 49:707–711. https://doi.org/10.1139/w03-092.
- 64. Frese SA, Benson AK, Tannock GW, Loach DM, Kim J, Zhang M, Oh PL, Heng NCK, Patil PB, Juge N, MacKenzie DA, Pearson BM, Lapidus A, Dalin E, Tice H, Goltsman E, Land M, Hauser L, Ivanova N, Kyrpides NC, Walter J. 2011. The evolution of host specialization in the vertebrate gut symbiont *Lactobacillus reuteri*. PLoS Genet 7:e1001314. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001314.
- Wegmann U, O'Connell-Motherway M, Zomer A, Buist G, Shearman C, Canchaya C, Ventura M, Goesmann A, Gasson MJ, Kuipers OP, van Sinderen D, Kok J. 2007. Complete genome sequence of the prototype lactic acid bacterium *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* MG1363. J Bacteriol 189:3256–3270. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01768-06.
- Kimoto-Nira H, Kobayashi M, Nomura M, Sasaki K, Suzuki C. 2009. Bile resistance in *Lactococcus lactis* strains varies with cellular fatty acid composition: analysis by using different growth media. Int J Food Microbiol 131:183–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.02.021.

- Hendrikx T, Duan Y, Wang Y, Oh J-H, Alexander LM, Huang W, Stärkel P, Ho SB, Gao B, Fiehn O, Emond P, Sokol H, van Pijkeren J-P, Schnabl B. 2018. Bacteria engineered to produce IL-22 in intestine induce expression of REG3G to reduce ethanol-induced liver disease in mice. Gut 2018:gutjnl-2018-317232. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2018-317232.
- Wolf BW, Wheeler KB, Ataya DG, Garleb KA. 1998. Safety and tolerance of *Lactobacillus reuteri* supplementation to a population infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. Food Chem Toxicol 36:1085–1094. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915(98)00090-8.
- 69. Weizman Z, Alsheikh A. 2006. Safety and tolerance of a probiotic formula in early infancy comparing two probiotic agents: a pilot study. J Am Coll Nutr 25:415–419. https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2006.10719554.
- Jones ML, Martoni CJ, Di Pietro E, Simon RR, Prakash S. 2012. Evaluation of clinical safety and tolerance of a *Lactobacillus reuteri* NCIMB 30242 supplement capsule: a randomized control trial. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 63:313–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2012.04.003.
- Jones ML, Martoni CJ, Tamber S, Parent M, Prakash S. 2012. Evaluation of safety and tolerance of microencapsulated *Lactobacillus reuteri* NCIMB 30242 in a yogurt formulation: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Food Chem Toxicol 50:2216–2223. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fct.2012.03.010.
- Mangalat N, Liu Y, Fatheree NY, Ferris MJ, Van Arsdall MR, Chen Z, Rahbar MH, Gleason WA, Norori J, Tran DQ, Rhoads JM. 2012. Safety and tolerability of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and effects on biomarkers in healthy adults: results from a randomized masked trial. PLoS One 7:e43910. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043910.
- Valeur N, Engel P, Carbajal N, Connolly E, Ladefoged K. 2004. Colonization and immunomodulation by *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 in the human gastrointestinal tract. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:1176–1181. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.2.1176-1181.2004.
- 74. Hoy-Schulz YE, Jannat K, Roberts T, Zaidi SH, Unicomb L, Luby S, Parsonnet J. 2016. Safety and acceptability of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and *Bifidobacterium longum* subspecies *infantis* 35624 in Bangladeshi infants: a phase I randomized clinical trial. BMC Complement Altern Med 16:44. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-016-1016-1.
- Sambrook J, Russell DW. 2006. Transformation of *E. coli* by electroporation. CSH Protoc 2006:pdb.prot3933. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot3933.
- Hall BM, Ma C-X, Liang P, Singh KK. 2009. Fluctuation AnaLysis CalculatOR: a web tool for the determination of mutation rate using Luria-Delbrück fluctuation analysis. Bioinformatics 25:1564–1565. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp253.
- 77. Puigbò P, Guzmán E, Romeu A, Garcia-Vallvé S. 2007. OPTIMIZER: a web

server for optimizing the codon usage of DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 35:W126-W131. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm219.

- Puigbò P, Romeu A, Garcia-Vallvé S. 2008. HEG-DB: a database of predicted highly expressed genes in prokaryotic complete genomes under translational selection. Nucleic Acids Res 36:D524–D527. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkm831.
- de Kok S, Stanton LH, Slaby T, Durot M, Holmes VF, Patel KG, Platt D, Shapland EB, Serber Z, Dean J, Newman JD, Chandran SS. 2014. Rapid and reliable DNA assembly via ligase cycling reaction. ACS Synth Biol 3:97–106. https://doi.org/10.1021/sb4001992.
- Brogden RN, Carmine AA, Heel RC, Speight TM, Avery GS. 1982. Trimethoprim: a review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacokinetics and therapeutic use in urinary tract infections. Drugs 23:405–430. https:// doi.org/10.2165/00003495-198223060-00001.
- Cha RS, Zarbl H, Keohavong P, Thilly WG. 1992. Mismatch amplification mutation assay (MAMA): application to the c-H-ras gene. PCR Methods Appl 2:14–20. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2.1.14.
- 82. Qiang YZ, Qin T, Fu W, Cheng WP, Li YS, Yi G. 2002. Use of a rapid mismatch PCR method to detect gyrA and parC mutations in ciprofloxacin-resistant clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*. J Antimicrob Chemother 49:549–552. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/49.3.549.
- Junop MS, Yang W, Funchain P, Clendenin W, Miller JH. 2003. In vitro and in vivo studies of MutS, MutL and MutH mutants: correlation of mismatch repair and DNA recombination. DNA Repair (Amst) 2:387–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1568-7864(02)00245-8.
- Sánchez B, Chaignepain S, Schmitter J-M, Urdaci MC. 2009. A method for the identification of proteins secreted by lactic acid bacteria grown in complex media. FEMS Microbiol Lett 295:226–229. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01599.x.
- Leenhouts K, Buist G, Bolhuis A, ten Berge A, Kiel J, Mierau I, Dabrowska M, Venema G, Kok J. 1996. A general system for generating unlabelled gene replacements in bacterial chromosomes. Mol Gen Genet 253: 217–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380050315.
- Collins J, van Pijkeren J-P, Svensson L, Claesson MJ, Sturme M, Li Y, Cooney JC, van Sinderen D, Walker AW, Parkhill J, Shannon O, O'Toole PW. 2012. Fibrinogen-binding and platelet-aggregation activities of a *Lactobacillus salivarius* septicaemia isolate are mediated by a novel fibrinogen-binding protein. Mol Microbiol 85:862–877. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08148.x.
- Kuipers OP, de Ruyter PGGA, Kleerebezem M, de Vos WM. 1998. Quorum sensing-controlled gene expression in lactic acid bacteria. J Biotechnol 64:15–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656(98)00100-X.