# Research Article

# Influence of Teeth Preparation Finishing on the Adaptation of Lithium Disilicate Crowns

## Bruna Salamoni Sinhori, Mauro Amaral Caldeira de Andrada, Guilherme Carpena Lopes, Sylvio Monteiro Junior, and Luiz Narciso Baratieri

Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianopolis, SC, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Bruna Salamoni Sinhori; brunasalamoni@gmail.com

Received 7 December 2016; Revised 14 March 2017; Accepted 15 March 2017; Published 23 March 2017

Academic Editor: Feng-Huei Lin

Copyright © 2017 Bruna Salamoni Sinhori et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The polishing step of teeth preparations for crowns is a step often performed, so that there is an increased time during the clinical procedure. The aim of this study is to evaluate the marginal and internal adaptation of all-ceramic CAD/CAM lithium disilicate crowns in polished preparations for crown and nonpolished preparations for crowns. For this purpose, 20 first molars were selected, which were divided into two groups (n = 10) G1, teeth that received surface roughening similar to preparation without polishing, and G2 (control), polished preparations. After the preparations were completed the teeth were scanned (Cerec Bluecam, Sirona, Bensheim, Germany), and the crowns were designed and machined using CAD/CAM technology (Sirona, Bensheim, Germany). The adaptation of the pieces was evaluated using polyvinyl siloxane replicas and stereomicroscope photographs with 70x magnifications. The normality test indicated a nonnormal result, so a Man–Whitney nonparametric test was performed. One out of the 24 measured regions showed a statistically significant difference (p = 0.0494). With this study it can be concluded that crowns fabricated by CAD/CAM technology performed on unpolished preparations are not influenced by the internal marginal adaptation and the ceramic part and are different from polished preparations.

### 1. Introduction

Several ceramic systems and manufacturing processes were introduced to the dental market as a consequence of highly aesthetically demanding patients [1, 2]. From the ceramic injection using the lost wax technique to the industrially prefabricated ceramic milling machines developed for CAD/CAM systems, dentistry evolved in the search for alternatives to conventional ceramic layering techniques [3-8]. CAD/CAM systems are mostly used in conjunction with ceramic materials such as lithium disilicate (IPS e.max CAD, Ivoclar Vivadent) [4]. Lithium disilicate is a restorative ceramic material that combines high flexural strength with outstanding aesthetics. Restorations with such material may be manufactured with CAD/CAM system by using prefabricated blocks or by the lost wax technique [9, 10]. Marginal adaptation is a very important aspect when it comes to indirect restorations, because no matter how small the maladaptation is, it will cause small marginal gaps/openings leading to plaque accumulation, production of sulcular fluid,

possibility of bone loss and periodontal disease development at the site, infiltration, and recurrent caries [11–13].

The high demand for aesthetic dental restorations made ceramic crowns popular. Three important factors must be emphasized when addressing ceramics: marginal adaptation, internal adaptation, and strength of the material; therefore all ceramic materials used for restorations and crowns must meet these three factors in order to meet the clinical requirement and prolong clinical lifetime [14–18]. The lack of marginal and internal adaptation of ceramic crowns can affect the longevity of indirect dental restorations [19–22]. Faulty restoration margins increase plaque retention, which can cause traumatic gingival irritation and/or short-term decay [23–25].

Adequate internal adaptation is especially important for placement of ceramic restorations because it results in homogeneous distribution of masticatory loads under stress [16, 17, 26–28]. And when the ceramic restoration is well adapted chances of infiltration to occur in the margins of the toothrestoration assembly are reduced. The relevance of this research is given by the interest to evaluate whether the polishing for crown preparations is truly necessary for the ceramic restoration to be well adapted, in addition to observe the CAD/CAM system behavior while acquiring images with different degrees of polishing. This study evaluated whether finishing of crown preparations influences the marginal and internal adaptation of lithium disilicate-based ceramic crowns fabricated with the Cerec system. The evaluation was made by a measurement technique at the tooth/ceramic interface with silicone replicas and evaluated through photographs with stereomicroscope under 70x magnification.

Therefore the aim of this study was to evaluate in vitro whether the polishing of crown preparations influences the internal and marginal adaptation of lithium disilicate ceramic crowns fabricated using CAD/CAM technology. The null hypothesis was that the polishing preparation would not influence the internal and marginal adaptation.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

For the development of the research, a plastic manikin was used (P-Oclusal), in which the maxillary left first molar teeth was the focus of the study. Twenty premade plastic teeth element number 26, the brand P-Oclusal, with full-crown preparations, were used. The selected teeth were fixed in the plastic manikin, in the position correspondent to the tooth #26. Each tooth was included for the establishment of the procedure according to the group. This procedure was intended to facilitate the subsequent stages of the research.

(i) Cavity preparation: the artificial teeth with full-crown preparations were divided into 2 groups.

*Group 1*. Preparation shipped from the factory was roughened with ground particle size diamond bur (#3131, KG Sorensen, Brazil), simulating preparations without finishing and polishing steps.

*Group 2 (Control).* Preparation shipped from the factory has not been modified. A preparation was simulated with diamond bur in decreased sequence of particle size, fine (#3131F, KG Sorensen), and extra-fine (#3131FF, KG Sorensen), following the steps of finishing and polishing. Upon completion of the preparations step, the teeth were cleaned with compressed air-water to remove any debris that remained on the surface (Figure 1).

(ii) The ceramic crowns restorations were fabricated by a CAD/CAM system (Cerec AC, Sirona Dental Systems). Each preparation was covered with titanium dioxide spray (Cerec OptiSpray, Sirona Dental Systems, Batch #2013140338) and scanned (Bluecam, Cerec AC). To standardize the contour anatomy and as the original restoration design was not changed, only "Position," "Add," and "Smooth" tools of the software (InLab 4.2, Sirona) were used in the free surfaces to ensure the correct thickness of all regions of the preparation and optimal adaptation. The following parameters were used: Biogeneric Individual, film thickness:  $60 \,\mu$ m; occlusal contact strength:  $25 \,\mu$ m; occlusal contact strength:  $-150 \,\mu$ m; and margin thickness:



FIGURE 1: Tooth preparation of Group 2 and Group 1.

 $0\,\mu$ m; and Consider Instrument Geometry was set to OFF. Lithium disilicate CAD/CAM ceramic blocks were used for making the crowns (e.max CAD HT, shade B3, size C14, Ivoclar Vivadent). Since these blocks are precrystallized after the milling completed, they were led to a furnace at 840°C for 20 to 25 minutes according to manufacturer's recommendations.

Following the fabrication of the ceramic restorations, began the stage of evaluation of marginal adaptation. The twenty ceramic restorations were divided into two groups according to the type of polishing and finishing of preparations. The marginal adaptation evaluation was performed in all aspects (buccal, mesial, palatal, and distal). The seating of the ceramic restorations was performed by the same calibrated researcher (BSS), by finger pressure. Then, the tooth-restoration interface was then taken to an optical microscope with 70x magnification, where snapshots of the interfaces were taken. No mismatches of the restorations were observed, so the need for internal adjustments was discarded.

The plastic teeth previously divided into two groups and evaluated for marginal adaptation were fixed to a device in order to assist the impression steps with silicone film. To facilitate the apprehension of the teeth to carry out the subsequent stages of evaluation of the internal fit of the preparation, the root portion of each tooth was fixed into a plastic ring (2.0 cm diameter, 2.5 cm height). A polyvinyl siloxane (PVS) impression material was inserted inside the ring (Virtual Putty, Ivoclar Vivadent). The teeth received demarcations on the buccal, mesial, distal, and palatal aspects of the root, 2 mm below the preparation, to standardize the fixation of the specimens for all groups.

(iii) Internal fit evaluation: for the evaluation of internal fit, the cementation of crowns on their respective teeth was performed with a PVS material. Subsequently, the thickness of this silicone film was measured in 24 different sites for each crown. A PVS material for occlusal registration was used (Flexitime Correct Flow, Heraeus Kulzer), according to manufacturer's guidelines. Immediately, the silicone was injected into the ceramic crown and seated with finger pressure on its respective tooth. The crown/silicone/tooth assembly was fixed in the metallic device, and a constant load of 1 kg was applied for 2:30 minutes, as recommended by the manufacturer.



FIGURE 2: Film capture with light silicone of another color.



For capture and stabilization of the PVS material film, a PVS material with contrasting color (Flexitime Correct Flow, Heraeus Kulzer) to that of the film used for cementation was selected. Therefore, a low viscosity PVS impression material (Virtual, Light Body Regular Set, Ivoclar Vivadent) was selected for the stabilization of the film (yellow shade), different from the PVS material used for measurement of the internal fit (green). This contrast facilitates the subsequent measurement of the film thickness. A low viscosity addition PVS material (Virtual Light Body Regular Set, Ivoclar Vivadent) was injected on the Flexitime film, with the help of an automixture syringe, starting from the occlusal aspect. With rotary motion, the coverage of the whole film was performed. Simultaneously, an additional portion of the silicone was discharged inside a plastic cylinder, and this was settled on the plastic part with the tooth.

The device seated on the tooth preparation was stabilized until the setting of the material occurred, as recommended by the manufacturer, that is, 4:30 minutes. After polymerization, excess silicone was removed, and the silicon portion Light Body Regular Set was identified by letters for the mesial, distal, buccal, and palatal surfaces. The groups were identified by a thin layer of the low viscosity materials; that is, Flexitime Correct Flow was applied to the surface for identifying the roughened group, and Virtual Light Body was applied to the other specimens for identifying the polished group (Figure 2).

At the end of identification, plastic parts were separated, and the silicone film Flexitime Correct Flow was removed from the tooth by the stabilization silicone (Virtual, Ivoclar Vivadent). Subsequently, more low viscosity silicone was injected (Virtual, Ivoclar Vivadent) inside the film, and the assembly was poured and stabilized on a glass plate. After



FIGURE 3: Film measurement held in stereomicroscope.



FIGURE 4: Schematic diagram of measurement areas.

polymerization, the silicone film was sectioned in the buccolingual and mesiodistal directions, resulting in 4 pieces. For this purpose, #22 scalpel blades were used, which were replaced every five sections to maintain the pattern and not tear the film.

(iv) Measurement of the film thickness: for each of the four segments—buccal, lingual, mesial, and distal—images of the cervical, axial, and occlusal areas were obtained. The images were obtained 24 hours after the manufacturing of the replicas, under microscope with a magnification of 70x. To measure the film thickness corresponding to the internal gap of the tooth/restoration interface, an image analysis software was used (Image Tool 3.0 for Windows, University of Texas, Health Science Center San Antonio, Texas, USA) (Figure 3).

For each section in the buccolingual direction 12 measurements were performed at different locations of the extent of film, and another 12 were made mesiodistally, in the same measurement sites. Thus, 24 measurements were performed for each crown. Three readings were made at each measurement site. The average of the readings was considered as the final value for each site.

The measurement points of the buccolingual and mesialdistal sections are listed as follows (Figure 4): (a) cervical wall; (b) cervicoaxial angle; (c) axial wall at the cervical third;

Measured area (G1)	Median, minimum, and maximum values	Measured area (G2)	Median, minimum, and maximum values
1	119.6000 (86.0300-192.3400)	1	155.7600 (70.5000-340.8300)
2	173.0250 (84.9700-356.7100)	2	117.5150 (78.9000-489.8000)
3	126.5500 (80.9200-489.8000)	3	122.1350 (96.7400-345.7600)
4	139.5150 (57.3100-217.5800)	4	148.5250 (40.9800-209.8000)
5	128.1900 (77.0990-189.3400)	5	123.2050 (69.7400-380.9200)
6	145.9850 (30.9800-295.2700)	6	125.3350 (63.6500-374.4100)
7	193.5450 (78.3300-291.2800)*	7	100.8250 (76.3000-486.4000)*
8	119.3050 (52.0900-220.3600)	8	85.1150 (38.71000-205.3000)
9	143.0700 (54.9200-217.6800)	9	137.6200 (64.7200-239.7000)
10	109.7900 (85.0600-236.3700)	10	96.2800 (56.8000-306.1300)
11	128.3800 (97.5000-309.7700)	11	112.6450 (65.1200-234.4200)
12	169.8800 (66.2000-311.5200)	12	125.6650 (56.1900-607.4400)
13	154.3450 (54.0300-307.9800)	13	186.6650 (112.5600-422.8300)
14	103.2150 (44.2000-261.27000)	14	153.3550 (41.3800-369.6500)
15	185.6550 (66.2100-309.5600)	15	130.6959 (44.3000-506.6800)
16	191.7900 (77.9800-379.3400)	16	179.5100 (68.8400-416.9000)
17	229.5000 (84.2100-526.8800)	17	212.9400 (110.7100-268.3500)
18	272.7150 (76.3500-322.3800)	18	215.8650 (125.7500-409.3900)
19	204.7700 (64.5700-455.7100)	19	189.9250 (52.6900-373.64000)
20	241.3250 (184.7400-351.1400)	20	210.3200 (149.7300-534.4600)
21	211.7150 (108.9100-318.0700)	21	254.7500 (87.7900-644.8800)
22	267.4700 (159.0800-397.1200)	22	237.4450 (151.6300-427.7400)
23	278.8050 (116.5800-491.3800)	23	191.2800 (95.0500-346.4500)
24	305.3850 (130.5000-355.2700)	24	216.2850 (161.5000-409.2100)

TABLE 1: Median, minimum and maximum values of the G1 and G2.

\* Evaluated parameters showed statistically significant difference with a value of p = 0.0494. This difference reflects the comparison of cervical-axial-palatal angle between G1 and G2. The other areas analyzed did not present a statistically significant difference with p value > 0.05.

(d) axial wall at the occlusal third; (e) axio occlusal angle; (f) occlusal wall.

In order to avoid confusion when performing the statistical test, the mean values obtained were plotted so that each region could be evaluated by comparison between groups. Thus, Group 1 was odd-numbered and Group 2 was evennumbered, so each number was correspondent to the region of the surfaces and evaluated within its group.

The statistical test used during this study was Shapiro-Wilk's and Mann–Whitney's at a significance level of 0,05%.

#### 3. Results

Values were found in the Shapiro-Wilk's test of normality performed in both tested groups and their descriptive statistics. The Shapiro-Wilk's normality test indicated a nonuniform distribution of data. Because of this, they were treated statistically using a Mann–Whitney nonparametric test. Generally no significant differences were observed between both groups tested (p > 0.05), except for the region of the Cervical-Axial-Palatal Angle of G1 which presented higher value than G2 (p = 0.0494) (Table 1).

#### 4. Discussion

The null hypothesis was accepted because, within the results found, one may observe that the statistical variation between

both types of preparation finishing was virtually minimal. The only variable in measurement of the regions occurred in only one region and located only in the palatal region. The success of all-ceramic crowns is associated with several factors and laboratory steps that must be respected. Beyond aesthetics, a good marginal and internal adaptation are the ideal when implementing full crowns. This prevents any interference of the biofilm and possible marginal discoloration and caries recurrence among other factors [5]. If the restoration is not properly seated on the preparation it might generate the plaque accumulation and consequently trigger periodontal inflammation [22].

Obviously, further studies become necessary for us to extrapolate these scientific findings to clinical practice. But, within the results of this research, one might consider the possibility of clinical time saving during polishing of the preparations and the technical feasibility of crown preparation. Noticeably the acquisition device (Bluecam, Cerec) performed correctly in reading its function, from which it can be concluded that the polishing or not polishing preparation does not interfere with reading and computer-assisted design of all-ceramic crowns.

The instruments for evaluation and measurement of the marginal discrepancy can be optical microscopes [6, 13, 25], stereomicroscopes [2, 18], and scanning electron microscopes [7]. In this study, the choice for the stereomicroscope was

defined due to the convenience of snapshots and reading and subsequent measurement of the surfaces in the same place beyond the sharpness of the images obtained. Another important feature was the ability of saving the image with the corresponding measurement value.

During the evaluation phase of the internal fit, no interference was found to be enough to require further adjustment; thus the ceramic restorations were crystallized without any sharp object contacting in addition to the milling cutters of the CAD/CAM, during the milling step. We believe that the CAD/CAM technology helps in manufacturing of ceramic crowns, because the steps that were previously made in the laboratory, taking days or weeks, now can be performed in a practical and easy way in less than 20 minutes for each crown (considering scanning, design, and milling).

Computer aided systems (CAD/CAM) are designed to simplify the processing of dental prostheses, producing restorations in less time and with equipment that enables an accurate reading [8]. Previously, low resolution scanners resulted in prostheses with poor marginal and internal fit fabricated with CAD/CAM systems. However, recent advances in technology, engineering, and materials brought CAD/CAM systems using high-precision scanners and more sophisticated software to scan complex shapes required in the office, such as that used in this research [8, 10].

In this study, the measurement of the internal fit reproduced previous studies. The choice for the internal replica technique was recommended by McLean and Von [26] because it is a nondestructive technique. In this technique, the film thickness can be captured for measurement, preserving the tooth/crown specimen [27]. The steps of image acquisition, design, and milling of restorations were standardized and performed by the same operator calibrated and trained in the use of this technology. Moreover, the ceramic material, lithium disilicate, the shade, and the artificial teeth were standardized, along with the PVS material used for simulation of the luting agent, in order to minimize weight of the metal device used for the simulation of the cement which was standardized during the setting of the PVS material.

One out of the 24 regions measured for each crown was found to be different between groups, in the region of axial-pulpal cervical angle. This led us to believe that during the roughening stage of restoration, which simulated the preparation unpolished, excessive pressure might have occurred. The preparations were sprinkled simulating the oral environment, so the tooth was placed on the mannequin. This variation may have occurred due to a slight difference in the angle of the diamond point during the surface roughening procedure.

A recently published study that evaluated the marginal and internal fit, of two different milling systems, where a system used selective laser melting and the other a CAD/CAM system, found that the first system presented superior results for marginal and internal fit [28]. Maybe it would be interesting to investigate the polishing of the preparation and increase the number of groups to be evaluated, such as using the laser system mentioned above. Despite the limitations of this study it can be concluded that the full crowns manufactured with CAD/CAM technology on preparations with or without polishing showed no statistically significant differences in the evaluation of marginal adaptation. Also it can be concluded that polishing preparations of full crowns fabricated with CAD/CAM technology are optional. This may be waived by the dentist reducing the clinical time during the preparation procedure. However it would be interesting to carry out further research evaluating these parameters on natural teeth.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Ivoclar Vivadent, Brazil, and Heraeus Kulzer, Brazil, for donating materials.

#### References

- P. C. Guess, T. Vagkopoulou, Y. Zhang, M. Wolkewitz, and J. R. Strub, "Marginal and internal fit of heat pressed versus CAD/CAM fabricated all-ceramic onlays after exposure to thermo-mechanical fatigue," *Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 199–209, 2014.
- [2] L. Jahangiri, C. Wahlers, E. Hittelman, and P. Matheson, "Assessment of sensitivity and specificity of clinical evaluation of cast restoration marginal accuracy compared to stereomicroscopy," *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 138–142, 2005.
- [3] J. R. Kelly and P. Benetti, "Ceramic materials in dentistry: historical evolution and current practice," *Australian Dental Journal*, vol. 56, supplement 1, pp. 84–96, 2011.
- [4] J. A. Sorensen, "A rationale for comparison of plaque-retaining properties of crown systems," *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 264–269, 1989.
- [5] D. J. Fasbinder, "Clinical performance of chairside CAD/CAM restorations," *Journal of the American Dental Association*, vol. 137, no. 9, pp. 22–31, 2006.
- [6] E. A. Tsitrou, S. E. Northeast, and R. van Noort, "Evaluation of the marginal fit of three margin designs of resin composite crowns using CAD/CAM," *Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 68–73, 2007.
- [7] A. Bindl and W. H. Mörmann, "Fit of all-ceramic posterior fixed partial denture frameworks in vitro," *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 567– 575, 2007.
- [8] T. Miyazaki, Y. Hotta, J. Kunii, S. Kuriyama, and Y. Tamaki, "A review of dental CAD/CAM: current status and future perspectives from 20 years of experience," *Dental Materials Journal*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 44–56, 2009.
- [9] I. Denry and J. A. Holloway, "Ceramics for dental applications: a review," *Materials*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 351–368, 2010.
- [10] T. Miyazaki and Y. Hotta, "CAD/CAM systems available for the fabrication of crown and bridge restorations," *Australian Dental Journal*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 97–106, 2011.
- [11] S. E. Sorensen, I. B. Larsen, and K. D. Jörgensen, "Gingival and alveolar bone reaction to marginal fit of subgingival crown

margins," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 109–114, 1986.

- [12] S. Rinke, A. Hiilsr, and L. Jahn, "Marginal accuracy and fracture strength of conventional and copy-milled all-ceramic crowns," *International Journal of Prosthodontics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 303–310, 1995.
- [13] A. F. Boeckler, A. Stadler, and J. M. Setz, "The significance of marginal gap and overextension measurement in the evaluation of the fit of complete crowns," *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 26–37, 2005.
- [14] J. T. Colpani, M. Borba, and Á. Della Bona, "Evaluation of marginal and internal fit of ceramic crown copings," *Dental Materials*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 174–180, 2013.
- [15] I.-S. Yeo, J.-H. Yang, and J.-B. Lee, "In vitro marginal fit of three all-ceramic crown systems," *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 459–464, 2003.
- [16] O. Schaefer, H. Kuepper, B. W. Sigusch, G. A. Thompson, A. F. Hefti, and A. Guentsch, "Three-dimensional fit of lithium disilicate partial crowns in vitro," *Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 271–277, 2013.
- [17] O. Schaefer, D. C. Watts, B. W. Sigusch, H. Kuepper, and A. Guentsch, "Marginal and internal fit of pressed lithium disilicate partial crowns in vitro: a three-dimensional analysis of accuracy and reproducibility," *Dental Materials*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 320–326, 2012.
- [18] C. F. J. Stappert, N. Denner, T. Gerds, and J. R. Strub, "Marginal adaptation of different types of all-ceramic partial coverage restorations after exposure to an artificial mouth," *British Dental Journal*, vol. 199, no. 12, pp. 779–783, 2005.
- [19] E. Anadioti, S. A. Aquilino, D. G. Gratton et al., "Internal fit of pressed and computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing ceramic crowns made from digital and conventional impressions," *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 113, no. 4, pp. 304–309, 2015.
- [20] J. Abduo, K. Lyons, and M. Swain, "Fit of zirconia fixed partial denture: a systematic review," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 866–876, 2010.
- [21] M. R. Baig, K. B.-C. Tan, and J. I. Nicholls, "Evaluation of the marginal fit of a zirconia ceramic computer-aided machined (CAM) crown system," *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 216–227, 2010.
- [22] D. A. Felton, B. E. Kanoy, S. C. Bayne, and G. P. Wirthman, "Effect of in vivo crown margin discrepancies on periodontal health," *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 357–364, 1991.
- [23] C. J. Soares, L. R. M. Martins, R. B. Fonseca, L. Correr-Sobrinho, and A. J. Fernandes Neto, "Influence of cavity preparation design on fracture resistance of posterior Leucite-reinforced ceramic restorations," *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 95, no. 6, pp. 421–429, 2006.
- [24] M. A. Al-Rababah, T. V. Macfarlane, and J. F. McCord, "Vertical marginal and internal adaptation of all-ceramic copings made by CAD/CAM technology," *The European Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 109– 115, 2008.
- [25] E. B. Goldin, N. W. Boyd III, G. R. Goldstein, E. L. Hittelman, and V. P. Thompson, "Marginal fit of leucite-glass pressable ceramic restorations and ceramic-pressed-to-metal restorations," *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 143–147, 2005.

- [26] J. W. McLean and F. Von, "The estimation of cement film thickness by an in vivo technique," *British Dental Journal*, vol. 131, no. 3, pp. 107–111, 1971.
- [27] R. G. Luthardt, G. Bornemann, S. Lemelson, M. H. Walter, and A. Hüls, "An innovative method for evaluation of the 3-D internal fit of CAD/CAM crowns fabricated after direct optical versus indirect laser scan digitizing," *International Journal of Prosthodontics*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 680–685, 2004.
- [28] Z. Huang, L. Zhang, J. Zhu, Y. Zhao, and X. Zhang, "Clinical marginal and internal fit of crowns fabricated using different CAD/CAM technologies," *Journal of Prosthodontics*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 291–295, 2015.