Letters to Editor

# Visual analog scale for assessing the perception of short-acting $\beta$ 2-agonist use in clinical practice

Sir,

Asthma is a disorder characterized by episodes of reversible bronchial obstruction that accounts for airway inflammation, bronchial spasm, and bronchial hyper-reactiveness.<sup>[1]</sup> At present, the control of asthma is considered the cornerstone goal in the management strategy. The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines propose three asthma control levels: well-controlled, partly controlled, and uncontrolled.<sup>[1]</sup> The assessment of asthma control is based on some simple parameters, including the use of short-acting  $\beta$ 2-agonists (SABAs) and symptoms. As a matter of fact, SABA is indicated in all asthma-severity grades as it is considered the "asthma reliever by definition." In clinical practice, SABA use might be envisaged as the "thermometer" of asthma. However, it is demanding to precisely account for the actual SABA use in clinical practice. Hence, a clinical diary may be



**Figure 1:** Relationship between number of taken short-acting  $\beta$ 2-agonists puffs and visual analog scale score assessing the perception of their use

supplied to the patients for recording the SABA use on a weekly or monthly basis. However, this opportunity could be difficult to pursue because the practical experience suggests that the patients are frequently negligent in correctly compiling a diary.

On the other hand, the visual analog scale (VAS) is a psychometric test that is fruitful for assessing the patient's perception of pain and symptom severity.<sup>[2]</sup> The validity of VAS was previously evaluated in the measurement of the breathlessness sensation in both experimental and clinical studies.<sup>[3,4]</sup> In this regard, recent cross-sectional studies provided evidence that VAS assessment of breathlessness perception could be a useful tool to measure asthma symptom severity,<sup>[5]</sup> asthma control,<sup>[6]</sup> and bronchodilation test response<sup>[7]</sup> in clinical practice.

Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that VAS could be able also to assess the SABA use in a world-life setting. For this purpose, 102 (46 males, mean age: 29.2 years) patients with intermittent asthma were consecutively considered. Asthma diagnosis was performed according to validated criteria stated by the GINA document.<sup>[1]</sup> All patients were treated only by SABA, used as symptomatic reliever for dyspnea. Patients monthly recorded the number of SABA puffs that took within 6 months. Subsequently, they were visited and their perception of SABA use was evaluated by VAS (0 = no puff; 10 = a lot). Pearson correlation coefficient was used to evaluate possible correlation between VAS for medication use perception and the number of puffs taken. VAS for medication use perception strongly correlated with the number of puffs taken (r = 0.899, P < 0.0001), as shown in Figure 1.

A possible limitation of the present study might be the relative awareness of patients as they kept the diary. The main outcome is the possibility of using VAS for estimating the patient's perception of SABA use in clinical practice. This information may be very useful in the management of asthmatic patients as SABA symptomatic use reflects quite well the grade of asthma control.<sup>[8]</sup> Actually, the frequent use of SABA is associated with poorly controlled asthma. Thus, VAS measurement could be an easy and quick tool for assessing SABA medication use in real-world setting. Consequently, the awareness of symptomatic medication use could improve the belief of illness and potentially improve patient's self-management behavior.

#### **Financial support and sponsorship** Nil.

### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

## Giorgio Ciprandi, Michela Silvestri<sup>1</sup>, Maria Angela Tosca<sup>1</sup>

Department of Medicine, Respiratory Allergy, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, <sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Pediatric Pulmonology, IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy E-mail: gio.cip@libero.it

### REFERENCES

- Global Initiative for Asthma. GINA Guidelines. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention; 2018. Available from: http://www. ginasthma.org/. [Last accessed on 2018 Aug 04].
- Gormsen L, Bach FW, Rosenberg R, Jensen TS. Differential pain modulation in patients with peripheral neuropathic pain and fibromyalgia. Scand J Pain 2012;3:116-23.
- 3. Yoos HL, McMullen A. Symptom perception and evaluation in childhood asthma. Nurs Res 1999;48:2-8.
- 4. Wilson RC, Jones PW. A comparison of the visual analogue scale and modified Borg scale for the measurement of dyspnoea during exercise. Clin Sci (Lond) 1989;76:277-82.
- Ciprandi G, Schiavetti I, Ricciardolo FL. Symptom perception and asthma control. Postgrad Med 2015;127:738-43.
- Ciprandi G, Schiavetti I, Sorbello V, Ricciardolo FL. Perception of asthma symptoms as assessed on the visual analog scale in subjects with asthma: A real-life study. Respir Care 2016;61:23-9.
- Ricciardolo FL, Rindone E, Schiavetti I, Ciprandi G. Perception of bronchodilation assessed by visual analog scale in asthmatics: A real-life study. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2016;26:49-51.
- Wong J, Agus MS, Graham DA, Melendez E. A critical asthma standardized clinical and management plan reduces duration of critical asthma therapy. Hosp Pediatr 2017;7:79-87.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.lungindia.com
	DOI: 10.4103/lungindia.lungindia_370_18

How to cite this article: Ciprandi G, Silvestri M, Tosca MA. Visual analog scale for assessing the perception of short-acting  $\beta$ 2-agonist use in clinical practice. Lung India 2019;36:82-3.

© 2018 Indian Chest Society | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow