

Cladribine tablets added to IFN- β in active relapsing MS

The ONWARD study

Xavier Montalban, MD, PhD, Thomas P. Leist, MD, Bruce A. Cohen, MD, Harold Moses, MD, Jackie Campbell, MSc, Christine Hicking, MD, and Fernando Dangond, MD, On behalf of the ONWARD Study Group

Correspondence

Dr. Montalban
xmontalban@cem-cat.org

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2018;5:e477. doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000000477

Abstract

Objective

To evaluate the safety and efficacy of cladribine tablets in patients still experiencing active relapsing MS despite interferon (IFN)- β treatment.

Methods

A 96-week phase II study, randomizing patients treated with IFN- β to cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β or placebo/IFN- β . Patients were to receive cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β or placebo/IFN- β in a 2:1 ratio (n = 172) with safety and exploratory efficacy outcomes being assessed.

Results

Adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs were similar across treatment groups, except lymphopenia. Fifty of 124 (40.3%) cladribine/IFN- β recipients vs 0% of placebo/IFN- β recipients reported lymphopenia as an AE, with grade 3/4 lymphopenia (laboratory lymphocyte count < 500 cells/mm³) experienced by 79/124 (63.7%) vs 1 (2.1%), respectively. Patients treated with cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β were 63% less likely to have a qualifying relapse than placebo/IFN- β recipients, and cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β reduced most MRI measures of disease activity.

Conclusions

In patients with active relapsing MS despite IFN- β treatment, cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β reduced relapses and MRI lesion activity over 96 weeks compared with placebo/IFN- β but led to an increased incidence of lymphopenia.

Classification of evidence

This study provides Class I evidence that for patients with active relapsing MS despite IFN- β treatment, cladribine tablets added to IFN- β reduced relapses and MRI lesion activity over 96 weeks and increased the incidence of lymphopenia.

Clinical trial registration

NCT00436826.

MORE ONLINE

→ Class of Evidence

Criteria for rating therapeutic and diagnostic studies

NPub.org/coe

From the Department of Neurology-Neuroimmunology (X.M.), Multiple Sclerosis Center of Catalonia (Cemcat), Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; Department of Neurology (X.M.), St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Division of Clinical Neuroimmunology (T.P.L.), Jefferson University, Comprehensive MS Center, Philadelphia, PA; Department of Neurology (B.A.C.), Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL; Department of Neurology (H.M.), Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN; inScience Communications (J.C.), Springer Healthcare, Chester, United Kingdom; Research and Development Global BioStatistics (C.H.), Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; and Global Clinical Development Center (F.D.), EMD Serono Inc., Billerica, MA.

Funding information and disclosures are provided at the end of the article. Full disclosure form information provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at Neurology.org/NN.

ONWARD Trial Coinvestigators are listed at links.lww.com/NXI/A51.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Glossary

ANC = absolute neutrophil count; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; CUA = combined unique active; DMD = disease-modifying drug; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; IFN = interferon; RRMS = relapsing-remitting MS; SAE = serious adverse event; SOC = system organ class; SPMS = secondary progressive MS; WBC = white blood cell.

Recently, the number of available disease-modifying therapies for treatment of relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) has increased.^{1,2} However, many patients treated with these agents continue to experience relapses and disease progression^{3–5}; thus, new treatment options are still required. One strategy may be to use combinations of effective agents to suppress MS disease activity more effectively. Cladribine, a synthetic deoxyadenosine analog that acts in peripheral tissues, enters the CNS and is taken up by lymphocytes and phosphorylated by deoxycytidine kinase, resulting in targeted and sustained reductions of the T and B lymphocytes that are implicated in the pathogenesis of MS.⁶ In the Phase III CLARITY study treatment with cladribine tablets (Mavenclad; Merck Serono Europe Ltd, London, UK) given in short courses annually for 2 years significantly improved clinical and MRI outcomes.⁷ Lymphopenia was the most commonly reported adverse event (AE).⁸ In CLARITY Extension, the clinical benefits of 2-year of treatment with cladribine tablets were shown to be durable, without further active treatment.⁹ In the ORACLE-MS study, cladribine tablets significantly reduced the risk of clinically definite MS in patients with a first clinical demyelinating event compared with placebo.¹⁰ The dosing regimen of cladribine tablets offers a unique posology, which provides patients with significant treatment-free periods. Thus, assessment of the safety and potential benefits of treatment with cladribine tablets in patients experiencing relapses and disease progression while on interferon (IFN)- β therapy is of interest. Here, results from the ONWARD (Oral cladribine added oN to IFN- β in patients With Active Relapsing Disease) study are reported.

Methods

Primary research question: what are the effects of adding cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg to IFN- β in patients still experiencing active relapsing MS despite IFN- β treatment? This study provides Class I evidence that for patients with active relapsing MS despite IFN- β treatment, cladribine tablets added to IFN- β reduced relapses and MRI lesion activity over 96 weeks and increased the incidence of lymphopenia. Patients treated with cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β were 63% less likely to have a qualifying relapse than placebo/IFN- β recipients (relative risk [95% CI] 0.37 [0.22–0.63], $p = 0.001$). The cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β group was 90% less likely to have a new T1 Gd+ lesion (relative risk 0.10 [0.03–0.37], $p < 0.001$). There was an increased incidence of lymphopenia reported as an AE for cladribine/IFN- β recipients (40.3% vs 0% of placebo/IFN- β recipients).

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

ONWARD (NCT00436826) was a 96-week, randomized, double-blind, phase IIb study conducted between November 2006 and March 2012 at 50 centers: United States (25 sites), Russia (10), Spain (9), and Italy (6). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, International Conference on Harmonisation/Good Clinical Practice Guidelines, and local regulations. An independent ethics committee approved the study, and all patients provided written informed consent.

Study design and treatment

Under the original study protocol, 2 cumulative doses of cladribine tablets (3.5 and 5.25 mg/kg) added to existing IFN- β therapy were to be evaluated. Following a signal related to lymphopenia with cladribine 5.25 mg/kg/IFN- β (15/17 [88.2%] patients had grade 3/4 lymphopenia), this dose was discontinued and patients already randomized continued in the trial but received IFN- β only and were followed up for safety. A summary of protocol changes is shown in table e-1 (links.lww.com/NXI/A54).

Under an amended protocol (figure e-1, links.lww.com/NXI/A52), patients were to be randomized (2:1) to cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β or placebo/IFN- β . Randomization was stratified by site and IFN- β agent, which led to an actual randomization of 2.6:1 for cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β to placebo/IFN- β . A central interactive voice response system was used to allocate patients using a computer-generated treatment randomization code and treatment groups were assigned with a block size of 6.

The study included an open-label extension (cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg plus IFN- β) or a 48-week safety follow-up (IFN- β only), although limited data are available from this period because of discontinuation of the study extension by the sponsor. All patients were offered enrollment into the PREMIERE Registry (NCT01013350) for long-term safety follow-up.

Depending on bodyweight, patients took cladribine 10 mg tablets (or matching placebo) in short courses annually to achieve a cumulative dose of 3.5 mg/kg. To maintain blinding, all patients within 10 kg weight ranges received the same number of tablets (cladribine or matched placebo). All available forms of IFN- β were permitted: IFN- β -1a, 44 μ g SC 3 times a week; IFN- β -1a, 30 μ g IM once weekly; or IFN- β -1b, 250 μ g SC every other day.

Patients

Patients aged 18–65 years were eligible for study entry if they fulfilled the following criteria: RRMS or secondary progressive MS (SPMS) with relapses (2005 McDonald criteria)¹¹; treatment with IFN- β for ≥ 48 consecutive weeks before screening with ≥ 1 MS relapse during that period; clinical stability (other than relapses) during the 28 days before screening; an Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score of 1.0–5.5; and normal hematologic parameters within 28 days of baseline (day 1 of randomization). Normal hematologic parameters were defined as: platelets, $140\text{--}450 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$; absolute neutrophil count (ANC), $2.03\text{--}8.36 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$; absolute lymphocyte count, $1.02\text{--}3.36 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$; white blood cell (WBC) count, $4.1\text{--}12.3 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$; and hemoglobin, 11.6–16.2 g/dL. Switching from one IFN- β therapy to another was permitted in the 48 weeks preceding screening if the patient had been on a stable regimen of the current IFN- β ≥ 3 months before screening. Selected exclusion criteria are described in table e-2 (links.lww.com/NXI/A54). Patients could only receive double-blind treatment in the second 48-week study period if all hematologic parameters were \geq the lower limit of Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 1 toxicity at week 44.

Assessments and endpoints

Treating physicians supervised study drug administration and the recording and treatment of AEs and MS relapses, and independent, evaluating physicians assessed neurologic findings and relapses (each blinded to treatment group assignment). MRI scans were evaluated at a central neuroradiology center (blinded to treatment). Neurologic examinations were conducted at screening, day 1 and weeks 13, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 92, and 96 (or early termination). MRI scans were performed at day 1 and weeks 24, 48, 72, and 96 (or early termination).

The primary outcome was safety and tolerability of cladribine tablets added to IFN- β therapy. Particular attention was given to AEs and laboratory toxicities known to be associated with the use of cladribine or of potential concern because of its mechanism of action. The primary safety variables were treatment-emergent AEs in the system organ class (SOC) infections and infestations; the incidence of treatment emergent AEs and serious AEs (SAEs), including neoplasms; CTCAE grade 3 or 4 severity toxicity on hematology and liver function parameters (lymphocytes, hemoglobin level, WBC, ANC, platelets, CD4 cell count, alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, and bilirubin); time to first grade 3/4 hematologic or liver toxicity; time to recovery from grade 3/4 hematologic or liver toxicity (defined as time to return to grade 0/1), and lymphocyte counts.

Efficacy evaluations (secondary objectives) included the number of qualifying relapses over 96 weeks; time to first qualifying relapse; mean numbers of new gadolinium-enhancing (Gd+) T1, active T2, and combined unique active (CUA) lesions (defined as new Gd+ T1, or new or enlarging T2 lesions, or both, without double counting) on MRI scans at 96 weeks; and time to confirmed 3-month EDSS progression. A qualifying relapse was

defined as a 2-grade increase in ≥ 1 or a 1-grade increase in ≥ 2 Kurtzke Functional systems,¹² excluding bowel/bladder or cognition changes, in the absence of fever lasting ≥ 24 hours and preceded by ≥ 30 days of clinical stability or improvement. Confirmed EDSS progression was defined as an increase in the EDSS score of ≥ 1.5 , ≥ 1.0 , or ≥ 0.5 units if the score at baseline was 0, ≥ 0.5 , and ≤ 4.5 , or ≥ 5.0 , respectively, confirmed at ≥ 3 months.

Statistical analysis

The double-blind period safety population included all patients who had received ≥ 1 dose of study medication and for whom follow-up safety data were available. The primary safety analysis was performed on the proportion of patients developing a treatment-emergent AE in SOC Infections and Infestations and the proportion of patients developing grade 3 or 4 toxicity on hematology and liver function parameters. Time to first grade 3/4 hematologic or liver toxicities were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Descriptive statistics were provided for all safety data.

Patients were analyzed for efficacy over the double-blind period. All efficacy analyses were exploratory because the study was not powered to detect between-group differences for any end point, and all *p* values less than 0.05 are considered as nominally significant. Despite the primary objective being safety and tolerability of cladribine, a sample size estimation for the main efficacy parameter was performed. A sample size of 132 was required to provide 80% power to detect difference in the mean changes from baseline in the number of Gd+ T1 lesions between the add-on cladribine group vs placebo, with a significance level of 5.0%.

Data availability

For all new products or new indications approved in both the European Union and the United States after January 1, 2014, Merck KGaA will share patient level, study level data after deidentification, as well as redacted study protocols and clinical study reports from clinical trials in patients. These data will be shared with qualified scientific and medical researchers, upon researcher's request, as necessary for conducting legitimate research. Such requests must be submitted in writing to the company's data sharing portal and will be internally reviewed regarding criteria for researcher qualifications and legitimacy of the research purpose.

Results

Patients

One hundred seventy-two patients were randomized and analyzed for safety and efficacy in the double-blind period. Forty-two patients were randomized under the original protocol and analyzed separately for safety in the double-blind period. The results of the study under the amended protocol are the primary subject of this report, unless otherwise stated. Safety data from the original protocol are included in tables where indicated. Patient demographics and baseline characteristics are presented

in table 1, and figure 1 shows patient disposition. The number of patients using the different types of IFN- β in combination with cladribine tablets 3.5 mg/kg was as follows: IFN- β -1a 44 μ g for SC use 3 times a week (Rebif; n = 82), IFN- β -1a 30 μ g for IM use once weekly (Avonex; n = 30), and IFN- β -1b 250 μ g for SC use every other day (Betaseron/Betaferon; n = 60). Comparisons of baseline EDSS and MRI assessment and the proportions of who developed a CTCAE grade 3 or 4 lymphocyte decrease by IFN- β treatment and treatment group are not presented because of the low numbers of patients in each group.

Safety

In the per protocol population, more than 50% of patients in both treatment groups had an AE categorized as an infection or infestation, with a slightly higher incidence in patients receiving cladribine/IFN- β vs placebo/IFN- β (table 2). Fifty of 124 (40.3%) cladribine/IFN- β recipients vs 0% of placebo/IFN- β recipients reported lymphopenia as an AE. Four SAEs of infection or infestation were reported. Two SAEs of infection or infestation were reported in the original protocol population. All SAEs of infection or infestation occurred in patients treated with cladribine/IFN- β . Few opportunistic infections were observed. Seven patients who were treated according to the amended protocol had herpes zoster, with all occurrences seen in patients treated with cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β . In the

original protocol population, there was 1 occurrence of herpes zoster in a patient treated with cladribine 5.25 mg/kg/IFN- β . Three additional occurrences were reported; 1 during the open-label extension and 2 during the safety follow-up in the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β group (not shown).

No malignancies were reported in patients enrolled under the amended protocol (all events reported in the SOC benign, malignant, or unspecified neoplasms were recorded as SAEs). Squamous cell carcinoma of the skin occurred in a 54-year-old female patient who received cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β in the original protocol (table 2). This developed approximately 2 years after starting cladribine/IFN- β and 7 years after starting IFN- β . AEs were similar across the 2 groups, except for higher incidence of lymphopenia seen with cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β (table 3 and table e-3, links.lww.com/NXI/A54 for the original protocol).


A higher incidence of grade 3/4 toxicities for numbers of lymphocytes, CD4 cells, neutrophils, and WBCs occurred with cladribine/IFN- β relative to placebo/IFN- β (table 4 and table e-4, links.lww.com/NXI/A54 for the original protocol). In the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β cohort, time to grade 3/4 lymphocyte toxicity was shortest and time to recovery was longest compared with the other toxicities (table 4). The

Table 1 Patient demographics and baseline characteristics: Amended and original protocol populations

Characteristic	Amended protocol		Original protocol		
	Cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN- β , (n = 124)	Placebo + IFN- β (n = 48)	Cladribine 5.25 mg/kg + IFN- β (n = 17)	Cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN- β (n = 16)	Placebo + IFN- β (n = 9)
Age, y	38.5 (10.2)	40.1 (10.3)	40.2 (10.5)	38.4 (10.5)	40.6 (7.5)
Female, n (%)	84 (67.7)	36 (75.0)	13 (76.5)	10 (62.5)	6 (66.7)
White, n (%)	119 (96.0)	46 (95.8)	16 (94.1)	15 (93.8)	9 (100.0)
Weight, kg	75.0 (18.3)	71.0 (16.8)	81.3 (16.5)	79.8 (15.1)	64.5 (10.2)
Time from the first MS attack to day 1, y	9.98 (7.24)	10.83 (7.98)	8.42 (6.88)	6.80 (4.44)	11.42 (6.78)
Time from the most recent relapse to day 1, mo	5.10 (2.74)	5.39 (2.55)	6.51 (4.08)	6.29 (3.85)	5.49 (3.20)
EDSS score	2.9 (1.2)	3.0 (1.2)	2.9 (1.4)	2.5 (1.2)	3.3 (1.0)
MS disease course, n (%)					
Relapsing-remitting	107 (86.3)	40 (83.3)	16 (94.1)	16 (100.0)	8 (88.9)
Secondary progressive with relapses	17 (13.7)	8 (16.7)	1 (5.9)	0	1 (11.1)
Number of T1 Gd+ lesions	1.1 (4.0)	0.6 (1.2)	0.1 (0.3)	0.4 (1.8)	2.2 (6.7)
Number of T1 hypointense lesions	9.0 (9.2)	9.3 (9.9)	6.1 (7.7)	7.7 (13.0)	5.0 (4.2)
T1 Gd+ lesion volume, cm ³	1.5 (5.9)	1.0 (2.1)	0.1 (0.4)	0.3 (1.1)	3.0 (8.9)
T2 lesion volume, cm ³	10.79 (11.30)	13.67 (16.61)	8.06 (11.64)	9.09 (10.60)	9.71 (7.59)

Abbreviations: EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; Gd = gadolinium; Gd+ = gadolinium enhancing; IFN = interferon. All values are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated.

Figure 1 Disposition of patients enrolled under (A) the amended protocol and (B) the original protocol



AE = adverse event; IFN = interferon.

Table 2 Overview of AEs and SAEs by the randomized group over the double-blind period: Amended and original protocol populations

	Amended protocol		Original protocol		
	Cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN- β (n = 124)	Placebo + IFN- β (n = 48)	Cladribine 5.25 mg/kg + IFN- β (n = 17)	Cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN- β (n = 16)	Placebo + IFN- β (n = 9)
Any AE	119 (96.0)	36 (75.0)	17 (100.0)	16 (100.0)	8 (88.9)
Lymphopenia	50 (40.3)	0	7 (41.2)	4 (25.0)	0
AE in SOC infections and infestations	76 (61.3)	26 (54.2)	13 (76.5)	9 (56.3)	5 (55.6)
Herpes zoster	7 (5.6)	0	1 (5.9)	0	0
Any treatment-related^a AE	94 (75.8)	21 (43.8)	15 (88.2)	15 (93.8)	5 (55.6)
AE leading to treatment discontinuation	37 (29.8)	4 (8.3)	13 (76.5)	7 (43.8)	1 (11.1)
Any SAE	12 (9.7)	5 (10.4)	3 (17.6)	2 (12.5)	2 (22.2)
SAEs by SOC					
Infections and infestations^b	4 (3.2)	0	1 (5.9)	1 (6.3)	0
Neoplasms (benign, malignant, and unspecified)^{c,d}	5 (4.0)	0	0	2 (12.5)	1 (11.1)
Hepatobiliary disorders	2 (1.6)	1 (2.1)	0	0	0
Gastrointestinal disorders	2 (1.6)	0	0	0	0
Renal and urinary disorders	2 (1.6)	0	0	0	0
Cardiac disorders	0	0	0	0	1 (11.1)
General disorders and administration-site conditions	0	1 (2.1)	1 (5.9)	0	0
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	0	0	1 (5.9)	0	0
Nervous system disorders	1 (0.8)	0	0	0	0
Pregnancy, puerperium, and perinatal conditions	0	1 (2.1)	0	0	0
Reproductive system and breast disorders	1 (0.8)	0	0	0	0
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	0	1 (2.1)	1 (5.9)	0	0
Surgical and medical procedures	0	1 (2.1)	0	0	0
Any treatment-related^a SAE	2 (1.6)	1 (2.1)	2 (11.8)	1 (6.3)	0
Death	0	0	0	0	0

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event; IFN = interferon; SAE = serious adverse event; SOC = System Organ Class from the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA).

Data reported as number (%) of patients.

^a Related or possibly related to study treatment.

^b In the amended protocol population, SAEs categorized as an infection or infestation in the add-on cladribine 3.5 mg/kg group were genital herpes, ehrlichiosis, acute pyelonephritis, and urinary tract infection (1 patient each). In the original protocol population, SAEs categorized as an infection or infestation were injection-site cellulitis (1 patient) and pneumonia (1 patient) in the add-on cladribine 5.25 and 3.5 mg/kg groups, respectively.

^c Includes cysts and polyps.

^d Neoplasms in the add-on cladribine 3.5 mg/kg group of the amended protocol population were benign breast neoplasm, lipoma, melanocytic nevus, seborrheic keratosis, and skin papilloma (1 patient each; all 5 events benign). In the original protocol population, neoplasms were squamous cell skin carcinoma (1 patient; malignant) and teratoma (1 patient; benign) in the add-on cladribine 3.5 mg/kg group and dysplastic nevus syndrome (1 patient; benign) in the add-on placebo group. One further benign neoplasm (melanocytic nevus) occurred in 1 patient receiving add-on cladribine 5.25 mg/kg under the original protocol but was not reported as an SAE.

The mean (SD) cumulative dose of cladribine was 3.16 (0.71) mg/kg for patients randomized to the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg group under the amended protocol, and 3.12 (1.08) and 2.66 (0.94) mg/kg for those randomized to cladribine 5.25 and 3.5 mg/kg, respectively, under the original protocol.

Table 3 AEs reported by ≥5% of patients in either group over the double-blind period: Amended protocol population

Preferred term ^a	Cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN-β (n = 124)	Placebo + IFN-β (n = 48)
Lymphopenia	50 (40.3)	0
Headache	31 (25.0)	10 (20.8)
Nasopharyngitis	28 (22.6)	8 (16.7)
Nausea	18 (14.5)	6 (12.5)
Upper respiratory tract infection	14 (11.3)	8 (16.7)
Leukopenia	14 (11.3)	0
Sinusitis	15 (12.1)	6 (12.5)
Urinary tract infection	15 (12.1)	5 (10.4)
Influenza-like illness	13 (10.5)	4 (8.3)
Pyrexia	13 (10.5)	4 (8.3)
Neutropenia	13 (10.5)	3 (6.3)
Back pain	10 (8.1)	4 (8.3)
Lymphocyte count decreased	13 (10.5)	0
Cough	8 (6.5)	4 (8.3)
Pain in extremity	12 (9.7)	0
Influenza	7 (5.6)	4 (8.3)
Arthralgia	8 (6.5)	2 (4.2)
Diarrhea	9 (7.3)	1 (2.1)
Fatigue	7 (5.6)	3 (6.3)
Pharyngolaryngeal pain	7 (5.6)	3 (6.3)
Bronchitis	7 (5.6)	2 (4.2)
Depression	7 (5.6)	2 (4.2)
Dizziness	6 (4.8)	3 (6.3)
Rash	6 (4.8)	3 (6.3)
Insomnia	5 (4.0)	3 (6.3)
Herpes zoster	7 (5.6)	0
Vomiting	4 (3.2)	3 (6.3)
Pain	2 (1.6)	4 (8.3)
ALT increased	2 (1.6)	3 (6.3)
Dyspepsia	2 (1.6)	3 (6.3)
Pregnancy	1 (0.8)	3 (6.3)
Sinus congestion	1 (0.8)	3 (6.3)

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event; ALT = alanine transaminase; IFN = interferon; MedDRA = Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities. Data shown as n (%).

^a Preferred term from the MedDRA.

mean (SD) time to recovery (from grade 3/4; <500 cells/mm³ to grade 0/1; >800 cells/mm³) was 142.5 (109.9) days for cladribine/IFN-β recipients. Of the 79 patients who developed grade 3/4 lymphopenia in the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β group, 77 were grade 3 and 2 were grade 4. The profile of lymphocyte counts is shown in figure e-2 (links.lww.com/NXI/A53). Median lymphocyte counts remained stable in the placebo/IFN-β group over 96 weeks. There were low or zero incidences of grade 3/4 toxicities related to hemoglobin, liver transaminases, platelets, or bilirubin in both groups (table 4).

Pregnancy outcomes are shown in table e-5 (links.lww.com/NXI/A54). During the double-blind period, 4 patients and 2 partners of patients became pregnant. Among the 3 placebo/IFN-β group patients who became pregnant, 1 elected an induced abortion, 1 experienced a spontaneous abortion, and 1 delivered a healthy child. The fourth patient was in the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β group and experienced a spontaneous abortion. Both men whose partners became pregnant were in the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β group. One pregnancy resulted in a healthy child; the outcome of the second pregnancy is unknown.

Efficacy

The annualized qualifying relapse rate was significantly lower in patients treated with cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β, and these patients were 63% less likely to have a qualifying relapse than placebo/IFN-β recipients (relative risk of 0.37 [95% CI: 0.22–0.63], *p* = 0.001; figure 2). There was a significant reduction in the number of new T1 Gd+ lesions during the double-blind period in the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β group (mean [SD] 0.25 [1.46]) compared with the placebo/IFN-β group (1.27 [3.39]). The cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β group was 90% less likely to have a new T1 Gd+ lesion and 59% less likely to have a CUA lesion compared with the placebo/IFN-β group (table e-6, links.lww.com/NXI/A54). The proportion of patients experiencing a first qualifying relapse was lower with cladribine/IFN-β (23/124; 18.5%) vs placebo/IFN-β (16/48; 33.3%); however, the survival curves crossed, violating the assumption of constant hazards so the result should be interpreted with caution. The proportion of patients with confirmed EDSS progression over 96 weeks was similar for cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β (19/124; 15.3%) vs placebo/IFN-β (6/48; 12.5%).

A post hoc analysis of key outcomes in subgroups of the intent-to-treat (ITT) population classified as SPMS or RRMS demonstrated the efficacy of cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β in both subgroups and is shown in supplemental information. The ITT population included 26 patients with SPMS and 171 patients with RRMS. The distribution of patients by treatment groups is presented in table e-7 (links.lww.com/NXI/A54), together with demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline for both the SPMS and the RRMS subgroups. In the SPMS subgroup, cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN-β demonstrated an 89% reduction in the annualized qualifying relapse rate compared with placebo (relative risk ratio of 0.11 [95% CI

Table 4 CTCAE grade 3 or 4 hematologic or liver toxicities over the double-blind period: Amended protocol population

CTCAE grade 3 or 4 toxicity	Cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN- β (n = 124), n (%)	Placebo + IFN- β (n = 48), n (%)	Time for 10% of patients to develop toxicity (d): cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN- β	Mean (SD) time to recovery from toxicity ^a (d): cladribine 3.5 mg/kg + IFN- β
Lymphocytes	79 (63.7)	1 (2.1)	49	142.5 (109.9); n = 76
CD4 cells	63 (50.8)	2 (4.2)	57	NC
Neutrophils	15 (12.1)	2 (4.2)	382	41.2 (37.9); n = 15
WBCs	13 (10.5)	0	540	31.3 (27.7); n = 13
Hemoglobin	2 (1.6)	0	NR	19.5 (10.6); n = 2
Alanine transaminase	1 (0.8)	1 (2.1)	NR	NC
Aspartate transaminase	1 (0.8)	0	NR	NC
Platelets	0	0	NR	NC
Bilirubin	0	0	NR	NC


Abbreviations: CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; NC = not calculated; NR = not reached; WBC = white blood cell.

CTCAE grade 3: severe or medically significant but not immediately life threatening; hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization indicated; disabling; limiting self-care activities of daily living.

CTCAE grade 4: Life-threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated.

^a Time to recovery is a return to CTCAE grade 0 or 1 toxicity after having a CTCAE grade 3 or 4 toxicity.

0.01–0.94]). The relative risk ratio in the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β over the placebo group in the RRMS subgroup was 0.50, with a 95% CI ranging from 0.30 to 0.84 (table e-8, links. lww.com/NXI/A54 for clinical and MRI outcomes in these subgroups).

Figure 2 Annualized qualifying relapse rate over the double-blind period: Amended protocol intent-to-treat population

Annualized qualifying relapse rate = (total number of qualifying relapses / total time on study during the double-blind period) \times 365.25.

p -Value based on Wald Chi-square from the number of qualifying relapses using a Poisson regression model with fixed effects for treatment group and IFN- β treatment and with log of time on study during the double-blind period as an offset. All efficacy analyses were exploratory as the study was not powered to detect between-group differences for any endpoint and all p values less than 0.05 are considered as nominally significant.

IFN = interferon

Discussion

Many patients with relapsing MS experience disease activity while receiving disease-modifying drugs (DMDs),^{2–5} highlighting the need for further treatment options. The SENTINEL study in patients with breakthrough disease activity despite IFN- β -1a treatment showed that the use of natalizumab plus IFN was associated with reductions in progression and relapse rates.¹³ Subsequent studies with other DMDs have generated mixed results for combination therapy with IFN- β , but the larger studies have not shown evidence of clinical benefit.^{14–18}

The ONWARD study assessed the use of cladribine tablets combined with IFN- β in patients experiencing relapses while taking IFN- β treatment. The full 96-week double-blind period was completed as planned in the ONWARD study, although the study was terminated early, which affected the preplanned extension. During the double-blind period, AEs and SAEs were reported at similar incidences in all groups, except for lymphopenia, which was anticipated based on the mechanism of action of cladribine.⁶ Common AEs were consistent with those reported for cladribine tablets in the CLARITY study^{7,8} and included AEs associated with IFN- β therapy, such as influenza-like symptoms and pyrexia.¹⁹ The incidence of Grade 3/4 lymphopenia (<500 cells/mm³) was higher in patients receiving cladribine tablets combined with IFN- β than that observed with cladribine tablets alone in the CLARITY and ORACLE-MS studies.^{7,10,20} In ORACLE-MS, the course of cladribine tablets in the second year was delayed if patients had lymphocyte counts <800 cells/mm³ at the end of the first year. This is likely the reason for the lower incidence of counts of <500 cells/mm³ at any time in the 2-year study. The median time for recovery to at least 800 cells/mm³ from a count below 500 cells/mm³ was 90–111 days (3.0–3.7 months) in the ORACLE-MS study.²⁰

IFN- β therapy has been associated with hematologic changes, including lymphopenia,^{21,22} and it seems probable that the use of IFN- β concurrently with cladribine tablets in the ONWARD study is the most likely factor leading to the higher incidence of lymphopenia, with longer time to recovery expected for the combination than seen with cladribine tablets alone. Disease duration for the patients in this study was relatively long compared with typical RRMS studies, including the CLARITY study. This is because the inclusion criteria required previous experience with a DMD for all patients and allowed the entry of patients with SPMS. However, the population recruited to the study is considered to have less influence on the incidence of lymphopenia reported with this combination than the effects of each drug on lymphocytes.

Infections or infestations were only slightly more frequent with cladribine/IFN- β , and, unlike the SENTINEL study,¹³ no unexpected opportunistic infections were reported. As in the CLARITY study, herpes zoster was reported in a small number of patients treated with cladribine/IFN- β , and this may be related to cladribine treatment.⁸ Treatment with cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β did not result in an increase of malignancy or unspecified tumors compared with treatment with placebo/IFN- β . One case of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma reported in the original protocol was the only malignancy reported in patients who were treated with cladribine 3.5 mg/kg/IFN- β .

Because the study was not powered for efficacy, the effects of treatment on clinical and MRI outcomes should be interpreted cautiously. It is of interest that the annualized relapse rate achieved with cladribine tablets monotherapy in the CLARITY study was already low.⁷ The absence of a clear signal of increased benefit from combination treatment considered with the increased incidence of lymphocyte counts below 500 cells/mm³ at any time means that the value of larger studies to confirm these findings would have to be carefully considered. In the exploratory analysis, from the ONWARD study, cladribine/IFN- β reduced relapses and MRI lesion activity over 96 weeks compared with placebo/IFN- β . No significant difference was found between the 2 groups in the risk of EDSS progression. Study duration and the small sample size are possible explanations for this. The efficacy findings in this study in patients with active relapsing MS despite treatment with IFN- β were consistent with the findings in the CLARITY study, where in patients with RRMS, the relative reduction in relapse rate was 57.6% and 54.5% in the cladribine 3.5 mg/kg group and the cladribine 5.25 mg/kg group, respectively, vs placebo.⁷ Measures of MRI activity and the risk of 3-month sustained progression of disability were also significantly reduced in the CLARITY study.⁷ These results from the ONWARD study add to the efficacy data for cladribine tablets in patients with relapsing forms of MS, including those with active disease while on IFN- β who therefore need to switch to a higher efficacy treatment. However, administering cladribine tablets with IFN- β leads to more pronounced lymphopenia, arguing against the value of this drug combination. In August

2017, the European Commission granted marketing authorization for cladribine tablets as monotherapy for the treatment of highly active relapsing MS.²³

Author contributions

The study was designed by the members of the steering committee (X. Montalban, T.P. Leist, B.A. Cohen, and H. Moses) and the study sponsor. The sponsor collected the data, performed the analysis, and was involved in data interpretation. The data were available to all authors, and authors contributed to data analysis and interpretation. The steering committee was responsible for the final decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank patients and their families; all the ONWARD study investigators (see materials, links.lww.com/NXI/A54 for the list of ONWARD trial principal investigators, by country); Bettina Stubinski (previously of Merck Serono SA Geneva, Switzerland, a subsidiary of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for coordination of the study; and Nathalie Lachenal (previously of Merck Serono SA Geneva, Switzerland) for monitoring and assessing safety data.

Study funding

Supported by Merck Serono SA Geneva, Switzerland, a subsidiary of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

Disclosure

X. Montalban served on the scientific advisory board of Actelion, Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Oryzon Genomics, Receptos, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, and Teva; received travel funding from Biogen, Celgene, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, and Teva; served on the editorial board of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, *Journal of Neurology*, *The International MS Journal*, *Revista de Neurologia*, and *Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders*; consulted for Actelion, Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Oryzon Genomics, Receptos, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, and Teva; and received research support from the Multiple Sclerosis Foundation of Barcelona and Fundacio Cemcat. T.P. Leist served on the scientific advisory board of Novartis, Genzyme, Genentech, EMD Serono, Actelion, Biogen, and Bayer; received travel funding and/or speaker honoraria from Novartis, Genzyme, Genentech, Biogen, Bayer, and Teva; served on the editorial board of *Practical Neurology* and *Frontiers in Neurology*; consulted for Novartis, Genzyme, Genentech, EMD Serono, Actelion, Biogen, and Bayer; served on the speakers bureau of Novartis, Genzyme, Genentech, Biogen, and Teva; is on the board of the MSBase Foundation; and received research support from Novartis, Genzyme, Actelion, Biogen, Chugai, and Alkermes. B.A. Cohen consulted for Acorda, EMD Serono, Genentech, Questcor, Teva, Astellas, Biogen, and Mylan and received research support from Biogen, Novartis, Hoffman La Roche, EMD Serono, and MedDay. H. Moses consulted for Teva, Biogen, EMD Serono, Genzyme, Genentech, and Bayer; served on the speakers bureau of Teva, Biogen, and EMD Serono; and received research support from Teva, Novartis, MedDay, Genzyme, and Genentech. J. Campell is

employed by inScience Communications. C. Hicking is employed by Merck KGaA. F. Dangond is employed by EMD Serono. Full disclosure form information provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at Neurology.org/NN.

Received February 6, 2018. Accepted in final form May 23, 2018.

References

1. Carrithers MD. Update on disease-modifying treatments for multiple sclerosis. *Clin Ther* 2014;36:1938–1945.
2. Wingerchuk DM, Carter JL. Multiple sclerosis: current and emerging disease-modifying therapies and treatment strategies. *Mayo Clinic Proc* 2014;89:225–240.
3. Tsivgoulis G, Katsanos AH, Grigoriadis N, et al. The effect of disease modifying therapies on disease progression in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0144538.
4. Hadjigeorgiou GM, Doxani C, Miligkos M, et al. A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials for comparing the effectiveness and safety profile of treatments with marketing authorization for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2013;38:433–439.
5. Tramacere I, Del Giovane C, Salanti G, D'Amico R, Filippini G. Immunomodulators and immunosuppressants for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015:Cd011381.
6. Leist TP, Weisert R. Cladribine: mode of action and implications for treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 2011;34:28–35.
7. Giovannoni G, Comi G, Cook S, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of oral cladribine for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:416–426.
8. Cook S, Vermersch P, Comi G, et al. Safety and tolerability of cladribine tablets in multiple sclerosis: the CLARITY (CLAdRiBine tablets treating multiple sclerosis orally) study. *Mult Scler* 2011;17:578–593.
9. Giovannoni G, Soelberg Sorensen P, Cook S, et al. Safety and efficacy of cladribine tablets in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results from the randomized extension trial of the CLARITY study. *Mult Scler Epub* 2017 Aug 1. doi: 10.1177/1352458517727603.
10. Leist TP, Comi G, Cree BA, et al. Effect of oral cladribine on time to conversion to clinically definite multiple sclerosis in patients with a first demyelinating event (ORACLE MS): a phase 3 randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:257–267.
11. Polman CH, Reingold SC, Edan G, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2005 revisions to the “McDonald Criteria”. *Ann Neurol* 2005;58:840–846.
12. Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). *Neurology* 1983;33:1444–1452.
13. Rudick RA, Stuart WH, Calabresi PA, et al. Natalizumab plus interferon beta-1a for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *New Engl J Med* 2006;354:911–923.
14. Freedman MS, Wolinsky JS, Wamil B, et al. Teriflunomide added to interferon-beta in relapsing multiple sclerosis: a randomized phase II trial. *Neurology* 2012;78:1877–1885.
15. Cohen JA, Imrey PB, Calabresi PA, et al. Results of the avonex combination trial (ACT) in relapsing-remitting MS. *Neurology* 2009;72:535–541.
16. Conway D, Cohen JA. Combination therapy in multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9:299–308.
17. Kamm CP, El-Koussy M, Humpert S, et al. Atorvastatin added to interferon beta for relapsing multiple sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Neurol* 2012;259:2401–2413.
18. Lublin FD, Cofield SS, Cutter GR, et al. Randomized study combining interferon and glatiramer acetate in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2013;73:327–340.
19. Moses H Jr, Brandes DW. Managing adverse effects of disease-modifying agents used for treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008;24:2679–2690.
20. MAVENCLAD® EPAR 22 June 2017. Available at: ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/004230/WC500234563.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2018.
21. Plosker GL. Interferon-beta-1b: a review of its use in multiple sclerosis. *CNS Drugs* 2011;25:67–88.
22. Rieckmann P, O'Connor P, Francis GS, Wetherill G, Alteri E. Haematological effects of interferon-beta-1a (Rebif) therapy in multiple sclerosis. *Drug Saf* 2004;27:745–756.
23. Mavenclad EU SmPC. Available at: medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/34044. Accessed January 12, 2018.